

### University of the Pacific **Scholarly Commons**

College of the Pacific Faculty Articles

All Faculty Scholarship

10-18-2012

## How would the world look if it looked as if it were encoded as an intertwined set ofprobability density distributions?

Michael Madary University of the Pacific, mmadary@pacific.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/cop-facarticles



Part of the Philosophy Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Madary, M. (2012). How would the world look if it looked as if it were encoded as an intertwined set ofprobability density distributions?. Frontiers in Psychology, 3, 1-2. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00419 https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/cop-facarticles/753

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the All Faculty Scholarship at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of the Pacific Faculty Articles by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

# How would the world look if it looked as if it were encoded as an intertwined set of probability density distributions?

#### Michael Madary\*

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany \*Correspondence: madary@uni-mainz.de

#### Edited by:

Shimon Edelman, Cornell University, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Axel Cleeremans, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

#### A commentary on

Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science by Clark, A. (in press). Behav. Brain Sci.

In the target article, Andy Clark addresses the question of how a probabilistic predictive coding model of the mind relates to our personal level mental lives. This question, he suggests, is "potentially the most important" (MS46). The question is important indeed, but Clark's answer fails to capitalize on another possible advantage of this approach. Clark suggests that there is a disconnect between the way the world appears to us, on one hand, and the way that it is represented in the brain, on the other. He deals with this disconnect by limiting the scope of the theory, by pointing out that he is discussing a theory of how brains encode and process information, not a theory about how things seem to organisms with such brains. The shortcoming of this strategy is that there may not be a disconnect to begin with. That is, perhaps the world does appear to us as if it were "encoded as an intertwined set of probability density distributions" (MS47). If such is the case, then explanations which appeal to a probabilistic predictive model gain even more scope and power. Here I will offer a sketch of both a priori and empirical support for my claim.

One emerging theme in the philosophical literature is that perception involves implicit anticipation of the way appearances change (Noë, 2004; Siegel, 2006; Madary, 2012). Here is an outline of the motivation behind this view. The fact that we are embodied perceivers entails that we are always limited to a single perspective on the world at one time. Perception faces

the task of representing properties despite only having access to a single appearance of those properties at any time. A straightforward way to handle this task is to represent properties by implicitly anticipating how appearances of those properties will change as we move. As those anticipations are fulfilled, we gain more evidence for our representation. It would be natural, following Clark and others, to account for perceptual anticipations in a probabilistic manner. If an object looks like a tree from one perspective, it is probable that it will continue to look like a tree from other perspectives. This kind of perceptual anticipation is not usually the center of our attention, but, crucially, neither is it hidden away in subpersonal code. We can plainly observe the changing appearances of static properties and we are surprised when appearances do not change as they should.

This point raises the tricky question of the relationship between personal level surprise, on one hand, and the sub-personal prediction error, known as "surprisal," on the other (MS5). Personal level surprise is an experience with which we are all familiar, and sub-personal surprisal is a key component of the approach that Clark is exploring. The relationship between the two strikes me as an important unsolved issue. In the target article, Clark offers a reconciliation for the apparent disconnect between personal level surprise and sub-personal surprisal (MS46). Elsewhere, though, Clark concedes that "Although the psychological notion of surprise is distinct, events with high surprisal are generally surprising" (Friston et al., 2012, p. 1). I suggest that an account of when and why surprisal (sub-personal) is surprising (personal) will be key for addressing the larger question of the relationship between sub-personal level

processing and personal level phenomenology. Leaving this difficult issue aside, now consider the psychological evidence that suggests vision is indeterminate, evidence which may fit nicely with the suggestion that visual processing uses probabilistic coding.

Two lines of empirical evidence show that visual perception is an ongoing process which involves repeated sampling of the environment. Such a structure to visual perception fits naturally with a probabilistic interpretation: we take repeated samples in order to update our best estimate of the way the world is. First, our experience of the visual periphery is highly indeterminate (Cohen and Dennett, 2011). We are able to experience parts of the world in a determinate manner through our ability continuously to gain different perspectives through action (Findlay and Gilchrist, 2003). Second, both inattentional blindness (Mack and Rock, 1998) and change blindness (Rensink et al., 1997) paradigms suggest that our experience of the world can lack basic details. Both of these lines of evidence fit nicely with an understanding of visual experience as probabilistic. The indeterminacy of the visual periphery can be interpreted as a probabilistic representational format. Similarly, the missing details as revealed in inattentional and change blindness experiments reveal that our generative models are more successful with the gist of a visual scene and offer only vague estimates about the details.

In short, both the general *a priori* structure of perception and recent evidence in perceptual psychology converge on the theme that visual experience involves indeterminate implicit anticipations. This theme fits quite well with the suggestion, explored by Clark, that the brain actively predicts

Madary How would the world look

sensory inputs in a probabilistic manner. Far from the conflict that Clark supposes, the predictive generative model of perceptual processing might complement our best account of the phenomenology of vision.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Cohen, M., and Dennett, D. (2011). Consciousness cannot be separated from function. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* (*Regul. Ed.*) 15, 358–364.
- Findlay, J. M., and Gilchrist, I. (2003). Active Vision: The Psychology of Looking and Seeing. London: Oxford University Press.
- Friston, K., Thornton, C., and Clark, A. (2012). Free-energy minimization and the dark-room problem. *Front. Psychol.* 3:130. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00130
- Mack, A., and Rock, I. (1998). *Inattentional Blindness*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Madary, M. (2012). Anticipation and variation in visual content. *Philos. Stud.* doi: 10.1007/s11098-012-9926-3 Noë, A. (2004). *Action in Perception*. Cambridge: MIT
- Rensink, R. A., O'Regan, J. K., and Clark, J. J. (1997). To see or not to see: the need for attention to perceive changes in scenes. *Psychol. Sci.* 8, 368–373.
- Siegel, S. (2006). Subject and object in the contents of visual experience. *Philos. Rev.* 115, 355–388.

Received: 30 August 2012; accepted: 30 September 2012; published online: 18 October 2012.

Citation: Madary M (2012) How would the world look if it looked as if it were encoded as an intertwined set of probability density distributions? Front. Psychology 3:419. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00419

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology, a specialty of Frontiers in Psychology.

Copyright © 2012 Madary. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.