



2016

Tort Law and Practice

Lawrence C. Levine

Pacific McGeorge School of Law

Dominick Vetri

University of Oregon

Joan Vogel

Vermont Law School

Ibrahim J. Gassama

University of Oregon

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/facultyteaching>



Part of the [Torts Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Levine, Lawrence C.; Vetri, Dominick; Vogel, Joan; and Gassama, Ibrahim J., "Tort Law and Practice" (2016). *McGeorge School of Law Teaching Materials*. 31.

<https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/facultyteaching/31>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the McGeorge School of Law Faculty Scholarship at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in McGeorge School of Law Teaching Materials by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact mgibney@pacific.edu.

TORT LAW AND PRACTICE
FIFTH EDITION

TORT LAW AND PRACTICE

FIFTH EDITION

DOMINICK VETRI

*Professor of Law
University of Oregon Law School*

LAWRENCE C. LEVINE

*Professor of Law
University of the Pacific
McGeorge School of Law*

JOAN E. VOGEL

*Professor of Law
Vermont Law School*

IBRAHIM J. GASSAMA

*Frank Nash Professor of Law
University of Oregon Law School*



CAROLINA ACADEMIC PRESS

Durham, North Carolina

Copyright © 2016
Carolina Academic Press, LLC
All Rights Reserved

LCCN:: 2016904555

ISBN: 978-1-6328-4938-0
ISBN: 978-1-6328-4939-7 (Looseleaf)

Carolina Academic Press, LLC
700 Kent Street
Durham, NC 27701
Telephone (919) 489-7486
Fax (919) 493-5668
www.caplax.com

Printed in the United States of America

Dedications

Dedicated to the generations of students of torts who make the teaching of this subject such a joy!

Dominick Vetri: To my partner Doug DeWitt.

Lawrence C. Levine: To my friend and colleague Julie Davies; my past, present, and future students; and in loving memory of Jeff Poile and Gerald Levine.

Joan E. Vogel: In loving memory of my parents, Harry and Marion Vogel, and to my good friends, Hugh Scogin and Reed Loder.

Ibrahim J. Gassama: To my partner Marva Donna Solomon, my daughter Fatima Selene Gassama, and in loving memory of Lucille Solomon and Humu Fofanah.

Introduction

[L]ogic, and history, and custom, and utility, and the accepted standards of right conduct, are the forces which singly or in combination shape the progress of the law.

— BENJAMIN N. CARDOZO, CHIEF JUDGE, NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS

You are embarked on a noble and important journey — the study of law. We will be studying our system of law which is older than our nation’s 230 plus years. Ours is a legal system with roots in the British-American colonies, England, Normandy, Rome and beyond. To understand law, to think about law and to learn to use law requires the development of a range of skills. You will be learning, as law teachers are fond of saying, “to think like lawyers!” These important skills that turn political scientists, historians, nurses, engineers, school teachers, musicians, and philosophers into competent lawyers include: careful reading, active listening, comprehending relevancy, critical evaluation, developing understanding and a sense of caring about people, institutions, and the local, national and world communities. It also involves the ability to be sensitive to ethical concerns. Your college work and real life experience undoubtedly has given you a good start with many of these skills. You will develop them considerably more in your law study.

A strange thing about law study is that in most first year programs you do not study these important lawyering skills directly. Mostly, these skills are acquired and honed as an implicit part of your study of substantive law subjects like torts, contracts, criminal law and civil procedure. Importantly, American law schools typically do not teach law by having you read and memorize rules and principles from scholarly legal treatises. Instead, in most first year programs, students learn the law and gain an understanding of the legal system through the study of the materials that lawyers and judges use in their daily work — cases, statutes, and administrative regulations. Law teachers believe that this method is the most effective way to teach the law.

Studying law is admittedly no easy task. It will be unlike anything you have ever done before. It will require intense critical thinking and extensive time. It is, however, an adventure — a challenging and rewarding new experience that will bring you immense intellectual and personal satisfaction.

Torts is a challenging field of law because it deals with everyday human experience and tragedy. Tort law is all about contemporary society — the accidents we experience, the personal and family relationships we create, the technology we use, and the societal mores we continue to evolve and reformulate. Torts is not only relevant to injured victims and their lawyers, but is also vitally important to society as a whole, the business and corporate community, the health care industry, and professionals of all types. Tort law most definitely is not a stodgy, old compartment of the common law; it is a vital component of the living common law.

The study of torts includes diverse areas of wrongful conduct such as negligence, personal injury law for unintentional harm, intentional torts (e.g., assault and battery),

Introduction

products liability, abnormally dangerous activities liability (e.g., blasting, aerial pesticide spraying), nuisance (e.g., air, water, and noise pollution), defamation (libel and slander), privacy invasion, fraud, misrepresentation, and intentional interference with contracts. Tort law study includes consideration of alternatives to the liability scheme, such as no-fault systems. Our study also includes legislative measures undertaken in recent years by Congress and many state legislatures. These legislative changes are usually referred to as “tort law reform.” Maximum recoveries (“caps”) on pain and suffering damages, shorter statutes of limitation, restrictions on medical malpractice actions, protection against frivolous lawsuits, restrictions on contingency fees, and prevailing party attorney fee awards are the areas receiving much legislative attention.

The casebook begins with an overview of the different culpability standards that can be used in tort cases: intent, recklessness, negligence, and strict liability. The chapter uses hypothetical variations on the now infamous McDonald’s hot coffee spill case to illustrate the spectrum of culpability. These opening materials help you to begin to formulate the goals and objectives of the legal system as they relate to providing compensation for physical and emotional harm from intentional misconduct and unintentional accidents. Some teachers begin with intentional torts, others with negligence law. There are excellent reasons for starting with each subject area; the book is designed to accommodate either approach.

Historically, intentional torts evolved first. An understanding of this subject area allows for the elements of topics such as assault and battery to be readily developed and understood. Negligence has become the predominant means of recovery for unintentional harm in American law today. Virtually all that is learned in our focus on negligence has direct benefit and application in studying the other areas of tort law, particularly products liability. In studying negligence, we investigate the fundamental objectives that our society seeks to achieve through this method of compensation for unintentional harm.

In studying torts, you will learn much about our legal system, and particularly about our common law system. Indeed, one of the reasons torts is considered a building block and required course in the first-year curriculum is that an understanding of the subject carries along with it an understanding of the common law legal system. You will become very familiar with the legal process in civil cases, the use of precedents, and the role of the courts.

In the earliest period of the evolution of the common law legal system in Britain, crime and tort were much the same in scope. The intentional torts of assault and battery and trespass to land were probably the first to develop. The law’s function in both instances was to satisfy a public and private need for vengeance, and to avoid citizens taking the law into their own hands. Deterrence of wrongful conduct also came to be seen as an important objective. Tort liability, in effect, was a legal device to dissuade a victim from seeking retaliation by offering him monetary compensation instead. The recognized torts in this evolutionary period were closely related to threats of public disorder, or what came to be known as breaches of the King’s peace.

During this early period (before 1800), life was mostly agrarian in nature and injury resulting from the conduct of strangers was primarily intentional. Life was tough and inordinately short. Concern over unintentional harm was not a primary interest. As industry, urban life, and transportation developed, unintended accidents became much more commonplace, and indirect injury occurred more frequently. The new risks posed by

Introduction

the developing industrial economy confronted the courts with problems that could not be resolved readily by the existing tort law; torts before then were based primarily on notions of causation and whether or not the harm was direct.

The common law courts, on both sides of the Atlantic and in other parts of the world, proceeded to develop a new accident law to cope with the changing society. Finding the “right balance” between the competing concerns of compensating victims and not unduly impeding developing entrepreneurship and industrialization was an important part the development of torts law. In trying to find the right balance between these two concerns, the courts built upon the ancient concept of negligence. Your study will show that negligence law has not remained static since that early period. The negligence law of the twenty-first century is not the same as the negligence law of the nineteenth century. As society has changed, so has negligence law. We will examine whether the right balance has been struck for our time.

We will also study about accidents in American society. Accidents are an ever-present reality in the American scene. Importantly, we have made considerable progress in bringing down the accident rate, but there have been no miracles here. The total number of accidents involving serious injuries and death on U.S. highways, at work, in our homes, and in public venues remain at unacceptably high levels. As part of our study of tort law, we will inquire into the kinds of accidents that occur in America today and their costs, both human and financial. Studying accidents naturally leads to consideration of accident prevention. Logically, accident prevention is a much wiser course of action than merely coping with medical treatment of injuries after the fact. We will consider whether in the scheme of things, accident prevention is generally given a high enough priority to have a significant effect on the number of accidents that occur.

Accidents cause injuries and injuries involve costs. The costs include not only physical injury harm, the resulting medical and rehabilitative expenses, and the loss of employment earnings, but also resulting property damages and economic losses. Furthermore, they include the human costs in terms of pain and suffering, loss of work ability and self-esteem, death, and the emotional distress that arises from accidents. How do accident victims cope? How do they pay those costs? Health and disability insurance are major players in dealing with some of these costs. But for too large a segment of our population have not had access to health or disability insurance. With the recent passage of health care reform, more people without access to health insurance will have access to some form of health insurance in the future. We will have to examine whether the extension of health insurance coverage will lower the costs of accidents and injuries. As you will see, tort law interacts in complex ways with liability, health, and disability insurance. Liability insurance has grown alongside negligence law and has become its partner, some would say senior partner, in the modern era.

The administrative costs of the negligence system are excessively high, and include: judicial salaries, courtroom facilities, jury fees, court clerks, secretaries, bailiffs, security guards, clerical personnel, building use costs, furniture, computers, utilities, cleaning expenses, and more when looking at trial and appellate court operations. Then there are the attorneys’ fees, both plaintiffs’ and defendants’, that have to be factored into overall administrative costs. The costs of our current accident scheme require us to also consider the cost of liability insurance, which includes the expenses of selling and administering the insurance system through adjusters and supervisors. In addition, settlement and mediation

Introduction

of accident claims have become increasingly important in recent years.

Tort law alone cannot be the only device to deter accidents in our society. Administrative safety regulations, criminal laws, private standard setting, public interest consumer and worker organization oversight, safety education, and publicity about safety concerns all are part of the effort to reduce the accident level, along with tort law. It will be appropriate to consider the proper mix of these efforts on accident deterrence. Tort liability and liability insurance are not the only means of compensation for injuries; private health and disability insurance, no-fault auto insurance, and public welfare are other important mechanisms for covering accident losses. Here, too, we need to be concerned about the proper blending of these resources.

Your study of torts will teach you much about the legal rules and policies underlying the accident system in the U.S. Importantly, it will also teach you about the common law legal system. This knowledge will be helpful in your other studies and in your law career years ahead. Welcome to torts.

Bookguide

The questions one asks oneself begin, at last, to illuminate the world, and become one's key to the experience of others.

— JAMES BALDWIN, *NOBODY KNOWS MY NAME* (1961).

Studies of teaching show that engaged students learn better. Because reading materials and listening to lectures involve only a low level of engagement, almost all of your classes in law school involve discussion and interaction with the teacher and other students to raise the level of engagement, and thus, enhance the learning experience. This casebook uses several techniques to increase the level of engagement as well. One of the first things you will realize is that there are lots of cases and a relatively low level of narrative information about the law. We teach primarily from cases, and more recently, from statutes and administrative regulations because they are the raw materials that lawyers and judges use in their daily work. Acquaintance with these materials and how to reason from them are critical to legal training.

Also, there are a number of questions following each case. You may find these questions somewhat difficult at first, but they are worth your patience and effort. Typically, they are designed to increase your understanding of the case, the evolving legal rules, and the attributes or deficiencies in the reasoning. They often do not have definitive answers, and are intended to stimulate your development of analysis skills. Work through as many questions as you can by re-reading the relevant parts of the case and talking over the questions with your colleagues. Sometimes in coming back to a question, you will later find that you have begun to work out an answer. The class discussion may often be patterned on, or relate in some way, to the questions. The questions are designed to engage you at a deeper level with what you have read, to force you to go beyond memorizing basic legal concepts, and to help you think about the materials.

One of the features of the book in the negligence area is its introduction to the five elements of a negligence claim including damages and the concept of defenses in an overview of negligence law at the outset. The basics are set out early in your learning process; you get to see the larger picture and where we will be headed for a good part of the semester. The next several chapters take each element in turn, and focus on the more complex aspects of the element. The questions, materials, and problems following the cases frequently remind you to maintain the overall perspective of the five necessary elements to make out a negligence claim, as well as possible defenses. This book emphasizes sequential learning. Gradually, you will increase your sophistication and understanding of each element as we proceed through the chapters. As you build your skill and understanding, the more challenging portions of the subject will fall into place.

Our study of tort law will give you the basic grounding in understanding our common law system and the use of precedents. The common law, in contrast to authoritative texts such as constitutions and statutes, is that part of the law that is established by courts. Common law courts typically invoke precedents to justify their conclusions. They also often explain why they have followed certain earlier decisions and not others. The common law is knowable only by reading past cases and deducing legal principles from those cases. It is different from statutory and constitutional law in that common law is self-generating, i.e., past decisions are used to justify present decisions, and present decisions are references for future cases. At the same time that the common law relies on past decisions to decide many of today's cases, however, it must be open to change in light of an evolving society. A system of law that ties itself only to the past would soon be useless in the modern world. Thus, working with precedents, you will learn, is far more sophisticated and complex than just trying to determine what the rule was in a case decided 50 years ago. Our earliest concerns will be in

Bookguide

determining what is precedent, why courts should follow it, logical extensions of precedent, developing analogies from precedent, and the flexibility courts have in dealing with precedents that are out of date, discriminatory, unbalanced, unfair, or simply wrong. Learning to identify the “holdings” of cases is the first step in working with precedents, since holdings are binding as precedent on future courts. A Case Briefing Guide is provided at the end of this Bookguide to get you started in working with cases.

The materials from the outset help you to integrate civil procedure into tort practice. The two are inextricably intertwined. You will also find that our study of torts complements your study of criminal law, contracts, and property in many areas. Our work with statutes will also prepare you well for administrative law and the heavily statutory-based courses, such as environmental law, the Uniform Commercial Code, and tax law. Traditionally, areas like torts, contracts, and property were predominantly common law. Statutes, however, have come to play an increasingly important role in these three subject areas. We will focus on the relationship of courts and legislatures in our system. The roles of judges and lawyers also loom large in the text.

A major feature of this book is its use of problems. Problems are placed strategically throughout the materials to engage you and to reinforce learning. Learning how to problem solve is quite important, because essentially, that is what lawyering is all about. Problem solving takes you beyond learning rules. These problems help you learn application, synthesis, and the integration of legal doctrines and skills into practice. Educators know that this is the most effective way to learn. Problem analysis raises the level of engagement considerably.

The problems typically ask you to assume the role of a lawyer. The materials also challenge the system and legal structures and call upon you to consider public policy choices. Law exams are typically based on problems that are similar to the ones you will encounter throughout the text. Learning how to analyze the problems and write organized, coherent answers will prepare you for not only torts exams, but those in all your courses. You are encouraged to write out your answers to problems and to discuss the problems and your answers with your colleagues.

Ethical concerns and ethics problems are also integrated throughout the materials. Ethical integrity and propriety are an important part of legal education and your law career ahead. Such an important area cannot be left to a single course on Professional Responsibility. Ethics issues are best understood in the contexts and circumstances in which they arise. You will confront ethical decision-making in personal injury cases, such as the problem of the lying client, conflicts of interest, honesty to the court, the zealous advocacy role of the lawyer, and others.

The cases, problems, hypotheticals, and questions in the book also present the opportunity to learn about issues related to people of color, ethnic groups, gender, disabilities, and sexual orientation. As lawyers, you will handle cases for people from a wide variety of backgrounds, and you must be prepared to conscientiously, sensitively, and competently represent clients from the diverse American community. As a small starting point, the names of the parties in the problems throughout the book reflect the multi-cultural nature of American society. The factual settings of the problems also, on occasion, provide information and raise issues that are of concern to diverse communities. In short, the book is intended to reflect contemporary America and to prepare you to practice law in this milieu.

Our study of tort law will not focus on the law of any particular state. Much of tort law, as we shall see, is either the same or quite similar among many states. The differences and variations among states often are opportunities to learn about alternative solutions and about law reform possibilities. We will be learning general principles, alternatives, exceptions, and the role of public policy in court decisions on torts. Since law continues to evolve, comparing alternatives and evaluating exceptions is an important role for lawyers. Many of the cases in the book were decided after 1990, and a number within the last five years. The classic torts cases, however, have been included. A sense of legal history is provided. Tort law is an evolving social phenomenon and the book aims to be contemporary.

Bookguide

The names of the cases usually reflect only the first party on each side of the case, e.g., *Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation*, the first case in Chapter 2. There were several parties on each side of this case, but the practice by lawyers in citing cases is to use only the first party's name on each side. Most courts today place the plaintiff's name to the left of the versus line, and the defendant's name to the right. An earlier practice, reflected in older cases, placed the appellant's name (the appealing party whether plaintiff or defendant) to the left of the versus line, and the respondent's name after.

The citation of a case follows the case name, e.g., the *Rudolph* case is followed by 182 Ariz. 622, 898 P.2d 1000 (Ct. App. 1995). The first cite is usually to the volumes of official reports, here Arizona Reports, and the second cite is usually to a private commercial reporter system — West Publishing Co., here the Pacific Second series. The numbers 182 and 898 in the preceding cite are to the respective volume numbers of the reports, and the numbers 622 and 1000 are the page numbers in those reports where the case begins. Thus, you will find the *Rudolph* case in volume 182 of the official Arizona reports at page 623, and the same case also appears in volume 898 of West Publishing's second series of Pacific Reports at page 1000. The year the case was decided is placed in parenthesis after the citation. If the highest court of the state wrote the opinion, only the date is in the parenthesis. If a lower court wrote the opinion, an abbreviation of that court's name appears in the citation. In the *Rudolph* case, the Arizona Court of Appeals, an intermediate court lower in rank than the Arizona Supreme Court decided the case. You will soon become an old hand at working with these citations.

The names of the attorneys who wrote the briefs and argued the cases on appeal can also be found by looking up the cases in the reports. Customarily in casebooks, to save space, the attorneys' names are omitted. This is a disservice to the hardworking attorneys because their work is usually the basis of the opinions of the courts. Much of the responsibility for the quality of opinions belongs to the attorneys. The judge that is the author of the opinion usually should not get all of the credit if it is a good opinion, or all of the blame, if the opinion leaves much to be desired. The *Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation* case in Chapter 2 includes the names of the attorneys, to remind you of their necessary role in the process. A fundamental dimension of this book is to orient the student towards the lawyers' work in presenting, defending, and appealing personal injury cases.

We have tried to balance the use of pronouns throughout the book. Older cases and articles almost invariably use male references. The note cases that sometimes follow lead cases are primarily in the language of the courts, but occasionally we have rephrased some of the content. In such note cases, the language of the court always appears in quotes. The cartoons are used to lighten up what often is rather tragic material. When you think about it, this is a book that, for the most part, deals with injuries, death, and other kinds of harm. Although keeping a certain emotional distance from the problems confronted is essential to doing competent work, total disengagement is not acceptable either. Finding the right balance is one of the criteria that defines a professional.

The book makes frequent reference to a number of excellent texts and treatises, available in your law library, in a short hand fashion as follows: DAN B. DOBBS, PAUL T. HAYDEN & ELLEN BUBLICK, *THE LAW OF TORTS* (2015 Westlaw), usually cited as "DOBBS" followed by a section number; JOHN L. DIAMOND, LAWRENCE C. LEVINE & ANITA BERNSTEIN, *UNDERSTANDING TORTS* (5th ed. 2013), abbreviated as "UNDERSTANDING TORTS"; and JOSEPH W. GLANNON, *THE LAW OF TORTS: EXAMPLES & EXPLANATIONS* (4th ed. 2010) is abbreviated as "GLANNON."

Class preparation requires that you brief the cases that you read. Briefing is an art that lawyers acquire from experience. Teachers often have special ways they like to have cases briefed, so there is no uniform pattern. The following suggestions on briefing, however, are offered as general guidelines as you begin your classes. We are indebted to Professors Paul J. Mishkin and Clarence Morris and their impressive book, *ON LAW IN COURTS* at 11 (1965), for their considerable insights about briefing cases.

Case Briefing Guidelines

(1). **Facts.** Identify the critical facts of the case, striking off those facts that are not relevant to the decision of the court.

(2). **Procedural Background.** Determine the particular ruling or rulings of the trial judge that became crucial on appeal. Was it the grant or denial of a directed verdict motion, a summary judgment motion, a motion on the pleadings, a motion on the judgment, etc. Another way of looking at this is to ask, who won below and what procedural device did the winner invoke? Isolating the procedural ruling helps to identify the issue on appeal in terms of law and fact questions.

(3). **Issues.** Identify the precise legal issues on appeal. Determine the legal questions that were necessary for the court to resolve. A ruling on an issue that is not necessary to the resolution of the case is referred to as dictum. Rulings on relevant issues are referred to as holdings. Holdings have precedential value for future cases. Dicta has whatever persuasive weight future courts choose to give it.

(4). **Holding.** State the holding of the case as a rule of law. Often, there are several holdings. You will learn that a holding can be stated broadly or narrowly in terms of their effect on future cases. Lawyers, on behalf of their clients, often use this flexibility in describing holdings when arguing the merit of a precedent in future cases. You should attempt to both frame your holdings broadly and narrowly in each case to help you develop the skill. Consider the rules the plaintiff and defendant were respectively seeking to have adopted by the court. Determine whether the court chose one party's suggestions or developed its own legal rule. We are looking for the guidance the decision provides for future cases. Procedural details and irrelevant facts should be eliminated from your holding statements. Determine if the case expands existing precedents, modifies them, overrules them, or possibly reduces the reach of the precedents.

(5). **Sources of Authority.** Identify the sources of authority relied on by the court. Determine if the court relies on in-state precedents, out-of-state decisions, statutes, administrative regulations, treatises, law review articles, etc. Analyze whether the sources of authority are clearly on point, are based on strong principles, are controlling, and are persuasive. Determine if the court relied on public policy considerations. Policy considerations such as accident prevention, economic concerns, compensation, administrative workability of rules, fairness and justice are often appropriate factors in the resolution of torts cases.

(6). **Evaluate the Reasoning.** Consider whether the reasoning of the court is sound, effective, and persuasive. Determine if the court overlooked or under valued anything. Consider how you would have decided the case.

(7). **Concurring and Dissenting Opinions.** Determine why the judge believed it necessary to write a separate opinion. These separate opinions may provide insights about what the majority did. Compare the reasoning and the use of precedents of the differing opinions.

We trust that as you work your way through this book and develop competent lawyering skills, you will find your study of tort law as intellectually stimulating and interesting as many generations of law students before you have found it. Good venturing in tort law!

Permissions

We are very grateful to the following authors, artists, agents, and publishers for granting permission to use their copyrighted works.

Articles, Books & Newspapers

American Law Institute.

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW (SECOND) TORTS: 46 (comment d), 220, 222A, 288A (comment excerpts), 288C, 298 (comment excerpts), 314, 328D, 339, 402A (comment excerpts), 559, 652C, 652E, 821B, 821C, 826(a) and (b) & 829A. Copyright © 1965 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT (THIRD) TORTS: LIABILITY FOR PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL HARM sections 1, 3 (comment excerpts), 4, 6, cmt f, 7(a) & (b), comment j, 8, 10, 14, 17, 25 (comment excerpts), 28, 29 (comment excerpts), instruction 1, 45 (comment excerpts), 46, comment g. Copyright © 2010 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW (THIRD) UNFAIR COMPETITION: sections 46 & 47. Copyright © 1995 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

RESTATEMENT OF THE LAW (THIRD) TORTS: PRODUCTS LIABILITY: sections 1, 2 (comment excerpts), 3, 4, 10. Copyright © 1998 by the American Law Institute. Reprinted with permission.

Anderson, David A., *Reputation, Compensation, and Proof*, 25 WM. & MARY L. REV. 747, 764-66 (1984).

Berger, Margaret, *Eliminating General Causation: Notes Towards a New Theory of Justice & Toxic Torts*, 97 COLUM. L. REV. 2177 (1997). Copyright © 1997 Directors of the Columbia Law Review Association, Inc.; Margaret A. Berger.

Berger, Margaret A. & Twerski, Aaron D., *From the Wrong End of the Telescope: A Response to Professor David Bernstein*, 104 MICH. L. REV. 1983 (2006). Copyright 2006 Michigan Law Review Association; Margaret A. Berger; Aaron D. Twerski.

Bhomik, Rachana, Lowy, Jonathan E. & Rostron, Allen, *A Sense of Duty*, 4 J. HEALTH CARE L. & POLICY 42 (2000).

Campbell, Kevin, Nahrstadt, Bradley, *Refuting Damages in a Personal Injury Case*, 24 BRIEF 9 (1995), American Bar Association. Revised from C. Barry Montgomery, *Keeping Damages Fair and Reasonable*, Ch. 7 in MASTERS OF TRIAL PRACTICE, Janine Warsaw ed. (1989), copyright © John Wiley & Sons, Publisher.

Chlapowski, Francis, *The Constitutional Protection of Informational Privacy*, Volume 71:1 B. U. L. REV. (1991) 133-60. Reprinted with permission. Copyright © 1991 Trustees of Boston University. Forum of original publication.

Culhane, John G., “*A Clanging Silence*”: *Same-Sex Couples and Tort Law*, 89 KY. L.J. 911 (2000-2001).

Grady, Mark F., *Untaken Precautions*, 18 J. LEGAL STUD. 139 (1989). Copyright © The University of Chicago.

Handsley, Elizabeth, *Mental Injury Occasioned by Harm to Another: A Feminist Critique*, 14 LAW & INEQ. 391 (1996).

HOLMES, OLIVER WENDELL, THE COMMON LAW (1881), Little, Brown and Co.

Kelner, Joseph, *The Catastrophic Case*, 27 TRIAL 34 (1995). Reprinted with permission of TRIAL (1995). Copyright © The Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Permissions

Koskoff, Yale D., *The Nature of Pain & Suffering*, 13 TRIAL 21–24 (1977). Reprinted with permission of TRIAL (1977). Copyright © The Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

Lawrence, III, Charles R., *If He Hollers Let Him Go: Regulating Racist Speech on Campus*, WORDS THAT WOUND 53 (1993).

THE LONDON INDEPENDENT, “Spleenless in Seattle,” Dec. 6, 1994.

Malone, Wex S., *Ruminations on Cause in Fact*, 9 STAN. L. REV. 60 (1956). Copyright © 1956 by the Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University.

McClurg, Andrew J., *Poetry in Commotion: Katko v. Briney and the Bards of First-Year Torts*, 74 OR. L. REV. 823 (1995). Poem “*Katko v. Briney*” by Gary Austin. Reprinted by Permission. Copyright © 1995 by University of Oregon.

MORRIS, CLARENCE & C. ROBERT, MORRIS ON TORTS 155–58, 163–66 (2d ed. 1980). Reprinted by permission from MORRIS ON TORTS (2d ed. 1980). Copyright © Foundation Press, Westbury, New York.

New York Times, *Bomb Blast Injures 13 in Station Crowd*, Aug. 25, 1924. Copyright © 1924 by the New York Times Co. Reprinted by permission.

Schlinsog, Jr., Albert C., *The Suicidal Decedent: Culpable Wrongdoer or Wrongfully Deceased?* 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 463, 474 (1991).

Uniform Comparative Fault Act 1977, § 2, comment. Reprinted by Permission. Copyright 2008 by The National Conference on Uniform State Laws

West Publishing Co., several charts and graphs from LAWRENCE C. LEVINE, SUM & SUBSTANCE QUICK REVIEW: TORTS (3d ed. 2000).

Photographs & Artwork

Cardozo, Benjamin, Nathan, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, LC-USZ62-75144.

Hand, Learned, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, LC-USZ62-98139.

Holmes, Oliver Wendell, Reproduced from the collection of the Library of Congress, copyright CLINEDINST, LC-USZ62-9406.

Home icon made by Freepik from www.flaticon.com

Auto Accident icon by Freepik from www.flaticon.com

Crosswalk icon by Freepik from www.flaticon.com

Cyclist icon made by Scott de Jonge from www.flaticon.com

Cartoons

CALVIN & HOBBS. © 1990, 1995 Watterson. Reprinted with permission of Universal Uclick. All rights reserved.

Linda Ziskin © 1998, 2002. All rights reserved. Copyright © 2003. All rights reserved.

Colette T Katz © 2003. Copyright © 1998, 2002. All rights reserved.

Acknowledgments

[A] person does not cease to be a person when she puts on her black robe, any more than a judge who acknowledges her humanity thereby ceases to be a judge. The best judges are those who can be both judge and human at once.

— Shirley S. Abrahamson, Chief Justice, Wisconsin Supreme Court

Dominick Vetri

I would like to thank three faculty colleagues who were very helpful in reviewing portions of the book and offering cogent suggestions: Caroline Forell, Erik Girvan, and Ofer Rabon.

Debby Warren brought her considerable faculty support talents and competence to the work on this fourth edition.

The many torts students I have had the pleasure of teaching over the years and those who used this book in its various incarnations enabled I am deeply indebted to my extremely competent and diligent research assistants for their work and insights on the four editions of the casebook.

Linda Ziskin, a torts student and now a successful attorney, contributed considerable legal insights, and wit, satire, and artistic talent with her wonderfully creative cartoons. She also was extremely helpful in developing and coordinating the numerous permissions that were essential for the first edition of the book. Sean Mangan also created a wonderful cartoon strip to illustrate the McDonald's hypothetical problems in Chapter 1. Colette T Katz has produced a creative cartoon for Chapter 3.

Debby helped me to develop many of the ideas for the casebook. I heartily thank them for their understanding, and for the numerous ideas and suggestions they made. I am deeply indebted to my extremely competent and diligent research assistants on the editions of this casebook: Laura Sadowski, Christopher Walther, Shannon Green, Ben Tiller, Michael Stephenson, Natalie Duke, Steffanie Foster, Tiffany Keb, John Wilson, Anne Abbott, Heather Cavanaugh, Christy Cox, Arne Cherkoss, Monica Wells, Ky Fullerton, Louis Bubala, Charlotte Waldo, Jeff Mitchell, Robert Muraski, Sam Taylor, Tristyne Edmon, Anthony Wilson, Chad Standifer, Linda Ziskin, Tamara Brickman, M. H. Choo, Lynne Rennick, Kirsten Jepsen, Gene Shapiro, Phil Horne, Kyle Wuepper, Inger Brockman, Tracy Trunnell, Joel Parker, Mark Ditton,, Susie Mason, Patrick Aquino, and Merlyn Adams Their thorough legal research, ideas, and editing make the book a much better work product, and working with each of them made the development of the book a pleasure. I wish each of them well, happiness, and great success in their lives and career years ahead.

Thanks also to my partner Doug DeWitt, for his inordinate patience with me as the book grew and developed and now has become a fifth edition.

Lawrence C. Levine

My thanks to the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law for providing me a sabbatical to work on this project. Also thanks to my colleague, Julie Davies, for her

Acknowledgments

helpful input along the way. Indeed, my dedication to this book recognizes Julie's enormous contributions to me not just on this book, but as a Torts professor in general. I also am extremely grateful to Dom Vetri for inviting me to participate on the casebook. It took great generosity and confidence.

I have benefited from the work of too many Torts teacher-scholars to name. I do wish to thank Jane Aiken, Jody Armour, and Jean Love specifically for providing thoughts on ways to make this casebook more inclusive.

I am most grateful to my research assistants Shaun Edwards (McGeorge '12), Max Hellman (McGeorge '11), John Marchione (NYLS '11), Jo Mitchell (McGeorge '12), Cheri Reynolds (Lewis & Clark '12), and Therese Vradenburg (McGeorge '12) for their invaluable help with this edition. I remain indebted to my research assistants Poopak Banky (NYLS '07), Kurt Havens (NYLS '07), Matt Hooper (McGeorge '08), Morgan Kunz (NYLS '06), Lara Wallman (McGeorge '06), Margaret Broussard (McGeorge '02), Marianne Water-stradt (McGeorge '03), Amelia Sanders (McGeorge '02), and Michael Grosso (NYLS '03), who with tenacity and good humor contributed mightily to earlier editions. Also, I am beholden to R.K. Van Every for her assistance with this edition and to Denai Burbank and Paul Fuller for their help on prior editions.

Finally, I want to express my gratitude to the many students who make each class a new adventure.

Joan E. Vogel

I wish to acknowledge a special debt of gratitude that I owe to Lucinda Finley who recommended that I join this casebook in its Second Edition. I also want to thank all my research assistants and faculty secretaries for their invaluable assistance on the second and third editions of this casebook. I am grateful to Elijah LaChance and my faculty secretary Jennifer Lawrence, for the wonderful assistance they provided on the Fifth Edition. I am particularly grateful to Dean of Vermont Law School, Marc Mihaly and Vice Dean for Academic Affairs, Jackie Gardina, for the support I received for this project. I am also grateful to Lucinda Finely, Richard Delgado, Leslie Bender, Taunya Banks, Jean Love, Phoebe Haddon, Martha Chamallas, and Okianer C. Dark for helping me think about ways to integrate race, gender, sexual orientation, sexual identity, and class into the teaching of tort law. As always, I want to express my thanks to all my torts students for their invaluable feedback on the casebook.

Ibrahim J. Gassama

My deepest gratitude goes to Dom Vetri, Joan Vogel, and Lawrence Levine for the opportunity to participate in this outstanding labor of love. I am honored to be in their company. To the many students in my torts classes over the years who have never allowed me to leave a class uninspired, I want to say thank you. My thanks also go to Professors Hope Lewis, Leslie Harris, Michelle McKinley, and Keith Aoki, for their persistent encouragement and support over the years. My contribution to this text would have suffered greatly without the insights and commitment of my research assistants over the years, including Aaron Crockett, Kyle Ingram, Marissa Martinez, Colin R. Saint-Evens, and Courtney Leigh Pickus. I am indebted to my faculty support colleagues Debby Warren and Jennifer Kepka who provided exceptional editorial assistance.

Summary of Contents

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION TO TORT LAW	1
§ 1.01	INTRODUCTION	2
§ 1.02	ACCIDENTS IN THE UNITED STATES	3
§ 1.03	A BRIEF HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF TORT LAW	7
§ 1.04	THE CULPABILITY SPECTRUM IN TORT LAW	8
§ 1.05	THE FUNCTIONS AND GOALS OF NEGLIGENCE LAW	11
§ 1.06	HOT COFFEE AND CULPABILITY PROBLEMS	13
§ 1.07	OVERVIEW OF PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES	27
§ 1.08	THE TORT LAW LITIGATION PROCESS	30
Chapter 2	NEGLIGENCE LAW: BREACH OF DUTY	47
§ 2.01	OVERVIEW OF NEGLIGENCE LAW	48
§ 2.02	ANALYSIS OF THE ELEMENTS OF A NEGLIGENCE CASE	52
§ 2.03	THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	65
§ 2.04	THE REASONABLE PERSON	66
§ 2.05	DEVELOPING THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	89
§ 2.06	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	116
§ 2.07	PROOF OF NEGLIGENCE	142
§ 2.08	THE STANDARD OF CARE IN PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE	161
§ 2.09	PUTTING BREACH OF DUTY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	184
Chapter 3	DUTY	189
§ 3.01	GENERAL DUTY PRINCIPLE OF REASONABLE CARE	190
§ 3.02	LIMITED DUTY RULES	205
§ 3.03	PUTTING DUTY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	351
Chapter 4	CAUSATION	359
§ 4.01	THE CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF CAUSATION	360
§ 4.02	LOSS OF A CHANCE OF RECOVERY	400
§ 4.03	USING SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE CAUSATION	411
§ 4.04	PROVING WHO CAUSED THE HARM	428
§ 4.05	PUTTING CAUSATION TOGETHER	444
Chapter 5	SCOPE OF LIABILITY (PROXIMATE CAUSE)	447
§ 5.01	THE CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF SCOPE OF LIABILITY	448
§ 5.02	APPLICATIONS OF THE FORESIGHT RULE	452

Summary of Contents

§ 5.03	EXCEPTIONS TO THE FORESIGHT RULE	486
§ 5.04	FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING SCOPE OF LIABILITY	499
§ 5.05	PUTTING SCOPE OF LIABILITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	507
Chapter 6	DAMAGES	511
§ 6.01	PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES	512
§ 6.02	PAIN, SUFFERING, AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	529
§ 6.03	LOSS OF ENJOYMENT OF LIFE DAMAGES	533
§ 6.04	WRONGFUL DEATH	542
§ 6.05	RACIAL, GENDER, COHABITATION, & CLASS FAIRNESS IN TORT	551
§ 6.06	PUNITIVE DAMAGES	589
Chapter 7	DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES	605
§ 7.01	CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE	606
§ 7.02	COMPARATIVE FAULT	607
§ 7.03	ASSUMPTION OF RISK	623
§ 7.04	ANALYSIS UNDER DIFFERENT COMPARATIVE FAULT SYSTEMS	646
§ 7.05	STATUTES OF LIMITATION	652
§ 7.06	CHARITABLE IMMUNITY	666
§ 7.07	SPOUSAL IMMUNITY	667
§ 7.08	PARENTAL IMMUNITY	669
§ 7.09	GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY	675
Chapter 8	INTENTIONAL TORTS	685
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW OF INTENTIONAL TORTS	685
§ 8.02	ASSAULT, BATTERY, AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	686
§ 8.03	THE MEANING OF INTENT	695
§ 8.04	SHORT PROBLEMS ON BATTERY AND ASSAULT	711
§ 8.05	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS IN DISCRIMINATION CASES	715
§ 8.06	SHORT PRACTICE PROBLEMS ON INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	737
§ 8.07	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	740
§ 8.08	TRESPASS TO CHATTELS AND CONVERSION	749
§ 8.09	DEFENSES AND PRIVILEGES	757
§ 8.10	PUTTING INTENTIONAL TORT ANALYSIS TOGETHER	788

Summary of Contents

Chapter 9	TRESPASS TO LAND, NUISANCE, AND ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	797
§ 9.01	TRESPASS TO LAND	798
§ 9.02	NUISANCE	802
§ 9.03	ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	832
Chapter 10	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	849
§ 10.01	THE DEVELOPMENT OF STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY	850
§ 10.02	THE PRIMA FACIE CASE OF STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY	865
§ 10.03	DEFENSES	955
§ 10.04	PUTTING PRODUCTS LIABILITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	961
Chapter 11	DEFAMATION LAW	965
§ 11.01	OVERVIEW OF COMMON LAW DEFAMATION AND DEFENSES .	966
§ 11.02	THE “CONSTITUTIONALIZATION” OF DEFAMATION LAW: FIRST AMENDMENT PRIVILEGES	993
§ 11.03	CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVILEGES: PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND PUBLIC FIGURES	996
§ 11.04	CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVILEGES: PRIVATE PERSONS	1015
§ 11.05	DETERMINING STATUS	1038
§ 11.06	OPINION REVISITED	1047
§ 11.07	PUTTING DEFAMATION ANALYSIS TOGETHER	1049
Chapter 12	PRIVACY	1055
§ 12.01	INTRODUCTION TO PRIVACY	1056
§ 12.02	INTRUSION	1067
§ 12.03	PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF PRIVATE FACTS	1086
§ 12.04	FALSE LIGHT INVASION OF PRIVACY	1102
§ 12.05	APPROPRIATION	1115
§ 12.06	RIGHT OF PUBLICITY	1121
§ 12.07	PUTTING PRIVACY AND PUBLICITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER . .	1131

Table of Contents

<i>Dedications</i>	iii
<i>Introduction</i>	v
<i>Bookguide</i>	ix
<i>Permissions</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xv
<i>Summary of Contents</i>	xvii

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION TO TORT LAW	1
<hr/>		
PART I	2
§ 1.01	INTRODUCTION	2
§ 1.02	ACCIDENTS IN THE UNITED STATES	3
§ 1.03	A BRIEF HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF TORT LAW	7
§ 1.04	THE CULPABILITY SPECTRUM IN TORT LAW	8
[A]	Intended Harm: Intentional Torts	9
[B]	Unintended Harm	10
[1]	Negligence	10
[2]	Recklessness	10
[3]	Strict Liability (No Fault Liability)	11
§ 1.05	THE FUNCTIONS AND GOALS OF NEGLIGENCE LAW	11
[A]	Deterrence and Accident Prevention	12
[B]	Compensation	12
[C]	Avoidance of Undue Burdens on Economic Activities	12
[D]	Effective and Efficient Legal Process	13
[E]	Fairness	13
§ 1.06	HOT COFFEE AND CULPABILITY PROBLEMS	13
[A]	The Willful Misconduct Problem	14
[B]	The Actual McDonald’s Negligence (and Recklessness) Case	16
[C]	The No Fault (Strict Liability) Problem	23
[1]	Strict Liability	24
[2]	Compensation Systems	26
§ 1.07	OVERVIEW OF PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES	27
PART II	30
§ 1.08	THE TORT LAW LITIGATION PROCESS	30
[A]	Lawyer Consultation	31
[1]	Interview	31
[2]	Research	32
[3]	Preliminary Investigation	32
[4]	The Defense Lawyer’s Perspective	32
[B]	Pleadings	33

Table of Contents

[1]	Complaint	33
[2]	Answer and Counterclaims	33
[3]	Motions	33
[C]	Pre-Trial Procedure	34
[1]	Investigation	34
[2]	Discovery	35
[3]	Motions	36
[4]	Settlement Negotiations and Mediation	38
[5]	Pre-Trial Conference	38
[D]	Trial	39
[1]	Trial — Part 1	39
[2]	Trial — Part 2	41
[E]	Appeal	42
Chapter 2 NEGLIGENCE LAW: BREACH OF DUTY		47
§ 2.01	OVERVIEW OF NEGLIGENCE LAW	48
[A]	Outline of the Elements of a Negligence Case	49
[B]	Overview of the Elements of a Negligence Case	50
[1]	Duty	50
[2]	Breach of Duty	50
[3]	Causation (Cause-in-Fact)	50
[4]	Scope of Liability (Proximate Cause)	50
[5]	Damages	51
[C]	Defenses to a Negligence Case	51
[D]	Proving the Elements of a Negligence Case	51
§ 2.02	ANALYSIS OF THE ELEMENTS OF A NEGLIGENCE CASE	52
[A]	Analysis of a Negligence Case	52
	<i>Rudolph v. Arizona B.A.S.S. Federation</i>	53
	Notes & Questions	58
[B]	Putting the Analysis of a Negligence Case All Together	64
§ 2.03	THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	65
§ 2.04	THE REASONABLE PERSON	66
[A]	General Characteristics	66
	<i>Reed v. Tacoma Ry. & P. Co.</i>	67
	OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES, THE COMMON LAW	67
	Notes & Questions	68
[B]	Reasonable Men and Women	70
	Notes & Questions	71
[C]	Emergency	72
	<i>Foster v. Strutz</i>	72
	Notes & Questions	74

Table of Contents

[D]	Physically Different Characteristics	77
[E]	Mentally Disabled Individuals	77
	<i>Bashi v. Wodarz</i>	77
	Notes & Questions	80
[F]	Children	82
	<i>Robinson v. Lindsay</i>	83
	Notes & Questions	85
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	87
	Superior Skill Problem	88
§ 2.05	DEVELOPING THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	89
[A]	Balancing Risk vs. Untaken Precautions	89
	<i>United States v. Carroll Towing Co.</i>	89
	Notes & Questions	90
	Mark F. Grady, <i>Untaken Precautions</i>	96
	<i>McCarty v. Pheasant Run, Inc.</i>	98
	Notes & Questions	100
	The Missing Rearview Mirror Problem	103
	The Dangerous Bus Stop Problem	104
[B]	Role of Custom	106
	<i>Hagerman Construction, Inc. v. Copeland</i>	106
	Notes & Questions	107
	<i>Trimarco v. Klein</i>	107
	Notes & Questions	110
	<i>The T. J. Hooper</i>	113
	Notes & Questions	114
	The Careless Driver Problem	115
§ 2.06	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REASONABLE CARE STANDARD	116
[A]	Specific Judicial Standards	116
	<i>Baltimore & Ohio R.R. Co. v. Goodman</i>	117
	<i>Pokora v. Wabash Ry. Co.</i>	117
	Notes & Questions	120
[B]	Safety Statutes and Regulations as Standards	121
	<i>Ferrell v. Baxter</i>	122
	Notes & Questions	126
	<i>Wright v. Brown</i>	129
	Notes & Questions	131
[1]	Relationship of Statutory Standards to the Reasonable Care Standard	132
[2]	The Role of Excuses	133
	The Headlights Problem	136
[3]	Negligence Per Se vs. Child Standard of Care	137

Table of Contents

	<i>Bauman v. Crawford</i>	138
	Notes & Questions	141
	Childproof Cap Problem	142
	Keys in Ignition Problem	142
§ 2.07	PROOF OF NEGLIGENCE	142
[A]	Circumstantial Proof	143
	<i>Clark v. Kmart Corp.</i>	143
	Circumstantial Evidence in Negligence	145
	Notes & Questions	146
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	146
[B]	Res Ipsa Loquitur	147
	<i>Byrne v. Boadle</i>	147
	Notes & Questions	148
	<i>Eaton v. Eaton</i>	149
	Notes & Questions	150
[1]	The Defendant’s Responsibility — The “Control” Element	152
	Notes & Questions	154
	<i>Ybarra v. Spangard</i>	155
	Notes & Questions	158
	The Falling Steer Problem	160
	The Rolling Car Problem	161
§ 2.08	THE STANDARD OF CARE IN PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE	161
[A]	Negligent Medical Performance	162
	<i>Smith v. Finch</i>	162
	Notes & Questions	164
[B]	The Doctrine of Informed Consent	171
	<i>Phillips v. Hull</i>	171
	Notes & Questions	175
	Medical Malpractice Problem	178
[C]	Legal Malpractice and the Liability of Other Professionals	179
	<i>Smith v. Lewis</i>	179
	Notes & Questions	182
§ 2.09	PUTTING BREACH OF DUTY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	184
	The Glass Patio Door Problem	184
	Electroshock Therapy Problem	186
	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	186
	Accomplishment Note	187
Chapter 3	DUTY	189
§ 3.01	GENERAL DUTY PRINCIPLE OF REASONABLE CARE	190
	<i>MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co.</i>	192

Table of Contents

	Notes & Questions	197
	<i>A.W. v. Lancaster County School District</i>	200
	Notes & Questions	202
	Texting While Driving Problem	204
§ 3.02	LIMITED DUTY RULES	205
[A]	Owners and Occupiers of Land	205
	<i>American Industries Life Insurance Co. v. Ruvalcaba</i>	205
	Notes & Questions	212
	Note on Child Visitors	214
	<i>Rowland v. Christian</i>	216
	Notes & Questions	221
	Duty Analysis Note	223
	Restatement 3d Problem	226
	Ice Caves Mountain Problem	226
[B]	Limited Duties to Act Affirmatively to Prevent Harm	227
[1]	To Assist or Rescue	227
	<i>Yania v. Bigan</i>	230
	<i>Farwell v. Keaton</i>	231
	Notes & Questions	236
	Duty to Act Reform Statute Drafting Problem	242
[2]	To Take Protective Measures Against Risks Posed by Third Persons	243
	<i>Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California</i>	243
	<i>Estates of Morgan v. Fairfield Family Counseling Ctr.</i>	249
	<i>Dunkle v. Food Service East</i>	253
	Notes & Questions	254
	Note on Abuse Reporting Statutes as a Source of Duty	260
[3]	To Protect Against Criminal Conduct	260
	<i>Delta Tau Delta v. Johnson</i>	260
	Notes & Questions	265
	Note on Duty of Gun Manufacturers to Protect Against Gun Violence	268
	Note on Duty of Alcohol Providers	270
	Note on Negligent Entrustment	271
	Notes and Questions	271
[4]	Public Duty Doctrine	273
	<i>Cuffy v. City of New York</i>	273
	Notes & Questions	277
	The Designated Driver Problem	280
	Problem: Who Shot Arnold Schuster?	281
	The Involuntary Police Decoy Problem	282

Table of Contents

	Ethics Note — Advocate’s Role	282
[C]	Limited Duties Regarding the Type of Harm	283
[1]	Emotional Distress Injuries	283
[a]	Persons Subject to Direct Physical Risk	285
	<i>Mitchell v. Rochester Ry. Co.</i>	285
[b]	Bystander Emotional Harm — Persons Outside the Zone of Danger	286
	<i>Clohessy v. Bachelor</i>	287
	Notes & Questions	293
[c]	Independent Duty for Emotional Well-Being	299
	<i>Burgess v. Superior Court</i>	301
	<i>Huggins v. Longs Drug Stores California, Inc.</i>	305
	Notes & Questions	307
	<i>Boyles v. Kerr</i>	310
	Notes & Questions	311
[d]	Duty to Protect Against Fear of Future Disease	314
	<i>Majca v. Beekil</i>	314
	Notes & Questions	316
	Fear Resulting from Misdiagnosis Problem	319
	Fertility Problem	320
[2]	Pre-Natal Torts	320
	<i>Greco v. United States</i>	321
	Notes & Questions	327
[3]	Consortium Loss	331
[4]	Pure Economic Loss	336
	<i>People Express Airlines, Inc. v. Consolidated Rail Corp.</i>	337
	Notes & Questions	342
	Note on the Source of Law Making Role of Courts in the Common Law System	347
§ 3.03	PUTTING DUTY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	351
[A]	Duty Analysis Framework	351
[B]	Duty Practice Problems	355
	Pumping Gas to Drunks Problem	355
	Physician Liability to Third Parties Problem	355
	Criminal Attack on Delivery Person Problem	356
	The Fierce Doberman Problem	357
	Accomplishment Note	357
Chapter 4	CAUSATION	359
§ 4.01	THE CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF CAUSATION	360
[A]	But For Causation	360

Table of Contents

	<i>Sowles v. Moore</i>	360
	<i>New York Central R.R. Co. v. Grimstad</i>	361
	Notes & Questions	362
[B]	The Substantial Factor Test	362
	<i>Corey v. Havener</i>	363
	<i>Smith v. J. C. Penney Co., Inc.</i>	364
	Notes & Questions	364
[C]	Proof of Causation	366
[1]	Cumulating Proof to Identify the Cause	366
	<i>Ingersoll v. Liberty Bank of Buffalo</i>	366
	Clarence Morris & C. Robert Morris, Jr., <i>Proving Causation</i> <i>Note</i>	369
	Notes & Questions	372
[2]	Untaken Precautions: Proving the Counterfactual	374
	<i>Zuchowicz v. United States</i>	374
	<i>Williams v. Utica College</i>	377
	Notes & Questions	385
	<i>Wex S. Malone, Ruminations on Cause-in-Fact</i>	389
[3]	Multiple Parties: Apportionment of Damages or Joint Liability	391
	<i>Fugere v. Pierce</i>	391
	Notes & Questions	394
	Note on Joint and Several Liability	395
	Notes & Questions	398
	Ethics Note Lying in Negotiations	399
§ 4.02	LOSS OF A CHANCE OF RECOVERY	400
	<i>Matsuyama v. Birnbaum</i>	400
	Notes & Questions	409
§ 4.03	USING SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE CAUSATION	411
	Note on Scientific Evidence to Prove Causation	411
	Note on the <i>Daubert</i> Trilogy	414
	<i>Globetti v. Sandoz Pharmaceuticals Corp.</i>	415
	Notes & Questions	423
§ 4.04	PROVING WHO CAUSED THE HARM	428
[A]	Alternative Liability	428
	<i>Summers v. Tice</i>	428
	Notes & Questions	430
[B]	Market Share Liability	431
[1]	Market Share Theory	431
	<i>Hymowitz v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i>	431
	Notes & Questions	437
[2]	Application of Market Share Theory to Residential Lead Paint	

Table of Contents

	Problem	439
	<i>Brenner v. American Cyanamid Co.</i>	440
	Notes & Questions	441
§ 4.05	PUTTING CAUSATION TOGETHER	444
	The Newspaper Wire Problem	444
	Accomplishment Note	445
Chapter 5	SCOPE OF LIABILITY (PROXIMATE CAUSE)	447
§ 5.01	THE CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF SCOPE OF LIABILITY	448
[A]	The Direct Consequences Test	449
[B]	The Foresight Test	450
§ 5.02	APPLICATIONS OF THE FORESIGHT RULE	452
[A]	Unforeseeable Plaintiffs	452
	<i>Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R. Co.</i>	452
	Notes & Questions	456
[B]	Unforeseeable Consequences	459
	<i>Juisti v. Hyatt Hotel Corp. of Maryland</i>	459
	Notes & Questions	461
	Clarence Morris & C. Robert Morris, Jr., <i>Note on Lawyer Advocacy</i> <i>on Scope of Liability Issues</i>	464
	Notes & Questions	466
	Reconciling Foresight in the Breach and Scope Elements	466
[C]	Intervening Forces	469
[1]	Criminal Conduct of a Third Person	469
	<i>McClenahan v. Cooley</i>	469
	Notes & Questions	472
	<i>Price v. Blaine Kern Artista, Inc.</i>	473
	Notes & Questions	474
[2]	Shifting Responsibility Issue	474
	<i>McLaughlin v. Mine Safety Appliances Co.</i>	475
	Notes & Questions	480
	<i>Bigbee v. Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co.</i>	482
	Notes & Questions	485
§ 5.03	EXCEPTIONS TO THE FORESIGHT RULE	486
[A]	The Medical Malpractice Complications Rule	486
	<i>Association for Retarded Citizens-Volusia v. Fletcher</i>	486
	Notes & Questions	489
[B]	The Eggshell [Thin-Skulled] Plaintiff Rule	490
	<i>Pace v. Ohio Dep't. of Transp.</i>	490
	Notes & Questions	493
[C]	The Rescuer Rule	494

Table of Contents

	<i>Sears v. Morrison</i>	494
	Notes & Questions	495
§ 5.04	FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING SCOPE OF LIABILITY	499
[A]	Foresight Analysis	499
[1]	Unforeseeable Plaintiffs and Unforeseeable Consequences	499
[2]	Intervening Forces and Shifting Responsibility	500
[B]	Existing Exceptions to the Foresight Test	501
	Sampling of Cases on Scope of Liability	502
	Legal Advocacy Problem	503
§ 5.05	PUTTING SCOPE OF LIABILITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	507
	Accomplishment Note	509
Chapter 6	DAMAGES	511
§ 6.01	PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES	512
	<i>Calva-Cerqueira v. United States</i>	515
	Notes & Questions	522
§ 6.02	PAIN, SUFFERING, AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	529
§ 6.03	LOSS OF ENJOYMENT OF LIFE DAMAGES	533
	<i>McDougald v. Garber</i>	534
	Notes & Questions	540
§ 6.04	WRONGFUL DEATH	542
	California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.20 — Survival Statute	543
	California Code of Civil Procedure § 377.60 — Wrongful Death Statute ..	544
	<i>Krouse v. Graham</i>	544
	Notes & Questions	546
§ 6.05	RACIAL, GENDER, COHABITATION, & CLASS FAIRNESS IN TORT	551
[A]	Racial Fairness in Tort Damages	554
	<i>McMillan v. The City of New York</i>	554
	Notes & Questions	559
	Note on Racial Bias in Personal Injury Damages Awards	563
[B]	Gender Fairness in Tort Damages	564
	<i>Gray v. Macklin</i> ,	567
	Notes & Questions	569
	<i>Reilly v. United States</i>	571
	Notes & Questions	572
	Gender Fairness in Tort Damages Problems	577
[C]	Cohabitation Status Fairness in Tort	577
	<i>Smith v. Bell Sports, Inc.</i>	578
	<i>Sykes v. Propane Power Corp.</i>	580
	Note on Cohabitation and the Law	583

Table of Contents

	Notes & Questions	585
	Cohabitation Wrongful Death Reform Problem	587
[D]	Class Status Fairness in Tort Damages	587
	Notes & Questions	587
§ 6.06	PUNITIVE DAMAGES	589
[A]	Punitive Damages Under State Tort Law	590
	<i>Mathias v. Accor Economy Lodging, Inc.</i>	590
	Notes & Questions	594
[B]	Constitutional Protections Against Excessive Punitive Damage	
	Awards	596
	<i>State Farm Mutual Auto, Ins. Co. v. Campbell</i>	596
	Notes & Questions	602
	Accomplishment Note	604
Chapter 7	DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES	605
§ 7.01	CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE	606
§ 7.02	COMPARATIVE FAULT	607
[A]	The Basic Policy	607
	<i>Hoffman v. Jones</i>	608
	Notes & Questions	611
[B]	Factors in Assigning Percentages of Fault	613
	<i>Wassell v. Adams</i>	613
	Notes & Questions	617
§ 7.03	ASSUMPTION OF RISK	623
[A]	Express Assumption of Risk	623
	Notes & Questions	624
	Whitewater Trip Waiver Problem	626
[B]	Implied Assumption of Risk	627
	<i>Bowen v. Cochran</i>	627
	Notes & Questions	631
	<i>Murray v. Ramada Inns, Inc.</i>	632
	Notes & Questions	638
[C]	Primary Assumption of Risk — Limited Duty	640
	<i>Cheong v. Antablin</i>	640
	Notes & Questions	644
[D]	Review Problems	646
	The Ultralight Aircraft Problem	646
	The Flopper Problem	646
§ 7.04	ANALYSIS UNDER DIFFERENT COMPARATIVE FAULT SYSTEMS	646
[A]	Comparative Fault Problems — Simple Two-Party Cases	649

Table of Contents

[B]	Set-Off	650
[C]	Comparative Fault Problems — Multiple Parties and Multiple Claims	651
§ 7.05	STATUTES OF LIMITATION	652
	<i>Gaston v. Parsons</i>	655
	Notes & Questions	662
§ 7.06	CHARITABLE IMMUNITY	666
§ 7.07	SPOUSAL IMMUNITY	667
	The Changing Law Problem	668
§ 7.08	PARENTAL IMMUNITY	669
	Notes & Questions	673
§ 7.09	GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY	675
	<i>Harry Stoller & Co., Inc. v. City of Lowell</i>	676
	Notes & Questions	680
	Discretionary Function Problems	682
	Ethics Note — Conflict Of Interest	683
	Accomplishment Note	683
Chapter 8	INTENTIONAL TORTS	685
§ 8.01	OVERVIEW OF INTENTIONAL TORTS	685
§ 8.02	ASSAULT, BATTERY, AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	686
	<i>Dickens v. Puryear</i>	688
	Notes & Questions	695
§ 8.03	THE MEANING OF INTENT	695
	<i>White v. Muniz</i>	695
	<i>Villa v. Derouen</i>	697
	Notes & Questions	701
	<i>Doe v. Johnson</i>	704
	Notes & Questions	706
	<i>Leichtman v. WLW Jacor Communications, Inc.</i>	707
	Notes & Questions	708
	<i>Hall v. McBryde</i>	708
	Notes & Questions	709
	<i>Vetter v. Morgan</i>	709
	Notes & Questions	710
§ 8.04	SHORT PROBLEMS ON BATTERY AND ASSAULT	711
	Battery Problems	711
	Assault Problems	713
§ 8.05	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS IN DISCRIMINATION CASES	715
	<i>Brandon v. County of Richardson</i>	716

Table of Contents

	Notes & Questions	722
	<i>Alcorn v. Ambro Engineering, Inc.</i>	727
	<i>Swenson v. Northern Crop Insurance, Inc.</i>	729
	<i>Graham v. Guilderland Central School District</i>	731
	Notes & Questions	733
§ 8.06	SHORT PRACTICE PROBLEMS ON INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS	737
	The Destroyed Wedding Cake Problem	737
§ 8.07	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	740
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores v Cockrell</i>	741
	Notes & Questions	745
	False Imprisonment Problems	746
§ 8.08	TRESPASS TO CHATTELS AND CONVERSION	749
	<i>United States v. Arora</i>	749
	Notes & Questions	753
§ 8.09	DEFENSES AND PRIVILEGES	757
[A]	Consent	757
	<i>Hogan v. Tavzel</i>	757
	<i>Hellriegel v. Tholl</i>	759
	<i>Reavis v. Slominski</i>	761
	Notes & Questions	765
	Consent Problems	766
[B]	Self-Defense and Defense of Others	768
	<i>Bradley v. Hunter</i>	768
	<i>Juarez-Martinez v. Deans</i>	770
	Notes & Questions	773
	Self-Defense and Defense of Others Problems	775
[C]	Defense of Property	777
	<i>Katko v. Briney</i>	777
	Notes & Questions	780
[D]	Necessity	781
	<i>Rossi v. Del Duca</i>	781
	<i>Vincent v. Lake Erie Transp. Co.</i>	782
	<i>Eilers v. Coy</i>	784
	Notes & Questions	786
§ 8.10	PUTTING INTENTIONAL TORT ANALYSIS TOGETHER	788
	Short Review Problems	788
	University Mascot Problem	789
	Spousal Abuse Problem	791

Table of Contents

Chapter 9	TRESPASS TO LAND, NUISANCE, AND ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	797
§ 9.01	TRESPASS TO LAND	798
	<i>Creel v. Crim</i>	798
	Notes & Questions	800
§ 9.02	NUISANCE	802
[A]	Private Nuisance	802
	<i>Prah v. Maretti</i>	802
	Notes & Questions	809
	<i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co.</i>	811
	Notes & Questions	816
[B]	Overlap of Trespass and Private Nuisance	818
	<i>Bradley v. Am. Smelting & Ref. Co.</i>	818
	Notes & Questions	822
[C]	Public Nuisance	823
	<i>Pennsylvania Soc. for the Prevention of Cruelty To Animals v. Bravo Enterprises, Inc.</i>	823
	Notes & Questions	830
§ 9.03	ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	832
	An Overview of Strict Liability	832
	<i>Klein v. Pyrodyne Corp.</i>	835
	Notes & Questions	841
	Transporting Gasoline Problem	847
	Accomplishment Note	848
Chapter 10	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	849
§ 10.01	THE DEVELOPMENT OF STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY	850
[A]	Historical Background	850
	<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co.</i>	853
	Notes & Questions	855
	<i>Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc.</i>	856
	Notes & Questions	858
[B]	Restatement (Second) on Products Liability § 402A (1964)	859
	Notes & Questions	860
[C]	Types of Product Defects	861
[1]	Manufacturing Defects	862
[2]	Design Defects	862
[3]	Warning and Instruction Defects	863
[D]	Restatement (3d) on Products Liability (1998)	863
	Restatement (3d) on Products Liability (1998) Sections 1–2	863
	Notes & Questions	864

Table of Contents

§ 10.02	THE PRIMA FACIE CASE OF STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY	865
[A]	Proper Parties and Transactions Subject to Strict Liability	865
[B]	Defectiveness	866
[1]	Manufacturing Defects	866
[a]	Deviation from Design Specifications	866
	<i>Welge v. Planters Lifesavers Co. Welge v. Planters Lifesavers</i> <i>Co.</i>	866
	Notes & Questions	870
[2]	Design Defects	871
[a]	The Ordinary Consumer Expectations Test	872
	<i>Leichtamer v. American Motors Corp.</i>	872
	Notes & Questions	876
	<i>Campbell v. General Motors Corp.</i>	879
	Notes & Questions	880
	<i>Floyd v. Bic Corp.</i>	880
	Notes & Questions	881
[b]	The Risk-Utility Test	884
	<i>Valk Manufacturing Co. v. Rangaswamy</i>	884
	Notes & Questions	888
	Note on Hindsight vs. Foresight of Risks	892
	Notes & Questions	894
	<i>Vautour v. Body Masters Sports Industries</i>	895
	Notes & Questions	897
[c]	The Two-Pronged Test for Design Defect	899
	Notes & Questions	902
	Ethics Note — Confidentiality of Settlements	903
[d]	Design Defects from a Proof Perspective	904
	<i>Soule v. General Motors Corp.</i>	906
	Notes & Questions	911
	<i>McCathern v. Toyota Motor Corp.</i>	911
	Notes & Questions	914
[3]	Warning and Product Information Defects	919
[a]	Warning Defect Considerations	919
	<i>Nowak v. Faberge U.S.A., Inc.</i>	919
	Notes & Questions	924
	<i>Ramirez v. Plough, Inc.</i>	927
	Notes & Questions	931
[b]	Post-Sale Warnings	935
	<i>Lovick v. Wil-Rich</i>	935
	Notes & Questions	938
[c]	Prescription Drug Issues	939

Table of Contents

	Notes & Questions	941
	<i>Bryant v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc.</i>	944
	Notes & Questions	947
[C]	Causation	949
[1]	Generally	949
[2]	Market Share Liability	950
[3]	Successor Corporation Liability	950
[D]	Scope of Liability	951
[E]	Damages	951
[1]	Recovery of Economic Losses	952
	Notes & Questions	953
§ 10.03	DEFENSES	955
	<i>Whitehead v. Toyota Motor Corporation</i>	955
	Notes & Questions	958
§ 10.04	PUTTING PRODUCTS LIABILITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	961
	Drawstring Sweatshirt Problem	962
	Household Cleaner Injury Problem	962
	Folding Chair Problem	963
	Scented Candle Problem	963
	Baby Cushion Problem	963
	Accomplishment Note	964
Chapter 11	DEFAMATION LAW	965
§ 11.01	OVERVIEW OF COMMON LAW DEFAMATION AND DEFENSES	966
[A]	Defamatory Statements	968
[1]	Defamatory Meaning	968
[a]	Opinion	970
[2]	Defamatory to Whom?	971
[3]	Manner of Communication	972
[4]	Interpretation of the Communication	973
[a]	Meaning and Context	973
[5]	Pleading Issues	973
[a]	Use of Extrinsic Facts — Inducement and Innuendo	973
[b]	Identifying the Plaintiff — Colloquium	974
[6]	Group Libel	974
[B]	Publication (Communicating the Defamation)	975
[C]	Falsity	976
[D]	Causation	976
[E]	Harm (Damages)	976
	<i>Zeran v. Diamond Broad., Inc.</i>	977
	Notes & Questions	980

Table of Contents

[F]	Defenses/Privileges	985
[1]	Truth	985
[2]	Absolute Privileges	986
[3]	Qualified Privileges	987
[a]	Statements Made to Protect One’s Own Interests	987
[b]	Statements Made to Protect the Interests of the Recipient or a Third Party	987
[c]	Statements Made to Protect Common Interests	987
[d]	Fair Comment Privilege	988
[e]	Fair and Accurate Report Privilege (and Privilege of Neutral Reportage)	988
[4]	Retractions	990
[5]	Statutes of Limitation	990
[6]	Mitigation of Damages	990
[G]	Courthouse Defamation Overview Problem	991
	Questions for Courthouse Defamation Problem	992
§ 11.02	THE “CONSTITUTIONALIZATION” OF DEFAMATION LAW: FIRST AMENDMENT PRIVILEGES	993
[A]	Reputational Values vs. Free Speech Values	994
[1]	Reputational Values	994
[2]	Free Speech Values	995
§ 11.03	CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVILEGES: PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND PUBLIC FIGURES	996
	<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i>	996
	Notes & Questions	1007
	Analysis of Public Official and Public Figure Cases	1010
§ 11.04	CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVILEGES: PRIVATE PERSONS	1015
[A]	Matters of Public Concern	1015
	<i>Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.</i>	1015
	Notes & Questions	1023
[B]	Matters Not of Public Concern	1025
	<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>	1025
	Notes & Questions	1033
	<i>Snead v. Redland Aggregates</i>	1036
	Notes & Questions	1037
§ 11.05	DETERMINING STATUS	1038
	<i>Lohrenz v. Donnelly</i>	1038
	Notes & Questions	1043
§ 11.06	OPINION REVISITED	1047
	Notes & Questions	1047
§ 11.07	PUTTING DEFAMATION ANALYSIS TOGETHER	1049
	The Gossip Columnist Defamation Problem	1049

Table of Contents

	The Kinkajous Defamation Problem	1050
	The Former Secretary of State Problem	1053
	Animal Rights Problem	1053
	Defamation Culpability Problems	1054
Chapter 12	PRIVACY	1055
§ 12.01	INTRODUCTION TO PRIVACY	1056
[A]	Statutory Protection	1057
[B]	Constitutional Protection	1060
[C]	Common Law Protection	1063
[D]	Freedom of Speech and Press	1067
	Note on Internet Resources on Privacy	1067
§ 12.02	INTRUSION	1067
	<i>Stengart v. Loving Care Agency, Inc.</i>	1067
	Notes & Questions	1072
	<i>Garrity v. John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co.</i>	1074
	<i>Hernandez v. Hillslides, Inc.</i>	1076
	Notes & Questions	1082
§ 12.03	PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OF PRIVATE FACTS	1086
	<i>Yath v. Fairview Clinics, N.P.</i>	1086
	Notes & Questions	1088
	<i>Haynes v. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc.</i>	1092
	Notes & Questions	1099
§ 12.04	FALSE LIGHT INVASION OF PRIVACY	1102
	<i>Crump v. Beckley Newspapers, Inc.</i>	1102
	Notes & Questions	1111
§ 12.05	APPROPRIATION	1115
	<i>Joe Dickerson & Associates, LLC v. Dittmar</i>	1115
	Notes & Questions	1119
§ 12.06	RIGHT OF PUBLICITY	1121
	<i>Lugosi v. Universal Pictures</i>	1122
	Notes & Questions	1127
§ 12.07	PUTTING PRIVACY AND PUBLICITY ANALYSIS TOGETHER	1131
	Air Crash Interview Problem	1131
	Targeting Pregnant Shoppers Problem	1131
	The Boastful Mayor Problem	1131
	The Revenge Pornography Problem	1132
	Absolut Marilyn Problem	1132
	Selling Celebrities' Former Homes Problem	1132
	The Sexual Harassment Problem	1132
	Accomplishment Note	1134

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CASES **TC-1**

INDEX **I-1**

Table of Problems

Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION TO TORT LAW	1
	The Coffee and Culpability Problems	13
	The Willful Misconduct Hypothetical	14
	The Actual McDonald's Negligence Case	16
	The No-Fault (Strict Liability) Hypothetical	23
Chapter 2	NEGLIGENCE LAW: BREACH OF DUTY	47
	Superior Skill Problem	88
	Emergency Problem	76
	The Missing Rearview Mirror Problem	103
	The Dangerous Bus Stop Problem	104
	The Careless Driver Problem	115
	The Headlights Problem	136
	Childproof Cap Problem	142
	Keys in Ignition Problem	142
	The Falling Steer Problem	160
	The Rolling Car Problem	161
	Medical Malpractice Problem	178
	The Glass Patio Door Problem	184
	Electroshock Therapy Problem	186
Chapter 3	DUTY	189
	Texting While Driving Problem	204
	Restatement (Third) Problem	226
	Ice Caves Mountain Problem	226
	Duty to Act Reform Statute Drafting Problem	242
	The Designated Driver Problem	280
	Who Shot Arnold Shuster? Problem	281
	The Involuntary Police Decoy Problem	282
	Fear Resulting from Misdiagnosis Problem	319
	Fertility Problem	320
	Pumping Gas to Drunks Problem	355
	Physician Liability to Third Parties Problem	355
	Criminal Attack on Delivery Person Problem	356
	The Fierce Doberman Problem	357
Chapter 4	CAUSATION	359
	Bad Brakes Problem	398
	Gallstone Diagnosis Problem	399
	Candlewatt Power Problem	399
	The Newspaper Wire Problem	444

Table of Problems

Chapter 5	SCOPE OF LIABILITY (PROXIMATE CAUSE)	447
	Peripatetic Rat Problem	468
	Legal Advocacy Problem	503
	Oil Spill Tragedy Problem	507
	Cat in the Hat Disaster	507
	Exam Drafting Problem	508
Chapter 6	DAMAGES	511
Chapter 7	DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES	605
	Whitewater Trip Waiver Problem	626
	The Ultralight Aircraft Accident Problem	646
	The Flopper Problem	646
	Comparative Fault Problems — Simple Two Party Cases	649
	The Changing Law Problem	668
	Parent-Child Immunity Problems	674
Chapter 8	INTENTIONAL TORTS	685
	Battery Problems	711
	Assault Problems	713
	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Harm Problems	737
	The Destroyed Wedding Cake Problem	740
	False Imprisonment Problems	746
	Conversion Problem	754
	Cyberspace Problem	757
	Consent Problems	766
	Self-Defense and Defense of Others Problems	775
	Intentional Tort Review Problems 1–3	788
	University Mascot Problem	789
	Spousal Abuse Problem	791
Chapter 9	TRESPASS TO LAND, NUISANCE, AND ABNORMALLY DANGEROUS ACTIVITIES	797
	Trespass to Land: Short Problems	801
	Transporting Gasoline Problem	847
Chapter 10	PRODUCTS LIABILITY	849
	Drawstring Sweatshirt Problem	962
	Household Cleaner Injury Problem	962
	Folding Chair Problem	963
	Scented Candle Problem	963
	Baby Cushion Problem	963
Chapter 11	DEFAMATION LAW	965
	Pleading Problem	975
	Courthouse Defamation Overview Problem	991

Table of Problems

The Gossip Columnist Defamation Problem	1049
The Kinkajous Defamation Problem	1050
The Former Secretary of State Problem	1053
Animal Rights Problem	1053
Defamation Culpability Problems	1054
Chapter 12	
PRIVACY	1055
Air Crash Interview Problem	1131
Targeting Pregnant Shoppers Problems	1131
The Boastful Mayor Problem	1131
The Revenge Pornography Problem	1132
Absolut Marilyn Problem	1132
Selling Celebrities' Former Homes Problem	1132
The Sexual Harassment Problem	1132

