

Index

A	aspirations 108-9
ABS 98	assignments 77, 113-14, 116
Academic Performance and Semesters Completed	association 28-9, 34-5, 54, 76-7, 98-9
73, 75, 77, 79, 81, 83, 85	Astin 74, 76, 81, 83
academic self-efficacy	attitudes 5, 23, 29-30, 45, 81, 83, 93-4
developed 61	attributes 70, 98-100, 107
developing 57-8	attrition rates 29, 53, 63, 84
greater 59	Australian Higher Education 32, 42, 85, 96
heightened 34	Australian Psychological Society (APS) 5, 7-8
levels of 39, 81	Australian Universities 53
lower perceived 75	Australia's university sector 30
measure of 75	avoidance-oriented coping style scores 18
reported heightened 38	• • •
academic self-efficacy (ASE) 14, 33-4, 41, 43, 51,	В
53-63, 65, 67, 73, 75-6, 81, 84, 87, 89, 92-4	Bachelor of Psychology 7-8
Academic Self-Efficacy and Trait Hope Scales 92	Bandura 43, 51, 75-6, 81, 83, 89, 95, 99, 108
academic self-efficacy beliefs account 75	Bar-Tal & Bar-Zohar 88
Academic Self-Efficacy Hope 92	Barrie & Ginns 44
Academic Self-Efficacy Scale 70, 91, 94	Bean 74, 83
academic self-efficacy skills 14	behaviours 17-18, 22-4, 28, 30, 34-5, 39, 41, 43,
accumulating effect 62	49-50, 54-5, 61, 88-9, 93, 99, 112-13, 115
Acknowledgements 9	planning 24
Active Learning in Higher Education 31, 41, 63	ratings of perceived effectiveness of 19, 31, 42,
Adjusted R2 102-3	51,64
adjustment 41, 73, 84, 86	Behaviours Assist in Achieving Desired Grades
affecting university students 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63,	Questionnaire 17-18, 49-50
65, 67	being 11, 13, 21, 44-5, 50, 62, 70, 75, 81, 97-101,
age 13, 17, 46, 50, 53, 61-2, 69, 75, 98, 111	103, 105-7
age differences 45, 48	beliefs 41, 43, 49, 83, 89
age groups 45-6, 48	personal 34
Age Groups on Self-Efficacy 46	Ben-Zur 24, 28, 30, 53, 61, 63
Aitken 34, 40-1	beta 58-9 Pers 27 38 102 3
Alcantara 98, 105-6, 108	Beta 27, 38, 102-3
Allen 73, 75-6, 81, 83, 85	predictors beta 38, 58 predictors beta beta 59-60
alpha 17-19	Bivariate Correlations for Key Variables 26
alpha coefficients 18, 62	BLOCS 100, 105
American Psychological Association 5 Ames & Archer 22-4, 28-9, 34, 40	book 9, 11, 13
amount 28-9, 34, 39, 44-5, 74	boxes 19, 50, 111-12, 115-16
Anastasi 29-30, 81, 83	Bradley Review 82, 87, 90
ANOVA 46	Bridges 70-1
answer 18, 48, 50, 82, 100, 111, 115	Britton & Tessa 54
anxiety 23, 28, 32, 64	Britton & Tesser 17, 24, 29
AOCS 47	
Appendix 13, 17, 69, 111, 119	C.
Appendix AEthics Number 113, 115, 117	Cairns 7-8, 119
Appendix BEthics Approval Number 121, 123,	care 69, 98, 111
125, 127, 129, 131, 133, 135, 137	Carer and Employment Status 101
appraisals 31, 54-5, 63	carers 8, 98-101, 103, 105-6, 108
APS see Australian Psychological Society	dual role student 106
Armeli 23-4, 30-1	caring 14, 69, 98-101, 103-6, 109, 111
ASE see academic self-efficacy	caring responsibilities 69, 97-101, 104-8

Carla-Renee Sherwood 7, 9, 13, 21	contributors 7, 9, 13, 90
Carver 70-1, 88, 93, 95, 99, 108	control 14, 61-2, 69-70, 83-4, 87-93, 95-6, 98-
categories 53, 100	102, 108-9
ceasing 98-9	control characteristics 88-9, 93
challenges 11, 23, 73, 81	control orientation 99-103, 105, 107
Change 38, 51, 58-60, 62, 83, 85	external social locus of 97, 100-2, 105-7
Cheavens 88-9, 91, 95	internal locus of 98-9, 101-2, 105
Chemers 34, 40-1, 77, 81, 84	locus of 100-3, 105
Cheng 98, 105-6, 108	control orientation scales 99, 105
Cheng & Alcantara 99	control orientation subscales 100
Chicago 63, 86	locus of 100, 102
Chicago Press 86	control orientation subscales scores 100
Child Protection 8	Control Orientation Variables 102
children 5, 7-8, 14, 49, 69, 97-9, 106, 108-9, 111	control scale 69, 88, 91, 100, 108
Claessens 24, 28, 30, 54, 61, 63	browns locus of 70, 95
classes 44-5, 91, 113-14, 118	control scores 88
classroom 30, 41-2, 51, 62, 74	control stressors, low 23, 29
Cohen 24, 30, 53-4, 57, 61, 63	coping 5, 13-14, 17-18, 23-4, 27-31, 43, 46-9, 53-
college 42, 71, 75-6, 81, 83-6, 96	4, 57, 63, 84, 116
College & University 52, 86	avoidant-oriented 45, 48
college outcomes 43, 48-50, 75, 85	emotion-oriented 18, 46, 48
college self-efficacy inventory 51	coping behaviours, avoidance-oriented 18, 48
College Student Journal 63-4, 85	coping services 44
college student retention 51, 83, 85-6	coping strategies 44, 46, 50, 56-7, 60-1, 63
college students 30-1, 63-4, 75, 98-9, 105	coping styles 14, 18-19, 21-3, 27, 29-30, 32, 43,
Combined Impact 43, 45, 47, 49, 51	45-7, 49-54, 60, 74
community 7	avoidance-oriented 45-7
competencies 14, 19, 27, 29, 37-9, 44, 49	task-oriented 24, 27-30
developing 36, 39, 49	Coping with School-related Stress Questionnaire see
development of 25-7, 35-9, 45-9	ČSSQ
complain 117-18	correlational strengths 57, 59
completion 11, 50, 78-80, 82-3, 107	correlations 26, 31, 37, 46-7, 92
completion of degree 14, 73, 77	observed 75
years to 79-80, 82	credits 19, 56, 70, 115
components 17, 22	Cronbach alpha 78, 91
confidence 18, 39, 54-5, 58, 62, 70, 77, 80-1, 89,	Cronbach alpha coefficients 18
91, 93-4, 97, 99-107, 112	CSSQ (Coping with School-related Stress
academic 55, 64, 70	Questionnaire) 17-18, 43, 48, 54
level of 39, 112	CT 83, 85-6
little 112	Cullen 98, 108
confident 18, 70, 77, 88, 93, 99, 106	cultural backgrounds 93
least 104, 106	Current GPA 78-80
most 104, 106	
reported being 106	D
confident students 80-1	DABIT (Drug, Alcohol, Brief Intervention Team) 7
confidentiality 19, 50	data
conflict 98, 105-7	complete 78
consent form 70	missing 57, 79-80, 82
informed 19	data set, full 79
Contemporary Educational Psychology 63-4, 83,	degree 14, 17, 29, 43, 63, 70, 73, 75, 77, 79-80,
95	82, 111
content 22, 44, 81	student's 36-7, 39
context, academic 54, 56-7	undergraduate 50, 73
contribution 47, 52	Dennis 54-5, 63
significant 102, 105	Department of Communities 8

Department of Psychology 5, 17, 69	ethical clearance /0
dependent variable being 58	Ethics Approval Number 119
DeShields Jr 87-8, 95	Ethics Number 111
design 17, 22, 35, 45, 69, 81, 100	Evaluation 19, 30-1, 43, 63
desired grades 18, 21, 24, 27-8, 38, 43, 60, 113	exam 114, 116
achieving 23, 28, 55-6, 60	Examination of Psychosocial Constructs 33, 35, 37,
development 11, 18-19, 22, 28, 31, 35, 41, 48, 54,	39, 41
58, 64-5, 87, 95-6, 108	expectations 13, 21, 30, 49, 61-2, 81, 88-90
deviations, standard 25, 36, 46, 78, 92, 101	university meeting 36
DeWitz & Walsh 40, 55, 60	extent 17-18, 49-50, 53, 55, 113
difference 7, 43, 45-6, 48, 94, 104-6	
	External 70, 101-3, 105
significant 46, 48, 57, 103-4, 106	external locus 88, 99
dissatisfaction 107	External Social LOC orientation 101-3
domains 28, 54, 75, 91, 97-9	external social locus 100
Drug, Alcohol, Brief Intervention Team (DABIT) 7	F
dual 106-7	
dual role responsibilities 14, 104	Factors Influencing Student Satisfaction
dual roles 97-9, 105-6	Questionnaire 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, 131,
DV 92	133, 135, 137
	faculties 30, 45, 52, 69-70, 74, 81, 87
E	family 9, 23, 31, 74-5, 98-9, 109, 117
education 5, 7, 11, 31, 41-2, 50, 52, 63, 75, 83, 85,	Family Matters 109
88, 95-6	fathers 97, 109
tertiary 11, 21, 34, 44, 89	female students 50, 87, 90, 94
educational goals 87-8, 90	females 17, 50, 62, 69, 94
educational institutions 43, 49, 51, 87-8	first university experience 31
Educational Research 52, 64, 83, 85-6, 109	first year university grades 74
educators 13	first year university students 73, 76
Effect of Self-Efficacy 73, 75, 77, 79, 81, 83, 85	Flinders University of South Australia 85
effective learning behaviours 23, 28, 30, 34, 39, 41	Ford 35, 39, 41
Effects of Time Management and Coping Styles on	Formula 83, 85-6
Students 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31	
efficacy 35, 55	G
perceived learning behaviour 59	Gabbott 21, 31, 33, 42
Efficacy of Learning Behaviours on Academic Self-	Gable 70-1, 77, 86
efficacy 59-60	Gagne 54-5, 63
effort 23, 29, 43-4, 52-5, 75, 77, 88-9, 97-8	Garcia-Ros 22, 28, 30, 62
Elliott & Shin 87-8	Garvis 88-9, 96
emotion 14, 18, 23, 61, 63	gender 17, 40, 69, 75, 94, 111
emotion-oriented coping style scores 18	Generalized self-efficacy 85
employed students 99, 101, 104-6	goal-directed activities 24, 54
employment 7, 14, 51, 74, 97-109, 111	goal orientation 23, 34, 42
juggle 98	Gore 43, 49, 51, 76, 84
non-standard 109	GPA (grade point average) 14, 73-8, 80-3
previous 8	grade point average see GPA
student 98, 106, 109	grades 19, 31, 42, 44, 51-2, 64, 69, 75-6, 81
	graduate 73, 75, 77, 106
employment categories 100	graduation 54, 73, 76-7, 81, 83-4, 87, 90
employment rehabilitation councillor	Grant 90, 96
employment rehabilitation counsellor 8	Griffith University 7
employment responsibilities 99, 101, 104-6	Grogaard 22, 32-3, 42
employment status 17, 69, 100-1	groups 35, 44, 46, 89-90, 98, 100, 105-6, 111, 114
endpoints 70	Gurung 22-3, 31, 34, 41, 49, 51
engagement 21, 23, 29, 32, 40, 52, 55, 62	January 22 3, 31, 31, 11, 12, 21
Enhancing self-efficacy 41	
EOCS 47	

H	internal locus 88-9, 91, 93, 99-100
H3317Overall 113, 115, 117	Internal locus of control 88, 93
Hall 84	Internal Locus of Control 92-3
Harackiewiez 76	internal locus of control characteristics 89, 93
health 5, 8, 54, 63	Internal Locus of Control Scale 91
hierarchical regression 27, 37-9, 45-7, 49, 53, 56-7,	International Student 17, 111
59, 61, 78	interventions 35
Hierarchical Regression of Academic Self-Efficacy 58	items 17-18, 40, 69-70, 77, 91, 100
Hierarchical Regression of Students 59-60	J
Higgins & Endler 24, 28	James Cook University 5, 7-8, 17-19, 43, 69-70,
high childcare 98	82, 87, 93, 96, 107, 111, 119
High internal locus of control scores 88	James Cook University Cairns 5, 8
High school GPA 76	James Cook University Faculty Teaching Citation 5
high school grades 74, 76	James Cook University Human Research Ethics
high self-efficacy scores ranging 79	Committee 19
higher education 9, 21-2, 28-33, 35, 41-2, 51-2,	James Cook University students 97
54, 63-4, 71, 73-5, 82-7, 90, 95-6, 109	James Cook University Web site 96
learning phases of 32, 42	Joseph 35, 41
perceptions of quality in 31, 41	Jossey-Bass 83
Hill 22, 28-9, 31, 35, 40-1	Journal of Career Assessment 41, 51, 63, 84-5
Hinojosa 17, 19, 22, 30, 62-3	Journal of College Student Retention 51, 86
Holland theme self-efficacy 85	Journal of Educational Psychology 19, 30-2, 41-2,
homoscedasticity 27, 37, 57-8	50-2, 63, 84
Hope 92, 95-6	Journal of Family Issues 108-9
Hope Scale 91	Journal of Higher Education 41, 51, 85
Hosking & Western 97-8	Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management
hours 17, 62, 98, 112	95, 108
hrs 112	Journal of Marketing for Higher Education 31, 42
hypothesis 26, 28-9, 37-9, 45-50, 55-62, 99, 101-6	journals 5
first 99	Jr 50-2
second 81, 99, 101, 105	Jules 8, 14, 97
supported 21, 28	Juliane 8, 14, 97
hypothesises 43, 90	
	K
I	Kane 21-2, 31, 33, 41
identification 90, 107	Kember & Leung 44
Identifying Predictive Factors of Students 97, 99,	key 35, 39
101, 103, 105, 107, 109	key factors 22, 40, 51, 87, 89, 91, 93, 95
implementation 22, 49-50, 90	Key Factors Influencing Student Satisfaction
implications 31, 40, 43-4, 49-50, 53, 62, 64, 73, 85	Questionnaire 13, 69, 71, 90, 119
importance 13-14, 18-19, 21-3, 25-7, 29, 33-6,	Kishor 88, 93, 96
38-9, 45, 48-9, 87, 107	Klassen 54, 60, 63
Improving College and University Teaching 52	knowledge 21, 23, 30, 35, 54, 83
independent variables 73, 77-80, 92-3, 101	Krause 21-4, 28, 31, 53, 64
individuals 43-4, 53, 69, 76, 88-9, 105, 107-8	Kruskal-Wallis Test 103-4
Information sheets 19, 50, 70, 82	
institutions 13, 33-5, 38-40, 44, 48, 73-4, 82, 85	L
tertiary 21, 88, 90, 93-4	Lane 80, 84
instrument, strategies self-efficacy 19	learning behaviours 11, 14, 18, 21-5, 27-30, 33-5,
integration 53, 73-4, 90	37, 39, 49, 55, 59-61
academic 64, 74	adaptive 35
intentions 82, 87	effectiveness of 23, 26-7
internal control index 95-6, 108	efficacy of 53, 56, 59-62
Internal LOC orientation 101-3	greater number of 24, 27, 38
	perceived efficacy of 58-9

perceived importance of 25, 36	meeting learning objectives 29, 35-9, 45, 49
perception of 34, 62	member 5, 7
perceptions of 13, 21, 27, 33, 40, 53, 55-7, 59,	meta-analysis 42, 75-6
61, 63, 65, 67	Meyers & Wong 88
perceptions of effective 28, 30	model 56-9, 61-2, 74, 92, 102-3
proactive 53, 56, 59	mothers 97-8
learning behaviours accounts 27	working 97
learning behaviours fluctuating 62	motivation 23, 29-31, 34, 40-2, 51, 53, 55, 63,
learning experience 33-5, 38, 40, 49, 60, 62	76, 83
learning objectives 14, 18, 25-7, 36-7, 39, 45-50	Mrowinski 85, 87, 90, 96
lecturer 5, 114-15	Multifaceted impact of self-efficacy beliefs 95
Left secondary school 111	multiple roles 99, 105-8
Lent 34, 42, 55, 64, 75-6, 84-5	multiple roles of caring 106
level 27, 29, 34-5, 37, 41, 45-6, 48, 50, 61, 74, 77,	Multon 34, 38-40, 42, 55, 64, 85
91, 108	
student's 34, 38-9	N
life 43, 91, 95, 99, 109, 117	Negative self-efficacy 95
life-coaching 90, 94	Neilson, Jessica L. 7, 9, 13, 33
Likert scale, five-point 18-19, 70, 100	neuroscience 5
Likert-type confidence scale, five-point 70, 77	Nicholls 23, 31
limitations 40, 50, 62, 76, 81-2, 87, 94	Nomaguchi 97, 109
link 33-4, 76, 105	Noor 97-9, 105, 109
List of Contributors 7	normality 27, 37, 57-8
literature 21-3, 28-9, 34, 39-40, 56, 60-1, 64, 73-4,	Norton 44, 51
82, 87-8	number 43, 45, 48-50, 69, 78, 97, 105, 111
load 14, 17, 53, 56, 58, 60, 62	Nurmi 54-5, 64
LOC orientation 101-3	2
sr2 Internal 102-3	0
locus of control 62, 69, 84, 87-91, 95-6, 100, 109	OIMPPER 36
Locus of control 87, 96, 108-9	Oliver 89, 96
Locus of Control 87, 89, 91, 93, 95	order 7, 45, 49-50, 59, 74, 82, 87-90, 93-4, 107
developed measure of 95, 108	Oxford University Press 71, 96
external social 101-2	n.
internal 88, 90, 92-3, 95, 108	P
locus of control orientation 99-103, 105, 107	pair, excluded 57, 59
Locus of Control Orientation Variables 102	Pajares 54-5, 64, 75, 81, 85
Locus of Control Scale 69, 88, 91, 100	papers 5, 9, 13, 33, 69, 89, 96, 115
Lopez 71, 90, 96	collection of 11, 13
low self-efficacy scores ranging 79	parental care demands, high 98
	parents 14, 30-1, 86, 98, 108
M	working 97
Macan 24, 29, 31	Part 13-15, 17, 67, 69
Madgett & Belanger 21-2	part-time 57, 61, 69, 100
major factor 98-9, 107	participants 9, 17-19, 43, 48-50, 69-70, 73, 75, 77,
Managing employment 101	81, 87, 91, 97-100
Marama Forster 7, 9, 14, 87	partners 44, 118
Masuy 98, 109	Pascarella 42, 45, 51, 74, 83, 85
Mathematics self-efficacy of college freshman 84	Pearson 26, 37, 47
Mavondo 21, 23, 29, 31, 33, 39, 42	Pearson Correlation Coefficients 79, 92, 101-2
Mean SD 46	peers 44-5, 74, 81
measure retention 82	perceived efficacy 59, 62
Medians and Mean Rank Scores for Student Groups	better 56-7
104	Perceived efficacy of PLB 57
meeting 13, 25-6, 36-7, 44-50	perceived importance 25-7, 29, 33-9, 43, 45-7, 49,
annual 71, 85-6, 96	51
NOT AMERICAN TO SERVICE OF CONTROL OF CONTRO	total 38, 46-7

perceived importance beliefs 38	Q
Perceived importance of teaching 25	QLD 7
perceived importance variables 46	quality 7, 21, 28, 31, 35, 41, 85, 94
perceived learning behaviours 25-6, 29, 33-4, 36-40	Quality in Higher Education 31-2, 42, 51
Perceived learning behaviours account 38	quality university experience 44
Perceived Learning Behaviours and Self-efficacy 38	questionnaires 9, 17, 19, 21, 43, 50, 69-70, 77-8,
perceived learning behaviours being 34	82, 88, 97, 111
perceived performance 25-6, 35-7, 39	
Perceived self-efficacy 83	R
perceptions 21, 23-5, 27, 29, 37, 39, 52-3, 55-6,	range 78, 100, 111
59, 61-2, 88, 95, 107	actual self-efficacy 78
perceptions of learning behaviour 60-1	range planning, long 18, 54
Perez-Gonzalez 17, 19, 22, 30, 62-3	range scores 25, 36
performance goal orientation 34	readings 113-14
Performance Indicators 13, 33, 39	recipient 5
Perlman 17-19, 24, 28, 31, 34, 38-9, 42, 47, 51,	recommendations 22, 87, 94
55, 64	record 5, 91
persistence 34, 43, 55, 73-6, 83, 85, 90	regression 57-8, 61, 92-3
person 62, 109, 114-15	standard multiple 79, 92, 94, 97
personality 30-1, 41, 43, 60, 63, 71, 84, 88, 90,	regression analysis, standard 102-3
93, 95	Regression of Perceived Importance 27
personality variables 14, 51, 94	regression results, multiple 79-80
PhD 5	relation 17-18, 22, 25-6, 28, 33-4, 36-40, 44, 49-
Pintrich 34-5, 42	50, 64, 73, 87, 89-90, 94, 115
PLB (proactive learning behaviours) 25-6, 36-7,	Relation of self-efficacy beliefs 42, 64; 85
56-7, 59	relationships, significant 21, 26, 33-4, 37-8, 40, 61
positive effects 24, 29, 99	87, 89, 92-4
positive electionships 26, 30, 45-6, 55, 98	reliability coefficients 18-19
significant 26, 46	Research in Higher Education 42, 52, 71, 83-4, 96
positive spillover 98-9, 108	research papers 13, 17, 69
Post Graduate Diploma of Psychology 7	researchers 9, 13, 75-6, 87-90, 93
Pratt 52	retention 11, 14, 31, 41, 51, 55, 63, 73-7, 79-83,
Predict Students' Confidence 102-3	85, 87, 94-5, 99, 107
predictive ability 45, 102-3	significant predictor of 80
predictive factors 101, 106-7	Retrieved 19, 32, 95-6, 108
predictors 14, 47-8, 63, 78, 80-1, 83-4, 92, 99,	Review of Australian higher education 85, 96
102-3, 105	Review of Educational Research 52, 64, 83, 85-6,
important 73-4	109
most important 76	Riggert 98-9, 106-7, 109
Prentice Hall 42, 51	Robbins 75, 85
Prentice-Hall 83	role conflict 24, 54, 106-7
proactive learning behaviour scores 57	Roueche 77, 85
proactive learning behaviours see PLB	Roxburgh 97-8, 109
programs 33, 82	0 88 87 88
promoting 25-7, 35-8, 40, 45-9, 96	S
promotion 25, 27-8, 49	sample 17, 29, 34, 40, 48, 61-2, 69, 78, 82, 94,
Psychologial Measurement 70, 95-6	98, 107
Psychological Measurement 19, 52, 95, 108	total 69, 101
Psychology 7-8, 11, 17, 19, 31, 41-2, 51, 63-4	sample items 18, 91
psychosocial 5, 62	sample size 57-8, 78, 82, 94
psychosocial factors 11, 53-5, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65,	San Francisco 42, 83
67, 81	Sanders 64
5/, 51	Scheier 70-1
	Schertzer 31
	Schertzer & Schertzer 21

school 19, 25, 51-2, 52, 64-5	semesters 14, 54-6, 62, 69, 75, 76-80, 82, 111,
high 17, 30, 62, 69, 73-4, 76, 81-2, 84	115-16
School Psychology International 19, 30, 32, 52,	first 7, 73, 76, 82
63, 65	Semesters Completed 73, 75, 77, 79, 81, 83, 85
Schunk 35, 39, 42, 89, 94-6	set 22, 90-1, 116
scores 18, 44, 69, 73-4, 76-83, 99-100, 104	Sieber 99, 106, 109
actual 92	significant correlation 34, 37, 39, 75, 79, 87, 92
high 70, 80, 88, 93, 100	Silver 17-19, 43, 47, 52, 70-1, 77-8, 80, 86
higher 77, 88	situational factors 53, 55, 62
rank 104	skills self-efficacy 73, 77-81, 83
total 77	skills self-efficacy instrument 85
Scott 21-2, 32, 35, 42, 97-9, 105-6, 109	skills self-efficacy scale 73, 75
SD 18, 25, 36, 57, 69, 78, 92	skills self-efficacy scores ranging 79
Self-efficacious students 80	Smith 19, 52, 70-1, 77-8, 83, 86
self-efficacy 13-14, 33-43, 45-50, 54-5, 63-4, 69,	Snyder 71, 89-91, 95-6
71, 74-8, 80-4, 87-91, 94-6, 108	society, national 63
Self-Efficacy 46-7, 73, 75, 77, 79-81, 83, 85	sr 93
1.77	sr ² 79-80, 92
college 43	
defined 75	SSS see Student Satisfaction Survey
explored 43	SSSES see Study Skills Self-Efficacy Scale
generalised 75	staff 13, 22, 111
heightened 49	Step 27, 37-8, 47, 58-60
high 33, 35-8, 45, 48, 50, 61, 79, 89	strategies 19, 23-5, 30, 32, 39, 42, 45, 49, 52, 65,
higher 40, 81	70, 73, 77, 82, 97, 107
level of 34, 48-9, 77, 81	stress 5, 19, 24, 31-2, 44, 52, 54-5, 64-5, 71, 96
low 35-6, 39-40, 48, 63, 73, 79	student attrition 21, 52, 73, 86
measured university 76	student dual role research 108
measuring 89	student feedback 21-2, 31
significant 80	student groups 104-6
skill 79	Student Groups' Rating 104
social 5	student perceptions 21-3, 28-9, 52
student 46	Student perceptions of variables 42
teacher 96	student persistence 23, 74, 82-3, 90
theory of 89	student populations, current university 94
variables of 45, 60	student retention 21, 40, 73-4, 76-7, 80-4, 86-8,
Self-Efficacy and Coping Styles 43, 45, 47, 49, 51	98, 106
Self-Efficacy and Trait Hope 87, 89, 91, 93, 95	predictor of 73, 83
self-efficacy beliefs 35, 39, 42-3, 55, 64, 76, 85, 95	Student Satisfaction 13, 17, 19, 26, 37, 47, 87, 89,
academic 43	91-3, 95, 104, 111, 113, 115, 117
high 39	student satisfaction, indicators of 46
stronger 76	Student Satisfaction, indicators of 40 Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) 17-19, 32, 43
self-efficacy influences 54	Students' Confidence 97, 99, 101-3, 105, 107, 109
(5)	students Confidence 97, 99, 101-3, 103, 107, 109
self-efficacy inventory 77	
self-efficacy measures 43, 75	Students Perceptions 49-50
self-efficacy mechanisms 95	Students' Perceptions 17-18, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31
self-efficacy perspective 96	59-60
self-efficacy scale 94	Study and Employment 97, 99, 101-5, 107, 109
modified Academic 91	Study Load 57, 59-61
Self-Efficacy Score 48, 77, 79	Study Skills Self-Efficacy 77-9
high 89	Study skills self-efficacy of community-technical
self-efficacy variable 48	college students 71, 86
academic 92	Study Skills Self-Efficacy Scale (SSSES) 17-18, 43,
self perceptions 43, 48, 81	70, 77
self-report 29, 81, 84	styles, task-avoidant 53-4
self-report measures 29	subjects: 44-5, 70, 76, 81, 113

subscales 70, 100 support 5, 28-9, 35, 44, 46, 80, 106	Total task-oriented coping style 25-6 Total time management strategies 25-6
support 3, 28-3, 33, 44, 40, 60, 100	TPER 25-6, 36-7
T	trait 14, 64, 69, 87-90, 92-4, 96
Table 25-7, 36-8, 46-8, 56-61, 78-80, 92-3, 101-4	control personality 88
TAC see task-avoidant coping	trait hope, theory of 89
task avoidant coping 57	Tsarenko 21, 31, 33, 42
task-avoidant coping (TAC) 54-8, 60-1	TSE 36-7, 47
task-avoidant coping, inclusion of 56, 58	Tunstall, Allison J. 8-9, 43
task-oriented coping (TOC) 14, 18, 24-5, 27-8, 30,	Tunistan, Import J. 67, 15
45, 47-9, 53-62	U
task-oriented coping styles 21, 24, 26-8, 43, 46-8	undertaking 8, 18
task-oriented students 23, 28-9	universities work 94
tasks 14, 18, 23, 28, 32, 43, 57, 61, 77	university 7, 9, 11, 13-14, 17-19, 21-7, 32-4, 36-9,
academic 24, 58, 60, 75-6	41-9, 53-5, 62-3, 73-7, 81-3, 91, 93-4, 99-107
teachers 22, 30, 35, 45, 55	inform 33
university level 35	large Midwestern 76
teaching 11, 21-3, 25, 28-30, 33, 35, 40, 42, 51	metropolitan 29, 40
importance and performance of 22	regional 29, 40
various 35	various 26
Teaching in Higher Education 84	university being 53, 62
Teaching of Psychology 19, 31, 41-2, 51, 64	university business students 87
teaching strategies 13, 18-19, 22, 42-5, 47-50	university career 40
terms 7, 23, 28-9, 87-90, 94	university classroom 31, 41
tertiary education sectors 11, 88	university courses 49, 87
test 90, 113-14, 116	university degree 79
textbooks 18, 112, 114	university drop-out rates 50
theory 31, 42-3, 52, 61, 64, 73-4, 82, 86, 88, 90,	university education quality process 22
109	university effortless 73
tick 111-12, 116	university environment 50, 61
time management (TM) 7, 13-14, 17, 21-31, 53-	university essay 64
62, 64, 77, 96	university experience 33, 44, 49, 54, 63, 94, 106
time management, task-avoidant coping behaviours	better 107
hinder 54	supportive 107
Time Management Behavioural Scale (TMBS) 62	university GPA 74, 80, 82
time management beta 58	higher first-term 77
Time Management Questionnaire (TMQ) 17, 49,	university grades 76
62, 96	university institutions 49, 87
time management scores 26, 56-7	university instruction 50
better 56-7	University Leaning Experiences Questionnaire 13
time management strategies 21-2, 24, 26, 28-30	university learning experience 21-3, 25, 27, 29-31,
effective 24, 28-9	50, 111
TIMP 25-6, 36-7, 47	University Learning Experiences Questionnaire 17,
Ting 45, 52	19, 111, 113, 115, 117
Tinto 43, 48, 52, 73-5, 81-3, 86 TM see time management	university level 34, 39
TMBS (Time Management Behavioural Scale) 62	university life 43, 48, 81, 86 university meeting 18
TMQ see Time Management Questionnaire	University of Ballarat 31
TOC see task-oriented coping	University of Chicago Press 86
TOCS 25-6, 47	University of New Mexico 86
Total perceived importance of university 25-6,	University of Newcastle 7
36-7, 47	University of Vanderbilt Press 83
Total perceived learning behaviours 25-7, 36-8	University of Western Australia 7
Total perceived performance of university 25-6,	University of Wollongong 5
36-7	university outcomes 76

```
university performance 37
                                                        edited 13
                                                      work-family conflict 98-9, 105, 108-9
  perceived 13, 38
University Postgraduate Award 5
                                                      work life balance 97
                                                      workforce 8, 98, 106
university quality perceptions 39
university retention 43
                                                      Wrzesniewski & Chylinska 17-18, 22, 43-4, 47,
university sample 18
University Setting 33, 35, 37, 39, 41
                                                                              Y
university student bodies 98
                                                      younger students 48, 53
university student engagement 42
University Student Satisfaction and Time
     Management 96
                                                      Zajacova 70-1, 89, 91, 96
university students 22, 31, 44, 62, 76, 87, 89
  developing 60
  traditional-entry 32, 64
University Students' Perceptions 64
university studies 11, 18, 44, 111, 116
university subject pool 70
university workload 17, 115
university's performance 25-30, 36, 39
urban university 31
  diverse 63
Urbina 29-30, 81, 83
USA 41-2
variability 23, 82, 92, 103
  increasing 11
variables 22, 25-6, 36, 38, 40, 42-3, 50, 53, 55, 57-
     60, 62, 69, 75-9, 92-3, 99, 101-3
  academic 74, 76
  combined 37, 45
  dependent 27, 37, 59, 73, 77, 79, 91-2, 94, 100-
  predictor 80
  psychosocial 53, 55, 60-1, 63
variance 27, 38-9, 43, 46, 57-9, 61, 75, 80, 92
variations 33
Very Little confidence 18, 112
Very Little extent 18, 113
Vialle 90, 93-4, 96
volunteer participants 19, 69
Voudouris 85, 87, 90, 96
                        W
Wallston 96
website 108
Western Australia 7
Western Sydney 17-19, 22-3, 32, 42
Westport 83, 85-6
Wiers-Jenssen 22, 33, 40, 42
Williams 21, 31, 33-4, 41-2
wk 112
women 41, 98, 109
work 9, 23, 31, 43, 69, 74, 82, 91, 97-9, 108-9,
     112, 114-15
```

Learning Strategies, Performance Indicators and University Student Satisfaction