

# VU Research Portal

## Tense switching in Classical Greek

Nijk, A.A.

2019

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Nijk, A. A. (2019). *Tense switching in Classical Greek: A cognitive approach*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)



Tense switching in Classical Greek  
A cognitive approach

Arjan A. Nijk



Grammar is at its most interesting when it behaves badly.

For many grammatical categories, it seems intuitively obvious that they encode a certain aspect of conceptual experience. For example, the linguistic opposition between the proximal and the distal seems, on a basic level, to correlate with a distinction between cognitive systems. In the spatial domain, the bipartite division in the demonstrative system between this and that (common to many languages) can be related to different domains within our field of vision: that of 'peripersonal space' (that which is within arm's reach) and that of 'extrapersonal space'. In the temporal domain, linguistic expressions of proximity (the adverb now, the present tense) have an experiential correlate in the 'perceptual moment', a fleeting present, that is neurologically distinct from our experience of the past and future (linguistically designated by then and different tenses).

In practice, however, grammatical categories do not neatly map onto experiential categories in this way. This thesis focuses on the paradoxical use of grammatical elements designating spatio-temporal proximity to designate entities that are actually distal – in particular, on the use of the present tense to designate past events. Trying to reconcile the cognitivist assumption that linguistic meaning consists in conceptual structure with the evident discrepancy between grammatical proximity and experiential proximity, I argue (in the wake of, especially, R.W. Langacker) that the use of the present instead of the preterite presupposes a special conceptual scenario in which the gap between the past and the present is bridged somehow.

The main innovation of this thesis lies in its dynamic approach that acknowledges the existence of distinct usages of the present tense to refer to the past. First, I make a crucial distinction between different ways in which the gap between the past and the present is bridged: one is through the speaker's fictive presence in the past (mental displacement), the other is through assuming the fictive presence of past events in the form of a representation. Moreover, I argue that there are different types of representation scenarios, each with its own peculiar linguistic characteristics and specific tendencies with respect to the use of the present tense to refer to the past. While the main focus is on Classical Greek, the first chapter is cross-linguistic in scope, and the arguments made throughout aim at general validity.