

# VU Research Portal

## Intra-specific morphological variation of the spermatheca in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail Helix aperta

Abdelli, Meriem; Benbellil-Tafoughalt, Saida; Koene, Joris M.

*published in* Zoomorphology 2018

DOI (link to publisher) 10.1007/s00435-017-0379-2

document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

Link to publication in VU Research Portal

### citation for published version (APA)

Abdelli, M., Benbellil-Tafoughalt, S., & Koene, J. M. (2018). Intra-specific morphological variation of the spermatheca in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail Helix aperta. *Zoomorphology*, 137(1), 51-61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00435-017-0379-2

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address: vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl ORIGINAL PAPER



# Intra-specific morphological variation of the spermatheca in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *Helix aperta*

Meriem Abdelli<sup>1,2</sup> · Saida Benbellil-Tafoughalt<sup>1</sup> · Joris M. Koene<sup>2</sup>

Received: 21 June 2017/Revised: 27 September 2017/Accepted: 4 October 2017/Published online: 16 October 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2017

Abstract In the majority of internally fertilizing animals, females are equipped with sperm storage organs where they store the sperm received during copulation. In many simultaneously hermaphroditic pulmonates, these organs consist of complex spermathecae that show inter- and intraspecific variation in their structure. This variability is theoretically predicted by postcopulatory sexual selection in the context of sperm competition and cryptic female choice. In this study, the variation in the structure of the spermatheca was investigated in the land snail Helix aperta from four natural populations near Bejaia in northern Algeria. The populations were different in local snail density, probably also reflecting the intensity of sperm competition. We tested whether the spermatheca showed differences that are predicted by sperm competition theory. In addition, we tested whether the spermathecal structure depends on the shell size and/or is correlated with other reproductive organs that are thought to be affected by sexual selection. We found that the fertilization pouch of H. aperta consists of a simple fertilization chamber and 3-9 spermathecal tubules. The four populations did not differ significantly in the mean number of these tubules.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00435-017-0379-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecological Science, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands However, significant differences were found in the length of the main tubule, the length of the fertilization chamber, and the average length of lateral tubules. In addition, strong associations were detected between the lengths of these structures and the local snail density, while no effect of shell size or reproductive organs was found. Our results indicate that the intensity of sperm competition may not affect the total number of spermathecal tubules, but may increase their lengths. This increase in spermathecal length may reflect an improved sperm storage capacity that is probably beneficial in situations of high sperm competitions intensity.

**Keywords** *Helix aperta* · Fertilization pouch · Spermatheca · Reproductive organs · Sperm competition

### Introduction

Sperm storage is a common phenomenon in many animals including earthworms, molluscs, arthropods, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish, and mammals (Birkhead and Møller 1993; Holt 2011; Orr and Zuk 2012). It is generally defined as the retention and preservation of the sperm received during copulation inside the female reproductive tract for an extended period of time (Orr and Zuk 2012, 2014) that can range from weeks to months and even years before being used for fertilization (e.g., Parker 1970; Pamilo 1991; Sever et al. 2001, 2003; for reviews, see also Neubaum and Wolfner 1999; Suarez 2008). This process seems to be the result of the timing of insemination and ovulation no longer being synchronized in females of some animals (reviewed in Sasanami et al. 2013), the diversity of their life histories, scarcity of mating opportunities, or simply the need to avoid repeated and possibly unsafe matings that can

Meriem Abdelli nylsa2010@hotmail.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecologie et Environnement, Département des Sciences Biologiques de l'environnement, Faculté des Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université de Béjaïa, Béjaïa, Algérie

experience many animals (reviewed in Holt 2011). As a result, in many species, sperm storage constitutes an integral part of their reproductive strategy.

Sperm storage clearly provides several advantages. For example, the prolonged storage of received sperm allows for assurance of reproductive success and a lengthening of the breeding season (see Conner and Crews 1980; Sever and Brizzi 1998). In addition, it can help in minimizing the number of matings, and thus any costs associated with that, and can also facilitate colonization (reviewed in: Neubaum and Wolfner 1999; Eckstut et al. 2009; see also: Kalb et al. 1993; Tram and Wolfner 1999; Liu and Kubli 2003; Peng et al. 2005). Furthermore, sperm storage implies protection for the sperm, thus sustaining their viability for long periods (e.g., Ribou and Reinhardt 2012). Besides the summary above, more details about female sperm storage advantages have been reviewed previously by many authors (e.g., see Neubaum and Wolfner 1999; Bloch Qazi et al. 2003).

To store sperm, many species have developed dedicated structures in their reproductive tract. These structures range from more or less complex tubules (e.g., in birds: Bakst 1987; Birkhead and Møller 1992; Brillard 1993; Sasanami et al. 2013; Holt and Fazeli 2016 and some reptiles: Pearse and Avise 2001; Han et al. 2008) to highly sophisticated organs containing multiple compartments (Eberhard 1985, 1996; e.g., the spermathecae of amphibians: Sever 1991, 1997, 2002; insects: Bloch Qazi et al. 1998; Baer et al. 2006; Córdoba-Aguilar et al. 2003 and gastropods: Baminger and Haase 1999; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007; Whelan and Strong 2014; annelids: Novo et al. 2013). Interestingly, large interspecific divergence in morphology, size, complexity, and even number of these organs have been reported. Hypothetically, these differences may be explained by the large variation in sperm size and shape (e.g. Walker 1980; Keller and Reeve 1994; Pitnick et al. 1995; Minoretti and Baur 2006) and the differences in life history traits and/or habitat specificity occurring among such species (reviewed in Pitnick et al. 1999; Beese et al. 2008).

Another widely supported explanation supposes that the sperm-storage organs' morphology and/or number evolve in response to postcopulatory sexual selection (e.g. Hell-riegel and Ward 1998; Pitnick et al. 1999). In such cases, multiple matings take place and multiple ejaculates overlap within the female tract prior to egg production, thus enhancing sperm competition (i.e., establishment of the conditions outlined by Parker 1970, 1984). Clearly, the latter introduces variation in male reproductive success and leads to postcopulatory intra-sexual selection, thus promoting morphological, physiological, and behavioural traits that may increase the fertilization success of an ejaculate under competitive conditions (Pizzari and Parker 2009). In contrast, given that females are generally the sex

that invests more in reproduction (Parker 1984), they are also expected to try to control the outcome of sperm competition in favor of the sperm of certain males they copulated with. They can potentially do this by selectively using sperm, a mechanism known as cryptic female choice (Eberhard 1996). In the light of this hypothesis, the evolution of sperm-storage organs can also be explained as a female adaptation that allows for greater control over offspring paternity (Eberhard 1996).

In the context of sperm storage, the pulmonate land snails (Stylommatophora) are an interesting group to study, as they are known to mate promiscuously and are hermaphroditic. Moreover, they are known to store sperm for long periods of time (Baur 1998) and some possess rather sophisticated spermatheca (see Tompa 1984, Beese et al. 2008). In these species, the spermatheca forms part of a more or less complex system of tubules of an organ often referred to as fertilization pouch or fertilization pouchspermatheca complex (Tompa 1984). The structure of the fertilization pouch has been studied in many gastropods (Tompa 1984; Beese et al. 2008) with less attention paid to land snails (reviewed in Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007), except of two species: A. arbustorum (Haase and Baur 1995; Baminger and Haase 1999; Beese et al. 2006) and C. aspersum (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007).

In general, a land snail's fertilization pouch consists of a fertilization chamber and a spermatheca, which can be made up of a variable number of tubules (e.g., one tubule in Bradybaena fructicum, Schileyko and Schileyko 1992; Bojat et al. 2002; two in Succinea putris, Rigby 1965: 34 in Drymaeus papyraceus, van Mol 1971). The number of spermathecal tubules also varies within species (e.g., 3-5 tubules in H. pomatia, Lind 1973; 2-9 in A. arbustorum, Baminger and Haase 1999; 1-8 in Cepaea vindobonensis, Staikou 2001; and 5–16 in Helix lucorum Staikou 2001). The tubular structure of the spermatheca has been suggested to allow for spatial segregation of the sperm from different partners, thus offering the opportunity for cryptic female choice (Haase and Baur 1995). The only suggestive evidence for such an ability, however, comes from ultrastructural investigations of the epithelium and the surrounding musculature of the spermatheca of A. arbustorum (Bojat et al. 2001a, b). Since postcopulatory sexual selection, in the form of sperm competition and cryptic female choice, seems to be a strong evolutionary force, it is likely to be accompanied by a malefemale coevolution of other behavioural and anatomical traits related to reproduction (Arnqvist and Rowe 2002; Pitnick et al. 2003). Indeed, the presence and complexity of sperm-storage organs has been shown to co-evolve with other reproductive organs in snails (see Beese et al. 2008).

The variation in spermathecae cited above has been predicted to be mainly influenced by the level of sperm competition and is thus expected to result in increased complexity of the spermatheca, for example in terms of number of tubules, under more intense sperm competition (reviewed in Baminger and Haase 1999; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). However, the empirical studies undertaken by the same authors investigating the relation between the complexity of the spermatheca and density, as a proxy for sperm competition intensity, among different populations of *A. arbustorum* and *C. aspersum* did not reveal any correlation. Given that this was only tested in two species so far, in the present study, we decided to focus on the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *H. aperta*.

We started out by examining and describing, for the first time, the fertilization pouch and spermatheca of this species. Subsequently, we investigated the morphological variation in the spermatheca of this species in four different populations that differed in snail densities, using the latter as a proxy for sperm competition intensity. While this is not a direct measure of sperm competition, in many hermaphroditic animals, increases in density result in more frequent multiple matings (Michiels 1998), so we assume here that a higher population density also represents more frequent mating. Finally, we tested for correlations between the morphology of the spermatheca with other male (epiphallus, flagellum, dart sac, and digitiform glands) and female (diverticulum and bursa stalk) reproductive organs. We chose to focus on these particular organs, because they are thought to be implicated in postcopulatory sexual selection and could thus be expected to interact with the morphology of the sperm-storage organ (Baminger and Haase 2000; Davison et al. 2005; Koene and Schulenburg 2005).

#### Materials and methods

#### Study organism

Helix aperta, sometimes also referred to as Cantareus apertus (Born 1778) or Helix naticoides (Draparnaud 1801), is a circum-mediterranean hermaphroditic land snail species, generally distributed in the south of France, Turkey, Cyprus, and North Africa (Kerney and Cameron 1979; Schütt 2001). In Algeria, it is frequently encountered in the coastal part of the country, especially in the region of Kabylia (Benbellil-Tafoughalt et al. 2009). This species is also introduced in America (California and Louisiana), New Zealand, and Australia (Kerney and Cameron 1979; Schütt 2001). The shell of this species is spherical, of a moderate adult size (on average  $27 \pm 4$  mm) and without any banding (Yildirim 2004). The body color of these snails varies from greenish yellow to dark green or black according to the lifestage of the animal (Benbellil-Tafoughalt et al. 2009). In its Mediterranean and coastal habitats, it is adapted to live on the soil, among grasses and with a preference for vineyards and olive orchards (Giusti and Andreini 1988). In general, it does not occur above altitudes of 900 ma.s.l. (Germain 1930, 1931). The activity of this species is very much dependent on environmental conditions such as temperature, photoperiod, and humidity (Benbellil-Tafoughalt et al. 2009, 2011; Benbellil-Tafoughalt and Koene 2015). Hence, it can spend long periods of aestivation or hibernation underground when conditions are not right. Under such circumstances, it burrows 7-15 cm deep, closes off its shell with a thick whitish epiphragm, and enters into a state of metabolic slowdown. As a result of this habit, it is known as the "burrowing snail". Morphologically and phylogenetically, this species is closely related to Cornu aspersum (Germain 1930, 1931; Ktari and Rezig 1976; Koene and Schulenburg 2005). H. aperta from Italy and northern Algeria were reported to preferably mate in autumn, which in its investigated habitats means from the beginning of October up to the second half of December, with temperatures around 20 °C and long days (16 h L: 8 h D) (Giusti and Andreini 1988; Benbellil-Tafoughalt et al. 2011). However, in Tunisia, they were found to mate at the end of summer under short-day conditions (6 h L: 18 h D; de Vaufleury and Gimbert 2009). Benbellil-Tafoughalt et al. 2009 (see also Benbellil-Tafoughalt and Koene 2015) also revealed that reproduction and growth are strongly affected by the length of the photoperiod as well as temperature.

#### **Population sampling**

Samples of 10-18 adult Helix aperta were randomly collected during rainy weather conditions at four different localities (referred to as populations) from the region of Bejaia in northern Algeria. The sampling was done at the beginning of February 2016 within 2 days to avoid any influence of seasonal variation. Two populations came from irrigated agricultural monocultures, situated in a rural coastal region at Baccaro (36°39'6.10"N, 5°12'5.09"E) and an exposed rural region at Tala Hamza (36°41'43.49"N, 4°59'17.49"E). The third population came from an abandoned garden in an urban area at "place du Stade"  $(36^{\circ}45'1.36''N, 5^{\circ}2'42.97''E)$  that was covered with ferns, bushes, and clovers, while the fourth population came from an exposed natural meadow in front of an urban area at Sidi Ahmed (36°45'26.10"N, 5°3'53.40"E) and was densely covered with grasses, clovers, and sparse shrubs.

The density of each population was expressed as the number of adult snails/m<sup>2</sup> and estimated by means of random quadrat sampling (0.25  $\times$  0.25 m). The estimation was performed once at the end of autumn during the selection of suitable populations for the study (early December 2015). At that time, *H. aperta* emerges from

aestivation and is active above ground. To obtain a reliable measure, we adopted the Elliot's method (1971) to determine the necessary number of sampling units per site for an error lower than 20%.

#### Measurements and histology

The collected snails were transported to the laboratory on the same day and their shell's maximum diameter and height were measured using Vernier calipers with an accuracy of 0.1 mm. After subsequent anesthesia by injection of 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> via the dorsal side of back of the foot and fixation in 70% ethanol, we removed the shell of each snail and dissected out the distal parts of its genitalia (excluding two dissections where some organs were ruptured). For each individual, the following anatomical reproductive traits were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using Vernier calipers: "male tract organs" (dart sac, digitiform glands, epiphallus, and flagellum) and "female tract organs" (bursa copulatrix tract/bursa stalk and diverticulum). Before measuring, each structure was extended straight without any convolutions in a petri dish with distilled water and its length was then measured three times. The mean (for digitiform glands, the mean of the mean of both glands) was then calculated and used for statistical analyses. Although the presence of these organs has been established for H. aperta (Giusti and Andreini 1988), no quantitative measurements of their size are available so far, while their function is assumed to be similar to those in related species.

The fertilization pouches, sometimes together with small parts of spermoviduct and hermaphroditic duct, were dissected out and fixed in Davidson's Fixative (AFA fixative) for 24 h. Because the fertilization pouch of H. aperta turned out to be relatively deeply embedded in the albumen gland, some remains of this gland were also always dissected along. Fertilization pouches where embedded in paraffin, serially sectioned at 7 µm and stained with Hematoxylin-eosin. The sections were always made along the cross-sectional plan of the organ. The structure of each spermatheca was examined by counting the number of spermathecal tubules and observing their branching pattern. The length of each tubule was approximated by counting the number of cross sections in which it appeared, starting from the section in which the tubule was clearly separated from the tubule from which it branched off, and multiplying it by the section thickness (7  $\mu$ m). The length of the fertilization chamber was estimated for each individual in the same way.

#### Statistical analyses

We used one-way ANOVAs or Kruskal–Wallis tests to test for possible differences in shell size (height and diameter) and spermathecal structures (length and number) among the populations.

To study the effects of population density and shell size (diameter) and their interaction on spermathecal structures and the reproductive organs considered, we used a linear regression model for response variables that were normally distributed. In this model, density and diameter were considered as fixed factors, while the spermathecal structures and reproductive organs were used as response variables. For non-normally distributed response variables, we used a generalized linear model with the same fixed factors. Note that we chose to use diameter as the representative measure of body size, which is widely used in pulmonates (reviewed in Baminger and Haase 2000), but the same models were also run with shell height as a fixed factor instead.

Finally, possible relationships between spermathecal structures and the reproductive organs, as well as between the spermathecal structures themselves, were investigated through Pearson or Spearman correlations.

Statistical analyses were performed using Xlstat 2009 and JMP 9. All variables were initially tested for normality and non-parametric tests were used when needed. Means and standard deviations ( $\pm$  SD) are reported unless otherwise stated.

#### Results

Our histological observations revealed that the fertilization pouch of *H. aperta* is a complex organ located in the distal part of the reproductive tract. We found that it consists of a blind-ended fertilization chamber into which the hermaphroditic duct and the spermatheca open (see Fig. 1). The fertilization chamber is the longest structure of the fertilization pouch and appears more or less c-shaped in the cross sections. According to Haase and Baur (1995), this is the site where the eggs are fertilized by the sperm stored in the spermatheca. We found that the spermatheca consists of several tubules with one common entrance (Fig. 1). The longest tubule, which always branched off the fertilization chamber, was considered as the "main tubule"



**Fig. 1** Schematic drawing of the fertilization pouch of *H. aperta: fc* fertilization chamber, *mt* main spermathecal tubule, *lt* lateral spermathecal tubules (numbered 1–6); *hd* hermaphroditic duct, *so* spermoviduct

(conforming to earlier descriptions in A. arbustorum Baminger and Haase 1999 and C. aspersum Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). All other tubules were considered as "lateral tubules" (see Baminger and Haase1999; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). The latter either branched off from the main tubule or from other lateral tubules. In 14% of the spermathecae, some lateral tubules were seen to branch off from the fertilization chamber directly at the same point where the main tubule branched off. This pattern was observed in all four populations. The lengths of tubules were found to vary considerably within individuals. The main tubule was always shorter than the fertilization chamber. The number of spermathecal tubules also varied, ranging from 3 to 9 across the different populations studied. The four populations did not differ significantly in the number of spermathecal tubules (Kruskal-Wallis, H = 7.815, P = 0.668 see Table 1).

Interestingly, the length of the fertilization chamber (Kruskal–Wallis, H = 8.25, N = 52, P = 0.041), the length of the main tubule (ANOVA, F = 4.73, P = 0.006, df = 3, 48), and the average length of lateral tubules (Kruskal–Wallis, H = 38.63, N = 52, P < 0.0001) were seen to vary significantly among the four populations (see Table 1 and Fig. 2 for post hoc test results). Moreover,

ANOVAs revealed significant differences in shell height (F = 9.581, P = 0.0001, df = 3, 48) and diameter (F = 5.715, P = 0.002, df = 3, 48) between the populations (see Table 1). The mean shell height was significantly correlated with the mean shell diameter (Pearson correlation, r = 0.653, N = 52, P < 0.0001) over the four populations. In addition, no significant differences were detected in the length of any of the reproductive organs considered (see ANOVA results in Table 1).

The populations' local snail density ranged from 3.6 to 22.4 adult individuals/m<sup>2</sup> and was inversely correlated with shell diameter (Spearman rank correlation, r = -0.467, N = 52, P = 0.001). However, it was not correlated with any of the reproductive organs studied (Spearman rank correlation, all P > 0.05, see Supplementary Table 1).

The linear regression models investigating the dependence of the spermathecal structures on shell size and population density are summarized in Table 2. Only the populations' local density had a significant effect on the length of the fertilization chamber, the length of the main tubule, and the average length of lateral tubules (see Table 2). All three increased with increasing population density. In addition, neither the density nor the shell size (diameter) affected the number of spermathecal tubules.

Table 1	Compariso	n of shell size,	, reproductive o	rgans, fertil	ization chan	ıber lengtł	ı, and both r	number and	l length of	spermathecal	tubules	of four
populati	ions of H. ap	perta using eit	her ANOVA of	r Kruskal–V	Wallis tests	respective	ly, H- and	F-values in	ndicated)			

	Population	F or $H$	Р				
	Stade	Sidi Ahmed	Tala Hamza	Baccaro			
N	8	15	18	11			
Density	3.6	7.2	18	22.4			
Shell size (mm)							
Height	$25.02\pm0.5$	$26.93\pm0.63$	$23.90\pm0.53$	$22.65\pm0.57$	9.58	< 0.0001	
Diameter	$21.12\pm0.42$	$21.87\pm0.62$	$20.25\pm0.44$	$18.75\pm0.54$	5.71	0.002	
Male tract organs (mm)							
Dart sac	$9.27\pm0.65$	$9.78\pm0.41$	$9.62\pm0.29$	$8.93\pm0.24$	0.93	0.432	
Epiphallus	$11.73 \pm 1.44$	$12.32\pm0.80$	$13.80\pm0.59$	$11.51\pm0.98$	1.60	0.202	
Flagellum	$17.95 \pm 1.16$	$21.35\pm0.91$	$19.82\pm0.76$	$18.24\pm1.29$	2.33	0.086	
Digitiform glands	$5.68\pm0.97$	$6.27 \pm 0.53$	$6.57\pm0.32$	$6.49 \pm 0.53$	0.44	0.728	
Female tract organs (mm)							
Diverticulum	$38.14 \pm 4.63$	$44.00 \pm 3.11$	$37.11\pm2.67$	$34.36\pm2.91$	1.70	0.179	
Bursa stalk	$16.46 \pm 1.88$	$16.85\pm0.95$	$15.18\pm0.72$	$15.50\pm0.89$	0.68	0.567	
Fertilization chamber length (mm)	$1.86\pm0.11$	$1.92\pm0.05$	$2.19\pm0.10$	$2.22\pm0.11$	8.25	0.041	
Spermathecal structures							
Number of tubules	5.5 (4-9)	6 (3–8)	5 (3-8)	6 (3–9)	1.56	0.668	
Length of main tubule	$1.48\pm0.09$	$1.53\pm0.09$	$1.95\pm0.09$	$1.80\pm0.14$	4.73	0.006	
Average length of lateral tubules	$0.46\pm0.14$	$0.57\pm0.05$	$1.02\pm0.19$	$0.73\pm0.16$	8.63	< 0.0001	

Descriptive statistics (mean  $\pm$  SE) are presented for each population. Information about the sampling sites is mentioned in the "Materials and methods"

H values are indicated in italic



Fig. 2 Comparison of shell diameter and the lengths of the fertilization chamber, main tubule, and average lateral tubules between the four populations of *H. aperta* with different local densities. Boxplots show the median, the 1st and 3rd quartiles, and the range. The small circles indicate the individual data points. The letters indicate significant differences between the densities based on parametric Tukey or non-parametric Wilcoxon multiple comparison post hoc testing (P < 0.05; see "Results")

Similarly, no significant correlations were found between any of the reproductive organs considered in this study and the length or number of the spermathecal structures (all P > 0.06, see Supplementary Table 1).

Significant correlations were found between the lengths of most spermathecal structures (all P < 0.040, see Supplementary Table 1). The only non-significant correlations were the ones between the number of spermathecal tubules and both the length of the fertilization chamber (Spearman: r = 0.070, N = 52, P = 0.621) and the length of the main tubule (Spearman rank correlation: r = 0.033, N = 52, P = 0.817), while a negative correlation was found

between the average length of the lateral tubules and the number of spermathecal tubules (Spearman rank correlation: r = -0.407, N = 52, P = 0.03).

#### Discussion

This study provides the first description of the fertilization pouch-spermatheca complex of the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail H. aperta. The overall morphological structure of these organs turns out to be fairly similar to those of other Stylommatophora land snails (e.g., A. arbustorum: Haase and Baur 1995; Baminger and Haase 1999; C. aspersum: Evanno and Madec 2007; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). We also found same morphological pattern among the four populations, which agrees with the previous findings on C. aspersum that reported a consistent spermatheca structure even over consecutive generations, indicating that this has a genetic basis (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). Similar to the studies of Baminger and Haase (1999) and Koemtzopoulos and Staikou (2007), we could distinguish a main tubule and a number of lateral tubules. The main tubule, defined as the longest tubule in the spermatheca, was always shorter than the fertilization chamber. This was also reported for C. aspersum (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007), but not for A. arbustorum where the main tubule was longer than the fertilization chamber in most cases (Baminger and Haase 1999). In the majority of the analyzed spermathecae, the lateral tubules either branched off from the main tubule or from other lateral tubules; however, exceptions to this occurred in 14% of spermathecae where some lateral tubules branched off directly from the fertilization chamber. Although we have no biologically meaningful explanation for this, a similar pattern was reported for C. aspersum (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007).

As expected, the total number of tubules in the spermatheca of H. aperta was variable (3-9 tubules) and was almost similar to that of A. arbustorum (2-9 tubules, Baminger and Haase 1999). Such an intra-specific variability has been previously reported in many other species (see "Introduction"). This large variation within each population may explain why we did not find the hypothesized difference in tubule numbers between the four populations, and thus densities, that we studied (see also Baminger and Haase 1999). This is consistent with earlier, similar studies on six populations of A. arbustorum from the Eastern Alps in Austria (Baminger and Haase 1999) and five populations of C. aspersum from Greece (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). While we did not evaluate the amount of sperm stored, in A. arbustorum, this was also found not to differ or to correlate with density (Baminger and Haase 1999). This may suggest that the

**Table 2** Summary of the models used to test the effect of the fixed factors: density of each population and diameter of the shell of individuals on the measurements of the spermathecal structures and the reproductive organs (response variables)

	Density			Shell diameter			Density $\times$ shell diameter		
	df	F or $\chi^2$	Р	df	F or $\chi^2$	Р	df	F or $\chi^2$	Р
Spermathecal structures									
Number of tubules	3, 44	0.910	0.823	1, 44	$\approx 0.001$	$\approx 1.000$	3, 44	1.058	0.787
Length of fertilization chamber	3, 44	14.799	0.0020	1, 44	0.190	0.6629	3, 44	0.975	0.8072
Length of main tubule	3, 44	5.452	0.0028	1, 44	1.587	0.2144	3, 44	0.223	0.8803
Average length of lateral tubules	3, 44	101.41	< .0001	1, 44	1.0215	0.3122	3, 44	1.898	0.5938
Reproductive organs									
Diverticulum	3, 44	2.327	0.0877	1, 44	0.466	0.4983	3, 44	2.115	0.1119
Bursa stalk	3, 44	0.137	0.9871	1, 44	0.338	0.5611	3, 44	0.477	0.9240
Dart sac	3, 44	0.856	0.4713	1, 44	0.111	0.7410	3, 44	0.781	0.5108
Epiphallus	3, 44	1.030	0.3885	1, 44	0.077	0.7833	3, 44	1.306	0.2844
Flagellum	3, 44	1.023	0.3918	1, 44	0.024	0.8772	3, 44	0.995	0.4039
Digitiform glands	3, 44	0.393	0.7584	1, 44	0.0001	0.9911	3, 44	0.371	0.7741

The  $\times$  in the last column indicates the tested interaction between the fixed factors

 $\chi^2$  values are indicated in italic

actual number of spermathecal tubules does not reflect the outcome of sperm competition and may not be subject to sexual selection (cf. Baminger and Haase 1999; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). Moreover, if the number of spermathecal tubules is genetically controlled or depends on an individual's age or maturity (as proposed by Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007 and Baminger and Haase 1999, respectively), this could also explain the absence of a correlation between population density and the number of spermathecal tubules. However, a study of the spermathecal ontogeny of individuals of A. arbustorum revealed that the development of lateral tubules is not simultaneous, but that these branch off successively from the main tubule, which develops first (Baminger and Haase 2002). Furthermore, and importantly, that study revealed that the final number of spermathecal tubules is already reached in subadult individuals (Baminger and Haase 2002). The latter indicates that the number of spermathecal tubules probably does not change after maturity is reached.

Interestingly, our data reveal a significant positive relationship between the average length of the fertilization chamber, main tubule, and lateral tubules with population density. This contradicts the findings of the previous studies on both *A. arbustorum* and *C. aspersum* (Baminger and Haase 1999; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007) in which density was found not to have any effect on the length of spermathecal structures. In this context, it is noteworthy that the populations' densities in the two previous studies and the present one are clearly different (Baminger and Haase 1999: 0.9–39.8 adults/m<sup>2</sup>; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007: 11.4–42.3 adults/m<sup>2</sup>), although this is probably not enough to explain the difference between the species. Of course, this could also simply be due to differences between the species, as Lodi et al. (2017/in press) also reported for other reproductive traits in land snails.

Moreover, it should be noted that in the previous studies and in the present one, snail density was used as a proxy for sperm competition intensity. Although there generally seems to be a positive relation between density or group size and sperm competition in many animals (prosobranch gastropods: Oppliger et al. 1998; insects: Gage 1995; birds: Birkhead and Møller 1992; mammals: Møller and Birkhead 1989; Hirudinea annelids: Tan et al. 2004; anuran amphibians: Buzatto et al. 2015), the only evidence that we have for land snails is the difference observed in mating frequencies among populations of C. aspersum from contrasting local densities, which also persisted in the F1 generation snails (Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007). However, density and the minimum number of estimated fathers do seem to be positively correlated in egg clutches of other snails (e.g., Lymnaea stagnalis: Nakadera et al. 2017). Therefore, density may reflect different sperm competition intensities in the species studied here, but this really needs to be confirmed by a paternity study. So far, we have no detailed information about multiple matings in H. aperta and whether mating frequency tends to increase with increasing population density (as also pointed out for A. arbustorum, see Baminger and Haase 2000). Moreover, it should also be taken into account that population density may not be stable over time in the populations, which would result in fluctuating sperm competition intensity (as also argued by Baminger and Haase 2000).

One likely explanation for having longer sperm storage organs would be a higher sperm storage capacity (Pitnick et al. 1999: Miller and Pitnick 2003). When attempting to explain the patterns of sperm utilization in C. aspersum snails, Rogers and Chase (2002) proposed a mechanism based on the activity of the allosperm in the spermatheca. The authors suggested that the unified beating of the flagella of the resident sperm would generate resistance to incoming sperm from subsequent mates (Rogers and Chase 2002), with this resistance becoming stronger when the sperm numbers are higher (Beese et al. 2006). In turn, this may have favored the increase in the length of spermathecal tubules (Beese et al. 2008), which would predict that more sperm can be stored, possibly also from more mating partners (see Baminger and Haase 1999; Pitnick et al. 1999; Miller and Pitnick 2003). Indeed, in land snails, sperm has been reported to be stored with their heads in tight contact with the epithelium of the spermathecal tubules (A. arbustorum: Bojat et al. 2001b; C. aspersum: Rogers and Chase 2002; Bradybaena fruticum: Bojat et al. 2002). Hence, longer spermathecal tubules may provide a larger surface area for sperm anchoring (Bojat et al. 2001b). In separate-sex species, as a result of an enhanced sperm storage capacity, females may benefit from a greater control over the fertilization process (Pitnick et al. 1999; Miller and Pitnick 2003) and possibly enhance cryptic female choice (Simmons 2001). In the previous studies, the only reported change was the volume expansion in the spermatheca of A. arbustorum snails occurring after sperm uptake. However, it was an expansion in the diameter of tubules and not their length (see Beese and Baur 2006). In our case, we did not consider the diameter of the tubules and we did not quantify the amount of sperm stored, since we sampled snails with an unknown mating history.

Ultimately, the actual effect of such complex spermathecae, and their variability, on paternity success remains to be demonstrated in land snail species (see also Baminger and Haase 1999). On one hand, considerable variation in sperm utilization patterns has been reported in investigations of double or triple mated snails (Murray 1964; Baur 1994; Evanno et al. 2005; Garefalaki et al. 2010). On the other hand, so far, increase in paternity success seems to be mostly linked to behavioural and some anatomical traits (Landolfa et al. 2001; Rogers and Chase 2002; Garefalaki et al. 2010) which act mainly on the number of sperm transferred and stored. This could suggest that the outcome of sperm competition may be determined by the proportional representation of sperm in the spermstorage organ if sperm are randomly selected for use, as proposed by Landolfa et al. (2001), but this also remains to be demonstrated.

The inverse correlation that we found between shell size and population density confirms the findings on other snail species investigated either in the field or laboratory (Williamson et al. 1976; Oosterhoff 1977; Tattersfield 1981; Baur 1988; Perry and Arthur 1991). Baur (1988) hypothesized that food unpalatability caused by mucus deposition slows down juvenile growth rate in high density populations, resulting in small adults and even reduced fecundity in subsequent years. In contrast, Baminger and Haase (1999) did not find any density dependence of shell breadth in their study on *A. arbustorum*, probably because of the influence of environmental factors. Similarly, Koemtzopoulos and Staikou (2007) did not find such a relation in their populations of *C. aspersum* from the highest humidity sites, which may partly confirm the interpretation of Baminger and Haase (1999).

The lengths of the reproductive organs considered in this study (dart sac, digitiform glands, epiphallus, flagellum, bursa copulatrix tract/bursa stalk, and diverticulum), which were expected to interact with the sperm-storage organs morphology (see "Materials and methods"), were not seen to vary significantly among the different populations investigated. Furthermore, they were not correlated with snail density. On the contrary, in A. arbustorum, the size of the same organs was found to be inversely related to local snail density in six natural populations (Baminger and Haase 2000). As mentioned above, these authors also attributed such a relation to the potential inhibitory effect of the presence of lots of snail mucus. As a consequence, they could not unambiguously determine the possible effect of sperm competition on the variation of these organs, which was the main goal of their study.

Given that we found no effect of shell size, this seems to indicate that the sperm storage organ is developmentally independent from body size in H. aperta, which is in agreement with most previous studies (Haase and Baur 1995; Baminger and Haase 1999, 2002; Bojat and Haase 2002; Koemtzopoulos and Staikou 2007; but see Beese et al. 2006). In addition, contrary to our expectations, no correlation was detected between any of the reproductive organs considered and the spermathecal structures of H. aperta. In contrast, phylogenetic study on the presence and complexity of the spermatheca in 47 Stylommatophora gastropods found that the complexity of this organ was associated with the occurrence of love darts and long flagella Beese et al. (2008). While this indicates an evolutionary association between these reproductive structures across species, we do not find this same relationship back when comparing within a single species.

Overall, our findings in *H. aperta* are suggestive of sexual selection acting on the structure of the spermatheca. Hence, these results contribute to a better understanding of the evolution of the diverse and complex sperm-storage organs seen in land snail species and even in other animal groups. Clearly, studies on these aspects in more organisms, with the inclusion of other factors such as behavioural traits as was done very recently in *C. aspersum* 

(Garefalaki et al. 2017), can still further expand our understanding of sperm storage.

Acknowledgements We thank K. Montagne-Wajer from the Vrije Universiteit and the team of the laboratory "Anatomie Pathologique" of the University of Bejaia/Algeria for the assistance and help in histological work. We also thank A. Staikou for sharing her density estimation method and V. Zizzari for the valuable comments on the manuscript.

#### References

- Arnqvist G, Rowe L (2002) Antagonistic coevolution between the sexes in a group of insects. Nature 415:787–789
- Baer B, Armitage SAO, Boomsma JJ (2006) Sperm storage induces an immunity cost in ants. Nature 441:872–887. doi:10.1038/ nature04698
- Bakst MR, Bird DM (1987) Location of oviductal sperm-storage tubules in the American kestrel. Auk 104:321–324
- Baminger H, Haase M (1999) Variation in spermathecal morphology and amount of sperm stored in populations of the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *Arianta arbustorum*. J Zool Lond 249:165–171. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1999.tb00754.x
- Baminger H, Haase M (2000) Variation of distal genitalia in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail Arianta arbustorum (Pulmonata, Stylommatophora) caused by sexual selection? Biol J Linn Soc 71:599–613. doi:10.1006/bijl.2000.0453
- Baminger H, Haase M (2002) Development of the sperm storage organ in *Arianta arbustorum* (Stylommatophora: Helicidae). J Moll Stud 68:192–194. doi:10.1093/mollus/68.2.192
- Baur B (1988) Population regulation in the land snail Arianta arbustorum: density effects on adult size, clutch size and incidence of egg cannibalism. Oecologia 77:390–394. doi:10. 1007/BF00378049
- Baur B (1994) Multiple paternity and individual variation in sperm precedence in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *Arianta arbustorum*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 35:413–421. doi:10. 1007/BF00165844
- Baur B (1998) Sperm competition in molluscs. In: Birkhead TR, Møller AP (eds) Sperm competition and sexual selection. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 255–305. doi:10.1016/B978-012100543-6/50033-7
- Beese K, Baur B (2006) Expandable spermatheca influences sperm storage in the simultaneously hermaphroditic snail Arianta arbustorum. Invert Reprod Dev 49:93–101. doi:10.1080/ 07924259.2006.9652198
- Beese K, Beier K, Baur B (2006) Coevolution of male and female reproductive traits in a simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail. J Evol Biol 19:410–418. doi:10.1111/j.14209101.2005.01022.x
- Beese K, Armbruster GFJ, Beier K, Baur B (2008) Evolution of female sperm-storage organs in the c arrefour of stylommatophoran gastropods. J Zool Syst Evol Res 47:49–60. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2008.00491.x
- Benbellil- Tafoughalt S, Sahnoune M, de Vaufleury A, Moali A (2009) Effects of temperature and photoperiod on growth and reproduction of the land snail *Helix aperta* Born (Gastropoda, Pulmonata). Rev Ecol (Terre et Vie) 64:207–219
- Benbellil-Tafoughalt S, Koene MJ (2015) Influence of season, temperature, and photoperiod on growth of the land snail *Helix aperta*. Invert Reprod Dev 59:37–43. doi:10.1080/07924259. 2014.996300
- Benbellil-Tafoughalt S, Sahnoune M, de Vaufleury A, Moali A (2011) Influence of sampling date on reproduction in the land snail

*Helix aperta* kept under controlled conditions of temperature and photoperiod. Rev Écol (Terre Vie) 66:43–54

- Birkhead TR, Møller AP (1992) number and size of sperm storage tubules and the duration of sperm storage in birds: a comparative study. Biol J Linn Soc 45:363–372. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312. 1992.tb00649.x
- Birkhead TR, Møller AP (1993) Sexual selection and the temporal separation of reproductive events: sperm storage data from reptile, birds and mammals. Biol J Linn Soc 50:295–311. doi:10. 1111/j.1095-8312.1993.tb00933.x
- Bloch Qazi MC, Aprille JR, Lewis SM (1998) Female role in sperm storage in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. Comp Biochem Phys A 120:641–647. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(98)10081-8
- Bloch Qazi MC, Heifetz Y, Wolfner MF (2003) The developments between gametogenesis and fertilization: ovulation and female sperm storage in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev Biol 256:195–211. doi:10.1016/S0012-1606(02)00125-2
- Bojat NC, Haase M (2002) Sperm storage in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail Arianta arbustorum. J Zool Lond 258:497–503. doi:10.1017/S0952836902001656
- Bojat NC, Dürrenberger M, Haase M (2001a) The spermatheca in the land snail, Arianta arbustorum (Pulmonata: stylommatophora): Muscle system and potential role in sexual selection. Invertebr Biol 120:217–226. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7410.2001.tb00032.x
- Bojat NC, Sauder U, Haase M (2001b) The spermathecal epithelium, sperm and their interactions in the hermaphroditic land snail *Arianta arbustorum* (Pulmonata, Stylommatophora). Zoomorphology 120:149–157. doi:10.1007/s004350000031
- Bojat NC, Sauder U, Haase M (2002) Functional anatomy of the sperm storage organs in Pulmonata: the simple spermatheca of *Bradybaena fructicum* (Gastropoda, Stylommatophora). Zoomorphology 121:243–255. doi:10.1007/s00435-002-0062-z
- Brillard JP (1993) Sperm storage and transport following natural mating and artificial insemination. Poult Sci 72:923–928. doi:10. 3382/ps.0720923
- Buzatto B, Roberts D, Simmons L (2015) Sperm competition and the evolution of precopulatory weapons: increasing male density promotes sperm competition and reduces selection on arm strength in a chorusing frog. Evolution 69:2613–2624. doi:10. 1111/evo.12766
- Conner J, Crews D (1980) Sperm transfer and storage in the lizard, Anolis carolinensis. J Morphol 163:331–348. doi:10.1002/jmor. 1051630307
- Córdoba-Aguilar A, Uhía E, Cordero Rivera A (2003) Sperm competition in Odonata (Insecta): the evolution of female sperm storage and rivals' sperm displacement. J Zool 261:381–398. doi:10.1017/S0952836903004357
- Davison A, Wade CM, Mordan PB, Chiba S (2005) Sex and darts in slugs and snails (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Stylommatophora). J Zool Lond 267:329–338. doi:10.1017/S0952836905007648
- de Vaufleury A, Gimbert F (2009) Obtention du cycle de vie complet d'Helix aperta Born de sites tunisiens en conditions contrôlées: influence de la photopériode. C. R. Biologie 332:795–805. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2009.04.004
- Eberhard WG (1985) Sexual selection and animal genitalia. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, pp 1–265
- Eberhard WG (1996) Female control: sexual selection by cryptic female choice. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 1–501
- Eckstut ME, Sever DM, White ME, Crother BI (2009) Phylogentic analysis of sperm storage in female squamates. In: Dahnof LT (ed) Animal reproduction: new research developments. Nova Science Publishers Inc, New York, pp 185–218
- Elliot JM (1971) Some methods for the statistical analysis of samples of benthic invertebrates. Freshwater Biol Assoc Sci Publ 25:1–144

- Evanno G, Madec L (2007) Variation morphologique de la spermathèque chez l'escargot terrestre *Cantareus aspersus*. C R Biologies 330:722–727. doi:10.1016/j.crvi.2007.07.005
- Evanno G, Madec L, Arnaud JF (2005) Multiple paternity and postcopulatory sexual selection in a hermaphrodite: what influences sperm precedence in the garden snail *Helix aspersa*. Mol Ecol 14:805–812. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02449.x
- Gage MJG (1995) Continuous variation in reproductive strategy as an adaptive response to population density in the moth *Plodia interpunctella*. Proc R Soc Lond B 261:25–30. doi:10.1098/rspb. 1995.0112
- Garefalaki ME, Triantafyllidis A, Abatzopoulos TJ, Staikou A (2010) The outcome of sperm competition is affected by behavioural and anatomical reproductive traits in a simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail. J Evol Biol 23:966–976. doi:10.1111/j. 14209101.2010.01964.x
- Garefalaki ME, Kalyva S, Janicke T, Staikou A (2017) Intraspecific variation in reproductive characters is associated with the strength of sexual selection in the hermaphroditic land snail *Cornu aspersum.* Behav Ecol Sociobiol 71(10):150
- Germain L (1930) Mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles (1ère partie). In : Lechevalier P (ed) Faune de France, Paris 21:1–477
- Germain L (1931) Mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles (2e partie), 26 planches. In: Lechevalier P (ed) Faune de France, Paris 22:478–897
- Giusti F, Andreini S (1988) Morphological and ethological aspects of mating in two species of the family Helicidae (Gastropoda Pulmonata) *Theba pisana* (Müller) and *Helix aperta* (Born). Monit Zool Ital (NS) 22:331–363
- Haase M, Baur B (1995) Variation in spermathecal morphology and storage of spermatozoa in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail Arianta arbustorum. Invert Reprod Dev 28:33–41. doi:10.1080/07924259.1995.9672461
- Han XK, Zhang L, Li MY, Bao HJ, Hei NN, Chen QS (2008) Seasonal changes of sperm storage and correlative structures in male and female soft-shelled turtles, *Trionyx sinensis*. Anim Reprod Sci 108:435–445. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2007.09.011
- Hellriegel B, Ward PI (1998) Complex female reproductive tract morphology: its possible use in postcopulatory female choice. J Theor Biol 190:179–186. doi:10.1006/jtbi.1997.0546
- Holt WV (2011) Mechanisms of sperm storage in the female reproductive tract: an interspecies comparison. Reprod Domest Anim 46:68–74. doi:10.1111/j.14390531.2011.01862.x
- Holt WV, Fazeli A (2016) Sperm storage in the female reproductive tract. Annu Rev Anim Biosci 4:291–310. doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-021815-111350
- Kalb J, DiBenedetto AJ, Wolfner MF (1993) Probing the function of Drosophila melanogaster accessory glands by directed cell ablation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:8093–8097. doi:10.1073/ pnas.90.17.8093
- Keller L, Reeve HK (1994) Why do females mate with multiple males? The sexually selected sperm hypothesis. Adv Study Behav 24:291–315
- Kerney MP, Cameron RAD (1979) A field guide to the land snails of Britain and NW Europe. William Collins Sons & Co Ltd, London, p 288
- Koemtzopoulos E, Staikou A (2007) Variation in spermathecal morphology is independent of sperm competition intensity in populations of the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *Cornu aspersum*. Zoology 110:139–146. doi:10.1016/j.zool. 2006.10.001
- Koene JM, Schulenburg M (2005) Shooting darts: co-evolution and counter-adaptation in hermaphroditic snails. BMC Evol Biol 5:25. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-5-25
- Ktari MH, Rezig M (1976) La faune malacologique de la Tunisie septentrionale. Bull Soc Sc Nat Tunisie 11:31–74

- Landolfa MA, Green DM, Chase R (2001) Dart shooting influences paternal reproductive success in the snail Helix aspersa (Pulmonata, Stylommatophora). Behav Ecol 12:773–777. doi:10. 1093/beheco/12.6.773
- Lind H (1973) The functional significance of the spermatophore and the fate of spermatozoa in the genital tract of *Helix pomatia* (Gastropoda: Stylommatophora). J Zool Lond 169:39–64. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1973.tb04652.x
- Liu H, Kubli E (2003) Sex-peptide is the molecular basis of the sperm effect in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:9929–9933. doi:10.1073/pnas.1631700100
- Lodi M, Staikou A, Janssen R, Koene JM (2017) High level of sperm competition may increase transfer of accessory gland products carried by the love-dart of land snails. Ecol Evol
- Michiels NK (1998) Mating conflicts and sperm competition in simultaneous hermaphrodites. In: Birkhead TR, Møller AP (eds) Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection. Academic Press, London, pp 219–248
- Miller GT, Pitnick S (2003) Functional significance of seminal receptacle length in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Evol Biol 16:114–126
- Minoretti N, Baur B (2006) Among- and within-population variation in sperm quality in the simultaneously hermaphroditic land snail *Arianta arbustorum*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 60:270–280. doi:10. 1007/s00265-006-0165-5
- Møller AP, Birkhead TR (1989) Copulation behaviour of mammals: evidence that sperm competition is widespread. Biol J Linn Soc 38:119–131. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1989.tb01569.x
- Murray J (1964) Multiple mating and effective population size in *Cepea nemoralis*. Evolution 18:283–291. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1964.tb01601.x
- Nakadera Y, Mariën J, Van Straalen NM, Koene MJ (2017) Multiple mating in natural populations of a simultaneous hermaphrodite, *Lymnaea stagnalis*. J Moll Stud 83:56–62. doi:10.1093/mollus/ eyw043
- Neubaum DM, Wolfner MF (1999) Wise, winsome or weird: mechanisms of sperm storage in female animals. Curr Top Dev Biol 41:67–97. doi:10.1016/S0070-2153(08)60270-7
- Novo M, Fernández R, Granado-Yela C, Gutiérrez López M, DíazCosín DJ (2013) Does the order of copulation matter? Experimental paternity analyses in the earthworm *Hormo-gasterelisae* (Annelida: Hormogastridae). Pedobiologia 56:97–104. doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2013.01.002
- Oosterhoff LM (1977) Variation in growth rate as an ecological factor in the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.). Neth J Zool 27:1–132. doi:10.1163/002829677X00072
- Oppliger A, Hosken D, Ribi G (1998) Snail sperm production characteristics vary with sperm competition risk. Proc R Soc Lond B265:1527–1534. doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0468
- Orr TJ, Zuk M (2012) Sperm storage quick guide. Curr Biol 22:R8– R10. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.003
- Orr TJ, Zuk M (2014) Reproductive delays in mammals: an unexplored avenue for post-copulatory sexual selection. Biol Rev 89:889–912. doi:10.1111/brv.12085
- Pamilo P (1991) Lifespan of queens in the ant *Formica exsecta*. Ins Soc 38:111–119. doi:10.1007/BF01240961
- Parker GA (1970) Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. Biol Rev Cambridge Philos Soc 45:525–567. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1970.tb01176.x
- Parker GA (1984) Sperm competition and the evolution of animal mating systems. In: Smith RL (ed) Sperm competition and the evolution of mating systems. Academic Press, New York. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-652570-0.50008-7
- Pearse DE, Avise JC (2001) Turtle mating systems: behavior, sperm storage, and genetic paternity. J Hered 92:206–211. doi:10.1093/ jhered/92.2.206

- Peng J, Chen S, Büsser S, Liu H, Honegger T, Kubli E (2005) Gradual release of sperm bound sex-peptide controls female postmating behavior in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 15:207–215. doi:10.1016/j. cub.2005.01.034
- Perry R, Arthur W (1991) Environmental effects on adult shell size in Cepaea hortensis. Biol J Linn Soc 43:273–279. doi:10.1111/j. 1095-8312.1991.tb00599.x
- Pitnick S, Markow TA, Spicer GS (1995) Delayed male maturity is a cost of producing large sperm in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:10614–10618. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.23.10614
- Pitnick S, Markow TA, Spicer GS (1999) Evolution of multiple kinds of female sperm storage in Drosophila. Evolution 53:1804–1822. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb04564.x
- Pitnick S, Miller GT, Schneider K, Markow TA (2003) Ejaculate– female coevolution in *Drosophila mojavensis*. Proc R Soc B 270:1507–1512
- Pizzari T, Parker GA (2009) Sperm competition and sperm phenotype. In: Birkhead TR, Hosken DJ, Pitnick S (eds) Sperm biology: an evolutionary perspective. Academic Press, London, pp 207–245
- Ribou AC, Reinhardt K (2012) Reduced metabolic rate and oxygen radicals production in stored insect sperm. Proc R Soc B 279:2196–2203. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.2422
- Rigby JE (1965) *Succinea putris*, a terrestrial opisthobranch mollusc. Proc R Soc Lond B 144:445–487. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998. 1965.tb05194.x
- Rogers DW, Chase R (2002) Determinants of paternity in the garden snail *Helix aspersa*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 52:289–295. doi:10. 1007/s00265-002-0519-6
- Sasanami T, Matsuzaki M, Mizushima S, Hiyama G (2013) Sperm storage in the female reproductive tract in Birds. J Reprod Dev 59:334–338. doi:10.1262/jrd.2013-038
- Schileyko LV, Schileyko AA (1992) Additional findings of the morphology of the proximal part of the reproductive system of the Stylommatophora (Gastropoda, Pulmonata). Harvard Univ Mus Comp Zool Spec Occ Publ 9:1–13
- Schütt H (2001) Die Turkische Land schnechen: 1758–2000. Acta Biologica Benrodis. 4:1–549
- Sever DM (1991) Sperm storage and degradation in the spermathecae of the salamander *Eurycea cirrigera*. J Morphol 210:71–84. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052100107
- Sever DM (1997) Sperm storage in the spermatheca of the red-back salamander, *Plethodon cinereus* (Amphibia: Pletho-dontidae).
   J Morphol 234:131–146. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4687(199711)234:2<131:AID-JMOR2>3.0.CO;2-F
- Sever DM (2002) Female sperm storage in amphibians. J Exp Zool 292:165–179. doi:10.1002/jez.1152

- Sever DM, Brizzi R (1998) Comparative Biology of Sperm Storage in Female Salamanders. J Exp Zool 282:460–476. doi:10.1002/ (SICI)1097-010X(199811/12)282:4/5<460:AID-JEZ5>3.0
- Sever DM, Moriarty EC, Raina LC, Hamlet WC (2001) Sperm storage in the oviduct of the internal fertilizing frog Ascaphus truei. J Morphol 248:1–21. doi:10.1002/jmor.1017
- Sever DM, Hamlet WC, Slabach R, Stephenson B, Verrell PA (2003) Internal fertilization in the *Anura* with special reference to mating and female sperm storage in *Ascaphus*. In: Jamieson BGM (ed) Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of *Anura*. Science Publishers Inc, Enfield, pp 319–341
- Simmons LW (2001) Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Staikou A (2001) Spermathecal morphology and variation of spermathecal sperm content in two populations of the land snails *Helix lucorum* and *Cepaea vindobonensis*, differing in timing and duration of reproductive period. Abstracts, World Congress of Malacology, Vienna
- Suarez SS (2008) Regulation of sperm storage and movement in the mammalian oviduct. Int J Dev Biol 52:455–462. doi:10.1387/ ijdb.072527ss
- Tan GN, Govedich FR, Burd M (2004) Social group size, potential sperm competition and reproductive investment in a hermaphroditic leech, *Helobdella papillornata* (Euhirudinea: Glossiphoniidae). J Evol Biol 17:574–580. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00692.x
- Tattersfield P (1981) Density and environmental effects on shell size in some sand dune snail populations. Biol J Linn Soc 16:71–81. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1981.tb01845.x
- Tompa AS (1984) Land snails (Stylommatophora). In: Tompa AS, Verdonk NH, van den Biggelaar JAM (eds) The Mollusca, vol VII. Reproduction. Academic Press, London, pp 47–140
- Tram U, Wolfner MF (1999) Male seminal fluid proteins are essential for sperm storage in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 153:837–844
- van Mol JJ (1971) Notes anatomiques sur les Bulimulidae (Mollusques, Gastéropodes, Pulmonés). Annales Société Royale Zoologique de Belgique 101:183–226
- Walker WF (1980) Sperm utilization strategies in nonsocial insects. Am Nat 115:780–799. doi:10.1086/283600
- Whelan NW, Strong EE (2014) Seasonal reproductive anatomy and sperm storage in pleurocerid gastropods (Cerithiodea: Pleuroceridae). Can J Zool 92:989–995. doi:10.1139/cjz-2014-0165
- Williamson P, Cameron RAD, Carter MA (1976) Population density affecting adult shell size of snail *Cepaea nemoralis* L. Nature 263:496–497. doi:10.1038/263496b0
- Yildirim MZ (2004) Edible snails (Terrestrial) of Turkey. Turk J Zool 28:329–335