

VU Research Portal

Genetic and Molecular Approaches to Study Neuronal Migration in the Developing Cerebral Cortex

Dudok, Jacobus J; Leonards, Pim E G; Wijnholds, Jan

published in

Brain sciences
2017

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.3390/brainsci7050053](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci7050053)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license

CC BY

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Dudok, J. J., Leonards, P. E. G., & Wijnholds, J. (2017). Genetic and Molecular Approaches to Study Neuronal Migration in the Developing Cerebral Cortex. *Brain sciences*, 7(5), [53]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci7050053>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Review

Genetic and Molecular Approaches to Study Neuronal Migration in the Developing Cerebral Cortex

Jacobus J. Dudok ^{1,*}, Pim E. G. Leonards ¹ and Jan Wijnholds ^{2,3}

¹ Department of Environment and Health, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1087, Amsterdam 1081 HV, The Netherlands; pim.leonards@vu.nl

² Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Meibergdreef 47, Amsterdam 1105 BA, The Netherlands; j.wijnholds@lumc.nl

³ Department of Ophthalmology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, Leiden 2300 RC, The Netherlands

* Correspondence: j.j.dudok@vu.nl; Tel.: +31-0-20-598-3521

Academic Editor: Kazuhito Toyooka

Received: 9 March 2017; Accepted: 2 May 2017; Published: 5 May 2017

Abstract: The migration of neuronal cells in the developing cerebral cortex is essential for proper development of the brain and brain networks. Disturbances in this process, due to genetic abnormalities or exogenous factors, leads to aberrant brain formation, brain network formation, and brain function. In the last decade, there has been extensive research in the field of neuronal migration. In this review, we describe different methods and approaches to assess and study neuronal migration in the developing cerebral cortex. First, we discuss several genetic methods, techniques and genetic models that have been used to study neuronal migration in the developing cortex. Second, we describe several molecular approaches to study aberrant neuronal migration in the cortex which can be used to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of neuronal migration. Finally, we describe model systems to investigate and assess the potential toxicity effect of prenatal exposure to environmental chemicals on proper brain formation and neuronal migration.

Keywords: cortex; migration; neuron; progenitor; knockout; genetic; molecular

1. Introduction

During embryonic development, the central nervous system develops in a number of distinct steps. First, the chorda dorsalis instructs the ectoderm to form a neural fold, after which closure of this fold leads to the formation of the neural tube. Subsequently, the compartmentalization of the neural tube results in the formation of the prosencephalon, mesencephalon, and rhombencephalon which eventually develop into the forebrain, midbrain, and hindbrain, respectively. After the development of these different areas, the birth of neuronal cells begins, and these cells start migrating towards their proper position. This is performed in an “inside–outside” fashion that eventually results in a neocortex with six different and distinguishable layers. In the developing cortex, at the ventricular side of the cortex, there is a pool of progenitor cells where neurogenesis takes place. This area of neurogenesis is called the ventricular zone, and later during neurodevelopment basally of the ventricular zone, the subventricular zone forms. In these zones, progenitor cells undergo interkinetic nuclear migration (INM), meaning that their nuclei migrate basally and apically in this ventricular zone during their cell cycle [1,2]. Several apical localized proteins reside at the apical plasma membrane facing the future cortical ventricles. Removal of several of these proteins results in both a disrupted apical membrane, as well as affected cell division and aberrant neuronal migration [3].

In the 1960s, the first studies appeared in which neuronal migration in different model systems was investigated. During that period, research was performed using autoradiographic labelling and histological methods to assess the migration of neurons in rats and chicken embryos [4,5]. These studies were quickly complemented with in vitro studies of dorsal root ganglia tissue and organotypic cultures [6–9]. In the last 30 years, there has been extensive research on the process of neuronal migration, the molecular events that dictate this migration, aberrant neuronal migration due to genetic abnormalities, and the result of disturbance of this migration due to exogenous factors. Another major factor in the increase in the study of neuronal migration during the 1990s was the development of the mouse knockout technique, which allowed researchers to investigate the function of presumably essential genes in neuronal migration. Furthermore, the development of the in utero electroporation technique resulted in studies on the effects of downregulating genes required for proper migration on neuronal migration, and to allow these cells to express a fluorescent marker to follow the migration of cells with a downregulated gene over time. Finally, the recently developed Crispr-Cas9 technique will create new opportunities to study neuronal migration in further detail in vivo in animals, as well as ex vivo in cultured human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived brain structures. In this review, we describe the latest developments in the field of neuronal migration and give an overview of the currently widely used different genetic and molecular approaches to study neuronal migration in the developing cortex. To achieve this, we first describe several widely-used animal models to study neuronal migration and then mouse knockouts with altered or aberrant neuronal migration. Thereafter, we elaborate on both the in utero electroporation technique, as well as the Crispr-Cas9 technique, and the new opportunities this will create in the study of neuronal migration. Finally, we discuss a number of models that can be used to assess the effect of exogenous factors—such as environmental chemicals—on brain development and neuronal migration.

2. Animal Models to Study Neuronal Migration

In the field of embryology and (neuro) development, there are many animal models which are widely used to study development. Some of these animal models are more appropriate in the use of molecular approaches for the study of neuronal migration, whereas other models are used to study neuronal migration using a genetic approach, or a combination of both a molecular as well as a genetic approach. In the field of neuronal migration, the most widely used animal model is the mouse. Although there are other animal models—including chicken embryos, zebrafish, and *C. elegans*—which are employed to study (brain) development, these are mostly used for more fundamental studies of (brain) development, and studying the effect of environmental chemicals and potential toxic compounds on brain development. The reason as to why mice are the most widely used animal model in this field of study is mostly due to the fact that genetic manipulation of the mouse has been used to study the involvement of several genes in neuronal migration, or to identify novel genes important for neuronal migration. Furthermore, in mice there are a number of mutant strains available which have aberrant neuronal migration. One of the best-known examples is the so-called reeler mouse, in which the *reelin* gene is defective [10–12]. Interestingly, this mouse has a very prominent cortical phenotype as the layering of the cortex is inverted [13]. Another related natural occurring mutant strain is the so-called scrambler mouse strain [14,15]. This mouse mutant displays a phenotype which is very comparable to the reeler mouse which was the result of aberrant splicing in the *mdab1* gene [16]. Although these mutant mouse strains (especially the reeler mutant mice) have been used to study the mechanisms behind neuronal migration in the pre-genetic recombination era, research in the field of neuronal migration increased significantly after the development and introduction of the genetic recombination technique in mice. In the next section, we describe the different genetic techniques, approaches, and genetically altered mouse models which have been used to study the mechanisms involved in neuronal migration and have contributed new information on these mechanisms.

3. Genetic Techniques

3.1. Classical Genetic Knockout and Knockin Mice

Since the development of the genetic recombination technique in mice in the 1980s and 1990s, it has been used to specifically delete a gene (“knockout”) to study the effects of its removal [17,18]. In the field of neuronal migration, this has led to the development of a number of mouse mutants with aberrant neuronal migration in the cortex. Knockout mice in which neuronal migration and layering are affected include the *p35*, *FGF-2*, *PS-1*, and *EMX2* [19–22]. Additionally, instead of specifically deleting a gene of interest, a subtle (point) mutation can be inserted in a specific gene of interest, the so-called gene-targeted knockin. This has been used in the *TrkB* gene, in which targeted mutation resulted in an altered TrkB protein where the Shc and PLC γ docking sites are non-functional [23]. This is an elegant approach to complement a physiological knockout study, to shed more light on the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms of a gene knockout mediated neuronal migration phenotype.

One disadvantage of a global knockout is that deleting a gene which has an essential role in early embryogenesis results in early lethality and therefore prevents studying the role of this gene in later embryogenesis processes. This can sometimes be circumvented by inactivating only one allele of a gene of interest, which in some mouse models still gives a clear phenotype, such as *Lis1* heterozygous knockout mice [24]. However, two other approaches are used to circumvent this problem: spatial, and/or temporal regulation of removal of a gene of interest.

3.2. Conditional Genetic Ablation: Spatial and Temporal Regulation

Using spatial regulation of gene removal, a gene can be specifically deleted in the cortex or the forebrain using the Cre/*loxP* conditional knockout technique. For this technique, two mouse lines are required, one in which the gene of interest (which should be ablated) is flanked by *loxP* recognition sites, and another in which the Cre recombinase expression is under the control of a promoter which specifically activates gene expression in the developing cortex. When crossing a conditional knockout mouse with a Cre reporter line, the gene is specifically and only removed in cells and tissue types in which the Cre recombinase gene is expressed. Cre reporter lines with expression of Cre in the developing cerebral cortex in neuroprogenitor cells (which are widely used to specifically delete a gene in these regions) include the *Emx1-Cre*, *hGFAP-Cre*, and *Nestin-Cre* reporter lines [25–28].

To study neuronal migration in the brain, the most widely used Cre reporter line is the *Emx1-Cre* line, as this line results in widespread expression of Cre protein specifically in the developing cortex and hippocampus, starting at approximately embryonic day 9.5. The *Cre* gene expression is under the control of the *Emx1* gene promoter, enhancers, and silencers resulting in a pattern of Cre expression comparable with the expression of *Emx1* in cortical progenitor cells [27,28]. This Cre reporter line has been used in many studies to investigate the role of different proteins in the developing cortex and neuronal migration [29,30]. In the *hGFAP-Cre* line, *Cre* is under the expression of the human GFAP promoter, resulting in Cre expression widespread in the central nervous system, and expression of Cre starts at E13.5 in the forebrain [31]. In this Cre line, Cre is reported to be expressed in radial glial progenitor cells and their progeny, including neurons [32,33]. Using this Cre line revealed, for example, that loss of 14-3-3 proteins resulted in neuronal migration defects due to the loss of binding of Ndel1 proteins, and the addition of phosphomimetic Ndel1 proteins rescued the neuronal migration phenotype [34]. Furthermore, this Cre reporter line has been used in a number of other studies in which a gene was specifically removed in the central nervous system [35,36].

In the *Nestin-Cre* line, the *Cre* is expressed as a transgene under the rat Nestin promoter, resulting in Cre expression in both the central nervous system and in the peripheral nervous system. In this line Cre recombinase expression, as detected by the Cre recombinase mediated expression of β -galactosidase, is observed from E15.5 onwards and this reporter line has been used to study the role of different genes in neuronal migration in the developing cortex [37–42].

Thus, these Cre reporter lines crossed with conditional knockout mice have been useful tools in specifically deleting a gene in the developing cortex, therefore allowing studies on the role of these genes, and circumvents the embryonic lethality observed in classical knockouts in which the gene is deleted in the germ line. One disadvantage, however, is that these lines display low levels of Cre expression in different cell types. Furthermore, in cells in which only temporarily (during embryonic development) the Cre is expressed, a floxed sequence will be removed. Therefore, one should always consider that an observed phenotype may be due to the fact that the gene of interest has been removed in other cell types than expected. Another important point is that, upon expression of Cre recombinase, it will take approximately 24–48 h before a protein is completely removed. Therefore, only 1–2 days after Cre expression starts a phenotype could be observed, which means that, for example, the Nestin-Cre line is not usable in the study of early embryonic processes as Cre expression in this line starts only at E15.5. Nevertheless, when considering these points, this approach is advantageous for study in an *in vivo* system of the effect of removing a gene on embryonic development and neuronal migration in the forebrain. In addition to conditional deletion of a gene of interest using the cre-loxP technique, there are also reporter lines which can inducibly express Cre to have spatial as well as temporal control of Cre expression. This can be achieved using the CreER technique, where Cre is fused to the estrogen receptor and application of taxomifen through the diet or an injection results in activation of Cre [43,44]. There are some inducible Cre mouse lines that can be used to temporally control Cre expression in the developing brain, such as the Nestin-CreER and GLAST-CreER lines; however, these are rarely used in studies of neuronal migration, and are most often used in genetic fate-mapping and lineage analysis studies [45,46].

3.3. Knockdown of Genes of Interest to Study the Effect on Neuronal Migration

The discovery of short interfering and short hairpin RNAs (siRNA and shRNA, respectively) has led to genetic knockdown strategies in the last decade to reduce the expression of a gene of interest by 50%–90% [47,48]. Initially, this strategy was used in *in vitro* experiments in cultured brain slices, or neuronal cultures where the siRNA oligonucleotides or shRNA constructs were transfected, after which these slices and neuronal cultures were used to study the effect of reducing the expression of a gene of interest [49,50]. In addition to *in vitro* expression by knockdown constructs, a conditional knockdown mouse could be made via injection of a knockdown expression construct where the promoter in front of the shRNA was disrupted by a loxP-stop-loxP cassette to create a transgenic mouse with an inactive promoter. The shRNA was then specifically and temporarily expressed in the developing cortex by crossing with one of the abovementioned Cre reporter lines. Caution should be taken with the so-called off-target effects of short hairpin RNA constructs. Therefore, it is of great importance to first assess the specificity of the used short hairpin RNA construct *in vitro*; and second, to have the proper controls, such as scrambled short hairpin RNA constructs. Additionally, multiple short hairpin RNA sequences should be used to obtain a similar phenotype. Still, there could be some variability in the extent to which the expression of a gene of interest is reduced, which can result in a variable phenotype, or variability in the penetrance of the phenotype. These are major disadvantages in using this technique *in vivo* in transgenic knockdown mouse models, therefore, although there are some transgenic floxed short hairpin mouse models available, these are not widely used in the study of neuronal migration [51,52]. In contrast, another elegant approach to express a shRNA or siRNA in the developing cortex in a subset of cells to achieve a knockdown of a gene of interest is by using *in utero* electroporation, which is described in full detail below.

3.4. *In Utero* Electroporation

As the name suggests, using the *in utero* electroporation technique during prenatal development, mouse embryos can be transfected with a genetic construct. With this technique, efficient transfer of genes in the developing mouse brain can be achieved [53]. A big advantage of this technique is that there is both temporal and spatial control of the transfection, which is achieved by positioning

the pulse electrodes. In this way, in one embryonic brain (for example, in one side of the developing cortex), a transgenic knockdown construct is injected and electroporated, resulting in transfected progenitor cells. In contrast, the other side of the developing cortex is not transfected and is therefore unaffected, resulting in one embryonic cortex which has both an experimental condition as well as a control condition. A disadvantage and a point to consider, however, is the fact that short hairpin RNAs could have off-target effects and that there is a variability in the effect of different short hairpin RNAs. To control for this, one should use both scrambled short hairpin RNAs as a negative control and several short hairpin RNAs with different sequences against the target RNA to select the most efficient short hairpin RNA construct. Additionally, as there will be a variability in the efficiency of reducing expression of a target gene, different short hairpin RNAs should be used to investigate whether a comparable phenotype is observed. However, when these points are taken into account, using in utero electroporation of shRNAs against genes—which are expected to be important for neuronal migration—is an elegant method to study the functions of these genes in neuronal migration.

In several studies, the targeting construct contains both the shRNA against a gene of interest and a fluorescent protein, which has two advantages. First, cells in which knockdown has occurred can be identified relatively easily by the expression of the fluorescent protein. Second, the cells expressing the fluorescent protein can be used for lineage tracing to investigate whether the fluorescent cells (in which the expression of a gene of interest is reduced) behave differently or have another migration pattern than control cells in which the expression of the gene of interest is not downregulated by a shRNA. Additionally, time-lapse imaging can be used to follow and study the migration pattern and speed of fluorescently labelled cells. The method of using a fluorescent protein marker has been employed elegantly in several studies and revealed many genes which are important for proper neuron migration. Knockdown of these genes results in a population of fluorescent cells (which are also expressing the knockdown construct) that showed an aberrant migration pattern [54–57]. Additionally, this method can be used to transfect a developing cortex with fluorescent transcripts to mark a certain population of neural progenitor cells by using the proper promoter. This has been used to reveal N-cadherin expressing cells in the ventricular zone and the structure of these cells [58]. Furthermore, this method can be used to visualize morphology and track the migratory pattern of newborn neurons [54].

3.5. *Crispr-Cas9*

The *Crispr-Cas9* gene editing tool will have a large impact in several research fields, including the field of neuroscience and the study of neuron migration [59]. This method can be used to quickly and relatively simply manipulate the genome, including the mouse genome [60]. As mice are widely used in the field of neuronal migration, it is expected that this methodology will become widely used in the coming years in this research field. Presently, the first studies using *Crispr-Cas9* are emerging [61,62]. *Crispr-Cas9* also allows non-homologous-end-joining (NHEJ) to generate gene and promoter insertion-deletion (indel) mutations, and homologous recombination (HR) to generate gene or promoter knockin, in human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). In combination with recent optimizations in differentiation protocols, this allows the study of neuronal migration and fate mapping in cultured human iPSCs-derived 3D brain structures including e.g., forebrain cortex [63–67]. The main advantage of this emerging technique is that it is relatively quick and easy for manipulations of the genome, both in vivo as in vitro, in cell lines. Although this method showed positive results in some studies, the reliability and efficiency of the *Crispr-Cas9* technique in in utero electroporation experiments needs to be validated. Nonetheless, future neuronal migration studies could also employ *Crispr-Cas9* gene editing studies in patient-derived iPSCs-derived brain structures which allow cellular and molecular mechanistic studies of human instead of animal diseased cortex, that would be advantageous in the further study of the mechanisms of neuronal migration and aberrations in a human model system.

4. Molecular Techniques

Several of the molecular techniques described here are used in genetic models or in combination with genetic techniques. A number of molecular techniques widely used in the field of studying neuronal migration include cell cycle analysis, morphology analysis, and migratory analysis of migration of newly born neuronal cells. Additionally, rescue experiments are performed on a knockout/knockdown basis to elucidate molecular mechanisms and pathways. Several of the abovementioned techniques are used *in vivo*; however, also *in vitro* (organotypic) cell culture models exist to study neuronal migration. The different molecular techniques employed in the field of studying neuronal migration are described in the following paragraphs.

4.1. Cell Cycle Analysis, Spindle Orientation, and Neuronal Migration

Regulation of cell division and the cell cycle in the ventricular and subventricular zone is very important in the birth of newly generated neuronal cells and the disturbance of these processes results in disrupted neuronal migration. Therefore, cell cycle analysis using a number of different molecular approaches is a standard technique used in the field of cortical development. First, the use of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) or ethynyldeoxyuridine (EdU) (an analog of thymidine and a marker for proliferative cells as it is incorporated in newly synthesized DNA in dividing cells) is straightforward in the detection of the amount of cell division which has occurred in a certain time window [68]. Injection of a pregnant mouse, or exposure of living tissue *in vitro*, for example with BrdU and sacrificing the mouse or fixing the *in vitro* tissue after a certain time, and subsequent detection of BrdU positive cells using an anti-BrdU immunostaining, revealed the amount of cell division which has taken place in this period. The time period for BrdU incorporation can be used to assess different parameters concerning cell cycle analysis and cell division. First, a short BrdU exposure, of 30 minutes for example, allows the analysis of the rate of cell division in this period to compare with a control and an experimental condition. Moreover, since a subpopulation of cells is labelled, this can also be used to investigate whether neuronal migration is affected in an experimental condition by comparing the position of the labelled cells in the cortex with the control condition. Normally, these dividing cells still reside in the subventricular zone; however, when neuronal migration is affected, these dividing cells show an aberrant localization pattern outside the subventricular zone. Second, a 24 h exposure to BrdU can be used in combination with anti-Ki67 immunostaining (which is a marker for dividing cells) to determine the population of cells which have exited the cell cycle [69,70]. The population of cells (which are BrdU+ and Ki67- after a 24 h exposure to BrdU) as a percentage of the total pool of BrdU+ cells are the cells which have exited the cell cycle. Again, this could be used to determine whether more cells reside in the subventricular zone in an experimental condition to indicate a defective or affected neuronal migration. Finally, prenatal exposure to BrdU and postnatal analysis of cortical layering could be used to investigate whether progenitor cells (which are born in a certain timeframe) migrated to the proper location in the cortex. There are several genetic mouse models with an aberrant neuron migration which also show a disturbed cell cycle and cell division in the developing cortex, which has been shown using the above-mentioned methods [20,29,71–73].

In addition to cell cycle analysis and rate of division analysis, a widely-used method in studying potential cortical migration abnormalities is the analysis of spindle orientation in mitotic cells in the ventricular zone. Using phospho-histone H3 immunostaining—a marker for mitotic cells—the orientation of the mitotic spindle can also be determined. Vertical orientation of the spindle and cleavage results in symmetric division, whereas horizontal orientation and cleavage of the spindle results in asymmetric division of progenitor cells [74]. Disturbances of spindle orientation and mode of division during cortical development affects the pool of progenitor cells and the different types of neuronal cells which are born from these progenitor cells. Abnormalities in spindle orientation in most cases are associated with disturbed neuronal migration, making it worthwhile to investigate spindle orientation when neuronal migration defects are observed in a (genetic) mutant [75–77]. A main advantage of these methods is that they are relatively quick, easy and straightforward in assessing

whether cell cycle and spindle orientation is affected upon removal of a gene of interest in a mouse model. However, it is important to mention that cell cycle analysis in progenitor cells is related to neuronal migration, but is not a direct measure for neuronal migration. Additionally, cell cycle analysis is an indication for progenitor and neural stem cell behavior. Therefore, abnormalities in neuronal migration in mouse mutants with an aberrant cell cycle may reflect secondary effects.

4.2. Centrosome Position and Function, Cytoskeletal Dynamics, and Time-Lapse Imaging

In addition to cell cycle analysis and spindle orientation, the position and functioning of the centrosome are directly associated with neuronal migration [78,79]. During cortical development, the progenitor cells undergo INM, meaning that their nuclei migrate basally and apically in this ventricular zone during their cell cycle, which is crucial for proper brain development [1,2]. In order for INM to function properly, both a centrosome and a leading process is required. The centrosome is the site where the microtubules emanate, and is positioned just in front of the nucleus. The leading process extends to the basal site of the developing cortex and is stabilized by microtubules, along which the nucleus can migrate. As both the functioning of the centrosome and the leading process are essential for neuronal migration and a direct measure for neuronal migration, it is important to study the functioning of the centrosome, cytoskeletal dynamics, and the leading process. Centrosome function can be studied by using a fluorescent marker for centrosomes combined with time-lapse imaging [80]. Time-lapse imaging can be performed in cortical tissue (which is obtained after in utero electroporation of gene constructs) to knockdown a gene of interest and to coexpress a fluorescent marker in these cells. Using this approach, the INM of progenitor cells can be investigated over longer time periods of hours, thus allowing study of the dynamics of neuronal migration. Using this method, the leading process can also be studied, as shown by the example in [81]. Additionally, using fluorescent microtubule markers such as EB3, both microtubule and cytoskeletal dynamics and the dynamics of INM along these microtubules can be studied. This has been done in the study of Tsai et al., in which the roles of LIS1 and dynein have been elegantly shown in neuronal migration in live brain tissue using time-lapse imaging [82]. In another study using this method, it was shown that microtubule stabilization at the leading process tip is essential for centrosomal movement and neuronal migration [83]. The main advantages of these methods is that they can be used to show a direct effect on neuronal migration of removing or knocking down a gene of interest, and that time-lapse imaging can be used to study the dynamics of this neuronal migration. A disadvantage is that both in utero electroporation and subsequent time-lapse imaging of neuronal migration are relatively difficult techniques for which both considerable time and experience is required in order to properly perform these experiments.

4.3. Techniques to Study Tangential Neuronal Migration

In contrast to radial migration, where progenitor cells migrate from their apical position in the ventricular and subventricular zones towards more basal positions, there is also tangential neuronal migration that occurs in the developing brain. In tangential migration, neurons migrate within the developing brain from one region to another, e.g., interneurons from the ganglionic eminence towards the cerebral cortex [84]. Several of the already mentioned methods and techniques can also be used to study tangential neuronal migration. As these cells are generated in regions other than their definitive position, lineage-tracing is a suitable technique to investigate the original area of cell generation and definitive location [85]. Additionally, viral mediated labeling with fluorescent markers specifically expressed in tangentially migrating progenitor cell populations (in slice cultures) combined with time-lapse imaging, is another suitable method that can be used to investigate and track tangential migration patterns [86,87]. A third method suitable for the study of tangential migration is a focal electroporation technique used to obtain the local electroporation of a fluorescent marker in the ganglionic eminence, which cultures these slices to follow the migration pattern of tangential migration cells [88]. This technique has been used to reveal novel migratory streams of interneurons [88]. Together, these above-mentioned techniques can be used to study tangential migration and migratory patterns.

4.4. Cortical Layer Neuronal Cell Population Markers

There are several markers available that can label the specific population of neuronal cells, and/or the population of neuronal cells in specific cortical layers. Immunohistochemical analysis of cortical tissue (both prenatal and postnatal), can reveal whether the different neuronal cell types are in their appropriate layer, or whether their localization has been disturbed (possibly due to neuronal migration defects). Widely-used cortical layer markers include Ctip2 and Cux1, which are markers for early-born layer V–VI and late-born layer II–IV neurons, respectively [89–91]. Other cortical layer markers include Cux2 and Lhx2, which are markers for layers II/III to IV; Brn2, a marker for layer II/III and V; Rorb, which marks layer IV; Opn3, which is a marker for layer V; and FoxP2, which is a marker for layer VI [91]. In the developing cortex, Pax6, Tuj1, and Tbr1 are widely-used markers for marking radial glial cells and neuronal cells, respectively [92–95]. In addition to the immunostaining of these different neuron populations, one can also employ *in situ* hybridization techniques to detect different neuronal populations and their distribution in the brain. The use of these markers is a relatively easy and straightforward method to quickly determine whether cortical layering and organization has been affected, and can be used as a first step in detecting potential neuronal migration abnormalities in (mouse) model systems.

4.5. *In Vitro* Organotypic Cultures

Although most studies and techniques used to investigate neuronal migration are performed in fixed cortical tissue, there are some *in vitro* models that can be used to study neuron migration. The large advantage of *in vitro* models is that they are easier to manipulate and can be used for live cell imaging of neuron migration. In this field of study, the most widely used *in vitro* model system are organotypic slice cultures [96,97]. These cultures can be used to study the effect of (pharmacological) compounds on neuronal migration [98]. Organotypic cultures can be exposed to these compounds globally, but local injection in the slice is also used [99]. Additionally, organotypic slices can be used for so-called *ex vivo* electroporation to overexpress a protein, express a fluorescent protein, or express a knockdown construct [100,101]. Recently, the first live cell imaging strategies of cortical explant cultures have been described [102]. The main advantage is that a dynamic view on neuronal migration can be acquired, and possible alterations thereon upon knockdown of genes of interest.

5. Neurotoxicology Models to Study Neuronal Migration

In the field of toxicology, the effect of prenatal exposure to environmental chemicals and potential toxic compounds on fetal brain development has been widely studied, and there are many model systems used in this field of study. First, the zebrafish is the most widely used model system to assess the potential toxic effect of environmental chemicals on neurodevelopment [103]. Although zebrafish brain development is different from mammalian brain development, zebrafish can still be exploited to study neuron migration [104]. Additionally, zebrafish can be used to relatively quickly screen a wide array of potential neurotoxic compounds, where identified compounds (with a clear effect on brain development and neuronal migration) can be used for further screening in mammalian model systems. Another advantage to zebrafish is that there are simple behavioral assays available to select exposed zebrafish that show aberrant behavior and to investigate whether brain development and/or neuronal migration has been affected [105]. The other model system used to study the potential disruptive effect of environmental chemicals on neurodevelopment are rodents. Prenatal exposure to environmental chemicals, ethanol or other compounds (where it is expected that they might interfere with proper development), is conducted to study the effect of these compounds on fetal brain development [106–108]. As an example, rats exposed to the environmental contaminant polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) [109], or methylmercury [110] showed disruptions in the neuronal migration in the cerebral cortex. Aside from the radial neuronal migration disruption by PCBs in the fetal cortex, the neuronal progenitor cell cycle exit was also disrupted [109], which was not found with

methylmercury [110]. The PCB neuronal migration effects were only observed in the caudal region, while the cell cycle effects occurred in the central region. It is possible that the thyroid hormone (TH) axis was involved in this process by action on the actin cytoskeleton [109]. Actin cytoskeleton plays an important role in neuronal migration [111]. Actin polymerization is dependent on thyroid hormones T_4 and rT_3 , and acts by anchoring guidance molecules used for neuronal migration [112,113]. It is known that PCBs, especially the hydroxy metabolites of PCBs, can competitively bind to the TH transport protein transthyretin (TTR) which can affect the TH axis [114,115]. A wide range of environmental contaminants have been shown to disrupt the TH system [116,117]; an overview of mechanism-based in vitro testing approaches for TH disruption can be found in [118].

In addition to these models, organotypic cultures can also be used to study the effect of environmental chemicals on these processes [119]. These models bridge the gap between receptors and the brain, and can be used to screen neurotoxic compounds. Furthermore, in vitro models can be used to study the effects of toxicants on neuron viability, differentiation, migration, and neuronal signaling. For example, fetal rat cortical cells were used to study the neurotoxic effects of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) on protein expression in the formation of the cytoskeleton involved in cell migration [120]. Low doses of a PBDE (BDE-99) showed changes in the cytoskeleton proteins. In another in vitro study with PBDEs using human neural progenitor cells, a decreased migration of these cells and reductions in the differentiation into neurons and oligodendrocytes were observed [121]. Interestingly, co-exposure with TH T_3 (triiodothyronine) resulted in a reduction of the migration effects; demonstrating again the importance of the TH axis in relation to neuronal migration and the cytoskeleton formation.

6. Conclusions and Future Developments

There are several elegant genetic and molecular techniques which have been developed and used in the last two decades to study neuronal migration in the developing cortex. This has revealed a number of genes and genetic pathways important in regulating neuron migration and elucidated several underlying mechanisms in neuronal migration. When suspecting a defective neuronal migration in a new genetic mouse model for example, there are a number of steps which can be undertaken to show that there is an altered neuronal migration and to further elucidate the underlying mechanism. The application of molecular approaches can be used whether there is a mislocalization of neuronal cell types in the cortex. Additionally, methods to investigate the cell cycle and cell division will reveal whether this is affected. To further elucidate the molecular mechanism, one could make use of a combination of genetic and molecular techniques by transfecting rescue constructs on a knockout background or knockdown constructs on a control background combined with a fluorescent marker. These are a number of approaches which are part of a standard repertoire to investigate neuronal migration.

It is expected that the recently developed Crispr-Cas9 in combination with human iPSCs-derived cerebral organoids techniques will open up a wealth of new possibilities in the field of studying brain development and neuronal migration. Moreover, the first studies in which human fetal brain tissue was used recently appeared [122]. In the coming decades, neuronal migration and cortical development can be studied in more detail and will further reveal several mechanisms in early cortical development, generation of neuronal populations, and neuronal migration to their proper final destination.

Acknowledgments: Our work was supported by grants from the European Union (HEALTH F2-2008-200234); Stichting Blindenhulp; and the Rotterdamse Stichting Blindenbelangen (NIN240-847409) (J.W.).

Author Contributions: J.J.D., P.E.G.L. and J.W. wrote the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Gotz, M.; Huttner, W.B. The cell biology of neurogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2005**, *6*, 777–788. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Taverna, E.; Gotz, M.; Huttner, W.B. The cell biology of neurogenesis: Toward an understanding of the development and evolution of the neocortex. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2014**, *30*, 465–502. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Hippenmeyer, S. Molecular pathways controlling the sequential steps of cortical projection neuron migration. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **2014**, *800*, 1–24. [[PubMed](#)]
4. Altman, J.; Das, G.D. Autoradiographic and histological studies of postnatal neurogenesis. I. A longitudinal investigation of the kinetics, migration and transformation of cells incorporating tritiated thymidine in neonate rats, with special reference to postnatal neurogenesis in some brain regions. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **1966**, *126*, 337–389. [[PubMed](#)]
5. Johnston, M.C. A radioautographic study of the migration and fate of cranial neural crest cells in the chick embryo. *Anat. Rec.* **1966**, *156*, 143–155. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Lamont, M.D.; Vernon, C.A. The migration of neurons from chick embryonic dorsal root ganglia in tissue culture. *Exp. Cell Res.* **1967**, *47*, 661–662. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Chen, J.S.; Levi-Montalcini, R. Axonal outgrowth and cell migration in vitro from nervous system of cockroach embryos. *Science* **1969**, *166*, 631–632. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Langman, J.; Haden, C.C. Formation and migration of neuroblasts in the spinal cord of the chick embryo. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **1970**, *138*, 419–425. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Wolf, M.K. Anatomy of cultured mouse cerebellum. II. Organotypic migration of granule cells demonstrated by silver impregnation of normal and mutant cultures. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **1970**, *140*, 281–298. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Falconer, D.S. Two new mutants, ‘trembler’ and ‘reeler’, with neurological actions in the house mouse (*Mus musculus* L.). *J. Genet.* **1951**, *50*, 192–201. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. D’Arcangelo, G.; Miao, G.G.; Chen, S.C.; Soares, H.D.; Morgan, J.I.; Curran, T. A protein related to extracellular matrix proteins deleted in the mouse mutant reeler. *Nature* **1995**, *374*, 719–723. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Hirotsune, S.; Takahara, T.; Sasaki, N.; Hirose, K.; Yoshiki, A.; Ohashi, T.; Kusakabe, M.; Murakami, Y.; Muramatsu, M.; Watanabe, S.; et al. The reeler gene encodes a protein with an egf-like motif expressed by pioneer neurons. *Nat. Genet.* **1995**, *10*, 77–83. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Ogawa, M.; Miyata, T.; Nakajima, K.; Yagyu, K.; Seike, M.; Ikenaka, K.; Yamamoto, H.; Mikoshiba, K. The reeler gene-associated antigen on cajal-retzius neurons is a crucial molecule for laminar organization of cortical neurons. *Neuron* **1995**, *14*, 899–912. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Sweet, H.O.; Bronson, R.T.; Johnson, K.R.; Cook, S.A.; Davisson, M.T. Scrambler, a new neurological mutation of the mouse with abnormalities of neuronal migration. *Mamm. Genome* **1996**, *7*, 798–802. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Sheldon, M.; Rice, D.S.; D’Arcangelo, G.; Yoneshima, H.; Nakajima, K.; Mikoshiba, K.; Howell, B.W.; Cooper, J.A.; Goldowitz, D.; Curran, T. Scrambler and yotari disrupt the disabled gene and produce a reeler-like phenotype in mice. *Nature* **1997**, *389*, 730–733. [[PubMed](#)]
16. Ware, M.L.; Fox, J.W.; Gonzalez, J.L.; Davis, N.M.; Lambert de Rouvroit, C.; Russo, C.J.; Chua, S.C., Jr.; Goffinet, A.M.; Walsh, C.A. Aberrant splicing of a mouse disabled homolog, mdab1, in the scrambler mouse. *Neuron* **1997**, *19*, 239–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Capecchi, M.R. Altering the genome by homologous recombination. *Science* **1989**, *244*, 1288–1292. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Capecchi, M.R. The new mouse genetics: Altering the genome by gene targeting. *Trends Genet.* **1989**, *5*, 70–76. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Chae, T.; Kwon, Y.T.; Bronson, R.; Dikkes, P.; Li, E.; Tsai, L.H. Mice lacking p35, a neuronal specific activator of cdk5, display cortical lamination defects, seizures, and adult lethality. *Neuron* **1997**, *18*, 29–42. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Dono, R.; Texido, G.; Dussel, R.; Ehmke, H.; Zeller, R. Impaired cerebral cortex development and blood pressure regulation in fgf-2-deficient mice. *EMBO J.* **1998**, *17*, 4213–4225. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

21. Hartmann, D.; De Strooper, B.; Saftig, P. Presenilin-1 deficiency leads to loss of cajal-retzius neurons and cortical dysplasia similar to human type 2 lissencephaly. *Curr. Biol.* **1999**, *9*, 719–727. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Mallamaci, A.; Mercurio, S.; Muzio, L.; Cecchi, C.; Pardini, C.L.; Gruss, P.; Boncinelli, E. The lack of emx2 causes impairment of reelin signaling and defects of neuronal migration in the developing cerebral cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2000**, *20*, 1109–1118. [[PubMed](#)]
23. Medina, D.L.; Sciarretta, C.; Calella, A.M.; Von Bohlen Und Halbach, O.; Unsicker, K.; Minichiello, L. TrkB regulates neocortex formation through the Shc/PLCgamma-mediated control of neuronal migration. *EMBO J.* **2004**, *23*, 3803–3814. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Hirotsune, S.; Fleck, M.W.; Gambello, M.J.; Bix, G.J.; Chen, A.; Clark, G.D.; Ledbetter, D.H.; McBain, C.J.; Wynshaw-Boris, A. Graded reduction of Pafah1b1 (Lis1) activity results in neuronal migration defects and early embryonic lethality. *Nat. Genet.* **1998**, *19*, 333–339. [[PubMed](#)]
25. Betz, U.A.; Vosshenrich, C.A.; Rajewsky, K.; Muller, W. Bypass of lethality with mosaic mice generated by cre-loxp-mediated recombination. *Curr. Biol.* **1996**, *6*, 1307–1316. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Tronche, F.; Kellendonk, C.; Kretz, O.; Gass, P.; Anlag, K.; Orban, P.C.; Bock, R.; Klein, R.; Schutz, G. Disruption of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in the nervous system results in reduced anxiety. *Nat. Genet.* **1999**, *23*, 99–103. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Guo, H.; Hong, S.; Jin, X.L.; Chen, R.S.; Avasthi, P.P.; Tu, Y.T.; Ivanco, T.L.; Li, Y. Specificity and efficiency of Cre-mediated recombination in Emx1-Cre knock-in mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2000**, *273*, 661–665. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Gorski, J.A.; Talley, T.; Qiu, M.; Puellas, L.; Rubenstein, J.L.; Jones, K.R. Cortical excitatory neurons and glia, but not gabaergic neurons, are produced in the emx1-expressing lineage. *J. Neurosci.* **2002**, *22*, 6309–6314. [[PubMed](#)]
29. Dudok, J.J.; Sanz, A.S.; Lundvig, D.M.; Wijnholds, J. Mpp3 is required for maintenance of the apical junctional complex, neuronal migration, and stratification in the developing cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2013**, *33*, 8518–8527. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Dudok, J.J.; Murtaza, M.; Henrique Alves, C.; Rashbass, P.; Wijnholds, J. Crumbs 2 prevents cortical abnormalities in mouse dorsal telencephalon. *Neurosci. Res.* **2016**, *108*, 12–23. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Zhuo, L.; Theis, M.; Alvarez-Maya, I.; Brenner, M.; Willecke, K.; Messing, A. Hgfap-cre transgenic mice for manipulation of glial and neuronal function in vivo. *Genesis* **2001**, *31*, 85–94. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Yingling, J.; Youn, Y.H.; Darling, D.; Toyo-Oka, K.; Pramparo, T.; Hirotsune, S.; Wynshaw-Boris, A. Neuroepithelial stem cell proliferation requires lis1 for precise spindle orientation and symmetric division. *Cell* **2008**, *132*, 474–486. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Youn, Y.H.; Pramparo, T.; Hirotsune, S.; Wynshaw-Boris, A. Distinct dose-dependent cortical neuronal migration and neurite extension defects in lis1 and ndel1 mutant mice. *J. Neurosci.* **2009**, *29*, 15520–15530. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Toyo-oka, K.; Wachi, T.; Hunt, R.F.; Baraban, S.C.; Taya, S.; Ramshaw, H.; Kaibuchi, K.; Schwarz, Q.P.; Lopez, A.F.; Wynshaw-Boris, A. 14–3-3epsilon and zeta regulate neurogenesis and differentiation of neuronal progenitor cells in the developing brain. *J. Neurosci.* **2014**, *34*, 12168–12181. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. McLearn, J.A.; Garcia-Fresco, G.; Bhat, M.A.; Van Dyke, T.A. In vivo inactivation of pRb, p107 and p130 in murine neuroprogenitor cells leads to major CNS developmental defects and high seizure rates. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **2006**, *33*, 260–273. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Poschl, J.; Grammel, D.; Dorostkar, M.M.; Kretzschmar, H.A.; Schuller, U. Constitutive activation of beta-catenin in neural progenitors results in disrupted proliferation and migration of neurons within the central nervous system. *Dev. Biol.* **2013**, *374*, 319–332. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Imai, F.; Hirai, S.; Akimoto, K.; Koyama, H.; Miyata, T.; Ogawa, M.; Noguchi, S.; Sasaoka, T.; Noda, T.; Ohno, S. Inactivation of apklambda results in the loss of adherens junctions in neuroepithelial cells without affecting neurogenesis in mouse neocortex. *Development* **2006**, *133*, 1735–1744. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Krysko, O.; Hulshagen, L.; Janssen, A.; Schutz, G.; Klein, R.; De Bruycker, M.; Espeel, M.; Gressens, P.; Baes, M. Neocortical and cerebellar developmental abnormalities in conditions of selective elimination of peroxisomes from brain or from liver. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2007**, *85*, 58–72. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Belvindrah, R.; Graus-Porta, D.; Goebbels, S.; Nave, K.A.; Muller, U. Beta1 integrins in radial glia but not in migrating neurons are essential for the formation of cell layers in the cerebral cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2007**, *27*, 13854–13865. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

40. Cina, C.; Maass, K.; Theis, M.; Willecke, K.; Bechberger, J.F.; Naus, C.C. Involvement of the cytoplasmic c-terminal domain of connexin43 in neuronal migration. *J. Neurosci.* **2009**, *29*, 2009–2021. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Jorissen, E.; Prox, J.; Bernreuther, C.; Weber, S.; Schwanbeck, R.; Serneels, L.; Snellinx, A.; Craessaerts, K.; Thathiah, A.; Tesseur, I.; et al. The disintegrin/metalloproteinase adam10 is essential for the establishment of the brain cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2010**, *30*, 4833–4844. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Peng, X.; Lin, Q.; Liu, Y.; Jin, Y.; Druso, J.E.; Antonyak, M.A.; Guan, J.L.; Cerione, R.A. Inactivation of Cdc42 in embryonic brain results in hydrocephalus with ependymal cell defects in mice. *Protein Cell* **2013**, *4*, 231–242. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Metzger, D.; Clifford, J.; Chiba, H.; Chambon, P. Conditional site-specific recombination in mammalian cells using a ligand-dependent chimeric cre recombinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 6991–6995. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Feil, R.; Wagner, J.; Metzger, D.; Chambon, P. Regulation of cre recombinase activity by mutated estrogen receptor ligand-binding domains. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1997**, *237*, 752–757. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Imayoshi, I.; Ohtsuka, T.; Metzger, D.; Chambon, P.; Kageyama, R. Temporal regulation of cre recombinase activity in neural stem cells. *Genesis* **2006**, *44*, 233–238. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Slezak, M.; Goritz, C.; Niemiec, A.; Frisen, J.; Chambon, P.; Metzger, D.; Pfrieger, F.W. Transgenic mice for conditional gene manipulation in astroglial cells. *Glia* **2007**, *55*, 1565–1576. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Caplen, N.J.; Parrish, S.; Imani, F.; Fire, A.; Morgan, R.A. Specific inhibition of gene expression by small double-stranded rnas in invertebrate and vertebrate systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 9742–9747. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. McManus, M.T.; Sharp, P.A. Gene silencing in mammals by small interfering rnas. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2002**, *3*, 737–747. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Alifragis, P.; Liapi, A.; Parnavelas, J.G. Lhx6 regulates the migration of cortical interneurons from the ventral telencephalon but does not specify their gaba phenotype. *J. Neurosci.* **2004**, *24*, 5643–5648. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Yoshizawa, M.; Kawauchi, T.; Sone, M.; Nishimura, Y.V.; Terao, M.; Chihama, K.; Nabeshima, Y.; Hoshino, M. Involvement of a rac activator, p-rax1, in neurotrophin-derived signaling and neuronal migration. *J. Neurosci.* **2005**, *25*, 4406–4419. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Tsai, P.T.; Ohab, J.J.; Kertesz, N.; Groszer, M.; Matter, C.; Gao, J.; Liu, X.; Wu, H.; Carmichael, S.T. A critical role of erythropoietin receptor in neurogenesis and post-stroke recovery. *J. Neurosci.* **2006**, *26*, 1269–1274. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Park, B.; Alves, C.H.; Lundvig, D.M.; Tanimoto, N.; Beck, S.C.; Huber, G.; Richard, F.; Klooster, J.; Andlauer, T.F.; Swindell, E.C.; et al. Pals1 is essential for retinal pigment epithelium structure and neural retina stratification. *J. Neurosci.* **2011**, *31*, 17230–17241. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Tabata, H.; Nakajima, K. Efficient in utero gene transfer system to the developing mouse brain using electroporation: Visualization of neuronal migration in the developing cortex. *Neuroscience* **2001**, *103*, 865–872. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Tsai, J.W.; Chen, Y.; Kriegstein, A.R.; Vallee, R.B. Lis1 rna interference blocks neural stem cell division, morphogenesis, and motility at multiple stages. *J. Cell Biol.* **2005**, *170*, 935–945. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Bando, Y.; Hirano, T.; Tagawa, Y. Dysfunction of kcnk potassium channels impairs neuronal migration in the developing mouse cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **2014**, *24*, 1017–1029. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Inoue, M.; Kuroda, T.; Honda, A.; Komabayashi-Suzuki, M.; Komai, T.; Shinkai, Y.; Mizutani, K. Prdm8 regulates the morphological transition at multipolar phase during neocortical development. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e86356. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Qin, R.; Cao, S.; Lyu, T.; Qi, C.; Zhang, W.; Wang, Y. Cdy1 deficiency disrupts neuronal migration and increases susceptibility to epilepsy. *Cell Rep.* **2017**, *18*, 380–390. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Zhang, J.; Woodhead, G.J.; Swaminathan, S.K.; Noles, S.R.; McQuinn, E.R.; Pisarek, A.J.; Stocker, A.M.; Mutch, C.A.; Funatsu, N.; Chenn, A. Cortical neural precursors inhibit their own differentiation via n-cadherin maintenance of beta-catenin signaling. *Dev. Cell* **2010**, *18*, 472–479. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Komor, A.C.; Badran, A.H.; Liu, D.R. Crispr-based technologies for the manipulation of eukaryotic genomes. *Cell* **2017**, *168*, 20–36. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

60. Singh, P.; Schimenti, J.C.; Bolcun-Filas, E. A mouse geneticist's practical guide to crispr applications. *Genetics* **2015**, *199*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Shinmyo, Y.; Tanaka, S.; Tsunoda, S.; Hosomichi, K.; Tajima, A.; Kawasaki, H. Crispr/cas9-mediated gene knockout in the mouse brain using in utero electroporation. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 20611. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
62. Kalebic, N.; Taverna, E.; Tavano, S.; Wong, F.K.; Suchold, D.; Winkler, S.; Huttner, W.B.; Sarov, M. Crispr/cas9-induced disruption of gene expression in mouse embryonic brain and single neural stem cells in vivo. *EMBO Rep.* **2016**, *17*, 338–348. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Quadrato, G.; Brown, J.; Arlotta, P. The promises and challenges of human brain organoids as models of neuropsychiatric disease. *Nat. Med.* **2016**, *22*, 1220–1228. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Li, Y.; Muffat, J.; Omer, A.; Bosch, I.; Lancaster, M.A.; Sur, M.; Gehrke, L.; Knoblich, J.A.; Jaenisch, R. Induction of expansion and folding in human cerebral organoids. *Cell Stem Cell* **2017**, *20*, 385–396. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Bershteyn, M.; Nowakowski, T.J.; Pollen, A.A.; Di Lullo, E.; Nene, A.; Wynshaw-Boris, A.; Kriegstein, A.R. Human ipsc-derived cerebral organoids model cellular features of lissencephaly and reveal prolonged mitosis of outer radial glia. *Cell Stem Cell* **2017**, *20*, 435–449. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Matsui, T.; Nieto-Estevez, V.; Kyrychenko, S.; Schneider, J.W.; Hsieh, J. Rb controls growth, survival, and neuronal migration in human cerebral organoids. *Development* **2017**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Subramanian, L.; Bershteyn, M.; Paredes, M.F.; Kriegstein, A.R. Dynamic behaviour of human neuroepithelial cells in the developing forebrain. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14167. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Knapp, P.E. The cell cycle of glial cells grown in vitro: An immunocytochemical method of analysis. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **1992**, *40*, 1405–1411. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
69. Plate, K.H.; Ruschhoff, J.; Behnke, J.; Mennel, H.D. Proliferative potential of human brain tumours as assessed by nucleolar organizer regions (agnors) and ki67-immunoreactivity. *Acta Neurochir. (Wien)* **1990**, *104*, 103–109. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Duchrow, M.; Gerdes, J.; Schluter, C. The proliferation-associated ki-67 protein: Definition in molecular terms. *Cell Prolif.* **1994**, *27*, 235–242. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
71. Cappello, S.; Bohringer, C.R.; Bergami, M.; Conzelmann, K.K.; Ghanem, A.; Tomassy, G.S.; Arlotta, P.; Mainardi, M.; Allegra, M.; Caleo, M.; et al. A radial glia-specific role of rhoa in double cortex formation. *Neuron* **2012**, *73*, 911–924. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Singh, K.K.; Ge, X.; Mao, Y.; Drane, L.; Meletis, K.; Samuels, B.A.; Tsai, L.H. Dixdc1 is a critical regulator of disc1 and embryonic cortical development. *Neuron* **2010**, *67*, 33–48. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Yang, Y.T.; Wang, C.L.; Van Aelst, L. Dock7 interacts with tacc3 to regulate interkinetic nuclear migration and cortical neurogenesis. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2012**, *15*, 1201–1210. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Haydar, T.F.; Ang, E., Jr.; Rakic, P. Mitotic spindle rotation and mode of cell division in the developing telencephalon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 2890–2895. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Pramparo, T.; Youn, Y.H.; Yingling, J.; Hirotsune, S.; Wynshaw-Boris, A. Novel embryonic neuronal migration and proliferation defects in *dcx* mutant mice are exacerbated by *lis1* reduction. *J. Neurosci.* **2010**, *30*, 3002–3012. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Feng, Y.; Walsh, C.A. Mitotic spindle regulation by *nde1* controls cerebral cortical size. *Neuron* **2004**, *44*, 279–293. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Asami, M.; Pilz, G.A.; Ninkovic, J.; Godinho, L.; Schroeder, T.; Huttner, W.B.; Gotz, M. The role of *pax6* in regulating the orientation and mode of cell division of progenitors in the mouse cerebral cortex. *Development* **2011**, *138*, 5067–5078. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Tsai, L.H.; Gleeson, J.G. Nucleokinesis in neuronal migration. *Neuron* **2005**, *46*, 383–388. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
79. Cearns, M.D.; Escuin, S.; Alexandre, P.; Greene, N.D.; Copp, A.J. Microtubules, polarity and vertebrate neural tube morphogenesis. *J. Anat.* **2016**, *229*, 63–74. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Spear, P.C.; Erickson, C.A. Apical movement during interkinetic nuclear migration is a two-step process. *Dev. Biol.* **2012**, *370*, 33–41. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
81. Trivedi, N.; Ramahi, J.S.; Karakaya, M.; Howell, D.; Kerekes, R.A.; Solecki, D.J. Leading-process actomyosin coordinates organelle positioning and adhesion receptor dynamics in radially migrating cerebellar granule neurons. *Neural Dev.* **2014**, *9*, 26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
82. Tsai, J.W.; Bremner, K.H.; Vallee, R.B. Dual subcellular roles for *lis1* and dynein in radial neuronal migration in live brain tissue. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2007**, *10*, 970–979. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

83. Asada, N.; Sanada, K. Lkb1-mediated spatial control of gsk3beta and adenomatous polyposis coli contributes to centrosomal forward movement and neuronal migration in the developing neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* **2010**, *30*, 8852–8865. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
84. Marin, O. Cellular and molecular mechanisms controlling the migration of neocortical interneurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **2013**, *38*, 2019–2029. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Sun, G.J.; Zhou, Y.; Stadel, R.P.; Moss, J.; Yong, J.H.; Ito, S.; Kawasaki, N.K.; Phan, A.T.; Oh, J.H.; Modak, N.; et al. Tangential migration of neuronal precursors of glutamatergic neurons in the adult mammalian brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 9484–9489. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Hashimoto, M.; Mikoshiba, K. Neuronal birthdate-specific gene transfer with adenoviral vectors. *J. Neurosci.* **2004**, *24*, 286–296. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Miyata, T.; Ono, Y.; Okamoto, M.; Masaoka, M.; Sakakibara, A.; Kawaguchi, A.; Hashimoto, M.; Ogawa, M. Migration, early axonogenesis, and reelin-dependent layer-forming behavior of early/posterior-born purkinje cells in the developing mouse lateral cerebellum. *Neural Dev.* **2010**, *5*, 23. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Yozu, M.; Tabata, H.; Nakajima, K. The caudal migratory stream: A novel migratory stream of interneurons derived from the caudal ganglionic eminence in the developing mouse forebrain. *J. Neurosci.* **2005**, *25*, 7268–7277. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
89. Leid, M.; Ishmael, J.E.; Avram, D.; Shepherd, D.; Fraulob, V.; Dolle, P. Ctip1 and ctip2 are differentially expressed during mouse embryogenesis. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **2004**, *4*, 733–739. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
90. Nieto, M.; Monuki, E.S.; Tang, H.; Imitola, J.; Haubst, N.; Khoury, S.J.; Cunningham, J.; Gotz, M.; Walsh, C.A. Expression of *cux-1* and *cux-2* in the subventricular zone and upper layers ii-iv of the cerebral cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **2004**, *479*, 168–180. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Molyneaux, B.J.; Arlotta, P.; Menezes, J.R.; Macklis, J.D. Neuronal subtype specification in the cerebral cortex. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **2007**, *8*, 427–437. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. Menezes, J.R.; Luskin, M.B. Expression of neuron-specific tubulin defines a novel population in the proliferative layers of the developing telencephalon. *J. Neurosci.* **1994**, *14*, 5399–5416. [[PubMed](#)]
93. Gotz, M.; Stoykova, A.; Gruss, P. Pax6 controls radial glia differentiation in the cerebral cortex. *Neuron* **1998**, *21*, 1031–1044. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Rubenstein, J.L.; Anderson, S.; Shi, L.; Miyashita-Lin, E.; Bulfone, A.; Hevner, R. Genetic control of cortical regionalization and connectivity. *Cereb. Cortex* **1999**, *9*, 524–532. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Kolk, S.M.; Whitman, M.C.; Yun, M.E.; Shete, P.; Donoghue, M.J. A unique subpopulation of *tbr1*-expressing deep layer neurons in the developing cerebral cortex. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **2005**, *30*, 538–551. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
96. Toran-Allerand, C.D. Organotypic culture of the developing cerebral cortex and hypothalamus: Relevance to sexual differentiation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **1991**, *16*, 7–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Bolz, J. Cortical circuitry in a dish. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **1994**, *4*, 545–549. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Alvarez-Dolado, M.; Ruiz, M.; Del Rio, J.A.; Alcantara, S.; Burgaya, F.; Sheldon, M.; Nakajima, K.; Bernal, J.; Howell, B.W.; Curran, T.; et al. Thyroid hormone regulates reelin and *dab1* expression during brain development. *J. Neurosci.* **1999**, *19*, 6979–6993. [[PubMed](#)]
99. Jacobsen, C.T.; Miller, R.H. Control of astrocyte migration in the developing cerebral cortex. *Dev. Neurosci.* **2003**, *25*, 207–216. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
100. Lizarraga, S.B.; Coser, K.R.; Sabbagh, M.; Morrow, E.M. Methods for study of neuronal morphogenesis: Ex vivo *rnai* electroporation in embryonic murine cerebral cortex. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2012**, *63*, e3621. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
101. Nichols, A.J.; O'Dell, R.S.; Powrozek, T.A.; Olson, E.C. Ex utero electroporation and whole hemisphere explants: A simple experimental method for studies of early cortical development. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2013**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
102. Tielens, S.; Godin, J.D.; Nguyen, L. Real-time recordings of migrating cortical neurons from *gfp* and *cre* recombinase expressing mice. *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* **2016**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

103. Yang, L.; Ho, N.Y.; Alshut, R.; Legradi, J.; Weiss, C.; Reischl, M.; Mikut, R.; Liebel, U.; Muller, F.; Strahle, U. Zebrafish embryos as models for embryotoxic and teratological effects of chemicals. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2009**, *28*, 245–253. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Mione, M.; Baldessari, D.; Deflorian, G.; Nappo, G.; Santoriello, C. How neuronal migration contributes to the morphogenesis of the CNS: Insights from the zebrafish. *Dev. Neurosci.* **2008**, *30*, 65–81. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
105. Legradi, J.; el Abdellaoui, N.; van Pomeran, M.; Legler, J. Comparability of behavioural assays using zebrafish larvae to assess neurotoxicity. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* **2015**, *22*, 16277–16289. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Lu, L.; Mamiya, T.; Lu, P.; Toriumi, K.; Mouri, A.; Hiramatsu, M.; Zou, L.B.; Nabeshima, T. Prenatal exposure to PCP produces behavioral deficits accompanied by the overexpression of *glast* in the prefrontal cortex of postpubertal mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* **2011**, *220*, 132–139. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Aoyama, Y.; Toriumi, K.; Mouri, A.; Hattori, T.; Ueda, E.; Shimato, A.; Sakakibara, N.; Soh, Y.; Mamiya, T.; Nagai, T.; et al. Prenatal nicotine exposure impairs the proliferation of neuronal progenitors, leading to fewer glutamatergic neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **2016**, *41*, 578–589. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
108. Skorpup, A.G.; Yeh, H.H. Chronic gestational exposure to ethanol leads to enduring aberrances in cortical form and function in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* **2016**, *40*, 1479–1488. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
109. Naveau, E.; Pinson, A.; Gerard, A.; Nguyen, L.; Charlier, C.; Thome, J.P.; Zoeller, R.T.; Bourguignon, J.P.; Parent, A.S. Alteration of rat fetal cerebral cortex development after prenatal exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e91903. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Guo, B.Q.; Yan, C.H.; Cai, S.Z.; Yuan, X.B.; Shen, X.M. Low level prenatal exposure to methylmercury disrupts neuronal migration in the developing rat cerebral cortex. *Toxicology* **2013**, *304*, 57–68. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Luo, L. Actin cytoskeleton regulation in neuronal morphogenesis and structural plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2002**, *18*, 601–635. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
112. Cheng, S.Y.; Leonard, J.L.; Davis, P.J. Molecular aspects of thyroid hormone actions. *Endocr. Rev.* **2010**, *31*, 139–170. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Farwell, A.P.; Dubord-Tomasetti, S.A.; Pietrzykowski, A.Z.; Stachelek, S.J.; Leonard, J.L. Regulation of cerebellar neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth by thyroxine and 3,3',5'-triiodothyronine. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* **2005**, *154*, 121–135. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Lans, M.C.; Klasson-Wehler, E.; Willemsen, M.; Meussen, E.; Safe, S.; Brouwer, A. Structure-dependent, competitive interaction of hydroxy-polychlorobiphenyls, -dibenzo-p-dioxins and -dibenzofurans with human transthyretin. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **1993**, *88*, 7–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Weiss, J.M.; Andersson, P.L.; Zhang, J.; Simon, E.; Leonards, P.E.; Hamers, T.; Lamoree, M.H. Tracing thyroid hormone-disrupting compounds: Database compilation and structure-activity evaluation for an effect-directed analysis of sediment. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 5625–5634. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
116. Hamers, T.; Kamstra, J.H.; Sonneveld, E.; Murk, A.J.; Kester, M.H.; Andersson, P.L.; Legler, J.; Brouwer, A. In vitro profiling of the endocrine-disrupting potency of brominated flame retardants. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2006**, *92*, 157–173. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
117. Weiss, J.M.; Andersson, P.L.; Lamoree, M.H.; Leonards, P.E.; van Leeuwen, S.P.; Hamers, T. Competitive binding of poly- and perfluorinated compounds to the thyroid hormone transport protein transthyretin. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2009**, *109*, 206–216. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
118. Murk, A.J.; Rijntjes, E.; Blaauboer, B.J.; Clewell, R.; Crofton, K.M.; Dingemans, M.M.; Furlow, J.D.; Kavlock, R.; Kohrle, J.; Opitz, R.; et al. Mechanism-based testing strategy using in vitro approaches for identification of thyroid hormone disrupting chemicals. *Toxicol. In Vitro* **2013**, *27*, 1320–1346. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
119. Drexler, B.; Hentschke, H.; Antkowiak, B.; Grasshoff, C. Organotypic cultures as tools for testing neuroactive drugs—Link between in vitro and in vivo experiments. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *17*, 4538–4550. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
120. Alm, H.; Scholz, B.; Kultima, K.; Nilsson, A.; Andren, P.E.; Savitski, M.M.; Bergman, A.; Stigson, M.; Fex-Svenningsen, A.; Dencker, L. In vitro neurotoxicity of PBDE-99: Immediate and concentration-dependent effects on protein expression in cerebral cortex cells. *J. Proteome Res.* **2010**, *9*, 1226–1235. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

121. Schreiber, T.; Gassmann, K.; Gotz, C.; Hubenthal, U.; Moors, M.; Krause, G.; Merk, H.F.; Nguyen, N.H.; Scanlan, T.S.; Abel, J.; et al. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers induce developmental neurotoxicity in a human in vitro model: Evidence for endocrine disruption. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2010**, *118*, 572–578. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
122. Harkin, L.F.; Gerrelli, D.; Gold Diaz, D.C.; Santos, C.; Alzu'bi, A.; Austin, C.A.; Clowry, G.J. Distinct expression patterns for type ii topoisomerases iia and iib in the early foetal human telencephalon. *J. Anat.* **2016**, *228*, 452–463. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).