

VU Research Portal

Polarization of Lambda and (Lambda)over-bar in 920 GeV fixed-target proton-nucleus collisions

Abt, I.; Bauer, T.; Carvalho, J.; Hernandez, J.R.; Lau, K.; Sbrizzi, A.; Schroder, H.; Schwarz, A.S.; Wang, J.

UNIVERSITEIT AMSTERDAM

published in Physics Letters B 2006

DOI (link to publisher) 10.1016/j.physletb.2006.05.040

document version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in VU Research Portal

citation for published version (APA)

Abt, I., Bauer, T., Carvalho, J., Hernańdez, J. R., Lau, K., Sbrizzi, A., Schroder, H., Schwarz, A. S., & Wang, J. (2006). Polarization of Lambda and (Lambda)over-bar in 920 GeV fixed-target proton-nucleus collisions. Physics Letters B, 638(5-6), 415-421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.05.040

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address: vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physics Letters B 638 (2006) 415-421

PHYSICS LETTERS B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Polarization of Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ in 920 GeV fixed-target proton–nucleus collisions

HERA-B Collaboration

I. Abt^w, M. Adams^j, M. Agari^m, H. Albrecht¹, A. Aleksandrov^{ac}, V. Amaral^h, A. Amorim^h, S.J. Aplin¹, V. Aushev^p, Y. Bagaturia^{1,6}, V. Balagura^v, M. Bargiotti^f, O. Barsukova^k, J. Bastos^h, J. Batista^h, C. Bauer^m, Th.S. Bauer^a, A. Belkov^{k,‡}, Ar. Belkov^k, I. Belotelov^k, A. Bertin^f, B. Bobchenko^v, M. Böcker^z, A. Bogatyrev^v, G. Bohm^{ac}, M. Bräuer^m, M. Bruinsma^{ab,a}, M. Bruschi^f, P. Buchholz^z, T. Buran^x, J. Carvalho^h, P. Conde^{b,1}, C. Cruse^j, M. Damⁱ, K.M. Danielsen^x, M. Danilov^v, S. De Castro^f, H. Deppeⁿ, X. Dong^c, H.B. Dreisⁿ, V. Egorytchev¹, K. Ehret^j, F. Eiseleⁿ, D. Emeliyanov¹, S. Erhan^{s,ae}, S. Essenov^v, L. Fabbri^f, P. Faccioli^f, M. Feuerstack-Raibleⁿ, J. Flammer¹, B. Fominykh^v, M. Funcke^j, Ll. Garrido^b, A. Gellrich^{ac}, B. Giacobbe^f, J. Gläß^t, D. Goloubkov^{1,3}, Y. Golubkov^{1,4}, A. Golutvin^v, I. Golutvin^k, I. Gorbounov^{1,z}, A. Gorišek^q, O. Gouchtchine^v, D.C. Goulart^g, S. Gradlⁿ, W. Gradlⁿ, F. Grimaldi^f, Yu. Guilitsky^{v,5}, J.D. Hansenⁱ, J.M. Hernández^{ac}, W. Hofmann^m, M. Hohlmann¹, T. Hottⁿ, W. Hulsbergen^a, U. Husemann^z, O. Igonkina^v, M. Ispiryan^o, T. Jagla^m, C. Jiang^c, H. Kapitza¹, S. Karabekyan^y, N. Karpenko^k, S. Keller^z, J. Kesslerⁿ, F. Khasanov^v, Yu. Kiryushin^k, I. Kisel^w, E. Klinkbyⁱ, K.T. Knöpfle^m, H. Kolanoski^e, S. Korpar^{u,q}, C. Kraussⁿ, P. Kreuzer^{1,s}, P. Križan^{r,q}, D. Krücker^e, S. Kupper^q, T. Kvaratskheliia^v, A. Lanyov^k, K. Lau^o, B. Lewendel¹, T. Lohse^e, B. Lomonosov^{1,2}, R. Männer^t, R. Mankel^{ac}, S. Masciocchi¹, I. Massa^f, I. Matchikhilian^v, G. Medin^e, M. Medinnis¹, M. Mevius¹, A. Michetti¹, Yu. Mikhailov^{v,5}, R. Mizuk^v, R. Muresanⁱ, M. zur Nedden^e, M. Negodaev^{1,2}, M. Nörenberg¹, S. Nowak^{ac}, M.T. Núñez Pardo de Vera¹, M. Ouchrif^{ab,a}, F. Ould-Saada^x, C. Padilla¹, D. Peralta^b, R. Pernack^y, R. Pestotnik^q, B.AA. Petersenⁱ, M. Piccinini^f, M.A. Pleier^m, M. Poli^{f,1}, V. Popov^v, D. Pose^{k,n}, S. Prystupa^p, V. Pugatch^p, Y. Pylypchenko^x, J. Pyrlik^o, K. Reeves^m, D. Reßing¹, H. Rickⁿ, I. Riu¹, P. Robmann^{ad}, I. Rostovtseva^v, V. Rybnikov¹, F. Sánchez^m, A. Sbrizzi^a, M. Schmelling^m B. Schmidt¹, A. Schreiner^{ac}, H. Schröder^y, U. Schwanke^{ac}, A.J. Schwartz^g, A.S. Schwarz¹, B. Schwenninger^j, B. Schwingenheuer^m, F. Sciacca^m, N. Semprini-Cesari^f, S. Shuvalov^{v,e}, L. Silva^h, L. Sözüer¹, S. Solunin^k, A. Somov¹, S. Somov^{1,3}, J. Spengler^m, R. Spighi^f, A. Spiridonov^{ac,v}, A. Stanovnik^{r,q}, M. Starič^q, C. Stegmann^e, H.S. Subramania^o, M. Symalla^{1,j}, I. Tikhomirov^v, M. Titov^v, I. Tsakov^{aa}, U. Uwerⁿ, C. van Eldik^{1,j}, Yu. Vassiliev^p, M. Villa^f A. Vitale^f, I. Vukotic^{e,ac}, H. Wahlberg^{ab}, A.H. Walenta^z, M. Walter^{ac}, J.J. Wang^d, D. Wegener^j, U. Werthenbach^z, H. Wolters^h, R. Wurth¹, A. Wurz^t, Yu. Zaitsev^v, M. Zavertyaev^{1,m,2}, T. Zeuner^{1,z}, A. Zhelezov^v, Z. Zheng^c, R. Zimmermann^y, T. Živko^q, A. Zoccoli^{f,*}

^a NIKHEF, 1009 DB Amsterdam, The Netherlands ⁷

^b Department ECM, Faculty of Physics, University of Barcelona, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain⁸

^c Institute for High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, PR China

^d Institute of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China

^e Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-12489 Berlin, Germany^{9,10}

^f Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bologna and INFN Sezione di Bologna, I-40126 Bologna, Italy ^g Department of Physics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA ¹¹ ^h LIP Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal ¹² ⁱ Niels Bohr Institutet, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark¹³ ^j Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany¹⁰ k Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Dubna, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia ¹ DESY, D-22603 Hamburg, Germany ^m Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany¹⁰ ⁿ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany¹⁰ ^o Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA¹¹ ^p Institute for Nuclear Research, Ukrainian Academy of Science, 03680 Kiev, Ukraine¹⁴ ^q J. Stefan Institute, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia ¹⁵ ^r University of Ljubljana, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia ^s University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA ¹⁶ ^t Lehrstuhl für Informatik V, Universität Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Germany ^u University of Maribor, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia ^v Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259 Moscow, Russia¹⁷ ^w Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, D-80805 München, Germany ¹⁰ ^x Department of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway ¹⁸ ^y Fachbereich Physik, Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany¹⁰ ^z Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, D-57068 Siegen, Germanv ¹⁰ ^{aa} Institute for Nuclear Research, INRNE-BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria ^{ab} Universiteit Utrecht/NIKHEF, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands ⁷ ac DESY, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany ^{ad} Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland¹⁹ ae Physics Department, CERN, Geneve 1211, Switzerland Received 26 March 2006; received in revised form 12 May 2006; accepted 17 May 2006 Available online 30 May 2006

Editor: L. Rolandi

Abstract

A measurement of the polarization of Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ baryons produced in *p*C and *p*W collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 41.6$ GeV has been performed with the HERA-B spectrometer. The measurements cover the kinematic range of 0.6 GeV/*c* < $p_{\perp} < 1.2$ GeV/*c* in transverse momentum and $-0.15 < x_F < 0.01$ in Feynman-*x*. The polarization results from the two different targets agree within the statistical error. In the combined data set, the largest deviation from zero, $+0.054 \pm 0.029$, is measured for $x_F \lesssim -0.07$. Zero polarization is expected at $x_F = 0$ in the absence of nuclear

* Corresponding author.

05-HB1PEA, 05-HB1PSA, 05-HB1VHA, 05-HB9HRA, 05-7HD15I, 05-7MP25I, 05-7SI75I.

¹⁶ Supported by the US National Science Foundation Grant PHY-9986703.

E-mail address: zoccoli@bo.infn.it (A. Zoccoli).

¹ Visitor from Dipartimento di Energetica dell'Università di Firenze and INFN Sezione di Bologna, Italy.

² Visitor from P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, 117924 Moscow B-333, Russia.

³ Visitor from Moscow Physical Engineering Institute, 115409 Moscow, Russia.

⁴ Visitor from Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia.

⁵ Visitor from Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia.

⁶ Visitor from High Energy Physics Institute, 380086 Tbilisi, Georgia.

⁷ Supported by the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM), 3502 GA Utrecht, The Netherlands.

⁸ Supported by the CICYT contract AEN99-0483.

⁹ Supported by the German Research Foundation, Graduate College GRK 271/3.

¹⁰ Supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, FRG, under contract numbers 05-7BU35I, 05-7DO55P, 05-HB1HRA, 05-HB1KHA,

¹¹ Supported by the US Department of Energy (DOE).

¹² Supported by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia under the program POCTI.

¹³ Supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

¹⁴ Supported by the National Academy of Science and the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine.

¹⁵ Supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of the Republic of Slovenia under contracts number P1-135 and J1-6584-0106.

¹⁷ Supported by the Russian Ministry of Education and Science, grant SS-1722.2003.2, and the BMBF via the Max Planck Research Award.

¹⁸ Supported by the Norwegian Research Council.

¹⁹ Supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

[✤] Deceased.

effects. The polarization results for the Λ agree with a parametrization of previous measurements which were performed at positive x_F values, where the Λ polarization is negative. Results of $\overline{\Lambda}$ polarization measurements are consistent with zero. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Previous measurements (see, e.g., Refs. [1,2]) have, contrary to expectations, shown that Λ 's and other hyperons produced in unpolarized hadron-hadron interactions are transversely polarized. For Λ 's, the magnitude of the polarization is observed to depend on the kinematic variables. For fixed-target pA interactions usually the Λ momentum transverse to the beam direction, p_{\perp} , and its Feynman-*x*, taken to be $x_F = 2p_{\ell}/\sqrt{s}$, are used. Here p_{ℓ} is the longitudinal momentum of the hyperon relative to beam direction as measured in the center of mass of the beam proton and target nucleon. The magnitude of the polarization is observed to increase with p_{\perp} and decrease as $|x_F|$ approaches zero. No existing model adequately describes the observations. (For general introductions to the topic of Λ polarization and overviews of previous results and models see Refs. [3,4].) Additional experimental input in previously unmeasured kinematic regions could provide additional insight into the mechanism responsible for the polarization.

Most previous measurements were performed at positive x_F , the only exceptions being low statistics measurements from bubble chamber experiments [5] which probe the polarization over the full phase space. In this Letter, we report a new measurement of Λ and $\bar{\Lambda}$ (henceforth designated $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$) polarizations in inclusive 920 GeV proton-nucleus interactions, predominately at negative x_F and in the p_{\perp} range of 0.6 GeV/ $c < p_{\perp} < 1.2$ GeV/c.

The Λ polarization is inferred from the magnitude of the angular asymmetry of protons resulting from the decay $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$, as observed in the Λ rest-frame. For each event the coordinate system is defined such that the \vec{n}_z axis coincides with the boost vector from the laboratory system to the Λ rest-frame. The \vec{n}_x direction is the normal to the production plane as defined by the cross product of the beam direction as seen in the Λ rest frame with the \vec{n}_z axis ($\vec{p}_{\text{beam}} \times \vec{n}_z$) and $\vec{n}_y = \vec{n}_z \times \vec{n}_x$. Since the Λ 's are produced via parity-conserving strong interactions, polarization can only occur transverse to the production plane [6], corresponding to the \vec{n}_x direction. The polarization is measurable since the Λ 's decay via a parity-nonconserving weak process.

The expected intensities are:

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta_x} = \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{tot}} A(\cos\theta_x) (1 + \alpha_A P_A \cos\theta_x),$$

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta_y} = \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{tot}} A(\cos\theta_y),$$

$$\frac{dN}{d\cos\theta_z} = \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{tot}} A(\cos\theta_z),$$
 (1)

where $\cos \theta_i = \vec{n}_i \cdot \vec{n}_{\text{proton}}$ for i = x, y, z. P_A is the polarization, A is the detector acceptance, N_{tot} is the total number of observed events and α_A is the asymmetry parameter of the A

decay. For the $\overline{\Lambda}$, the equations are modified by substituting: $\vec{n}_{\text{proton}} \rightarrow \vec{n}_{\text{anti-proton}}$ and $\alpha_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \alpha_{\overline{\Lambda}} = -\alpha_{\Lambda}$.

2. Detector, data sample and event selection

The data sample used for this analysis was collected with the fixed-target HERA-B spectrometer operating at the 920 GeV proton storage ring of HERA, at DESY. The target consists of thin wires of various materials-for this measurement, carbon (C) and tungsten (W)-dynamically positioned in the halo of the proton beam. Particles produced in collisions are measured using a variety of sub-detector systems, the most important for this Letter being the silicon vertex detector (VDS) [7] and the outer tracker (OTR) [8]. The VDS is positioned immediately downstream of the target and consists of 8 planar stations with a total of 64 double-sided silicon microstrip detectors. The VDS is followed by a large aperture 2.13 T m magnet and the OTR, which consists of 7 planar stations of honeycomb drift chambers. The spectrometer has a large angular coverage: 15 to 220 mrad in the horizontal (bending) plane and 15 to 160 mrad in the vertical plane. A Ring Imaging Cherenkov detector (RICH) and an electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) cover the full aperture and, for the purposes of this measurement, are only used to provide a minimum bias trigger: events are required to have either 20 hits in the RICH (corresponding to 60% of the expected yield of a single relativistic charged particle) or at least one ECAL cluster with an energy of at least 1 GeV. More details on the spectrometer can be found in Ref. [9] and references therein.

The data sample consists of a total of 119 million events from two targets: 55 million from the carbon target sample and 64 million events from the tungsten target sample (henceforth referred to as the C-target and W-target samples). The events are selected from single wire runs and consist mainly of single interactions, with approximately 10% having more than one interaction.

The detector acceptance is determined from Monte Carlo simulations (MC). FRITIOF 7.02 [10] is used as event generator, and a GEANT 3.21-based detector model simulates the detector response [11]. The generated decay angle distributions are flat in $\cos(\theta_i)$. The generated p_{\perp} and x_F distributions of the $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$'s were tuned such that the reconstructed MC distributions are in agreement with the uncorrected data in the kinematic range of the measurement, see Fig. 1.

Segments of tracks are reconstructed in the VDS and OTR independently requiring at least five and six hits, respectively. Segments are then combined to tracks with the constraint that each segment is only allowed in one track. No particle identification cuts are applied. A Λ candidate is initially identified as two oppositely-charged tracks forming a common vertex downstream of the target. Using the signal, *S*, and back-



Fig. 1. Kinematic distributions for selected Λ candidates for the W-target sample.



Fig. 2. (a) $p\pi^{-1}$ invariant mass distribution for selected Λ candidates, and (b) $\bar{p}\pi^{+1}$ invariant mass distribution for selected $\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates for the W-target sample.

ground, *B*, from data, an optimization of the signal significance, $S/\sqrt{S+B}$, is performed with respect to three discriminating variables, with the resulting cuts:

- The impact parameter of the Λ candidate to the closest primary vertex is required to be less than 0.063 cm.
- The maximal allowed distance of closest approach between the two decay tracks is 0.15 cm.
- The flight path of the Λ candidate times the sum of the momenta of the decay tracks transverse to the direction of propagation of the Λ candidate is required to be larger than 0.15 cm GeV/c.

An effect of the first cut is, according to MC studies, that the fraction of cascade Λ 's is suppressed by a factor of approximately 10, so that only $\approx 1\%$ of Λ 's in the final signal originates from cascade decays. The invariant mass of the Λ candidate

is calculated under the assumption that the positive track is a proton and the negative track is a pion. Only candidates with masses in the mass range: [1.10; 1.13] GeV/c^2 are considered in the analysis. Λ candidates which are also consistent with a $K_{\rm s}^0$ hypothesis are rejected by eliminating those candidates whose invariant mass when calculated under the assumption that both tracks are pions, lies within the K_S^0 mass window (i.e., less than 15 MeV/ c^2 from the nominal K_S^0 mass). This cut ensures that the percentage of misidentified Λ 's is less than 0.3%. The analogous search is made for Λ 's. Finally, we consider only Λ/Λ 's in the range 0.6 GeV/ $c < p_{\perp} < 1.2$ GeV/cand $-0.15 < x_F < 0.01$. The invariant mass distributions for selected $p\pi^-$ and $\bar{p}\pi^+$ candidates from the W-target sample are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. The distributions for the C-target sample are similar. According to fits using two Gaussians to describe the signal and a second order polynomial to describe the background, the C-target and W-target samples

contain (47K/23K) and (84K/37K) $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$'s, respectively. Depending on the kinematic range (see Section 3), the background constitutes ~4% of the signal, but since events from the sidebins of the Λ mass distribution show no significant dependence on $\cos(\theta_x)$, the background contribution to the polarization is negligible.

3. Results

The polarization is determined separately in three x_F intervals of similar event statistics: [-0.15; -0.07], [-0.07; -0.04] and [-0.04; 0.01]. For each x_F interval, and for both real data and MC, the events are split into four bins of equal size in $\cos(\theta_x)$ and the $p\pi^-$ mass spectra for each bin are fitted. The corrected $\cos(\theta_x)$ distribution is the ratio: $\frac{dN}{d\cos(\theta_x)}|_{data}/\frac{dN}{d\cos(\theta_x)}|_{MC}$ of data to MC normalized to the same total number of events. The resulting corrected distributions are plotted in Fig. 3. Since the MC sample is generated flat in $\cos(\theta_x)$, this ratio should be flat if the A/\bar{A} 's are unpolarized, and otherwise be a linear function of $\cos(\theta_x)$ according to Eq. (1).

The results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 for the Ctarget and W-target samples separately. The measured $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarizations in C-target and W-target samples are consistent in all x_F intervals. We therefore follow the approach of previous measurements and present results averaged over the two data samples, see Table 4. The statistical uncertainties of the $\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization measurements are larger than the corresponding Λ

Table 1

 $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization results for the C-target sample in three bins of x_F . The average p_{\perp} and x_F for each bin are also given

measurements due to the factor of approximately two difference in statistics.

The fact that Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ polarizations are small near $x_F = 0$ compared to the maximal measured value of $\approx -20\%$ at $x_F \approx$ 0.5 [13] is not surprising for at least two reasons: firstly, previous measurements at positive x_F show that the magnitude of A polarization decreases with x_F [2], and secondly, in pp collisions, the polarization must be an antisymmetric function of x_F for symmetry reasons (i.e., to avoid the ambiguity which would otherwise be encountered, since there is no a priori reason to favor the beam proton direction over the target proton direction when defining the production plane at $x_F = 0$). Any nonzero polarization near $x_F = 0$ in the present measurement would then necessarily come from either a difference in $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization in interactions with neutrons compared to protons or nuclear effects. Previous attempts to measure nuclear effects in $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$ polarization show that in the forward region, any such effects can only be very weak [4].

4. Systematic studies

We discuss separately two categories of possible systematic errors: those due to possible inaccuracies in the MC detector description ($\sigma_{acceptance}$), and those due to the method of extracting the signal (σ_{method}). An additional rather insignificant contribution to the systematic error is introduced by the uncertainty of the decay asymmetry parameter α_A , as obtained from the PDG

Table 2

 $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization results for the W-target sample in three bins of x_F . The average p_{\perp} and x_F for each bin are also given

$\frac{\langle p_{\perp} \rangle}{[\text{GeV}/c]} \qquad \qquad$			$rage P_{\perp}$ and x_{T} for each on the aborgiven				
	$\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ [GeV/c]	$\langle x_F \rangle$	Polarization		$\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ [GeV/c]	$\langle x_F \rangle$	Polarization
1	0.82	-0.099	0.046 ± 0.031 (stat)	Λ	0.83	-0.099	$0.060 \pm 0.025(\text{stat})$
	0.81	-0.054	0.017 ± 0.031 (stat)		0.82	-0.055	0.000 ± 0.027 (stat)
	0.84	-0.020	$-0.018 \pm 0.026 (\text{stat})$		0.84	-0.020	-0.048 ± 0.024 (stat)
Ī	0.81	-0.097	-0.037 ± 0.051 (stat)	$\bar{\Lambda}$	0.82	-0.097	-0.017 ± 0.037 (stat)
	0.80	-0.054	0.032 ± 0.051 (stat)		0.82	-0.054	$0.026 \pm 0.036(\text{stat})$
	0.83	-0.020	$-0.035 \pm 0.044 (stat)$		0.83	-0.020	$-0.019 \pm 0.030(\text{stat})$



Fig. 3. Corrected $\cos(\theta_x)$ distributions (•) and linear fit (left ordinate) for the given x_F and p_{\perp} range for Λ 's of the W-target data. On the right ordinate (×) is shown the acceptance (Eq. (1)), including the efficiency of track reconstruction and selection cuts, as well as the geometrical acceptance of the spectrometer.

Table 3 The various systematic errors. Note that the contribution from the difference in polarization obtained by two different methods is one-sided

	x_F interval	[-0.15; -0.07]	[-0.07; -0.04]	[-0.04; 0.01]
Λ	$\sigma_{\rm acceptance}$	± 0.022	± 0.022	± 0.022
	$\sigma_{\rm method}$	-0.004	0.000	+0.002
	σ_{α}	± 0.001	0.000	± 0.001
Ā	$\sigma_{\rm acceptance}$	± 0.029	± 0.029	± 0.029
	$\sigma_{\rm method}$	+0.002	+0.006	-0.003
	σ_{α}	0.000	± 0.001	0.000

[12]. The final estimates for each of these three sources is given in Table 3.

To establish limits on biases due to an imperfect MC efficiency determination, we first evaluate the asymmetry in the $\cos(\theta_{v})$ distributions for various subsamples. Any asymmetry in $\cos(\theta_v)$ could only be due to detector bias (see Section 1). The limits are evaluated separately for Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ since their decay products traverse rather different parts of the spectrometer due to bending in the spectrometer magnetic field. For each of three x_F intervals and for each of the two targets, the data set is divided into (approximate) halves according to the directions of the produced Λ/Λ 's as seen in the lab frame, e.g., up/down, left/right and at various angles in the transverse plane. For each such pair of subsamples, the asymmetry difference, a quantity which should be consistent with zero, is evaluated. The largest deviation from zero was found between the up and down subsamples. To avoid correlations, we therefore use only the up/down subsamples as a basis for the evaluation. The data is thus divided into a total of 12 subsamples for which the asymmetry is separately evaluated. The sum, with each term weighted by the inverse square of its statistical error is formed and interpreted as a χ^2 statistic for 12 degrees of freedom. The systematic error is estimated as $f \cdot \sigma_{\text{statistical}}$. A new χ^2 is calculated by adding the statistical and systematic errors in quadrature. The scale factor f is chosen such that the χ^2 probability is 50%. The systematic error estimate due to possible acceptance distortions is then the average of the statistical errors in the three x_F bins multiplied by f. The results are given in Table 3. Similar results were obtained in a cross check analysis using $\cos(\theta_x)^{\uparrow} - \cos(\theta_x)^{\downarrow}$ rather than $\cos(\theta_y)$, where $\cos(\theta_x)^{\uparrow}$ and $\cos(\theta_x)^{\downarrow}$ refers to Λ 's propagating in the direction of upper/lower hemisphere in the lab frame.

As a cross check of the systematic error, the apparent K_S^0 polarization was determined using the same method as for $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$. Since K_S^0 is a pseudo-scalar meson, it cannot be polarized. The result for K_S^0 is that even for f = 0, the probability for zero polarization exceeds 50%. An additional systematic error, σ_{method} , could result from the fitting procedure used to extract the number of signal events in each bin. An alternative to the fit procedure, namely counting the number of $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ candidates in the signal region of the mass plot and subtracting background, as estimated from side-bins was checked.

Estimates of the individual contributions to the systematic error are shown in Table 3. Of the three sources considered, the first dominates. Note also that the first contribution is correlated Table 4

Combined $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization results for W- and C-target samples in three bins of x_F . The average p_{\perp} and x_F for each bin are also given. P_{ext} is the expected polarization extrapolated from previous measurements

	$\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ [GeV/c]	$\langle x_F \rangle$	Polarization	Pext
Λ	0.82	-0.099	$0.054 \pm 0.019(\text{stat}) \pm 0.022(\text{sys})$	0.025
	0.82	-0.055	$0.007 \pm 0.020(\text{stat}) \pm 0.022(\text{sys})$	0.014
	0.84	-0.020	$-0.034 \pm 0.018(\text{stat}) \pm 0.022(\text{sys})$	0.005
Ā	0.82	-0.097	$-0.024 \pm 0.030(\text{stat}) \pm 0.029(\text{sys})$	
	0.81	-0.054	$0.028 \pm 0.030(\text{stat}) \pm 0.029(\text{sys})$	
	0.83	-0.020	$-0.024 \pm 0.025 (stat) \pm 0.029 (sys)$	

between the three x_F bins since the decay products corresponding to the different bins traverse the same detector elements. The second is proportional to the measured polarization and the third is not correlated with the first two. To be conservative, the correlations are ignored, and the total systematic error (see Table 4) is calculated by adding the individual contributions of Table 3 in quadrature.

5. Discussion

The present measurements are performed in three x_F bins which are integrated over a p_{\perp} interval common to all bins. In contrast, most previous measurements were performed in relatively small lab-frame angular apertures, and thus, unlike the present measurement, have strong correlations between the average x_F and p_{\perp} values of the reported results. Consequently, a comparison is nontrivial. Furthermore, a point by point comparison is not possible for two reasons: very few publications supply all the needed information (the average x_F and p_{\perp} values of the measured points), and, the x_F region of the present measurement does not overlap with the regions of previous measurements. Instead, we compare our results to a parameterization of measurements given in Ref. [13], which describes measurements from four experiments performed at 400 GeV proton beam energy with hydrogen and beryllium targets, at various targeting angles. Those results cover the x_F range [0.1; 0.5], and are fitted to a simple expression with factorized x_F and p_{\perp} dependences:

$$P_{\text{ext}}(x_F, p_{\perp}) = \left(C_1 x_F + C_2 x_F^3\right) \left(1 - e^{C_3 p_{\perp}^2}\right).$$
(2)

The fitted coefficients are: $C_1 = -0.268 \pm 0.003$, $C_2 = -0.338 \pm 0.015$ and $C_3 = -4.5 \pm 0.6$ (GeV/c)⁻².

In Ref. [3] it is argued that the Λ polarization dependence on CM energy is weak. Assuming complete energy independence, the functional form of Eq. (2) can be checked against more recent and independent 800 GeV Λ measurements [2]. The comparison is shown in Fig. 4, where the solid curve corresponds to Eq. (2) with the average p_{\perp} of all presented Λ measurements, $\langle p_{\perp} \rangle = 0.83 \text{ GeV}/c$, and the dashed curve corresponds to Eq. (2) with infinite p_{\perp} . Except for the lowest x_F point, the measurements of Ref. [2] are at larger p_{\perp} than the present results, and should therefore correspond to a curve lying between the two displayed curves. The data are clearly consistent with the parameterization. Also shown in Fig. 4 are the



Fig. 4. A polarization dependence on x_F . The curves correspond to Eq. (2) [13] for infinite p_{\perp} (dashed curve) and for the measured $\langle p_{\perp} \rangle$ (solid curve).

three HERA-B measurements, which are also compatible with the extrapolation of the parameterization to negative x_F . The values of P_{ext} corresponding to the HERA-B points are given in Table 4.

The third dataset shown in Fig. 4 are results from NA48 [14].²⁰ These data are taken at similar \sqrt{s} but at smaller p_{\perp} compared to the ones parameterized by Eq. (2). Even taking this difference into account the NA48 data are not described by this parameterization. Previous measurements of $\overline{\Lambda}$ polarization include: 0.006 ± 0.005 [13], 0.014 ± 0.027 [2], and -0.014 ± 0.037 [14]. These numbers are average values for the specific kinematic ranges covered by each experiment and are therefore not directly comparable. Nonetheless, all results are consistent with zero and in agreement with our measurement.

6. Conclusion

A measurement of the inclusive $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$ polarization has been performed in the x_F range: [-0.15; 0.01] and the p_{\perp} interval: [0.6; 1.2] GeV/c using $\Lambda/\bar{\Lambda}$'s produced in pC and pW collisions. As the polarization results from the two targets agree within their statistical uncertainties, we see no evidence of nuclear effects. The magnitude of the Λ polarization is less than $\approx 6\%$ and measurements suggest an increase of the polarization with an increase of $|x_F|$. When combining the data from the two targets, the largest deviation from zero polarization, $+0.054 \pm 0.029$, is measured for $x_F \lesssim -0.07$. Zero polarization is expected at $x_F = 0$ in the absence of nuclear effects. The Λ polarization measurements are consistent with a parameterization, P_{ext} , of earlier measurements performed at positive x_F , where the polarization is negative. The $\overline{\Lambda}$ polarization measurements are consistent with zero.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the DESY laboratory and to the DESY accelerator group for their strong support since the conception of the HERA-B experiment. Also, we would like to thank the technical and administrative staff without whom the HERA-B experiment would not have been possible.

References

- [1] K.J. Heller, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1978) 607.
- [2] E799 Collaboration, E.J. Ramberg, et al., Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 403.
- [3] K.J. Heller, Spin and high energy hyperon production, results and prospects, Prepared for 12th International Symposium on High-energy Spin Physics (SPIN 96), Amsterdam, Netherlands, 10–14 September 1996.
- [4] J. Soffer, hep-ph/9911373.
- [5] B.S. Yuldashev, et al., Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2792.
- [6] D.H. Perkins, Introduction to High-Energy Physics, Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA, 1982.
- [7] C. Bauer, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 501 (2003) 39.
- [8] H. Albrecht, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 541 (2005) 610;
 H. Albrecht, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 555 (2005) 310.
- [9] HERA-B Collaboration, I. Abt, et al., Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 052005, hep-ex/0512030.
- [10] H. Pi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 71 (1992) 173.
- [11] R. Brun et al., GEANT3, Internal Report CERN-DD/EE/84-1, CERN, 1987.
- [12] S. Eidelman, et al., Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Lett. B 592 (2004) 1.
- [13] B. Lundberg, et al., Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3557.
- [14] NA48 Collaboration, V. Fanti, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 6 (1999) 265.

²⁰ In Refs. [2,14] x_F is defined in the laboratory system. This gives rise to small shifts in the x_F calculation as compared to our definition.