

## Repositório ISCTE-IUL

---

**Deposited in *Repositório ISCTE-IUL*:**

2019-03-08

**Deposited version:**

Post-print

**Peer-review status of attached file:**

Peer-reviewed

**Citation for published item:**

Malet Calvo, D. (2018). Understanding international students beyond studentification: a new class of transnational urban consumers. The example of Erasmus students in Lisbon (Portugal). *Urban Studies*. 55 (10), 2142-2158

**Further information on publisher's website:**

10.1177/0042098017708089

**Publisher's copyright statement:**

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Malet Calvo, D. (2018). Understanding international students beyond studentification: a new class of transnational urban consumers. The example of Erasmus students in Lisbon (Portugal). *Urban Studies*. 55 (10), 2142-2158, which has been published in final form at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0042098017708089>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Publisher's Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

---

Use policy

Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in the Repository
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

---



**Understanding international students beyond studentification: A new class of transnational urban consumers. The example of Erasmus students in Lisbon (Portugal).**

Journal:	<i>Urban Studies</i>
Manuscript ID	CUS-1018-16-12.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
<b>Discipline: Please select a keyword from the following list that best describes the discipline used in your paper.:	Sociology
World Region: Please select the region(s) that best reflect the focus of your paper. Names of individual countries, cities & economic groupings should appear in the title where appropriate.:	Europe, Western Europe
Major Topic: Please identify up to two topics that best identify the subject of your article.:	Consumption, Social group
Please supply a further 5 relevant keywords in the fields below.:	Erasmus Programme, Lisbon, Studentification, Gentrification, Youth Culture(s)

**Title**

Understanding international students beyond studentification: A new class of transnational urban consumers. The example of Erasmus students in Lisbon (Portugal).

**Abstract**

For the last 10 years the city of Lisbon has been receiving an increasing number of international students, expanding considerably the supply of student accommodation. In spite of the resulting rise of a new and under-developed housing market directed to students, studentification is not exhibiting the usual concentration and segregation patterns of clustering across the city. On the contrary, the effects of student-related economic activities are spreading throughout Lisbon, overlapping with several urban transformations. An examination of international students' lifestyles in Lisbon seems to demonstrate that diverse youth cultures of Erasmus students are colonizing different districts and activities through diverse processes of belonging and distinction. Beyond the studentification literature (and its housing-supply centred perspective) it is necessary to recognise that international students become involved in broader urban processes such as the tourism industry, marginal gentrification or entrepreneurial creativity, thus becoming a new class of transnational urban consumers.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 **Keywords:** Erasmus Programme, Lisbon, Studentification, Gentrification, Youth  
10  
11 Culture(s).

## 12 13 14 15 **Introduction**

16  
17  
18 Over the last decade, the new (neoliberal) global industry of higher education  
19  
20 has been experiencing a time of expansion (Verger et al., 2016), especially the dynamic  
21  
22 sector of internationalisation, that will grow from the 5 million that studied outside their  
23  
24 home countries in 2015 to the expected 7 million mobile students by 2022 (OECD,  
25  
26 2015). International students are also a growing presence in European cities, mainly  
27  
28 through the Erasmus programme, which is the most renowned and successful  
29  
30 programme ever launched by the European Commission. Higher education students  
31  
32 from 34 European countries make annually around 270,000 exchange stays (from 5 to  
33  
34 10 months) in different universities through a programme of credit equivalences and  
35  
36 grants funded by the European Commission (European Commission, 2014). The  
37  
38 absolute success and cultural importance of the Erasmus programme led to the  
39  
40 production of several studies to evaluate the outcomes of the Union's 'flagship  
41  
42 programme', often from an institutional perspective: the politics of internationalization  
43  
44 of higher education in Europe (Kehm and Teichler, 2007), the employment rates of  
45  
46 former Erasmus students (Teichler and Janson, 2007), the learning process and the  
47  
48 acquisition of competences while abroad (Maiworm, 2001), or the emergence of  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 feelings of European identity among students after the stay (Sigalas, 2010; Van Mol,  
10 2013).

11  
12  
13 More interesting is the student migration literature that addresses several other  
14 social processes related with the experiences of international student: the impact of  
15 staying abroad on social identity (Valentin, 2015), the friendship patterns of students  
16 when abroad (Hendrickson et al, 2011), the causes of student migration -the 'Pull &  
17 Push factors' - (Liping et al., 2015) or the multiple contact points between studying and  
18 working abroad in students' transnational biographies (Robertson, 2014). However, the  
19 importance of their everyday activities in a foreign country, the non-formal educational  
20 contexts they frequent and their consumption patterns when living abroad were  
21 generally ignored: searching for a home, frequenting particular bars, participating in  
22 social movements, getting attached to the new place. In this sense, the role of  
23 international students (or the more particular case of Erasmus students) as a new class of  
24 transnational urban consumers has been widely disregarded, and only the so-called  
25 studentification literature has assessed the impact of student populations on their urban  
26 contexts of arrival. In the case of the UK's studentification, particular neighbourhoods  
27 were affected by severe transformations related to the formation of 'student ghettos' that  
28 were increasingly segregated and concentrated in some urban areas (Duke-Williams,  
29 2009).

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

However, Chatterton observes that studentification is not primarily a housing issue but a general commodification process of student life engaged with gentrification and urban regeneration (2010: 513). Following this statement, we propose to see Higher Education Students (hereafter, HES) as a transnational, distinctive social class of consumers and producers of urban culture (Florida, 2003) in the context of the neoliberal 'entrepreneurial turn' (Harvey, 1989). Among HES, international students seem to be particularly relevant in urban change because they participate in knowledge economy (as students), in travel economy (as strangers), and in leisure economy (as youth) from a socio-economic position that is above the average in their home countries. As a consequence, their distinctive lifestyles often (re)produce new, transnational, middle class urban identities, mobilising several economic sectors directed to foreigners that are different from those directed to locals, such as in several apartments, night-life spots, retail shops and tourist sites, as we will see later. In this sense, it is undeniable that international students benefit from (and take part on) the processes of gentrification in inner cities. They are beneficiaries of the planetary class-displacement, which is one of the major consequences of the global strategy of the financial forces that produce the 'rent-gap' also at a planetary scale (Slater, 2015). However, the current excessive dependence of gentrification / studentification literature on production and supply theories to explain the complexity of urban lifestyles could represent a backward step

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 both in the acknowledgement of students' agency and in the recognition of their migrant  
10 trajectories as relatively autonomous processes (Smith et al., 2014).  
11

12  
13 After presenting the expanding sector of international students in Lisbon (section  
14 2), we pose in this article some direct questions for the 'canonic' studentification  
15 literature: is this theoretical framework broad enough to analyse the complex contact  
16 points between student mobility experiences and urban change in geographies other  
17 than the UK? What is the meaning of students' patterns of clustering across the urban  
18 space when the 'segregation' and 'concentration' effect is missing in Lisbon's  
19 studentification? (section 3). Beyond the housing-centered perspective, and taking  
20 international students as a new transnational urban middle-class, we will examine how  
21 they nurture the characteristics of knowledge-based, cognitive-cultural urban capitalism  
22 (Scott, 2014). In this sense, the next section explains the role of international students in  
23 favouring the expansion of the travelling economy (along with tourists and migrants), in  
24 'discovering' new exploitable urban spaces (through marginal gentrification), and in  
25 producing urban value in cultural and creative industries (section 4). Finally we discuss  
26 the interdigitation of demand and supply theories in the field of gentrification in the  
27 light of international students (section 5).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## 48 **Methodology**

49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Although works on students and urban change often declare their debt to the seminal study of Chatterton on Bristol student geographies (1999), none have applied its ethnographic approach to the spatialisation of students lifestyles within the consumption-oriented city. The following outline of the residential patterns and the lives of exchange students in Lisbon derives from evidence drawn from two years of fieldwork in Lisbon (2013–2014). This comprised 32 in-depth interviews with international students (25), university staff (3) and members of organisations devoted to international students (4). Among the 25 students, 12 of them coming from different national origins and youth cultures were selected to participate in three focus groups on student lifestyles, and were met in their homes. In addition, countless informal conversations were recorded in participant observation sessions within student contexts such as pub-crawls, city walks, student parties, guided tours and a range of other events shared with foreign students in Lisbon. This last set of ethnographic encounters was based in Holton and Riley's innovative methodology “the place-based walking interview” (2014) which capture the identities and senses experienced by the students within the urban space. Due to the lack of information on student housing these interviews, conversations and focus groups with the students were the unique available data to understand the patterns of occupation through the city. All these data was stored, classified and analysed using CAQDAS software, changing the participants' original names in order to protect their identities.



## Erasmus students in Lisbon

As in other European cities, Erasmus students (among other international students) are a growing and noticeable presence in Lisbon, the capital and largest city of the Portuguese Republic which is located in the western part of the Iberian Peninsula where the River Tagus flows into the Atlantic Ocean. The city has a population of half a million (with 3 million in its metropolitan area) and is an Atlantic hub for commerce and finance, employment and leisure, communications and flows of capital and people, connecting with North America, Brazil, the PALOP [1] and the rest of Europe. Its Mediterranean climate, cheap prices and the cultural legacies of the Romans, the Moors and the rich architectural reminders of the Colonial Period make Lisbon an important destination for tourists worldwide. In this respect, several dynamics of urban transformation related to the growing presence of tourism and the politics of urban neoliberalization have been observed in recent years (Rodrigues, 2010; Tulumello, 2015) while urban inequalities continue to grow (Carmo, Cachado & Ferreira, 2015). However, Lisbon's municipality has been developing a strategic cluster of knowledge, innovation and creativity which has attracted several companies and entrepreneurs. According to the last known data, the academic year 2015-2016, 148,000 HES enrolled in the 95 higher education institutions (hereafter, HEI) sited in the metropolitan area of Lisbon, most of them inside the city: 71 centres with 125,000 students are located

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 within the municipality limits (84,4 km<sup>2</sup>) [2]. Around 14,000 of this annual population  
10 of tertiary students (11%) hold a citizenship other than Portuguese, the majority (about  
11 10,000) of whom live permanently in Lisbon for the entirety of their studies. Most of  
12 the students in this group come from countries historically and linguistically linked with  
13 Portugal and its former colonies: middle-class and wealthy people from Brazil and the  
14 PALOP (specially Angola and Cape Verde) who seek to graduate (bachelors, masters or  
15 Ph.D.) in the European education system [3]. Other foreign students come to Lisbon for  
16 a shorter period of time (one or two semesters) on a range of exchange programmes, the  
17 vast majority of them being Erasmus students (around 4,000), a relevant population of  
18 transnational mobile young people which is the focus of this article [4].  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 The growing attraction of Lisbon for foreign students has demonstrated a new  
32 trend over recent years when the enrolment of European students (mainly through the  
33 Erasmus Programme) more than doubled its representation in the capital city of  
34 Portugal. Between 2008 and 2012, the percentage of Europeans (mostly Spaniards,  
35 Italians and Germans) grew from 15% to 35% of the total foreigners, surpassing  
36 Brazilians (despite their numbers growing slightly from 20% to 23%) and almost  
37 equalling the nationals from PALOP who have declined from 62% to 37%. This is the  
38 most important change in international student demographics in Portugal since the  
39 country became a net importer of Erasmus students (receiving more than sending),  
40 beginning a spectacular growth with Lisbon playing a leading role. In absolute numbers,  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Portugal was the ninth most popular destination among Erasmus students during the  
10 academic year 2012–2013, but the country has ranked second out of fifteen in its growth  
11 rate (59%) for the last 5 years (6,232 to 9,894), only surpassed by Poland, a country 3.7  
12 times more populous and 3.4 times bigger than Portugal and, therefore, with an  
13 expansion potential equal to the capacity of their cities and territory. As a result of this  
14 growth, the ratio of Erasmus students to the general student population in the country is  
15 astonishing: 34% of the foreign students in Portugal are Erasmus (4<sup>th</sup> position out of 20)  
16 and 2.53% of all tertiary students in Portugal are Erasmus (2<sup>nd</sup> position out of 20, only  
17 surpassed by Ireland). Thus, returning to Lisbon (which receives a steady proportion of  
18 40% of total Erasmus students in the country) around 30,000 Erasmus students have  
19 circulated through Lisbon between 2000 and 2013 (62% of them during the last 5 years).  
20 These are huge numbers for a city of its size and population: the transnational  
21 circulation of young exchange students within the limited urban territory of Lisbon  
22 should be of increasing significance in explaining some urban processes such as the  
23 shift to a leisure-centred urban economy and the transformation of the rented housing  
24 market.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 But who are these students arriving in a new urban territory and how they  
45 differentiate from the regular local HES? International students could be considered  
46 broadly a “migratory elite” (Musgrove, 1963) because they come from educational and  
47 socioeconomic backgrounds that are slightly above the average of HES in general  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 (Windle and Nogueira, 2015). The same could be said about the family origins of the  
10 subpopulation selected in this article: Erasmus students (Souto-Otero, 2008). As a group  
11 of wealthier international students (when compared with their local peers) the Erasmus  
12 illustrate the capacity of this transnational urban population to induce processes of  
13 urban change through their middle-class practices of consumption. First of all, they are  
14 the broader, recognisable student-lifestyle community in European cities, very well  
15 known for their daily alcohol-fuelled, sexually-uninhibited events and parties, and for  
16 their strong desire to socialize with the entire community of young foreigners during the  
17 stay abroad. They are also very young (the average age is 22,5) and usually receive  
18 financial help from their parents (the grant provided by the European Commission is  
19 very low). This makes them consumers more than producers (in contrast, a considerable  
20 number of Brazilian students in Lisbon have part-time work) and specifically consumers  
21 of young, European middle-class to upper-middle class lifestyles. Summarizing, the  
22 massive arrival of Erasmus students in Lisbon could be seen as the temporary (but  
23 repeated cyclically, every semester) colonization of a particular territory by a new class  
24 of transnational urban consumers.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **Lisbon's studentification process**

47  
48 Studentification literature is devoted to understanding the effects of student  
49 populations in processes of urban change that affect particular districts around colleges.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 However, despite the growing numbers, the processes occurring in Lisbon are not  
10 characteristic of the classic boundaries expressed in the studentification literature: that is,  
11 differentiated housing geographies between segregated populations of either students  
12 and non-students or international and local students. The first scientific outline of  
13 studentification 'under the wider rubric of gentrification' (Smith, 2005: 75) was focused  
14 on the particular phenomenon of urban change caused by the formation of 'student  
15 ghettos' in English college towns. As a consequence of the massive growth in the  
16 number of HES, distinctive UK districts, centred around universities, started to receive a  
17 huge seasonal migrant youth population (from the UK and worldwide). Some actors  
18 (house owners and small-scale capital investors) supplied student accommodation,  
19 converting the existing single-family housing stock into houses of multiple occupation  
20 (hereafter, HMO). On the other hand, well-financed economic actors prompted the  
21 construction of new-build developments (cultural and retail services for students,  
22 university-maintained accommodation) as part of a wider strategy of gentrification in  
23 these areas (Hubbard, 2009). These middle-class residential districts were progressively  
24 occupied by significant concentrations of young people in shared student houses and  
25 residences which brought particular lifestyles and patterns of socialisation and  
26 consumption to the neighbourhood, causing the transformation of retail outlets, services  
27 and entertainment venues in the area. In any case, the studentification literature has  
28 dealt with variances of segregation, concentration and the density of student populations  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 in particular urban areas (Smith and Hubbard, 2014), criticising the lack of interaction  
10 between different urban populations (Smith, 2008, 2009; Munro and Livingston, 2012).  
11

12  
13 However, in Lisbon it is not possible to point to specific studentified areas  
14 because student accommodation (for both locals and foreigners) is more or less spread  
15 over the entire city, also covering the most central neighbourhoods in spite of being far  
16 from the university or college faculties or being poorly connected by public transport.  
17 This also appears to contradict the studentification literature which stresses proximity to  
18 the campuses as the main driving force in choice processes and clustering (Sage et al,  
19 2012:599). Nevertheless, the medium size of Lisbon, its transport system and the  
20 structure of urbanism make the distances from home to the faculty irrelevant when  
21 compared with UK studentified clusters. André Martins, CEO of Erasmus Life Lisbon  
22 (ELL), an association that organizes parties and tours for international students and also  
23 assists them to find accommodation through their huge rooms database, emphasizes the  
24 impossibility of pointing out the main student housing areas in a map: '90% of  
25 international students are spread in an area that goes from Campolide to Saldanha and  
26 from Santos to Santa Apolónia, which means most of the city centre'. Rita Ferraz, CEO  
27 of Erasmus Lisboa (EL), an organization for foreign students which provides an online  
28 booking service for finding accommodation, points to the stabilisation of Avenida  
29 Almirante Reis as the cause of this spread of student housing throughout the entire city  
30 centre: 'The area of Martim Moniz, Intendente and Anjos used to be dangerous, but in  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 the last five years it has changed a lot and today there are student flats everywhere'. As a  
10 consequence, local and international students are dispersed throughout Lisbon in an  
11 extensive housing area sited between two opposite extremes of the municipality: the  
12 leisure nightspots of Santos, Cais do Sodré and Bairro Alto, and the faculty areas  
13 around Avenidas Novas and Campo Grande. Although these specific districts are  
14 occupied by students in some density, it is not possible to consider them studentified as  
15 described in the literature: that is, forming segregation patterns or rapidly changing the  
16 social composition of the neighbourhood. The only segregation pattern we could find in  
17 Lisbon is a minority of Portuguese-speaking students (including the international ones  
18 coming from Brazil and PALOPs and the 38% of Portuguese students who moved to  
19 Lisbon from other districts) that tend to live separately from 'Erasmus environments'  
20 (that is, party-guided, English-speaking communities). However, this is not a trend: the  
21 majority of student houses present nationally-mixed populations, including several  
22 Portuguese-speaking individuals. Both patterns of inhabiting are equally spread over the  
23 entire city, just like their effects on gentrification processes (as we will see in the next  
24 section).

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 In an attempt to find student concentrations within urban structures that are  
45 different from those of the UK, a group of Spanish researchers coined the term 'vertical  
46 studentification' (Garmendia et al, 2011). They stress the role of housing morphology in  
47 the impact of studentification: in a landscape of medium or tall buildings, clustering  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

exists only on some floors of some buildings, thus limiting the impact on the neighbourhood. In the case of Lisbon, a mixed model of housing morphology is found: older structures in the city centre, characterised by some single-floor houses and small low-rise buildings (from 2 to 4 floors), whilst the Avenidas area has high-rise blocks with more than 5 floors and several separated apartments on each level. However, the cheap price of leisure activities brings most students to the night-life venues, moderating the effect of house parties and the subsequent pressure on non-student neighbours to abandon the building. Finally, another feature concerning the concentration of students that softens the studentification effect in Lisbon is the lack of development of purpose-built student accommodation (PBSA). These new developments, often associated with processes of new-build gentrification (Hubbard, 2009; Sage et al, 2013), should allow the progressive demise of the private supply of unregulated flats all over the urban territory, considered a 'risky business' for all parties involved (Smith, 2009: 1801). However, rental and subleasing through informal agreements (no legal contracts, no receipts, verbal understandings) continue to dominate the market as the main option for exchange students in Lisbon. This type of accommodation is often located in old buildings where the students occupy different-sized flats (from 3 to 6 rooms generally). The landlord is rarely a resident in the flat, but is sometimes a visitor in order to control the young tenants and solve the problems generated by such deteriorated buildings. Rita Caeiro, a professional student housing



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 supplier, complains: 'At this moment the great majority of lettings are made informally  
10 by private individuals. Unfortunately, until now the authorities have not paid any  
11 attention to this situation' (Worx, 2013:6). According to the report by the Real Estate  
12 Consultants, Worx, there is a lack of supply of 10.000 beds in Lisbon, which is provided  
13 for by the unregulated market, mostly non-professional, low-capital landlords (Worx,  
14 2013).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 Summarizing, the UK-centred definition of studentification (HES residential  
23 concentrations in specific areas around colleges in the form of HMO, development of  
24 PBSA) or even the Spanish adaptation 'vertical studentification' seems to be inadequate  
25 for understanding the diversity and fragmentation of student housing in other urban  
26 areas (such as Lisbon). As Collins has suggested, 'there are serious limitations to the  
27 focus of student geographies on the notion of studentification' (2010: 950). In the  
28 following section we go beyond the alleged dependence of student lives on the housing  
29 market by providing a portrait of the most important group of international students in  
30 Lisbon (Erasmus students). Their transnational patterns of socialization, consumption  
31 identities and migratory experiences are strongly related with the colonization of new  
32 urban areas and practices, in Lisbon's ongoing process of gentrification.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 **The fragmentation of the studying abroad experience**

50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 International students must be recognized as social actors involved in a short-  
10 term migration process (King & Raghuram, 2013), which means that their social  
11 incorporation in the destination is often mediated by migratory networks, identity issues,  
12 and processes of distinction (Murphy-Lejeune, 2002). Foreign students are, above all, in  
13 the midst of an experience abroad that involves a process of transition to adulthood (Tse  
14 and Waters, 2013) in which they try to shape their lifestyles in a new urban context. In  
15 fact, the ability of international students to build their own social worlds, identities, and  
16 consumption preferences when living abroad has been recognized as a powerful  
17 collective strategy for their incorporation in the destination (Smith, R erat, & Sage,  
18 2014). According to this vision, international students seem to impact on shifting urban  
19 forms in a deep and diversified way through their everyday practices and collective  
20 lifestyles as transnational new middle classes living (temporarily) in the contemporary  
21 city. As stated before, it is necessary to understand that the importance of international  
22 students in prompting processes of urban change is that they belong to a wealthier group  
23 of students, and consequently are holders of specific and distinguished lifestyles, when  
24 compared with their local peers. This universe of social segregation (expressed mostly  
25 in night-life spaces, but also in some apartments) between international and local  
26 students is analogous to the boundaries between traditional and non-traditional student  
27 lifestyles and identities in Bristol (Chatterton, 1999). In the following subsections we  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 will present the examples of distinctive groups of Erasmus students in Lisbon  
10 prompting or joining ongoing processes of gentrification and urban change.  
11  
12

### 13 14 15 *International students within the tourist city* 16

17  
18 Lisbon has recently become a top travel destination in the world, accumulating  
19 several awards (including Europe's Leading City Break Destination in 2009, 2010 and  
20 2013 and Europe's Leading Cruise Destination in 2014 and 2016, by World Travel  
21 Awards). These marketing operations spread the prestige and the image of the city  
22 through travelling imaginaries converting the urban visitor industry (directed to middle-  
23 class tourists, students or migrants) in a central field of activity in the new planetary  
24 urbanization. In this sense, some authors have noted that international students usually  
25 choose their academic destination attracted by the leisure activities and the overall  
26 image of the city or country they want to travel to (Llewellyn-Smith & McCabe, 2008).  
27 Consequently, their sojourn could be classified as 'educational travel' (Van't Klooster  
28 et al., 2008) or 'academic tourism' (Rodríguez et al., 2012). Therefore, the local leisure  
29 industry, municipal authorities, HEIs and travel operators work together in very similar  
30 marketing operations in order to attract a broad audience of young people to the urban  
31 environment. As a consequence, young tourists and international students together  
32 consume the same institutionally promoted commodities in the form of apartments and  
33 museums, night-life venues and coffee shops, retail services and music festivals.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Following the tourist approach, international students socialise and develop their  
10 everyday lives primarily among themselves (particularly with co-nationals) and with a  
11 minority of local students, mostly former exchange students or English-speaking  
12 individuals (Bochner et al, 1985; Waters and Brooks, 2011). In Lisbon, different student  
13 associations devoted to orienting and controlling international students' consumption of  
14 the city, organize activities and parties from Monday to Sunday, promoting a segregated  
15 environment where Erasmus students become a socialising community, similarly to the  
16 observations of Chatterton in Bristol's traditional students group (1999). The everyday  
17 lives of these Erasmus students are characterised by almost daily alcoholic intoxication,  
18 some tourist travel to other cities and places of interest during the year, circulation in  
19 particular urban districts through bars and clubs that are frequented by foreigners, and  
20 an unstoppable fever for socialising focused on other international students and always  
21 expressed in English. Local Portuguese students and also alternative Erasmus students -  
22 who avoid interacting with them as much as possible- call them 'the typical Erasmus',  
23 because their practices correspond to the imaginary forged around Erasmus students.  
24 Moreover, there is a gathering place - also promoted by the student associations - which  
25 is central for this group of students: the Erasmus Corner, a gathering spot for foreigners  
26 in the most important night-life district in the city: the Bairro Alto.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 'I like the Corner because you always can meet with somebody you knew in a  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 party the last weekend, or from the faculty, and you just walk to them and start a  
10 conversation. Even if they are total strangers it's really easy to get to know new  
11 people and maybe finishing the night together in some disco'. (Adrian, 23,  
12 Germany).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 Every night hundreds of Erasmus students (and also Portuguese who want to  
21 socialize with them, pickpockets, drug dealers and tourists) reunite here - at the  
22 crossroads with four bars - to drink something until 3 a.m., when the bars close and the  
23 people go to the discos, in other districts such as Cais do Sodré or Santos. Adrian went  
24 to the Corner for the first time the night he came to Lisbon guided by his flatmates and  
25 repeated almost every night for the next weeks, as the starting point of a long night out.  
26 After some time, his dense and complex friendship network had reached people from  
27 many countries, faculties and neighbourhoods in Lisbon: all English-speaking  
28 international students. The Erasmus Corner is a space of representation for the  
29 temporary identities, affections and practices shared by this community of (so-called)  
30 'typical' Erasmus students.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 But the Corner is not the only place where international students and young  
45 tourists consume the same leisure goods. Particularly, it is possible to track down the  
46 growing presence of Erasmus in some environments affected by processes of urban  
47 change such as the vintage-style night-life venues that are spreading in the formerly run-  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 down Cais do Sodré district (Nofre, 2013). Likewise, in the recently renovated Mouraria  
10 neighbourhood the offer of multi-cultural sound ambiances in different urban spaces  
11 attracts both tourists and other foreigners (Sanchez, 2016). For the last 10 years,  
12 attracting tourists has been considered a driving force of gentrification processes  
13 (Gotham, 2005), especially in Spain and Latin-America, where ‘state-led tourism  
14 gentrification’ was identified as a paramount policy in urban development (Janoschka et  
15 al, 2014). In the perfectly equitable case of Lisbon, the investment in cultural heritage,  
16 higher education and the tourism industry (through a united strategy of urban marketing)  
17 attracts massive flows of visitors, who are often interchangeable in terms of  
18 consumption. This process includes the displacement of former inhabitants in particular  
19 districts to provide short-term rented apartments for visitors (tourists or international  
20 students). Therefore, in spite of being international students they participate in the  
21 production and consumption of Lisbon as a tourist destination, favouring the general  
22 ongoing process of gentrification.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

### 42 *Alternative exchange students or marginal gentrifiers?*

44 Students’ desire to differentiate themselves from other groups by strategic  
45 processes of distinction (Bourdieu, 1979) leads them to build complex urban lifestyles  
46 in their short-term migratory contexts. In this sense, some students create particular  
47 ‘universes of belonging’ (Cuervo and Win, 2014) in opposition to the default Erasmus  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 circuits and overcrowded events directed to foreigners. As critics of the uniformity of  
10 'studentland' (Chatterton and Hollands, 2003), they reject both homogenized  
11 international lifestyles ('typical' Erasmus) and same-country segregation (national-  
12 oriented friendships), stating, often literally, that they 'aren't the typical Erasmus'. It is  
13 possible to distinguish two transnational alternative youth cultures regarding their  
14 particular—and spatially localised—'student habitus' (Chatterton, 1999).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 The largest and most significant alternative Erasmus culture in Lisbon is the  
23 neo-bohemian [5]. These authenticity searchers are characterised by their attraction for  
24 living in old city centre neighbourhoods where they seek 'real contact' and 'familiarity'  
25 with the local culture and people. As a consequence, they often live, and go out at night,  
26 in areas such as Alfama, Graça, Mouraria or Bica, far away from the 'vulgarity' of night-  
27 life districts such as Bairro Alto, Santos or Cais do Sodré where the 'typical' Erasmus  
28 and also the vast majority of local students go. 'Neo-bohemians' identify the smooth  
29 rhythm of life of the 'real Lisboa', with their own new-age lifestyles, trying to  
30 differentiate themselves both from 'immature' 'typical' Erasmus students and from  
31 tourist 'vulgarity'. However, they are actually consumers of Lisbon's cultural  
32 commodities, dwelling in the 'typical' and 'authentic' neighbourhoods instead of just  
33 visiting them:  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 'I love living in Alfama. There is a hidden traditional coffee shop that I discover  
10 recently: you can eat something, a very simple and tasteful soup, or drinking a  
11 beer in the outside, just like the other neighbours. It's beautiful because it's a calm  
12 and peaceful environment'. (Lukas, 24, Germany).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 However, when Lukas's family came to Lisbon, he accompanied them through  
21 the city as an improvised tourist guide, following the official cultural agenda and  
22 sightseeing circuits. Lukas and his circle of friends were all Erasmus students of arts,  
23 humanities and languages, living in Alfama and Graça, and they came from different  
24 European countries. Their night-life habits included going to *Miradouros* (viewpoints  
25 located on Lisbon's hills) and doing *botellón* (the habit of buying bottles of alcoholic  
26 drinks to share with friends in the street while smoking joints). In our conversations  
27 about their preferences for these old city centre districts they always expressed a desire  
28 to coexist with the 'genuine' and the 'authentic' elements that they found in these  
29 districts. This quest could be attributable to some kind of experience curriculum for  
30 their careers. They are the cultural workers of the future, colonizing the frontiers of the  
31 unexplored city. Considering their low economic capital and their diversity-seeking  
32 appetites (Blokland and VanEijk, 2010), these students could be considered 'early' or  
33 'marginal' gentrifiers (Rose, 1984). In Lisbon city centre, low-capital Erasmus students  
34 are participating in this process of marginal gentrification by residing in old non-  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 rehabilitated buildings that have been divided in private rooms, primarily in  
10 impoverished, but culturally stimulating neighbourhoods, such as Bairro Alto (Mendes,  
11 2011), Alfama (Malet Calvo, 2013) or Mouraria (Malheiros, Carvalho & Mendes, 2013).  
12  
13  
14

15 Another important alternative culture of marginal gentrifiers is formed by the  
16 'politicised' Erasmus students. These international students frequent places of militancy,  
17 such as assemblies, taking part in local protests and demonstrations, and also supporting  
18 international campaigns whilst abroad. From a generational perspective of transnational  
19 mobilisations, they are the leading figures of anti-austerity and Occupy protests, where  
20 these students acquired political consciousness and became involved in politics (Postill,  
21 2014). This is the case of the many Spaniards in Lisbon, who participated in the  
22 'Indignados' demonstrations:  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 'I came to my Erasmus after spending several time in the streets, camping out in  
36 Madrid's Puerta del Sol, and I came very angry, and this created conflict with my  
37 former flatmates. Everything was a struggle: recycling in home, my vegetarianism,  
38 talking about politics or the fact that I'm not shave my legs' (Maria, 22, Spain).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 After some time in the default circuits of 'typical' Erasmus students, Maria  
47 finally met up with other 'politicised' Erasmus students who frequented spaces of  
48 alternative consumption and militancy along with local youngsters. These urban  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 ambiances are concentrated in the deprived areas of Intendente, Anjos and Mouraria,  
10 which are multicultural, working-class districts in the early stages of gentrification and  
11 still associated with marginalisation, drug-addiction and occasional minor crime. These  
12 groups of ‘politicised’ Erasmus students are often formed of mixed groups of Spaniards  
13 and Italians who frequent local, non-tourist and politicised spaces of consumption, and  
14 eventually move to these areas to live. When asked for the reasons for moving to these  
15 neighbourhoods for the next semester they mention the cheaper accommodation  
16 opportunities but, above all, proximity to the marginalized populations with whom they  
17 sympathise in the context of the “austericide”: working-class low-income Portuguese,  
18 immigrants from Brazil, Africa and Asia, and homeless individuals.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 Following Caulfield’s arguments (1989), marginal gentrification is a desire-led  
32 prelude to freedom, emancipation and social mixing prior to capital investment in a  
33 particular deprived area. Marginal gentrifiers such as neo-bohemian or politicised  
34 Erasmus students that have been attracted to particular urban spaces, contribute with  
35 their presence to rising rents and eviction processes (Slater, 2006). Thus, these low-  
36 income marginal gentrifiers are producing attractive lifestyles that will be consumed—  
37 after capital re-investment and urban renewal—by higher social classes.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48  
49 *The transformations of a university town into a creative city*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Finally, in this limited classification of lifestyles it is important to note those  
10 Erasmus students who are very committed to their academic career plan and other  
11 formal learning processes: the Erasmus scholar. Whilst other Erasmus students may  
12 regularly miss lessons because of their leisure and night-time activities, these students  
13 always attend classes and dedicate most of their time to study and to doing homework  
14 after class. As migratory students, their priority is to make the most of their stay by  
15 travelling within Portugal, visiting museums and cultural events, and—in some cases—  
16 practising sports and languages on a regular basis. Their housing preferences are  
17 possibly the most well defined among the students: they often live in student residences  
18 within walking distance of their universities in order to maximise their days for studying  
19 and related activities. Sometimes, instead of residences, they may live in shared flats  
20 with other students but always in a private way, and rarely in noisy central  
21 neighbourhoods far from the faculties. Conscientious Erasmus students wake up early  
22 and enrol in several activities and courses during the day, also attending dinners and  
23 house parties during the evening. Although their presence in Lisbon's international  
24 night-life is not unusual they return home relatively early (2am) and do not go out every  
25 day or every weekend, as their peers do.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 'The same as in my home: I don't use to go out at night. It's funny because this  
49 could be seen as a strange behaviour. I mean, not get drunk every night and miss  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 the lessons, but I meet with lots of people like me. I can assure you that there are  
10 hundreds of Erasmus out there, in this city, with the same habits as me' (Patryk, 24,  
11 Poland).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 Some of these hardworking students would return to Lisbon to complete their  
18 studies, enrolling in a Master or Ph.D. if their Lisbon HEIs encouraged them to do so.  
19 Offering programmes to attract international students has become a priority in several  
20 countries in order to recruit talent for the national productive network and improve their  
21 position in the knowledge economy (Wei, 2013). Attracting international students is one  
22 of the main strategies for European HEIs since the 'Lisbon Agenda', which wished to  
23 turn Europe into "the most competitive and dynamic knowledge-based economy in the  
24 world" (European Council 2000). The learning economy and the idea of innovation as  
25 the motor for economic change were strongly criticized for being guided by neoliberal  
26 principles and authoritarian practices within the European Union (Batory and Lindstrom,  
27 2011). However HEIs still work hard to attract foreigners to universities as one of the  
28 most important forms of income (foreigners pay much higher fees than nationals).  
29 Continuity of the learning economy and the integration of foreigners in national  
30 productive networks is assured by the European Union, which recently launched a  
31 directive (2016/801 of May 11th) allowing non-European students to remain in EU  
32 territories for 9 months after their studies to find a job or to start their own business.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9           Municipal authorities have also understood the advantages of keeping  
10 international students in the local economy, with the creation of start-ups and business  
11 incubators centred on knowledge and entrepreneurship. In the case of Lisbon, the  
12 municipal authorities have recently developed a programme to attract international  
13 students worldwide: 'Study in Lisbon', which seeks to increase the enrolment of  
14 foreigners in the Portuguese Higher Education System and their involvement with  
15 Lisbon's knowledge economy. 'Study in Lisbon' is a network of several partners: the  
16 HEIs of Lisbon, students associations, city entrepreneurship start-ups, Lisbon's trade  
17 association "Lisbon Shopping Destination" and the Garage Erasmus foundation, an  
18 employment-seeking platform directed at former Erasmus students. It is difficult to  
19 calculate the amount of international students who return or circulate between their  
20 home countries and Lisbon, because of a lack of studies in this direction. However,  
21 those who wish to integrate into Lisbon's productive system carry with them images  
22 and expectations of a certain urban life. In this sense, 'Study in Lisbon' used a particular  
23 'Technology of recruitment' (Rizvi, 2011) presenting Lisbon itself as an axis of interest  
24 for young students, entrepreneurs and researchers, synthesizing the role of cities as  
25 lifestyle clusters for the attraction of talent: "Lisbon is a cosmopolitan and tolerant city.  
26 Lisboners like to welcome people of all nationalities (...) With sun shining during most  
27 of the year and 15 minutes from the beaches, you can enjoy your free time to take a  
28 beach bath, do surf, bodyboard or kitesurf" ('Study in Lisbon' website). Therefore, the  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

existence of engaging urban lifestyles is a condition *sine qua non* for the attraction of talent to knowledge-economy cities, and the subsequent colonization of this talent by capitalists (Wyly, 2013: 389).

\*\*\*

In the previous examples of youth cultures presented in this article, there is a continuous overlap of international students' practices, settings and lifestyles with the processes described in the gentrification literature. The entanglement between student's migratory experiences and youth cultures helps to understand the important role of international students as agents of urban change beyond the narrow view of studentification as housing clustering. In this sense, international students often return to the host country in future years, in the form of tourists, to continue their studies (Master or Ph.D.), or to start some economic activity—ranging from precarious work to the meditated entrepreneurial start-up of small companies. In all the cases they return with particular middle-class patterns of consumption which, in the rise of the creative city, turn international students into 'apprentice gentrifiers' (Smith and Holt, 2007) whose practices will reconfigure 'the socio-spatial patterns of knowledge-based, post-industrial societies and economies' (Smith, 2008).

### **Concluding remarks: Integrating demand and supply theories**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 All these distinct forms of incorporation and consumption in Lisbon are the  
10 result of complex interlinking of cultural, national and class-based appetites with the  
11 continuous production and renovation of transnational youth cultures. Students'  
12 preferences and choices are always mediated by subjective processes of elective  
13 belonging that existed before (and beyond) investors' planning -even when finally  
14 capital managed to reach the goal of commodifying every single aspect of students' life-  
15  
16 The selection of a city or an urban area in which to live and consume is a key element in  
17 the construction of the experience abroad, displaying contiguity between housing supply  
18 and subjectivity, between socio-spatial capitalisation and place-consumption. Or as  
19 expressed by David Ley: 'the interdigitation of economic and cultural competencies and  
20 pursuits in the gentrification field makes any statement of mono-causality questionable.  
21 It is not a matter of whether economic or cultural arguments prevail, but how they work  
22 together to produce gentrification as an outcome' (2003: 2541–2542).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 In this sense, the excessive dependence on production-driven theories in the  
38 gentrification / studentification literature contributes to concealing the diversity of the  
39 social processes that lead to urban change and class displacement. When looked at  
40 closely, the lives and cultural pursuits of international students provide valuable clues as  
41 to how this interaction between place-makers (investors and new urban classes) could  
42 work. An ethnographic study with a group of Erasmus students could serve as an  
43 example (Malet Calvo, 2013): In 2008 the owners of a hostel in Lisbon bought the  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 ground floor apartments occupied until then by a group of alternative Erasmus. Next  
10 year, students' innovative practices (installing benches at the patio to socialize and  
11 organize parties) and their alternative aesthetic preferences (such as new-age  
12 decorations) were identified and developed as commodities for tourism consumption by  
13 those owners. It is true that international students are consuming tourist goods,  
14 gentrifying some districts, and participating in the knowledge economy that others may  
15 have planned for them. However, at the same time they are inventing and spreading new  
16 urban lifestyles that only could be colonized by urban investors after their local  
17 discovery (which occurs successfully only from time to time). Thus, gentrification as an  
18 urban process prompted by international students operates through the simultaneous  
19 presence of vital pursuits, economic interests and place colonization, in particular, and  
20 globally interrelated, urban settings. As stated by Wyly, the new conditions of the  
21 cognitive-cultural (global) system of production, in which international students are the  
22 real core, "are dissolving the relevance of the sharp categorical distinctions and  
23 polarising binaries of the gentrification debates (Wyly, 2015: 2533).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 Further research needs to examine how students' differentiated *habitus*—  
43 demonstrated in order to become distinctive among their international peers—seems to  
44 be encouraging the diversification of their impact on the city. Additionally,  
45 ethnographic case-studies with particular groups of students could be valuable in  
46 understanding how—in specific settings—the emotional gesture of youth lifestyles can  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 engage with the private economic interest of urban change, together (re)producing the  
10  
11 landscape of contemporary cities.  
12  
13

### 14 15 **Funding**

16  
17 This work was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology  
18  
19 (FCT) under Grant SFRH/BPD/85169/2012.  
20  
21

### 22 **Footnotes**

23  
24 [1] PALOP (Países Africanos de Língua Oficial Portuguesa), meaning: Portuguese-  
25  
26 speaking African countries.  
27

28  
29 [2] Municipal institutions calculate HEIs' students counting each faculty separately  
30  
31 which leads to these huge numbers, corresponding to 35 Universities of different sizes.  
32  
33 Only 5 of them, located in the city, concentrate the vast majority of students. These data  
34  
35 and those following are collected from PORDATA, Study in Lisbon Platform,  
36  
37 Erasmus+ National Agency (Portugal) and Eurostat, 2012.  
38

39  
40 [3] Portugal has been receiving a large number of foreign students from countries where  
41  
42 Portuguese is the official language (55%). As a result, in 2012 it was ranked the second  
43  
44 European country for foreign students coming from countries with the same language  
45  
46 (OECD, 2015).  
47

48  
49 [4] From this characterisation, hereafter, we will not differentiate between the terms  
50  
51 'international', 'foreign', 'mobile' and 'exchange' when referring to the Erasmus students.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 [5] In this paragraph quotation marks are used to represent the emic language of neo-  
10 bohemian group.  
11  
12

### 13 14 15 16 **References**

17  
18 Batory, A., Lindstrom, N. (2011): The Power of the Purse: Supranational  
19 Entrepreneurship, Financial Incentives, and European Higher Education Policy.  
20  
21 *Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration, and Institutions*, 24:2,  
22  
23 311–329.  
24  
25  
26

27  
28  
29  
30  
31 Blokland, T., VanEijk, G. (2010) Do people who like diversity practice diversity in  
32  
33 Neighborhood life? Neighborhood use and the social networks of 'diversity-seekers' in a  
34  
35 mixed neighborhood on the Netherlands. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 36.2,  
36  
37 313-332.  
38  
39

40  
41  
42  
43 Bochner, S., Hutnik, N., Furnham, A. (1985) The Friendship Patterns of Overseas and  
44  
45 Host Students in an Oxford Student Residence. *The Journal of Social Psychology* 125.6,  
46  
47 689-694.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 Bourdieu, P (1979) *La distinction*. Éditions de Minuit, Paris.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Carmo, R.M., Cachado, R., Ferreira, D. (2015) Desigualdades em tempos de crise:  
15 Vulnerabilidades habitacionais e socioeconómicas na Área Metropolitana de Lisboa.  
16 *Revista Portuguesa de Estudos Regionais*, 40, 5-22.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Caulfield, J. (1989) Gentrification and desire. *Canadian Review of Sociology and*  
25 *Anthropology* 26.4, 617–632.  
26  
27  
28

29  
30  
31  
32 Chatterton, P. (1999) University students and city centres – the formation of exclusive  
33 geographies. The case of Bristol, UK. *Geoforum* 30, 117–133.  
34  
35  
36

37  
38  
39  
40 Chatterton, P. (2010) The student city: an ongoing story of neoliberalism, gentrification,  
41 and commodification. *Environment and Planning A* 42.3, 509–514.  
42  
43  
44

45  
46  
47 Chatterton, P., Hollands, R. (2003) *Urban Nightscapes: Youth Cultures, Pleasure*  
48 *Spaces and Corporate Power*. Routledge, London and New York.  
49  
50  
51

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 Collins, F.L. (2010) International students as urban agents: International education and  
12 urban transformation in Auckland, New Zealand. *Geoforum* 41.6, 940–950.  
13  
14

15  
16  
17  
18 Cuervo, H., Wyn, J. (2014) Reflections on the use of spatial and relational metaphors in  
19 youth studies. *Journal of Youth Studies* 17, 901–915.  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26 Duke-Williams, O. (2009) The geographies of student migration in the UK.  
27 *Environment and Planning A* 41.8, 1826–1848.  
28  
29  
30

31  
32  
33  
34 European Commission, 2014. *Erasmus 2012-13: the figures explained*. Memo 14-476.  
35  
36 URL: [http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release\\_MEMO-14-476\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-14-476_en.htm).  
37  
38

39  
40  
41 European Council (2000). *Presidency Conclusions in Lisbon*. 23 and 24 March 2000.  
42

43  
44 URL: [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/summits/lis1\\_en.htm](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/summits/lis1_en.htm)  
45  
46

47  
48  
49 Florida, R. (2003) Cities and the creative class. *City and Community* 2.1, 3-19.  
50  
51  
52

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 Garmendia, M., Coronado, J.M., Ureña, J.M. (2011) University Students Sharing Flats:  
12 When Studentification Becomes Vertical. *Urban Studies* 49.12, 2651–2668.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 Gotham, KF. (2005) Tourism Gentrification: The case of New Orleans' Vieux Carre  
20 (French Quarter). *Urban Studies*, 42:7, 1099-1121.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Harvey, D. (1989) From managerialism to entrepreneurialism: the transformation in  
28 urban governance in late capitalism. *Geografiska Annaler. Series B. Human Geography*  
29 17.1, 3–17.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 Hendrickson, B., Rosen D., Aune K. (2011) An analysis of friendship networks, social  
38 connectedness, homesickness, and satisfaction levels of international students.  
39  
40  
41 *International Journal of Intercultural Relations* 35:3, 281-295.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Holton, M. and Riley, M. (2014) Talking on the move: place-based interviewing with  
48 undergraduate students. *Area*, 46: 59–65.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Hubbard, P. (2009) Geographies of studentification and purpose-built student  
10 accommodation: leading separate lives?. *Environment and Planning A* 41.8, 1903–1923.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 Janoschka, M., Sequera, J., Salinas, L. (2014) Gentrification in Spain and Latin  
17 America – a Critical Dialogue. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*  
18 38.4, 1234–1265.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26 King, R., Raghuram, P. (2013) International student migration: mapping the field and  
27 new research agendas. *Population, Space and Place* 19, 127–137.  
28  
29  
30

31  
32  
33 Ley, D. (2003) Artists, aestheticisation and the field of gentrification. *Urban Studies*  
34 40.12, 2527–2544.  
35  
36  
37

38  
39  
40  
41 Liping A. Cai., Wei W., Ying (Tracy) Lu., Jonathon J. Day (2015) College Students'  
42 Decision-Making for Study Abroad –Anecdotes from a U.S. Hospitality and Tourism  
43 Internship Program in China. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 15:1, 48-73.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Llewellyn-Smith, C., McCabe, VS. (2008) What is the attraction for exchange students:  
10 the host destination or host university? Empirical evidence from a study of an  
11 Australian university. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 10 (6): 593-607.  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 Maiworm, F. (2001) Erasmus: Continuity and Change in the 1990s. *European Journal*  
19 *of Education* 36.4, 459–472.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25  
26 Malet Calvo, Daniel. (2013). Procesos de Revalorización Patrimonial en el Barrio de  
27 Alfama: El Papel de los Estudiantes Erasmus en la Tematización de la Ciudad.  
28 *Etnográfica*, 17 (1), 31-50.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 Malheiros, J., Carvalho, R., Mendes, L., (2013) Gentrification, residential ethnicization  
36 and the social production of fragmented space in two multi-ethnic neighbourhoods of  
37 Lisbon and Bilbao. *Finisterra*, 96, 109-135.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44  
45 Mendes, L. (2011) Postmodern city, gentrification and the social production of  
46 fragmented space, *Cidades, Comunidades e Territórios*, 23, 82-96.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Munro, M., Livingston, M. (2012) Student impacts on urban neighbourhoods: policy  
10 approaches, discourses and dilemmas. *Urban Studies* 49.8, 1679–1694.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 Murphy-Lejeune, E. (2002) *Student Mobility and Narrative in Europe. The new*  
17 *strangers*. Routledge, London and New York.  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Musgrove, F. (1963) *The Migratory Elite*. Heinemann, London.  
25  
26

27  
28  
29  
30 Nofre, J. (2013) Vintage Nightlife: Gentrifying Lisbon downtown. *Fennia:*  
31 *International Journal of Geography* 191.2, 106-121.  
32  
33

34  
35  
36  
37 OECD (2015) *Education at a Glance 2015. OECD Indicators* (OECD Publishing).  
38 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/eag-2015-en>.  
39  
40  
41

42  
43  
44  
45 Postill, J. (2014) Democracy in an age of viral reality: A media epidemiography of  
46 Spain's indignados movement. *Ethnography* 15.1, 51-69.  
47  
48  
49



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Rizvi, F. (2011) Theorizing student mobility in an era of globalization. *Teachers and*  
10 *Teaching: theory and practice*, 17:6, 693-701.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 Robertson, S. (2014) Time and Temporary Migration: The Case of Temporary Graduate  
17 Workers and Working Holiday Makers in Australia, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration*  
18 *Studies*, 40:12, 1915-1933.  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26 Rodrigues, W. (2010). *Cidade em Transição. Nobilitação Urbana, Estilos de Vida e*  
27 *Reurbanização em Lisboa*. Oeiras: Celta Editora.  
28  
29  
30

31  
32  
33 Rodríguez, XA., Martínez-Roget, F., Pawlowska, E. (2012). Academic tourism demand  
34 in Galicia, Spain, *Tourism Management*, 33, 1583-1590.  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 Rose, D. (1984) Rethinking gentrification: beyond the uneven development of marxist  
40 urban theory. *Environment and Planning D* 1, 47-74.  
41  
42  
43

44  
45  
46  
47 Sage, J., Smith, D.P., Hubbard, P. (2013) New-build studentification: a panacea for  
48 balanced communities?. *Urban Studies* 50, 2623-2641.  
49  
50  
51

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 Sage, J., Smith, D.P., Hubbard, P. (2012) The Rapidity of Studentification and  
12 Population Change: There Goes the (Student)hood. *Population, Space and Place* 18,  
13 597–613.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19  
20  
21 Sánchez, I. (2016) Mapping out the sounds of urban transformation: the case of Lisbon's  
22 Mouraria Quarter, in: Guillebaud, C. (ed.) *Toward an anthropology of ambient sound*.  
23 Routledge: New York.  
24  
25  
26  
27

28  
29  
30  
31 Scott AJ. (2014) Beyond the Creative City: Cognitive–Cultural Capitalism and the New  
32 Urbanism, *Regional Studies*, 48:4, 565-578.  
33  
34  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 Sigalas, E. (2010) Cross-border mobility and European identity: The effectiveness of  
40 intergroup contact during the Erasmus year abroad. *European Union Politics* 11.2, 241–  
41 265.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47  
48 Slater, T. (2015) Planetary Rent Gaps. *Antipode*. Published online: 22 September 2015.  
49  
50  
51 DOI: 10.1111/anti.12185.  
52  
53  
54  
55

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Slater, T. (2006) The Eviction of Critical Perspectives from Gentrification Research.  
11  
12 *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 30.4, 737–757.  
13  
14

15  
16  
17  
18 Smith, D.P. (2005) ‘Studentification’: the gentrification factory?. In R. Atkinson, G.  
19  
20 Bridge (eds.), *Gentrification in a Global Context: The New Urban Colonialism*.  
21  
22 Routledge, Londres and New York, 72-89.  
23  
24

25  
26  
27  
28 Smith, D.P. (2008) The Politics of Studentification and “(Un)balanced” Urban  
29  
30 Populations: Lessons for Gentrification and Sustainable Communities?. *Urban Studies*  
31  
32 45.12, 2541–2564.  
33  
34

35  
36  
37  
38 Smith, D.P. (2009) 'Student Geographies', urban restructuring, and the expansion of  
39  
40 higher education. *Environment and Planning A* 41.8, 1795–1804.  
41  
42

43  
44  
45  
46 Smith, D.P., Hubbard, P. (2014) The segregation of educated youth and dynamic  
47  
48 geographies of studentification. *Area. Royal Geographical Society* 46.1, 92–100.  
49  
50

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Smith, D.P., Holt, L. (2007) Studentification and “apprentice” gentrifiers within  
10 Britain’s provincial towns and cities: extending the meaning of gentrification.  
11 *Environment and Planning A* 39.1, 142-161.  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 Smith, D.P., Rérat, P., Sage, J. (2014) Youth migration and spaces of education.  
19 *Children’s Geographies* 12.1, 1–8.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25  
26 Souto-Otero, M. (2008) The socio-economic background of Erasmus students: a trend  
27 towards wider inclusion? *International Review of Education*, 54:2, 135-154.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 Teichler, U., Janson, K. (2007) The professional value of temporary study in another  
35 European country: employment and work of former Erasmus students. *Journal of*  
36 *Studies in International Education* 11.3-4, 486-495.  
37  
38  
39  
40

41  
42  
43  
44 Tse, J.K.H., Waters, J.L. (2013) Transnational youth transitions: Becoming adults  
45 between Vancouver and Hong Kong. *Global Networks* 13, 535–550.  
46  
47  
48  
49

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Tulumello, S. (2015) Reconsidering neoliberal urban planning in times of crisis: urban  
10 regeneration policy in a “dense” space in Lisbon. *Urban Geography*.  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Valentin, K. (2015) Transnational education and the remaking of social identity:  
17 Nepalese student migration to Denmark. *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and*  
18 *Power* 22:3, 318-332.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25  
26 Van Mol, C. (2013) Intra-European Student Mobility and European Identity: A  
27 Successful Marriage?. *Population, Space and Place* 19, 209-222.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 Van't Klooster, E., Van Wijk, J., Go, F., Van Rekom, J. (2008) Educational travel: the  
35 overseas internship. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 35 (3): 690-711.  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 Verger, A., C. Lubienski., C. Steiner-Khamsi, G. (2016) The Emergence and  
43 Structuring of the Global Education Industry: Towards an Analytical Framework. In A.  
44 Verger., C. Lubienski., G. Steiner-Khamsi (eds.), *World Yearbook of Education 2016:*  
45 *The Global Education Industry*. New York: Routledge.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 Waters, J., Brooks, R. (2011) 'Vive la Différence?': The 'International' Experiences of  
10 UK Students Overseas. *Population, Space and Place* 17, 567-578.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16 Wei, H. (2013) An empirical study on the determinants of international student mobility:  
17 a global perspective. *Higher Education*, 66: 105-122.  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23  
24 Windle, J., Nogueira, MA. (2015) The role of internationalisation in the schooling of  
25 Brazilian elites: distinctions between two class fractions, *British Journal of*  
26  
27  
28  
29 *Sociology of Education*, 36:1, 174-192.  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 WORX. (2013) *Student Housing Review* (Worx Real Estate Consultants, Lisbon).  
35  
36  
37 [http://www.worx.pt/media/newsDocs/757EN\\_doc\\_WSHR13\\_EN\\_-\\_Reduz.pdf](http://www.worx.pt/media/newsDocs/757EN_doc_WSHR13_EN_-_Reduz.pdf)  
38  
39

40  
41  
42 Wyly, E. (2015) Gentrification on the planetary urban frontier: The evolution of  
43 Turner's noosphere. *Urban Studies*, 52:14, 2515-2550.  
44  
45  
46

47  
48  
49 Wyly, E. (2013) The city of cognitive-cultural capitalism. *City: analysis of urban*  
50  
51  
52 *trends, culture, theory, policy, action*, 17:3, 387-394.  
53  
54  
55