# A biocomposite of collagen nanofibers and nanohydroxyapatite forbone regeneration

Nilza Ribeiro, Susana R Sousa, Clemens A van Blitterswijk, Lorenzo Moroni and Fernando J Monteiro

# **Abstract**

This work aims to design a synthetic construct that mimics the natural bone extracellular matrix through innovative approaches based on simultaneous type I collagen electrospinning and nanophased hydroxyapatite (nanoHA) electrospraying using non-denaturating conditions and non-toxic reagents. The morphological results, assessed using scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy (AFM), showed a mesh of collagen nanofibers embedded with crystals of HA with fiber diameters within the nanometer range (30 nm), thus significantly lower than those reported in the literature, over 200 nm. The mechanical properties, assessed by nanoindentation using AFM, exhibited elastic moduli between 0.3 and 2 GPa. Fourier transformed infrared spectrometry confirmed the collagenous integrity as well as the presence of nanoHA in the composite. The network architecture allows cell accessto both collagen nanofibers and HA crystals asin the natural bone environment. The inclusion of nanoHA agglomerates by electrospraying in type I collagen nanofibers improved the adhesion and metabolic activity of MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts. This new nanostructured collagen– nanoHA composite holds great potential for healing bone defects or as a functional membrane for guided bone tissue regeneration and in treating bone diseases.

**Keywords**: biocomposite, collagen nanofibers, nanohydroxyapatite, electrospinning, electrospraying

# 1. Introduction

Considerable attempts have been made to produce adequate matrices or scaffolds that mimic the bone extracellular matrix (ECM) for applications in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. These biomaterials should be specifically designed to be biocompatible, biodegradable and osteoconductive. Nanohydroxyapatite/collagen nanocomposites are ideal biomaterials for bone regeneration and target molecule delivery

systems for the treatment of bone diseases. These types of biomaterials are suitable for bone contact and substitution, particularly novel natural polymer-based composites reinforced with bioactive components, such as nanophased hydroxyapatite (nanoHA) [\[1–](#page-8-0)[5\]](#page-8-1). They represent the major inorganic and organic component assembly as in natural bone where the HA crystals of the mineral part are bound to collagen fibers, corresponding to 90–95 per cent of the bone organic matrix. The mineral phase is responsible for

providing adequate mechanical compressive strength, while collagen provides tensile properties.

Electrospinning has recently attracted great interest in generating nanoscale fibers of biomaterials ranging from polymers and ceramics to their composite fibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering applications with fiber diameters ran- ging from a few microns to less than 100 nm [\[6,](#page-8-2) [7\]](#page-8-3). This type of nanofibrous structure is regarded as a promising archi- tecture in the sense that natural bone ECM exhibits collagen fibrils with diameters ranging from 20 nm to 40  $\mu$ m [\[8,](#page-8-4) [9\]](#page-8-5) which are far smaller than those that can be achieved with conventional processing methods.

Natural polymers, including collagen, are very difficult to electrospin due to their high viscosity and low solubility in general organic solvents, as reported in most published works concerning the production of collagen fibrillar meshes [\[10–](#page-8-6)[19\]](#page-8-7). For that reason, synthetic polymers such poly- glycolic acid (PGA), polyL-lactic acid (PLLA), polylactic- coglycolic acid (PLGA) or polycaprolactone (PCL) are often added to the collagen solution [\[20](#page-8-8)[–22\]](#page-8-9). However, the che- micals (additives, traces of catalysts, inhibitors) or mono- mers (glycolic acid, lactic acid) released from polymer degradation may induce local and systemic host reactions that may cause clinical problems [\[23,](#page-8-10) [24\]](#page-9-0). Another way to overcome this problem is the use of organic toxic reagents, mainly highly volatile fluoroalcohols such as  $1,1,1,3,3,3$ hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFP) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE). However, these solvents are highly toxic and partially denature the native structure of collagen through the disruption of its characteristic triple-helical structure, decrease its denaturation temperature and result in a significant amount of collagen lost during electrospinning [\[25,](#page-9-1) [26\]](#page-9-2). Increasing efforts towards applying non-toxic aqueous systems, such as PBS/ethanol or acetic acid, for medical applications have started to emerge [\[27](#page-9-3)[–30\]](#page-9-4).In addition, postfabrication cross-linking confers mechanical resistance through the binding of carboxylic groups in col- lagen fibrils, which is fundamental for *in vitro* assays and

translation of these collagenous meshes in preclinical and clinical settings. In this work, we used N-ethyl-N′- [3–dimethylaminopropyl] carbodiimide/N-hydroxy succinimide (EDC/NHS) as a non-toxic cross-linker, despite most of the studies in the literature having applied toxic reagents such as glutaraldehyde [\[27,](#page-9-3) [31–](#page-9-5)[35\]](#page-9-6).

Here, we report an innovative approach based on two simultaneous methods, type I collagen electrospinning and nanophased HA electrospraying, using non-toxic reagents. Simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques have been applied to gelatin in only very few studies [\[36,](#page-9-7) [37\]](#page-9-8). The physicochemical properties of this biocomposite were investigated as well as its influence on MC3T3-E1 osteoblast cell performance in terms of morphology, adhesion and metabolic activity. This construct is revealed to have a noncytotoxic effect and the ability to support osteoblast cell adhesion and viability.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the laboratory set-up used for the simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques.

## 2. Materials and methods

## <span id="page-1-1"></span>*2.1. Electrospinning and electrospraying*

Type I collagen, supplied by Kensey Nash (USA), was suspended in acetic acid:ethyl acetate:water (40:30:30) by stir- ring overnight at 4  $\degree$ C to obtain a 12% (w v<sup>-1</sup>) collagen suspension. The solution was loaded into a syringe (5ml) with a 21 G needle and electrospun at 0.1 ml  $h^{-1}$ , under a high electrostatic field (20 kV) onto 12 mm diameter coverglasses attached on aluminum foil wrapped on a rotating cylinder collector, at 400rpm, placed at a distance of 120mm from the needle tip. Simultaneously, electrospraying of nanoHA, sup- plied by Fluidinova S.A. (Portugal), (nanoXIM•Hap102),

was carried out. NanoHA 3.5% ( $v v^{-1}$ ) suspended in methanol was subjected to a set of ultrasonic cycles with an amplitude of 60 A  $(20 \times 15)$  ultrasonic pulses) in order to decrease nanoparticle agglomeration. The solution was loaded into a syringe (10 ml) with a 21 G needle and electrosprayed at 2 ml  $h^{-1}$ , under a high electrostatic field (20 kV) onto the col- lagen fibers at a distance of 120 mm. The simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying process was continuously performed over 1 h at room temperature (22 °C) with a rela- tive humidity of about 30–45%. Figure [1](#page-1-0) illustrates the schematic diagram of the laboratory set-up used for the simultaneous electrospinning of collagen and electrospraying of nanoHA techniques. The samples obtained were subjected to chemical cross-linking in ethanol 90%  $(vv^{-1})$  containing

20mM EDC and 10mM NHS at 4 °C over 4 h in the case of the electrospun collagen fibers and 24 h in the case of the electrospun collagen fibers plus electrosprayed HA agglomerates. EDC, a zero-length cross-linker, causes a direct conjugation of carboxylates  $(-COOH)$  to primary amines  $(-NH<sub>2</sub>)$ without becoming part of the final cross-link (amide bond) between the target molecules. The cross-linked constructs were washed three times with ethanol 90% (v  $v^{-1}$ ) and twice with water and dried overnight at room temperature in a desiccator before chemical and morphological characteriza- tion and cell culture studies. All the experimental conditions related to the weight % ratio of collagen–nanoHA, the pro- portion of reagents and the electrospinning/electrospraying conditions referred to previously were optimized in order to produce a stable network of collagen nanofibers and HA agglomerates (data not shown).

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>*2.2. Substrate characterization*

The size of the HA agglomerates was determined using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, U.K.), equipped with a 4 mW HeNe laser beam with a wavelength of 633 nm and a scattering angle of 173°. The size measurements were performed following the manufacturer's instructions, at 25 °C in a polystyrene cell (ZEN0040), using the 'General Mode' analysis model, which is suitable for the analysis of the majority of samples and dispersions. Size results were automatically calculated by the software, DTS Nano v.6.30, using the Stokes–Einstein equation.

Chemical characterization of the developed structures was performed using Fourier transformed infrared spectro- scopy (FT-IR), with a Perkin-Elmer 2000 FT-IR spectro- meter. For this purpose, 0.2 g of sample material (collagen, electrospun collagen fibers or composites of collagen and nanoHA obtained by simultaneous electrospinning and elec- trospraying) was ground and analyzed as KBr pellets at a spectral resolution of 4 cm−1 . One hundred scans were accu- mulated per sample.

The proportion of collagen and nanohydroxyapatite present in the composites was assessed by Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a NETZSCH simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) 449 F3 Jupiter<sup>®</sup> instrument. Approximately 4.4 mg of sample was placed in an alumina sample crucible and heated at 10  $\mathrm{°C min}^{-1}$  from 25  $\mathrm{°C}$  to 500  $\mathrm{°C}$ , under nitrogen atmosphere with a flow rate of 30 mlmin<sup>-1</sup>.

The surface characterization of substrates was examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM analyses were performed using a FEI Quanta 400FEG/EDAX Genesis X4M (Hillsboro, OR, USA) scanning electron microscope under high vacuum conditions. The samples were sputter- coated with a thin palladium–gold film, using a sputter coater (SPI-Module) in an argon atmosphere before observation. The diameters of twenty fibers randomly chosen from six different SEM images, each one corresponding to a distinct sample, were measured with a custom code image analysis imple- mented in the program ImageJ. The results referred to as diameter measurements correspond to the average and med- ian  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The thicknesses of the

collagen–HA biocomposites before and after chemical crosslinking were obtained through SEM image analysis. For both conditions, each sample  $(n = 4)$  was placed in a container with liquid  $N_2$  and then a free fracture was produced under low temperature. The exposed fracture was observed by SEM under high vacuum, and images were produced with sec- ondary electrons. For each sample, a total of four measure- ments were taken randomly. The results referred to as thickness measurements correspond to the average±standard deviation (SD).

Atomic force microcopy (AFM) studies were carried out using a Veeco Multimode NanoScope IVa scanning probe microscope. The surface topography of the collagen–nanoHA composite was imaged with a  $16 \times 16 \mu m^2$  piezo-scanner. Imaging analyses were performed at room temperature, in Tapping mode® , using a silicon cantilever with a spring constant of 25–75 N m<sup>-1</sup> (tip radius <10 nm). The mechanical proprieties of electrospun ultra-thin non-woven collagen fiber mats before and after chemical cross-linking were determined by nanoindentation using a diamond-tipped probe cantilever with a resonance frequency of 60 kHz and a nominal spring constant of 131.0 N m−1 (DNISP; Veeco Probe, United States). For each sample, a total of 16 nanoindentations were taken randomly. The time for both approach and retraction of the tip was set to 1.7 s  $(1/0.6\text{Hz})$ , with zerodelay in between and a maximum load of 3 μN. All the measurements were taken in air and at room temperature. The Oliver and Pharr indentation model was applied to each load–unload curve, in order to obtain the elastic modulus or Young's modulus parameter (*E*) [\[38\]](#page-9-9). For the calculations we assumed a Poisson coefficient of 0.2 for the collagen material (in fact, this model is not highly dependent on this coefficient). All calculations were performed using NanoScope v6.13 software.

### *2.3. In vitro cell culture studies*

MC3T3-E1 cells, established as an osteoblastic cell line from normal mouse calvaria, were grown in an alpha minimum essential medium (α-MEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v  $v^{-1}$ ) foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were cultured in 75  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  plastic culture flasks, and incubated in a humidified incubator (37 $\degree$ C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>).

Freshly confluent MC3T3-E1 cells were rinsed with PBS, followed by incubation in trypsin/EDTA (0.25% trypsin, 1 mM EDTA; Sigma) for 10 min at 37 °C and then re-suspended in supplemented medium. The substrates were ster- ilized byimmersioninaseriesofdilute ethanolsolutionsof 90, 70 and 50% (v  $v^{-1}$ ) over 10 min, and incubated with  $\alpha$ - MEM for 30 min. After rinsing three times in PBS, the cells were seeded on both substrates (electrospun collagen fibers and collagen–nanoHA composites obtained by co-electro- spinning/electrospraying) at a cell seeding density of  $4 \times 10^4$  cells/well. Coverglasses coated with Poly-D-lysine hydro- bromide (PDL) were used as a control. MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured on both constructs for periods of 4h and 1, 4, 7, 14 and 21 days. For each material and culture period, six samples

without cells were incubated with complete medium in the same way and used as blanks.

The cell metabolic activity of MC3T3-E1 cells on substrates after 4 h and 1, 4, 7, 14 and 21 days of cell culture was evaluated using a resazurin-based assay [\[37\]](#page-9-8). Thus, 50 μl of resazurin (Sigma) at a concentration of 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> were added to each well. After 3 h of reaction time, 100 μl of supernatant wastransferred to the wells of a black-walled 96- well plate. Fluorescence was read using λ*ex* =530 nm and λ*em* =590 nm in a microplate reader (Biotek, Synergy MX). The fluorescence value corresponding to the unseeded sub- strates was subtracted. The results correspond to the mean $\pm$  standard deviation ofsix culturedsamples.

The MC3T3-E1 cell distribution and morphology on the materials was assessed using confocal microscopy and SEM. For immunostaining of the F-actin cytoskeleton and nuclei, the cellseeded surfaces were rinsed twice with PBS and fixed with 4% para-formaldehyde for 15 min. After washing with PBS, cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min and incubated in 1% BSA for 30 min at room tem- perature. Cell cytoskeleton filamentous actin was visualized by treating the cells with Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup> 594 Phalloidin (1:200 in BSA 1%, Molecular Probes<sup>®</sup>) for 20 min in the dark. Finally the cells were washed with PBS and the cell nuclei were counterstained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vectashield/DAPI) dye for 10minin the dark.The images were acquired on a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) using a Plan-Apochromat  $63 \times$  oil objective. Images were processed and quantified using LAS AF v2.6.0.7266 software. Background noise was minimal when the optimal gain/offset settings for the detec- tors were used. Digital images were optimized for contrast and brightness using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA). For the SEM observations, cellseeded samples fixed with 1.5% glutaraldehyde were dehydrated with an increasing ethanol–water gradient and dried using hexam- ethyldisilazane. SEM analyses were performed using the same scanning electron microscope equipment described in section [2.2.](#page-2-0) Samples were sputter-coated with a thin palla-

dium–gold film, using a sputter coater (SPI-Module) in an argon atmosphere before being observed. Samples were col- lected atdays1,4,7,14and21ofMC3T3-E1cultureonthe substrates.

Statistical analysis was assessed using one-way ANOVA, with a significance level of  $p \le 0.05$ . GraphPad version 5.02 software was used to performthe analysis.

### *3.1. Substrate production and chemical*–*physical properties*

Both solubilization and electrospinning procedures have noticeable effects on collagen fiber diameter and morphology, namely, the flow rate, electrospinning voltage, needle and collection distance, and most critically, the concentration of collagen solution and solvent type. Previously, we tried to replicate the experimental conditions reported by the few

published works that applied non-toxic aqueous solvents in the electrospinning of collagen, but without success. This was probably due to a different type I collagen origin and purity, as well as environmental conditions such as relative humidity of air, seldom mentioned and temperature. Hence, the para- meters of solubilization and electrospinning were optimized as described in section [2.1,](#page-1-1) in order to produce continuous collagen nanoscale-diameter fibers, the native structure of which is preserved, from an aqueous solution composed of acetic acid:ethyl acetate:water (40:30:30), embedded with crystals of HA. The addition of ethyl acetate improved the spinnability of the nanofibers and reduced the acidity of the solvent (acetic acid) [\[39\]](#page-9-10). Since we wanted to preserve the nanometric scale of the HA agglomerates resulting from the electrospraying technique, a nanoHA gel was used instead of nanoHA powder. This means that the nanoHA did not undergo a spray drying process, which typically enhances the degree of agglomeration of nanoHA particles. Also, the nanoHA solution was subjected to a set of ultrasonic cycles before the electrospraying process. The sizes of the HA agglomerates were assessed by Zetasizer Nano ZS.As expected, there was a steady decrease in size with increasing number of ultrasonic pulses. Comparing the HA agglomer- ates' size before and after ultrasonic pulse cycles, a reduction in size from278±30nmto126±2nmwasobserved.

The collagenous integrity as well as the presence of nanoHA in the nanostructured collagen–nanoHA composite was confirmed by FT-IR. The spectrum of electrospun col- lagen nanofibers in figure [2](#page-4-0) depicts characteristic absorption bands at 1657, 1536 and 124 cm−1 , attributable to amide I, II and III, respectively. The amide I absorption arises pre- dominantly from protein amide  $C = O$  stretching vibrations, amide II is made up of amide N–H bending vibrations and C–N stretching vibrations while amide III arises pre- dominantly from C–N stretching and N–H in-plane bending from amide linkages. The integrity of collagen's triple helix can be evaluated by the ratio between the absorbance at 1235 and 1450  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Ratio values for denatured collagen are around 0.5 and those for intact structures are around 1. For the analyzed samples, the value obtained was 1.07, indicating that the addition of nanoHA and the applied conditions did not destabilize the collagen's triple helix. There was no band at  $1706 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which suggests that there was no free acetic acid in the sample [\[40,](#page-9-11) [41\]](#page-9-12). Furthermore, the FT-IR spectrumof the collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using the simul- taneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques, in addition to the collagen characteristic bands referred to previously, revealed characteristic bands of nanophased HA,

 $1032 \text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $v_1 \sim 962 \text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $v_4 601$  and  $564 \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) bands. The 3. Results and discussion  $OH^-$ vibrational (633 cm<sup>-1</sup>) bands and PO<sub>4</sub> ( $v_3 \sim 1093$  and characteristic bands of the carbonate group can also be observed, namely those corresponding to the υ<sup>3</sup> vibration of C–O  $(1452 \text{cm}^{-1})$  and the  $v_2$  vibrations  $(875 \text{cm}^{-1})$  [\[42\]](#page-9-13).

In order to quantify the amount of organic and inorganic components in the collagen–nanoHA composite, TGA measurements were carried out. TGA curves of the col- lagen– nanoHA composite showed weight loss in the range fromroom temperature to100°Cdue tothe evaporationof



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 2. FT-IR spectra of collagen, electrospun collagen and collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using the simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>Figure 3. (A) SEM images of electrosprayed nanoHA (i), electrospun collagen nanofibers(ii) and collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using the simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques(iii). (B) A histogram of the electrospun collagen fiber diameter distribution obtained using SEM.

physisorbed water and weight loss between 250 and 500 °C associated with the decomposition of collagen molecules (data not shown). Considering the residual mass values obtained by TGA, the inorganic content in the collagen–HA composite was 48.14±0.22wt %.

In addition to theZeta sizerresults, thenanometric scale of the nanoHA agglomerates was confirmed using SEM image analysis, (figure  $3((A)(i))$ ). The SEM images of the electrospun collagen revealed a random mesh of collagen nanofibers. The diameter measurements of twenty collagen



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 4. Surface topography of the electrospun collagen nanofibers (A) and the collagen–nanoHA composite (B): height (i), phase (ii) and 3D (iii) images. All AFM images were obtained under Tapping mode® (image scale  $3\times3\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>). Arrows indicate nanoHA agglomerates.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Figure 5. An example of an indentation curve (A) and Young's moduli (B) of uncross-linked collagen nanofibers, cross-linked collagen nanofibers and cross-linked collagen–HA composites obtained by nanoindentation.

fibers randomly chosen from six different SEM images, with a custom code image analysis implemented in the program ImageJ, allowed the calculation of average and median values,  $37.2 \pm 23.2$  nm and  $30.2 \pm 23.2$  nm, respectively (figure [3\(](#page-4-1)B)). These diameter values are within the nanometer range and are significantly lower than those reported in the literature, which typically exceed 200 nm [\[14,](#page-8-11) [21\]](#page-8-12). It is interesting to note that collagen fibers obtained by

electrospinning using organic toxic solvents the diameters of which are in the micrometer scale are often called collagen nanofibers, although in reality they are far beyond the nanometer scale. In addition, their diameters are substantially higher than the collagen nanofibers produced by electrospinning using acetic acid as the solvent and a low protein concentration as in our work or in Liu's work [\[10,](#page-8-6) [13,](#page-8-13) [15,](#page-8-14) [17,](#page-8-15) [29\]](#page-9-14). In conclusion, the reason why the



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 6. Metabolic activity (A) and morphology and cytoskeletal organization (B) of MC3T3-E1 cells cultured on the electrospun collagen nanofibers and the collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using the simultaneously electrospinning and electrospraying techniques versus time. In (A) the results are expressed in terms of relative fluorescence units (RFU); in (B) F-actin is indicated in red while the cells' nuclei were counterstained inbluewithDAPI dye. MC3T3-E1 cells cultured on coverglasses coated with PDL were used as the control. Values are the average ± SD of six cultures. \* indicates a statistically significant difference from the control cultures. § indicates a statistically significant difference from the cultures grown on the electrospun collagen nanofibers ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

diameter of the collagen fibers obtained in this study is quite low when compared previous studies, can be attributed to the origin of the collagen, its concentration and most likely the type of solvents used and their  $vv^{-1}$  % which are distinct from all the other studies. Figure  $3((A)(iii))$  $3((A)(iii))$  shows a repre- sentative SEM image of the collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using simultaneous electrospinning and electro- spraying techniques. A random arrangement of collagen nanofibers and irregular structures of nanoHA incorporated between them can be observed.

In this nano-network either collagen or nanoHA is accessible, resembling the ECM organization of bone tissue. Until now, all collagen–HA composites obtained by electro- spinning were prepared from a mixture of collagen and hydroxyapatite. As a consequence, the composite surface is

covered with collagen or HA, preventing direct cell/protein contact with both organic and inorganic components [\[15,](#page-8-14) [33,](#page-9-15) [43,](#page-9-16) [44\]](#page-9-17). The cross-linking procedure did not affect the morphological arrangement of the electrospun meshes.

The thicknesses of the collagen–HA biocomposites before and after chemical cross-linking were determined based on SEM images, allowing the calculation of the average values  $326 \pm 115$  nm and  $376 \pm 154$  nm, respectively. There is no statistically significant difference between the latter values, showing that the cross-linking procedure did not alter the physical structure proprieties in terms of thickness.

AFM studies confirmed the nanoscale dimensions of the collagen fibers, confirming the unprecedented resolution achieved with respect to the methodologies used thus far [\[10,](#page-8-6) [14,](#page-8-11) [18,](#page-8-16) [45,](#page-9-18) [46\]](#page-9-19). Moreover, the AFM images presented in



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 7. SEM images of MC3T3-E1 cells cultured on the electrospun collagen nanofibers and the collagen–nanoHA composites obtained using the simultaneous electrospinning and electrospraying techniques versus time. MC3T3-E1 cells cultured on coverglasses coated with PDL were used as control.

figures [4\(](#page-5-0)A), (B) reveal a three-dimensional arrangement of collagen nanofibers. The ability of phase imaging AFM to distinguish samples with different surface viscoelastic properties enabled the visualization of the nanoHA agglomerates between the collagen nanofibers (figure  $4((B)(ii))$  $4((B)(ii))$ ). Young's modulus (*E*) of uncross-linked collagen nanofibers, crosslinked collagen nanofibers and collagen–HA composite (figure [5\)](#page-5-1) was evaluated through a nanoindentation test.The crosslinking method and even the presence of nanoHA in the collagen– HA composite did not significantly affect the elastic modulus as shown in figure [5](#page-5-1) [\[47\]](#page-9-20). The Young's moduli measured in this work were between 0.3GPa and 2GPa, which are lower than the values reported in Wenger *et al* but identical to those reported by Heim*et al*[\[48,](#page-9-21)[49\]](#page-9-22).

### *3.2. MC3T3-E1 morphology and metabolic activity*

The influence of both materials on MC3T3-E1 cell performance in terms of cell metabolic activity, cell distribution and morphologywasinvestigatedoveralongperiodofcellcul- ture, 21 days, with time points at 4h and 1, 4, 7, 14 and 21 days. The pattern of metabolic activity in all the substrates was an increase with time of culture, indicating that both the collagen and biocomposite constructs presented a non-cyto- toxic effect and had the ability to support osteoblast cell adhesion (figure [6\(](#page-6-0)A)). Nevertheless, the metabolic activity of the osteoblasts cultured on the electrospun pure collagen nanofibers revealed lower values compared to the control samples and the biocomposite constructs at the latter culture time points(4, 7, 14 and 21 days). The inclusion of nanoHA agglomerates on type I collagenmeshinducedproliferationof

MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts after 4 days of cell culture. The cal- cium ions seem to promote the adhesion of bone cells and stimulate its subsequent activity, as suggested by other authors[\[43,](#page-9-16) [44\]](#page-9-17). The analysis of cell metabolic activity at day 7 also suggests an increase of cell number on the nanos- tructured biocomposites. Once population capacity was reached, we hypothesize that a small number of cells might go through apoptosis as part of the regular cell life cycle, nevertheless metabolic activity on the control and electrospun biocomposites never ceased to grow, reaching identical values.

The cell distribution and morphology of MC3T3-E1 on the materials were followed by SEM and confocal imaging at the different time points of the cell culture, the results being in accordance with the metabolic activity data. At 4 h of cell culture, MC3T3-E1 cells were attached and were spread out across the surface, demonstrating a characteristic elongated shape with a fusiform fibroblastic appearance (figures [6\(](#page-6-0)B) and [7\)](#page-7-0). In particular, in figure [7](#page-7-0) it is interesting to observe that the MC3T3- E1 cells cultured on the collagen–HA constructs seem to interact with both the organic and inorganic com- ponents without any preference. They completely adhered to the surface, closely binding the filopodia to the substrates and reaching a fusion state, making it difficult to distinguish, at some points, between parts of the cell (filopodia, products secreted by cells and their ECM) and the substrate material (meshes of collagen nanofibers and HA agglomerates). A compact film of cells was formed after 4 days of cell culture, rendering it almost impossible to observe individual cells among so many others widespread in several cell layers.

# 4. Conclusion

In this work a novel composite based on collagen nanofibers and nanoHA agglomerates was successfully obtained using coelectrospinning–electrospraying. The collagen integrity as well as the nanoscale dimensions of both the biocomposite compo- nents (collagen and nanoHA) were preserved as confirmed by FT-IR spectra, and SEM and AFM image analysis. In the development of the construct, water-based solvents(ethyl acet- ate, acetic acid and water) and non-collagen denaturing condi- tions were applied. The diameters of the electrospun collagen nanofibers, estimated from the SEM images to range between 10 and 100 nm, are far below those stated in the literature, thus offering a roadmap to obtain a further level of biomimicry in matrix design strategies.This novel construct allows cells access to both collagen nanofibers and HA crystals as happens in the natural bone micro-and nano-environments. Regarding cellular interactions, these structures were cytocompatible and able to withstand adhesion and growth of MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in the long-term. This new collagen nanofiber–nanoHA composite is an excellent biomaterial candidate for bone tissue regeneration with conditions similar to human ECM, as well as in biomedical applications in small bone defects and for coating the surfaces of other materials with a mechanical support function.

# Acknowlegements

The authors would like to thank the financial support for this work from NR's PhD grant (Ref. SFRH/BD/69686/2010) provided by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT). Also, the provision of nanoHA (nanoXIM) by FLUIDI- NOVA, S.A. (Maia-Portugal) and collagen by Kensey Nash (USA) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thank María Gómez Lázaro for technical assistance in Confocal imaging and Carlos Silva for technical assistance in the laboratory set- up used for the simultaneous electrospinning and electro- spraying techniques. This work was financed by FEDER funds through the Programa Operacional Factores de Com- petitividade—COMPETE and by Portugese funds through FCT—Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia in the fra- mework of the project PEst-C/SAU/LA0002/2013.

### References

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[1] Al-Munajjed A A *et al* 2009 Development of a biomimetic collagen-hydroxyapatite scaffold for bone tissue engineering usingaSBFimmersiontechnique*J.Biomed.Mater.Res.B Appl. Biomater.* 90 584–91
- [2] Curtin C M *et al* 2012 Innovative collagen nano- hydroxyapatite scaffolds offer a highly efficient non-viral gene delivery platform forstemcell-mediated bone formation*Adv. Mater.* [24749–54](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.201103828)
- [3] Manuel C M, Foster M, Monteiro F J, Ferraz M P, Doremus R H and Bizios R 2003 Preparation and characterization of calcium phosphate nanoparticles *16th Int. Sym. on Ceramics in Medicine (Porto, Portugal)* (Zurich: Trans Tech) 903–6
- [4] Teixeira S, Fernandes H, Leusink A, Van Blitterswijk C, Ferraz M P, Monteiro F J and De Boer J 2010 *In vivo* evaluationof highlymacroporousceramicscaffoldsforbone tissue engineering *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* A93 567–75
- <span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>[5] Wahl D A and Czernuszka J T 2006 Collagen-hydroxyapatite composites for hard tissue repair *Eur. Cell Mater.* 11 43–56
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[6] Bhardwaj N and Kundu S C 2010 Electrospinning: a fascinating fiber fabrication technique *Biotechnol. Adv.* [28](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.01.004) [325–47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.01.004)
- [7] Shi J J, Votruba AR, Farokhzad O C and Langer R 2010 Nanotechnology in drug delivery and tissue engineering: from discovery to applications *Nano Lett.* 10 [3223–30](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl102184c)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span>[8] Jarvinen T A H, Jarvinen T L N, Kannus B B, Jozsa L and Jarvinen M2004 Collagen fibres of the spontaneously ruptured human tendons display decreased thickness and crimp angle *J. Orthop. Res.* 22 [1303–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.orthres.2004.04.003)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[9] Yang L, Fitie C F C, van der Werf K O, Bennink M L, Dijkstra P J and Feijen J 2008 Mechanical properties of single electrospun collagen type I fibers *Biomaterials* [29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.10.058) [955–62](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.10.058)
- [10] ChenR,HuangC,KeQF,HeCL,WangHSandMoXM2010 Preparation and characterization of coaxial electrospun thermoplasticpolyurethane/collagencompoundnanofibersfor tissue engineering applications *Colloid Surface* B 79 [315–25](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.03.043)
- [11] Chen Z G, Mo X M, He C L and Wang H S 2008 Intermolecular interactions in electrospun collagen-chitosan complex nanofibers *Carbohyd. Polym.* 72 [410–8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.09.018)
- [12] Chen Z G, Wang P W, Wei B, Mo X M and Cui F Z 2010 Electrospun collagen-chitosan nanofiber: a biomimetic extracellular matrix for endothelial cell and smooth muscle cell *Acta Biomater.* 6 [372–82](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2009.07.024)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span><span id="page-8-11"></span>[13] GuoF*et al*2011Anovelamperometrichydrogenperoxide biosensor based on electrospun Hb-collagen composite *Colloid Surface* B*.* 86 [140–5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2011.03.032)
- [14] Hartman O *et al* 2009 Microfabricated electrospun collagen membranes for 3D cancer models and drug screening applications *Biomacromolecules* 10 [2718](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bm900911a)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[15] Hild N *et al* 2011 Two-layer membranes of calcium phosphate/ collagen/PLGA nanofibres: *in vitro* biomineralisation and osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells *Nanoscale* [3401–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0nr00615g)
- [16] Liu S J, Kau Y C, Chou C Y, Chen J K, Wu R C and Yeh W L 2010 Electrospun PLGA/collagen nanofibrous membrane as earlystage wound dressing *J. Membrane. Sci.* 355 [53–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.03.012)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span><span id="page-8-15"></span>[17] MatthewsJA,WnekGE,SimpsonDGandBowlinGL2002 Electrospinning of collagen nanofibers*Biomacromolecules* [3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bm015533u) [232–8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bm015533u)
- [18] Zhong S P, Teo W E, Zhu X, Beuerman R W, Ramakrishna S and Yung L Y L 2006 An aligned nanofibrous collagen scaffold byelectrospinningand its effectson*invitro*fibroblastculture*J.Biomed.Mater.Res.*A 79A [456–63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1552-4965)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[19] Zhong S P, Teo W E, Zhu X, Beuertnan R, Ramakrishna S and Yung LY L2007 Development of a novel collagen-GAG nanofibrous scaffold via electrospinning *Mat. Sci. Eng. C-Bio. S.* [27262–6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2006.05.010)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[20] Cao D et al 2011 Cell adhesive and growth behavior on electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds by designed multifunctional composites *Colloid Surface* B 84 [26–34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.12.005)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[21] Choi J S, Lee S J, Christ G J, Atala A and Yoo J J 2008 The influence of electrospun aligned poly (epsilon-caprolactone)/ collagen nanofiber meshes on the formation of self-aligned skeletal muscle myotubes *Biomaterials* 29 [2899–906](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.03.031)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span><span id="page-8-9"></span>[22] Wang G L, Hu X D, Lin W, Dong C C and Wu H2011 Electrospun PLGA-silk fibroin-collagen nanofibrous scaffoldsfornervetissueengineering*InVitroCellDev-An* [47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11626-010-9381-4) [234–40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11626-010-9381-4)
- [23] Anderson J M, Rodriguez A and Chang D T 2008 Foreign body reaction to biomaterials *Semin. Immunol.* 20 [86–100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2007.11.004)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[24] Dawes E and Rushton N 1994 The effects of lactic acid on PGE2 productionbymacrophagesandhumansynovial fibroblasts:a possible explanationforproblems associated with the degradation of poly(lactide) implants? *Clin. Mater.* 17 [157–63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0267-6605(94)90031-0)
- [25] YangZX*et al* 2010Easy preparation ofSnO2@carbon composite nanofibers with improved lithium ion storage properties*J.Mater.Res.*[251516–24](http://dx.doi.org/10.1557/JMR.2010.0194)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[26] Zeugolis D I *et al* 2008 Electro-spinning of pure collagen nanofibres—just an expensive way to make gelatin? *Biomaterials* [29](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.02.009) [2293–305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.02.009)
- [27] Dong B, Arnoult O, Smith M E and Wnek G E 2009 Electrospinningofcollagennanofiberscaffoldsfrombenign solvents*Macromol. RapidComm.* 30539–42
- [28] Foltran I, Foresti E, Parma B, Sabatino P and Roveri N 2008 Novel biologically inspired collagen nanofibers reconstituted by electrospinning method *Macromol. Symp.* 269 111–8
- [29] Liu T, Teng W K, Chan B P and Chew S Y 2010 Photochemical crosslinked electrospun collagen nanofibers: synthesis, characterization and neural stem cell interactions *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* A 95A 276–82
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[30] ZhouJA,CaoCB,MaXLandLin J2010Electrospinningof silk fibroin and collagen for vascular tissue engineering *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 47 [514–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2010.07.010)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[31] ButtafocoL*etal*2006Electrospinningofcollagenandelastin for tissue engineering applications *Biomaterials* 27 [724–34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.06.024)
- [32] TeixeiraS,YangL,DijkstraPJ,FerrazMPandMonteiroFJ 2010 Heparinized hydroxyapatite/collagen three- dimensional scaffolds for tissue engineering *J. Mater. Sci.- Mater. M.* 21 [2385–92](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10856-010-4097-2)
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[33] Teng S H, Lee E J, Wang P and Kim H E 2008 Collagen/ hydroxyapatite composite nanofibers by electrospinning *Mater. Lett.* 62 [3055–8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2008.01.104)
- [34] VranaNE*et al*2007EDC/NHScross-linkedcollagenfoams as scaffoldsforartificialcornealstroma*J.Biomat.Sci.- Polym.*E181527–45
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[35] Wissink M J B *et al* 2001 Immobilization of heparin to EDC/ NHS-crosslinkedcollagen.characterizationand*in vitro* evaluation *Biomaterials* 22 [151–63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(00)00164-2)
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[36] FrancisL,VenugopalJ,Prabhakaran MP,ThavasiV, MarsanoEandRamakrishnaS2010Simultaneous electrospin-electrosprayed biocomposite nanofibrous scaffolds for bone tissue regeneration *Acta Biomater.* [6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2010.05.001) [4100–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2010.05.001)
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[37] Gupta D, Venugopal J, Mitra S, Dev V R G and Ramakrishna S 2009Nanostructuredbiocomposite substrates by electrospinning and electrospraying for the mineralization of osteoblasts *Biomaterials* 30 [2085–94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.12.079)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span><span id="page-9-9"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span>[38] VanLandinghamM R, Villarrubia J S, GuthrieWF and MeyersG F2001Nanoindentationofpolymers:an overview*Macromol. Symp.* 167 15–43
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[39] Song J H, Kim H E and Kim H W 2008 Production of electrospun gelatin nanofiber by water-based co-solvent approach *J. Mater. Sci.-Mater. M.* 19 [95–102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10856-007-3169-4)
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[40] Chang M C and Tanaka J 2002 FT-IR study for hydroxyapatite/collagen nanocomposite cross-linked by glutaraldehyde *Biomaterials* 23 [4811–8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(02)00232-6)
- <span id="page-9-14"></span><span id="page-9-13"></span><span id="page-9-12"></span>[41] Fernandes L.L, Resende C.X, Tavares D.S, Soares G.A, Castro L. OandGranjeiro JM2011Cytocompatibilityof chitosan and collagen–chitosan scaffoldsfortissue engineering *Polimeros*  21 [1–6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0104-14282011005000008)
- [42] Ribeiro CC, Gibson I and Barbosa M A 2006 The uptake of titaniumions by hydroxyapatite particles—structural changes and possible mechanisms *Biomaterials* [27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.09.043) [1749–61](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.09.043)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[43] Song W, Markel D C, Wang S X, Shi T, Mao G Z and Ren W P 2012 Electrospun polyvinyl alcohol–collagen– hydroxyapatite nanofibers: a biomimetic extracellular matrix for osteoblasticcells*Nanotechnology*[2311](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/23/1/015703)
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[44] Venugopal J, Low S, Choon A T, Kumar T S S and Ramakrishna S 2008 Mineralization of osteoblasts with electrospun collagen/hydroxyapatite nanofibers *J. Mater. Sci.-Mater. M.* [192039–46](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10856-007-3289-x)
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[45] Carlisle C R, Coulais C and Guthold M 2010 The mechanical stress–strain properties of single electrospun collagen type I nanofibers *Acta Biomater.* 6 [2997–3003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2010.02.050)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[46] Song W, Markel D C, Jin X, Shi T and Ren W P 2012 Poly (vinyl) alcohol)/collagen/hydroxyapatite hydrogel: properties and*in vitro* cellularresponse*J.Biomed.Mater.Res.*[A100A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.v100a.11) [3071–9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.v100a.11)
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[47] DuanXandSheardownH2005Crosslinkingofcollagenwith dendrimers *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* A 75A [510–8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(ISSN)1552-4965)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>[48] HeimAJ,MatthewsWGandKoobTJ2006Determinationof the elastic modulus of native collagen fibrils via radial indentation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* [89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2367660) 18
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>[49] Wenger M P E, Bozec L, Horton M A and Mesquida P 2007 Mechanical properties of collagen fibrils *Biophys. J.* [93](http://dx.doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.103192) [1255–63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.103192)