

1 Psychosocial factors associated with outcomes of sports injury rehabilitation in  
2 competitive athletes: a mixed studies systematic review

3 **Corresponding and lead author:** Dale Forsdyke

4 Applied Human Sciences Department, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, York St John University,  
5 Lord Mayors Walk, York, UK, YO31 7EX

6 Tel: +44(0)1904 876475

7 Email: [d.forsdyke@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:d.forsdyke@yorks.ac.uk)

8

9 **Second author:** Professor Andy Smith

10 Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, York St John University, Lord Mayors Walk, York, UK, YO31 7EX

11 Tel: +44(0)1904 876738

12 Email: [a.smith@yorks.ac.uk](mailto:a.smith@yorks.ac.uk)

13

14 **Third author:** Dr Michelle Jones

15 School of Sport, Health, and Social Sciences, Southampton Solent University, East Park Terrace,  
16 Southampton, SO14 0YN

17 Tel: +44(0)238 2016831

18 Email: [michelle.jones@solent.ac.uk](mailto:michelle.jones@solent.ac.uk)

19

20 **Fourth author:** Adam Gledhill

21 School of Rehabilitation and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett  
22 University, Portland Building: PD620, Leeds, UK, LS1 3HE

23 Tel: +44(0)113 8125119

24 Email: [adam.gledhill@leedsbeckett.ac.uk](mailto:adam.gledhill@leedsbeckett.ac.uk)

25

26 **Key words:** psychosocial, sports injury, rehabilitation, cognition, emotion, behaviour.

27

28 **Word count = 4820**

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Background** The prime focus of research on sports injury has been on physical factors. This is despite  
4 our understanding that when an athlete sustains an injury it has psychosocial as well as physical  
5 impacts. Psychosocial factors have been suggested as prognostic influences on the outcomes of  
6 rehabilitation. The aim of this work was to address the question: *which psychosocial factors are*  
7 *associated with sports injury rehabilitation outcomes in competitive athletes?*

8

9 **Study Design** Mixed Studies Systematic Review (PROSPERO reg.CRD42014008667).

10

11 **Method** Electronic database and bibliographic searching was undertaken from the earliest entry  
12 until 1<sup>st</sup> June 2015. Studies that included injured competitive athletes, psychosocial factors, with a  
13 sports injury rehabilitation outcome were reviewed by the authors. A quality appraisal of the studies  
14 was undertaken to establish the risk of reporting bias.

15

16 **Results** 25 studies were evaluated, spanning 3 decades, on a total of 942 injured competitive  
17 athletes. 20 studies not previously reviewed were appraised and synthesised. The research team  
18 adjudged the mean methodological quality of the studies to be 59% (moderate risk of reporting  
19 bias). Convergent thematic analysis uncovered three core themes across the studies i) emotion  
20 associated with rehabilitation outcomes ii) cognitions associated with rehabilitation outcomes and  
21 iii) behaviours associated with rehabilitation outcomes. Injury and performance related fears,  
22 anxiety, and confidence were related to rehabilitation outcomes. There is gender, age, and injury  
23 related bias in the reviewed literature.

24

25 **Conclusions**

26 The evidence reviewed indicates that psychosocial factors are associated with a range of sports  
27 injury rehabilitation outcomes. Practitioners need to recognise that an injured athlete's thoughts,  
28 feelings, and actions are related to the outcome of rehabilitation.

29

30

31

32

33

1 **What are the new findings?**

- 2 • Psychosocial factors including how an athlete *thinks, feels, and acts* are associated with the  
3 outcomes of their rehabilitation.  
4
- 5 • An athlete's *psychological readiness* to return to play appears to be a product of fear,  
6 anxiety, confidence in performing well, and remaining uninjured.  
7
- 8 • Being female, young, having a limited experience of injury, negative emotion, and  
9 perceptions of isolation are factors related to less successful outcomes of rehabilitation.  
10
- 11 • Our current interpretation of a successful rehabilitation is overly simplistic and associated  
12 with many biopsychosocial, technical, and tactical factors.  
13
- 14 • This research topic has age, injury, and gender related bias that future research should  
15 address.  
16

17 **How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?**

- 18 • Practitioners need to be aware that injured athletes are emotionally vulnerable, and that  
19 their emotional integrity may be questionable during rehabilitation process.  
20
- 21 • Practitioners need to ensure injured athletes are physically, psychologically, socially,  
22 tactically, and technically ready to return to sport.  
23
- 24 • Practitioners shouldn't assume that physical and psychosocial recovery from injury occurs  
25 within the same timeframe.  
26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The prime focus of research on sports injuries has been on physical factors.<sup>1</sup> This is despite our  
4 understanding that when an athlete sustains a sports injury it has psychosocial impacts.<sup>2, 3</sup> A  
5 common assumption has been that physical and psychosocial recovery occurs at the same time.  
6 Recently, it has been recognised that physical and psychological readiness to return to sport after  
7 injury do not always coincide.<sup>4</sup> This means that athletes may return to training and competition  
8 when they are physically but not psychologically ready.

9 Many athletes do not return to their pre-injury level of activity, and even less return to competition.

10 <sup>5,6</sup> Competitive athletes are less likely to return to a pre injury level of performance than recreational  
11 athletes.<sup>6</sup> As rehabilitation takes place within social contexts involving many people, a key to  
12 effective rehabilitation may lie with psychosocial factors.<sup>7</sup> Psychosocial factors can be described as  
13 '*pertaining to the influence of social factors on an individual's mind or behaviour, and to the*  
14 *interrelation of behaviour and social factors*'.<sup>8 (p 1091)</sup> These factors have been identified as being  
15 important prognostic influences in a range of sports pathologies.<sup>5,9-11</sup>

16 Psychosocial factors are also present within a number of models that have been applied or  
17 developed within this area.<sup>2,12,13</sup> These draw on *stage based, cognitive appraisal, or biopsychosocial*  
18 approaches and give a conceptual framework to work from, although no single approach  
19 predominates the evidence.<sup>4</sup>

20 Three major systemic reviews have been published within this area.<sup>14-16</sup> These have addressed the  
21 need for transparency, methodological rigour and non-biased perspectives in reporting the empirical  
22 evidence.<sup>17</sup> Out of the three reviews two are exclusively focussed on psychosocial factors influencing  
23 anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rehabilitation.<sup>15,16</sup> Whilst ACL injury has high personal impact<sup>18</sup> this  
24 represents a narrow perspective and precludes any generalisation of the findings. To reduce injury  
25 related bias there is a need to include other injuries which have the same prevalence, severity and  
26 chronicity (e.g. high grade lateral ankle sprain, rotator cuff tendinopathy). All of these reviews agree  
27 that psychosocial factors influence rehabilitation outcomes. However, differences in constructs were  
28 apparent across the reviews. Prominent factors highlighted in these reviews include motivation, self-  
29 efficacy, perceived control<sup>15</sup>; autonomy, relatedness, competence<sup>14</sup>; and affect, cognition,  
30 behaviours.<sup>16</sup>

31 These reviews report only quantitative research designs despite the existence of peer reviewed  
32 qualitative empirical studies. Previous reviews which have excluded qualitative research have

1 reduced the evidence on which they base their findings. There is recognition of the need for  
 2 systematic methodologies to rigorously deal with diverse forms of evidence to address the disparity  
 3 between academic research and practitioner experience.<sup>19</sup> Integrating statistical generalisation with  
 4 the in-depth description of complex phenomenon gleaned from qualitative research has the  
 5 potential to provide detailed, rich, and highly practical understanding of sport injury rehabilitation.  
 6 It is thought assessing the overall contribution of a body of literature with contrasting paradigms and  
 7 designs can be more relevant to the clinical decision making required by practitioners.<sup>20</sup>

8 The aim of this review was to understand the association between psychosocial factors and sports  
 9 injury rehabilitation outcomes. This aim was underpinned by the research question: *which*  
 10 *psychosocial factors are associated with sports injury rehabilitation outcomes in competitive*  
 11 *athletes?* Practitioner facing implications and future research based directions will be given.

## 12 **METHOD**

13 The methodology of the review was informed by the PRISMA guidelines<sup>17</sup> and recommendations by  
 14 Lloyd-Jones.<sup>21</sup> As an indicator of methodological quality the review was registered with PROSPERO  
 15 in February 2014 (registration number: CRD42014008667). This is the only review in this field to be  
 16 currently registered. The systematic review was granted ethical approval by the institutional ethics  
 17 committee (ref: DF/08/09/2014/01).

### 18 **Search Strategy**

19 Eight databases were searched to effectively review the literature from an interdisciplinary  
 20 perspective (i.e. *SPORTDiscus*, *CINAHL*, *AMED*, *MEDLINE*, *PsychINFO*, *SocIndex*, *PE德罗*, *ScienceDirect*)  
 21 using multiple keywords and Boolean phrases (table 1). The search terms were agreed *a priori* and  
 22 informed by breaking down the research question, relevant MeSH terms, and by the biopsychosocial  
 23 approaches used in the area.<sup>2, 13</sup> Extracted studies were included or excluded in a three step  
 24 screening process studying each studies *title*, *abstract* and *full text*.<sup>21</sup> Systematic bibliographic  
 25 searching was carried on the final full text studies reference lists using the same process.

26 Table 1 Search terms used for the systematic review  
 27

Electronic database	Search terms (including truncations)
EBSCO Host (including SPORTDiscus, CINAHL, AMED, SocIndex, PsychINFO, MEDLINE)	'Sport* inj*' OR 'athlet* inj*' (ab) AND Psychosocial OR psycholog* OR emotion* (ab) AND Rehabilitat* OR recover* OR outcome* OR return (ab) AND athlet* OR player* OR individual*OR patient*(ab)
ScienceDirect	'Sport* injur*' OR 'athlet* injur*' (title/abstract/key words) AND

	Psychosocial OR psycholog* (title/abstract/key words)
PEdro	'Sport* inj*'OR 'athlet* inj' (title/abstract) AND Psycholog* OR psychosocial (title/abstract)

1

## 2 Eligibility Criteria

3 The eligibility criteria are presented in table 2. The criteria were agreed upon by the research team  
4 to avoid an unbiased evaluation of the literature. This resulted in no restriction on date of  
5 publication, gender, age, or level of performance. Each study had to conform to best practice  
6 definitions of *sports injury*<sup>22, 23</sup> and *competitive athlete*, containing discernible *psychosocial factors*<sup>2-</sup>  
7 <sup>13</sup> influencing *sports injury rehabilitation outcomes*.<sup>24, 25</sup> Studies of non-musculoskeletal (MSK) injury  
8 such as concussion were excluded based on specific psychopathology directly effecting  
9 neurocognitive function. It is difficult to separate out the psychological consequences associated  
10 with the injury pathology from the more interpretive psychosocial responses of athletes.<sup>26</sup>

11

12 Table 2 Eligibility criteria applied to studies

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
<b>Date unrestricted</b> <b>Sports injury</b> – any MSK pathology requiring the athlete to miss at least one training session or competition <b>Competitive athletes</b> – competes in sport at least once per week <b>Contain a discernible sports injury outcome</b> <b>Contain a discernible psychosocial factor</b> <b>No gender, age or performance level restriction</b> <b>No research design restriction</b> <b>Original empirical evidence</b> <b>Data gathered from the athlete</b>	<b>Non MSK pathology</b> (e.g. traumatic brain injury, cardiac pathology, visceral damage, spinal cord injury) <b>Non English language</b> <b>Non peer reviewed</b> <b>Reviews (all), commentaries, editorials position statements, unpublished abstracts</b> <b>Intervention studies</b> <b>Inventory development studies</b> <b>Studies on prevention or risk</b> <b>Data gathered from coach or physiotherapist or athletic trainer</b>

## 13 Quality Appraisal

14 To assess the methodological quality of the literature the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT)  
15 was used.<sup>20</sup> Additional to generic criteria the MMAT has five sets of quality criteria relating to: (1)  
16 qualitative; (2) quantitative – randomised controlled studies; (3) quantitative – non-randomised  
17 controlled studies; (4) quantitative – observational descriptive studies and (5) mixed-methods  
18 studies. The overall quality score for each study was based on the methodological domain specific  
19 criteria using a percentage based calculation. Mixed methods studies were quality assessed within  
20 its own domain plus the domain/s used by its quantitative and qualitative components. According to  
21 the MMAT, for mixed methods studies the overall research quality cannot exceed the quality of its  
22 weakest component. The MMAT in this review was used to provide an informative description of  
23 overall quality and to assess the potential reporting of bias in the findings. Literature using the

1 MMAT has found that the consistency of the global 'quality score' between reviewers (ICC) was  
2 between 0.72 and 0.94.<sup>20</sup>

### 3 **Data synthesis**

4 When the final studies had been identified each was read in full to enable the researchers to  
5 become immersed in the findings and inferences by *indwelling*.<sup>27</sup> The final studies were then placed  
6 into three tables for the review (1) demographic characteristics, (2) study summary, (3) study quality  
7 appraisal. A convergent thematic analysis followed to synthesise data from different empirical  
8 findings and the assessment of methodological quality.<sup>28</sup> A meta-aggregative approach was  
9 adopted. Meta-analysis of findings was not conducted due to the heterogeneity within the included  
10 studies research designs.

### 11 **Establishing Rigour**

12 To ensure rigour a peer review team was formed. The team comprised of the lead researcher (DF), a  
13 professor from the same institution (AS), and an academic from another University (AG). This team  
14 was created to minimise bias and human error. Established methods of peer debrief and use of  
15 '*devil's advocate*' were used to inform the reviews search strategy, records screening, and  
16 generation of final themes from the included studies.<sup>27</sup> The full text assessment of eligibility and  
17 quality appraisal was undertaken collaboratively in working meetings. These were chaired by the  
18 lead researcher with borderline cases or contentious issues resolved through group discussion until a  
19 consensus was reached. Eligibility of final studies was carried out using a voting system to determine  
20 the basis for study inclusion or exclusion. Decisions to include or exclude studies were based on  
21 majority voting. Where further clarification was deemed necessary, additional information was  
22 sought from study author(s) or referred to an appropriate University committee.

## 23 **RESULTS**

### 24 **Literature identification**

25 The electronic database search was undertaken on 1st June 2015 yielding a total of 368 records,  
26 with a further 92 later identified through systematic bibliographic searching. This gave a total  
27 number of 432 progressing to the screening process following removal of duplicate records (n=28).  
28 Following screening at title then abstract level 368 records were excluded leaving 64 full text  
29 articles. At this stage of the process 39 full text articles were excluded following research team  
30 scrutiny. One study<sup>29</sup> was referred by the team to the Chair of the Faculties Ethics Committee for  
31 advice and later included. This left 25 studies in the systematic review (Figure 1). Table three





al. <sup>70</sup> **																
15 Carson & Polman <sup>38</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X			X	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X		25
16 Langford et al. <sup>33</sup> ***	✓✓					✓	✓	X	X							50
17 Mankad et al. <sup>43</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75
18 Podlog & Eklund <sup>35</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75
19 Carson & Polman <sup>54</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X			X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X		50
20 Wadey et al. <sup>53</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75
21 Ardern et al. <sup>31</sup> ***	✓✓					✓	✓	✓	X							75
22 Carson & Polman <sup>47</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75
23 Podlog et al. <sup>45</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	X	X											50
24 Clement et al. <sup>46</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75
25 Podlog et al. <sup>50</sup> ***	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	X											75

1 ✓ = denotes criteria met, X= denotes criteria not met, shaded=not applicable criteria

## 2 Demographic characteristics

3 The final 25 studies reported on 942 injured athletes across an age range between 15-37 years old  
4 (mean 23.7 years). From studies where there was clarity in gender ratio the total participant figure  
5 included 64% (n=552) male athletes and 36% (n=309) female injured athletes. The athletes included  
6 in this review were derived from team and individual sports, ranging from international levels of  
7 performance to regularly competing amateurs. The final studies covered the 25 year period from  
8 1990 to 2015. The national affiliation of the study's lead author highlights the global interest in this  
9 topic (e.g. Australia 44%, United Kingdom 24%, North America 20%, and Scandinavia 12%).

## 10 Study Characteristics

11 The 25 studies were made up of 14 qualitative, nine quantitative, and two mixed methods (table 4).  
12 This highlights a potential limitation in previous reviews which did not recognise the important role  
13 of qualitative and mixed methods studies (e.g. <sup>14</sup>). Sports injury rehabilitation outcomes across the  
14 final studies focussed on perceived and actual markers of physical and psychological rehabilitation  
15 (supplementary table 1). For example, actual return to sport <sup>31-33</sup>, perceived success and  
16 effectiveness<sup>34-36</sup>, time loss from competition.<sup>37</sup> Quantitative studies were entirely correlation based  
17 utilising a wide range (n=22) of previously established inventories to measure psychosocial response,  
18 often with multiple inventories used simultaneously (e.g. <sup>34, 38-40</sup>). Only 32% (n=7) of the inventory  
19 measures used were specific to the sports injury domain.

1 As found in previous literature (e.g. <sup>14, 22</sup>) there was a broad range of operational definitions of sports  
2 injury included across the studies. 70% of studies used a time lost based definition ranging from one  
3 day<sup>37</sup> to two months.<sup>35</sup> Time loss from ACL injury would clearly extend this range. Where mean  
4 actual time loss was explicitly stated this ranged from 18.5 days (moderate) – 9.4 months (major).<sup>23</sup>  
5 Return to competitive sport rates ranged from 51-78%. <sup>31, 33</sup> The injury characteristics revealed a bias  
6 towards serious knee injuries with eight studies solely focussing on ACL injury (32%) and eight where  
7 serious knee sprains dominated the range of pathologies (32%). Ten studies (40%) focussed on  
8 injuries requiring surgical intervention, with the remaining 15 studies (60%) including a mixture of  
9 injuries or information about whether surgical intervention was required or wasn't stated. It is  
10 noteworthy that none of the studies reported incidence of multiple pathologies, athletes being  
11 affected by existing co-morbidity, or misdiagnosis.

12

13

Table 4 Demographic information from included studies

Study (date) inclusion rating	Operational definition of injury	Population studied	Injury type (s)	Sample number (n=)	Gender (M:F)	Age (mean years, SD, range)
1. Gordon & Lindgren <sup>29</sup>	Not explicitly stated	Elite cricket	Bilateral pars interarticularis defect requiring surgical intervention	1	1 male	Not stated
2. McDonald & Hardy <sup>42</sup>	Severe injury leading to time loss from sport of three weeks or more	NCAA Division 1 athletes from softball, basketball, track and field, tennis	Musculoskeletal injury including thigh strain, thigh contusion, metatarsal fracture, sprained ankle	5	3:2	Not stated
3. Johnson <sup>34</sup>	Injury occurring in training or competition and minimum time loss of 5 weeks	Highly competitive or elite athletes from team (80%) and individual (20%) sports	Musculoskeletal injury with most common knee, foot/ankle, and shoulder	81	64:17	22.9-25.2
4. Johnson <sup>32</sup>	Injury occurring in training or competition and minimum time loss of five weeks	Highly competitive or elite athletes from team (80%) and individual (20%) sports	Musculoskeletal injury with most common knee, foot/ankle, and shoulder	81	5:7	24.4
5. Mainwaring <sup>51</sup>	Sport related sprain or torsion injury to the knee severe enough to require at least diagnostic surgery	Competitive elite or club athletes from a variety of sports	Sport related ACL injuries	10	6:4	20-29 years
6. Quinn & Fallon <sup>40</sup>	Physical damage sustained as a result of sport participation with time loss of four week or more	Elite athletes from 25 different sports (73.5% team sports, 26.5% individual sports)	Musculoskeletal injury – predominantly ligamentous injury knee, injury to shoulder joint, stress fractures	136	118:18	24.6 ± 4.5
7. Ford et al. <sup>37</sup>	Medical problem sustained during practice or competition that prevented participation (training or playing) for at least one day beyond the date of occurrence.	Regularly competitive athletes from Australian football (41), basketball (20), cricket (14), field hockey (9), netball (26) and volleyball (11)	Not explicitly stated	121	65:56	22 ± 3.6
8. Tracey <sup>36</sup>	Injury that was moderate to severe and which kept them out of practice and/or competition for at least 7 consecutive days	NCAA Division 3 athletes competing in a variety of team and individual sports	Musculoskeletal injury including ACL sprain, sprained ankle, metatarsal fracture, meniscal tear, back strain, shoulder separation, foot contusion	10	Mixed	21.1 ± 0.9
9. Kvist et al. <sup>41</sup>	ACL injury, and undergone reconstruction performed at same hospital	Regularly competitive patient-athletes e.g. participating in soccer, handball. Ice hockey, floor ball, American football	ACL requiring surgical reconstruction (various grafts)	62	34:28	18-37
10. Podlog & Eklund <sup>44</sup>	Time loss of one month or more was the criteria used to denote injuries as serious	Competitive amateur and semi-professional athletes from a variety of individual and team sports	Serious musculoskeletal injury affecting knee, ankle, hip, shoulder, spine, hand	12	7:5	18-28
11. Thing <sup>48</sup>	Not explicitly stated	Elite and non-elite competitive female handball athletes	ACL injury	17	17 female	19-33 years
12. Vergeer <sup>49</sup>	Injury sustained during sport leading to time loss	Competitive rugby league athlete	Shoulder dislocation	1	1 male	28
13. Gallagher & Gardner <sup>39</sup>	Medically diagnosed and severity led to time loss of one week or longer	NCAA Division 1 athletes from nine different sports	Not explicitly stated	40	30:10	Not stated
14. Thatcher et al. <sup>70</sup>	Severe injury is classified as an injury that prevents an athlete from participating in practice/competition for more	Competitive university athletes (karate, judo, field hockey)	Severe musculoskeletal injury including shoulder dislocation, knee ligament sprain, fracture of fibula	3	1:2	Not stated

<b>15. Carson &amp; Polman</b> <sup>38</sup>	than 21 days Injury occurred during match play leading to time loss	Professional rugby union athlete	ACL injury required surgical intervention	1	1 male	Not stated
<b>16. Langford et al.</b> <sup>33</sup>	Uncomplicated primary ACL reconstruction	Regularly competitive patient-athletes participating at least weekly prior to injury with intent to return to sport	ACL requiring surgical reconstruction (various grafts)	87	55:32	27.48±5.72
<b>17. Mankad et al.</b> <sup>43</sup>	Injury was absence from sport participation for a minimum of three months	State or national level athletes from variety of sports i.e., basketball, rugby league, gridiron, water polo, and BMX racing	Severe musculoskeletal injuries including knee sprain, shoulder dislocation	8	5:3	22.67 ± 3.74
<b>18. Podlog &amp; Eklund</b> <sup>35</sup>	Athletes needed to have sustained an injury requiring a two months absence from sport-specific training and competition	High level amateur and semi-professional athletes returning to play post injury	Not explicitly stated	12	7:5	18-28
<b>19. Carson &amp; Polman</b> <sup>54</sup>	Not stated	Professional rugby union athletes	ACL injury required surgical intervention	4	4 male	18-27
<b>20. Wadey et al.</b> <sup>53</sup>	Injury sustained during training or competition leading to time loss	Club to national level athletes from rugby union, soccer, basketball	All lower extremity musculoskeletal including: sprain, fracture, dislocation, tendinopathy, strain	10	10 male	21.7 ± 1.8
<b>21. Ardern et al.</b> <sup>31</sup>	ACL injury, and undergone reconstruction performed by the same surgeon	Regular competitive patient-athletes including: Australian football (29%), netball (19%), basketball (15%) and soccer (11%)	ACL requiring surgical reconstruction with hamstring graft	209	121:88	31.7 ± 9.7
<b>22. Carson &amp; Polman</b> <sup>47</sup>	Not stated	Professional rugby union athletes	ACL injury required surgical intervention	5	5 male	Not stated
<b>23. Podlog et al.</b> <sup>45</sup>	Current musculoskeletal injury requiring a minimum one month absence from sport participation	Elite level adolescent athletes from a variety of sport i.e. Basketball, netball, soccer rowing, track and field	Musculoskeletal injury including sprain (ACL), dislocation (knee and shoulder), fractures (fibula, arm, lumbar spine), Achilles tendinopathy, bulging disc, Scheuermann's disease	11	3:8	15.3 ± 1.55
<b>24. Clement et al.</b> <sup>46</sup>	Injury that had restricted their sport participation for a minimum of six weeks over the past year	NCAA Division II University athletes from mix of sports including: acrobatics/tumbling (n=4), football (n=3), baseball (n=1)	Musculoskeletal injury including: ACL injury (n=3), fractures (n=3), rotator cuff repair (n=1), chondrocyte removal from elbow (n=1)	8	4:4	18-22
<b>25. Podlog et al.</b> <sup>50</sup>	Injury was absence from sport participation for a minimum of two months	Mixed level (club-professional) athletes from rugby union (n=3), football (n=2), gymnastics (n=1), martial arts (n=1)	All lower extremity musculoskeletal injury including: fractures metatarsal/ankle (n=3), posterior cruciate ligament rupture (n=1), bruised bone (n=1), hamstring strain (n=1), Achilles tendon damage (n=1)	7	4:3	21.9 ± 3.8

M:F, male:female; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament

## 1 Psychosocial Factors

2 The thematic analysis uncovered three core themes across the studies: i) injury related emotion  
3 associated with rehabilitation outcomes ii) injury related cognitions associated with rehabilitation  
4 outcomes, and iii) injury related behaviours associated with rehabilitation outcomes (table 5). The  
5 rule of inclusion used to place the key findings into these core themes was influenced by the  
6 contemporary conceptual models reported in literature.<sup>2, 13</sup> The core themes arising from the  
7 included literature were discussed and agreed by the research team for 'best fit' and conceptual  
8 congruency. Mean methodological quality of the themes ranged from 56.3 -58.8%.

9 Table 5 thematic evaluation of the included studies (n=25)

Core Theme	Sub-sets	Studies*	MMAT Quality Rating (%)
Injury related emotion	Mood (TMD, TNM) Injury anxieties & fears Emotional integrity	2,3,4, 5, 6, 7, 8,9, 10, 11, 13,15,16, 17, 18, 21,22,23,24,25	58.8
Injury related cognition	Restoring the self Basic needs fulfilment Personal growth and development	1,3,4,5, 6, 7, 8, 10,11, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23,24,25	58.3
Injury related behaviour	Coping Social interaction	3,4, 6, 12,13,15,17,19,22,23,24,25	56.3

10 \* where studies have multiple findings spanning a number of constructs these have been replicated across the core themes (e.g.  
11 qualitative papers that infer both emotion and cognition factors having an effect on sports rehabilitation outcomes)

12

### 13 Injury related emotion associated with sport injury rehabilitation outcomes

14 This theme was created to reflect the studies focussing on the role of emotion, mood, and affect  
15 factors on sports injury rehabilitation outcomes. Twenty of the final included studies were adjudged  
16 to have significant emotion related content. Specifically, the role of mood, anxiety and fear (re-injury  
17 and performance), and emotional integrity emerged.

18 A number of studies found that as rehabilitation progressed toward an actual return to sport total  
19 mood disruption (TMD) and total negative mood (TNM) decreased and more positive mood states  
20 developed.<sup>36, 39, 40, 42</sup> McDonald & Hardy<sup>42</sup> in a study of five Division 1 athletes found a significant  
21 negative relationship between TMD and the outcome of athlete perceived rehabilitation ( $r=0.69$ ,  
22  $p<0.0001$ ).

23 Despite returning to sport often being seen as a positive rehabilitation outcome, a number of studies  
24 reported heightened levels of anxiety and/or fear during the transition (e.g. <sup>38, 43-46</sup>). A frequently  
25 reported cause of anxieties and fear is that of re-injury (e.g. <sup>31, 41, 43</sup>). Performance related anxiety

1 and fear seems prominent when returning to sport within the studies (e.g.<sup>36, 44, 46, 47</sup>). Podlog and  
2 Eklund<sup>44</sup> in a qualitative study of twelve athletes, all with severe injuries, found that successful  
3 rehabilitation was associated with effectively dealing with competition fears. Later work by the same  
4 author, on eleven injured elite adolescent athletes<sup>45</sup>, highlighted the dual fears of pain and re-injury,  
5 together with the fear of falling behind others, missing out, and underperforming. This suggests that  
6 fear is experienced by both adult and younger athletes.

7 Three studies highlighted findings related to poor emotional integrity i.e. finding athletes being  
8 reluctant to discuss their emotions about being injured with their sporting peers and coaches.<sup>36, 43, 48</sup>  
9 Tracey<sup>36</sup> found that when some athletes returned to sport that their feelings of isolation/alienation  
10 remained. Mankad et al<sup>43</sup> suggested that the inability to 'emotionally disclose' within the team  
11 environment was related to an impeded long term psychological rehabilitation from sports injury.

## 12 **Injury related cognitions associated with sport injury rehabilitation outcomes**

13 This core theme was derived from findings related to the athlete's interpretations, appraisals, or  
14 beliefs about themselves or their rehabilitation.<sup>13</sup> Eighteen studies which reached conclusions  
15 related to restoration of the self (*self-confidence, self-esteem, self-identity*), injury related outlook,  
16 perceptions of basic psychological needs fulfilment, and perceptions of growth and development  
17 were included. Injury related cognitions appear to serve as 'precursors' to the resulting emotional  
18 responses (i.e. nervousness, anxiety, excitement) and are associated with personal and situational  
19 factors.<sup>46</sup> Personal factors such as gender, age, limited injury experience, lowered confidence, and  
20 perceptions of isolation were all significantly related to non-return to sport cognitions.<sup>31-33, 41</sup>  
21 Delayed surgical intervention was a noteworthy situational factor which was associated with  
22 negative risk appraisal and non-return to sport at 2-7 years post ACL surgery.<sup>31</sup>

23 Ten studies identified restoring the self as being important in the successful return to sport  
24 following injury.<sup>29, 33, 37, 38, 40, 43, 44, 49, 50</sup> According to the reviewed studies restoring the self appears to  
25 be i) an important motivating factor ii) a common concern when returning to sport following injury,  
26 and iii) predict time loss from sport due to injury.<sup>37, 44, 46, 51</sup>

27 Six studies identified that a successful return to sport was associated with feelings of sport related  
28 self-confidence.<sup>29, 33, 38, 40, 47, 50</sup> Within this context sport related confidence was relative to both injury  
29 and performance. Two studies by Carson and Polman<sup>38, 47</sup> found confidence building was important  
30 in the return to sport with this developed from injury specific and performance specific inputs e.g.  
31 from fitness testing, performing well during activity, and the injury site feeling '*strong*'. Podlog et  
32 al.<sup>50</sup> found confidence was a major attribute of psychological readiness to return to sport. Overall

1 confidence in returning to sport was associated with the rehabilitation programme, the injured body  
2 part, and performance capability beliefs. 'Precursors' to developing confidence in returning to sport  
3 were noted as having trust in rehabilitation provider, satisfaction of social support needs, and  
4 achievement of physical standards / clinical outcomes. Langford et al<sup>33</sup> used the ACL-RSI on injured  
5 athletes finding significant difference between the group of returners to sport and those that had  
6 not returned at 6 months ( $p=0.005$ ) and 12 months ( $p=0.001$ ) suggesting that self-confidence may  
7 play an important role in the decision to return to sport.

8 A number of the final studies ( $n=6$ , 24%) inferred that fulfilling basic psychological needs was an  
9 important predictor of successful return to sport. Of these three studies were grounded in Basic  
10 Psychological Needs Theory<sup>52</sup> and were published by the same author.<sup>35, 44, 45</sup> The studies within this  
11 subset highlight the importance of addressing relatedness, competence, and autonomy during  
12 reintegration into sporting activities in order to reduce TNM and to experience a successful  
13 rehabilitation.<sup>35, 39</sup> Notably, fulfilment of competence, relatedness, and autonomy seems important  
14 in both elite adult and adolescent populations.<sup>35, 44, 45</sup>

15 Importantly, seven of the final studies (28%) suggested that perceiving injury as an opportunity for  
16 growth, and as a positive developmental experience was related to a successful rehabilitation (e.g.<sup>36,</sup>  
17 <sup>37, 44, 46, 53</sup>).

### 18 **Injury related behaviour associated with sport injury rehabilitation outcomes**

19 This core theme was created to capture the impact of physical and psychosocial behaviours on  
20 sports injury outcomes. Any study that included content on athlete effort, actions, and activities  
21 were included in this theme.<sup>13</sup> Twelve studies (48%) contributed to this core theme relating to the  
22 effect of coping strategies, and social interactions on the athlete's rehabilitation outcomes.

23 Across the final studies there was ambiguity in findings over which type of coping mechanism was  
24 related to positive rehabilitation outcomes. Paradoxically, avoidance focussed coping strategies  
25 were suggested as being both facilitative<sup>54</sup> and also debilitating.<sup>39, 43</sup> A mixed method study<sup>54</sup> of elite  
26 professional rugby players found that behavioural and cognitive avoidance coping strategies  
27 enhanced perceptions of recovery. In contrast two studies credited using avoidance coping with less  
28 successful rehabilitation outcomes such as a delay in psychological rehabilitation<sup>43</sup>, and associated  
29 increase in TNM.<sup>39</sup>

30 There was stronger agreement within the final studies about the positive association problem  
31 focussed coping strategies have on rehabilitation outcomes, such as actual reintegration back into  
32 training/competition (e.g.<sup>38, 40, 47, 49</sup>). Gallagher & Gardner<sup>39</sup> found that in the last phase of injury

1 before a return to sport a significant negative relationship was found between approach focussed  
2 coping and TNM ( $r = -0.354$ ,  $p = <0.05$ ). Two studies by Carson and Polman<sup>38, 47</sup> identified problem  
3 focussed coping strategies enhanced the experience of returning to sport after an ACL injury

4 Although social interaction is a coping strategy in and of itself, seven studies highlighted its  
5 importance in affecting perceived and actual rehabilitation outcomes, and as such warrants its own  
6 sub-set. Studies on return to sport stressors and coping using seriously injured elite rugby players<sup>38,</sup>  
7 <sup>47</sup> found perceptions of social support network provided by multiple agents (e.g. team mates,  
8 medical staff, coach, family, crowd) were particularly salient on returning to sport. Trust in the  
9 rehabilitation provider, feeling wanted by others, and satisfaction of social support needs were  
10 associated with psychological readiness to return to sport.<sup>50</sup> Importantly, insufficient social support  
11 appears to be associated with unsuccessful rehabilitation<sup>32</sup>, and remains a common concern upon  
12 returning to sport.<sup>36, 45</sup>

### 13 **DISCUSSION**

14 The aim of this review was to understand the association between psychosocial factors and sports  
15 injury rehabilitation outcomes. This aim was underpinned by the research question: *which*  
16 *psychosocial factors are associated with sports injury rehabilitation outcomes in competitive*  
17 *athletes?* Twenty studies not previously reviewed were included for appraisal and synthesis. Our  
18 findings indicate that psychosocial factors (*emotion, cognition, and behavior related*) are associated  
19 with a variety of perceived and actual rehabilitation outcomes. It is thought that this process is  
20 cyclical in nature.<sup>46</sup> For example, cognitions impact upon injury related emotions and behaviours,  
21 and vice versa. The evidence presented in this review is consistent with previous reviews and  
22 theoretical perspectives.<sup>2, 13, 16, 55</sup> Wiese-Bjornstal<sup>13</sup> appears to provide a useful conceptual  
23 framework to understand this emerging topic.

24 What is not known is the extent psychosocial factors are related to rehabilitation outcomes;  
25 singularly or cumulatively, compared with biological factors. Compared with other domains of  
26 psychology the understanding of this topic is in its infancy.<sup>24</sup> The methodological quality of the final  
27 studies was agreed as poor-moderate (mean 59%) by the research team. Therefore, the findings of  
28 this review must be viewed as having a potential reporting bias.

29 Other domain related systematic reviews<sup>14-16</sup> highlight fear of re-injury as one of the most common  
30 emotional factors associated with rehabilitation outcomes after severe injury. Fear is seen as a  
31 unitary construct within quantitative research designs that dominate previous reviews. In contrast,  
32 the evidence from this review highlights injured athletes experience many anxieties and fears during



1 rehabilitation. The articles included in this review found that the anxieties and fears athletes  
2 experience come in two forms i) re-injury related<sup>31, 41, 43</sup> and ii) performance related.<sup>36, 47</sup> This finding  
3 is an important one in helping to inform any intervention used during the rehabilitation of injured  
4 athletes.

5 Evidence from this review and the broader literature suggests an association between anxiety and  
6 fear of being re-injured and rehabilitation outcomes.<sup>41, 56, 57</sup> Little is known about which forms of  
7 anxiety and fear predominates, the interactional effects between different forms, and ultimately  
8 which is the most salient. The evidence in this review suggests that the athlete who can effectively  
9 manage anxiety and fear will experience more positive outcomes from rehabilitation.<sup>44</sup> Adern et al<sup>58</sup>  
10 highlighted the concept of '*psychological readiness*' as important in determining return to sport  
11 decisions post ACL injury. The construct of '*psychological readiness*' in terms of sports injury can be  
12 interpreted as being a combination of the athletes experiencing low levels of fear over re-injury and  
13 underperforming.<sup>59</sup>

14 Restoring self-confidence was a key sub set emerging from the studies (e.g. <sup>33, 38, 40, 47</sup>). Self-  
15 confidence is derived from two elements i) confidence in the injury site and ii) confidence in  
16 performance. Confidence may have a moderating effect on the emotion of fear as both seem  
17 determined by injury and performance related inputs. This review indicates that successful return to  
18 sport is underpinned by developing self-confidence cognitions, even though the mechanism of effect  
19 is not yet fully established.<sup>29, 47</sup> Confidence in returning to sport after injury appears to be a  
20 multidimensional factor. <sup>50</sup> Developing confidence in both the injured body part and ability to  
21 perform to a satisfactory standard may act as a '*buffer*' from injury related anxiety and fear. The  
22 implication of this is athletes would acquire the suitable '*psychological readiness*' to return.

23 Experiencing adversity has the potential to yield positive outcomes. Nonetheless, it is important to  
24 note that stress related growth isn't inevitable.<sup>60</sup> The articles reviewed found that an ability to  
25 perceive sport injury rehabilitation as an opportunity for development and growth was associated  
26 with more positive rehabilitation outcomes.<sup>37, 53</sup> A perspective from Wadey et al<sup>61</sup> (p 126) is that  
27 growth through adversity may even lead to '*positive changes that propel them to a real or perceived*  
28 *higher level of functioning than that which existed prior to the negative circumstance*'. It seems that  
29 perceiving the experience related to injury as positive may facilitate returning to sport<sup>44</sup>, enable a  
30 more holistic recovery, and develop resilience in overcoming adversity.<sup>53</sup> Previous studies have  
31 shown the different forms of growth that can occur through injury include: personal, psychological,  
32 social, and physical.<sup>61</sup> This suggests practitioners should encourage athletes to reflect on the injury  
33 experience as an opportunity for growth to facilitate positive rehabilitation outcomes.

1 From the articles reviewed emotional integrity emerged as an important sub set. Emotional integrity  
2 relates to the athletes conscious decision to either withhold or disclose false injury related emotions.  
3 Studies found this was a common practice compounding perceptions of isolation and impeding  
4 psychological rehabilitation outcomes (e.g. <sup>36, 43, 48</sup>). Findings support theoretical propositions of  
5 Wiese-Bjornstal<sup>13</sup> whereby emotional integrity (or emotional inhibition as phrased in the model) is  
6 identified as an emotion related factor associated with rehabilitation outcomes. The emotional  
7 integrity or lack of it in some injured athletes could have a profound effect on the ability to collect  
8 accurate data. If there is a high incidence of 'lack of emotional integrity' then this may challenge the  
9 validity of some studies already published and challenges researchers to develop methodologies to  
10 overcome this problem. Both researchers and practitioners should give injured athletes the  
11 opportunity to use nontraditional forms of communication e.g. blogs and diaries.

## 12 **Current empirical limitations and future directions**

13 The empirical literature relating to adult male athletes with severe knee injury (e.g. ACL) is well  
14 established. We conclude that this has created gender, age, and injury related biases in the  
15 literature, limiting generalisability of findings. Male and females exhibit sexual dimorphic and  
16 phenotypic differences in both the physical and psychological response to injury. This can lead to  
17 very different injury experiences and outcomes.<sup>62, 63</sup> It has been previously stated that age  
18 related differences is a neglected area in sport injury psychology.<sup>64</sup> The fact that only one of the final  
19 included studies included adolescent participants highlights this problem. Researchers and  
20 practitioners should be aware of dimorphic, phenotypic, and developmental differences across  
21 athletic populations to better facilitate positive rehabilitation outcomes.

22 Most studies reviewed adopted the perspective that actual return to sport is the major rehabilitation  
23 outcome, and cease their data collection at this point (e.g. <sup>39, 49</sup>). Return to play is often seen as the  
24 defining feature of recovery and has been criticised for skewing the evidence base.<sup>65</sup> It is naïve to  
25 assume that just because an athlete returns to sport post injury that they are fully recovered both  
26 physically and psychologically. It is plausible that the interpretation of a *successful rehabilitation* is  
27 associated with many perceived and actual complex biopsychosocial, technical, and tactical factors.  
28 Therefore, using return to pre-injury activity levels as the sole indicator is too simplistic.

29 Within the studies reviewed there was a lack of detail on co-morbidity, multiple pathologies,  
30 iatrogenic issues, or mis-diagnosis issues, despite these being potentially striking features of the  
31 injured athlete's experience.<sup>2, 13</sup> There appears to be little empirical literature on complicated, multi-

1 pathological or unsuccessful rehabilitation. Studies using negative case analytical approaches could  
2 profoundly change our understanding of the area.

3 The overreliance of non-experimental, correlational designs within the literature restricts the ability  
4 to establish causal relationships between psychosocial factors and injury rehabilitation outcomes.  
5 Due to the nature of evidence reviewed a causal link between psychosocial factors and rehabilitation  
6 outcomes can't be reliably inferred. Additional to exploring experiences of injured athletes, future  
7 research also needs to explore causal patterns.

### 8 **Strengths and limitations of this review**

9 There are ontological and epistemological challenges in conducting a mixed studies systematic  
10 review.<sup>19</sup> The tendency for systematic reviews to exclude non experimental research has received  
11 criticism.<sup>19, 66</sup> Ferlie<sup>67 (p 99)</sup> emphasised the dangers of a reductionist approach:

12 *'The world of evidence-based medicine can be characterised by an abstracted form of pure*  
13 *rationality, often of a meta-analytical nature.....the world of clinical (sports injury)*  
14 *practitioners, by contrast, may be much more local and experiential in nature.'*

15 There is a growing call for mixed study reviews within the healthcare sector in order to address the  
16 perceived divergence between research and practice.<sup>19</sup> This review is a positive response to this call  
17 and therefore offers an important contribution to the literature. The reviewed quantitative  
18 evidence provides associations between psychosocial factors and rehabilitation outcomes.  
19 Additionally, the qualitative and mixed methods evidence elucidates mechanisms behind these  
20 associations, and how psychosocial factors are modified throughout the rehabilitation process.

21 This review was focussed on competitive athletes. Therefore, this precludes any robust  
22 generalisability to other populations such as recreational and intramural athletes or non-athletic  
23 patient groups. All levels of competitive athlete were included. It is plausible that athletes with  
24 more time investment in sport or gaining financial benefit for participation may exhibit different  
25 types and/or intensity of psychosocial factors.<sup>14</sup> By not excluding dated studies and including six  
26 studies from the 1990's (e.g. <sup>29, 32, 40</sup>) may have led to timeframe based bias in the findings. That is,  
27 there is a danger of equating dated studies with more recent papers grounded in modern sport  
28 medicine. This review included all sports injury types to develop an understanding beyond simply  
29 ACL injury. It must be noted however, that the findings of this review are based on a sizeable  
30 percentage of post-operative ACL participants. Injury severity and type may be a confounding factor  
31 when examining sports injury rehabilitation outcomes.<sup>14</sup> An athlete with more severe injuries may  
32 exhibit more prolonged and severe negative psychosocial responses proliferating into the return to

1 sport phase. Including studies with mixed time loss is ecologically valid, however, by aggregating  
2 studies together the ability differentiate injury experiences across specific populations is diminished.  
3 For example, whether analogous psychosocial factors are associated with injuries requiring surgical  
4 vs. non-surgical or conservative intervention could be debated.

5 To date this is the only systematic review to register with PROSPERO based on psychosocial factors  
6 associated with sport injury rehabilitation outcomes. The registration serves to endorse the rationale  
7 and rigour of this review. This will hopefully elevate the research area into one meriting value within  
8 the healthcare sector, and be a protagonist for further empirical investigation. If injury outcomes  
9 are associated with psychosocial factors as this and other reviews suggest, practitioners need to be  
10 empowered to recognise and address these factors or appropriately refer on.<sup>68, 69</sup>

## 11 **CONCLUSION**

12 This review identified, selected, appraised and synthesised all available empirical evidence  
13 irrespective of the research design or the theoretical framework adopted. As a result this review  
14 includes evidence not previously included in earlier systematic reviews. The evidence reviewed  
15 indicates that psychosocial factors are associated with a range of actual and perceived sports injury  
16 rehabilitation outcomes. Specifically, these psychosocial factors include an athlete's injury related  
17 cognitions, emotions and behaviours.

18 **Contributions** DF, AS, and MJ were responsible for the conception and design of this mixed studies  
19 systematic review. DF applied the search strategy, extracted data, completed PROSPERO  
20 registration, and obtained ethical approval. The peer review team (DF, AS, AG) applied the eligibility  
21 criteria at each stage, quality appraisal tool, and agreed on meta-aggregated themes. DF completed  
22 the final manuscript with critical revisions made by AS, MJ, AG.

23 **Conflicting Interests** None

24 **Funding** Not supported financially

25

26

27

28

29

## 1 **References**

- 2 1. Walker N, Thatcher J, Lavellee D. Psychological responses to injury in competitive sport: a critical  
3 review. *Journal of the Royal Society for the Promotion of Health* 2007;127(4):174-80.
- 4 2. Brewer BW, Anderson M, Van Raalte J. Psychological Aspects of Sports Injury Rehabilitation:  
5 towards a biopsychosocial approach. In: Mostofsky D, Zaichkowsky L, editors. *Medical Aspects of*  
6 *Sport and Exercise* Morgantown USA: Fitness Information Technology; 2002. p. 41-54.
- 7 3. Engel GL. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. *American Journal of Psychiatry*  
8 1980;137:535-44.
- 9 4. Podlog L, Eklund RC. The psychosocial aspects of a return to sport following serious injury: a  
10 review of the literature from a self-determination perspective. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*  
11 2007;8:535-66.
- 12 5. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Return to sport outcomes at 2 to 7 years after anterior  
13 cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2012;40(1):41-8.
- 14 6. Colvin AC, Walsh M, Koval KJ, McLaurin T, Tejwani N, Egol K. Return to sports following operatively  
15 treated ankle fractures. *Foot Ankle Int* 2009; 04;30(4):292-6.
- 16 7. Shrier I, Charland L, Mohtadi NGH, Meeuwisse WH, Matheson GO. The sociology of return-to-play  
17 decision making: a clinical perspective. *Clin J Sport Med* 2010; 09;20(5):333-5.
- 18 8. Martikainen P, Bartley M, Lahelma E. Psychosocial determinants of health in social epidemiology.  
19 *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2002;31:1091-3.
- 20 9. Refshauge KM, Maher CG. Low Back Pain Investigations and Prognosis: A Review. *Br J Sports Med*.  
21 2006;40:494-8.

- 1 10. Del Buono A, Smith R, Coco M, Woolley L, Denaro V, Maffulli N. Return to sports after ankle  
2 fractures: a systematic review. *Br Med Bull* 2013;106:179-91.
- 3 11. Tol JL, Hamilton B, Eirale C, Muxart P, Jacobsen P, Whiteley R. At return to play following  
4 hamstring injury the majority of professional football players have residual isokinetic deficits. *Br J*  
5 *Sports Med* 2014; 09/15;48(18):1364-9.
- 6 12. Kubler-Ross E. *On Death and Dying*. London: MacMillan; 1969.
- 7 13. Wiese-Bjornstal D. Psychology and socioculture affect injury risk, response, and recovery in high-  
8 intensity athletes: a consensus statement. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*  
9 2010;20(2):172-5.
- 10 14. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. A systematic review of the psychological factors  
11 associated with returning to sport following injury. *Br J Sports Med* 2013;47(17):1120-6.
- 12 15. Mendonza M, Patel H, Bassett S. Influences of psychological factors and rehabilitation adherence  
13 on the outcome post anterior cruciate ligament injury/surgical reconstruction. *NZ J Physiother* 2007;  
14 07;35(2):62-71.
- 15 16. te Wierike S, van der Sluis A, van den Akker-Scheek M, Elferink-Gemser M, Visscher C.  
16 Psychosocial factors influencing the recovery of athletes with anterior cruciate ligament injury: a  
17 systematic review. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 2013;23:527-40.
- 18 17. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and  
19 Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *British Medical Journal* 2009;339:332-6.
- 20 18. Núñez M, Sastre S, Núñez E, Lozano L, Nicodemo C, Segur J. Health-related quality of life and  
21 direct costs in patients with anterior cruciate ligament injury: single-bundle versus double-bundle

- 1 reconstruction in a low-demand cohort--a randomized trial with 2 years of follow-up. *Arthroscopy*  
2 2012;28(7):929-35.
- 3 19. Dixon-Woods M, Bonas S, Booth A, Jones DR, Miller T, Sutton AJ, et al. How can systematic  
4 reviews incorporate qualitative research? A critical perspective. *Qualitative Research* 2006;6(1):27-  
5 44.
- 6 20. Pace R, Pluye P, Bartlett G, Macauley A, Salsberg J, Jagosh J, et al. Testing the reliability and  
7 efficiency of the pilot Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for systematic mixed studies review.  
8 *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2012;49:47-53.
- 9 21. Lloyd-Jones M. Application of systematic review methods to qualitative research: Practical issues.  
10 *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 2004;48:271-8.
- 11 22. Clarsen B, Bahr R. Matching the choice of injury/illness definition to study setting, purpose and  
12 design: one size does not fit all!. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:510-2.
- 13 23. Haggglund M, Waldon M, Bahr R, Ekstrand J. Methods for epidemiological study of injuries to  
14 professional football players: developing the UEFA model. *Br J Sports Med* 2005;39:340-6.
- 15 24. Brewer BW. The role of psychological factors in sport injury rehabilitation outcomes.  
16 *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 2010;3(1):40-61.
- 17 25. World Health Organisation. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health.  
18 Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2001.
- 19 26. Putukian M, Echemendia R. Psychological aspects of serious head injury in the competitive  
20 athlete. *Clinical Sports Medicine* 2007;22(3):617-30.

- 1 27. Swann C, Keegan R, Piggott D, Crust L. A systematic review of the experience, occurrence, and  
2 controllability of flow states in elite sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 2012;13:807-19.
- 3 28. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking  
4 reviews in health care. York: University of York; 2009.
- 5 29. Gordon S, Lindgren S. Psycho-physical rehabilitation from a serious sport injury: a case study of  
6 an elite fast bowler. *Australian Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 1990;22:71-6.
- 7 30. Kemp J, Collins N, Makdissi M, Schache A, Machotka Z, Crossley K. Hip arthroscopy for intra-  
8 articular pathology: a systematic review of outcomes with and without femoral osteoplasty. *British*  
9 *Journal of Sports Medicine* 2012;46:632-43.
- 10 31. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Fear of re-injury in people who have returned to  
11 sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *Journal of Science and Medicine in*  
12 *Sport* 2012; 11;15(6):488-95.
- 13 32. Johnson U. A three-year follow-up of long-term injured competitive athletes: influence of  
14 psychological risk factors on rehabilitation. *J Sport Rehab* 1997; 08;6(3):256-71.
- 15 33. Langford JL, Webster KE, Feller JA. A prospective longitudinal study to assess psychological  
16 changes following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *Br J Sports Med* 2009;  
17 05;43(5):377-8.
- 18 34. Johnson U. The multiply injured versus the first-time-injured athlete during rehabilitation: a  
19 comparison of nonphysical characteristics. *J Sport Rehab* 1996; 11;5(4):293-304.
- 20 35. Podlog L, Eklund RC. High-level athletes' perceptions of success in returning to sport following  
21 injury. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise* 2009; 09;10(5):535-44.



- 1 36. Tracey J. The emotional response to the injury and rehabilitation process. *Journal of Applied*  
2 *Sport Psychology* 2003; 12;15(4):279-93.
- 3 37. Ford IW, Eklund RC, Gordon S. An examination of psychosocial variables moderating the  
4 relationship between life stress and injury time-loss among athletes of a high standard. *J Sports Sci*  
5 2000; 05;18(5):301-12.
- 6 38. Carson F, Polman R. ACL Injury Rehabilitation: A Psychological Case Study of a Professional Rugby  
7 Union Player. *Journal of Clinical Sports Psychology* 2008;2:71-90.
- 8 39. Gallagher BV, Gardner FL. An examination of the relationship between early maladaptive  
9 schemas, coping, and emotional response to athletic injury. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*  
10 2007; 03;1(1):47-67.
- 11 40. Quinn AM, Fallon BJ. The changes in psychological characteristics and reactions of elite athletes  
12 from injury onset until full recovery. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 1999; 09;11(2):210-29.
- 13 41. Kvist J, Ek A, Sporrstedt K, Good L. Fear of re-injury: a hindrance for returning to sports after  
14 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2005;  
15 07;13(5):393-7.
- 16 42. McDonald S, Hardy C. Affective response patterns of the injured athlete: an exploratory analysis.  
17 *The Sport Psychologist* 1990;4:261-74.
- 18 43. Mankad A, Gordon S, Wallman K. Perceptions of emotional climate among injured athletes.  
19 *Journal of Clinical Sports Psychology* 2009;3(1):1-14.
- 20 44. Podlog L, Eklund RC. A longitudinal investigation of competitive athletes' return to sport  
21 following serious injury. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 2006; 03;18(1):44-68.

- 1 45. Podlog L, Wadey R, Stark A, Lochbaum M, Hannon J, Newton M. An adolescent perspective on  
2 injury recovery and the return to sport. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise* 2013; 07;14(4):437-46.
- 3 46. Clement D, Arvinen-Barrow M, Fetty T. Psychosocial responses during different phases of sport-  
4 injury rehabilitation: A qualitative study. *Journal of Athletic Training* 2015; 01;50(1):95-104.
- 5 47. Carson F, Polman R. Experiences of professional rugby union players returning to competition  
6 following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Physical Therapy in Sport* 2012; 2;13(1):35-40.
- 7 48. Thing L. "Voices of the broken body". The resumption of nonprofessional female players' careers  
8 after anterior cruciate ligament injury. The female players' dilemma: is she willing to run the risk?.  
9 *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2006;16:486-92.
- 10 49. Vergeer I. Exploring the mental representation of athletic injury: A longitudinal case study.  
11 *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2006; 01;7(1):99-114.
- 12 50. Podlog L, Banham SM, Wadey R, Hannon JC. Psychological readiness to return to competitive  
13 sport following injury: A qualitative study. *The Sport Psychologist* 2015; 03;29(1):1-14.
- 14 51. Mainwaring L. Restoration of the self: A model for the psychological response of athletes to  
15 severe knee injuries. *Canadian Journal of Rehabilitation* 1999;12:145-56.
- 16 52. Ryan R, Deci E. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social  
17 development, and well-being. *American Psychologist* 2000;55:68-78.
- 18 53. Wadey R, Evans L, Evans K, Mitchell I. Perceived benefits following sport injury: A qualitative  
19 examination of their antecedents and underlying mechanisms. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*  
20 2011; 04;23(2):142-58.

- 1 54. Carson F, Polman RCJ. The facilitative nature of avoidance coping within sports injury  
2 rehabilitation. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010; 04;20(2):235-40.
- 3 55. Wiese-Bjornstal D, Smith A, Shaffer S, Morrey A. An integrated model of response to sport injury  
4 : Psychological and sociological dynamics. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 1998;10:46-69.
- 5 56. Heijne A, Axelsson K, Werner S, Biguet G. Rehabilitation and recovery after anterior cruciate  
6 ligament reconstruction: patients experiences. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2008;18:325-35.
- 7 57. Chmielewski T, Jones D, Day T, Tillman S, Lentz T, George S. The association of pain and fear of  
8 movement/reinjury with function during anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Journal of*  
9 *Orthopaedic Sports Physical Therapy* 2008;38(12):746-53.
- 10 58. Ardern CL, Österberg A, Tagesson S, Gauffin H, Webster KE, Kvist J. The impact of psychological  
11 readiness to return to sport and recreational activities after anterior cruciate ligament  
12 reconstruction. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48(22):1613-9.
- 13 59. Glazer D. Development and Preliminary Validation of the Injury-Psychological Readiness to  
14 Return to Sport (I-PRRS) Scale. *Journal; of Athletic Training* 2009;44(2):185-9.
- 15 60. Popa G, Padea D. Stress-related Growth, Self-esteem and Perceived Self-efficacy among  
16 Professional Rescuers. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2013;89:720-4.
- 17 61. Wadey R, Clark S, Podlog L, McCullough D. Coaches' perceptions of athletes' stress-related  
18 growth following sport injury. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 2013;14:125-35.
- 19 62. Costello J, Beuzen F, Bleakley C. Where are all the female participants in Sports and Exercise  
20 Medicine research?. *European Journal of Sport Science* 2014;14(8):847-51.

- 1 63. Ristolainen L, Kettunen J, Kujala U, Heinonen A. Sport injuries as the main cause of sport career  
2 termination among Finnish top-level athletes. *European Journal of Sport Science* 2012;12(3):274-82.
- 3 64. Weiss M. Psychological aspects of sport-injury rehabilitation: a developmental perspective.  
4 *Journal of Athletic Training* 2003;38(2):172-5.
- 5 65. Hammond L, Lilley J, Ribbans W. Defining recovery: an overlooked criterion in sports injury  
6 surveillance. *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine* 2013;23(3):157-9.
- 7 66. May N, Pope C. Assessing quality in qualitative research. *British Medical Journal* 2000;320:50-2.
- 8 67. Ferlie E, Wood M, Fitzgerald L. Some limits to evidence based medicine: a case study from  
9 elective orthopaedics. *Quality in Health Care* 1999;8(2):99-107.
- 10 68. Alexanders J, Anderson A, Henderson S. Musculoskeletal physiotherapists' use of psychological  
11 interventions: A systematic review of therapists' perceptions and practice. *Physiotherapy* 2014;  
12 101(2):95-102.
- 13 69. Heaney C, Walker N, Green A, Rostron C. Sport psychology education for sport injury  
14 rehabilitation professionals: a systematic review. *Physical Therapy in Sport* 2014;16:72-79.
- 15 70. Thatcher J, Kerr J, Amies K, Day M. A reversal theory analysis of psychological responses during  
16 sports injury rehabilitation. *J Sport Rehab* 2007;16(4):343-62.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

