# QUASI-EINSTEIN METRICS ON HYPERSURFACE FAMILIES 

STUART JAMES HALL


#### Abstract

We construct quasi-Einstein metrics on some hypersurface families. The hypersurfaces are circle bundles over the product of Fano, Kähler-Einstein manifolds. The quasi-Einstein metrics are related to various gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons constructed by Dancer and Wang and some Hermitian, non-Kähler, Einstein metrics constructed by Wang and Wang on the same manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation and definitions. This article is concerned with a generalisation of Einstein metrics that in some sense interpolates between Einstein metrics and Ricci solitons, namely, quasi-Einstein metrics.
Definition 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a smooth manifold and $g$ be a complete Riemannian metric. The metric $g$ is called quasi-Einstein if it solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(g)+\operatorname{Hess}(u)-\frac{1}{m} d u \otimes d u+\frac{\epsilon}{2} g=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in C^{\infty}(M), m \in(1, \infty]$ and $\epsilon$ is a constant.
It is clear that if $u$ is constant then we recover the notion of an Einstein metric; we will refer to these metrics as trivial quasi-Einstein metrics. By letting the constant $m$ go to infinity we can also recover the definition of a gradient Ricci soliton. In line with the terminology used for Ricci solitons, we will refer to the quasi-Einstein metrics with $\epsilon<0, \epsilon=0$ and $\epsilon>0$ as shrinking, steady and expanding respectively.

There has been a great deal of effort invested in finding non-trivial examples of Ricci solitons on compact manifolds. However, they remain rare and the only known examples are Kähler. Due to work the work of Hamilton [13] and Perelman [19], non-trivial Ricci solitons on compact manifolds must be shrinking gradient Ricci solitons. The first non-trivial examples were constructed independently by Koiso and Cao on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundles over complex projective spaces [3, 15]. These examples were subsequently generalised by Chave and Valet 7 and Pedersen, Tønneson-Freidman and Valent 18 who found Kähler-Ricci solitons on the projectivisation of various line bundles

[^0]over a Fano Kähler-Einstein base. The reader should note that what we call a Ricci soliton is referred to as a quasi-Einstein metrics in the papers [7] and [18]. Recently Dancer and Wang generalised these examples by constructing some Kähler Ricci solitons on various hypersurface families where the hypersurface is a circle bundle over the product of Fano Kahler-Einstein manifolds [9]. The solitons found by Dancer and Wang were also independently constructed by Apostolev, Calderbank, Gauduchon and Tønneson-Freidman [1].

In the complete non-compact case Feldman, Ilmanen and Knopf 11 found shrinking gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons on certain line bundles over $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$. Steady gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons were first constructed on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by Cao [3] (the $n=1$ case was first found by Hamilton [12]). Cao also found steady gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons on the blow up of $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ at the origin. Expanding gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons have been found by Cao on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ [4] and by Feldman, Ilmanen and Knopf on the blow ups of $\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ for $k=n+1, n+2, \ldots, 11$. Examples were also found by Pedersen, TønnesonFreidman and Valent on the total space of holomorphic line bundles over Kahler-Einstein manifolds with negative scalar curvature [18]. As in the compact case, these examples have been generalised by Dancer and Wang who constructed shrinking, steady and expanding Kähler-Ricci solitons on various vector bundles over the product of Kähler-Einstein manifolds 9].

In the recent work 6] Case suggested that there should be quasi-Einstein analogues of Dancer-Wang's solitons. He points out that the quasi-Einstein analogue of Koiso-Cao, Chave-Valent and Pedersen-Tønneson-FreidmanValent type solitons was already constructed by Lü, Page and Pope [16]. The purpose of this article is to show that Dancer-Wang's solitons indeed have quasi-Einstein analogues. However it is better to think of these metrics as quasi-Einstein analogues of various Hermitian, non-Kähler, Einstein metrics constructed by Wang and Wang on these spaces [20]. The WangWang Einstein metrics generalise a construction originating with Page [17] and Berard-Bergery [2]. We now state the precise results we wish to prove. Non-trivial steady or expanding quasi-Einstein metrics can only occur on non-compact manifolds [14]. In the non-compact case we have the following which is the quasi-Einstein analogue of theorem 1.6 in [20]:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\left(V_{i}, J_{i}, h_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r, r \geq 3$, be Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds with complex dimension $n_{i}$ and first Chern class $p_{i} a_{i}$ where $p_{i}>0$ and $a_{i}$ are indivisible classes in $H^{2}\left(V_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Let $V_{1}$ be a complex projective space with normalised Fubini-Study metric i.e. $p_{1}=\left(n_{1}+1\right)$. Let $P_{q}$ denote the principal $\mathbb{S}^{1}$-bundle over $V_{1} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ with Euler class $\pm \pi_{1}^{*}\left(a_{1}\right)+$ $\sum_{i=2}^{i=r} q_{i} \pi_{i}^{*}\left(a_{i}\right)$, i.e. $q_{1}^{2}=1$.
(1) Suppose $\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left|q_{i}\right|<p_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$ then, for all $m>1$, there exists a non-trivial, complete, steady, quasi-Einstein metric on the
total space of the $\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}+1}$-bundle over $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ corresponding to $P_{q}$.
(2) For all $m>1$ there exists at least one one-parameter family of non-trivial, complete, expanding, quasi-Einstein metrics on the total space of the $\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}+1}$-bundle over $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ corresponding to $P_{q}$.

For the compact case we have the following analogue of theorem 1.2 in [20].
Theorem 1.3. Let $\left(V_{i}, J_{i}, h_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r, r \geq 3$, be Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds with complex dimension $n_{i}$ and first Chern class $p_{i} a_{i}$ where $p_{i}>0$ and $a_{i}$ are indivisible classes in $H^{2}\left(V_{i}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{r}$ be a complex projective space with normalised Fubini-Study metrics. Let $P_{q}$ denote the principal $\mathbb{S}^{1}$-bundle over $V_{1} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ with Euler class $\pm \pi_{1}^{*}\left(a_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{i=r-1} q_{i} \pi_{i}^{*}\left(a_{i}\right) \pm$ $\pi^{*}\left(a_{r}\right)$, i.e. $\left|q_{1}\right|=\left|q_{r}\right|=1$.

Suppose that $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{1}+1\right)<p_{i}$ and $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{r}+1\right)<p_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ and that there exists $\chi=\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots, \chi_{r}\right)$ where $\left|\chi_{i}\right|=1, \chi_{1}=-\chi_{r}=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{\left(n_{r}+1\right)}\left(\chi_{1} x+\frac{p_{1}}{\left|q_{1}\right|}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(\chi_{2} x+\frac{p_{2}}{\left|q_{2}\right|}\right)^{n_{2}} \ldots\left(\chi_{r} x+\frac{p_{r}}{\left|q_{r}\right|}\right)^{n_{r}} x d x<0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for all $m>1$ there exists a non-trivial, shrinking quasi-Einstein metric on $M_{q}$, the space obtained from $P_{q} \times S_{1} \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ by blowing-down one end to $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ and the other end to $V_{1} \times \ldots \times V_{r-1}$.

We remark that the Futaki invariant (evaluated on the holomorphic vector field $f(t) \partial_{t}$ in the notation of the next section) is given by

$$
\int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{\left(n_{r}+1\right)}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}-x\right)^{n_{1}}\left(\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}-x\right)^{n_{2}} \cdots\left(\frac{p_{r}}{q_{r}}-x\right)^{n_{r}} x d x
$$

If this integral vanishes then Dancer-Wang construct a Kähler-Einstein metric on $M_{q}$.
Finally we note that none of the metrics we find are Kähler. Indeed there is a rigidity result due to Case-Shu-Wei [5] that says, on compact manifolds, Kähler-quasi-Einstein metrics are trivial i.e. Kähler-Einstein.
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## 2. Proof of main theorems

2.1. Derivation of equations. We use the same notation as above. We consider
$M_{0}=(0, l) \times P_{q}$. Let $\theta$ be the principal $U(1)$-connection on $P_{q}$ with curvature $\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{r} q_{i} \pi^{*} \eta_{i}$ where $\eta_{i}$ is the Kähler form of the metric $h_{i}$. We form the 1-parameter family of metrics on $P_{q}$

$$
g_{t}=f^{2}(t) \theta \otimes \theta+\sum_{i=1}^{i=r} g_{i}^{2}(t) \pi^{*} h_{i}
$$

and we then form the metric $\bar{g}=d t^{2}+g_{t}$ on $M_{0}$. The group $U(1)$ acts on $M_{0}$ by isometries and generates a Killing field $Z$. We define a complex structure $J$ on $M_{0}$ by $J\left(\partial_{t}\right)=-f^{-1}(t) Z$ on the vertical space of $\theta$ and by lifting the complex structure from the base on the horizontal spaces of $\theta$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $M_{0}$ be as above and let $v=e^{-\frac{u}{m}}$. Then the quasi-Einstein equations in this setting are given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\ddot{f}}{f}+\sum_{i=1}^{i=r} 2 n_{i} \frac{\ddot{g}_{i}}{g_{i}}+m \frac{\ddot{v}}{v}=\frac{\epsilon}{2},  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\ddot{f}}{f}+\sum_{i=1}^{i=r}\left(2 n_{i} \frac{\dot{f} \dot{g}_{i}}{f g_{i}}-\frac{n_{i} q_{i}^{2}}{2} \frac{f^{2}}{g_{i}^{4}}\right)+m \frac{\dot{f} \dot{v}}{f v}=\frac{\epsilon}{2},  \tag{2.2}\\
\frac{\ddot{g}_{i}}{g_{i}}-\left(\frac{\dot{g}_{i}}{g_{i}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\dot{f} \dot{g}_{i}}{f g_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{j=r} 2 n_{j} \frac{\dot{g}_{i} \dot{g}_{j}}{g_{i} g_{j}}-\frac{p_{i}}{g_{i}^{2}}+\frac{q_{i}^{2} f^{2}}{2 g_{i}^{4}}+m \frac{\dot{g}_{i} \dot{v}}{g_{i} v}=\frac{\epsilon}{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order that $(M, g, u)$ be a quasi-Einstein manifold, as well as equation (1.1), $u$ must also satisfy an integrability condition that essentially comes from the second Bianchi identity (c.f. Lemma 3.4 in [9]). The form we use here is given in Case [6] using the Bakry-Émery Laplacian:

$$
\Delta_{u}:=\Delta-\langle\nabla u, \cdot\rangle .
$$

Lemma 2.2 (Kim-Kim [14] Corollary 3). Let $(M, g, u)$ be a quasi-Einstein manifold then there exists a constant $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{u}\left(\frac{u}{m}\right)+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=-\mu e^{\frac{2 u}{m}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the notation above (recalling $v=e^{-\frac{u}{m}}$ ) this condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=v \ddot{v}+v \dot{v}\left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f}+\sum_{i} 2 n_{i} \frac{\dot{g}_{i}}{g_{i}}\right)+(m-1) \dot{v}^{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2} v^{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $\mu$ enters into the discussion of Einstein warped products when $m$ is an integer. If ( $M, g, u$ ) is a quasi-Einstein manifold with constant $\mu$ coming from (2.4) and ( $F^{m}, h$ ) is an Einstein manifold with constant $\mu$, then $\left(M \times F^{m}, g \oplus v^{2} h\right)$ is an Einstein metric with constant $-\epsilon / 2$ as in equation (1.1) (c.f. [14]).

Introducing the moment map change of variables as in [9] and [20] yields the following set of equations:

Proposition 2.3. Let $s$ be the coordinate on $I=(0, l)$ such that $d s=f(t) d t$, $\alpha(s)=f^{2}(t), \beta_{i}(s)=g_{i}^{2}(t), \phi(s)=v(t)$ and $V=\prod_{i=1}^{i=r} g_{i}^{2 n_{i}}(t)$. Then the equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) transform to the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime}(\log V)^{\prime}+\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)+m\left(\frac{\alpha \phi^{\prime \prime}}{\phi}+\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}}{2 \phi}\right)=\frac{\epsilon}{2},  \tag{2.6}\\
\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime}(\log V)^{\prime}-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{i=r} \frac{n_{i} q_{i}^{2}}{2 \beta_{i}^{2}}+m \frac{\alpha^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}}{2 \phi}=\frac{\epsilon}{2},  \tag{2.7}\\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^{\prime} \beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta_{i}}-\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha \beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}(\log V)^{\prime}-\frac{p_{i}}{\beta_{i}}+\frac{q_{i}^{2} \alpha}{2 \beta_{i}^{2}}+m \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}}{\beta_{i} \phi}=\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \\
\phi\left(\phi^{\prime \prime} \alpha+\frac{\phi^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}}{2}\right)+\phi \phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{2}+(\log V)^{\prime} \alpha\right)+(m-1)\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \alpha-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \phi^{2}=\mu . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equating (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-m \frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{\phi}=\sum_{i=1}^{i=r} n_{i}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}\right)^{2}+\frac{q_{i}^{2}}{2 \beta_{i}^{2}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [9, 20] we look for solutions that satisfy

$$
\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta_{i}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_{i}^{\prime}}{\beta_{i}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{\beta_{i}^{2}}=0
$$

This condition can be geometrically interpreted as saying that the curvature of $\bar{g}$ is $J$-invariant in the sense that $\overline{R m}(J \cdot, J \cdot, J \cdot, J \cdot)=\overline{R m}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ where $J$ is the complex structure on $M_{0}$. Imposing this forces $\phi$ to be a linear function of $s$. We write $\phi(s)=\kappa_{1}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)$ for some constants $\kappa_{0}, \kappa_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence (2.9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}+\alpha\left((\log V)^{\prime}+\frac{(m-1)}{\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{\epsilon\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)}{2}+\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly there are two classes of solution $\beta_{i}$ :

$$
\beta_{i}=A_{i}\left(s+s_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{q_{i}^{2}}{4 A_{i}}
$$

or

$$
\beta_{i}= \pm q_{i}\left(s+\sigma_{i}\right)
$$

where $A_{i} \neq 0$ and $\sigma_{i}$ are constants. We note that the case $\beta_{i}=-q_{i}\left(s+\sigma_{i}\right)$ corresponds to the metric $\bar{g}$ being Kähler with respect to the complex structure. Hence the rigidity result of Case-Shu-Wei rules out having any solutions of this form (in fact choosing $\beta_{i}$ of this form leads to inconsistency).

If we input $\beta_{i}=A_{i}\left(s+s_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{q_{i}^{2}}{4 A_{i}}$ into 2.8 we see that

$$
\alpha^{\prime}+\alpha\left((\log V)^{\prime}+m(\log \phi)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\left(s+s_{0}\right)}\right)=\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)+\frac{E^{*}}{\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)}
$$

where

$$
E^{*}:=\frac{8 A_{i} p_{i}-\epsilon q_{i}^{2}}{8 A_{i}^{2}}
$$

Comparing with equation (2.11) we see that solutions are consistent providing $\kappa_{0}=s_{0}$ and

$$
\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}=E^{*}=\frac{8 A_{i} p_{i}-\epsilon q_{i}^{2}}{8 A_{i}^{2}}
$$

Solving gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(s)=V^{-1}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{1-m} \int_{0}^{s} V\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{m-2}\left(E^{*}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}\right) d s \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Compactifying $M_{0}$. We recall that $V_{1}=\mathbb{C P}^{n_{1}}$ and we are adding in the manifold $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ at the point $s=0$. We refer the reader to the discussion immediately after equation (4.17) in [9]. In a nutshell, in order for the metric to extend smoothly we require that

$$
\alpha(0)=0, \alpha^{\prime}(0)=2, \beta_{1}(0)=0 \text { and } \beta_{1}^{\prime}(0)=1
$$

As we are using $\beta_{1}(s)=A_{1}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{4 A_{1}}$ we must have $A_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \kappa_{0}}$ and $\left|q_{1}\right|=1$. We also have normalised so that $p_{1}=n_{1}+1$ hence the consistency conditions become

$$
E^{*}=\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)-\epsilon \kappa_{0}\right)=\frac{8 A_{i} p_{i}-\epsilon q_{i}^{2}}{8 A_{i}^{2}} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r .
$$

2.3. Steady quasi-Einstein metrics. In this case $\epsilon=0$. Setting $V_{1}=$ $\mathbb{C P}^{n_{r}}$ and compactifying we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}+1}$-vector bundle over $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$. In order that $\beta_{i}(0)>0$ on $I=[0, \infty)$ we must have $A_{i}>0$ and

$$
E^{*}=\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right)=\frac{p_{i}}{A_{i}} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r
$$

Hence $A_{i}=\frac{p_{i}}{E^{*}}$ and

$$
\beta_{i}(s)=\frac{p_{i}}{E^{*}}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{4 p_{i}} .
$$

It is clear that in order for $\beta_{i}(0)>0$ we must have

$$
\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left|q_{i}\right|<p_{i} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r .
$$

In order to ensure the metrics are complete it is sufficient to check that the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{\alpha(x)}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

diverges as $s \rightarrow \infty$ (this says that geodesics cannot reach the boundary at infinity and have finite length). If we compute the function $\alpha(s)$ we see that
it is asymptotic to a positive constant $K$. Hence the above integral diverges and we obtain a complete quasi-Einstein metric for all $m>1$ generalising the non-Kähler, Ricci-flat ones constructed in [20]. Choosing a different value of $E^{*}$ simply varies the metric by homothety.
2.4. Expanding quasi-Einstein metrics. Here we take $\epsilon=1$ to factor out homothety. Again the manifolds in question are $\mathbb{C}^{n_{1}+1}$-vector bundles over $V_{2} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$. Here the consistency conditions become

$$
E^{*}=\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)-\kappa_{0}\right)=\frac{8 A_{i} p_{i}-q_{i}^{2}}{8 A_{i}^{2}} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r
$$

If $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{1}+1\right) \leq p_{i}$ then we choose $0<E^{*}<2\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}$,

$$
\kappa_{0}=2\left(n_{1}+1\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*}}{2}}
$$

and

$$
A_{i}=\frac{1}{2 E^{*}}\left(p_{i}+\sqrt{p_{i}^{2}-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}\right)
$$

In order that $\beta_{i}(0)>0$ we require $2 \kappa_{0} A_{i}>\left|q_{i}\right|$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. This can be seen as
$2\left(2\left(n_{1}+1\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*}}{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2 E^{*}}\left(p_{i}+\sqrt{p_{i}^{2}-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}\right)>\frac{2\left(n_{1}+1\right) p_{i}}{E^{*}}>\left|q_{i}\right|$.
In the case that $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{1}+1\right)<p_{i}$ we note also that

$$
\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2 p_{i}^{2}}}\right)>\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{E^{*}}{2\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}}}\right),
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2\left(2\left(n_{1}+1\right)-2 \sqrt{\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*}}{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2 E^{*}}\left(p_{i}+\sqrt{p_{i}^{2}-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}\right)> \\
\frac{4 p_{i}\left(n_{1}+1\right)}{2 E^{*}}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{E^{*}}{2\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}}}\right)\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{E^{*}}{2\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}}}\right)=\frac{p_{i}}{\left(n_{1}+1\right)}>\left|q_{i}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore if we have the strict inequality $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{1}+1\right)<p_{i}$ then we can also choose

$$
\kappa_{0}=2\left(n_{1}+1\right)-2 \sqrt{\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*}}{2}} .
$$

If $\left|q_{i}\right|\left(n_{1}+1\right)>p_{i}$ then we can choose $0<E^{*}<2\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2} \min \left(p_{2}^{2} / q_{2}^{2}, \ldots, p_{r}^{2} / q_{r}^{2}\right)$. If we also choose

$$
\kappa_{0}=2\left(n_{1}+1\right)+2 \sqrt{\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{E^{*}}{2}}
$$

and

$$
A_{i}=\frac{1}{2 E^{*}} p_{i}+\sqrt{p_{i}^{2}-\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}
$$

then $\beta_{i}(0)>0$. We can also choose $E^{*}<0$ in this case. Completeness follows as $\alpha(s)$ is asymptotic to $K s^{2}$ for a positive constant $K$ and so the integral 2.13 diverges. Hence we find complete, quasi-Einstein analogues of the non-Kahler, Einstein metrics constructed in [20].
2.5. Shrinking quasi-Einstein metrics. In order to factor out homothety we take $\epsilon=-1$ and so the consistency conditions are

$$
\frac{\mu}{\kappa_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{2}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)+\kappa_{0}\right)=\frac{8 A_{i} p_{i}+q_{i}^{2}}{8 A_{i}^{2}} \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq r .
$$

We split the discussion into the compact case and the non-compact, complete case. For the compact case we consider $I$ to be the finite interval $\left[0, s_{*}\right]$. We set $V_{r}=\mathbb{C P}^{n_{r}}$ and at the point $s=s_{*}$ we add in the manifold $V_{1} \times \ldots \times V_{r-1}$. For the metric to extend smoothly we require that $q_{r}=1, p_{r}=n_{r}+1$ and $-1=2 A_{r}\left(s_{*}+\kappa_{0}\right)$. Putting these into the consistency conditions we see that

$$
\kappa_{0}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)+\kappa_{0}\right)=\left(s_{*}+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}-4\left(n_{r}+1\right)\left(s_{*}+\kappa_{0}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
s_{*}=\sqrt{\kappa_{0}\left(4\left(n_{1}+1\right)+\kappa_{0}\right)+4\left(n_{r}+1\right)^{2}}-\kappa_{0}+2\left(n_{r}+1\right)
$$

We note that if $n_{1}=n_{r}$ then $s_{*}=4\left(n_{1}+1\right)$. For the time being we note that $s_{*}=s_{*}\left(E^{*}\right)$ and $\beta_{i}$ is completely determined by $E^{*}$ once we have chosen the value of $q_{i}^{2}$ and the sign of $A_{i}$. The value $A_{i}$ is given by

$$
A_{i}=\frac{1}{2 E^{*}}\left(p_{i}+\chi_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}^{2}+\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}\right)
$$

where $\chi_{i}=1$ if $A_{i}>0$ and $\chi_{i}=-1$ if $A_{i}<0$. In order to have a quasiEinstein metric we must be able choose a value of $E^{*}>0$ such that the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{s_{*}\left(E^{*}\right)} \prod_{i=0}^{i=r}\left[\left(\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{q_{i}^{2}}{4 A_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n_{i}}\right]\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{m-2}\left(E^{*}-\frac{1}{2}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)^{2}\right) d s=0
$$

Changing coordinates to

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(s+\kappa_{0}\right)-\left(\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}+\frac{E^{*}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

then the above integral becomes (ignoring constants)
$\left.F\left(E^{*}\right)=\int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{x_{*}\left(E^{*}\right)} \prod_{i=0}^{i=r} P_{i}(x)\left(x+\left(\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}+\frac{E^{*}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)^{m-2}\left(x^{2}+2 x\left(\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}+\frac{E^{*}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)+\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}\right) d s$
where
$P_{i}(x)=\left(x^{2}+2 x\left(\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}+\frac{E^{*}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}+\frac{2 p_{i}\left(\chi_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}^{2}+\frac{E^{*} q_{i}^{2}}{2}}-p_{i}\right)}{q_{i}^{2}}\right)^{n_{i}}$
and

$$
x_{*}\left(E^{*}\right)=\left(n_{r}+1\right)+\left(\frac{E^{*}}{2}+\left(n_{r}+1\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(\frac{E^{*}}{2}+\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

We will compute the $\operatorname{limit} \lim _{E^{*} \downarrow 0} F\left(E^{*}\right)$ and the limit $\lim _{E^{*} \rightarrow \infty} F\left(E^{*}\right)$.
We begin with 0 . We note that as $m>1$ the function $f(x)=\left(x+\left(n_{1}+1\right)^{m-2}\right.$ is integrable on $\left[-\left(n_{1}+1\right), x\left(E^{*}\right)\right]$ so by the dominated convergence theorem we can evaluate the integral of the limit. This is given by
$S \int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{2\left(n_{r}+1\right)-\left(n_{1}+1\right)} \prod_{\chi_{i}=-1}\left[x+\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right]^{2 n_{i}} \prod_{\chi_{j}=1}\left[\frac{4 p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}^{2}}-\left(x+\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{n_{j}}\left(x+\left(n_{1}+1\right)\right)^{m} d x$,
where

$$
S=(-1)^{\sum_{\chi_{i}=-1} n_{i}} .
$$

The hypothesis on the $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ mean that the sign of $\lim _{E^{*} \downarrow 0} F\left(E^{*}\right)$ is that of $S$.

For $E^{*} \rightarrow \infty$ we consider

$$
\lim _{E^{*} \rightarrow \infty} F\left(E^{*}\right)\left(E^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-m-\sum_{\chi_{i}=-1} n_{i}\right)}=K(-1)^{\sum_{\chi_{i}=-1} n_{i}} \int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{\left(n_{r}+1\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{i=r}\left[\chi_{i} x+\frac{p_{i}}{\left|q_{i}\right|}\right]^{n_{i}} x d x
$$

where $K$ is a positive constant. Hence if we can choose $\chi_{i}$ so that

$$
\int_{-\left(n_{1}+1\right)}^{\left(n_{r}+1\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{i=r}\left[\chi_{i} x+\frac{p_{i}}{\left|q_{i}\right|}\right]^{n_{i}} x d x<0
$$

we can find an $E^{*}>0$ such that $\alpha\left(s_{*}\right)=0$. A discussion similar to that in 9$]$ and [20] shows that this is enough to ensure we have smooth quasi-Einstein metrics.

## 3. Examples and future work

We end with an example of theorem 1.3, some discussion of the geometry of the quasi-Einstein metrics constructed and a discussion of possible sources future compact examples.
3.1. An example. We consider an example that is also considered in (9). They consider a $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C P}^{2}$. In theorem 1.3 this corresponds to taking $r=4, n_{1}=n_{4}=0, n_{2}=n_{3}=2$ and $p_{2}=p_{3}=3$. Hence to apply the theorem we must consider $\left|q_{2}\right|,\left|q_{3}\right|<3$. They take $\left(q_{2}, q_{3}\right)=(1,-2)$. The Futaki invariant is given by

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}(3-x)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}+x\right)^{2} x d x
$$

which they calculate is 7.8 . This means that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}(3+x)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-x\right)^{2} x d x=-7.8<0
$$

and we have non-trivial quasi-Einstein metrics on this space for all $m>1$.
3.2. Remarks on the geometry of the quasi-Einstein metrics. In 9$]$ section 4 , the authors comment on the geometry at infinity of their examples of steady and expanding gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons. In particular they conclude that their steady examples are asympotically parabolic and that the expanding examples are asymptotically conical. We recall that the examples of steady quasi-Einstein metrics constructed in theorem 1.2 have $\alpha(s) \sim K$ for some positive constant $K$ and so the following asymptotic behaviour holds (ignoring multiplicative constants)

$$
f(t)=O(1) \text { and } g_{i}(t) \sim t .
$$

In the expanding case we recall that $\alpha(s) \sim K s^{2}$ and so we have

$$
f(t) \sim e^{t} \text { and } g_{i}(t) \sim e^{t}
$$

3.3. Future families. The space $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{P}}^{2}$ fits into the framework of theorem 1.3 as a non-trivial $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$. On this space there is the Page metric, the Koiso-Cao soliton and the quasi-Einstein metrics of theorem 3 (originally due to Lü-Page-Pope). The space $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \sharp 2 \overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$ also admits a non-Kähler, Einstein metric due to Chen, LeBrun and Weber [8] and a Kähler-Ricci soliton due to Wang and Zhu [21]. It would seem reasonable that there should be a family of quasi-Einstein analogues to these metrics. The metrics on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \sharp 2 \overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$ are not cohomogeneity-one but do have an isometric action by $\mathbb{T}^{2}$. One observation is that the Lü-Page-Pope quasiEinstein metrics are conformally Kähler (as any $U(2)$-invariant metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$ is). The Chen-LeBrun-Weber metric is also conformally Kähler (a fact orginally proved by Derdzinski [10]) and so one might hope that the same would be true for analogues of the Lü-Page-Pope metrics on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \sharp 2 \overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$. Both the Page and Chen-LeBrun-Weber metrics are conformal to extremal Kähler metrics which satisfy a PDE that 'occurs naturally' in Kähler geometry. It would be an interesting first step to try and find an analogous PDE/ODE for the Kähler metrics that are conformal to the Lü-Page-Pope metrics. The author hopes to take up the existence questions in a future work.
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