UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Article:
Tuan, NH, Thang, LD and Lesnic, D (2016) A new general filter regularization method for Cauchy problems for elliptic equations with a locally Lipschitz nonlinear source. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 434 (2). 1376 - 1393. ISSN 0022-247X

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.09.085

A new general filter regularization method for Cauchy problems for elliptic equations with a locally Lipschitz nonlinear source

Nguyen Huy Tuan[1], Le Duc Thang[b], Daniel Lesnic[c]

[a]*Applied Analysis Research Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.*
[b]*Department of Mathematics, University of Science, Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.*
[c]*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK*

Abstract

Up to now, studies on the semi-linear Cauchy problem for elliptic partial differential equations needed to assume that the source term present in the governing equation is a global Lipschitz function. The current paper is the first investigation to not only the more general but also the more practical case of interest when the souce term is only a **local** Lipschitz function. In such a situation, the methods of solution from the previous studies with a global Lipschitz source term are not directly applicable and therefore, novel ideas and techniques need to be developed to tackle the local Lipschitz nonlinearity. This locally Lipschitz source arises in many applications of great physical interest governed by, for example, the sine-Gordon, Lane-Emden, Allen-Cahn and Liouville equations. The inverse problem is severely ill-posed in the sense of Hadamard by violating the continuous dependence upon the input Cauchy data. Therefore, in order to obtain a stable solution we consider theoretical aspects of regularization of the problem by a new generalized filter method. Under some priori assumptions on the exact solution, we prove and obtain rigorously convergence estimates.
Keywords and phrases: Cauchy problem; Nonlinear elliptic equation; Ill-posed problem; Error estimates.
Mathematics subject Classification 2000: 35K05, 35K99, 47J06, 47H10

1. Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle .,. \rangle$ and the norm $\|.\|$, and let $A : D(A) \subset H \to H$ be a linear, positive-definite, self-adjoint operator with compact inverse on H. For $L > 0$, consider the inverse problem of finding the function $u : [0, L] \to H$ from the equation

$$\frac{d^2u(z)}{dz^2} = Au(z) + G(z, u(z)), \quad z \in (0, L), \tag{1.1}$$

*Corresponding author: Daniel Lesnic, Tel.: +44 (0)113-3435181. Email: amt5ld@maths.leeds.ac.uk

with the (initial) Cauchy conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = f, \\ \dfrac{du}{dz}(0) = h, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where (f, h) are given data in $H\times H$ and the source function $G : [0, L]\times H \to H$ will be defined later. In practice, the data $(f, h) \in H\times H$ is noisy and is represented by the perturbed data $(f^\delta, h^\delta) \in H\times H$ satisfying

$$\|f^\delta - f\|_H + \|h^\delta - h\|_H \leq \delta, \tag{1.3}$$

where the constant $\delta > 0$ represents a known upper bound of the measurement error.

It is well-known that in general the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations is severely ill-posed in Hadamard's sense, i.e. a small perturbation in the given Cauchy data (1.2) may cause a very large error in the output solution $u(z)$ for $z \in (0, L]$. Moreover, the instability increases with increasing the distance z from the boundary $z = 0$. Therefore, it is very difficult to solve the problem by using classical numerical methods of inversion, [22]. In order to overcome this instability, regularization methods are naturally required.

Equation (1.1) is an abstract version which generalizes many well-known equations. For a simple example, if $A = -\Delta$ (Laplace operator) and $G(z, u(z)) = -k^2u(z)$ with k real or purely imaginary, then the equation (1.1) becomes the Helmholtz or modified Helmholtz equation, respectively, which arises in many engineering applications related to propagating waves in different environments or heat transfer in fins. More generally, for $A = -\Delta$ and G a nonlinear function of u, equation (1.1) becomes the nonlinear Poisson equation which is encountered in numerous applications in heat and mass transfer, chemical recations, gas dynamics and fluid flow in porous media, [2, 3, 19].

In the past, there have been many studies on the homogeneous problem given by equation (1.1) with $G = 0$ and (1.2). For instance, Elden and Berntsson [14] used the logarithmic convexity method to obtain a stability result of Hölder type. Alessandrini *et al.* [1] provided optimal stability results under minimal assumptions, whilst Reginska and Tautenhahn [32] presented some stability estimates and a regularization method for a Cauchy problem for Helmholtz equation. Many methods have been proposed to solve the Cauchy problem for linear homogeneous elliptic equations, such as the method of successive iterations [10], the alternating method [26], the conjugate gradient method [11, 24], the iterative regularization method [15], the quasi-reversibility method [23, 28], the fourth-order modified method [30], the Fourier truncation regularized (or spectral regularized method) [17, 35], etc. Nevertheless, the literature devoted to the Cauchy problem for linear homogeneous elliptic equations is very rich, see e.g. [4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, 16, 21, 23, 29, 33, 35] and the references therein. Recently, a linear inhomogeneous version of Helmholtz equation (i.e. $G(z, u(z)) = G(z)$ in equation (1.1)) has been considered in [34].

Although there are many works on the linear case, the literature on the nonlinear case is quite scarce. We mention here a nonlinear elliptic problem of [37], where the authors approximated (1.1) and (1.2) by a truncation method. However, their results are only given for globally Lipschitz source terms.

In practice, the applications of nonlinear problem requires the extended Lipschitz source term. For example, if $G(z, u) = \sin u$, then the equation (1.1) is called the elliptic-sine Gordon equation which occurs in several areas of mathematical physics including the theory of Josephson effects,

superconductors and spin waves in ferromagnets, see e.g. [18, 20]. Furthermore, the Lane-Emden equation $\Delta u = -u^p$, implying $G(z,u) = -u^p$, $p > 1$, plays a vital role in describing the structure of the polytropic stars, where p is called the polytropic index, [8]. Also, the reaction-diffusion equation $\Delta u = \Phi^2 u^p$, implying $G(z,u) = \Phi^2 u^p$, governs kinetic and diffusional phenomena in chemical reaction engineering. In this equation, p is the order of the reaction and Φ^2 is called the Thiele parameter representing the ratio of kinetic to transport resistances in the domain, see [3] where other physical models such as thermal explosion $G(z,u) = -\exp(u-1)$, and substrate inhibition $G(z,u) = \Phi^2 u/(1+\alpha u+\beta u^2)$, are also considered. Finally, for $G(z,u) = u - u^3$, we have the Allen-Cahn equation originally formulated in the description of bi-phase separation in fluids. From this wide range of physical examples one can observe that, except for the sine-Gordon equation in which the sine-nonlinearity is a global Lipscitz function, the other examples present a nonlinear function G which is only locally Lipschitz, i.e. for all $B > 0$, there exists $k(B) > 0$ such that

$$\|G(z,u) - G(z,v)\|_H \le k(B)\,\|u - v\|_H \quad \forall z \in [0,L], \quad \text{if } \max\{\|u\|_H, \|v\|_H\} \le B. \tag{1.4}$$

To the best of our knowledge, the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) for nonlinear elliptic equations with a locally Lipschitz source term is yet to be investigated. Therefore, in the present paper, we propose a new general filter function method to regularize the problem (1.1) and (1.2) in the case that G is locally Lipschitzian with respect to u. Remark that it is impossible to solve the problem only with the asumption (1.4) by applying directly the method of [37]. To overcome this technical difficulty, in this paper, we propose a new idea in which the locally Lipschitz source function G is approximated by a sequence G_δ of globally Lipschitzian functions. Furthermore, assuming that the function k given in (1.4) is increasing on $[0,+\infty)$, we then choose a positive sequence $\{B_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ satisfying $\lim\limits_{\delta\to 0^+} B_\delta = +\infty$ on which $k(B_\delta)$ satisfies certain constraints. We then define the function G_δ from G as

$$G_\delta(z,v) = G\left(z, \min\left\{\frac{B_\delta}{\|v\|_H}, 1\right\} v\right), \qquad \forall (z,v) \in [0,L]\times H. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, $G_\delta(z,0) = G(z,0)$. In fact, since $\lim_{\delta\to 0} B_\delta = +\infty$, for δ small enough we have that $\sup_{z\in[0,L]} \|u(z)\|_H \le B_\delta$. From (1.5) this implies that

$$G_\delta(z,u(z)) = G(z,u(z)), \quad \forall z \in [0,L], \quad \text{for } \delta \text{ small enough.} \tag{1.6}$$

We also have the following lemma giving the Lipschitz constant for the function G_δ.

Lemma 1.1. *For $\delta > 0$, $z \in [0,L]$ and $v_1, v_2 \in H$, we have*

$$\|G_\delta(z,v_1) - G_\delta(z,v_2)\|_H \le 2k(B_\delta)\,\|v_1 - v_2\|_H. \tag{1.7}$$

Proof. Due to the continuity, it is enough to prove the lemma for non-zero elements v_1 and v_2 in H. We can assume that $\|v_1\| \ge \|v_2\| > 0$. Using the local Lipschitz property (1.4) of G and the definition (1.5) of G_δ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_\delta(z,v_1) - G_\delta(z,v_2)\|_H &= \left\| G\left(z, \min\left\{\frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H}, 1\right\} v_1\right) - G\left(z, \min\left\{\frac{B_\delta}{\|v_2\|_H}, 1\right\} v_2\right)\right\|_H \\
&\le k(B_\delta)\left\| \min\left\{\frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H}, 1\right\} v_1 - \min\left\{\frac{B_\delta}{\|v_2\|_H}, 1\right\} v_2\right\|_H, \quad \forall z \in [0,L].
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\left\| \min\left\{ \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H}, 1 \right\} v_1 - \min\left\{ \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_2\|_H}, 1 \right\} v_2 \right\|_H \leq 2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_H .$$

This inequality is trivial if $B_\delta \geq \|v_1\|_H \geq \|v_2\|_H$. In the case $\|v_1\|_H \geq \|v_2\|_H \geq B_\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H} v_1 - \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_2\|_H} v_2 \right\|_H &= B_\delta \left\| \frac{v_1 - v_2}{\|v_1\|_H} + \frac{\|v_2\|_H - \|v_1\|_H}{\|v_1\|_H . \|v_2\|_H} v_2 \right\|_H \\ &\leq B_\delta \left(\left\| \frac{v_1 - v_2}{\|v_1\|_H} \right\|_H + \left\| \frac{\|v_2\|_H - \|v_1\|_H}{\|v_1\|_H . \|v_2\|_H} v_2 \right\|_H \right) \\ &= \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H} \left(\|v_1 - v_2\|_H + \left| \|v_2\|_H - \|v_1\|_H \right| \right) \leq 2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_H . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $\|v_1\|_H \geq B_\delta \geq \|v_2\|_H$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{B_\delta}{\|v_1\|_H} v_1 - v_2 \right\|_H &= \left\| \frac{B_\delta - \|v_1\|_H}{\|v_1\|_H} v_1 + v_1 - v_2 \right\|_H \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{B_\delta - \|v_1\|_H}{\|v_1\|_H} v_1 \right\|_H + \|v_1 - v_2\|_H \\ &= \left| B_\delta - \|v_1\|_H \right| + \|v_1 - v_2\|_H \leq 2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_H . \end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired result (1.7). □

2. Cauchy problem for elliptic equations

From now on, suppose that $A : D(A) \subset H \to H$ is a linear, positive-definite, self-adjoint operator with compact inverse on H. As a consequence, the operator A admits an orthonormal eigenbasis $\{\phi_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in H, associated with the eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \ldots \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \infty.$$

We can divide the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations into three cases: homogeneous linear problem, inhomogeneous linear problem and nonlinear problem.

2.1. Homogeneous linear problem

We first consider the homogeneous problem, i.e. $G = 0$, of finding a function $u : [0, L] \to H$ satisfying

$$\frac{d^2 u(z)}{dz^2} = Au, \quad z \in (0, L) \tag{2.8}$$

subject to the Cauchy conditions (1.2). Let

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u(z), \phi_n \rangle \phi_n \tag{2.9}$$

be the Fourier series of u in the Hilbert space H. From (2.8), we obtain the following homogeneous second-order differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\langle u(z),\phi_n\rangle - \lambda_n \langle u(z),\phi_n\rangle = 0.$$

Solving this equation, we obtain

$$\langle u(z),\phi_n\rangle = A_n e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} + B_n e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}.$$

It follows from (1.2) that $\langle u(0),\phi_n\rangle = \langle f,\phi_n\rangle$ and $\frac{d}{dz}\langle u(0),\phi_n\rangle = \langle h,\phi_n\rangle$. The obtained results for A_n and B_n imply that

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)\langle f,\phi_n\rangle + \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\langle h,\phi_n\rangle\right]\phi_n,$$

leading us to define the linear operators $P(z), S(z) : H \to H$,

$$P(z)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\cosh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)\left\langle f,\phi_n\right\rangle\phi_n, \tag{2.10}$$

$$S(z)f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\left\langle f,\phi_n\right\rangle\phi_n \tag{2.11}$$

for $z \in [0,L]$ and $f \in H$. The solution of the homogeneous problem (1.2) and (2.8) is then given by

$$u(z) = P(z)f + S(z)h, \quad z \in [0,L]. \tag{2.12}$$

2.2. Inhomogeneous linear problem and nonlinear problem

(i) We consider first the linear inhomogeneous problem of finding a function $u : [0,L] \to H$ satisfying

$$\frac{d^2u(z)}{dz^2} = Au + G(z), \quad 0 \le z \le L \tag{2.13}$$

subject to the Cauchy conditions (1.2). The solution u in this case has the Fourier series expansion (2.9), where $\left\langle u(z),\phi_n\right\rangle$ satisfies inhomogeneous second-order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\left\langle u(z),\phi_n\right\rangle - \lambda_n\left\langle u(z),\phi_n\right\rangle = \left\langle G(z),\phi_n\right\rangle.$$

Solving this equation and using (1.2), we obtain the exact solution u to problem (1.2) and (2.13) given by

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)\langle f,\phi_n\rangle + \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}z\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\langle h,\phi_n\rangle + \int_0^z \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-y)\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\left\langle G(y),\phi_n\right\rangle dy\right]\phi_n. \tag{2.14}$$

With the definitions (2.10) and (2.11), equation (2.14) can be rewritten as

$$u(z) = P(z)f + S(z)h + \int_0^z S(z-y)G(y)dy. \tag{2.15}$$

Recently, Tuan *et al.* [34] regularized a simpler version of the equation (2.14) by truncation and quasi-boundary value methods.

(ii) For the nonlinear problem (1.1) and (1.2), its solution u satisfies the following integral equation:

$$u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n} z\right) \left\langle f, \phi_n \right\rangle + \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n} z\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \left\langle h, \phi_n \right\rangle \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_0^z \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-y)\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \left\langle G(y, u(y)), \phi_n \right\rangle dy \right] \phi_n. \tag{2.16}$$

This integral equation can be rewritten as

$$u(z) = P(z)f + S(z)h + \int_0^z S(z-y)G(y, u(y))dy. \tag{2.17}$$

The transformation of (1.1) and (1.2) into (2.17) is easily proved by the separation of variables method, as above. Prior to this study, a filter regularization method was applied for solving a backward heat conduction problem [31] and for the Cauchy problem of the Helmholtz equation [36]. In the next section, we introduce a new general filter regularization method to stabilise the integral equation (2.17).

3. A general filter regularization method for the nonlinear problem

In this section, we present a new general filter regularization method and establish convergence rates and error estimates.

First, let us remark that $P(z)$ and $S(z)$ given by equations (2.10) and (2.11), respectively, are unbounded linear operators. This means that the solution u of (2.17) is not stable. To approximate u, we introduce a regularized solution u_α^δ obtained by replacing $P(z)$, $S(z)$ by bounded linear operators $P_\alpha^\delta(z)$, $S_\alpha^\delta(z)$, respectively, as follows:

$$u_\alpha^\delta(z) = P_\alpha^\delta(z) f^\delta + S_\alpha^\delta(z) h^\delta + \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y) G_\delta(y, u_\alpha^\delta(y)) dy, \tag{3.18}$$

where G_δ is defined in (1.5) and $0 < \alpha = \alpha(\delta)$ plays the role of the regularization parameter to be chosen depending on the amount of noise δ in (1.3). Here, $P_\alpha^\delta(z)$ and $S_\alpha^\delta(z)$ are defined by

$$P_\alpha^\delta(z) f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z} + R(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} z}}{2} \left\langle f, \phi_n \right\rangle \phi_n, \tag{3.19}$$

$$S_\alpha^\delta(z) f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z} - R(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} z}}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \left\langle f, \phi_n \right\rangle \phi_n \tag{3.20}$$

for $z \in [0, L]$ and $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle \phi_n$. In these expressions, $Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)$ and $R(\alpha, \lambda_n)$ are called *"regularizing filter functions"*. For more details on regularizing filter functions, we refer the reader to the book of Kirsch [25]. With a regularization strategy $\alpha = \alpha(\delta)$ for the regularization parameter satisfying

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \alpha(\delta) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H = 0, \quad \forall z \in [0, L],$$

then we obtain a so-called *"filter regularization method"*. Now consider the general regularizing filter Q satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z} \leq M(\alpha)^{-z/L}, \\ |Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) - 1| e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)} \leq M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, \end{cases} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \tag{3.21}$$

where $M(\alpha)$ is some positive function satisfying $\lim_{\delta \to 0} M(\alpha) = 0$. We also take the filter $R(\alpha, \lambda_n)$ to be the function

$$R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) \quad \text{or,} \quad R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = 1. \tag{3.22}$$

In this case, we only consider examples for Q, and from this, the filter R is directly defined by (3.22). For more illustration, we give a couple of examples for Q which satisfies (3.21).

Example 1: Let R_1, Q_1 be as

$$R_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) = Q_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) \;\; = \;\; \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}}{\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}}. \tag{3.23}$$

First, we can deduce the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq Q_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z} \;\; &= \;\; \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}}{\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}} = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}}{\left(\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}\right)^{1-z/L} \left(\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}\right)^{z/L}} \\ &\leq \;\; \left(\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}\right)^{-z/L} \leq \alpha^{-z/L}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By a similar technique, we get

$$|Q_1(\alpha, \lambda_n) - 1| e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)} = \frac{\alpha e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}}{\alpha + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n} L}} \leq \alpha^{1-z/L}. \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, Q_1 given in (3.23) satisfies (3.21) with $M(\alpha) = \alpha = \delta$.

Example 2: Let us choose R_2 and Q_2 as follows:

$$R_2(\alpha, \lambda_n) = Q_2(\alpha, \lambda_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda_n \leq N_\alpha, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda_n > N_\alpha, \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

where N_α is some positive number satisfying $\lim_{\delta \to 0} N_{\alpha(\delta)} = +\infty$. It follows from

$$Q_2(\alpha, \lambda_n) e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z} = \begin{cases} e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n} z}, & \text{if } \lambda_n \leq N_\alpha, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda_n > N_\alpha, \end{cases}$$

that

$$Q_2(\alpha,\lambda_n)e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} \le e^{\sqrt{N_\alpha}z}$$

and

$$|Q_2(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1|e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)} \le e^{\sqrt{N_\alpha}(z-L)}.$$

Therefore, Q_2 given in (3.26) satisfies (3.21) with $M(\alpha)=e^{-L\sqrt{N_\alpha}}=\delta$. The solution of (3.18) with filters (3.26) is called a *"truncation solution"*, and it has recently been studied in [37].

At this stage, let us introduce the Geyrey-type space, [6, 27],

$$\mathrm{Ge}_\beta = D\left(e^{\beta\sqrt{-A}}\right) = \left\{\zeta\in H;\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\beta\sqrt{\lambda_n}}|<\zeta,\phi_n>|^2<\infty\right\}, \tag{3.27}$$

for some $\beta>0$. This is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$\|\zeta\|_{\mathrm{Ge}_\beta} = \|\zeta\|_{D\left(e^{\beta\sqrt{-A}}\right)} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\beta\sqrt{\lambda_n}}|<\zeta,\phi_n>|^2}.$$

Clearly, if $\zeta\in D\left(e^{\beta\sqrt{-A}}\right)$, then the Fourier coefficients of ζ must decay exponentially, as $n\to\infty$.

The next theorem states the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.1. *(General regularization filters)*
Assume that the problem (1.1) *and* (1.2) *has a solution* $u\in C([0,L];H)$. *Choose* $M(\alpha)$ *such that* $\delta/M(\alpha)$ *is bounded and choose* B_δ *such that*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}k(B_\delta)z}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right)=0, \quad z\in[0,L). \tag{3.28}$$

Suppose that there exist positive constants I_1 *or* I_2 *such that*

$$\|f\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|h\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\int_0^L \left\|G(z,u(z))\right\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}dz \le I_1, \tag{3.29}$$

or,

$$\sup_{0\le z\le L}\left\{\left\|u(z)\right\|_{D\left(e^{(L-z)\sqrt{-A}}\right)}, \left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|_{D\left(e^{(L-z)\sqrt{-A}}\right)}\right\} \le I_2. \tag{3.30}$$

Then, for δ *small enough, the solution* u_α^δ *of* (3.18), *satisfies the following estimates:*

$$\left\|u_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\right\|_H \le \begin{cases} P_1\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}k(B_\delta)z}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right)M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, & \text{if (3.29) holds}\\ P_2\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}k(B_\delta)z}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right)M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, & \text{if (3.30) holds}\end{cases} =: \Theta, \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$P_1 = I_1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\frac{\delta}{M(\alpha)}, \quad P_2 = 2I_2 \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\frac{\delta}{M(\alpha)}. \tag{3.32}$$

Moreover, there exists $z_\delta \in [0, L]$ *satisfying* $\lim_{\delta\to 0} z_\delta = 0$ *such that*

$$\|u_\alpha^\delta(z_\delta) - u(L)\|_H \le \sup_{0\le z\le L}\left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|_H \sqrt{\frac{L}{\ln(\frac{1}{M(\alpha)})}} + \Theta. \tag{3.33}$$

Remark 3.1. *If in Theorem 3.1 we choose the regularization parameter* α *from* $M(\alpha) = \delta$ *then, by taking* B_δ *such that*

$$k(B_\delta) \le \frac{\gamma\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{2}L}\ln\left(\ln(\delta^{-1})\right)$$

for some $\gamma > 0$*, we can conclude that* (3.28) *holds. Indeed, it is easy to see that*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}k(B_\delta)z}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right) \le \lim_{\delta\to 0}\delta^{1-z/L}\ln^\gamma(\delta^{-1}) = 0, \quad \forall z \in [0, L).$$

For proving Theorem 3.1 the following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.1. *The operators* $P_\alpha^\delta(z)$ *and* $S_\alpha^\delta(z)$ *defined by* (3.19) *and* (3.20)*, respectively, are bounded and linear, and their norms satisfy*

$$\left\|P_\alpha^\delta(z)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)} \le M(\alpha)^{-z/L}, \quad \left\|S_\alpha^\delta(z)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)} \le \frac{M(\alpha)^{-z/L}}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}, \quad z \in [0, L], \tag{3.34}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}$ *stands for the operator norm on the space of bounded linear operators from* H *onto itself.*

Proof. Let $f \in H$ be arbitrary and represented as $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\langle f, \phi_n\right\rangle\phi_n$. Then, from (3.19), (3.21) and noting that $R(\alpha, \lambda_n)e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} \le \max\{Q(\alpha, \lambda_n), 1\}e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} \le \max\{M(\alpha)^{-z/L}, 1\} = M(\alpha)^{-z/L}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_\alpha^\delta(z)f\|_H^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big[\frac{Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} + R(\alpha,\lambda_n)e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}}{2}\Big]^2\left|\left\langle f, \phi_n\right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &\le M(\alpha)^{-2z/L}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle f, \phi_n\right\rangle\right|^2 = M(\alpha)^{-2z/L}\|f\|_H^2.\end{aligned}$$

This latter estimate implies that

$$\left\|P_\alpha^\delta(z)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)} \le M(\alpha)^{-z/L}, \quad z \in [0, L].$$

Similarly, we can easily show the second estimate of the lemma. Indeed, since $\lambda_n \ge \lambda_1$ for $n \ge 1$, and using (3.20), (3.21) and that $\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right)^2 \le \frac{a^2+b^2}{4}$ for $a, b \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_\alpha^\delta(z)f\|_H^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big[\frac{Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} - R(\alpha,\lambda_n)e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}}{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\Big]^2\left|\left\langle f, \phi_n\right\rangle\right|^2 \\ &\le \frac{M(\alpha)^{-2z/L}}{2\lambda_1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle f, \phi_n\right\rangle\right|^2 = \frac{M(\alpha)^{-2z/L}}{2\lambda_1}\|f\|_H^2.\end{aligned}$$

This latter inequality implies the second estimate of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.2. *For each* $(f^\delta, h^\delta) \in H \times H$*, the integral equation* (3.18) *has a unique solution* $u_\alpha^\delta \in C([0, L]; H)$.

Proof. For each $w \in C([0, L]; H)$, we define

$$F(w)(z) = P_\alpha^\delta(z) f^\delta + S_\alpha^\delta(z) h^\delta + \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z - y) G_\delta(y, w(y)) dy.$$

It is sufficient to show that F has a unique fixed point in $C([0, L]; H)$. This fact will be proved by the contraction principle.

We claim by mathematical induction with respect to $m = 1, 2, ...$ that, for all $w, v \in C([0, L]; H)$,

$$\|F^m(w)(z) - F^m(v)(z)\|_H \le \frac{(\mathrm{C}z/M(\alpha))^m}{m!} |||w - v|||, \quad \forall z \in [0, L], \tag{3.35}$$

where $|||.|||$ is the sup norm in $C([0, L]; H)$ and $\mathrm{C} = \mathrm{C}(\lambda_1, k(B_\delta))$ is given by

$$\mathrm{C} = \frac{\sqrt{2} k(B_\delta)}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}. \tag{3.36}$$

For $m = 1$, using (1.7), (3.34) and (3.36) we have

$$\|F(w)(z) - F(v)(z)\|_H = \left\| \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z - y) \Big[G_\delta(y, w(y)) - G_\delta(y, v(y)) \Big] dy \right\|_H$$

$$\le \int_0^z \left\| S_\alpha^\delta(z - y) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)} \left\| G_\delta(y, w(y)) - G_\delta(y, v(y)) \right\|_H dy \le 2k(B_\delta) \int_0^z \frac{M(\alpha)^{-(z-y)/L}}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}} \left\| w(y) - v(y) \right\|_H dy$$

$$\le \frac{\mathrm{C}}{M(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left\| w(y) - v(y) \right\|_H dy \le \frac{\mathrm{C}z}{M(\alpha)} |||w - v|||.$$

Suppose that (3.35) holds for $m = j$. We prove that (3.35) holds for $m = j + 1$. Indeed, we have

$$\left\| F^{j+1}(w)(z) - F^{j+1}(v)(z) \right\|_H = \left\| F(F^j(w))(z) - F(F^j(v))(z) \right\|_H$$

$$\le \frac{\mathrm{C}}{M(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left\| F^j(w)(y) - F^j(v)(y) \right\|_H dy \le \frac{\mathrm{C}}{M(\alpha)} |||w - v||| \int_0^z \left(\frac{\mathrm{C}}{M(\alpha)} \right)^j \frac{y^j}{j!} dy$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mathrm{C}}{M(\alpha)} \right)^{j+1} \frac{z^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} |||w - v|||.$$

Therefore, the inequality (3.35) holds for all $m = 1, 2, ...$ by the induction principle. In particular, one has

$$|||F^m(w)(z) - F^m(v)(z)||| \le \frac{(\mathrm{C}L/M(\alpha))^m}{m!} |||w - v|||.$$

Since

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{C}L/M(\alpha))^m}{m!} = 0,$$

there exists a positive integer number m_0 such that F^{m_0} is a contraction mapping. It follows that F^{m_0} has a unique fixed point u_α^δ in $C([0,L];H)$. Since $F^{m_0}(F(u_\alpha^\delta)) = F(F^{m_0}(u_\alpha^\delta)) = F(u_\alpha^\delta)$, we obtain $F(u_\alpha^\delta) = u_\alpha^\delta$ due to the uniqueness of the fixed point of F^{m_0}. The uniqueness of the fixed point of F also follows from the uniqueness of the fixed point of F^{m_0}. The unique fixed point u_α^δ of F is the solution of (3.18). □

Lemma 3.3. *The integral equation*

$$w(z) = P_\alpha^\delta(z)f + S_\alpha^\delta(z)h + \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)G_\delta(y,w(y))dy \tag{3.37}$$

has a unique solution $v_\alpha^\delta \in C([0,L];H)$. Furthermore, we have the following estimate:

$$\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - v_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right) M(\alpha)^{-z/L} \exp(Cz)\delta, \quad z \in [0,L]. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.2, we conclude that the integral equation (3.37) has a unique solution $v_\alpha^\delta \in C([0,L];H)$. Using (1.7), (3.18) and (3.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - v_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H &\le \left\|P_\alpha^\delta(z)(f^\delta - f)\right\|_H + \left\|S_\alpha^\delta(z)(h^\delta - h)\right\|_H \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\Big[G_\delta(y,u_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G_\delta(y,v_\alpha^\delta(y))\Big]dy\right\|_H \\
&\le \|P_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}\|f^\delta - f\|_H + \|S_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}\|h^\delta - h\|_H \\
&\quad + \int_0^z \|S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}\|G_\delta(y,u_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G_\delta(y,v_\alpha^\delta(y))\|_H dy \\
&\le M(\alpha)^{-z/L}\delta + \frac{M(\alpha)^{-z/L}}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\delta + k(B_\delta)\int_0^z \frac{\sqrt{2}M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|u_\alpha^\delta(y) - v_\alpha^\delta(y))\|_H dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.39) by $M(\alpha)^{z/L}$, it yields

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - v_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\delta + \mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L}\|u_\alpha^\delta(y) - v_\alpha^\delta(y))\|_H dy.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - v_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\exp(\mathrm{C}z)\delta.$$

Dividing both sides the latter estimate by $M(\alpha)^{z/L}$, we conclude that (3.38) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Now, we present some estimates in the Gevrey space (3.27).

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $f \in D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)$. Then, we have the following estimates:*

$$\|P_\alpha^\delta(z)f - P(z)f\|_H \le M(\alpha)^{1-\frac{z}{L}}\|f\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}, \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$\|S_\alpha^\delta(z)f - S(z)f\|_H \le \frac{M(\alpha)^{1-\frac{z}{L}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|f\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}. \tag{3.41}$$

Proof. Since $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle \phi_n$, noting that $[R(\alpha, \lambda_n) - 1]^2 \leq [Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) - 1]^2$ and using (2.10), (2.11), (3.19)-(3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\alpha^\delta(z)f - P(z)f\|_H^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Big[Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big]^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}z} + \Big[R(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big]^2 e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}}{2} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big[Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big]^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}L} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 \\ &\leq M(\alpha)^{2-\frac{2z}{L}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}L} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 = M(\alpha)^{2-\frac{2z}{L}} \|f\|^2_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_\alpha^\delta(z)f - S(z)f\|_H^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Big[Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big]^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}}{\lambda_n} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Big[Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big]^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)}}{\lambda_1} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}L} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{M(\alpha)^{2-\frac{2z}{L}}}{\lambda_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}L} \Big|\Big\langle f, \phi_n \Big\rangle\Big|^2 = \frac{M(\alpha)^{2-\frac{2z}{L}}}{\lambda_1} \|f\|^2_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the square roots in these expressions we obtain the estimates (3.40) and (3.41). □

Lemma 3.5. *For δ small enough, we have:*
(i) If the assumption (3.29) *holds, then*

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H \leq I_1 \exp(Cz) M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, \quad z \in [0, L]. \tag{3.42}$$

(ii) If the assumption (3.30) *holds and $R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = 1$, then*

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H \leq 2I_2 \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\} \exp(Cz) M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, \quad z \in [0, L]. \tag{3.43}$$

(iii) If the assumption (3.30) *holds and $R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)$, then*

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H \leq I_2 \exp(Cz) M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}, \quad z \in [0, L]. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof. We assume that δ is small enough such that (1.6) holds. We divide the proof into two parts corresponding to $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

Part A. Assume that (3.29) holds. From (2.17) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z) = \Big[P_\alpha^\delta(z)f - P(z)f\Big] + \Big[S_\alpha^\delta(z)h - S(z)h\Big] + \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\Big[G_\delta(y, v_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G_\delta(y, u(y))\Big]dy \\ + \int_0^z \Big[S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)G(y,u(y)) - S(z-y)G(y,u(y))\Big]dy. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the norms in H on both sides and using (1.6), (3.34), (3.40) and (3.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H &\le \|P_\alpha^\delta(z)f-P(z)f\|_H+\|S_\alpha^\delta(z)h-S(z)h\|_H\\ &+\int_0^z \left\|S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}\left\|G_\delta(y,v_\alpha^\delta(y))-G_\delta(y,u(y))\right\|_H dy\\ &+\int_0^z \left\|S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)G(y,u(y))-S(z-y)G(y,u(y))\right\|_H dy\\ &\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\|f\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}+\frac{M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|h\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}\\ +\mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy &+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{1-\frac{z-y}{L}}\left\|G(y,u(y))\right\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}dy.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $M(\alpha)^{z/L}$ both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H\\ &\le M(\alpha)\left[\|f\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|h\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L}\left\|G(y,u(y))\right\|_{D\left(e^{L\sqrt{-A}}\right)}dy\right]\\ &+\mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.29) implies that

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le M(\alpha)I_1+\mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy.$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le M(\alpha)I_1\exp(\mathrm{C}z).$$

From this we obtain

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le I_1 M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\exp(\mathrm{C}z),\quad z\in[0,L],$$

which is the desired estimate (3.42).

Part B. Assume that (3.30) holds.
For the proof of this part, we consider two cases, as follows.

Case 1. The filter $R(\alpha,\lambda_n)=1$.
Taking the inner product of $u(z)$ and its derivative from (2.16), and adding the results give

$$\left\langle u(z),\phi_n\right\rangle+\frac{\left\langle\frac{du(z)}{dz},\phi_n\right\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}=e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}\left\langle f,\phi_n\right\rangle+\frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}z}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\left\langle h,\phi_n\right\rangle+\int_0^z\frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-y)}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\left\langle G(y,u(y)),\phi_n\right\rangle dy.$$

From (2.16), Lemma 3.3, and (3.19), (3.20) with $R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = 1$, we obtain

$$v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1)}{2}\left[\left\langle u(z), \phi_n\right\rangle + \frac{\left\langle \frac{du(z)}{dz}, \phi_n\right\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\right]\phi_n$$
$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\Big[G_\delta(y, v_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G(y,u(y))\Big]dy\right]\phi_n.$$

Then the triangle inequality and equations (1.6), (1.7), (3.21) and (3.34) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H &\le \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big(Q(\alpha,\lambda_n)-1\Big)^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)}e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}\left[\left\langle u(z),\phi_n\right\rangle + \frac{\left\langle \frac{du(z)}{dz},\phi_n\right\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\right]^2} + \\
&\quad + \int_0^z \left\|S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)}\left\|G_\delta(y,v_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G_\delta(y,u(y))\right\|_H dy \\
&\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}\left(\langle u(z),\phi_n\rangle + \frac{\left\langle \frac{du(z)}{dz},\phi_n\right\rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\right)^2} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy \\
&\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\sqrt{2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}\left| < u(z),\phi_n > \right|^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)}\left| < \frac{du(z)}{dz},\phi_n > \right|^2} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy \\
&\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\sqrt{2\left\|u(z)\right\|^2_{D\left(e^{(L-z)\sqrt{-A}}\right)} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|^2_{D\left(e^{(L-z)\sqrt{-A}}\right)}} \\
&+ \mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $M(\alpha)^{z/L}$ both sides, we have

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le 2\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\}M(\alpha)I_2 + \mathrm{C}\int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L}\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(y)-u(y)\right\|_H dy.$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L}\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le 2\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\}M(\alpha)I_2\exp(\mathrm{C}z).$$

This implies that

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z)-u(z)\|_H \le 2I_2\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\}M(\alpha)^{1-z/L}\exp(\mathrm{C}z), \quad z\in[0,L], \tag{3.45}$$

which is the desired estimate (3.43).

Case 2. The filter $R(\delta, \lambda_n) = Q(\delta, \lambda_n)$.
Let the function w_α^δ be defined by

$$w_\alpha^\delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)\Big\langle u(z), \phi_n\Big\rangle \phi_n. \tag{3.46}$$

From (3.19), (3.20) with $R(\alpha, \lambda_n) = Q(\delta, \lambda_n)$ we have

$$P_\alpha^\delta(z) f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)\cosh(\sqrt{\lambda_n} z)\Big\langle f, \phi_n\Big\rangle \phi_n, \quad S_\alpha^\delta(z) h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)\frac{\sinh(\sqrt{\lambda_n} z)}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}\Big\langle h, \phi_n\Big\rangle \phi_n,$$

and it is easy to see that w_α^δ satisfies the following identity:

$$w_\alpha^\delta(z) = P_\alpha^\delta(z) f + S_\alpha^\delta(z) h + \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y) G(y, u(y)) dy. \tag{3.47}$$

This is equivalent to replacing in (2.16) the eigenfunctions ϕ_n by the filtered ones $\phi_n \sqrt{Q(\alpha, \lambda_n)}$. Combining (3.37) and (3.47), we get

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - w_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H = \Big\| \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y) G_\delta(y, v_\alpha^\delta(y)) dy - \int_0^z S_\alpha^\delta(z-y) G(y, u(y)) dy \Big\|_H.$$

Then (1.6), (1.7) and (3.34) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - w_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H &\le \int_0^z \big\|S_\alpha^\delta(z-y)\big\|_{\mathbb{L}(H)} \Big\|G_\delta(y, v_\alpha^\delta(y)) - G_\delta(y, u(y))\Big\|_H dy \\ &\le \mathrm{C} \int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}} \Big\|v_\alpha^\delta(y) - u(y)\Big\|_H dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

where we note again equation (1.6) holds for δ small enough. Moreover, from (2.9), (3.21) and (3.46), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H &= \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big|Q(\alpha, \lambda_n) - 1\Big|^2 e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(z-L)} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)} \Big|\Big\langle u(z), \phi_n\Big\rangle\Big|^2} \\ &\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_n}(L-z)} \Big|\Big\langle u(z), \phi_n\Big\rangle\Big|^2} \\ &\le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} \|u(z)\|_{D\left(e^{(L-z)\sqrt{-A}}\right)} \le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Summing up (3.48) and (3.49), and using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\|_H \le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} I_2 + \mathrm{C} \int_0^z M(\alpha)^{\frac{y-z}{L}} \Big\|v_\alpha^\delta(y) - u(y)\Big\|_H dy. \tag{3.50}$$

Multiplying by $M(\alpha)^{z/L}$ both sides of (3.50) we obtain

$$M(\alpha)^{z/L} \Big\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\Big\|_H \le M(\alpha) I_2 + \mathrm{C} \int_0^z M(\alpha)^{y/L} \Big\|v_\alpha^\delta(y) - u(y)\Big\|_H dy. \tag{3.51}$$

Finally, Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\left\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H \le I_2 M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} \exp(Cz), \quad z \in [0, L],$$

which is the desired estimate (3.44).

□

Now, we shall finish the proof of Theorem 3.1. Applying triangle inequality together with (3.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H &\le \left\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - v_\alpha^\delta(z)\right\|_H + \left\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H \\ &\le \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right) M(\alpha)^{-z/L} \exp(Cz)\delta + \left\|v_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H.\end{aligned}$$

If assumption (3.29) holds then

$$\left\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H \le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} \exp(Cz)\left[I_1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\frac{\delta}{M(\alpha)}\right]. \tag{3.52}$$

If assumption (3.30) holds then

$$\left\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(z)\right\|_H \le M(\alpha)^{1-z/L} \exp(Cz)\left[2\max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right\} I_2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda_1}}\right)\frac{\delta}{M(\alpha)}\right]. \tag{3.53}$$

Hence, the estimates (3.31) hold.

We shall show that for each fixed $0 \le z < L$, the function $u_\alpha^\delta(z)$ gives a good approximation to $u(z)$. However, it is difficult to derive its approximation at $z = L$. We therefore need an adjustment in choosing the regularized solution. The main idea is that we first use the continuity of u to approximate the initial value $u(L)$ by $u(z_\delta)$ for some suitable small $z_\delta < L$, and then approximate $u(z_\delta)$ by $u_\alpha^\delta(z_\delta)$. The parameter z_δ will be choosen as follows. For every $\delta > 0$, there exists a unique $z_\delta \in (0, L)$ such that

$$(L - z_\delta) = M(\alpha)^{1-\frac{z_\delta}{L}}. \tag{3.54}$$

It implies that $\frac{\ln(L-z_\delta)}{L-z_\delta} = \frac{\ln(M(\alpha))}{L}$. Using the inequality $\ln z > -\frac{1}{z}$ for every $z > 0$, we obtain $L - z_\delta < \sqrt{\frac{L}{\ln(\frac{1}{M(\alpha)})}}$. To estimate the error we use the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\alpha^\delta(z) - u(L)\|_H &\le \|u(L) - u(z)\|_H + \|u(z) - u_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H \\ &\le \sup_{0\le z\le L}\left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|_H (L - z) + \|u(z) - u_\alpha^\delta(z)\|_H.\end{aligned}$$

The estimate above applied for $z = z_\delta$ together with the estimates (3.31) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\alpha^\delta(z_\delta) - u(L)\|_H &\le \sup_{0\le z\le L}\left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|_H (L - z_\delta) + \|u(z_\delta) - u_\alpha^\delta(z_\delta)\|_H \\ &\le \sup_{0\le z\le L}\left\|\frac{du(z)}{dz}\right\|_H \sqrt{\frac{L}{\ln(\frac{1}{M(\alpha)})}} + \Theta,\end{aligned}$$

hence (3.33) holds. This ends the proof of the main Theorem 3.1 about general regularization filters for quasilinear Cauchy problems with locally Lipschitz nonlinear source.

References

[1] G. Alessandrini, L. Rondi, E. Rosset and S. Vessella, *The stability for the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations*, Inverse Problems, 25 (2009), 123004 (47pp).

[2] K. Balakrishan and P.A. Ramachandran, *A particular solution Trefftz method for non-linear Poisson problems in heat and mass transfer*, Journal of Computational Physics, 150 (1999), 239-267.

[3] K. Balakrishan and P.A. Ramachandran, *Osculatory interpolation in the method of fundamental solutions for nonlinear Poisson problems*, Journal of Computational Physics, 172 (2001), 1-18.

[4] F. Berntsson and L. Elden, *Numerical solution of a Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation*, Inverse Problems, 17 (2001), 839-853.

[5] L. Bourgeois and J. Dard, *About stability and regularization of ill-posed elliptic Cauchy problems: the case of Lipschitz domains*, Applicable Analysis, 89 (2010), 1745-1768.

[6] C. Cao, M.A. Rammaha and E.S. Titi, *The Navier-Stokes equations on the rotating 2-D sphere: Gevrey regularity and asymptotic degrees of freedom*, ZAMP, 50 (1999), 341-360.

[7] A. Chakib and A. Nachaoui, *Convergence analysis for finite-element approximation to an inverse Cauchy problem*, Inverse Problems, 22 (2006), 191-206.

[8] S. Chandrasekhar, *An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure*, Dover Publications, New York, 1967.

[9] J. Cheng, Y.C. Hon, T. Wei and M. Yamamoto, *Numerical computation of a Cauchy problem for Laplace equation*, ZAMM, 81 (2001), 665-674.

[10] A.M. Denisov, E.V. Zakharov, A.V. Kalinin and V.V. Kalinin, *Numerical methods for some inverse problems of heart electrophysiology*, Differential Equations, 45 (2009), 1034-1043.

[11] Dinh Nho Hao and D. Lesnic, *The Cauchy problem for Laplace's equation via the conjugate gradient method*, IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics, 65 (2000), 199-217.

[12] Dinh Nho Hao, Nguyen Van Duc and D. Lesnic, *A non-local method for the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations*, Inverse Problems, 25 (2009), 055002 (27 pages).

[13] Dinh Nho Hao, T. Johansson, D. Lesnic and Pham Minh Hien (2010) *A variational method and approximations of a Cauchy problem for elliptic equations*, Journal of Algorithms and Computational Technology, 4 (2010), 89-119.

[14] L. Elden and F. Berntsson, *A stability estimate for a Cauchy problem for an elliptic partial differential equation*, Inverse Problems, 21 (2005), 1643-1653.

[15] H.W. Engl and A. Leitao, *A Mann iterative regularization method for elliptic Cauchy problems*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 22 (2001), 861-884.

[16] X.L. Feng, L. Elden and C.-L. Fu, *A quasi-boundary-value method for the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations with nonhomogeneous Neumann data*, Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, 18 (2010), 617-645.

[17] C.L. Fu, X.L. Feng and Z. Qian, *The Fourier regularization for solving the Cauchy problem for the Helmholtz equation*, Applied Numerical Mathematics, 59 (2009), 2625-2640.

[18] A.S. Fokas and B. Pelloni, *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the elliptic sine-Gordon equation*, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), 1011-1031.

[19] G. Gutierrez, O.R. Baquero, J.M. Valencia and W.F. Florez, *Extending the local radial basis function collocating methods for solving semi-linear partial differential equations*, WIT Transactions on Modelling and Simulation, 49 (1999), 117-128.

[20] E.S. Gutshabash and V.D. Lipovskii, *Boundary value problem for the two-dimensional elliptic sine-Gordon equation and its applications to the theory of the stationary Josephson effect*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 68 (1994), 197-201.

[21] J. Helsing and B.T. Johansson, *Fast reconstruction of harmonic functions from Cauchy data using integral equation techniques*, Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering, 18 (2010), 381-399.

[22] D.B. Ingham and Y. Yuan, *The Boundary Element Method for Solving Improperly Posed Problems*, Comput. Mech. Publ., Southampton, 1994.

[23] M.V. Klibanov and F. Santosa, *A computational quasi-reversibility method for Cauchy problems for Laplace's equation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 51 (1991), 1653-1675.

[24] S.I. Kabanikhin and A.L. Karchevsky. *Optimizational method for solving the Cauchy problem for an elliptic equation*, Journal of Inverse and Ill-posed Problems, 3 (1995), 21-46.

[25] A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 120, Springer, New York, 2011.

[26] V.A. Kozlov, V.G. Mazya and A.V. Fomin, *An iterative method for solving the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations*, USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 31 (1991), 45-52.
[27] Y. Morimoto and J.C. Xu *Ultra-analytic effect of Cauchy problem for a class of kinetic equations*, Journal of Differential Equations, 247 (2009), 596-617.
[28] A. Qian, X-T. Xiong and Y.J. Wu, *On a quasi-reversibility regularization method for a Cauchy problem of the Helmholtz equation*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 233 (2010), 1969-1979.
[29] Z. Qian, C.L. Fu and Z.P. Li, *Two regularization methods for a Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 338 (2008), 479-489.
[30] Z. Qian, C.L. Fu and X.T. Xiong, *Fourth-order modified method for the Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 192 (2006), 205-218.
[31] H.H. Qin and T. Wei, *Some filter regularization methods for a backward heat conduction problem*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 217 (2011), 10317-10327.
[32] T. Reginska and U. Tautenhahn, *Conditional stability estimates and regularization with applications to Cauchy problems for the Helmholtz equation*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 30 (2009), 1065-1097.
[33] T. Reginska and R. Kazimierz, *Approximate solution of a Cauchy problem for the Helmholtz equation*, Inverse Problems, 22 (2006), 975-989.
[34] N.H. Tuan, T.Q. Viet and N. V. Thinh, *Some remarks on a modified Helmholtz equation with inhomogeneous source*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 37 (2013), 793-814.
[35] N.H. Tuan, D.D. Trong and P.H. Quan, *A note on a Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation: regularization and error estimates*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 217 (2010), 2913-2922.
[36] T.Q. Viet, N.H. Tuan, N. V. Thinh and D.D. Trong, *A general filter regularization method to solve the three dimensional Cauchy problem for inhomogeneous Helmholtz-type equations: Theory and numerical simulation*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, (2015), to appear.
[37] H. Zhang and T. Wei, *A Fourier truncated regularization method for a Cauchy problem of a semi-linear elliptic equation*, Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, Ahead of Print, DOI 10.1515 /jip-2011-0035.