Ann Ig 2019; 31: 3-12 doi:10.7416/ai.2019.2253

## Strategies to control antibiotic resistance: results from a survey in Italian children's hospitals

M.L. Ciofi degli Atti<sup>1</sup>, C. D'Amore<sup>1</sup>, C. Gagliotti<sup>2</sup>, C. Zotti<sup>3</sup>, E. Ricchizzi<sup>2</sup>, M.L. Moro<sup>2</sup>, M. Raponi<sup>4</sup>, and the Pediatric antibiotic resistance study group\*

Key words: Antimicrobial stewardship, hospital-acquired-infections, children, survey, antibiotic resistance

Parole chiave: Antimicrobial stewardship, infezioni correlate all'assistenza, bambini, indagine, antibiotico-resistenza

### Abstract

**Background.** Antimicrobial stewardship programs and comprehensive infection control programs represent the main strategies to limit the emergence and transmission of multi-drug resistant bacteria in hospital settings. The purpose of this study was to describe strategies implemented in Italian children's hospitals for controlling antibiotic resistance.

Study design. Cross sectional multicenter study.

**Methods.** Four tertiary care Italian children's hospitals were invited to participate in a survey aimed at collecting information on activities implemented as of December 2015 using a self-administered online questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided in three sections focalizing on: i) policies for prevention and control of hospital-acquired infection, ii) prevention and control of multi-drug resistant bacteria, and iii) antibiotic prescribing policies and Antimicrobial stewardship programs. Questionnaires were compiled between May and July 2016.

**Results.** All hospitals had multidisciplinary infection control committee, procedures on hand hygiene, isolation measures, disinfection/sterilization, waste disposal and prevention on infections associated to invasive procedures. All sites screened patients for multi-drug resistant bacteria colonization in selected units, and adopted contact precautions for colonized patients. Screening during hospitalization, or in case of infections in the same ward were not universally implemented. All hospitals had policies on surgical prophylaxis, while policies on medical prophylaxis and treatment of bacterial infections varied among sites. Two sites recommended to review the appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing after 48-72 hours and one recommended de-escalation therapy.

\*Pediatric antibiotic resistance study group:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unit of Clinical Epidemiology, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Regional Health and Social Agency, Emilia-Romagna Region, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Medical Direction, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù, Rome: Massimiliano Raponi, Marta Ciofi degli Atti, Laura Serino, Carmen D'Amore, Livia Gargiullo, Maia De Luca

Ospedale Infantile Regina Margherita - AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin: Giuliano Guareschi, Elena Migliore, Paola Dal Maso

Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Meyer, Florence: Angela Savelli, Paola Barbacci, Klaus Peter Biermann

Ospedale dei Bambini - ASST degli Spedali Civili di Brescia: Raffaele Spiazzi, Diego Amoruso, Maria Gabriela Festa, Daniela Strabla, Patrizia Bevilacqua, Liana Signorini

**Conclusions**. This study highlighted several areas of improvement, such as actions for screening patients in case of occurrence of multi-drug resistant bacteria, antimicrobial stewardship programs and implementation of policies targeting antibiotic prescriptions for therapeutic purposes and medical prophylaxis.

## Introduction

Antibiotic-resistant pathogens constitute an important and growing threat to public health (1); in fact, more than half a million deaths per year are estimated as attributable to antibiotic resistance (2).

Inappropriate use of antibiotics is the main risk factor for the emergence and spread of multidrug resistant (MDR) microorganisms, particularly in the hospital setting, where antibiotic pressure is found at its highest levels (3). Antimicrobial stewardship and comprehensive infection control programs have contributed to limit the emergence and transmission of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria (4).

Antibiotics are among the drugs most commonly prescribed to children, and are often used to treat common conditions generally caused by viral agents, against which antibiotics are mostly ineffective (5). Several studies have reported overuse of broad spectrum antibiotics and excessively prolonged surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in hospitalized neonates and children (6, 7). Recommendations for antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) specific to pediatrics have been authored (8, 9) and several studies have shown that ASP programs in pediatric institutions had favorably affected antibiotic use (10-13).

Italy is a country with high antibiotic consumption and high prevalence of antimicrobial resistant bacteria, including carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) and Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (14, 15).

Studies investigating infection control programs and ASPs in hospitals highlighted

wide variations by geographical area and type of hospital (16-19). Few data on actions implemented in children's hospitals have been published up to now (20, 21). In 2014, the Center for Diseases Control of the Italian Ministry of Health funded the Project "Good Practices for surveillance and control of antibiotic-resistance" which was aimed to: a) identify the "best practices" for surveillance and control of antibiotic-resistance, and b) harmonize and share the collected practices with other contexts (22). As part of this national project, we conducted a survey to describe strategies implemented in Italian children's hospital to control antibiotic resistance.

### Methods

### Study design and setting

This survey was conducted between May and July 2016 and involved four Italian children's hospitals, i.e. Ospedale Infantile Regina Margherita (Turin, Piedmont), Ospedale dei Bambini di Brescia (Brescia, Lombardy), Meyer Children's Hospital (Florence, Tuscany) and Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital (Rome, Latium). These hospitals were selected to participate in the study because they are stand-alone tertiary care children's hospitals located in Regions participating in the above reported national Project.

### Survey instrument

We developed a web-based multiplechoice questionnaire, which explored actions recommended to control MDR bacteria in hospital settings (4, 23-27).

The questionnaire included information on hospital characteristics and three further sections. The first one investigated policies for prevention and control of healthcare associated infections (HAI), including infection control committee, hand hygiene, isolation measures, disinfection and sterilization, waste disposal, prevention of infections associated with invasive procedures [i.e. central line-associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CUTI), surgical site infections (SSI)], and surveillance of HAI. The second section concerned policies for prevention and control of MDR bacteria, including screening of CRE or MRSA carriers, adoption of contact precautions, and MRSA decolonization. The third section collected information on antibiotic prescribing policies and implementation of ASPs (e.g. multidisciplinary team, antibiotic prescription guidelines, appropriateness review of antibiotic prescriptions after 48-72 hours, de-escalation therapy, preauthorization requirements and audit of antibiotic use, antibiotic consumption indicators).

All the collected information referred to the practices implemented as of December 2015. Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2013.

## Results

### Characteristics of respondents

Characteristics of participating hospitals are shown in Table 1. All hospitals had pediatric and neonatal intensive care, oncohematology and pediatric surgery units. The hospital total number of inpatient beds ranged from 214 to 607. The annual number of inpatients admissions and surgery procedures varied from 5,661 to 27,336 and from 2,682 to 35,192, respectively.

### Policies for HAI prevention and control

A multidisciplinary infection control committee (ICC) was in place in all the participating sites, and always included an infectious disease physician, an epidemiologist, an infection control nurse and a clinical microbiologist. Other professionals participating to ICC varied by site and included pharmacists (3 sites out of 4), physicians from clinical wards (2 sites), quality and safety managers (2 sites), nurses from clinical wards (1 site) and occupational health physicians (1 site). ICC met quarterly in two sites, monthly in one site, and annually or in case of critical events in the remaining site.

All hospitals had policies on hand hygiene, isolation measures, disinfection and sterilization, waste disposal, prevention of

	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4
Total number of beds	277	245	607	214
Number of beds by ward type				
Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	10	15	30	5
Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	16	22	22	34
Neonatal pathology	175	0	15	50
Oncohematology	42	30	33	8
Surgery	48	74	187	21
Number of inpatient admissions	5,661	8,898	27,336	8,805
Number of surgical procedures	2,682	7,572	35,192	4,065

Table 1 - Characteristics of participating children's hospitals, 2015

	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4
Hand Hygiene	Х	Х	Х	Х
Isolation measures	Х	Х	Х	Х
Disinfection/Sterilization	Х	Х	Х	Х
Waste disposal (including biological waste)	Х	Х	Х	Х
CLABSI prevention	Х	Х	Х	Х
VAP prevention	Х		Х	Х
CUTI prevention	Х		Х	Х
SSI prevention	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 2 - Policies for HAI prevention and control by participating Hospitals, 2015

CLABSI: central line-associated bloodstream infections; VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia; CUTI: catheterassociated urinary tract infections: SSI: surgical site infections

CLABSI and SSI. Policies on prevention of VAP and CUTI were implemented in three sites (Table 2).

Two sites conducted HAI point prevalence surveys annually, and one site every two years; the remaining site conducted prevalence survey of SSI every three years. Three sites also carried on surveillance of HAI incidence. HAI surveillance data were posted on the hospital intranet (3 sites), or e-mailed to physicians and nurses responsible of wards (1 site).

# Policies for prevention and control of MDR bacteria

To screen for CRE or MRSA colonization, all hospitals performed rectal and nasal swabs in patients hospitalized in intensive care units and other high-risk units (e.g. transplant units, onco-hematology units), on admission and discharge.

During hospitalization in these units, patients continued to be screened for CRE on a regular basis in three sites, and for MRSA in two sites. In case of occurrence of infections due to MDR, three sites had policies for screening all patients hospitalized in the same ward. Two sites performed mupirocin decolonization of MRSA nasal carriers (Table 3).

All hospitals adopted contact precautions for patients identified as CRE or MRSA

positive; these included antiseptic hand hygiene, use of gloves, gowns, single rooms or cohort, and dedicated patient equipment. Adoption of contact precautions was actively verified by the ICC team. In three sites, the adoption of contact precautions was notified on the patient room door and in clinical records. One site implemented a structured hand-over process to communicate information on contact precautions whenever a CRE or MRSA positive patient was transferred to a different ward. In two sites patients and their caregivers received written information regarding precautions to be adopted after discharge.

## Antibiotic prescribing policies and implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programs

A multidisciplinary antimicrobial stewardship team was established in three sites (Table 4), and involved an infectious disease physician, an epidemiologist, a pharmacist and a microbiologist. Guidelines on antibiotic prescriptions were available in all sites; all hospitals implemented guidelines on surgical antibiotic prophylaxis, while implementation of recommendations regarding medical prophylaxis and antibiotic use for therapeutical purposes varied by hospital. Strategies to control antibiotic resistance in Italian children's hospitals

	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4
Screening of carriers (rectal swabs) at admission/discharge	A/B	A/B	A/B	A/B
Intensive Care Units	A/B	A/B	A/B	A/B
Other high risk Units*	A/B	A/B	A/B	A/B
Screening of carriers during hospitalization	A/B		А	A/B
Screening of carriers in case of occurrence of CRE infections		A/B	A/B	A/B
Mupirocin decolonization in carriers		В	В	

Table 3 - Policies regarding screening of carriers of CRE (A) and MRSA (B) by participating Hospitals, 2015

\* Onco-hematology, pneumology, cardiac surgery, transplant, bone marrow transplant ward, medical and surgical neonatology, surgery were reported as high risk Units for CRE. Hematopoietic stem-cell transplant center, onco-hematology, bone marrow transplant ward, cardiology were reported as high risk Units for MRSA

Antibiotic prescriptions were reviewed after 48-72 hours in two hospitals; one hospital had also policies on de-escalation therapy. Formulary restriction and preauthorization requirements were adopted in three sites for specific antibiotic molecules as carbapenems (3 sites), linezolid, tygeciclin and daptomycin

Table 4 - Antibiotic prescribing policies and antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASP) by participating hospitals, 2015

	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4
ASP team	Х		Х	Х
Antibiotic prescription guidelines	Х	Х	Х	Х
Pneumonia	Х			Х
Urinary tract infections	Х			Х
Skin infections				Х
Sepsis	Х			Х
Surgical prophylaxis	Х	Х	Х	Х
Medical prophylaxis			Х	Х
Appropriateness review of antibiotic pre- scriptions		Х	Х	
Recommandations on de-escalation therapy			Х	
Pre-authorization requirements	Х	Х	Х	
carbapenems	Х	Х	Х	
glycopeptides				
linezolid		Х	Х	
tigecycline		Х	Х	
colistin				
daptomycin		Х	Х	
Other molecules (e.g. third generation cephalosporins, clindamycin.)	Х			
Audit of antibiotic use	Х		Х	Х

(2 sites), third generation cephalosporins and clindamycin (1 site). Prospective audits of antibiotic use were conducted in three sites.

Three sites out of four monitored antibiotic consumption. Antibiotic use was mainly expressed in terms of point prevalence of prescriptions (2 sites), use-associated costs (3 sites) and defined daily dose (1 site). Days of therapy (DOT) and lengthof-therapy (LOT) had not been adopted as indicators of antibiotic consumption. None of the participating hospitals had tools for computer based prescribing.

### **Discussion and Conclusions**

Results from this survey show variability in strategies to control antibiotic resistance in hospitalized children. Despite all sites participating to this study have multidisciplinary ICC that include essential professional figures (i.e. infectious disease specialist, hospital epidemiologist, nurse and clinical microbiologist) (28), pharmacists have been shown to be crucial to improve appropriateness of antibiotic prescriptions (4, 29), but they are not universally involved in ICCs. Procedures on hand hygiene, isolation measures and disinfection/sterilization are available in all the participating sites, and all of them also monitor hand hygiene adherence. Methods used to collect data on hand hygiene adherence were not investigated in the present study; however, this issue should be further addressed since compliance to hand hygiene results fluctuate with the method used for monitoring (30).

Data collection on susceptible profiles of microorganism isolated from patients admitted to the hospitals is important to provide timely antimicrobial resistance (AMR) data for policy decisions and to analyse temporal trends of AMR (15). Similarly, detection of CRE/MRSA carriers is crucial to implement contact precautions aimed at limiting inhospital transmission of multidrug resistant bacteria. According to our results, policies on screening of MDR carriers do also differ by hospital. Intensive care, onco-hematology and transplant units were most frequently considered as high-risk units for MRSA/ CRE screening, since patients are at high risk of serious MRSA/CRE infections and high proportion of MRSA/CRE infections among colonized patients have been documented (25, 31). Other differences in type of units considered at high risk and selected for routine screening of CRE/MRSA carriers may be due to local hospital epidemiology of MRSA/CRE (25, 26).

However, all hospitals should actively search for carriers in a ward, whenever a case of CRE/MRSA occur (32). We also found variability in hospital policies concerning nasal decontamination in MRSA carriers (33). Recommendation on MRSA decontamination were not universally shared; however, several national and international guidelines suggested to perform nasal decontamination of all patient MRSApositive (32, 34) and in particular of those with a higher risk of developing infections (25, 27, 35).

Major concern is related to the implementation of antimicrobial stewardship programs. In particular, only 2 sites reviewed antibiotic therapy after 48-72 hours and only one had procedures focusing on deescalation therapy, which are recommended by IDSA guidelines (4). Whilst all sites have surgical antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines, the degree of variability in guidelines regarding medical prophylaxis and antibiotic treatments is substantial and could represent a leading factor for appropriate choice of antibiotic molecules, combination therapies and duration of administration (13, 36). IDSA guidelines strongly recommended the implementation of local antimicrobial guidelines since clinical pathways were proved to be useful for the improvement of several diseases management (37).

Reliable statistics on consumption of antibiotics are useful to hospitals for performing internal assessments (such as consumption trends over time) and making comparisons with others (38). Three sites reported to have established metrics for the quantification of antibiotic consumption. Defined Daily Dose was used in one site even if this methodology is not applicable to the pediatric population (39); the other two sites monitored costs associated to antibiotic consumption. Metrics that are considered to be more specific for pediatric population (e.g. DOT and/or LOT) (40) should be implemented to obtain reliable data for monitoring antibiotic consumption in hospitalized children. To this regard, the use of tools for computer based prescribing should be promoted.

This study has some limitations. Local policies were assumed to be based on international and national recommendations, though the survey did not investigate which were the evidence based guidelines used as references. Infection control activities and ASPs implementation may have been overestimated, given that data collection was based on self-administered questionnaire completed by those responsible for these actions (16). To this regard, results obtained from this survey should be complemented with process and outcomes indicators such as consumption data of alcohol-based hand rub, antibiotic consumption, incidence of HAI and infections due to MDR bacteria. Moreover, this study involved tertiary care stand alone children's hospitals in Regions participating to a national project focused on antimicrobial resistance: thus we cannot assume that our results are representative of the policies implemented in other Italian hospitals taking care of children.

In conclusion, this survey showed that MDR infection control policies and ASPs have been implemented, although areas of improvements have been highlighted. Performing such surveys over time could possibly help in understanding quality improvements and to share best practices among different organizations.

#### Acknowledgements Funding

This work was supported by the Centro per il Controllo e la Prevenzione delle Malattie (CCM) of the Italian Ministry of Health within the Project "Buone pratiche per la sorveglianza e il controllo dell'antibioticoresistenza" ("Good practices for surveillance and control of antibiotic resistance")

### **Competing interests**

None declared

### Ethical approval

Not required. This is a survey only aimed at describing strategies implemented in the participating hospitals for controlling antibiotic resistance. No demographic or clinical data of hospitalized patients were collected and/or analyzed.

### Riassunto

### Strategie per il controllo dell'antibiotico resistenza: risultati di un'indagine condotta in ospedali pediatrici italiani

**Introduzione.** I programmi di Antimicrobial Stewardship e le azioni per il controllo delle infezioni ospedaliere rappresentano le principali strategie per limitare l'emergenza e la trasmissione di batteri multiresistenti nelle strutture ospedaliere. L'obiettivo di questo studio era descrivere le buone pratiche in atto negli ospedali pediatrici italiani per il controllo dell'antibiotico-resistenza.

**Disegno dello studio.** Indagine trasversale conoscitiva multicentrica.

**Metodi.** Quattro ospedali pediatrici italiani sono stati invitati a partecipare ad un'indagine conoscitiva che prevedeva la compilazione di un questionario on-line composto da tre sezioni riguardanti: i) le politiche per la prevenzione e il controllo delle infezioni acquisite in ospedale, ii) la prevenzione e controllo dei batteri multiresistenti e iii) politiche di prescrizione di antibiotici e Antimicrobial Stewardship. I questionari sono stati compilati tra maggio e luglio 2016 e facevano riferimento alle attività implementate nell'anno 2015.

**Risultati.** Tutti gli ospedali avevano istituito un comitato multidisciplinare per il controllo delle infezioni e redatto procedure per l'igiene delle mani, le misure di isolamento, la disinfezione/sterilizzazione, lo smaltimento dei rifiuti e la prevenzione delle infezioni associate a procedure invasive. In tutti gli ospedali erano presenti procedure per lo screening dei portatori di batteri multiresistenti al momento del ricovero dei pazienti in Unità Operative ad alto rischio. In caso di infezioni o colonizzazioni da batteri multiresistenti, tutti i centri adottavano precauzioni da contatto. L'attività di screening dei pazienti durante il ricovero in ospedale, o in caso di infezioni insorte nello stesso reparto di degenza, non era stata implementata in maniera universale. Tutti gli ospedali avevano adottato politiche sulla profilassi chirurgica, mentre la disponibilità di procedure sulla profilassi medica e sul trattamento delle infezioni batteriche variava tra i diversi centri. In due ospedali esisteva una procedura per la verifica dell'appropriatezza prescrittiva dopo 48-72 ore e uno dei due prevedeva raccomandazioni sulla de-escalation therapy.

**Conclusioni.** Questo studio ha evidenziato diverse aree di miglioramento, tra cui la necessità di implementare: 1) azioni per lo screening dei pazienti in caso di infezioni da batteri multiresistenti nello stesso reparto di degenza, 2) Antimicrobial Stewardship e 3) politiche sulla prescrizione antibiotica per fini terapeutici e per la profilassi medica.

### References

- Muto CA, Jernigan JA, Ostrowsky BE, et al. SHEA guideline for preventing nosocomial transmission of multidrug-resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus and enterococcus. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003; 24: 362-86.
- Anti-microbial resistance-An overlooked adverse event. Uppsala Reports 2017. Available on: https://www.who-umc.org/media/2775/web\_ uppsalareports\_issue74.pdf [Last accessed: 2018, May 15].
- Pan A, Gagliotti C, Resi D, Moro ML. Antimicrobial stewardship programmes in Emilia-Romagna, Italy. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2013; 1: 175-9.
- Dellit TH, Owens RC, McGowan JE Jr, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America guidelines for developing an institutional program to enhance antimicrobial stewardship. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44: 159-77.
- Rossignoli A, Clavenna A, Bonati M. Antibiotic prescription and prevalence rate in the outpatient paediatric population: analysis of surveys published during 2000-2005. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2007; 63: 1099-106.
- 6. De Luca M, Donà D, Montagnani C, et al. Antibiotic Prescriptions and Prophylaxis in

Italian Children. Is It Time to Change? Data from the ARPEC Project. PLoS One 2016; **11**: e0154662.

- Ciofi degli Atti M, Spila Alegiani S, Raschetti R, et al. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis in children: adherence to indication, choice of agent, timing, and duration. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2015; 71: 483-8.
- Newland JG, Hersh AL. Purpose and design of antimicrobial stewardship programs in pediatrics. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2010; 29: 862-3.
- Newland JG, Banerjee R, Gerber JS, Hersh AL, Steinke L, Weissman SJ. Antimicrobial stewardship in pediatric care: strategies and future directions. Pharmacotherapy 2012; 32: 735-43.
- Agwu AL, Lee CK, Jain SK, et al. A World Wide Web-based antimicrobial stewardship program improves efficiency, communication, and user satisfaction and reduces cost in a tertiary care pediatric medical center. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 47: 747-53.
- Di Pentima MC, Chan S, Hossain J. Benefits of a pediatric antimicrobial stewardship program at a children's hospital. Pediatrics 2011; **128**: 1062-70.
- Metjian TA, Prasad PA, Kogon A, Coffin SE, Zaoutis TE. Evaluation of an antimicrobial stewardship program at a pediatric teaching hospital. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2008; 27: 106-11.
- Newland JG, Stach LM, De Lurgio SA, et al. Impact of a prospective-audit-with-feedback antimicrobial stewardship program at a Children's Hospital. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2012; 1: 179-86.
- 14. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Surveillance of antimicrobial consumption in Europe 2012. Stockholm: ECDC, 2014.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2015. Annual report of the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm: ECDC, 2017.
- Moro ML, Marchi M, Buttazzi R, Nascetti S; INF-OSS Project Group. Progress in infection prevention and control in Italy: a nationwide survey. J Hosp Infect 2011; 77: 52-7.
- Ofner-Agostini M, Varia M, Johnston L, et al. Infection control and antimicrobial restriction practices for antimicrobial-resistant organisms in Canadian tertiary care hospitals. Am J Infect Control. 2007; 35: 563-8.

Strategies to control antibiotic resistance in Italian children's hospitals

- Nault V, Beaudoin M, Thirion DJ, Gosselin M, Cossette B, Valiquette L. Antimicrobial stewardship in acute care centres: A survey of 68 hospitals in Quebec. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2008; 19: 237-42.
- Struelens MJ, Costers M, Belgian Antibiotic Policy Coordination Committee (BAPCOC)-Hospital Care Working Group. Hospital antibiotic management in Belgium--results of the ABS maturity survey of the ABS International group. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2008; 120: 284-8.
- Bender JM, Virgallito M, Newland JG, et al. Infection prevention and control practices in children's hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2015; 36: 597-600.
- 21. Hersh AL, De Lurgio SA, Thurm C, et al. Antimicrobial stewardship programs in freestanding children's hospitals. Pediatrics 2015; **135**: 33-9.
- Centro nazionale per la prevenzione e il controllo delle malattie (CCM). Buone pratiche per la sorveglianza e il controllo dell'antibioticoresistenza (2015-2017). Available on: http://www.ccm-network.it/progetto. jsp?id=node/1885&idP=740 [Last accessed: 2018, May 15].
- Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L; Health Care Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Health Care Settings. Am J Infect Control 2007; 35: S65-164.
- Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, Chiarello L; Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Management of multidrug-resistant organisms in health care settings, 2006. Am J Infect Control 2007; 35: S165-93.
- Coia JE, Duckworth GJ, Edwards DI, et al. Guidelines for the control and prevention of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in healthcare facilities. J Hosp Infect 2006; 63(Suppl 1): S1-44.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidance for control of infections with carbapenem-resistant or carbapenemaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae in acute care facilities. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009; 58: 256-60.
- Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America; Infectious Diseases Society of America; Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society. Policy statement on antimicrobial stewardship by the

Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), and the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society (PIDS). Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2012; **33**: 322-7.

- Owens RC Jr, Shorr AF, Deschambeault AL. Antimicrobial stewardship: shepherding precious resources. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2009; 66: S15-22.
- 29. Nguyen-Ha PT, Howrie D, Crowley K et al. A Quality Assessment of a Collaborative Model of a Pediatric Antimicrobial Stewardship Program. Pediatrics 2016; **137**(5). doi: 10.1542/ peds.2015-0316.
- 30. WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review Committee. WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care Is Safer Care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
- 31. Gagliotti C, Cappelli V, Carretto E, Tura GA, Moro ML. Indicazioni pratiche e protocolli operativi per la diagnosi, la sorveglianza e il controllo degli enterobatteri produttori di carbapenemasi nelle strutture sanitarie e sociosanitarie. 2011. Available on: http://assr.regione. emilia-romagna.it/it/servizi/pubblicazioni/rapporti-documenti/indicazioni-pratiche-diagnosicpe-2017 [Last accessed: 2018, May 15].
- 32. Pan A, Cappelli V, Parenti M et al. Raccomandazioni sulla diffusione dello Staphylococcus aureus resistente alla meticillina. 2011. (Recommendations on diffusion of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. 2011). Ufficio V Malattie infettive, Direzione generale della prevenzione sanitaria, Ministero della salute. Istituto superiore di sanità. Agenzia sanitaria e sociale della Regione Emilia-Romagna, Bologna Maggio 2011.
- Talon D, Rouget C, Cailleaux V, et al. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus and crosscontamination in a surgical intensive care unit: efficacy of mupirocin ointment. J Hosp Infect 1995; **30**: 39-49.
- 34. Società Italiana Multidisciplinare per la Prevenzione delle Infezioni nelle Organizzazioni Sanitarie (SIMPIOS). Raccomandazioni per il controllo della diffusione dei cocchi grampositivi multiresistenti: Staphylococcus aureus meticillino-resistente (MRSA) ed Enterococcus spp vancomicina-resistente (VRE). 2005. Available on: http://www.simpios.org/ufiles/ File/posPapers/MRSA.pdf [Last accessed: 2018, May 15].

- 35. Société Française d'Hygiène Hospitalière (SFHH). Prévention de la transmission croisée: précautions complémentaires contact. Hygiène 2009; 17(2): 81-138. Available on: http://nosobase.chu-lyon. fr/recommandations/sfhh/2009\_BMR\_SFHH. pdf [Last accessed: 2018, May 15].
- 36. Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, Newland JG, Polgreen PM, Beekmann SE, Shah SS. Variability in pediatric infectious disease consultants' recommendations for management of community-acquired pneumonia. PLoS ONE 2011; 6: e20325.
- 37. Marrie TJ, Lau CY, Wheeler SL, Wong CJ, Vandervoort MK, Feagan BG. A controlled trial of a critical pathway for treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. CAPITAL Study

Investigators. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Intervention Trial Assessing Levofloxacin. JAMA 2000; 283: 749-55.

- 38. Gagliotti C, Ricchizzi E, Buttazzi R, Tumietto F, Resi D, Moro ML. Hospital statistics for antibiotics: defined versus prescribed daily dose. Infection 2014; 42: 869-73.
- 39. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. Guidelines for ATC classification and DDD assignment 2016. Oslo, 2016.
- 40. Schechner V, Temkin E, Harbarth S, Carmeli Y, Schwaber MJ. Epidemiological interpretation of studies examining the effect of antibiotic usage on resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 2013; 26: 289-307.

Corresponding author: Marta Luisa Ciofi degli Atti, MD, Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

e-mail: marta.ciofidegliatti@opbg.net