

ISSN: 0092-7872 (Print) 1532-4125 (Online) Journal homepage: <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lagb20>

## A note on divisorial correspondences of extensions of abelian schemes by tori

Cristiana Bertolin & Federica Galluzzi

To cite this article: Cristiana Bertolin & Federica Galluzzi (2020) A note on divisorial correspondences of extensions of abelian schemes by tori, *Communications in Algebra*, 48:7, 3031-3034, DOI: [10.1080/00927872.2020.1726941](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2020.1726941)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2020.1726941>



Published online: 21 Feb 2020.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 18



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



# A note on divisorial correspondences of extensions of abelian schemes by tori

Cristiana Bertolin and Federica Galluzzi

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

*Dedicated to M. Raynaud.*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $S$  be a locally noetherian scheme and consider two extensions  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of abelian  $S$ -schemes by  $S$ -tori. In this note we prove that the *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  of divisorial correspondences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is representable. Moreover, using divisorial correspondences, we show that line bundles on an extension  $G$  of an abelian scheme by a torus define group homomorphisms between  $G$  and  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S}$ .

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 1 October 2019  
Communicated by Claudio Pedrini

## KEYWORDS

Group schemes; divisorial correspondences

## 2010 MATHEMATICS

**SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION**  
14F22; 16H05

## 1. Introduction

In algebraic geometry, the notion of correspondences between varieties plays an important role for the study of algebraic cycles and motives. In this short note we discuss a special case: divisorial correspondences between group schemes which are extensions of an abelian scheme by a torus over a fixed locally Noetherian base  $S$ . Let  $G$  be such an extension of an abelian  $S$ -scheme by an  $S$ -torus. Denote by  $p : G \rightarrow S$  its structural morphism. The **relative Picard functor** associated to  $G/S$  is the *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S} = R^1 p_* \mathbb{G}_m$ , i.e. the *fppf*-sheaf associated to the presheaf  $T/S \mapsto \mathbf{Pic}(G_T)$ , where  $\mathbf{Pic}(G_T)$  is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on the  $T$ -scheme  $G_T = G \times_S T$  obtained from  $G$  by the base change  $T \rightarrow S$ .

The  $S$ -group scheme  $G$  admits a unit section  $\epsilon : S \rightarrow G$ . From now on, we will assume that the structural morphism  $p : G \rightarrow S$  satisfies  $p_* \mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally. With these hypotheses the *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S}$  is canonically isomorphic to the étale-sheaf  $R^1 p_* \mathbb{G}_m$  and moreover it is canonically isomorphic to the sheaf  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S}^\epsilon : T/S \mapsto \mathbf{Pic}(G_T)/\mathbf{Pic}(T)$ , where  $\mathbf{Pic}(G_T)/\mathbf{Pic}(T)$  is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $G_T$  which are rigidified along the unit section  $\epsilon_T : T \rightarrow G_T$  obtained from  $\epsilon : S \rightarrow G$  by the base change  $T \rightarrow S$ , that is it exists an isomorphism between the structural sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_T$  and  $\epsilon_T^* \mathcal{L}$ . We call this isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_T \cong \epsilon_T^* \mathcal{L}$  a rigidification of  $\mathcal{L}$  along  $\epsilon_T$ .

Now consider two extensions  $p_1 : G_1 \rightarrow S, p_2 : G_2 \rightarrow S$  of abelian schemes by tori. Denote by  $\epsilon_i : S \rightarrow G_i$  their unit sections and suppose that  $p_i$  satisfy  $p_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally for  $i = 1, 2$ . Consider the canonical morphism of sheaves defined by pull-backs

$$\begin{aligned} \text{can} : \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S} \times \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1 \times_S G_2/S} \\ (\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) &\mapsto pr_1^* \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes pr_2^* \mathcal{L}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

where  $\text{pr}_i : G_1 \times_S G_2 \rightarrow G_i$  are the projections to the  $i$ -th factor for  $i = 1, 2$ . The **sheaf of divisorial correspondences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  over  $S$** , that we denote by

$$\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2),$$

is the *fppf*-sheaf cokernel of  $\text{can}$  (0.1). We have an exact sequence of *fppf*-sheaves

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S} \times \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1 \times_S G_2/S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the extensions  $G_i$  are endowed with the unit sections  $\epsilon_i$  and since we have supposed  $p_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally, using the rigidified version  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_i/S}^{\epsilon_i}$  of the relative Picard functor we get that for any  $S$ -scheme  $T$  the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S}(T) \times \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}(T) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1 \times_S G_2/S}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)(T) \rightarrow 0, \tag{0.2}$$

is exact, that is  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)(T)$  is the group of isomorphism classes of the invertible sheaves on  $G_{1T} \times_T G_{2T}$  endowed with rigidifications along  $\epsilon_1 \times_T \epsilon_2$  and along  $G_{1T} \times_T \epsilon_2$  which must agree on  $\epsilon_{1T} \times_T \epsilon_{2T}$ .

The aim of this note is to prove that the *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  of divisorial correspondences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is representable (Theorem 1.1). Moreover, using divisorial correspondences, we show that line bundles on an extension  $G$  of an abelian scheme by a torus define group homomorphisms between  $G$  and  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S}$  (Proposition 2.1). In [1, Thm 0.1, Thm 5.1] S. Brochard and the first author construct the morphism defined in (2.3) for 1-motives without using divisorial correspondences and they prove the Theorem of the Cube for 1-motives. In [2, Thm 5.9.] the authors prove the generalized Theorem of the Cube for 1-motives.

This paper takes the origin from an exchange of emails with M. Raynaud. We want to thank M. Brion for his comments about the hypothesis “ $p_* \mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally,” we use in this paper.

## 2. Representability of $\mathbf{Corr}$

In [5, Thm 1] Murre gives a criterion for a contravariant functor from the category of schemes over  $S$  to the category of sets to be representable by an unramified, separated  $S$ -scheme which is locally of finite type over  $S$ . Using this criterion, he proves the representability of the *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(X_1, X_2)$  with  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  proper and flat  $S$ -schemes (see [5, Thm 4]). We adapt his results to extensions of abelian schemes by tori which are not proper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider two extensions  $p_1 : G_1 \rightarrow S, p_2 : G_2 \rightarrow S$  of abelian schemes by tori. Suppose that the structural morphisms  $p_i$  satisfy  $p_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally for  $i = 1, 2$ . The *fppf*-sheaf  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  of divisorial correspondences between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is representable by an  $S$ -group scheme, locally of finite presentation, separated and unramified over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* We have to prove that the functor  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  verifies the properties  $(F_1), \dots, (F_8)$  listed in [5, Thm 1]. Since the structural morphisms  $p_1, p_2$  have sections,  $(F_1), (F_2), (F_4)$  follow from the same properties of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_1 \times_S G_2/S}$ . Concerning property  $(F_3)$ , by [6, Prop II 2.4 (2) (i)] the extension  $G_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ) is  $S$ -pure and therefore [7, Chp 37, Lem 27.6 (2), Def 21.1] implies that there exists a universal flattening of  $G_i$ , that is the flattening functor is representable. Now using [5, Thm 2],  $(F_3)$  follows from the same property of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_1 \times_S G_2/S}$ . Property  $(F_5)$  (i.e. the fact that  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  is formally unramified) follows by [6, Prop III 4.1]. Property  $(F_6)$  (i.e. the fact that  $\mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)$  is separated) follows by [6, Prop III 4.3]. For Properties  $(F_7), (F_8)$  see Murre’s proof in [5, Thm 4]. □

The assumption

$$p_* \mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_S \quad \text{universally} \tag{1.1}$$

is not too restrictive. For example, anti-affine algebraic groups over a field  $k$ , which is not an algebraic extension of a finite field, furnish *non-trivial* examples where the condition (1.1) holds. More precisely, we have the following geometrical interpretation of the condition (1.1):

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field and let  $\bar{k}$  its algebraic closure. Consider an extension  $G$  of an abelian variety  $A$  by a torus  $T$  defined over a field  $k$ . Let  $p : G \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(k)$  be the structural morphism of  $G$ . Denote by  $c : X^*(T)(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A^*(\bar{k})$  the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant homomorphism which defines the extension  $G$ , where  $A^*$  is the dual abelian variety of  $A$  and  $X^*(T)$  is the character group of the torus  $T$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the structural sheaf of the extension  $G$  satisfies the condition (1.1),*
- (2) *the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant homomorphism  $c : X^*(T)(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A^*(\bar{k})$  is injective,*
- (3) *the extension  $G$  is anti-affine, that is  $\mathcal{O}_G(G) = k$ .*

*Proof.* The equivalence between (2) and (3) is given by [3, Prop 2.1]. If (1) holds, we have that  $\mathcal{O}_G(G) = p_*\mathcal{O}_G(\text{Spec}(k)) = \mathcal{O}_S(\text{Spec}(k)) = k$ , i.e. the extension  $G$  is anti-affine. Suppose now that (3) holds. Denote by  $\alpha : G \rightarrow A$  the surjective morphism of algebraic groups underlying the extension  $G$  and by  $q : A \rightarrow S$  the structural morphism of  $A$ . Let  $G_{\bar{k}}$  the extension obtained from  $G$  extending the scalars from  $k$  to  $\bar{k}$ . As observed in [3, (2.2)]  $\alpha_*(\mathcal{O}_{G_{\bar{k}}}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^*(T)} \mathcal{L}_x$  where  $\mathcal{L}_x$  is the invertible sheaf on  $A_{\bar{k}}$  algebraically equivalent to 0, which corresponds to the point  $c(x)$  of  $A^*(\bar{k})$  via the isomorphism  $A^* \cong \mathbf{Pic}_{A/S}^0$ . Hence

$$p_*\mathcal{O}_{G_{\bar{k}}} = q_*\alpha_*\mathcal{O}_{G_{\bar{k}}} = q_*\bigoplus_{x \in X^*(T)} \mathcal{L}_x.$$

Since  $G$  is anti-affine,  $H^0(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_x) = 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$p_*\mathcal{O}_{G_{\bar{k}}}(\text{Spec}(k)) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^*(T)} H^0(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_x) = H^0(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{L}_0) = \mathcal{O}_{A_{\bar{k}}}(A_{\bar{k}}) = k,$$

that is  $p_*\mathcal{O}_{G_{\bar{k}}} = \mathcal{O}_S$ . □

Consider an extension  $G$  of an abelian variety  $A$  by a torus  $T$  defined over a field  $k$ . As before denote by  $c : X^*(T)(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A^*(\bar{k})$  the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant homomorphism which defines this extension  $G$ . Let  $X''$  be the biggest  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -sub-module of  $X^*(T)(\bar{k})$  whose image via  $c : X^*(T)(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A^*(\bar{k})$  is a torsion subgroup of  $A^*(\bar{k})$ . Denote by  $T''$  the quotient torus of  $T$  whose character group is  $X''$ . Then  $G$  is an extension of the torus  $T''$  by an extension  $G'$  of  $A$  by  $T/T''$

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow T'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -equivariant homomorphism  $X^*(T/T'')(\bar{k}) \rightarrow A^*(\bar{k})$  defining the extension  $G'$  is injective, and therefore, by the above Lemma,  $G'$  is anti-affine, that is the global functions of  $G'$  are  $k$ , or equivalently for  $G'$  the condition (1.1) holds. Since the torus  $T''$  plays no role for the study of divisorial correspondences, we have showed that over a field we can always reduce to the case where condition (1.1) holds.

### 3. Linear morphisms via divisorial correspondences

Consider two extensions  $p_1 : G_1 \rightarrow S, p_2 : G_2 \rightarrow S$  of abelian schemes by tori. Denote by  $\epsilon_i : S \rightarrow G_i$  their unit sections and suppose that the structural morphisms  $p_i$  satisfy  $p_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_1}(G_1, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S})$  be the sheaf of morphisms of sheaves from  $G_1$  to  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}$  which send the unit section  $\epsilon_1$  to the unit section of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}$  and likewise for  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_2}(G_2, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S})$ . Observe that for any  $S$ -scheme  $T$ ,  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_1}(G_1, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S})(T)$  is just the group  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2T/S}(G_{1T})$  of  $G_{1T}$ -points of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2T/S}$ . Then by the short exact sequence (0.2) we have that the *fppf*-sheaf  $T/S \mapsto \mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_{1T}}(G_{1T}, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2T/S})$  is isomorphic to the *fppf*-sheaf  $T/S \mapsto \mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2)(T)$ . Therefore

we have the isomorphisms of *fppf*-sheaves

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_1}(G_1, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}) \cong \mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\epsilon_2}(G_2, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S}) \tag{2.1}$$

We define by  $\mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Gr}}(X, Y)$  the sheaf of group homomorphisms between abelian sheaves.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a normal scheme. Consider two extensions  $p_1 : G_1 \rightarrow S, p_2 : G_2 \rightarrow S$  of abelian schemes by tori. Suppose that the structural morphisms  $p_i$  satisfy  $p_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{G_i} = \mathcal{O}_S$  universally for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Gr}}(G_1, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}) \cong \mathbf{Corr}_S(G_1, G_2) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Gr}}(G_2, \mathbf{Pic}_{G_1/S}). \tag{2.2}$$

*In particular, if  $G$  is an extension of an abelian scheme by a torus over a normal base scheme, this yields a morphism of *fppf*-sheaves*

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\text{Gr}}(G, \mathbf{Pic}_{G/S}). \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Because of (2.1), it is enough to show that if  $u : G_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}$  is a morphism of sheaves which sends the unit section  $\epsilon_1 : S \rightarrow G_1$  of  $G_1$  to the unit section of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}$ , then  $u$  is in fact a group homomorphism. We will prove that the following morphism of sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : G_1 \times_S G_1 &\rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S} \\ (g, g') &\mapsto u(g + g') - u(g) - u(g') \end{aligned}$$

is the null morphism. The morphism  $\nu$  is a  $G_1 \times_S G_1$ -point of  $\mathbf{Pic}_{G_2/S}$ , that is an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $G_1 \times_S G_1 \times_S G_2$  that we can suppose to be rigidified along the unit section  $\epsilon_2 : S \rightarrow G_2$  of  $G_2$ . Since by hypothesis  $u(0) = 0$ , the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $G_1 \times_S S \times_S G_2$  and to  $S \times_S G_1 \times_S G_2$  is trivial. Therefore  $\mathcal{L}$  is rigidified along  $\epsilon_1 \times_S G_1 \times_S G_2, G_1 \times_S \epsilon_1 \times_S G_2$  and  $G_1 \times_S G_1 \times_S \epsilon_2$ . But by [4, Chp I, §2.6], the extensions  $G_i$  over a normal base scheme satisfy the Theorem of the Cube, and so the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial, that is  $\nu$  is the null morphism.

Now let  $G$  be an extension of an abelian scheme by a torus. Consider the canonical morphisms of *fppf*-sheaves

$$\mathbf{Pic}_{G/S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}_{G/S} \times_S \mathbf{Pic}_{G/S} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \mathbf{Pic}_{G \times_S G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Corr}_S(G, G).$$

Using (2.2) we get the expected morphism of *fppf*-sheaves. □

## References

- [1] Bertolin, C., Brochard, S. (2019). Morphisms of 1-motives defined by line bundles. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2019(5):1568–1600. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rny139](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rny139).
- [2] Bertolin, C., Galluzzi, F. Gerbes and Brauer groups over stacks. arXiv:1705.0138.
- [3] Brion, M. (2009). Anti-affine algebraic groups. *J. Algebra* 321(3):934–952. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.09.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.09.034).
- [4] Moret-Bailly, L. (1985). *Pinceaux de Variétés Abéliennes*. *Astérisque*, 129. Publié Avec le Concours du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique. Paris: Société Mathématique de France.
- [5] Murre, J.-P. (1995). Representation of unramified functors. Applications (according to unpublished results of A. Grothendieck). *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 9, No. 294. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, pp. 243–261.
- [6] Raynaud, M. (1970). *Faisceaux Amples Sur Les Schémas en Groupes et Les Spaces Homogènes*. *Lecture Notes in Math*, 119. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [7] Stack Project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>