1 2



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

3	
4	This is an author version of the contribution published on
5	Francesca Arena Pietro Irrera Lorena Consolino Sonia Colombo Serra Moritz Zaiss and
7	Dario Livio Longo
8	
9	Flip-angle based ratiometric approach for pulsed CEST-MRI pH imaging
10	
11	In Journal of Magnetic Resonance
12	
13	
14	Ine definitive version is available at:
15	<b>DOI</b> . 10.1010/J.JIII.2017.12.007
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
24	
25	
20	
26	
27	
-	
28	
	1

1	Flip-angle based ratiometric approach for pulsed CEST-MRI pH imaging	
2		
3	Francesca Arena, PhD, <sup>1</sup> Pietro Irrera, MS, <sup>1</sup> Lorena Consolino, PhD, <sup>1</sup> Sonia Colombo Serra, PhD, <sup>2</sup>	2
4	Moritz Zaiss, PhD <sup>3</sup> and Dario Livio Longo, PhD <sup>4</sup>	
5		
6	<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Biotecnologie Molecolari e Scienze per la Salute, Università degli Studi di	
7	Torino, Torino, Italy	
8	<sup>2</sup> CRB Bracco Imaging S.p.A., Via Ribes 5, Colleretto Giacosa (TO), Italy	
9	<sup>3</sup> Department of High-field Magnetic Resonance, Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics	,
10	Tübingen, Germany	
11	<sup>4</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Istituto di Biostrutture e Bioimmagini, Torino, Italy	
12		
13		
14	*Corresponding author:	
15	Dario Livio Longo	
16	Istituto di Biostrutture e Bioimmagini – Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR)	
17	Via Nizza 52, 10126, Torino, Italy	
18	e-mail: <u>dario.longo@unito.it</u>	
19	Phone: +39-011-6706473	
20	Fax: +39-011-6706487	
21		
22		
23	Running Title: CEST-pulsed pH imaging	
24		
25	Word Count: 2837	
26		~
		2

# 1 ABSTRACT

2 Several molecules have been exploited for developing MRI pH sensors based on the chemical 3 exchange saturation transfer (CEST) technique. A ratiometric approach, based on the saturation of 4 two exchanging pools at the same saturation power, or by varying the saturation power levels on the 5 same pool, is usually needed to rule out the concentration term from the pH measurement. However, 6 all these methods have been demonstrated by using a continuous wave saturation scheme that limits 7 its translation to clinical scanners. This study shows a new ratiometric CEST-MRI pH-mapping 8 approach based on a pulsed CEST saturation scheme for a radiographic contrast agent (iodixanol) 9 possessing a single chemical exchange site. This approach is based on the ratio of the CEST contrast 10 effects at two different flip angles combinations (180°/360° and 180°/720°), keeping constant the 11 mean irradiation RF power (Bavg power). The proposed ratiometric approach index is concentration 12 independent and it showed good pH sensitivity and accuracy in the physiological range between 6.0 13 and 7.4.

14

# Keywords: CEST; MRI; pH; iodinated contrast media; train pulses; pulsed-CEST; contrast media; radiographic agents;

A good pH accuracy can be obtained in the physiological range (pH 6.0-7.4)

17

18	<b>Highlights:</b>
----	--------------------

•

- A novel ratiometric approach based on a pulsed saturation scheme is proposed
- This approach can be applied to molecules possessing a single proton pool
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

# 1 1. Introduction

2 Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) is an innovative MRI contrast mechanism that can 3 detect molecules with exchangeable protons upon saturation with selective radiofrequency pulses [1-4 3]. Exchanging proton pools include endogenous protons (amide, hydroxyls), as well as exogenous 5 ones belonging to added diamagnetic or paramagnetic agents [4-13]. Several applications have been 6 reported, including the assessment of ischemic acidosis [14], tumor detection [4, 15, 16], cell tracking 7 [17-19], proteins structural properties [20-22], metabolites [23, 24], redox potential [25, 26], gene 8 expression [27, 28] and enzymatic activity [29]. In particular, great attention has been dedicated to 9 design agents able to map tissue pH [30-33]. In this context, a good example is represented by 10 iopamidol, a clinical approved x-ray contrast agent possessing two types of amide protons whose 11 different exchange rate has been exploited to set up a ratiometric approach for imaging tissue pH [34-12 37]. Similar results have been obtained with the related iopromide agent [38] or with imidazole-based 13 pH sensors [39]. The above method relies on the presence of two exchangeable pools in order to 14 exploit the ratiometric approach for a concentration independent measure of pH [40]. Recently, another x-ray agent containing only one mobile amide proton pool, iobitridol, was used to image 15 16 tumor pH in vivo by ratioing the CEST effects resulting from the application of radiofrequency (RF) 17 pulses of different power [41]. In general, the reported CEST studies relied on the application of a 18 continuous wave (CW) irradiation scheme, consisting of a long off-resonance rectangular RF 19 irradiation pulse. A major drawback of this irradiation scheme is represented by the high specific 20 absorption rate (SAR) that limit the translation of the preclinical procedures to commercial human 21 MRI scanners. Conversely, the pulsed-CEST imaging scheme addresses the hardware and SAR 22 concerns by exploiting repetitive short RF pulses as irradiation scheme [42-49]. This saturation 23 scheme is commonly applied at clinical level for amide proton transfer imaging [50-53]. Recent 24 studies have shown that pulsed CEST contrast comprises both saturation and rotation effects (arising 25 from an oscillating component). Consequently, the repeated rotation of the spin magnetization 26 provides a complementary contribution to the decrease of the bulk water signal following the 1 chemical exchange [54]. This separation of rotation vs saturation transfer-effects in pulsed CEST 2 experiments was dubbed chemical exchange rotation transfer (CERT). Moreover, it was found that 3 pulsed CEST contrast as a function of the flip angle ( $\theta$ ) is dependent on the chemical exchange rate 4 (k<sub>ex</sub>) of the exchanging mobile proton pool. Gochberg and colleagues have exploited these properties 5 using the ratio of contrast at multiple  $\theta$  values for assessing chemical exchange rate of endogenous 6 amide and amine protons by keeping constant the transmitted B<sub>1</sub> amplitudes (B<sub>avg power</sub>) at different 7 flip angles [55].

8 Here, we demonstrate the application of a double-angle ratiometric approach on the clinical approved 9 x-ray contrast agent, iodixanol, possessing only one amide proton pool (Fig. 1), for the generation of 10 a new pH-responsive CERT contrast agent. The proposed method, called ratio of pulsed RF angles 11 (RPA), is based on the ratio of CERT contrast at two different  $\theta$  values by keeping B<sub>avg power</sub> constant. 12 The influence of different B<sub>avg power</sub> levels, duty cycle, temperature, concentration and  $\theta$  values under 13 a pulsed CEST sequences was also evaluated.

14

#### 15 2. Materials and Methods

16 2.1 Numerical Simulation

Simulated pulsed CEST-MRI was generated using Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) using the modified Bloch-McConnell equations [45, 56, 57] for a three pool model (water, hydroxyl and amide protons labeled as w, b and s, respectively) with a field strength of 7T. Pulsed saturation was modeled using the discretization method, with each Gaussian pulse divided into 64 steps and the spin evolution was modeled assuming a constant  $B_1$  amplitude within each step. The transverse magnetization was set to zero at the end of the inter-pulse period to represent the dephasing caused by crusher gradients, whereas the longitudinal magnetization relaxed toward equilibrium [44].

The variables in the model were set according to the range of values calculated from fitting Z-spectra obtained from phantom #1 (40 mM iodixanol in phosphate buffer solutions titrated in the pH range 5.5-7.9) at 37°C with CW saturation at several irradiation powers (1, 2 and 3 µT for 5s) in the range 1  $\pm 10$  ppm with steps of 0.1 ppm. Specifically, the following variables were fixed to previously 2 published values [58, 59]: longitudinal relaxation time,  $T_{1w}=4.0s$ ,  $T_{1b}=1.0s$ ,  $T_{1s}=1.0s$ ;  $T_{2w}=1.5s$ , 3  $T_{2b}=0.8s$ , chemical shift  $\omega_b = 0.8$  ppm,  $\omega_s = 4.3$  ppm; or to experimental conditions: amide proton 4 ratio (f<sub>s</sub>) = 0.00145 (40mM\*4/110M), hydroxyl proton ratio (f<sub>b</sub>) = 0.0033 (40mM\*9/110M). The 5 following parameters were solved from numerical fitting: exchange rates for amide (k<sub>ex</sub>) and hydroxyl 6 groups (k<sub>wb</sub>) and T<sub>2s</sub> for each pH value.

A range of parameter values were simulated for pulsed CEST-MRI: FA (θ) varied from 45° to 900°
with intervals of 15°, T<sub>1w</sub> (3.0-3.7-4.4 s), T<sub>2w</sub> (1.5-2.0-2.5 s), T<sub>1s</sub> (1.0-2.0-3.0 s), T<sub>2s</sub> (10-20-30 ms),
f<sub>s</sub> (0.007-0.0011-0.0018), k<sub>ex</sub> (21-47-108-150 Hz), dc was set at 30% and 50%.

10

11 2.2 In vitro

# 12 2.2.1 Phantom Preparation

Three sets of phantoms were prepared by dissolving iodixanol (Visipaque<sup>®</sup>, GE Healthcare) in 13 14 different media. A phantom containing several vials of 40 mM iodixanol in phosphate buffered solution were pH titrated between 5.5 and 7.9 and used for calculating the chemical exchange rates 15 16 under CW irradiation and for the CERT experiments under Gaussian-train irradiation scheme. A 17 second phantom was prepared by dissolving iodixanol in phosphate buffer solution at pH = 7.2 at 18 different concentrations (2.5-5.0-10.0-20.0-40.0 mM) to investigate the concentration independence 19 of the proposed ratiometric approach. A third phantom was prepared by dissolving 40 mM iodixanol 20 in reconstituted human plasma (Seronorm Human, SERO AS ASKER, Norway) at several pH values 21 (6.3, 6.7, 7.0, 7.4) to mimic in vivo conditions with the presence of several proteins and metabolites 22 at physiological concentrations.

23

# 24 2.2.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Pulsed-CEST experiments were acquired on a 7T Bruker Avance 300 scanner (Bruker BioSpin,
Ettlingen, Germany) equipped with a micro 2.5 MICWB 30 mm quadrature (1H) imaging probe. Z-

1 spectra were acquired sampling the frequency offsets from -10 ppm to 10 ppm, with step size of 0.1 2 ppm. The pulsed-CEST scheme exploited a series of Gaussian irradiation pulses for the saturation 3 part and a single-shot (with centric encoding) fast spin-echo imaging readout. After each pulse, 4 crusher gradients (with alternating sign) were applied to spoil residual transverse magnetization. Each 5 irradiation pulse had duration  $\tau_{\rm P}$ , flip angle  $\theta$ , interpulse delay  $\tau_{\rm D}$  and the pulse train repetition (PTR) 6 is given by  $\tau_P + \tau_D$ . B<sub>avg power</sub> levels were set to be 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0  $\mu$ T with different values of duty 7 cycle (dc) of 50% and 30% for a total irradiation time of 5 s. To test the predicted angular dependence, 8 15 values between 45 and 900° were acquired for each Bavg power level and dc conditions.

9 For pulsed-CEST imaging, B<sub>avg power</sub> can be calculated by using the following equation [60]:

10

11 
$$B_{avg \ power} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{PTR}} \int_0^{PTR} B_1^2 dt = \sqrt{\frac{p_2}{dc}} \cdot \frac{\pi\theta}{180 \cdot \gamma \cdot p_1 \cdot PTR}$$
[1]

12

13 Where B<sub>avg power</sub> is the field strength of a continuous wave irradiation with the same average power as 14 the pulsed-CEST, p<sub>1</sub> is the ratio of the average amplitude to the maximum amplitude of the irradiation 15 pulse,  $p_2$  is the ratio of the average of the square of the amplitude to the square of the maximum 16 amplitude of the irradiation pulse and  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio of the proton (with units rad s<sup>-1</sup> T<sup>-</sup> 17 <sup>1</sup>). For the Gaussian pulse used in our experiments  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are equal to 0.418 and 0.299, respectively. 18 Images were acquired with the following parameters: field of view = 30 mm x 30 mm, matrix size = 19 64 x 64, slice thickness = 4 mm, echo time = 3.5 ms, repetition time = 10 s, two averages. The 20 experiments were performed at 21±1 °C and at 37±1 °C.

21

# 22 2.2.3 CEST image analysis

All Z-spectra were analyzed using custom-written scripts in Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA)
 and interpolated by smoothing splines for B<sub>0</sub> inhomonogeneity correction [61]. CEST contrast named

1 Saturation Transfer (ST) was quantified at a specific offset of interest (i.e.  $\Delta \omega = +4.3$  ppm) using the 2 asymmetry analysis:

$$3 \quad ST = \frac{S_{-\Delta\omega} - S_{+\Delta\omega}}{S_0} \qquad [2]$$

4 Where  $S_{\pm\Delta\omega}$  is the water signal intensity in the presence of a saturation pulse at offset  $\pm\Delta\omega$  and  $S_0$  is 5 water signal intensity in the absence of a saturation pulse.

A new ratiometric index (dubbed ratio of pulsed RF angles or RPA) is calculated as the ratio of the
CEST ST contrast at two θ values according to equation 3:

$$8 \quad RPA = \frac{ST_{\theta_1}}{ST_{\theta_2}} \qquad [3]$$

9 where  $ST_{\theta_{1,2}}$  represents ST obtained at two selected flip angles ( $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ ) by keeping  $B_{avg power}$ 10 constant.

11

# 12 **3. Results**

Z-spectra were acquired with CW irradiation on 40 mM iodixanol samples at several pH values (5.57.9) and fit to a three-pool exchange model by simultaneously fitting three different B<sub>1</sub> irradiation
powers (Fig. S1), giving exchange rates for the amide mobile protons in the range 10 to 850 Hz (Table
S1).

17 Fig. 2 show the simulated CEST contrast for a 40 mM iodixanol solution as a function of  $\theta$ , showing 18 the amide rotation effects with characteristic relative maximum and minimum peaks at 180°, 540° 19 and  $360^{\circ}$  and  $720^{\circ}$ , respectively (Fig. 2a). The shape of the oscillation is dependent only on k<sub>ex</sub> (Fig. 20 2a) and is not affected by changes in concentration ( $f_s$ ),  $T_{1w}$ ,  $T_{2w}$ , and  $T_{1s}$ , but only slightly on  $T_{2s}$ 21 (Fig. 2b-f). Fig. 3a gives the simulated and the experimental CEST contrast as a function of  $\theta$  at pH 22 of 6.7, 37°C, showing a good correspondence between expected and measured CEST contrast values. 23 The experimental RPA values measured by ratioing CEST contrasts at 180°/360° at four different pH 24 values are close to the simulated values (Fig. 3b, Bavg\_power of 1µT and dc of 50%). To mimic in vivo conditions, iodixanol was dissolved in human serum and the measured RPA curve showed marked
 pH dependence even in presence of other exchangeable protons (Fig. 3c).

Fig. 4a reports the observed CEST contrast at 4.3 ppm as a function of flip angle with a Bavg\_power of 3 4 2 µT and dc 50% (T=21°C, B<sub>0</sub>=7T) for several pH values, showing the expected angular signal 5 dependence that oscillates as  $\cos(\theta)$  with a maximum close to 180°. The shape and the magnitude of 6 the resulting CEST contrast oscillation depend on the proton exchange rate kex. Being kex base-7 catalyzed, for increasing pH values an increase of the CEST effect is observed. In addition to kex, the 8 oscillation is also dependent on Bavg power and on the applied duty cycle. In fact, the same angular 9 dependence was observed upon decreasing the irradiation power to 1 µT (Fig. 4c), keeping constant 10 the dc to 50%, although the observed CEST contrast appears significantly reduced for all the 11 investigated pH values. When the pulsed sequence was applied with a dc of 30%, an increase in the 12 contrast was observed if compared to dc of 50% and the same irradiation Bavg power (Fig. 4b and 4d). 13 The Z-spectra generated with a pulsed CEST irradiation scheme for 40 mM iodixanol solutions 14 titrated in the pH range 5.5-7.9 are shown in Fig. S2. The calculated CEST contrast angular 15 dependence is shown in Fig. 5. A similar oscillating shape is obtained as a function of the flip angle 16 even at higher temperature, hence higher exchange rates, and the CEST contrast magnitude is strongly 17 pH-dependent. A decrease of the irradiation B<sub>avg power</sub> from 2 µT to 0.5 µT corresponds to a marked 18 reduction of the CEST contrast effect (Fig. 5a, 5c and 5d). When exploiting a dc of 30% a similar 19 angular dependence of the CEST contrast was measured (Fig. 5b).

The proposed ratiometric approach relies on the ratioing of the relative intensities at different flip angles as a function of pH (Fig. 6). By ratioing the ST effects observed at flip angles of 180° and 360°, with a constant  $B_{avg power}$  of 1  $\mu$ T, RPA (averaged over a ROI placed on each sample) showed a good pH response for pH values from 6.0 to 7.4 (Fig. 6a). Moreover, RPA values calculated upon using a  $B_{avg power}$  of 0.5  $\mu$ T yielded an analogous pH response. Good pH sensitivity was obtained also from RF flip angles of 180° and 720° at both the  $B_{avg power}$  of 1  $\mu$ T and 0.5  $\mu$ T (Fig. 6b). Since the capability to measure accurately pH values is dependent on both the attainable CEST contrast as well as on the pH responsiveness, a B<sub>avg power</sub> of 1 μT and a dc of 50% were chosen, since higher B<sub>avg power</sub>
 (2 μT) provided lower pH responsiveness (Fig. S3), whereas dc of 30% provided lower CEST contrast
 (Fig. 5b).

Using the relationship between RPA values and experimental pH determined from Fig. 6, pixel-wise pH maps were derived for the pH phantoms (Fig. 7e with flip angles  $180^{\circ}/360^{\circ}$  and Fig. 7f with  $180^{\circ}/720^{\circ}$ ). Calculated pH values from the obtained maps are plotted as a function of pH-meter measurements (Fig. 7g and 7h). The observed good correlation ( $R^2 = 0.998$ , P<0.0001 and  $R^2 = 0.996$ , P<0.001, respectively) attests the accuracy of the RPA-based interpolation vs pH.

9 To demonstrate the concentration independence of the method, a series of phantoms at different 10 iodixanol concentrations (in the range 2.5-40 mM) were prepared, with pH titrated 7.2. The RPA 11 values were observed to be constant when ratioing the ST contrast at the two flip angles of 180° and 12  $360^{\circ}$  (Fig. 8a, slope = 0.0026 and 0.0011 for Bavg power of 1 and 0.5  $\mu$ T, respectively). A robust stability 13 as a function of concentration was obtained also when ratioing the ST contrast obtained at the two flip angles of 180° and 720° (Fig. 8b, slope = -0.0011 and -0.0008 for 1 and 0.5  $\mu$ T, respectively). 14 15 Only at 2.5 mM iodixanol concentration the measured CEST contrast was not enough for the 16 calculation of the RPA value. These data demonstrate that this ratiometric approach can measure pH 17 despite a substantial difference in iodixanol concentration, with all regression slopes not significantly 18 different from zero.

19

# 20 **4. Discussion**

In this study, we report a new ratiometric approach for pH determination based on the transfer of the oscillation of the solute magnetization to the bulk water signal by applying a pulsed-CEST sequence. In contrast to the approach of using endogenous amide groups as investigated by Gochberg and colleagues [55], herein we exploit an exogenous molecule that can potentially provide multiple information related to the extracellular pH and to its extravasation, hence tissue perfusion [59, 62]. Most of the ratiometric approaches for MRI pH mapping have been applied to DIACEST agents (e.g. iopamidol, iopromide, iobitridol) with relatively fast exchange rates (ca. 1-3 KHz at pH 7.4) for exploiting higher CEST contrast and efficiency upon a continuous wave RF irradiation [59]. Within this approach, one may broaden the investigation to exogenous molecules possessing even slower exchange rates, an exclusive field that was limited to endogenous mobile proteins and peptides. Moreover, a low-power pulsed saturation scheme can generate CEST signal, thus facilitating clinical translation [63-65].

8 The pulsed-CEST contrast curves of iodixanol as a function of pH showed similar characteristic 9 feature points at 180°/360°/540° and 720° in comparison to endogenous amide groups. On the 10 contrary, the CEST contrast ratio calculated from different flip angles showed a different relationship 11 with kex between endogenous and iodixanol-derived amide protons. In fact, the CEST contrast ratio 12 calculated at three  $\theta$  values for the endogenous amide groups (dubbed CCR in [55]) showed a 13 monotonic function that increases for slow exchange rates with a  $B_{avg power}$  of 1.0  $\mu T$  and then 14 decreases for higher exchange rate. In contrast, with iodixanol we observed only a constant decrease 15 of our ratiometric index (RPA, calculated as the ratio at two different flip angles) at all the investigated 16 pH values. A similar relationship was observed also when exploiting the same CCR metric approach 17 for the iodixanol data (Fig. S4), therefore this behavior is likely dependent on the higher exchange regime of iodixanol amide protons in comparison to the endogenous ones. 18

19 The pH relationship of the ratiometric index was found to be dependent on the applied  $B_{avg power}$  and 20 duty cycle, therefore a  $B_{avg power}$  of 1.0  $\mu$ T and a duty cycle of 50% were chosen, which gives good 21 pH sensitivity. In addition, the proposed ratiometric RPA index displays robust solute concentration 22 independence in the investigated pH,  $B_{avg power}$  and  $\theta$  values.

Several papers have recognized the advantages of exploiting the ratiometric approach to remove the concentration term. In particular, CEST-MRI pH sensing agents need to rule out the concentration term for an accurate measurement of the pH values. Since Ward and Balaban seminal work, only molecules possessing multiple chemical exchange sites with different frequencies offsets have been

11

1 considered as CEST-based pH sensing agents [66]. This approach was applied for both DIACEST 2 and PARACEST molecules obeying to the conditions of multiple proton pools, such as iopamidol 3 and Yb-HPDO3A for assessing pH in several tissues [67-69]. Remarkably, an expansion of this 4 approach has been obtained based on the irradiation of a single exchanging pool at different RF saturation powers, hence potentially transforming every CEST molecule into a pH responsive contrast 5 6 agent [41, 70]. Notably, the pH-dependence of the chemical shift of a single water exchange CEST 7 peak has been proposed as a novel pH-imaging approach following a PARACEST agent 8 administration [71, 72]. While all these methods used long duration and/or high power CW saturation 9 scheme, the herein reported approach is based on a pulsed CEST sequence that is easily translatable 10 to clinical MRI scanners owing to the reduced SAR limitation and amplifier restriction due to shorter 11 pulse duration. In addition, in contrast to the ratiometric approach based on different B<sub>1</sub> power levels, 12 we propose a completely new ratiometric index with a constant B<sub>avg power</sub> by varying the irradiation 13 flip angle  $\theta$ . The proposed ratiometric method requires only one exchanging pool and it covers a 14 broad pH range, similar to that achieved with conventional ratiometric pH MRI approaches. The pH 15 sensitivity index  $\Delta R_{pH}$ , measured as the difference of the ratiometric index between pH values of 6.0 16 and 7.4, was found to be between 1.4-1.7 (with Bavg power of 0.5-1.0 µT and dc 50%), slightly lower 17 than those attainable with the iopamidol- or iopromide-based ratiometric approaches ( $\Delta R_{pH} = 2.8$  and 18 2.7 for iopamidol and iopromide, respectively), but higher to that attainable with the Yb-HPDO3A 19 PARACEST agent ( $\Delta R_{pH} = 1.1$ ) [41]. Furthermore, the present method, described in this paper using 20 a x-ray agent characterized by only one mobile amide proton pool, may be applied as well in the 21 presence of two exchangeable pools (as in the case of iopamidol), with the advantage of a double 22 independent estimation of pH and thus, in principle, of a higher reliability. More importantly, 23 radiographic agents, owing to their high safety profile, have already been demonstrated for assessing 24 pH values at clinical level [73, 74].

Ratiometric approaches usually require multiple full Z-spectra acquisition that results in longer
overall acquisition time than single acquisition and could be more prone to motion artifacts, although

12

fast acquisition approaches have already been developed [75-77]. Conversely, the proposed approach
can quantify the CEST contrast by the irradiation at only two flip angles, hence resulting in shorter
acquisition times.

4 The proposed approach relies on irradiation train pulses at different  $\theta$ , hence B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneities may 5 affect the accuracy of this procedure, but others have shown that the ratiometric approach is relative 6 robust to B<sub>1</sub> errors [55] and B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneities are not an issue at animal scanners. On the other 7 hand, in whole-body-scanners severe  $B_1$  inhomogeneities may appear with fluctuations up to +-50% 8 [78]. However current developments in interpolation approaches of repeated scans with different 9 effective B<sub>1</sub> and in parallel transmission techniques will also allow mitigation of B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneities 10 in the near future and make the presented approach also translatable in the high field imaging in 11 humans [78, 79].

There are some remaining challenges that will be addressed to improve the proposed procedure. First, accurate pH responsiveness requires a suitable local concentration of the detected CEST contrast agent; in particular, obtained preliminary results seem to indicate that iodixanol should accumulate in the organ of interest with concentrations higher than 2.5 mM. However, previous studies have shown that this is feasible even in the tumor extracellular space with an average accumulation of 5-8 mM [59]. In addition, future studies should be addressed to validate *in vivo* the proposed new ratiometric approach for assessing pH.

19

#### 20 **5. Conclusions**

In summary, this study provides a new ratiometric approach for exogenous agents based on a pulsed
 CEST scheme with multiple irradiation flip angles and constant B<sub>1</sub> amplitude that extends the field
 of application for CEST-based pH imaging.

24

### 25 Acknowledgments

- 1 Financial support from European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (MITIGATE
- 2 project #602306), from Horizon 2020 Framework Programme (GLINT project #667510) and from
- 3 Compagnia San Paolo (S1618\_L2) are gratefully acknowledged.
- 4
- 5

# 6 References

- [1] P.C. van Zijl, and N.N. Yadav, Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST): what is in a name and what isn't? Magn Reson Med 65 (2011) 927-48.
- 9 [2] G. Liu, X. Song, K.W. Chan, and M.T. McMahon, Nuts and bolts of chemical exchange
   10 saturation transfer MRI. NMR Biomed 26 (2013) 810-28.
- [3] E. Vinogradov, A.D. Sherry, and R.E. Lenkinski, CEST: from basic principles to applications, challenges and opportunities. J Magn Reson 229 (2013) 155-72.
- [4] C.K. Jones, M.J. Schlosser, P.C. van Zijl, M.G. Pomper, X. Golay, and J. Zhou, Amide proton
   transfer imaging of human brain tumors at 3T. Magn Reson Med 56 (2006) 585-92.
- [5] P.C. van Zijl, C.K. Jones, J. Ren, C.R. Malloy, and A.D. Sherry, MRI detection of glycogen in
   vivo by using chemical exchange saturation transfer imaging (glycoCEST). Proc Natl Acad
   Sci U S A 104 (2007) 4359-64.
- [6] F.A. Nasrallah, G. Pages, P.W. Kuchel, X. Golay, and K.H. Chuang, Imaging brain
   deoxyglucose uptake and metabolism by glucoCEST MRI. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 33
   (2013) 1270-8.
- [7] M. Rivlin, J. Horev, I. Tsarfaty, and G. Navon, Molecular imaging of tumors and metastases
   using chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI. Sci Rep 3 (2013) 3045.
- [8] D. Delli Castelli, E. Terreno, and S. Aime, Yb(III)-HPDO3A: a dual pH- and temperature responsive CEST agent. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 50 (2011) 1798-800.
- [9] W. Dastru, D. Longo, and S. Aime, Contrast agents and mechanisms. Drug Discov Today:
   Technologies 8 (2011) e109-e115.
- [10] X. Wang, Y. Wu, T.C. Soesbe, J. Yu, P. Zhao, G.E. Kiefer, and A.D. Sherry, A pH-Responsive
   MRI Agent that Can Be Activated Beyond the Tissue Magnetization Transfer Window.
   Angewandte Chemie. International Ed. In English 54 (2015) 8662-4.
- [11] M. Rivlin, and G. Navon, Glucosamine and N-acetyl glucosamine as new CEST MRI agents
   for molecular imaging of tumors. Sci Rep 6 (2016) 32648.
- [12] M. Rivlin, I. Tsarfaty, and G. Navon, Functional molecular imaging of tumors by chemical
   exchange saturation transfer MRI of 3-O-Methyl-D-glucose. Magn Reson Med 72 (2014)
   1375-80.
- [13] A. Bar-Shir, J.W. Bulte, and A.A. Gilad, Molecular engineering of nonmetallic biosensors for
   CEST MRI. ACS Chem Biol 10 (2015) 1160-70.
- [14] P.Z. Sun, J. Zhou, J. Huang, and P. van Zijl, Simplified quantitative description of amide
   proton transfer (APT) imaging during acute ischemia. Magn Reson Med 57 (2007) 405-10.
- [15] M. Zaiss, J. Windschuh, D. Paech, J.E. Meissner, S. Burth, B. Schmitt, P. Kickingereder, B.
   Wiestler, W. Wick, M. Bendszus, H.P. Schlemmer, M.E. Ladd, P. Bachert, and A.
   Radbruch, Relaxation-compensated CEST-MRI of the human brain at 7T: Unbiased insight
- into NOE and amide signal changes in human glioblastoma. Neuroimage 112 (2015) 180-8.
  [16] D.L. Longo, F.Z. Moustaghfir, A. Zerbo, L. Consolino, A. Anemone, M. Bracesco, and S.
- 43 [16] D.L. Longo, F.Z. Moustagnir, A. Zerbo, L. Consonno, A. Anemone, M. Bracesco, and S.
   44 Aime, EXCI-CEST: Exploiting pharmaceutical excipients as MRI-CEST contrast agents for 45 tumor imaging. International Journal of Pharmaceutics 525 (2017) 275-281.

- [17] G. Ferrauto, D. Delli Castelli, E. Di Gregorio, S. Langereis, D. Burdinski, H. Grull, E. Terreno,
   and S. Aime, Lanthanide-loaded erythrocytes as highly sensitive chemical exchange
   saturation transfer MRI contrast agents. J Am Chem Soc 136 (2014) 638-41.
- [18] G. Ferrauto, D. Delli Castelli, E. Terreno, and S. Aime, In vivo MRI visualization of different
  cell populations labeled with PARACEST agents. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 69
  (2013) 1703-11.
- [19] G. Liu, C. Bettegowda, Y. Qiao, V. Staedtke, K.W. Chan, R. Bai, Y. Li, G.J. Riggins, K.W.
  Kinzler, J.W. Bulte, M.T. McMahon, A.A. Gilad, B. Vogelstein, S. Zhou, and P.C. van Zijl,
  Noninvasive imaging of infection after treatment with tumor-homing bacteria using
  Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) MRI. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 70
  (2013) 1690-8.
- [20] D.L. Longo, E. Di Gregorio, R. Abategiovanni, A. Ceccon, M. Assfalg, H. Molinari, and S.
   Aime, Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST): an efficient tool for detecting
   molecular information on proteins' behaviour. Analyst 139 (2014) 2687-90.
- [21] A. Ceccon, M. D'Onofrio, S. Zanzoni, D.L. Longo, S. Aime, H. Molinari, and M. Assfalg,
   NMR investigation of the equilibrium partitioning of a water-soluble bile salt protein carrier
   to phospholipid vesicles. Proteins 81 (2013) 1776-91.
- [22] M. Zaiss, P. Kunz, S. Goerke, A. Radbruch, and P. Bachert, MR imaging of protein folding in
   vitro employing nuclear-Overhauser-mediated saturation transfer. NMR Biomed 26 (2013)
   1815-22.
- [23] K. Cai, M. Haris, A. Singh, F. Kogan, J.H. Greenberg, H. Hariharan, J.A. Detre, and R. Reddy,
   Magnetic resonance imaging of glutamate. Nat Med 18 (2012) 302-6.
- [24] F. Kogan, M. Haris, A. Singh, K. Cai, C. Debrosse, R.P. Nanga, H. Hariharan, and R. Reddy,
   Method for high-resolution imaging of creatine in vivo using chemical exchange saturation
   transfer. Magn Reson Med 71 (2014) 164-72.
- [25] P.B. Tsitovich, P.J. Burns, A.M. McKay, and J.R. Morrow, Redox-activated MRI contrast
   agents based on lanthanide and transition metal ions. Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 133
   (2014) 143-54.
- [26] A.M. Funk, V. Clavijo Jordan, A.D. Sherry, S.J. Ratnakar, and Z. Kovacs, Oxidative
   Conversion of a Europium(II)-Based T1 Agent into a Europium(III)-Based paraCEST Agent
   that can be Detected In Vivo by Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Angewandte Chemie.
   International Ed. In English 55 (2016) 5024-7.
- [27] A. Bar-Shir, G. Liu, M.M. Greenberg, J.W. Bulte, and A.A. Gilad, Synthesis of a probe for
   monitoring HSV1-tk reporter gene expression using chemical exchange saturation transfer
   MRI. Nat Protoc 8 (2013) 2380-91.
- [28] A. Bar-Shir, G. Liu, K.W. Chan, N. Oskolkov, X. Song, N.N. Yadav, P. Walczak, M.T.
   McMahon, P.C. van Zijl, J.W. Bulte, and A.A. Gilad, Human protamine-1 as an MRI
   reporter gene based on chemical exchange. ACS Chem Biol 9 (2014) 134-8.
- [29] G. Liu, Y. Liang, A. Bar-Shir, K.W. Chan, C.S. Galpoththawela, S.M. Bernard, T. Tse, N.N.
  Yadav, P. Walczak, M.T. McMahon, J.W. Bulte, P.C. van Zijl, and A.A. Gilad, Monitoring
  enzyme activity using a diamagnetic chemical exchange saturation transfer magnetic
  resonance imaging contrast agent. J Am Chem Soc 133 (2011) 16326-9.
- [30] S. Aime, D. Delli Castelli, and E. Terreno, Novel pH-reporter MRI contrast agents. Angew
   Chem Int Ed Engl 41 (2002) 4334-6.
- [31] C.F. Geraldes, and S. Laurent, Classification and basic properties of contrast agents for
   magnetic resonance imaging. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 4 (2009) 1-23.
- [32] D.V. Hingorani, A.S. Bernstein, and M.D. Pagel, A review of responsive MRI contrast agents:
   2005-2014. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 10 (2015) 245-65.
- [33] D. Longo, and S. Aime, Iodinated Contrast Media as pH-Responsive CEST Agents. in: M.T.
   McMahon, A.A. Gilad, J.B.M. Bulte, and P.C.M. Van Zijl, (Eds.), Chemical Exchange
   Saturation Transfer Imaging, Pan Stanford Publishing, Singapore, 2017, pp. 447-466.

- [34] D.L. Longo, W. Dastru, G. Digilio, J. Keupp, S. Langereis, S. Lanzardo, S. Prestigio, O.
   Steinbach, E. Terreno, F. Uggeri, and S. Aime, Iopamidol as a responsive MRI-chemical
   exchange saturation transfer contrast agent for pH mapping of kidneys: In vivo studies in
   mice at 7 T. Magn Reson Med 65 (2011) 202-11.
- [35] D.L. Longo, A. Busato, S. Lanzardo, F. Antico, and S. Aime, Imaging the pH evolution of an
   acute kidney injury model by means of iopamidol, a MRI-CEST pH-responsive contrast
   agent. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 70 (2013) 859-864.
- 8 [36] D.L. Longo, A. Bartoli, L. Consolino, P. Bardini, F. Arena, M. Schwaiger, and S. Aime, In
   9 Vivo Imaging of Tumor Metabolism and Acidosis by Combining PET and MRI-CEST pH
   10 Imaging. Cancer Research 76 (2016) 6463-6470.
- [37] D.L. Longo, J.C. Cutrin, F. Michelotti, P. Irrera, and S. Aime, Noninvasive evaluation of renal
   pH homeostasis after ischemia reperfusion injury by CEST-MRI. NMR in Biomedicine 30
   (2017).
- [38] L.Q. Chen, C.M. Howison, J.J. Jeffery, I.F. Robey, P.H. Kuo, and M.D. Pagel, Evaluations of
   extracellular pH within in vivo tumors using acidoCEST MRI. Magnetic Resonance in
   Medicine 72 (2014) 1408-17.
- [39] X. Yang, X. Song, S. Ray Banerjee, Y. Li, Y. Byun, G. Liu, Z.M. Bhujwalla, M.G. Pomper,
   and M.T. McMahon, Developing imidazoles as CEST MRI pH sensors. Contrast Media &
   Molecular Imaging 11 (2016) 304-12.
- [40] K.M. Ward, and R.S. Balaban, Determination of pH using water protons and chemical
   exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST). Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 44 (2000)
   799-802.
- [41] D.L. Longo, P.Z. Sun, L. Consolino, F.C. Michelotti, F. Uggeri, and S. Aime, A General MRI CEST Ratiometric Approach for pH Imaging: Demonstration of in Vivo pH Mapping with
   lobitridol. Journal of the American Chemical Society 136 (2014) 14333-14336.
- [42] P.Z. Sun, T. Benner, A. Kumar, and A.G. Sorensen, Investigation of optimizing and translating
   pH-sensitive pulsed-chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) imaging to a 3T clinical
   scanner. Magn Reson Med 60 (2008) 834-41.
- [43] B. Schmitt, M. Zaiss, J. Zhou, and P. Bachert, Optimization of pulse train presaturation for
   CEST imaging in clinical scanners. Magn Reson Med 65 (2011) 1620-9.
- [44] P.Z. Sun, E. Wang, J.S. Cheung, X. Zhang, T. Benner, and A.G. Sorensen, Simulation and
   optimization of pulsed radio frequency irradiation scheme for chemical exchange saturation
   transfer (CEST) MRI-demonstration of pH-weighted pulsed-amide proton CEST MRI in an
   animal model of acute cerebral ischemia. Magn Reson Med 66 (2011) 1042-8.
- [45] J.E. Meissner, S. Goerke, E. Rerich, K.D. Klika, A. Radbruch, M.E. Ladd, P. Bachert, and M.
   Zaiss, Quantitative pulsed CEST-MRI using Omega-plots. NMR in Biomedicine 28 (2015)
   1196-208.
- [46] E.S. Yoshimaru, E.A. Randtke, M.D. Pagel, and J. Cardenas-Rodriguez, Design and
   optimization of pulsed Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer MRI using a multiobjective
   genetic algorithm. Journal of Magnetic Resonance 263 (2016) 184-92.
- [47] Y.K. Tee, A.A. Khrapitchev, N.R. Sibson, S.J. Payne, and M.A. Chappell, Evaluating the use
   of a continuous approximation for model-based quantification of pulsed chemical exchange
   saturation transfer (CEST). Journal of Magnetic Resonance 222 (2012) 88-95.
- [48] K.L. Desmond, and G.J. Stanisz, Understanding quantitative pulsed CEST in the presence of
   MT. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 67 (2012) 979-90.
- [49] V. Roeloffs, C. Meyer, P. Bachert, and M. Zaiss, Towards quantification of pulsed spinlock
   and CEST at clinical MR scanners: an analytical interleaved saturation-relaxation (ISAR)
   approach. NMR Biomed 28 (2015) 40-53.
- [50] C.K. Jones, D. Polders, J. Hua, H. Zhu, H.J. Hoogduin, J. Zhou, P. Luijten, and P.C. van Zijl,
   In vivo three-dimensional whole-brain pulsed steady-state chemical exchange saturation
   transfer at 7 T. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 67 (2012) 1579-89.

- [51] P.Z. Sun, T. Benner, A. Kumar, and A.G. Sorensen, Investigation of optimizing and translating
   pH-sensitive pulsed-chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) imaging to a 3T clinical
   scanner. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 60 (2008) 834-41.
- [52] K.L. Desmond, H. Mehrabian, S. Chavez, A. Sahgal, H. Soliman, R. Rola, and G.J. Stanisz,
   Chemical exchange saturation transfer for predicting response to stereotactic radiosurgery in
   human brain metastasis. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (2016).
- [53] J. Stabinska, T. Cronenberg, H.J. Wittsack, R.S. Lanzman, and A. Muller-Lutz, Quantitative
   pulsed CEST-MRI at a clinical 3T MRI system. MAGMA (2017).
- 9 [54] Z. Zu, K. Li, V.A. Janve, M.D. Does, and D.F. Gochberg, Optimizing pulsed-chemical
   10 exchange saturation transfer imaging sequences. Magn Reson Med 66 (2011) 1100-8.
- [55] Z. Zu, V.A. Janve, K. Li, M.D. Does, J.C. Gore, and D.F. Gochberg, Multi-angle ratiometric
   approach to measure chemical exchange in amide proton transfer imaging. Magn Reson
   Med 68 (2012) 711-9.
- [56] P.Z. Sun, Simplified and scalable numerical solution for describing multi-pool chemical
   exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI contrast. J Magn Reson 205 (2010) 235-41.
- [57] D.E. Woessner, S. Zhang, M.E. Merritt, and A.D. Sherry, Numerical solution of the Bloch
   equations provides insights into the optimum design of PARACEST agents for MRI. Magn
   Reson Med 53 (2005) 790-9.
- [58] P.Z. Sun, D.L. Longo, W. Hu, G. Xiao, and R. Wu, Quantification of iopamidol multi-site
   chemical exchange properties for ratiometric chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST)
   imaging of pH. Phys Med Biol 59 (2014) 4493-504.
- [59] D.L. Longo, F. Michelotti, L. Consolino, P. Bardini, G. Digilio, G. Xiao, P.Z. Sun, and S.
   Aime, In Vitro and In Vivo Assessment of Nonionic Iodinated Radiographic Molecules as
   Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer Magnetic Resonance Imaging Tumor Perfusion
   Agents. Invest Radiol 51 (2016) 155-62.
- [60] A. Ramani, C. Dalton, D.H. Miller, P.S. Tofts, and G.J. Barker, Precise estimate of
   fundamental in-vivo MT parameters in human brain in clinically feasible times. Magn
   Reson Imaging 20 (2002) 721-31.
- [61] J. Stancanello, E. Terreno, D.D. Castelli, C. Cabella, F. Uggeri, and S. Aime, Development and
   validation of a smoothing-splines-based correction method for improving the analysis of
   CEST-MR images. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 3 (2008) 136-49.
- [62] A. Anemone, L. Consolino, and D.L. Longo, MRI-CEST assessment of tumour perfusion
   using X-ray iodinated agents: comparison with a conventional Gd-based agent. European
   Radiology 27 (2017) 2170-2179.
- [63] M. Woods, D.E. Woessner, and A.D. Sherry, Paramagnetic lanthanide complexes as
   PARACEST agents for medical imaging. Chem Soc Rev 35 (2006) 500-11.
- [64] A.D. Sherry, and Y. Wu, The importance of water exchange rates in the design of responsive
   agents for MRI. Curr Opin Chem Biol 17 (2013) 167-74.
- [65] V. Khlebnikov, N. Geades, D.W.J. Klomp, H. Hoogduin, P. Gowland, and O. Mougin,
   Comparison of pulsed three-dimensional CEST acquisition schemes at 7 tesla: steady state
   versus pseudosteady state. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 77 (2017) 2280-2287.
- [66] K.M. Ward, A.H. Aletras, and R.S. Balaban, A new class of contrast agents for MRI based on
   proton chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST). J Magn Reson 143 (2000)
   79-87.
- [67] P.Z. Sun, D.L. Longo, W. Hu, G. Xiao, and R.H. Wu, Quantification of iopamidol multi-site
   chemical exchange properties for ratiometric chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST)
   imaging of pH. Physics in Medicine and Biology 59 (2014) 4493-4504.
- [68] D. Delli Castelli, G. Ferrauto, J.C. Cutrin, E. Terreno, and S. Aime, In vivo maps of
   extracellular pH in murine melanoma by CEST-MRI. Magn Reson Med 71 (2014) 326-32.

- [69] B.F. Moon, K.M. Jones, L.Q. Chen, P. Liu, E.A. Randtke, C.M. Howison, and M.D. Pagel, A
   comparison of iopromide and iopamidol, two acidoCEST MRI contrast media that measure
   tumor extracellular pH. Contrast Media Mol Imaging 10 (2015) 446-55.
- [70] R. Wu, D.L. Longo, S. Aime, and P.Z. Sun, Quantitative description of radiofrequency (RF)
   power-based ratiometric chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) pH imaging. NMR
   Biomed 28 (2015) 555-65.
- [71] Y. Wu, S. Zhang, T.C. Soesbe, J. Yu, E. Vinogradov, R.E. Lenkinski, and A.D. Sherry, pH
   imaging of mouse kidneys in vivo using a frequency-dependent paraCEST agent. Magnetic
   Resonance in Medicine 75 (2016) 2432-41.
- [72] P.B. Tsitovich, J.M. Cox, J.A. Spernyak, and J.R. Morrow, Gear Up for a pH Shift: A
   Responsive Iron(II) 2-Amino-6-picolyl-Appended Macrocyclic paraCEST Agent That
   Protonates at a Pendent Group. Inorg Chem 55 (2016) 12001-12010.
- [73] A. Muller-Lutz, N. Khalil, B. Schmitt, V. Jellus, G. Pentang, G. Oeltzschner, G. Antoch, R.S.
   Lanzman, and H.J. Wittsack, Pilot study of Iopamidol-based quantitative pH imaging on a
   clinical 3T MR scanner. MAGMA 27 (2014) 477-85.
- [74] K.M. Jones, E.A. Randtke, E.S. Yoshimaru, C.M. Howison, P. Chalasani, R.R. Klein, S.K.
   Chambers, P.H. Kuo, and M.D. Pagel, Clinical Translation of Tumor Acidosis
   Measurements with AcidoCEST MRI. Mol Imaging Biol 19 (2017) 617-625.
- [75] X. Xu, N.N. Yadav, X. Song, M.T. McMahon, A. Jerschow, P.C. van Zijl, and J. Xu,
   Screening CEST contrast agents using ultrafast CEST imaging. Journal of Magnetic
   Resonance 265 (2016) 224-9.
- [76] J. Dopfert, M. Zaiss, C. Witte, and L. Schroder, Ultrafast CEST imaging. Journal of Magnetic
   Resonance 243 (2014) 47-53.
- [77] G. Varma, R.E. Lenkinski, and E. Vinogradov, Keyhole chemical exchange saturation transfer.
   Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 68 (2012) 1228-33.
- [78] J. Windschuh, M. Zaiss, J.E. Meissner, D. Paech, A. Radbruch, M.E. Ladd, and P. Bachert,
   Correction of B1-inhomogeneities for relaxation-compensated CEST imaging at 7 T. NMR
   in Biomedicine 28 (2015) 529-37.
- [79] D.H.Y. Tse, N.A. da Silva, B.A. Poser, and N.J. Shah, B1+ inhomogeneity mitigation in CEST
   using parallel transmission. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 78 (2017) 2216-2225.
- 31 32
- .
- 33
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- **7**-
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41 **Figures Legends**

1

2 **Figure 1** Chemical structure of the radiographic agent iodixanol.

3

Figure 2 Simulated CEST contrast (ST%) as a function of θ showing the oscillation component for
iodixanol when irradiated with a pulsed gaussian train with constant B<sub>avg power</sub> of 2µT and dc 50%.
Simulations were performed for different k<sub>ex</sub> (a), f<sub>s</sub> (b), T<sub>1w</sub> (c), T<sub>2w</sub> (d), T<sub>1s</sub> (e), T<sub>2s</sub> (f) by using a
three-pool model. In panels (b-f) the normalized CEST contrast (normalized ST%) is the CEST
contrast (ST%) normalized at θ = 900°.
Figure 3 (a) Simulated (solid line) and experimental (circle) CEST contrast for a 40 mM iodixanol
solution as a function of θ (θ = 45°, 90°, 135°, 180°, 225°, 270°, 315°, 360°, 405°, 450°, 540°, 630°,

12  $720^{\circ}$ ,  $810^{\circ}$ ,  $900^{\circ}$ ,  $k_{ex} = 160$  Hz,  $B_{avg power} 2 \mu T$ , dc 50%,  $B_0 7T$ ,  $37^{\circ}C$ ). (b) Simulated (square) and

13 experimental (circle) ratiometric value (RPA) calculated for different titrated pH values (B<sub>0</sub>=7T,

B<sub>avg power</sub> 1 μT and dc 50%). (c) Calculated RPA curve with iodixanol dissolved in human plasma
(B<sub>0</sub>=7T, B<sub>avg power</sub> 1 μT and dc 50%, 37°C).

16

Figure 4 Plot of CEST contrast as a function of  $\theta$  at T=21°C for a 40 mM iodixanol solution at several pH values in the range 5.5-7.9 for different experimental conditions: (a)  $B_{avg power} 2 \mu T$  and dc 50%; (b)  $B_{avg power} 2 \mu T$  and dc 30%; (c)  $B_{avg power} 1 \mu T$  and dc 50% and (d)  $B_{avg power} 1 \mu T$  and dc 30% with a total irradiation time of 5 s ( $B_0 = 7T; T=21^{\circ}C$ ).

21

Figure 5 Plot of CEST contrast as a function of θ at 37°C for a 40 mM iodixanol solution at several
pH values in the range 5.5-7.9 for different experimental conditions: (a) B<sub>avg power</sub> 2 μT and dc 50%;
(b) B<sub>avg power</sub> 2 μT and dc 30%; (c) B<sub>avg power</sub> 1 μT and dc 50% and (d) B<sub>avg power</sub> 0.5 μT and dc 50%

- 25 with a total irradiation time of 5 s ( $B_0 = 7T; T=37^{\circ}C$ )
- 26

Figure 6 CEST contrast ratiometric RPA values as a function of pH for B<sub>avg power</sub> of 1 μT and 0.5
 μT and dc 50% with θ ratio of (a) 180°/360° and (b) of 180°/720° (B<sub>0</sub> = 7T; T=37°C; total
 irradiation time of 5 s).

4

5 Figure 7 T<sub>2w</sub> image of phantom containing 40 mM iodixanol adjusted to the indicated pH values 6 (a), ST maps obtained after pulsed irradiation with  $\theta$  values of 180° (b), 360° (c) and 720° (d) and 7 corresponding pH maps as determined by the RPA approach by ratio  $(e) 180^{\circ}/360^{\circ}$  and (f)8  $180^{\circ}/720^{\circ}$   $\theta$  values with B<sub>avg power</sub> of 1  $\mu$ T and dc 50%. Calculated pH vs experimental pH by ratioing (g)  $180^{\circ}/360^{\circ}$   $\theta$  values (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.998, P<0.001) and (h)  $180^{\circ}/720^{\circ}$   $\theta$  values (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.996, 9 10 P<0.0001). 11 Figure 8 Regression analysis between RPA ratiometric values and iodixanol concentration (range 12 13 2.5-40 mM) with  $B_{avg power}$  of 1  $\mu$ T and 0.5  $\mu$ T and dc 50%: by ratioing (a) 180°/360°  $\theta$  values and

14 (b)  $180^{\circ}/720^{\circ} \theta$  values (B<sub>0</sub> = 7T; T=37°C; total irradiation time of 5 s). All regression lines have

15 slopes not significantly different from zero.

16

17

18