



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Puledda, A.; <u>Gaspa, G</u>.; Manca, M. G.; Serdino, J.; Urgeghe, P. P.; Dimauro, C.; Negrini, R.; Macciotta, N. P. P.

Estimates of Heritability and Genetic Correlations for Milk Coagulation Properties and Individual Laboratory Cheese Yield in Sarda Ewes.

animal 2017, 11 (6), 920–928.

The publisher's version is available at:

https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/animal/article/estimates-of-heritability-and-geneticcorrelations-for-milk-coagulation-properties-and-individual-laboratory-cheese-yield-in-sardaewes/B75E356F0445C40BACA72BF40905193F#

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1687013

This full text was downloaded from iris-Aperto: https://iris.unito.it/

# Estimates of heritability and genetic correlations for milk coagulation properties and individual laboratory cheese yield in Sarda ewes

- 3
- A. Puledda<sup>1</sup>, G. Gaspa<sup>1</sup>, M.G. Manca<sup>1</sup>, J. Serdino<sup>1</sup>, P.P. Urgeghe<sup>1</sup>, C. Dimauro<sup>1</sup>, R.
  Negrini<sup>2,3</sup> and N.P.P. Macciotta<sup>1</sup>
- 6
- <sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Agraria, Università degli Studi di Sassari, viale Italia 39, 07100 Sassari,
  Italy
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Associazione Italiana Allevatori, via G. Tomassetti 9, 00161 Roma, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Istituto di Zootecnica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, via Emilia Parmense 84,
- 11 29122 Piacenza, Italy

12

13 Corresponding author: Giustino Gaspa. Email: gigaspa@uniss.it

14

15 **Short title**: Genetic parameters for clotting properties of milk

#### 17 Abstract

Objective of this study was to estimate genetic parameters of milk coagulation properties 18 (MCPs) and individual laboratory cheese yield (ILYC) in a sample of 1 018 Sarda breed 19 ewes farmed in 47 flocks. Rennet coagulation time (RCT), curd firming time (k<sub>20</sub>) and curd 20 firmness (a<sub>30</sub>) were measured using Formagraph instrument (Foss, Hillerød, Denmark) 21 whereas ILYC were determined by a micro manufacturing protocol. About 10% of the milk 22 samples did not coagulate within 30 minutes and 13% had zero value for k<sub>20</sub>. The average 23 ILCY was 36%. (Co)variance components of considered traits were estimated by fitting 24 both single- and multiple-trait animal models. Flock-test date explained from 13% to 28% 25 of the phenotypic variance for MCPs and 26% for ILCY, respectively. The largest value of 26 heritability was estimated for RCT (0.23±0.10) whereas it was about 0.15 for the other 27 traits. Negative genetic correlations between RCT and  $a_{30}$  (-0.80±0.12),  $a_{30}$  and  $k_{20}$ 28 (-0.91±0.09), and a<sub>30</sub> and ILCY (-0.67±0.08) were observed. Interesting genetic 29 correlations between MCPs and milk composition ( $r_{G} > 0.40$ ) were estimated for pH, NaCl 30 and Casein. Results of the present study suggest to use only one out of three MCPs to 31 measure milk renneting ability, due to the highly genetic correlations among them. 32 Moreover negative correlations between ILCY and MCPs suggest a great care when using 33 these methods to estimate cheese yield from small milk samples. 34

35

Keywords: clotting properties, rennet, dairy sheep, genetic parameters, variance
 components.

# 39 Implication

The estimation of genetic parameters is the first and essential step to select for coagulation traits and cheese yield in dairy sheep. The aim of this paper is to fill a gap in comparison to what happens in dairy cattle; indeed heritability estimates for clotting properties are missing for small ruminants. Since the sheep milk is almost totally destined for cheese making, the estimation of heritability of coagulation traits may enable future scenarios of selection for such traits, with potential implications on selection schemes and milk payment tables.

#### 47 Introduction

The Italian dairy sheep stock consists of about 5.5 million ewes. The largest breed is the 48 Sarda with more than 3 million sheep (BDN, 2014). The Sarda breed accounts for about 49 43% of the national total ovine stock and about 4% of EU sheep stock (Eurostat, 2014). 50 The total milk production of the Sarda is about 300 000 t of milk per year (about 4% of total 51 world production, FAOSTAT, 2014). The breeding programme currently involves a 52 breeding nucleus of 212 941 milk recorded sheep farmed in 1 032 flocks, and the 53 commercial population (ICAR, 2014). Since the beginning of the program, the total milk 54 yield per lactation has been the main selective goal of Sarda sheep (Sanna et al., 1997; 55 Carta et al., 2009). Recording for fat and protein percentage on first lambing ewes started 56 in 1998. The milk is totally transformed in cheese with a production of 50-60 000 tons per 57 year of three Protected Designation Origin products, mostly destined for export (Furesi et 58 al., 2013). Thus the milk cheese making ability could be a breeding goal of great interest 59 for this breed. 60

Pecorino Romano cheese yield could be predicted from bulk milk composition using suitable equations (Pirisi *et al.*, 1994). However, predictions on individual milk are less accurate due to the variability of milk solids, thus individual laboratory cheese yield (ILCY) has been proposed as an indicator of potential cheese yield in individual ovine milk samples (Othmane *et al.*, 2002). ILCY shows low heritability and a positive genetic correlation with milk composition and negative with milk yield in Spanish Churra sheep (Othmane *et al.*, 2002).

Other indicators of milk cheese making ability, extensively studied in cattle, are milk coagulation properties (**MCPs**) (Aleandri *et al.,* 1989; Ikonen *et al.,* 1999; De Marchi *et al.,* 

2008; Bonfatti et al., 2014). They are usually defined by three parameters: rennet 70 71 coagulation time (**RCT**, min), curd firming time ( $k_{20}$ , min) and curd firmness ( $a_{30}$ , mm), commonly measured by using either mechanical or optical devices (Bittante et al., 2012). 72 Several studies have reported that an appreciable proportion of the MCPs variation in cow 73 milk is of additive genetic nature. A recent review by Bittante et al., (2012) reported 74 moderate values of heritability (about 0.26) for RCT and a<sub>30</sub>, whilst few study report 75 reliable estimates for k<sub>20</sub>. In general, MCPs exhibit moderate to high genetic correlations 76 with pH and somatic cell count, and very low to null with milk traits, respectively (Bittante et 77 *al.,* 2012). 78

79 Few studies have been carried out on MCPs in small ruminants, especially in sheep. In particular effects of environmental factors, feeding, breed, parity and lactation stage on 80 MCPs, milk composition and laboratory cheese yield, have been investigated (Jaramillo et 81 82 al., 2008; Bittante et al., 2014; Pazzola et al., 2014). In some researches, novel milk coagulation and syneresis parameters, estimated by a nonlinear modelling of the entire 83 curd-firming process were used (Vacca et al., 2015). Finally, Relationships between 84 MCPs, sanitary status of the mammary gland were also investigated in sheep (Rovai et al., 85 2015). Analysis of environmental factors affecting MCPs and ILCY and the estimation of 86 their genetic parameters for MCPs and ILCY are essential steps for planning their 87 improvement by means of selection. Aim of this study was to estimate heritability of MCPs, 88 ILCY and their phenotypic and genetic correlations with milk yield and composition in 89 90 Sarda dairy ewes.

91

92 Material and methods

#### 93 Animals, milk sample collection and laboratory analysis

The study involved 1 018 Sarda ewes from 47 flocks located in the four historical 94 provinces of Sardinia. Pedigree and milk recording information were supplied by the 95 national association of small ruminant breeders (ASSONAPA, Rome, Italy). The pedigree 96 file included more than 1.8 million animal records. Dairy ewes were offspring of 499 rams; 97 other details about the structure of the population are given in Table 1. Individual milk 98 samples (100 ml) were collected in the mid-late lactation (from 45 to 249 days in milk, 99 average = 156±37.4 days) from April to July 2014 by the provincial association of breeders 100 (APA). Milk samples were added with preservatives (bronopol, 62,5 µl/100 ml). Analyses 101 were carried out within the 24 h after sampling and the milk samples were kept refrigerated 102 103 during transportation from the farms to the laboratory.

The milk samples were split into two subsamples of 50 ml each and analysed in order to 104 determine composition and cheese making attitude of milk, respectively. Standard milk 105 analysis were performed at the milk lab of the Regional Association of Animal Breeds of 106 Sardinia (ARA, Oristano, Italy). Milk composition was spectroscopically determined by 107 MilkoScan<sup>™</sup> (Foss Electric, Denmark). Somatic cell count (SCC) was also determined 108 using the Fossomatic<sup>TM</sup> (Foss Electric, Denmark). MCPs were measured by using a 109 Formagraph Instrument (Foss Electric A/S, Hillerød, Denmark). Briefly, 10 mL of each 110 individual sample were heated to 35° C before the addition of 200 µL of rennet solution 111 (Hansen Naturen 215, with 80 ± 5% and chymosin 20 ± 5% pepsin, PacovisAmrein AG, 112 Bern, Switzerland) diluted to 0.8% in distilled water. This analysis ended within 30 min 113 after rennet addition and produced a lactodinamographic path as reported by Bittante et 114 al., (2012). RCT is the time between rennet addition and the start of the milk coagulation, 115

116 k<sub>20</sub> is the time at which the typical oscillation graph reaches the width of 20 mm, and  $a_{30}$  is 117 the width of the graph at 30 min after rennet addition. ILCY was determined according to a 118 modified method of Othmane *et al.*, (2002), further details of the methodology used are 119 provided in Manca *et al.*, (2016). The predicted pecorino cheese yield (**PPCY**) was also 120 calculated using the equation proposed by Pirisi *et al.*, (1994): PPCY = 1.747 x protein% + 1.272 x fat%.

122

#### 123 Statistical Analysis

Thirteen traits were analysed: RCT, k<sub>20</sub>, a<sub>30</sub>, ILCY, PPCY, milk yield (**MY**), fat percentage 124 (FP), protein percentage (PP), casein percentage (CSN), conjugated linolenic acid 125 percentage (CLA), pH, NaCl, Somatic cell score (SCS). Non-coagulating samples were 126 eliminated, as well as the missing records for the other traits. Since the k<sub>20</sub> parameter 127 presented a skewed distribution, a log transformation was also applied on this trait. 128 (Co)variance components were estimated by using Restricted Maximum Likelihood 129 (REML) methodology implemented in VCE v. 6.0 software (Groeneveld et al., 2010). Both 130 a Single- (ST) and multiple-trait (MT) animal models were fitted. The raw data included 1 131 018 animals with phenotypes. Ancestors were extracted from the pedigree file considering 132 up to the fourth previous generation. A total of 5 234 animals were included in the 133 numerator relationship matrix (A). ST and MT analysis were carried out on MCPs using 134 following 135 the linear mixed model [1]. +++136 +++ $y_{iiklmno} =$ 

In the ST model, for each trait  $y_{ijklmno}$  is a single measure for the *i*-th individual;  $\mu$  is the 137 overall mean; PAR is the fixed effect of *j*-th parity with 6 levels (j = 1 to 5 and PAR  $\geq$  6); LM 138 is the fixed effect of k-th lambing month with 6 levels (k = October to March); DIM is the 139 fixed effect I-th class of days in milk with 6 levels (I=1: from 45-80d, I=2: 81-120d, I=3: 121-140 150d, *I*=4: 151-180d, *I*=5: 181-210, *I*=6: >210d); *RP* is the fixed effect of the *m*-th position 141 of the milk samples in the rack of Formagraph, (m = 1 to 10); *ftd* is the cross-classified 142 random effect of the n-th combination flock-test date (n = 1 to 70 levels) with 143  $ftd_n \sim N(0, I_{ftd}^2)$ , where I and  $\sigma_{ftd}^2$  were the identity matrix and the variance associated to 144 flock-test date, respectively;  $a_o$  is the random genetic effect for the o-th animal (o = 1 to 5 145 234 levels) with  $a_o \sim \frac{a^2}{a}$  and  $e_{ijklmno}$  is the random residual term with 146  $e_{ijklmno} \sim N(0, I_e^2)$  where  $\sigma_a^2$  and  $\sigma_e^2$  are the additive genetic and residual variance, 147 respectively. Genetic parameters of ILCY, milk yield and composition traits were estimated 148 with a mixed linear model that had the same structure of eq. [1], but that did not included 149 the effect of the rack position. 150

In the MT animal model  $\mathbf{y}_i$  represented the vector of dependent variables for the i-th 151 individual, whereas the fixed and random effects were the same as eq. [1]. Two different 152 MT analyses were carried out: i) a five-traits animal model, including the 3 MCPs, ILCY 153 and PPCY, that was aimed at estimating genetic correlations among coagulation 154 properties and cheese yields; ii) a series of bi-variate analyses for ILCY and each of the 155 MCPs with all the remaining variables was performed to evaluate the genetic correlations 156 among abovementioned properties and milk yield and composition. For both MT animal 157 models the (co)variances for random effects were assumed to follow a multivariate normal 158

as  $[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_n]' \sim \mathsf{N}(0, \mathbf{A} \ni \mathbf{G})$ , distribution modelled and they were 159  $[\mathbf{ftd}_1 \dots \mathbf{ftd}_n]' \sim N(0, \mathbf{I} \mathbf{F})$  and  $[\mathbf{e}_1 \dots \mathbf{e}_n]' N(0, \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{R})$ , where: **A** and **I** have previously 160 been defined; n was the number of traits analysed; G, F and R were the n by n genetic 161 additive, flock-test-date and residual covariance matrices, respectively (the element  $\sigma_{i,j(i=j)}^2$ 162 in the diagonal are the variance and the off-diagonal  $\sigma_{i,j(i\neq j)}$  covariance between trait i and 163 trait *j*). For each trait, both for ST and MT analyses, heritability was computed as 164  $h^2 = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{ex}^2 + \sigma_a^2}$ , for each analysed trait. Moreover, phenotypic and genetic correlations 165

among MCPs and all the other traits have been computed.

167

#### 168 **Results**

#### 169 Descriptive statistics

Fat, protein and casein percentages (Table 2) were similar to those observed in individual 170 data either in Sarda (Pazzola et al., 2014; Manca et al., 2016) and in other Italian breeds, 171 such as Valle del Belice (Cappio-Borlino et al., 1997), Massese (Martini et al., 2008) and 172 alpine breeds (Bittante et al., 2014). Milk solid were lower than those reported for Spanish 173 breeds (Othmane et al., 2002; Jaramillo et al., 2008). The average values of the test-day 174 records for CLA (1.26±0.57) was lower than values reported for Sarda and Massese 175 176 breeds (Nudda et al., 2005; Martini et al., 2008). The pH exhibited the smallest variability whereas somatic cell count varied accordingly to the breed average (Pazzola et al., 2014). 177

About 6% (n=64) of the samples did not coagulate within 30 min (NC samples 178 thereafter), and at the same time they did not present any values for  $a_{30}$  and  $k_{20}$ . The 179 samples with RCT>29 min and  $A_{30}$ < 1 mm, about 9% (n=90) and 10% (n=101) 180 respectively, were discarded. Also 129 samples (about 13%) that did not reach a curd 181 firmness of 20 mm were excluded from the analysis (Figure 1). The percentage of NC 182 samples within each DIM class tended to increase along the lactation. However, when the 183 NC samples are referred to the total number of samples, largest values were observed in 184 the central DIM classes. The same trend is observed for the percentage of missing  $k_{20}$ 185 records (Figure 2). 186

The  $a_{30}$  and  $k_{20}$  parameters presented a more skewed distributions compared to RCT and ILCY (Figure 1). The average values for RCT were lower than those reported by Pazzola et *al.* (2014) and Vacca et *al.* (2015), whereas  $k_{20}$  and  $a_{30}$  varied accordingly to the values reported in literature for dairy sheep (Table 2). Average ILYC measured in the current study was 36.2±9.3%, whereas predicted cheese yield using the equation was equal 17.3±2.4% (Table 2).

A not negligible fraction of the phenotypic variance can be ascribed to the differences between flocks. The flock-test date effect explained a quota of phenotypic variation ranging from 13% to 33% for the three milk coagulation parameters and cheese yield (Table 3). The largest percentage of variance explained by flock-test date was recorded for MY (56%), followed by FP (50%), pH (30%), PP and CSN (20%). Moreover, for the last two traits the flock variance matched those of MCPs.

199

200 Heritability, phenotypic and genetic correlations of coagulation traits

Heritability estimates (Table 3) were moderate for RCT ( $h^2 = 0.23$ ) and lower for  $a_{30}$  and  $k_{20}$ ( $h^2 = 0.15$ ). The estimate for ILCY ( $h^2 = 0.16$ ) has doubled the values by Othmane *et al.*, (2002) heritability for PPCY was of the same magnitude of ILCY. Values for other milk traits ranged from 0.03 for SCS to 0.28 of NaCl content. Intermediate values were observed for MY (0.08), FP (0.10), PP (0.13) and CSN percentages (0.15) (Table 3).

Moderate to high phenotypic correlations were observed among coagulation traits 206 (Table 4). The curd firmness at 30 min showed a negative correlation with RCT and k<sub>20</sub>. 207 Conversely,  $k_{20}$  was positively associated with RCT ( $r_P = 0.84$ ). ILCY and PPCY presented 208 moderate to low positive correlations with RCT-k<sub>20</sub> and negative with a<sub>30</sub>, respectively. 209 210 Genetic correlations between MCPs were large and negative, those involving a<sub>30</sub>, positive (between RCT and  $k_{20}$ ) respectively. The largest value was for the correlation between  $k_{20}$ 211 and  $a_{30}$  (r<sub>A</sub>= -0.91). Genetic correlations for  $a_{30}$  and RCT are in the range of variability of 212 MCPs as recently reviewed by Bittante et al., (2012). Unexpected results were the 213 negative genetic correlation between ILCY and a<sub>30</sub> as well as the positive correlations 214 between ILCY, RCT (r<sub>A</sub>=0.55) and k<sub>20</sub> (r<sub>A</sub>=0.64). Conversely, PPCY was positively 215 associated with  $a_{30}$  (r<sub>A</sub>=0.22) and negatively with  $k_{20}$  (r<sub>A</sub>=-0.19) even if the magnitude of 216 these estimates were lower than those involving ILCY and with larger standard errors. 217 PPCY and ILCY were moderately associated (r<sub>A</sub>=0.47). Heritabilities of MCPs and ILCY 218 estimated by the MT animal model were very close to those obtained with the ST model, 219 with lower standard errors though (data not shown). 220

221

222 Phenotypic and genetic correlations between milk coagulation and milk quality traits

Phenotypic correlations among the three MCPs and milk traits were negligible apart from those involving SCS, pH and NaCl (Table 5). In particular, pH was negatively and moderately correlated with  $a_{30}$  and pH ( $r_P=-0.42$ ) and positively and strongly with RCT, respectively. NaCl and SCS were moderately correlated with three MCPs. Moreover, RCT only was weakly associated to PP and CSN percentages. Phenotypic correlation of ILCY (PPCY) with fat and protein percentage were  $r_P=0.46$  ( $r_P=0.91$ ) and  $r_P=0.37$  ( $r_P=0.72$ ), respectively.

The majority of genetic correlations among MCPs and milk production and composition 230 variables were close to zero (MY, FP with RCT) or presented very large standard errors 231 (FP, PP, CS, SCS with a<sub>30</sub>). Of interest are those between pH and RCT (r<sub>A</sub>= 0.68) and pH 232 and  $a_{30}$  ( $r_A = -0.83$ ). RCT was also moderately correlated to case ( $r_A = 0.44$ ), but 233 unexpectedly close to zero genetic association (with large standard errors though) were 234 found among protein and casein and a<sub>30</sub>. Moreover, both RCT and k<sub>20</sub> showed a positive 235 genetic association with NaCl, whereas, no reliable associations were found with 236 functional compound like CLA. As expected, ILCY was positively correlated with milk 237 composition (FP, PP and CSN) and negatively correlated with MY. High trivial genetic 238 correlation were observed among fat, protein and casein and PPCY, and although of 239 240 reduced magnitude when compared to ILCY, a negative correlation between PPCY and MY was observed. 241

242

## 243 Discussion

In general, studies on milk rheological properties are characterised by a relevant variability
 of results. Moreover, several variables affecting clotting properties have been identified so

far (Bittante *et al.*, 2012). In the present study, some milk samples did not coagulate within the reference time of 30 minutes. The percentage of non-coagulating milks was larger than in previous studies on Sarda and Alpine breeds (Bittante *et al.*, 2014; Pazzola *et al.*, 2014), but smaller than the value (24%) observed on Sarda bulk milk (Giangolini *et al.*, 2004). The result of the present study are similar to those observed in dairy cattle where the proportion of samples that did not coagulate and those with missing k<sub>20</sub> are on average 19% and 33% across studies, respectively (Bittante *et al.*, 2012).

According to some authors, the milk coagulation process should be faster in ovine than 253 bovine milk (Bittante et al., 2012; Pazzola et al., 2014). The average RCT found in the 254 present study does not confirm this hypothesis. It was twofold the values measured in 255 Sarda and Alpine (Pazzola et al., 2014; Bittante et al., 2014). On the other hand, it is in 256 agreement with results obtained in other studies on Sarda (Pirisi et al., 2000; Mele et al., 257 2006) Massese (Pugliese et al., 2000; Martini et al., 2008) and Spanish (Jaramillo et al., 258 2008; Rovai et al., 2015) sheep breeds. Average values and distributions of k<sub>20</sub> and a<sub>30</sub> are 259 in agreement with a previous report on Sarda ewes (Pazzola et al., 2014). 260

Average ILYC measured in the current study was similar to those estimated by Jaramillo *et al.*, (2008) but 10% higher than previous finding on Churra sheep (Othmane *et al.*, 2002). Anyhow, the actual cheese yield is clearly overestimated by the use of ILCY. Whereas the PPCY were in accordance to the average Pecorino Romano cheese yield (Pirisi *et al.*, 2002) and it was moderately correlated with ILCY. The overestimation of cheese yield could be ascribed to the method of micro-manufacturing used (Othmane *et al.*, 2002; Bonfatti *et al.*, 2014) [see later in the discussion].

Diifferently from what is observed in dairy cattle, the flock environment exerted a significant role. Compared to previous works on Sarda (Pazzola *et al.*, 2014; Vacca *et al.*, 2015) the proportion of variance explained by flock-test day was similar for k<sub>20</sub>, but slightly lower for RCT and a<sub>30</sub>. The fraction of variance explained by flock for MCPs was dramatically higher in comparison with studies on cattle (Ikonen *et al.*, 2004; Tyrisevä *et al.*, 2004; Vallas *et al.*, 2010), probably due to the peculiarities of sheep farming.

274

#### 275 Heritability of milk coagulation, composition and cheese yield traits.

For some traits a significant quota of phenotypic variance was additive genetic, in other 276 cases the majority of the variation was of environmental nature. Estimates of heritability for 277 RCT were moderate and just in few case presented small standard errors. The 278 comparison can be made only with dairy cattle data due to the lack of information for 279 sheep in the literature. Values obtained in the present study confirmed reports for dairy 280 cattle (Ikonen et al., 1999; Tyrisevä et al., 2004; Cassandro et al., 2008). However, RCT 281 heritability was below the findings of Ikonen et al., (2004) and Vallas et al., (2010). In the 282 case of a<sub>30</sub> the heritability was of the same extent of other studies (Cassandro et al., 2008; 283 Cecchinato et al., 2011) but sensibly lower than Ikonen et al., (1999; 2004) and Tyrisevä et 284 al., (2004). The  $k_{20}$  parameter had a similar heritability of  $a_{30}$  but few reports have been 285 found on heritability of k<sub>20</sub> in literature (Bittante *et al.*, 2012). 286

The heritability estimate of ILCY was double in magnitude compared to values reported by Othmane *et al.*, (2002) whose estimates derived from a sample of similar size, even if with ~7,500 test-day records of sheep milk over two generations. The cheese yield

equation-predicted on the basis of fat and protein percentage has an heritability of the 290 same magnitude of ILCY. Heritabilities for milk composition traits were from low to 291 intermediate. Values for fat and protein were markedly lower than those reported for Sarda 292 sheep (Sanna et al., 1997). The use of one test day per animal and the reduced sample 293 size in comparison to other works, may at least partially justify these differences. However, 294 values observed in the present study were close to those reported by Othmane et al., 295 (2002) and they were in the range of variability observed for dairy sheep (Oravcová et al., 296 2005). 297

298

#### 299 Phenotypic and genetic correlations between milk coagulation traits and cheese yield

300 The knowledge of genetic associations among coagulation, milk yield and quality traits is 301 essential when exploring the possibility to select in favour of one of the MCPs traits. The overall phenotypic correlation pattern of MCPs confirmed what observed in Sarda and 302 Churra breeds (Nudda et al., 2001; Jaramillo et al., 2008), whilst partially disagree with the 303 results of Pazzola et al., (2014). The latter authors found a low negative correlation 304 between RCT and a<sub>30</sub> (-0.15) indicating a substantial phenotypic independency between 305 these two traits. In the present paper, moderate phenotypic and high negative genetic 306 correlations were obtained between these two traits, respectively. This result is similar to 307 previous reports in dairy cattle (Cassandro et al., 2008, Ikonen et al., 2004; Bittante et al., 308 2012). Indeed, if milk takes less time to coagulate, then more time is available for the 309 process of curd firming. Since the repeatability of RCT is guite higher (Bittante et al., 310 2012), this means that also in sheep one measure of RCT is enough to predict both traits. 311

Furthermore, the strong negative phenotypic correlation between  $k_{20}$  and curd firmness at 30 min was expected, due to the positive association of  $k_{20}$  with RCT. Similar relationships were previously found in Sarda sheep (Pazzola *et al.*, 2014). Additive genetic variance in common between these two traits ( $k_{20}$ ,  $a_{30}$ ) have been scarcely investigated in dairy cattle, due to the higher percentage of missing values for  $k_{20}$  parameter.

Negative correlation between ILCY and a<sub>30</sub> (either phenotypic or genetic) and positive 317 correlations between ILCY and RCT-k<sub>20</sub> were unexpected. Conversely, PPCY presented a 318 weak positive genetic association with  $a_{30}$  and negative with  $k_{20}$ . The possible explanation 319 for this correlation pattern can be formulated considering two conflicting aspects. The first, 320 321 is the interaction between predicted cheese yield and a<sub>30</sub> as function of the fat percentage (Aleandri et al., 1989). These authors found that the predicted cheese yield was positively 322 associated with a<sub>30</sub> with low fat milk, and negatively associated to a<sub>30</sub> with high fat cow 323 milk. Hence, the high fat level of ovine milk, compared to cow milk, could partially explain 324 our results. A second issue is represented to the method used for measuring cheese yield. 325 Indeed micro-cheese factoring can produce biased estimation of actual cheese yield, due 326 to the small amount of milk used. This fact is also confirmed by the overestimation of 327 cheese yield, found also in other works (Othmane et al., 2002; Jaramillo et al., 2008). 328 329 Moreover, Bonfatti *et al.*, (2014) found that cow milk with short RCT and high a<sub>30</sub> did not exhibit higher cheese yield in model cheeses, being the cheese yield variation in their 330 experiment more likely associated to variation in milk fat and protein percentages. The 331 modest genetic correlation between the cheese yield predicted by regression (PPCY) and 332 a<sub>30</sub> seem to suggest this second hypothesis, even if further investigations are needed to 333 clarify the relationship between ILCY and MCPs in sheep milk. 334

#### 336 Phenotypic and genetic correlation among milk coagulation, milk yield and composition

The study of the genetic associations between MCPs and milk yield and chemical 337 composition is crucial for evaluate proper selection strategies. The phenotypic correlations 338 between RCT and protein and casein percentages found in the present study were in 339 agreement with results on sheep (Jaramillo et al., 2008; Nudda et al., 2001) and in cattle 340 (Bittante et al., 2012). The worsening of the coagulation properties of sheep milk (>RCT 341 and  $\langle a_{30} \rangle$  with increased somatic cell count is documented in literature (Pirisi *et al.*, 2000; 342 Nudda et al., 2001; Raynal-Ljutovac et al., 2007). An increased somatic cell count can be 343 the also the result of intramammary inflammatory process (Rovai et al., 2005). However in 344 sheep, high somatic cell count in milk can be often unrelated with pathological conditions, 345 346 differently from cow. Several factors (breed, parity, stage of lactation, type of birth, estrus, diurnal) affect SCC variation in sheep milk (Raynal-Ljutovac et al., 2007). Increased RCT 347 and k<sub>20</sub> and reduced a<sub>30</sub> with increasing pH were previously reported in sheep milk 348 (Bencini et al., 2002; Pirisi et al., 2000). Finally, low to moderate phenotypic correlation 349 were observed among individual cheese yield and milk traits. The highest association was 350 351 between ILCY and fat percentage, and it was half of the correlation found by Jaramillo et al., (2008) but agreed with the results of Othmane et al., (2002). 352

Interesting genetic correlations have been estimated between pH, casein, NaCl and RCT-a<sub>30</sub>. For the pH, similar values have been reported for dairy cattle (Ikonen *et al.,* 2004; Cassandro *et al.,* 2008; Vallas *et al.,* 2010; Cecchinato *et al.,* 2011). Moderate correlations between RCT and casein percentage and no association among protein,

casein percentages and a<sub>30</sub> were also reported by Ikonen *et al.*, (2004). On the other hand, results of the present study were opposite to what found by some other authors (Cassandro *et al.*, 2008; Cecchinato *et al.*, 2011). A suggestive negative association between CLA with rennet properties might confirm what found by Bittante *et al.*, (2014) in milk of sheep supplemented with rumen-protected conjugated fatty acid source.

Moderate to high positive genetic correlation were found between NaCl, a<sub>30</sub>-k<sub>20</sub>. 362 Conversely unreliable negative genetic correlation were found between SCS and RCT, 363 differently from what observed by other authors in cow milk (Ikonen et al., 2004; 364 Cassandro et al., 2008; Cecchinato et al., 2011). A very high genetic correlation among 365 NaCl and SCS (0.98±0.31) was found in the present study. This is an interesting results 366 because suggest the possibility to use indirect indicators of udder status (different from 367 SCS) linked to the rennet properties. As far as cheese yield concern, the positive genetic 368 correlation among milk composition and ILCY and negative with milk yield confirm what 369 found by Othmane et al., (2002). 370

371

#### 372 Conclusions

This study provided estimates of genetic parameters for milk coagulation properties of sheep milk of Sarda Breed. From the selective point of view, a not negligible proportion of phenotypic variance was additive genetic, and the heritability estimated for MCPs were in agreement with those found in cow milk for MCPs. Genetic correlations found in the present study suggest the chance to use only one of the rennet parameter, since they are highly genetic correlated, however negative correlation between ILCY and favourable 379 rennet properties suggests to be careful in the use of this methods to predict cheese yield380 from small milk samples.

381

### 382 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the RAS, Regional Law n 7, August 2007, Grant n. CRP 61608 "II latte ovino della Sardegna". The author wish to thank the provincial breeders farmer association (APA) of Cagliari, Nuoro, Sassari and Oristano for their support in sample collection; the Laboratory of Associazione Regionale allevatori della Sardegna (Oristano, Italy) for performing milk composition analysis, the Italian association of animal breeders (AIA) and of sheep breeders (ASSONAPA) for providing pedigree information.

#### 389 **References**

- Aleandri R, Schneider JC and Buttazzoni LG 1989. Evaluation of milk for cheese production based on milk characteristics and formagraph measures. Journal of Dairy Science 72, 1967-1975
- 392BDN2015.Retrievedon11December2015from393http://statistiche.izs.it/portal/page?\_pageid=73,12918and\_dad=portal
- Bencini R 2002. Factors affecting the clotting properties of sheep milk. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 82, 705-719
- Bittante G, Pellattiero E, Malchiodi F, Cipolat-Gotet C, Pazzola M, Vacca GM, Schiavon S and Cecchinato A 2014. Quality traits and modeling of coagulation, curd firming, and syneresis of sheep milk of Alpine breeds fed diets supplemented with rumen-protected conjugated fatty acid. Journal of Dairy Science 97, 4018-4028
- Bittante G, Penasa M and Cecchinato A 2012. Invited review: Genetics and modeling of milk coagulation properties. Journal of Dairy Science 95, 6843-6870
- Bonfatti V, Tuzzato M, Chiarot G and Carnier P 2014. Variation in milk coagulation properties does not affect cheese yield and composition of model cheese. International Dairy Journal 39, 139-145
- CappioBorlino A, Portolano B, Todaro M, Macciotta NPP, Giaccone P and Pulina G 1997.
   Lactation curves of Valle del Belice dairy ewes for yields of milk, fat, and protein estimated with test
   day models. Journal of Dairy Science 80, 3023-3029
- Carta A, Casu S and Salaris S 2009. Invited review: Current state of genetic improvement in dairy
   sheep. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 5814-5833
- Cassandro M, Comin A, Ojala M, Dal Zotto R, De Marchi M, Gallo L, Carnier P and Bittante G
   2008. Genetic parameters of milk coagulation properties and their relationships with milk yield and
   quality traits in Italian Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 371-376
- 412 Cecchinato A, Penasa M, De Marchi M, Gallo L, Bittante G and Carnier P 2011. Genetic 413 parameters of coagulation properties, milk yield, quality, and acidity estimated using coagulating 414 and noncoagulating milk information in Brown Swiss and Holstein-Friesian cows. Journal of Dairy 415 Science 94, 4205-4213
- 416 De Marchi M, Bittante G, Dal Zotto R, Dalvit C and Cassandro M 2008. Effect of Holstein Friesian 417 and Brown Swiss breeds on quality of milk and cheese. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 4092-4102
- 418 EUROSTAT 2015. Number of Sheep. Retrieved on 12 February 2016 from 419 http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&plugin=1&language=en&pcode=ta 420 g00017.
- FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation Statistics Division) 2014.
   Statistical Database of the food and agriculture organization of the united nation. Retrieved on 06
   December 2015 from http://faostat3/fao.org/home/E
- 424 Furesi R, Madau FA and Pulina P 2013. Technical efficiency in the sheep dairy industry: an 425 application on the Sardinian (Italy) sector. Agricultural and Food Economics 1, 1-11
- Giangolini G, Amatiste S, Battisti S. Boselli C, Filipetti F, Rosati R, Fagiolo A 2004. Clotting
  properties of Sarda ewe's bulk milk coming from flocks raised in two province of the latium region,
  in proceeding XVI congresso nazionale della società italiana di allevamento degli ovini e dei caprini
  (SIPAOC), 29 September 2004, Siena, Italy.

- Groeneveld E, Kovac M, Mielenz N 2010. VCE User's Guide and Reference Manual Version 6.0.
   Retrieved on 10 September 2015 from ftp://ftp.tzv.fal.de/pub/vce6/doc/vce6-manual-3.1-A4.pdf
- ICAR (International Committee for animal Recording) 2013. Dairy sheep milk survey online
   database. Retrieved on 8 February 2016 from http://www.icar.org/survey/pages/tables.php.
- Ikonen T, Ahlfors K, Kempe R, Ojala M and Ruottinen O 1999. Genetic parameters for the milk
   coagulation properties and prevalence of noncoagulating milk in Finnish dairy cows. Journal of
   Dairy Science 82, 205-214
- Ikonen T, Morri S, Tyriseva AM, Ruottinen O and Ojala M 2004. Genetic and phenotypic
   correlations between milk coagulation properties, milk production traits, somatic cell count, casein
   content, and pH of milk. Journal of Dairy Science 87, 458-467
- Jaramillo DP, Zamora A, Guamis B, Rodriguez M and Trujillo AJ 2008. Cheesemaking aptitude of
   two Spanish dairy ewe breeds: Changes during lactation and relationship between physico chemical and technological properties. Small Ruminant Research 78, 48-55
- 443 Martini M, Mele M, Scolozzi C and Salari F 2008. Cheese making aptitude and the chemical and 444 nutritional characteristics of milk from Massese ewes. Italian Journal of Animal Science 7, 419-437
- Manca MG, Serdino J, Gaspa G, Urgeghe P, Ibba I, Contu M, Fresi P and N. P. P. Macciotta 2016.
  Derivation of multivariate indices of milk composition, coagulation properties, and individual cheese
  yield in dairy sheep. Journal of Dairy Science, http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10589, Published
- online 6 April 2016
  Mele M, Buccioni A, Petacchi F, Serra A, Banni S, Antongiovanni M and Secchiari P 2006. Effect
  of forage/concentrate ratio and soybean oil supplementation on milk yield, and composition from
- 451 Sarda ewes. Animal Research 55, 273-285
- Nudda A, Feligni G, Battacone G, Murgia P and Pulina G 2001. Relationship between somatic cells
   count, whey protein and coagulation properties in sheep milk. in Proceeding of 14th ASPA
   congress, 12-15 June 2001, Firenze, Italy, pp 511-513
- Nudda A, McGuire MA, Battacone G and Pulina G 2005. Seasonal variation in conjugated linoleic
   acid and vaccenic acid in milk fat of sheep and its transfer to cheese and ricotta. Journal of Dairy
   Science 88, 1311-1319
- 458 Oravcová M, Groeneveld E, Kovac N, Peškovicová D, Margetin M 2005. Estimation of genetic and
  459 environmental parameters of milk production traits in Slovak purebred sheep using test-day model.
  460 Small Ruminant Research 56, 113-120
- 461 Othmane MH, De La Fuente LF, Carriedo JA and San Primitivo F 2002. Heritability and genetic 462 correlations of test day milk yield and composition, individual laboratory cheese yield, and somatic 463 cell count for dairy ewes. Journal of Dairy Science 85, 2692-2698
- Pazzola M, Dettori ML, Cipolat-Gotet C, Cecchinato A, Bittante G and Vacca GM 2014.
   Phenotypic factors affecting coagulation properties of milk from Sarda ewes. Journal of Dairy
   Science 97, 7247-7257
- Pugliese C, Acciaioli A, Rapaccini S, Parisi G and Franci O 2000. Evolution of chemical
   composition, somatic cell count and renneting properties of the milk of Massese ewes. Small
   Ruminant Research 35, 71-80
- 470 Pirisi A, Murgia A, Scintu MF 1994. Estimate of Pecorino Romano and Pecorino Sardo cheese
  471 yield from the protein and fato contents in sheep milk. Scienza e Tecnica Lattiero Casearia 45,
  472 476-483

- 473 Pirisi A, Piredda M, Corona M, Pes S, Pintus S, Ledda A 2000. Influence of somatic cell count on
  474 ewe's milk composition, cheese yield and cheese quality. in Proceeding Great Lakes Dairy Sheep
  475 Symposium, 2-4 November 2000, Guelph, Canada, Pages 47-59
- 476 Pirisi A, Piredda G and Carta A 2002. Resa di trasformazione del latte ovino: applicabilità alla
  477 produzione industriale di un'equazione ricavata mediante microcaseificazioni. In proceeding of XV
  478 Congresso nazionale della società italiana di allevamento degli ovini e dei caprini (SIPAOC), 11-14
- 479 September 2002, Cagliari, Italy
- Raynal-Ljutovac K, Pirisi A, de Crémoux R and Gonzalo C 2007. Somatic cells of goat and sheep
   milk: Analytical, sanitary, productive and technological aspects. Small Ruminant Research 68, 126 144
- Rovai M, Natalia R, Gerardo C, Jordi S and Gabriel L 2015b. Effect of subclinical intrammamay
   infection on milk quality in dairy sheep: I. Fresh-soft cheese produced from milk of uninfected and
   infected glands and from their blends. Small Ruminant Research 125, 127-136
- 486 Sanna SR, Carta A and Casu S 1997. (Co)variance component estimates for milk composition 487 traits in Sarda dairy sheep using a bivariate animal model. Small Ruminant Research 25, 77-82
- 488 Tyriseva AM, Vahlsten T, Ruottinen O and Ojala M 2004. Noncoagulation of milk in Finnish 489 Ayrshire and Holstein-Friesian cows and effect of herds on milk coagulation ability. Journal of Dairy 490 Science 87, 3958-3966
- Vacca GM, Pazzola M, Dettori ML, Pira E, Malchiodi F, Cipolat-Gotet C, Cecchinato A and Bittante
   G 2015. Modeling of coagulation, curd firming, and syneresis of milk from Sarda ewes. Journal of
   Dairy Science 98, 2245-2259
- Vallas M, Bovenhuis H, Kaart T, Paerna K, Kiiman H and Paerna E 2010. Genetic parameters for milk coagulation properties in Estonian Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 93, 3789-3796

#### Table 1 Descriptive statistics for animals and flocks structure of Sarda population involved in this

study.

4	9	9
	Э	9

Items	n.1	average	sd	min	max
Flock size	-	95.5	57.1	13	233
Number of Lactation	1 016	4.0	2.3	1	12
Age (Month)	1 016	48.1	27.2	12	133
Ewes per ram	499	2.1	1.7	1	15
Rams per flock	47	16.9	5.8	6	33

<sup>1</sup> For the number of lactation and age n. is the number of records; for the last two items, n. is the number of rams and flocks, respectively. 

**Table 2** *Number of records, overall mean, standard deviation, minimum (Min), Maximum (Max)* and coefficient of variation for sheep milk yield and composition, milk coagulation properties and individual laboratory cheese yield.

Trait <sup>1</sup>	n.²	Mean	SD	Min	Max	CV (%)
Milk yield and composition						
MY (L/day)	1 005	1.72	0.42	0.61	3.30	24.4
FP (g/100 mL)	998	6.06	1.35	2.55	12.00	22.3
PP (g/100 mL)	998	5.47	0.61	3.86	8.76	11.2
CSN (g/100 mL)	998	4.25	0.50	2.91	6.89	11.8
CLA (g/ 100g FAME)	908	1.26	0.57	0.00	3.04	45.2
SCS	994	4.68	2.33	0.06	11.13	49.8
pH (U)	1 002	6.58	0.14	5.65	7.36	2.1
NaCl (mg/100 mL)	998	146.88	45.16	64.30	551.70	30.7
Cheese-related traits						
RCT (min)	1 008	15.18	4.29	2.37	30.07	28.3
k <sub>20</sub> (min)	879	1.75	0.74	0.50	7.00	42.3
<i>log</i> k <sub>20</sub>	879	1.99	0.16	1.48	2.62	8.1
a <sub>30</sub> (mm)	990	52.63	16.08	0.98	107.80	30.6
ILCY (%,w/v)	1 017	36.24	9.33	4.67	80.14	25.7
PPCY (%,w/v)	998	17.28	2.43	11.71	29.55	14.1

<sup>1</sup>MY = test day milk yield; FP = fat; PP = protein; CSN = casein; CLA = Conjugated linoleic Acid, FAME=fatty acid methyl esters; SCS = somatic cell score,  $log_2[(SCC\mu l^{-1}/100)+3]$ ; RCT = rennet coagulation time;  $k_{20}$  = curd firming time;  $Logk_{20}$  =  $log_{10}$  of curd firming time in sec;  $a_{30}$  = curd firmness; ILCY= individual laboratory cheese yield; PPCY=Predicted Pecorino Cheese Yield,U=pH unit.

<sup>2</sup>Number of samples used to compute descriptive statistics

**Table 3** Estimates of genetic  $(\sigma_a^2)$  and environmental variance  $(\sigma_{ftd}^2, \sigma_e^2)$ , heritability  $(h^2)$  and percentage of variance explained by flock-test-day  $(r_{ftd}^2)$  and standard errors (SE) for sheep milk coagulation properties, individual cheese yield, milk production and composition traits.

Trait <sup>1</sup>	n.²	$\sigma_{a}^{2}$	$\sigma_{\it ftd}^2$	$\sigma_{ m e}^2$	h² (SE)	r <sup>2</sup> ftd (SE)
Cheese-related traits						
RCT (min)	908	5.83	3.32	16.17	0.23 (0.10)	0.13 (0.03
<i>log</i> k <sub>20</sub>	879	0.004	0.006	0.016	0.15 (0.11)	0.23 (0.04
a <sub>30</sub> (mm)	907	27.74	54.92	110.49	0.14 (0.10)	0.28 (0.05
ILCY (%,w/v)	1 017	12.45	20.40	46.46	0.16 (0.09)	0.26 (0.05
PPCY (%,w/v)	998	0.66	1.55	2.46	0.14 (0.09)	0.33 (0.05
Milk yield and Composition						
MY (L/day)	1 005	0.013	0.09	0.06	0.08 (0.05)	0.55 (0.04
FP (g/100 mL)	998	0.16	0.76	0.71	0.10 (0.07)	0.47 (0.05
PP (g/100 mL)	998	0.04	0.06	0.20	0.13 (0.10)	0.20 (0.04
CSN (g/100 mL)	998	0.03	0.04	0.13	0.15 (0.11)	0.20 (0.04
CLA (g/100g FAME)	908	0.02	0.14	0.12	0.09 (0.06)	0.50 (0.05
SCS	994	0.16	0.72	4.18	0.03 (0.07)	0.14 (0.03
pH (U)	1 002	0.003	0.006	0.01	0.16 (0.08)	0.30 (0.05
NaCl (mg/100 mL)	998	543.1	289.1	1104.7	0.28 (0.13)	0.15 (0.03

516 <sup>1</sup>RCT = rennet coagulation time;  $logk_{20} = log_{10}$  of curd firming rate;  $a_{30} = curd$  firmness; ILCY= individual

517 laboratory cheese yield; PPCY=Predicted Pecorino Cheese Yield; MY = test day milk yield; FP = fat; PP =

518 protein; CSN = casein; CLA = Conjugated linoleic Acid, FAME=fatty acid methyl esters; SCS = somatic cell

519 score  $log_2(SCC\mu l^{-1}/100+3)$ , U=pH unit

520 <sup>2</sup>Number of samples used to estimate variance components.

522 **Table 4** *Phenotypic correlation (below the diagonal) and genetic correlation (above the diagonal)* 

- 523 between sheep milk traits and milk coagulation properties estimated with a 5-trait animal model
- 524 (standard error in brackets).

Trait <sup>1</sup>	RCT	<i>log</i> k <sub>20</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>30</sub>	ILCY	PPCY
RCT (min)		0.84(0.09)	-0.80(0.12)	0.55(0.15)	0.08(0.21)
<i>log</i> k <sub>20</sub> (min)	0.79		-0.91 <sub>(0.09)</sub>	0.64 <sub>(0.11)</sub>	-0.19(0.16)
a <sub>30</sub> (mm)	-0.60	-0.76		-0.67(0.08)	0.22(0.17)
ILCY (%,w/v)	0.41	0.32	-0.34		0.47(0.18)
PPCY (%,w/v)	0.23	0.07	-0.13	0.51	

525  ${}^{1}RCT$  = rennet coagulation time;  $k_{20}$  = curd firming rate;  $a_{30}$  = curd firmness; ILCY= individual laboratory 526 cheese yield; PPCY=Predicted Pecorino Cheese Yield.

**Table 5** *Phenotypic (r<sub>P</sub>) and genetic correlation (r<sub>G</sub>) among coagulation traits analyzed with bi-variate animal model in combination with sheep milk* 

529 yield and composition

ҐР						r <sub>G</sub>								
Trait <sup>1</sup>	RCT	<i>log</i> k <sub>20</sub>	<b>a</b> <sub>30</sub>	ILCY	PPCY		RC	T	<i>log</i> k	20	<b>a</b> <sub>30</sub>	1	ILCY	PPCY
MY (L/day)	-0.09	0.07	-0.04	-0.09	-0.15		0.03	(0.39)	0.04	(0.48)	0.27	(0.46)	-0.88 (0.42)	-0.60 (0.46)
FP (g/100mL)	0.09	0.03	-0.12	0.46	0.91		-0.02	(0.38)	-0.34	(0.42)	0.32	(0.18)	0.45 (0.31)	0.93 (0.06)
PP (g/100mL)	0.30	-0.04	0.03	0.37	0.72		0.41	(0.32)	-0.42	(0.42)	0.09	(0.37)	0.75 (0.27)	0.85 (0.13)
CSN (g/100mL)	0.29	-0.06	0.05	0.38	0.73		0.44	(0.11)	-0.43	(0.45)	0.00	(0.48)	0.65 (0.27)	0.84 (0.13)
CLA (g/100g FAME)	-0.01	-0.05	0.14	-0.25	-0.37		-0.27	(0.38)	-0.46	(0.46)	0.27	(0.40)	-0.32 (0.34)	-0.33 (0.36)
SCS (U)	0.45	0.35	-0.30	0.35	0.29		-0.14	(0.92)	-0.72	(1.35)	0.11	(0.77)	0.58 (0.61)	0.29 (0.93)
pH (U)	0.70	0.55	-0.42	0.18	-0.28		0.68	(0.19)	0.44	(0.34)	-0.83	(0.21)	0.58 (0.42)	-0.21 (0.53)
NaCl (mg/100mL)	0.45	0.44	0.35	0.08	0.09		0.52	(0.27)	0.68	(0.32)	0.05	(0.51)	0.87 (0.65)	0.24 (0.51)

530 MY = test day milk yield; FP = test day fat percentage; PP = test day protein percentage; CSN = test day casein percentage; CLA = Conjugated linoleic Acid,

531 FAME=fatty acid methyl esters; SCS = somatic cell score  $log_2[(SCC_{\mu}l^{-1}/100)+3]$ , RCT = rennet coagulation time;  $k_{20}$  = curd firming rate;  $a_{30}$  = curd firmness; 532 ILCY= individual laboratory cheese yield; PPCY=Predicted Pecorino Cheese Yield.

533

# List of figure captions

**Figure 1** Frequency distribution of the three milk coagulation properties and the Individual laboratory cheese yield (ILCY) in Sarda sheep, for the raw data (before data editing). The very first (a<sub>30</sub>) e the last two bars (RCT) represent those samples that have been discarded from the analysis.

**Figure 2** Percentage of not coagulating (NC) or missing  $k_{20}$  samples (NoK20), percentage of not coagulating samples (NC\_byDIM) and missing  $k_{20}$  (NoK20\_byDIM) within each class of DIM.