



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

# This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Ferrari R, Grassi M, Graziano F, Palluzzi F, Archetti S, Bonomi E, Bruni AC, Maletta RG, Bernardi L, Cupidi C, Colao R, Rainero I, Rubino E, Pinessi L, Galimberti D, Scarpini E, Serpente M, Nacmias B, Piaceri I, Bagnoli S, Rossi G, Giaccone G, Tagliavini F, Benussi L, Binetti G, Ghidoni R, Singleton A, Hardy J, Momeni P, Padovani A, Borroni B. Effects of Multiple Genetic Loci on Age at Onset in Frontotemporal Dementia. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017;56(4):1271-1278. doi: 10.3233/JAD-160949.

The publisher's version is available at:

https://content.iospress.com/articles/journal-of-alzheimers-disease/jad160949

When citing, please refer to the published version.

# Effects of Multiple Genetic Loci on Age at Onset in Frontotemporal Dementia

Raffaele Ferrari <sup>a,1,</sup> Mario Grassi <sup>b,1,</sup> Francesca Graziano <sup>b</sup>, Fernando Palluzzi <sup>b</sup>, Silvana Archetti <sup>c</sup>, Elisa Bonomi<sup>d</sup>, Amalia C. Bruni<sup>e</sup>, Raffaele G. Maletta<sup>e</sup>, Livia Bernardi<sup>e</sup>, Chiara Cupidi<sup>e</sup>, Rosanna Colao<sup>e</sup>, Innocenzo Rainero<sup>f</sup>, Elisa Rubino<sup>f</sup>, Lorenzo Pinessi<sup>f</sup>, Daniela Galimberti<sup>g</sup>, Elio Scarpini<sup>g</sup>, Maria Serpente<sup>g</sup>, Benedetta Nacmias<sup>h</sup>, Irene Piaceri<sup>h</sup>, Silvia Bagnoli<sup>h</sup>, Giacomina Rossi<sup>i</sup>, Giorgio Giaccone<sup>i</sup>, Fabrizio Tagliavini<sup>i</sup>, Luisa Benussi<sup>l</sup>, Giuliano Binetti<sup>1,m</sup>, Roberta Ghidoni<sup>l</sup>, Andrew Singleton<sup>n</sup>, John Hardy<sup>o</sup>, Parastoo Momeni<sup>p</sup>, Alessandro Padovani<sup>d</sup> and Barbara Borroni<sup>d</sup>,\*

aDepartment of Molecular Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK bDepartment of Brain and Behavioural Sciences, Medical and Genomic Statistics Unit, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

cDepartment of Laboratories, III Laboratory of Analysis, Brescia Hospital, Brescia, Italy dDepartment of Clinical and Experimental Science, Neurology Unit, University of Brescia, Italy eNeurogenetic Regional Centre ASPCZ Lamezia Terme, Lamezia Terme, Italy

fDepartment of Neuroscience, Neurology I, University of Torino and Citt'a della Salute e della Scienza

di Torino, Turin, Italy

gDepartment of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Neurology Unit, University of Milan, Fondazione C`a Granda, IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico, Milan, Italy

hDepartment of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health, University of Florence,

Florence, Italy

iDivision of Neurology V and Neuropathology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta,

Milano, Italy

lMolecular Markers Laboratory, IRCCS Istituto Centro San Giovanni di Dio Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy

mMAC Memory Center, IRCCS Istituto Centro San Giovanni di Dio-Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy

nLaboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

oDepartment of Molecular Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology, UCL, London, UK pDepartment of Internal Medicine, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Texas Tech University Health Science

Center, Lubbock, TX, USA

\*Correspondence to: Barbara Borroni, MD, Centre for Neurodegenerative Disorders, Neurology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. Tel.: +39 0303995632; Fax: +39 0303995027; E-mail: borroni@inwind.it.

#### Abstract.

In frontotemporal dementia (FTD), age at disease onset (AAO) is unpredictable in both early and late-onset cases; AAO variability is found even in autosomal dominant FTD. The present study was aimed at identifying genetic modifiers modulating AAO in a large cohort of Italian FTD patients. We conducted an association analysis on 411 FTD patients, belonging to 7 Italian Centers, and for whom AAO was available. Population structure was evaluated by principal component analysis to infer continuous axes of genetic variation, and single linear regression models were applied. A genetic score (GS) was calculated on the basis of suggestive single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found by association analyses. GS showed genome-wide significant slope decrease by –  $3.86 (95\%CI: -4.64 \text{ to } -3.07, \text{ p} < 2^{\circ} 010 - 16)$  per standard deviation of the GS for 6 SNPs mapping to genes involved in neuronal development and signaling, axonal myelinization, and increase of the GS was associated with a decrease of the AAO. Our data indicate that there is indeed a genetic component that underpins and modulates up to 14.5% of variability of AAO in Italian FTD. Future studies on genetic modifiers in FTD are warranted.

Keywords: Age at onset, frontotemporal dementia, GWAS, polymorphism

#### INTRODUCTION

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by behavioral abnormalities, impairment of executive functions, and language deficits [1]. Mutations in the Granulin (GRN), C9orf72, or Microtubule Associated Protein Tau (MAPT), and, recently, the TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) genes drive up to~ 40% of Mendelian cases [2]; for the remaining cases, multiple loci and genes appear to influence FTD risk with rather small effect size [3, 4].

The clinical, pathological, and genetic profiles, as well as the age at onset (AAO), are highly heterogeneous in FTD. FTD is considered the most common neurodegenerative dementia in the young adulthood along with Alzheimer's disease with a mean onset age between late 50 s and early 60 s [5]; however, recent reports suggested an increased span in the range of disease onset up to >70 years of age [6, 7]. Similarly, variability of AAO is found in autosomal dominant FTD [8–11].

Genetic variants may influence and/or modulate specific features of a disorder, including disease onset and progression. Indeed, AAO, which is rather unpredictable in FTD, might associate with a genetic structure that contributes to an earlier or later disease development.

We recently carried out a genome-wide association study (GWAS) in FTD patients from Italy, and identified an extended number of genetic risk factors associated with disease in the Italian population [3].

In the present work, we sought to explore whether such risk factors might influence disease onset in the Italian FTD population by searching for genetic variants associated with age at disease onset, and computing a genetic risk score (GS) to stratify age of disease susceptibility.

## **METHODS**

#### Subjects

Genotyping data of DNA samples diagnosed with FTD were available to us from the FTD-GWAS dataset [4]. Our association analysis was carried out on 411 FTD patients, belonging to 7 Italian Centers (see Supplementary Table 1), already included in our previous study [3] and for whom AAO was available.

Diagnosis of FTD, and FTD subtypes (i.e., behavioral variant FTD and primary progressive aphasia), was made according to current clinical criteria [12,13]. Estimated AAO was referred by the proxy caregiver by a semi-structured interview, and carefully recorded. Informed consent and Ethic Committee approval were obtained at the individual sites and each center provided consent for their use for the purposes of this study. The cases were collected and genotyped at the University College London (UCL) by means of Illumina human 660K-Quad Beadchips assayed on the Illumina Infinium platform (Illumina, San Diego,CA, USA); 657231 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped.

### Quality control

Quality control (QC) was performed at both markers (per-marker) and samples (per-individual) level, as previously illustrated by Anderson et al. [14]. Specifically, per-marker QC of the data consisted of three different steps, namely 1) the identification and removal of SNPs with an excessive missing genotype (> 0.1); 2) the identification and removal of SNPs with significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) ( $p \le 0.001$ ); and 3) the removal of all makers with a very low minor allele frequency (MAF) (MAF < 0.05). Per-individual QC of the data consisted in identifying and removing of 1) the individuals with discordant sex information; 2) the individuals with outlying missing genotype (genotype failure rate $\ge 0.03$ ); and 3) heterozygosity rate<sup>o</sup>æ 3 standard deviations from the mean.

#### Principal component analysis

Population structure was evaluated by principal component analysis (PCA) to infer continuous axes of genetic variation. PCA was processed on the similarity matrix between any two individuals (i, j), based on the genome-wide average proportion of alleles sharing identical by state (IBS) and using for the SNPs the additive coding (0, 1, 2 minor frequency alleles). We excluded outlier samples, defined as individuals exceeding a default number of standard deviations (6.0) from the whole sample. The 657, 231 SNPs were reduced to 125,902 SNPs for IBS computation, by trimming the dataset so that no pair of SNPs (within a given number of base-pairs) had Linkage Disequilibrium (LD,measured by r2) greater than 0.2. PC axes were considered significant according to Tracy-Widom statistic [15].

#### Linear regression model

We used markers of highest quality to impute with Minimac [16] approximately 2.5 million SNPs, based on European-ancestry haplotype reference (HapMap II CEU population build 36, release 22). Imputed SNPs with a MAF< 0.01, and with low imputation quality (Rsq < 0.50) were removed (for Minimac protocol details, see http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac : 1000 Genomes Imputation Cookbook).

We then tested each available SNP (observed and imputed) to assess the association withAAOby usingp -values (two-tailed) from a linear regression model (LRM), with an additive coding per SNP, and adjusting for sex and significant principal components. The fixed threshold for genome-wide significance was set at the consensus level of  $p < 5^{\circ} ø \ 10-8$ . We defined SNPs as suggestive if  $5^{\circ} ø \ 10-5 > p > 5^{\circ} ø \ 10-8$ . We performed this analysis in presence and absence of apolipoprotein E (APOE) \_ 4 homozygous carriers and also used APOE \_ 4 as a covariate to assess and/or correct for any potential influence of this genetic marker on final results.

#### Genetic score computation

The suggestive SNPs obtained by association analysis were used to calculate a weighted genetic risk score (GS). Specifically,GSwas defined as sum of the number of minor frequency alleles (0 (not present),1 (heterozygous), 2 (homozygous)) per suggestive SNPs weighted by their log OR, i.e., the odds ratio (OR) estimate derived from our previous case-control study [4]. Thus, the weights were determined independently from the genetic-AAO association of this work, eluding over fitting biases. We defined three trimming rules for inclusion of SNPs in the GS: 1) SNPs with moderate to high case-control signal (logORs > log(1.2)), recoding all negative logOR into positive logOR on the basis of the risk allele; 2) SNPs observed or imputed with high quality (Rsq > 0.9); and 3) SNPs in Linkage Equilibrium (LE). For SNPs in Linkage Disequilibrium, the SNP with the lowest AAO p -value was selected.

Then, GSwas standardized as Z = (GS-mean(GS))/standard deviation (GS), and LRM of AAO onthe standardized GS was performed adjusting for the following covariates: i) sex, ii) populationstructure (measured by geographical zone such as North, Center, South and/or by significantprincipal components), iii) type of FTD (5 subtypes: bvFTD, SD,PNFA, FTD-MND, and FTDspectrum), and iv) APOE (<math>0 = 2323, 1 = 234, 2 = 44). QC analysis and statistical analyses were performed by free software PLINK [17] and R [18], respectively. Gene annotation on top-associated markers was performed by R package NCBI2R [19].

#### RESULTS

AAO was available for 411 out of 532 FTD patients that underwent Italian GWAS [3]. The mean AAO was 63.7°æ 20.7 (range, 36.0 – 82.0) with male/female ratio 187/224 (male 45.5%). Two hundred-fifty patients came from Northern Italy, 49 patients from the Center, and 112 from Southern Italy. Out of 411 FTD patients, 307 had bvFTD, 82 PPA, 20 FTD-MND, and 2 belonged to the FTD spectrum. A minority of cases, for which FTD genes had been screened, carried variants

in MAPT (n = 4), GRN (n = 38), and C9orf72 (n = 11). Two MAPT and one

GRN variants are now reported to be pathogenic, whilst the remaining ones are common polymorphisms; considering that the mutations have very small frequency in the current sample set, thus they are negligible, and that, together with the C9orf72 positive cases they were kept in the previous studies [3, 4], we also kept and included them in our current analysis. Population structure through PCA showed genetic pattern that correlated with geographical provenience (i.e., North, Center, and South), as displayed in the scatter plot of the first two PCs (Fig. 1). According to Tracy-Widom statistics, that tests additional population structure of the first 10 eigenvalues, only the first PC (TW1 = 5.03480, p < 0.001)was deemed significant and used as covariate in AAO association analyses to correct for population stratification.

By single linear regression analysis, we identifie suggestive evidence ( $p < 5^{\circ} ø \ 10-5$ ) of an association with AAO for 127 SNPs (using sex and first PC as covariates), while none achieved genome-wide significance (see Manhattan plot in Fig. 2).

As defined in the method section, log OR weighted GSwas computed after three trimming rules identifying 6 SNPs mapping to the following loci: intergenic region on chromosome (chr) 1 encompassing the G protein-coupled receptor 137B (GPR137B) and the Endoplasmic Reticulum Oxidoreductase Beta (ERO1B) genes; chr 2, intronic to the Hypocalcinlike1 (HPCAL1) gene and LOC730100 (which is proximal to Neurexin 1 (NRXN1)); chr 9, intronic to the Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase, Receptor Type D (PTPRD) gene, and; chr 13, in LOC101926897, LOC105370219 and LOC105370220, and LOC105370290 and LINC00375 (Table 1).

Linear regression analysis of AAO based on the score effect of these 6 markers (GS), adjusted for covariates (see method), showed genome-wide significant slope decrease by -3.68 (95%CI: -4.52 to -2.84, p <2°ø 10–16) per standard deviation of the GS meaning that an increase of the GS was associated with a decrease of the AAO. When we excluded APOE \_ 4 homozygous carriers from our analysis there still was a similar genome-wide significant slope decrease, and when using APOE \_ 4 as a covariate results were again not affected indicating that effect on AAO is

independent from the APOE status.

Of note, considering all the predictors (GS + covariates) we found that total contribution (R2) to AAO variance was  $\sim 22\%$  where only gender and PC1 were the actual significant covariates contributing to AAO variance in addition to GS in the value of  $\sim 7\%$ .

Therefore and in summary, the AAO variance explained by GS only (excluding the covariates) reached ~ 14.5%. The AAO-GS association is visualized and summarized in Fig. 3 and Table 2, respectively. For overfitting control, we also computed the prediction error (PE) by Leave-One-Out method (K = n), and K= 10-fold Cross-Validation: the corresponding R2 were 13.7%, and 14.2%, respectively. Finally, backward stepwise analyses in the GS showed that 7.8% of this signal was driven by the AAO-associated SNPs in HPCAL1 and PTPRD.

#### DISCUSSION

FTD is a complex disorder that encompasses heterogeneous phenotypes and variable AAO. Our current study supports the notion that individual genetic background modifies age at disease onset and modulates disease presentation.

We computed a genetic score (GS) able to weight the genetic contribution to the variability of AAO in FTD (the higher the GS, the lower the age at disease onset). Particularly, the GS of six SNPs indicated significant genetic contribution for up to 14% of variability of AAO in FTD. This is remarkable as it suggests that the genetic component underlying variability of AAO has a robust implication in FTD comparatively, for example, to Parkinson's disease for which a similar study approach revealed that their GS only contributed to 0.6% of variability of AAO [20]. Similar observations for Parkinson's disease were made in other studies [21]. Conversely, a recent study in Alzheimer's disease showed that eitherAPOE or a combination of nine loci contributed to 3.7% and 2.2% of variability of AAO, respectively [22], whereas in our current study the contribution of the APOE status was basically negligible. Taken all this together, we gather that in FTD the genetic component appears to have a stronger influence on AAO prediction than in

Parkinson's disease and in Alzheimer's disease [20–22].

Considering the 6 loci that we identified influencing AAO in FTD, the literature suggests, particularly for NRXN, HPCAL1, and PTPRD, a direct link with the biology of the brain through calcium-mediated neuronal signaling, development of neocortical regions as well as axonal myelination and glutamatergic/GABAergic synapsis, respectively [23–26].

It is interesting to gather that variability in these genes, and their associated functions and processes, could underpin the modulation of disease onset in FTD. In addition, it is noteworthy that GPR137B was previously shown to associate with modulation of drug-response in schizophrenia, particularly mediating effect of antipsychotics on working memory [27].

NRXN1 has been implicated in neocortical development and aging [23], andNRXN1 genetic variations affect the risk of autism and schizophrenia [28, 29].

Of note, we reported the locus including NRXN1 already in our previous work highlighting novel suggestive loci for FTD in the Italian population [3]; here, we provide further support for this association and expand on its potential implication in driving AAO. All the more, in the previous [3] and the current work, the OR associated with SNPs at the NRXN1 locus were among the highest, 2.5 and 1.64, respectively, suggesting that variability at this locus might associate with disease as well as AAO with a moderate effect size. Moreover, a close relationship between NRXN1 and one of the main actors of FTD pathogenesis, namely TDP-43 protein, was recently shown: a novel miRNA (miR-NID1) processed from the intron 5 of NRXN1 is able to repress NRXN1 expression by binding to TDP-43, thus this complex (TDP-43 + miR-NID1) might hold relevance and be implicated in FTD-TDP pathology [30].

In the same view, HPCAL1, a gene encoding the HPCAL1 protein that modulates calciummediated neuronal signaling [25], has been implicated in the risk of Alzheimer's disease and autism development [31, 32], thus supporting a general involvement in neurodegenerative disease, including FTD, and PTPRD has been associated with obsessive-compulsive disorders [26]. Currently, there are no known relationships with conditions affecting the brain for ERO1B (involved in protein oxidative folding) [33], while the role of LOC101926897, LOC105370219 and LOC105370220, and LOC105370290 and LINC00375 appear to be more complicated to infer, given that the latter are non-coding RNAs, which belong to a different group of cellular functional elements, rather involved in transcription and/or translation regulation. Nevertheless, this piece of data raises the awareness that non-coding functional elements are important and deserve attention, fostering the implementation of study designs and analysis strategies that focus and shed light on such regulatory elements.

Our study overall suggests and supports the relevance of factors that modulate features of FTD; this goes along with other examples of common risk variants acting as genetic modifiers in Mendelian FTD such as TMEM106B. The latter has been reported as a genetic modifier affecting both AAO and circulating levels of progranulin in FTD patients carrying Granulin mutations [34, 35]. Another example of genetic modifiers of AAO is the one recently reported in PSEN1 -related Alzheimer's disease [36].

In summary, we herein describe an additive effect of 6 SNPs and their likely implication in modulating disease onset in Italian FTD patients, for which we further considered the genetic make-up of Italian regions (i.e., North, Center, and South) as possible confounder in the analyses, when a Mendelian trait was excluded a priori.

Our data indicate that there is a genetic component that underpins and modulates up to 14.5% of variability of AAO in Italian FTD.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NeTAlz grant to A.P., by Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Pistoia e Pescia (grants 2011.0264 e 2013.0347), a grant for Research from the University of Florence to

B.N., the Ricerca Corrente, Italy Ministry of Health to L.B., R.G., G.B., and Alzheimer's Society (grant number 284) to R.F.

The authors would also like to thank Dr. Erika Salvi and Professor Daniele Cusi from the Department of Health Sciences, University of Milan at San Paolo Hospital, Milan, Italy and Hypergenes – European Network for Genetic-Epidemiological Studies (http://www.hypergenes.eu/) for previously providing control data that helped generating, filtering and scoring the current dataset.

Authors' disclosures available online (http://j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/16-0949r2).

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material is available in the electronic version of this article: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-160949.

#### REFERENCES

[1] van der Zee J, Van Broeckhoven C (2014) Dementia in 2013: Frontotemporal lobar degeneration-building on breakthroughs. Nat Rev Neurol 10, 70-72.

[2] Pottier C, Ravenscroft TA, Sanchez-Contreras M, RademakersR( 2016) Genetics of

FTLD: Overviewand what else we can expect from genetic studies. J Neurochem 138(Suppl

1), 32-53.

[3] Ferrari R, Grassi M, Salvi E, Borroni B, Palluzzi F, Pepe D, D'Avila F, Padovani A, Archetti S, Rainero I, Rubino E, Pinessi L, Benussi L, Binetti G, Ghidoni R, Galimberti D, Scarpini E, Serpente M, Rossi G, Giaccone G, Tagliavini F, Nacmias B, Piaceri I, Bagnoli S, Bruni AC, Maletta RG, Bernardi L, Postiglione A, Milan G, Franceschi M, Puca AA, Novelli V, Barlassina C, Glorioso N, Manunta P, Singleton A, Cusi D, Hardy J, Momeni P (2015) A genomewide screening and SNPs-to-genes approach to identify novel genetic risk factors associated with

frontotemporal dementia. Neurobiol Aging 36, 13-26.

[4] Ferrari R, Hernandez DG, Nalls MA, Rohrer JD, Ramasamy A, Kwok JB, Dobson-Stone C, Brooks WS, Schofield PR, Halliday GM, Hodges JR, Piguet O, Bartley L, Thompson E, Haan E, Hern'andez I, Ruiz A, Boada M, Borroni B, Padovani A, Cruchaga C, Cairns NJ, Benussi L, Binetti G, Ghidoni R, Forloni G, Galimberti D, Fenoglio C, Serpente M, Scarpini E, Clarim'on J, Lle'o A, Blesa R, Wald<sup>"</sup>o ML, Nilsson K, Nilsson C, Mackenzie IR, Hsiung GY, Mann DM, Grafman J, Morris CM, Attems J, Griffiths TD, McKeith IG, Thomas AJ, Pietrini P, Huey ED, Wassermann EM, Baborie A, Jaros E, Tierney MC, Pastor P, Razquin C, Ortega-Cubero S, Alonso E, Perneczky R, Diehl-Schmid J, Alexopoulos P, Kurz A, Rainero I, Rubino E, Pinessi L, Rogaeva E, St George-Hyslop P, Rossi G, Tagliavini F, Giaccone G, Rowe JB, Schlachetzki JC, Uphill J, Collinge J, Mead S, Danek A, Van Deerlin VM, Grossman M, Trojanowski JQ, van der Zee J, DeschampsW, Van Langenhove T, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C, Cappa SF, Le Ber I, Hannequin D, Golfier V, Vercelletto M, Brice A, Nacmias B, Sorbi S, Bagnoli S, Piaceri I, Nielsen JE, Hjermind LE, Riemenschneider M, Mayhaus M, Ibach B, Gasparoni G, Pichler S, GuW, Rossor MN, Fox NC, Warren JD, Spillantini MG, Morris HR, Rizzu P, Heutink P, Snowden JS, Rollinson S, Richardson A, Gerhard A, Bruni AC, Maletta R, Frangipane F, Cupidi C, Bernardi L, Anfossi M, Gallo M, Conidi ME, Smirne N, Rademakers R, Baker M, Dickson DW, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, Knopman D, Josephs KA, Boeve BF, Parisi JE, Seeley WW, Miller BL, Karydas AM, Rosen H, van Swieten JC, Dopper EG, Seelaar H, PijnenburgYA, Scheltens P, Logroscino G, Capozzo R, Novelli V, Puca AA, Franceschi M, Postiglione A, Milan G, Sorrentino P, Kristiansen M, Chiang HH, Graff C, Pasquier F, Rollin A, DeramecourtV, Lebert F, Kapogiannis D, Ferrucci L, Pickering-Brown S, Singleton AB, Hardy J, Momeni P (2014) Frontotemporal dementia and its subtypes: A genome-wide association study. Lancet Neurol 13, 686-699.

[5] Lashley T, Rohrer JD, Mead S, Revesz T (2015) An update on clinical, genetic and pathological aspects of frontotemporal lobar degenerations. Neuropathology Appl Neurobiol 41, 858-881.

[6] Borroni B, Alberici A, Grassi M, Rozzini L, Turla M, Zanetti O, Bianchetti A, Gilberti N, Bonvicini C, Volta GD, Rozzini R, Padovani A (2011) Prevalence and demographic features of early-onset neurodegenerative dementia in Brescia County, Italy. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 25, 341-344.

[7] Jellinger KA (2013) Elderly individuals with FTLD. JAMA Neurol 70, 412-413.

[8] Borroni B, Padovani A (2013) Dementia: A new algorithm for molecular diagnostics in FTLD.Nat Rev Neurol 9, 241-242.

[9] Benussi L, Ghidoni R, Pegoiani E, Moretti DV, Zanetti O, Binetti G (2009) Progranulin Leu271LeufsX10 is one of the most common FTLD and CBS associated mutations worldwide. Neurobiol Dis 33, 379-385.

[10] Benussi A, Padovani A, Borroni B (2015) Phenotypic heterogeneity of monogenicFrontotemporal dementia. Front Aging Neurosci 7, e171.

[11] Bernardi L, Frangipane F, Smirne N, Colao R, Puccio G, Curcio SA, Mirabelli M, Maletta R, Anfossi M, Gallo M, Geracitano S, Conidi ME, Di Lorenzo R, Clodomiro A, Cupidi C, Marzano S,

Comito F, ValentiV, ZirilliMA, Ghani M, Xi Z, Sato C, Moreno D, Borelli A, Leone RA, St George-

Hyslop P, Rogaeva E, Bruni AC (2012) Epidemiology and genetics of frontotemporal

dementia: Adoor-to-door survey in southern Italy. Neurobiol Aging 33, 2948.e1-2948.e10.

[12] Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, Kertesz A, Mendez M, Cappa SF, Ogar JM,

Rohrer JD, Black S, Boeve BF, Manes F, Dronkers NF, Vandenberghe R, Rascovsky K,

Patterson K, Miller BL, Knopman DS, Hodges JR, Mesulam MM, GrossmanM(2011) Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. Neurology 76, 1006-1014.

[13] Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, Mendez MF, Kramer JH, Neuhaus J, van Swieten JC,

Seelaar H, Dopper EG, Onyike CU, Hillis AE, Josephs KA, Boeve BF, Kertesz A, Seeley WW,

Rankin KP, Johnson JK, Gorno-Tempini ML, Rosen H, Prioleau-Latham CE, Lee A, Kipps CM,

Lillo P, Piguet O, Rohrer JD, Rossor MN, Warren JD, Fox NC, Galasko D, Salmon DP, Black SE,

Mesulam M, Weintraub S, Dickerson BC, Diehl-Schmid J, Pasquier F, Deramecourt V, Lebert F,

Pijnenburg Y, Chow TW, Manes F, Grafman J, Cappa SF, Freedman M, Grossman M, Miller BL (2011) Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. Brain 134, 2456-2477.

[14] Anderson CA, Pettersson FH, ClarkeGM, Cardon LR, Morris AP, Zondervan KT (2010) Data quality control in genetic case-control association studies. Nat Protoc 5, 1564-1573.

[15] Limpiti T, Intarapanich A, Assawamakin A, Shaw PJ, Wangkumhang P, Piriyapongsa J,

Ngamphiw C, Tongsima S (2011) Study of large and highly stratified population datasets by combining iterative pruning principal component analysis and structure. BMC Bioinformatics 12, e255.

[16] Fuchsberger C, Abecasis GR, Hinds DA (2015) Minimac2: Faster genotype imputation.Bioinformatics 31, 782-784.

[17] Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC (2007) PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses.AmJHumGenet 81,559-575.

[18] R Core Team (2015) R:Alanguage and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www. R-project.org

[19] Melville S (2014) NCBI2R: NCBI2R-An R package to navigate and annotate genes and SNPs. R package 2014 version 1.4.6.

[20] Lill CM, Hansen J, Olsen JH, Binder H, Ritz B, Bertram L (2015) Impact of Parkinson's disease risk loci on age at onset. Mov Disord 30, 847-850.

[21] Davis AA, Andruska KM, Benitez BA, Racette BA, Perlmutter JS, Cruchaga C (2016)

Variants in GBA, SNCA, and MAPT influence Parkinson disease risk, age at onset, and progression. Neurobiol Aging 209, e1-e7.

[22] Naj AC, Jun G, Reitz C, Kunkle BW, Perry W, Park YS, BeechamGW, Rajbhandary RA, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Wang LS, Kauwe JS, Huentelman MJ, Myers AJ, Bird TD, Boeve BF, Baldwin CT, Jarvik GP, Crane PK, Rogaeva E, Barmada MM, Demirci FY, Cruchaga C, Kramer PL, Ertekin-Taner N, Hardy J, Graff-Radford NR, Green RC, Larson EB, St George-Hyslop PH, Buxbaum JD, EvansDA, Schneider JA, Lunetta KL, Kamboh MI, Saykin AJ, Reiman EM, De Jager PL, Bennett DA, Morris JC, Montine TJ, Goate AM, Blacker D, Tsuang DW, Hakonarson H, Kukull WA, Foroud TM, Martin ER, Haines JL, Mayeux RP, Farrer LA, Schellenberg GD, Pericak-Vance MA, Alzheimer Disease Genetics Consortium, Albert MS, Albin RL, Apostolova LG, Arnold SE, Barber R, Barnes LL, Beach TG, Becker JT, Beekly D, Bigio EH, Bowen JD, Boxer A, Burke JR, Cairns NJ, Cantwell LB, Cao C, Carlson CS, Carney RM, Carrasquillo MM, Carroll SL, Chui HC, Clark DG, Corneveaux J, Cribbs DH, Crocco EA, DeCarli C, DeKosky ST, Dick M, Dickson DW, Duara R, Faber KM, Fallon KB, Farlow MR, Ferris S, Frosch MP, Galasko DR, Ganguli M, Gearing M, Geschwind DH, Ghetti B, Gilbert JR, Glass JD, Growdon JH, Hamilton RL, Harrell LE, Head E, Honig LS, Hulette CM, Hyman BT, Jicha GA, Jin LW, Karydas A, Kaye JA, Kim R, Koo EH, KowallNW, Kramer JH, LaFerla FM, Lah JJ, Leverenz JB, Levey AI, Li G, Lieberman AP, Lin CF, Lopez OL, Lyketsos CG, Mack WJ, Martiniuk F, Mash DC, Masliah E, McCormick WC, McCurry SM, McDavid AN, McKee AC, Mesulam M, Miller BL, Miller CA, Miller JW, Murrell JR, Olichney JM, Pankratz VS, Parisi JE, Paulson HL, Peskind E, Petersen RC, Pierce A, Poon WW, Potter H, Quinn JF, Raj A, Raskind M, Reisberg B, Ringman JM, Roberson ED, Rosen HJ, Rosenberg RN, Sano M, Schneider LS, Seeley WW, Smith AG, Sonnen JA, Spina S, Stern RA, Tanzi RE, Thornton-Wells TA, Trojanowski JQ, Troncoso JC, Valladares O, Van Deerlin VM, Van Eldik LJ, Vardarajan BN, Vinters HV, Vonsattel JP, Weintraub S, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Williamson J, Wishnek S, Woltjer RL, Wright CB, Younkin SG, Yu CE, Yu L (2014) Effects of multiple genetic loci on age at onset in late-onset Alzheimer disease: A genome-wide association study. JAMA Neurol 71, 1394-1404.

[23] Jenkins AK, Paterson C, Wang Y, Hyde TM, Kleinman JE, LawAJ (2015) Neurexin 1 (NRXN1) splice isoform expression during human neocortical development and aging. Mol Psychiatry 21, 701-716. [24] Mattheisen M, Samuels JF, Wang Y, Greenberg BD, Fyer AJ, McCracken JT, Geller DA, Murphy DL, Knowles JA, Grados MA, Riddle MA, Rasmussen SA, McLaughlin NC, Nurmi EL, Askland KD, Qin HD, Cullen BA, Piacentini J, Pauls DL, Bienvenu OJ, Stewart SE, Liang KY, Goes FS, Maher B, Pulver AE, Shugart YY, Valle D, Lange C, Nestadt G (2015) Genome-wide association study in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Results from the OCGAS. Mol Psychiatry 20, 337-344.

[25] Sharp BM, Chen H, Gong S, Wu X, Liu Z, Hiler K, Taylor WL, Matta SG (2011) Gene expression in accumbens GABA neurons from inbred rats with different drug-taking behavior. Genes Brain Behav 10, 778-788.

[26] Zhu Q, Tan Z, Zhao S, Huang H, Zhao X, Hu X, Zhang Y, Shields CB, Uetani N, Qiu M (2015) Developmental expression and function analysis of protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type D in oligodendrocyte myelination. Neuroscience 308, 106-114.

[27] McClay JL, Adkins DE, Aberg K, Buksz'ar J, Khachane AN, Keefe RS, Perkins DO, McEvoy JP, Stroup TS, Vann RE, Beardsley PM, Lieberman JA, Sullivan PF, van den Oord EJ (2011) Genome-wide pharmacogenomic study of neurocognition as an indicator of antipsychotic treatment response in schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 36,616-626.

[28] Jiang YH, Yuen RK, Jin X, Wang M, Chen N, Wu X, Ju J, Mei J, Shi Y, He M, Wang G,

Liang J, Wang Z, Cao D, CarterMT, Chrysler C, Drmic IE, Howe JL, Lau L, Marshall CR, Merico

D, Nalpathamkalam T, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Thompson A, Uddin M, Walker S, Luo J,

Anagnostou E, Zwaigenbaum L, Ring RH, Wang J, Lajonchere C, Wang J, Shih A, Szatmari P,

Yang H, Dawson G, Li Y, Scherer SW (2013) Detection of clinically relevant genetic variants in

autism spectrum disorder by whole-genome sequencing. Am J Hum Genet 93, 249-263.

[29] Todarello G, Feng N, Kolachana BS, Li C, Vakkalanka R, Bertolino A, Weinberger DR,

Straub RE (2014) Incomplete penetrance of NRXN1 deletions in families with schizophrenia.

Schizophr Res 155, 1-7.

[30] Fan Z, Chen X, Chen R (2014) Transcriptome-wide analysis of TDP-43 binding small RNAs

identifies miR-NID1 (miR-8485), a novel miRNA that represses NRXN1 expression. Genomics 103, 76-82.

[31] Egger G, Roetzer KM, Noor A, Lionel AC, Mahmood H, Schwarzbraun T, Boright O,

Mikhailov A, Marshall CR, Windpassinger C, Petek E, Scherer SW, Kaschnitz W,

Vincent JB (2014) Identification of risk genes for autism spectrum disorder through copy number variation analysis in Austrian families. Neurogenetics 15, 117-127.

[32] Lee JH, Cheng R, Barral S, Reitz C, Medrano M, Lantigua R, Jimenez-Velazquez IZ, Rogaeva E, St George-Hyslop PH, Mayeux R (2011) Identification of novel loci for Alzheimer disease and replication of CLU, PICALM, and BIN1 in Caribbean Hispanic individuals. Arch Neurol 68, 320-328.

[33] Sevier CS (2010) New insights into oxidative folding. J Cell Biol 188, 757-758.

[34] Premi E, Formenti A, Gazzina S, Archetti S, Gasparotti R, Padovani A, Borroni B (2014) Effect of TMEM106B polymorphism on functional network connectivity in asymptomatic GRN mutation carriers. JAMA Neurol 71,216-221.

[35] Cruchaga C, Graff C, Chiang HH, Wang J, Hinrichs AL, Spiegel N, Bertelsen S, Mayo K, Norton JB, Morris JC, Goate A (2011) Association of TMEM106B gene polymorphism with age at onset in granulin mutation carriers and plasma granulin protein levels. Arch Neurol 68, 581-586.
[36] Lee JH, Cheng R, Vardarajan B, Lantigua R, Reyes-Dumeyer D, OrtmannW, Graham RR, Bhangale T, Behrens TW, Medrano M, Jimenez-Velazquez IZ, Mayeux R (2015) Genetic modifiers of age at onset in carriers of the G206A mutation in PSEN1 with familial Alzheimer disease among Caribbean Hispanics. JAMA Neurol 72, 1043-1051.