American Journal of Human Biology

Evaluation of baseline frequency of sister chromatid exchanges in an Italian population according to age, gender, smoking habit and gene polymorphisms.

Journal:	*American Journal of Human Biology*
Manuscript ID	AJHB-16-0253.R3
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	SANTOVITO, Alfredo; University of Turin, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology GENDUSA, Claudio; University of Turin, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology CERVELLA, Piero; University of Turin, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology
Keywords:	SCE, Gene Polymorphisms, Italian Population, Lifestyles

Title: Evaluation of baseline frequency of sister chromatid exchanges in an Italian population according to age, gender, smoking habit and gene polymorphisms.

Short Title: Baseline frequency of sister chromatid exchanges in an Italian population

Authors: Alfredo SANTOVITO*, Claudio GENDUSA, Piero CERVELLA

University of Turin, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Via Accademia Albertina n. 13, 10123 Torino (Italy)

*Corresponding Author:

Alfredo SANTOVITO

Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology

Via Accademia Albertina n. 13

10123 – Torino (Italy)

Tel.: +39-0116704554

Fax: +39-0116704508

Abstract

Objectives: Increased SCEs frequencies in human lymphocytes are an indicator of spontaneous chromosome instability and could be influenced by different exogenous and endogenous factors. In this study, we evaluated the influence of age, sex, smoking habit and genetic polymorphisms on the background levels of SCEs in peripheral blood lymphocytes.

Methods: Two hundred thirty healthy Italian subjects were recruited. Data about age, gender and smoking habit were recorded. Subjects were also genotyped for *GSTT1*, *GSTM1*, *GSTP1 A/G*, *CYP1A1 Ile/Val*, *CYP2C19 G/A*, *ERCC2/XPD Lys751Gln*, *XRCC1 Arg194ATrp, XRCC1 Arg399Gln and XRCC1Arg208His* gene polymorphisms.

Results: The frequency of SCEs/Cell was 5.15±1.87, with females showing a significantly higher SCEs value with respect to males (5.36±2.10 and 4.82±1.39, respectively). Smokers showed significantly increased levels of SCEs with respect to non-smokers (5.93±1.75 and 4.70±1.79, respectively) whereas no differences were observed between heavy and light smokers. The age correlated with the RI value ($P = 0.01$) but not with the SCEs frequency ($P = 0.07$), although the 31-40 age-group showed a significantly lower SCEs frequency with respect to the other age-groups. A significant association was also found between *GSTP2C19-AA*, *GSTT1*-null, *GSTM1-null*, *ERCC2/XPD Gln751Gln* and *XRCC1 His208His* genotypes and higher frequencies of SCEs.

Conclusion: We describe the association between some phase I, phase II and DNA-repair gene polymorphisms with increased SCEs frequencies, reinforcing the importance of genetic analysis in bio-monitoring studies. Gender and age were found to be important endogenous factors that affect the level of genomic damage and the replicative capacity of cells, respectively.

Key words: SCE, Gene Polymorphisms, Italian Population, Lifestyles

ABBREVIATIONS

BrdUrd = bromodeoxyuridine

CAs = chromosomal aberrations

CYP = cytochrome P

GST = glutathione- S-transferase

HFC = high frequency cells

HFI = high frequency individuals

LT = long time

NER = nucleotide excision repair

RI = replication index

SCEs = Sister chromatid exchanges

ST = short time

XPD = Xeroderma Pigmentosum complementation group D

INTRODUCTION

The frequency of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) in peripheral blood lymphocytes is extensively used as a biomarker of chromosomal damage and genome stability in human populations. SCEs occur as a consequence of interchanges between DNA replication products at homologous chromosomal loci, and these exchanges involve DNA breakage and reunion (Knudsen and Hansen, 2007). Nevertheless, some compounds are able to form covalent adducts with the DNA or to interfere with DNA metabolism and repair, with consequent induction of genomic damage.

SCEs test is also used in surveillance of work environments with low-dose exposures to mutagens or carcinogens. Indeed, increased frequencies of SCEs are found to be associated with a higher risk of various types of cancers (Medves et al., 2016; Baltaci et al., 2002) as well as they represent indicators of spontaneous chromosome instability among human populations (Salah et al., 2011). In this context, one of the objectives of the present study was to evaluate, by means of the SCEs assay, the level of genomic damage in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of non-occupationally exposed, healthy subjects. Indeed, it is known that the level of genomic damage can be partly influenced by a variety of external factors such as chemical and physical agents, life styles (smoking and drinking habits, nutrition) or residential and/or working areas, as well as by endogenous factors, including those of biological origins such as gender and age (Santovito et al., 2015; 2016).

Individual genetic susceptibility was found to play an increasingly important role in determining the levels of genomic damage. From a genetic point of view, this susceptibility is due to a battery of gene polymorphisms, principally those of metabolic genes (such as cytochrome P (*CYP*) 450 and glutathione- S-transferase (*GST*) family genes) (Autrup, 2000; Wang et al., 2013). In particular, phase I cytochrome P450 (*CYP1*) gene products are involved in the oxidative metabolism of xenobiotics, producing compounds subsequently processed by phase II enzymes, such as GSTT1. It was observed that variants of *CYP1* and *GSTs* metabolic genes were associated with increased levels of chromosomal aberrations and SCEs (Laczmanska et al., 2006; Kumar et al., 2011;

Hemminki et al., 2015).

In order to prevent the potentially mutagenic consequences of DNA modifications, cells have evolved different mechanisms of DNA repair, depending on the specific type of DNA damage. These mechanisms include Base Excision Repair (BER) and Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER) that correct non-bulky damage and lesions that distort the DNA double helical structure, respectively (Cleaver et al., 2009; Collins and Azqueta, 2012). Most of the genes encoding DNA-repair enzymes are polymorphic, and some of these polymorphisms are directly related with increased levels of chromosomal aberrations and SCEs (Vodicka et al., 2004; Laczmanska et al., 2007; Toolaram et al., 2014).

We decided to evaluate the relationships between some phase I (*CYP1A1 Ile/Val* and *CYP2C19 G/A*), phase II (*GSTT1 positive/null, GSTM1 positive/null* and *GSTP1 A/G*) and DNA-repair (*ERCC2/XPD Lys/Gln, XRCC1 Arg194Tr, XRCC1 Arg399Gln* and *XRCC1 Arg208His*) gene polymorphisms, as they are associated with an increase susceptibility to DNA damage (Laczmanska et al., 2006; Toolaram et al., 2014, Santovito et al., 2015), and the levels of genomic damage measured by SCEs assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The present work is part of a national study designed to analyse the health of the urban Italian population. Our group is interested in analyzing the relationships between life style, endogenous factors and levels of genomic damage. The demographic characteristics of the studied group are reported in Table 1. The study population comprised 230 blood donors sampled in Turin (Piedmont, North-Western Italy). We recruited subjects without any known exposure except those of the routine household, traffic and/or clerical work. Subjects were randomly recruited among different university departments, hospital workers of the administrative staff and healthy voluntaries enrolled

in these structures. The equal representation of both sexes and of all age-groups was the only adopted selection criteria.

Subjects were divided into four groups, from A to D, according to age: 21-30 (group A), 31-40 (group B), 41-50 (group C), and 51-70 (group D). The last group included a wider age range because only three individuals were found to belong to the age-group 61-70. Therefore, we decided to join the classes of age 51-60 and 61-70 in a single age-group for this analysis.

In order to analyse the influence of smoking on the level of genomic damage, the total population sample was subdivided in two groups, smokers and non-smokers. Smokers were then subdivided into four sub-groups based on the number of cigarettes smoked/day (cig/day) [heavy smokers, >10 cig/day; light smokers, ≤10 cig/day] and on the number of years of smoking [LT-smokers = long time smokers, >10 years; ST-smokers = short time smokers, ≤10 years].

The present study was performed in accordance with ethical standards of the University of Turin bioethics committee and with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. Blood donors were informed about the aim and the experimental details of the study, gave their informed consent, and volunteered to donate blood for sampling. They were healthy at the moment of blood sampling and interviews. In our sample, we exclusively considered individuals that did not consume drugs or alcohol and were not exposed to X-ray for a period of at least two year prior to the analysis.

Blood sampling and SCEs assay

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture (5-10 ml) and collected in heparinised tubes. After collection, all blood samples were coded, cooled (4°C), and processed within 2 h after collection. Approximately 0.4 mL of each sample was cultured using RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% foetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.2 mL of the mitogenic agent phytohemagglutinin (PHA), and antibiotics (100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin). To arrest cells in mitosis, colchicine (0.25 µg/mL) was added at a concentration of 0.06 µg/mL during the last 2 h of

culture. The cultures were incubated for 72 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 in the air. To measure SCEs in second division metaphases, bromodeoxyuridine (BrdUrd, 5 µg/mL) was added at 24 h. BrdUrd closely resembles thymidine and is efficiently incorporated into the elongating DNA strands during replication. After two cell cycles in BrdUrd medium, the two sister chromatids differ in the amount of BrdUrd and the chromatid with more BrdUrd is lighter in appearance (a "bleaching" effect). Chromosome preparation was done following standard procedures, as described in Santovito et al. (2014). For each subject, we scored 50 well-spread second-division metaphases containing 46 chromosomes. A total of 100 cells from each donor was scored for the determination of the replication index (RI), calculated according to the following formula: $RI = (M_1 + 2M_2 + 3M_3)/N$, where M_1, M_2 and M_3 represent the number of cells undergoing first second and third mitosis and N is the total number of metaphase scored.

Together with the individual mean value of SCEs per cell, in order to increase the sensitivity of the assay, we calculated the high frequency cells (HFC) and the number of high frequency individuals (HFI). These measures took into account cells with a high frequency of SCEs that represented a subpopulation of more sensitive cells or of long living lymphocytes which accumulated DNA lesions *in vivo* (Carrano and Moore, 1982). The evaluation of HFC was performed to assess individual variability in susceptibility to genotoxic agents. The occurrence of HFC in population studies is generally evaluated using aggregate measures such as the HFI, i.e., subjects who show a high proportion of HFC (Bonassi et al., 1999).

DNA extraction and Genotyping

DNA extraction was conducted using a Chelex solution, according to the following protocol: 10 µL of peripheral blood was diluted in 1 mL of sterile distilled water for 15 min at room temperature. After centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 1 min, the pellet was re-suspended in 200 µL of 5% Chelex solution in Tris-EDTA at pH 8, heated to 56°C for 15 min and, after vortex for 10 sec, at 100°C in

boiler water for 8 min. For PCR reactions we used 19 µL of this solution containing extracted DNA, whereas primers and methodologies are described in Pemble et al. (1994), Zhong et al. (1993), García-Gonzalés et al. (2012), Chen et al. (2001), Bonello et al. (2010), Li et al. (2009), Matullo et al. (2001) and Wang et al. (2010). PCR reactions were performed in a 25 µL volume containing about 10 ng DNA (template), with a final concentration of 1X Reaction Buffer, 1.5 mM of MgCl2, 5% of DMSO, 250 µM of dNTPs, 0.5 µM of each primer, and 1 U/sample of Taq DNA polymerase (Fischer, U.S.). Cycles were set as follows: 35 cycles, 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension step 10 min at 72 °C. Amplification products were detected by ethidium bromide staining after 3% agarose gel electrophoresis. In order to improve our results, all null genotypes and a random 20% (n = 75) of the subjects were re-genotyped for all analyzed polymorphisms. Only when the results of the two genotyping efforts were similar, did we include the subject in our sample.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was assessed using the SPSS software statistical package programme (version 23.0 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney *U* test and the ANOVA with Tukey correction test were used to analyse the differences in the frequency of SCEs between males and females, smokers and non-smokers, and age groups, as well as to test the influence of the analysed gene polymorphisms on the level of genomic damage. Multiple regression analysis was also used to evaluate the influence of age, sex and smoke on SCEs frequency. All *P*-values were two tailed and the level of statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$ for all tests.

RESULTS

Study population

The general characteristics of the study subjects are reported in Table 1. Ninety subjects were male (mean age±SD 42.01±9.34, range 22-70) and 140 were female (mean age±SD 36.16±8.94, range 21-58).

Eighty-three subjects were regular smokers (48 females and 35 males) while 147 were not (92 females and 55 males). Among smokers, the heavy smokers were 66 and the light smokers 17, with an average number of cigarettes smoked per day of 17.83±6.42 (ranging from 8 to 40). The long time (LT) and short time (ST) smokers were 47 and 36, respectively, with an average number of years of smoking of 14.26±9.04 (ranging from 2 to 38).

Fifty-four subjects fell into age group A (mean age 26.41±2.84), 91 in age group B (mean age 36.42±2.60), 59 in age group C (mean age 44.88±2.81) and 26 in age group D (mean age 55.96±4.83).

SCEs analysis

The results of the SCEs analysis are summarized in Table 2. The mean frequency of SCEs and the value of RI in the total sample were 5.15±1.87 and 1.90±0.24, respectively. According to the Carrano and Moore (1982) methodology, 62 individuals were classified as HFI with a mean value of SCEs/Cell of 7.54±0.99, whereas 168 subjects were classified as Non-HFI, with a mean value of SCEs/Cell of 4.26±1.23. We observed significant differences between HFI and Non-HFI in terms of SCEs frequency ($P<0.001$) and RI value ($P = 0.03$).

Similarly, sex seemed to play an important role in the determining the amount of genomic damage, as females showed a significantly ($P = 0.01$) higher level of SCEs with respect to males (5.36±2.10 and 4.82±1.39, respectively), as also confirmed by the ANOVA analysis ($P = 0.03$, Table 5).

With regard to smoking habit, smokers showed a significantly ($P< 0.001$) higher frequency of SCEs compared to non-smokers (5.93±1.75 and 4.70±1.79, respectively), whereas no statistical differences were found in terms of SCEs between heavy- and light-smokers (6.07±1.54 and 5.38±2.39, respectively) as well as between LT- and ST-smokers (5.84±1.63 and 6.04±1.92, respectively). However, LT-smokers showed a significantly lower RI value with respect to ST-smokers ($P = 0.04$), indicating a possible cytotoxic effect of smoking over time. Finally, among smokers, the regression analysis indicated a significant correlation ($P = 0.03$) between the number of cigarettes/day and the level of SCEs (Table 6).

Age was found to correlate with the RI value ($P = 0.01$) but not with the SCEs frequency ($P = 0.07$) (Table 6), although the ANOVA indicated significant differences in the level of SCEs among age-groups ($P = 0.02$, Table 5). Indeed, the 31-40 age-group showed a significantly lower SCEs frequency with respect to all other age-groups (Table 3).

Gene polymorphisms

Finally, the influence of some gene polymorphisms on SCEs frequency was also evaluated (Tables 4 and 5). Our results showed that *GSTP2C19-AA*, *GSTT1*-null, *GSTM1-null*, *ERCC2/XPD Lys751Gln* and *XRCC1 Arg208His* gene polymorphisms were associated with significantly higher levels of SCEs, whereas this association was not found for the other analysed genic polymorphisms.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we evaluated, by means of the SCEs assay, the influence of some exogenous (smoking habits) and endogenous (age, gender, metabolic and DNA-repair genes polymorphisms) parameters on the level of the cytogenetic damage in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of non-occupationally exposed, healthy subjects living in the city of Turin (North Italy).

Although Turin is one of the most polluted cities in Europe (Santovito et al., 2016), mainly in terms

of air fine particular matter whose mutagenic potential has been suggested in a number of studies (Buschini et al., 2001; Wei and Meng, 2006), we observed a frequency of SCEs generally similar to that found by Carere et al. (2002) for Rome and lower with respect to that observed by Barale et al. (1998) for Pisa (Tuscany Region, Central Italy). On the contrary, in our previous published work (Santovito et al., 2016), we reported a high baseline frequency of chromosomal aberrations (such as breaks, dicentrics and rearrangements) in a control sample of subjects living in Turin, one of the highest value reported in literature for European control populations.

It is known that spontaneous genomic damage can also be induced by a variety of other endogenous and exogenous factors. Among them, smoking has been found to increase the level of SCEs and other cytogenetic biomarkers in peripheral blood lymphocytes of many human populations (Salah et al., 2011; Bonassi et al., 2011).

According to data reported by other authors (Salah et al., 2011; Sebastià et al., 2014), a significant increase in SCEs frequency was observed among smokers (Tables 2 and 5). Moreover, the chromosomal damage seemed to correlate with the number of cigarettes/day (Table 6), indicating a possible cumulative genotoxic effect of the cigarette smoke on human lymphocytes. This increased level of genomic damage observed among smokers can be explained by the fact that cigarette smoke contains several genotoxic compounds, most of them also having carcinogenic properties, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aromatic amines and metals (IARC, 1986).

Contrary to what was observed in other reports (Salah et al., 2011; for a review see DeMarini, 2004 and Husgafvel-Pursiainen, 2004), in our work and other published studies, no significant differences were found between light and heavy smokers and between LT- and ST-smokers in terms of SCEs frequency. Finally, among smokers, no correlation was found between the number of years of smoking and SCEs frequency (Table 6). A possible interpretation of this pattern has been postulated by Costa et al. (2008), who hypothesized that various physiological systems (induction of metabolizing and detoxifying enzymes, induction of DNA repair processes) had adopted over

time. In this sense a few cigarettes per day may stimulate a cell-adaptive response, thereby causing an apparent lowering in SCEs frequency. Moreover, as suggested by Donmez-Altuntas and Bitgen (2012) for other cytogenetic markers, it could be that cells damaged by cigarette smoke may not survive in culture or may not divide because they are more likely to die of necrosis or apoptosis, making it impossible to carry out the SCEs assay with them.

As for the role of sex, although in some studies (Santovito et al., 2015; Sebastià et al., 2014) no sex effect was observed, in our work females showed significantly higher SCE values than males, while sex had no effect on the replicative capacity of the cells, as indicated by the RI values (Tables 2 and 5).

The influence of age on the frequencies of SCEs have been evaluated in many studies (for a review see Bolognesi et al., 1997). In the present work, although the regression analysis indicated that the level of SCEs did not correlate with age (Table 6), we observed a significantly lower frequency of SCEs for the 31-40 age-group with respect to the 41-50 and 51-70 age-groups (Tables 3 and 5). The increase in the amount of SCEs among subjects belonging to the last two age-groups could be explained by a decreased efficiency in the repair of DNA damage, with consequent accumulation of "aberrant cells", in peripheral lymphocytes of older individuals. Indeed, it has been well documented that cells from older individuals exhibit increased levels of damaged DNA and chromosomal instability (Donmez-Altuntas and Bitgen, 2012; Milosevic-Djordjevic et al., 2002; Bukvic et al., 2001).

The individuals enrolled in our study were also genotyped for five phase I and phase II metabolic gene polymorphisms, as well as for four DNA-repair gene polymorphisms (Table 4). In agreement with data obtained by Kumar et al. (2011), our results showed that *GSTT1-null* and *GSTM1-null* gene polymorphisms were associated with increased cytogenetic damage. This result was not

surprising because the reduced detoxification ability of the null genotypes has been related to an increased susceptibility to DNA damage (Palma et al., 2007), as well as to an increased cancer risk (Bajpai et al., 2007; Cha et al., 2007).

CYP2C19 A/A subjects also showed a frequency of SCEs significantly higher with respect to the *CYP2C19* G/G homozygote genotypes. Although other polymorphisms in genes belonging to *CYP2* family, such as these in the *CYP2E1* c1/c2 gene, were found to affect the frequency of SCEs (Laczmanska et al., 2006), this is the first study showing a possible association of the *CYP2C19 A/A* genotype with increased SCEs levels in a control population.

Finally, analysing the effect of DNA-repair gene polymorphisms on the amount of genomic damage, we found, for the first time in a control population, an association between *XPD* Gln751Gln and *XRCC1 His208His* genotypes and increased levels of SCEs. It is known that high frequencies of SCEs are related to defects in the DNA-repair machinery (Garcia-Sagredo, 2008). At the same time, the *XPD* codon 751 Gln allele was found to be associated with lower DNA repair capacity and lower cell viability in *in vitro* systems (Xiao et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017), and with higher levels of DNA adducts (Palli et al., 2001; Matullo et al., 2003) and chromatid aberrations (Ma et al., 2013). Similarly, the *XRCC1 His* allele results in defective DNA repair capacity, due to the inefficient localisation of protein to the DNA damage site (Ji et al., 2010). In this scenario, we can postulate that the reduced DNA repair capacity could potentially contribute to the higher levels of SCEs observed among homozygous *XPD Gln751Gln* and *XRCC1 His208His* genotypes.

However, it should be emphasized that data related to the association of *ERCC2/XPD* Lys751Gln and the cytogenetic damage are contradictory. In previous published studies, the *XPD751Gln* variant allele was found to be associated with increased micronuclei frequencies (Pérez-Cadahía, 2008) but with decreased chromatid aberration frequencies (Lunn et al., 2000; Vodicka et al.,

2015). *Vice versa*, in other studies the *Gln* allele failed to influence the levels of SCEs and DNA adducts (Duell et al., 2000). A possible explanation for these conflicting results could be that the accumulation of chromosomal aberrations requires a complex interplay between different DNA repair pathways. Gene-gene interactions in DNA repair genes could also influence enhanced or decreased chromosomal aberration frequencies. For example, Spitz et coll. (2001) observed the best repair activity in cells from wild-type individuals who were homozygous at both Lys751Gln and Asp312Asn loci and the lowest repair capacity in those carrying at least two variant alleles.

CONCLUSIONS

Beyond the classical endogenous and exogenous factors, such as sex, age and smoking habits, which are already known to have in some cases a stronger effect on the level of genomic damage, we describe a positive association between CYP2C19 A/A, *GSTT-null, GSTM1-null, XPD 751 CC* and *XRCC1 His208His* genotypes with increased frequencies of SCEs. This finding reinforces, in bio-monitoring studies of human populations, the importance of genetic analysis designed to evaluate more classic endogenous and exogenous factors that could influence the level of the genomic damage. Moreover, our data assume a more important connotation if we consider the fact that, in the present study, we analysed a control population consisting of subjects not exposed for professional reasons to xenobiotics, but living in a city, like Turin, with many problems related to urban pollution (Traversi et al., 2009; Raaschou-Nielsen et al., 2013; Bono et al., 2016; Santovito et al., 2016). In this sense, we hope that the results of this study can be used as a stimulus for future bio-monitoring programs in other Italian and globally distributed cities.

Finally, it should be emphasize that the results of the present work cannot be generalized for all "Caucasians" because this group is heterogeneous, with differences in the distribution of genetic polymorphisms and in life styles among individuals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was supported by a grant from University of Turin (grant ex 60%). The authors declare no conflicts of interest, with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

We would like to thank Sergio Castellano for his valuable help in improving the statistical analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Alfredo SANTOVITO designed the study, performed the laboratory analysis, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript.

Claudio GENDUSA, collected the data, contributed to draft the manuscript and to perform the laboratory analysis.

Piero CERVELLA provided necessary logistical and economical support, analyzed the data and edited the manuscript for intellectual content providing critical comments on the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Autrup, H. (2000). Genetic polymorphisms in human xenobiotica metabolizing enzymes as susceptibility factors in toxic response. Mutat Res, 464, 65-76.

2. Bajpai, P., Tripathi, A.K., & Agrawal, D. (2007). Increased frequencies of glutathione-S-transferase (GSTM1 and GSTT1) null genotypes in Indian patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia. Leukemia Res, 31, 407-420.

3. Baltaci, V., Kayikçioğlu, F., Alpas, I., Zeyneloğlu, H. & Haberal, A. (2002). Sister chromatid exchange rate and alkaline comet assay scores in patients with ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol, 84, 62-66.

4. Barale, R., Chelotti, L., Davini, T., Derl Ry, S., Andreassi, M.G., Ballardin, M., Bulleri, M., He, J., Baldacci, S., Di Pede, F., Germignani, F., & Landi, S. (1998). Sister chromatid exchange and micronucleus frequency in human lymphocytes of 1,650 subjects in an Italian population: II. Contribution of sex, age, and lifestyle. Environ Mol Mutagen, 31(3), 228-242.

5. Bolognesi, C., Abbondandolo, A., Barale, R., Casalone, R., Dalprà, L., De Ferrari, M., Degrassi, F., Forni, A., Lamberti, L., Lando, C., Migliore, L., Padovani, P., Pasquini, R., Puntoni, R., Sbrana, I., Stella, M., & Bonassi, S. (1997). Age-related increase of baseline frequencies of sister chromatid exchanges, chromosome aberrations, and micronuclei in human lymphocytes. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 6 (4), 249-256.

6. Bonassi, S., Fontana, V., Ceppi, M., Barale, R., & Biggeri, A. (1999). Analysis of correlated data in human biomonitoring studies. The case of high sister chromatid exchange frequency cells. Mutat Res-Gen Tox En, 438 (1), 13-21.

7. Bonassi, S., Coskun, E., Ceppi, M., Lando, C., Bolognesi, C., Burgaz, S., Holland, N., Kirsh-Volders, M., Knasmueller, S., Zeiger, E., Carnesoltas, D., Cavallo, D., da Silva, J., de Andrade, V.M., Dermicigil, G.C., Domínguez Odio, A., Domnez-Altuntas, H., Gattas, G., Giri, A., Giri, S., Gómez-Meda, B., Gómez-Arroyo, S., Hadjidekova, V., Haveric, A., Kamboj, M., Kurteshi, K., Martino-Roth, M.G., Montero Montoya, R., Nersesyan, A., Pastor-Benito, S., Favero Salvadori, D.M., Shaposhnikova, A., Stopper, H., Thomas, P., Torres-Bugarín, O., Yadav, A.S., Zúñiga González, G., & Fenech, M. (2011). The HUman MicroNucleus project on eXfoLiated buccal cells (HUMN(XL): the role of life-style, host factors, occupational exposures, health status, and assay protocol. Mutat Res, 728 (3), 88-97.

8. Bonello, L., Armero, S., Mokhtar, O.A., Mancini, J., Aldebert ,P., Saut, N., Bonello, N., Barragan, P., Arques, S., Giacomoni, M.P., Bonello-Burignat, C., Bartholomei, M.N., Dignat-George, F., Camoi-Jau, L., & Paganelli, F. (2010). Clopidogrel Loading Dose Adjustment According to Platelet Reactivity Monitoring in Patients Carrying the 2C19*2 Loss of Function Polymorphism. J Am Coll Cardiol 56, 1630-1636.

9. Bono, R., Romanazzi, V., Bellisario, V., Tassinari, R., Trucco, G., Urbino, A., Cassardo, C., Siniscalco, C., Marchetti, P., & Marcon, A. (2016). Air pollution, aeroallergens and admissions to pediatric emergency room for respiratory reasons in Turin, northwestern Italy. BMC Public Health, 16, 722.

10. Bukvic, N., Gentile, M., Susca, F., Fanelli, M., Serio, G., Buonadonna, L., Capurso, A., & Guanti, G. (2001). Sex chromosome loss, micronuclei, sister chromatid exchange and aging: a study including 16 centenarians. Mutat Res, 498, 159–167.

11. Buschini, A., Cassoni, F., Anceschi, E., Pasini, L., Poli, P., & Rossi, C. (2001). Urban Airbone particulate: genotoxicity evaluation of different size fractions by mutagenesis tests on microorganisms and comet assay. Chemosphere, 44, 1723-1736;

12. Carere, A. , Andreoli, C., Galati, R., Leopardi, P., Marcon, F., Rosati, M.V., Rossi, S., Tomei, F., Verdina, A., Zijno, A., & Crebelli, R. (2002). Biomonitoring of exposure to urban air pollutants: analysis of sister chromatid exchanges and DNA lesions in peripheral lymphocytes of traffic policemen. Mutat Res, 518, 215-224.

13. Carrano, A.V., & Moore II, D.H. (1982). The rationale and methodology for quantifying sister chromatid exchange in humans. In J.A. Heddle (Ed.), Mutagenicity: New Horizons in Genetic Toxicology, (pp. 267–304). New York: Academic Press.

14. Cha, I.H., Park, J.Y., Chung, W.Y., Choi, M.A., Kim, H.J., & Park, K.K. (2007). Polymorphisms of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes and susceptibility to oral cancer. Yonsei Med J, 48, 233-239.

15. Chen, S., Xue, K., Xu, L., Ma, G., & Wu, J. (2001). Polymorphisms of the CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes in relation to individual susceptibility to lung carcinoma in Chinese population. Mutat Res, 458, 41-47.

16. Cleaver, J.E., Lam, E.T., & Revet, I. (2009). Disorders of nucleotide excision repair: the genetic and molecular basis of heterogeneity. Nature, 10, 756-768.

17. Collins, A.R., & Azqueta, A. (2012). DNA repair as a biomarker in human biomonitoring studies: further applications of the comet assay. Mutat Res, 736, 122-129.

18. Costa, S., Coelho, P., Costa, C., Silva, S., Mayan, O., Santos, L.S., Gaspar, J., & Teixeira, J.P. (2008). Genotoxic damage in pathology anatomy laboratory workers exposed to formaldehyde. Toxicology, 252 (1–3), 40-48.

19. DeMarini, D. M. (2004). Genotoxicity of tobacco smoke and tobacco smoke condensate: a review. Mut Res, 567, 447-474.

20. Donmez-Altuntas, H., & Bitgen, N. (2012). Evaluation of the genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in the general population in Turkey by use of the cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome assay. Mutat Res-Gen Tox En, 748 (1–2), 1-7.

21. Duell, E.J., Wiencke, J.K., Cheng, T.J., Varkonyi, A., Zuo, Z.F., Ashok, T.D., Mark, E.J., Wain, J.C., Christiani, D.C., & Kelsey, K.T. (2000). Polymorphisms in the DNA repair genes XRCC1 and ERCC2 and biomarkers of DNA damage in human blood mononuclear cells. Carcinogenesis, 21(5), 965-971.

22. García-González, M.A., Quintero, E., Bujanda, L., Nicolás, D. Benito, R., Strunk, M., Santolaria, S., Sopeña, F., Badía, M., Hijona, E., Pérez-Aísa, M.A., Méndez-Sánchez, I.M,. Thomson, C., Carrera, P., Piazuelo, E., Jiménez, P., Espinel, J., Campo, R., Manzano, M., Geijo, F., Pellisé, M., González-Huix, F., Espinós, J., Titó, L., Zaballa, M., Pazo, R., & Lanas, A. (2012). Relevance of GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 gene polymorphisms to gastric cancer susceptibility and phenotype. Mutagenesis, 27(6), 771-777.

23. Garcia-Sagredo, J.M. (2008). Fifty years of cytogenetics: a parallel view of the evolution of cytogenetics and genotoxicology. Biochim Biophys Acta, 1779 (6-7), 363-375.

24. Hemminki, K., Frank, C., Försti, A., Musak, L., Kazimirova, A, Barancokova, M., Horska, A., Vymetalkova, V., Smerhovsky, Z., Naccarati, A., Soucek, P., Vodickova, L., Buchancova, J., Smolkova, B., Dusinska, M., & Vodicka, P. (2015). Metabolic gene variants associated with chromosomal aberrations in healthy humans. Genes Chrom Cancer, 54(4), 260-266.

25. Husgafvel-Pursiainen, K. (2004). Genotoxicity of environmental tobacco smoke: a review. Mutat Res-Rev Mutat, 567 (2-3), 427-445.

26. Knudsen, L.E., & Hansen, Å.M. (2007). Biomarkers of intermediate endpoints in environmental and occupational health. Int J Hyg Environ Health, 210, 461–470.

27. Kumar, A., Yadav, A., Giri, S.K., Dev, K., Gautam, S.K,, Gupta, R., & Aggarwal, N. (2011). Influence of GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes and confounding factors on the frequency of sister chromatid exchange and micronucleus among road construction workers. Chemosphere, 84 (5), 564-570.

28. Ji, F., Wang, W., Xia, Z.L., Zheng, Y.J., Qiu, Y.L., Wu, F., Miao, W.B., Jin, R.F., Qian, J., Jin, L., Zhu, Y.L., & Christiani, D.C. (2010). Prevalence and persistence of chromosomal damage, and susceptible genotypes of metabolic and DNA repair genes in Chinese vinyl chloride-exposed workers. Carcinogenesis 31, 648-653.

29. International agency for research on cancer tobacco smoking (IARC). Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, vol. 38 IARC, Lyon, France (1986).

30. Laczmanska, I., Gil, J., Karpinski, P., Stembalska, A., Kozlowska, J., Busza, H., Trusewicz, A., Pesz, K., Ramsey, D., Schlade-Bartusiak, K., Blin, N., & Sasiadek, M.M. (2006). Influence of polymorphisms in xenobiotic-metabolizing genes and DNA-repair genes on diepoxybutane-induced SCE frequency. Environ Mol Mutagen, 47(9). 666-673.

31. Laczmanska, I., Gil J., Karpinski, P., Stembalska, A., Trusewicz, A., Pesz, K., Ramsey, D., Schlade-Bartusiak, K., Blin, N., Sasiadek, M.M. (2007). Polymorphism in nucleotide excision repair gene XPC correlates with bleomycin-induced chromosomal aberrations. Environ Mol Mutagen, 48, 666-671.

32. Li, Y., Marion, M-J, Zipprich, J., Santella, R. M., Freyer, G. & Brandt-Rauf, P. W. (2009). Gene-environment interactions between DNA repair polymorphisms and exposure to the carcinogen vinyl chloride. Biomarkers, 14(3), 148-155.

33. Lunn, R. M., Helzlsouer, K. J., Parshad, R., Umbach, D. M., Harris, E. L., Sanford, K.K., & Bell, D. A. (2000). XPD polymorphisms: effects on DNA repair proficiency. Carcinogenesis, 21, 551-555.

34. Ma, Q., Qui, C., Tie, C., & Guo, Z. (2013). Genetic polymorphisms of xeroderma pigmentosum group D gene Asp312 Asn and Lys751Gln and susceptibility to prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gene, 530(2), 309-314.

35. Matullo, G., Palli, D., Peluso, M., Guarrera, S., Carturan, S., Celentano, E., Krogh, V., Munnia, A., Tumino, R., Polidoro, S., Piazza, A., & Vineis, P. (2001). XRCC1, XRCC3, XPD gene polymorphisms, smoking and (32)P-DNA adducts in a sample of healthy subjects. Carcinogenesis, 22(9):1437-1445.

36. Matullo, G., Peluso, M., Polidoro, S., Guarrera, S., Munnia, A., Krogh, V., Masala, G., Berrino, F., Panico, S., Tumino, R., Vineis, P., & Palli, D. (2003). Combination of DNA repair gene single nucleotide polymorphisms and increased levels of DNA adducts in a population-based study. Cancer Epidemiol Biom Prev, 12, 674-677.

37. Medves, S., Auchter, M., Chambeau, L., Gazzo, S., Poncet, D., Grangier, B., Verney, A., Moussay, E., Ammerlaan, W., Brisou, G., Morjani, H., Géli, V., Palissot, V., Berchem, G., Salles, G., & Wenner, T. (2016) A high rate of telomeric sister chromatid exchange occurs in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia B-cells. Br J Haematol, 174(1), 57-70.

38. Milosevic-Djordjevic, O., Grujicic, D., Novakovic, T., Arsenijevic, S., & Marinkovic, D.(2002). Micronuclei and ageing in a sample of Yugoslavian population. Russ J Genet (Genetika), 38, 201-204.

39. Palli, D., Russo, A., Masala, G., Saieva, C., Guarrera, S., Carturan, S., Munnia, A., Matullo, G., Peluso, M. (2001). DNA adduct levels and DNA repair polymorphisms in traffic-exposed workers and a general population sample. Int J Cancer, 94(1), 121-127.

40. Palma, S., Cornetta, T., Padua, L., Cozzi, R., Appolloni, M., Ievoli, E., & Testa A (2007). Influence of glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms on genotoxic effects induced by tobacco smoke. Mut Res, 633, 1-12.

41. Pemble, S., Schroeder, K. R., Spencer, S. R., Meyer, D. J., Hallier, E., Bolt, H.M., Ketterer, B., & Taylor, J.B. (1994). Human glutathione S-transferase theta (GSTT1): cDNA cloning and the characterization of a genetic polymorphism. Biochem J, 300, 271-276.

42. Pérez-Cadahía, B., Laffon, B., Valdiglesias, V., Pásaro, E., & Méndez, J. (2008). Cytogenetic effects induced by Prestige oil on human populations: the role of polymorphisms in genes involved in metabolism and DNA repair. Mutat Res, 653(1-2), 117-123.

43. Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Andersen, Z.J., Beelen, R., Samoli, E., Stafoggia, M., Weinmayr, G., Hoffmann, B., Fischer, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Brunekreef, B., Xun, W.W., Katsouyanni, K., Dimakopoulou, K., Sommar, J., Forsberg, B., Modig, L., Oudin, A., Oftedal, B., Schwarze, P.E., Nafstad, P., De Faire, U., Pedersen, N.L., Ostenson, C.G., Fratiglioni, L., Penell, J., Korek, M., Pershagen, G., Eriksen, K.T., Sørensen, M., Tjønneland, A., Ellermann, T., Eeftens, M., Peeters, P.H., Meliefste, K., Wang, M., Bueno-de-Mesquita, B., Key, T.J., de Hoogh, K., Concin, H., Nagel, G., Vilier, A., Grioni, S., Krogh, V., Tsai, M.Y., Ricceri, F., Sacerdote, C., Galassi, C., Migliore, E., Ranzi, A., Cesaroni, G., Badaloni, C., Forastiere, F., Tamayo, I., Amiano, P., Dorronsoro, M., Trichopoulou, A., Bamia, C., Vineis, P., & Hoek, G. (2013). Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in 17 European cohorts: prospective analyses from the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE). Lancet Oncol, 14(9), 813-822.

44. Salah, G.B., Kamoun H., Rebai A., Youssef, A.B.,Ayadi H., Belghith-Mahfoudh, N., Fourati, A., Ayadi, H., & Fakhfakh, F. (2011). Sister chromatid exchange (SCE) and high-frequency cells (HFC) in peripheral blood lymphocytes of healthy Tunisian smokers. Mut Res-Gen Tox En, 719 (1–2), 1-6.

45. Santovito, A., Cervella, P., & Delpero, M. (2014). Increased frequency of chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in peripheral lymphocytes of radiology technicians chronically-exposed to low levels of ionizing radiations. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol, 37, 396-403.

46. Santovito, A., Cervella, P., & DelPero, M. (2015). Evaluation of genomic damage in peripheral lymphocytes from occupationally exposed anesthetists: assessment of the effects of age, sex, and GSTT1 Gene Polymorphism. J Biochem Mol Toxicol, 29 (5), 234-239.

47. Santovito, A., Cervella, P., & Delpero, M. (2016). Baseline frequency of chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in peripheral blood lymphocytes of healthy individuals living in Turin (North-Western Italy): assessment of the effects of age, sex and GSTs gene polymorphisms on the levels of genomic damage. Ann Hum Biol, 43(3), 269-278.

48. Sebastià, N., Hervás, D., Almonacid, M., Villaescusa, J. I., Soriano, J. M., Sahuquillo, V., Esteban, V., Barquinero, J. F., Verdú, G., Cervera, J., Such, E., & Montoro, A. (2014).

Sister chromatid exchange (SCE), High-Frequency Cells (HFCs) and SCE distribution patterns in peripheral blood lymphocytes of Spanish adult smokers compared to non-smokers. Food Chem Toxicol, 66, 107-112.

49. Spitz, M.R., Wu, X., Wang, Y., Wang, L.E., Shete, S., Amos, C.I., Guo, Z., Lei, L., Mohrenweiser, H., & Wei, Q. (2001) Modulation of nucleotide excision repair capacity by XPD polymorphisms in lung cancer patients. Cancer Res, 61, 1354-1357.

50. Toolaram, A.P., Kümmerer, K., & Schneider, M. (2014). Environmental risk assessment of anti-cancer drugs and their transformation products: A focus on their genotoxicity characterization-state of knowledge and short comings. Mutat Res-Rev Mutat, 760, 18-35.

51. Traversi, D., Degan, R., De Marco, R., Gilli, G., Pignata, C., Villani, S., & Bono, R. (2009). Mutagenic properties of PM2.5 urban pollution in the Northern Italy: The nitro-compounds contribution. Environ Int, 35, 905-910.

52. Vodicka, P., Kumar, R., Stetina, R., Sanyal, S., Soucek, P., Haufroid, V., Dusinska M., Kuricova, M., Zamecnikova, M., Musak, L., Buchancova, J., Norppa, H., Hirvonen, A., Vodickova L., Naccarati, A., Matousu, Z., & Hemminki, K. (2004). Genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes and possible links with DNA repair rates, chromosomal aberrations and single-strand breaks in DNA. Carcinogenesis 25:757-63.

53. Vodicka, P., Musak, L., Frank, C., Kazimirova, A., Vymetalkova, V., Barancokova, M., Smolkova, B., Dzupinkova, Z., Jiraskova, K., Vodenkova, S., Kroupa, M., Osina, O., Naccarati, A., Palitti, F., Försti, A., Dusinska, M., Vodickova, L., & Hemminki, K. (2015). Interactions of DNA repair gene variants modulate chromosomal aberrations in healthy subjects. Carcinogenesis, 36 (11), 1299-1306.

54. Wang, Q., Ji, F., Sun, Y., Qui, Y. L., Wang, W., Wu, F., Miao, W., Li, Y., Brandt-Rauf, P. W. & Xia, Z. (2010). Genetic polymorphisms of XRCC1, HOGG1 and MGMT and micronucleus occurrence in Chinese vinyl chloride-exposed workers. Carcinogenesis, 31(6), 1068-1073.

55. Wang, Q., Tan, H., Zhang, F., Sun, Y., Feng, N., Zhou, L., Ye, Y., Zhu Y., Li Y., Brandt-Rauf P. W., Shao, H., & Xia, Z. (2013) Polymorphisms in BER and NER pathway genes:

Effects on micronucleus frequencies among vinyl chloride-exposed workers in northern China. Mut Res-Gen Tox En, 754 (1-2), 7-14.

56. Wei, A., & Meng, Z. (2006). Induction of chromosome aberrations in cultured human lymphocytes treated with sand dust storm fine particles (PM2.5). Toxicol Lett, 166, 37-43.

57. Xiao, S., Cui, S., Lu, X., Guan, Y., Li, D., Liu, Q., Cai, Y., Jin, C., Yang, J., Wu, S., & van der Straaten, T. (2016). The ERCC2/XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism affects DNA repair of benzo[a]pyrene induced damage, tested in an in vitro model. Toxicol in Vitro, 34, 300-308.

58. Zhang, G., Guan, Y., Zhao, Y., van der Straaten, T., Xiao, S., Xue, P., Zhu, G., Liu, Q., Cai,Y., Jin, C., Yang, J., Wu, S., & Lu, X. (2017). *ERCC2/XPD* Lys751Gln alter DNA repair efficiency of platinum-induced DNA damage through P53 pathway. Chem-Biol Interact, 263, 55-65.

59. Zhong, S., Wyllie, A.H., Barnes, D., Wolf, C. R., & Spurr, N. K. (1993). Relationship between GSTM1 genetic polymorphism and susceptibility to bladder, breast and colon cancer. Carcinogenesis, 14, 1821-1824.

Table 1 – General characteristics of the studied subjects

Subjects	N	Age (Mean ± S.D.)	Age range
Total	**230**	**38.45±9.51**	**21-70**
Sex			
Males	90	42.01±9.34	22-70
Females	140	36.16±8.94	21-58
Smoking Habit			
Smokers	83	39.60±10.65	22-70
Non-Smokers	147	37.80±8.79	21-66
Heavy Smokers	66	40.20±10.61	22-70
Light Smokers	17	37.29±10.81	22-58
LT - Smokers	47	46.92±7.44	33-70
ST - Smokers	36	30.06±5.25	22-42
Age groups			
A	54	26.41±2.84	21-30
B	91	36.42±2.60	31-40
C	59	44.88±2.81	41-50
D	26	55.96±4.83	51-70

N = number of studied subjects; S.D. = Standard Deviation;
Heavy Smokers = >10 cig/die; Light-Smokers = ≤10 cig/die
LT - Smokers = Long Time Smokers = >10 years of smoking habit
ST – Smokers = Short Time Smokers = ≤10 years of smoking habit

Table 2 – Frequency of SCEs in the studied population according to sex and smoking habit

Groups	N	Cells	SCEs	SCEs/Cell± S.D.	M_1	M_2	M_3	RI ± S.D.
Total	**230**	**11500**	**59172**	**5.15±1.87**	**8027**	**8992**	**5940**	**1.90±0.24**
HFI	62	3100	23379	7.54±0.99 [a]	2338	2662	1165	1.80±0.26[d]
Non-HFI	168	8400	35793	4.26±1.23 [a]	5690	6328	4776	1.95±0.22[d]
Sex								
Males	90	4500	21685	4.82±1.39 [b]	3102	3505	2389	1.92±0.19
Females	140	7000	37487	5.36±2.10 [b]	4926	5485	3552	1.90±0.27
Smoking Habit								
Non-Smokers	147	7350	34573	4.70±1.79[c]	5022	5763	3902	1.92±0.22
Smokers	83	4150	24599	5.93±1.75[c]	3006	3227	2039	1.88±0.28
Heavy Smokers	66	3300	20026	6.07±1.54	2404	2545	1623	1.87±0.25
Light Smokers	17	850	4573	5.38±2.39	602	682	416	1.89±0.36
LT Smokers	47	2350	13722	5.84±1.63	1775	1891	997	1.81±0.22 [e]
ST Smokers	36	1800	10877	6.04±1.92	1231	1136	1042	1.96±0.33 [e]

[a,c] $P < 0.001$; [b] $P = 0.01$; [d] $P = 0.03$; [e] $P = 0.04$ (Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney *U* test)

HFI = High Frequency Individuals; LT = Long Time Smokers (>10 years od smoking habit); ST = Short Time smokers (≤ 10 years of smoking habit); N = Number of analysed subjects; SCEs = Sister chromatid exchanges; Metaphases; RI (Replication Index) = $(M_1 + 2M_2 + 3M_3)/N$, where M_1, M_2 and M_3 represent the number of cells undergoing first second and third mitosis and N is the total number of metaphase scored; S.D. = Standard Deviation.

Table 3 – SCEs frequency according to age groups

Age Groups	N	Cells	SCEs	SCEs/Cell± S.D.	M_1	M_2	M_3	RI ± S.D.
A (21-30)	54	2700	14530	5.38±2.02 [a]	1930	1959	1520	1.93±0.30[d]
B (31-40)	91	4550	20453	4.50±1.81 [a,b,c]	2971	3543	2582	1.95±0.21 [e f]
C (41-50)	59	2950	16757	5.68±1.77 [b]	2154	2492	1205	1.83±0.24[e f g]
D (51-70)	26	1300	7432	5.72±1.26 [c]	972	998	633	1.87±0.20 [d g]

[a] P = 0.01; [b] P = <0.001; [c]P = 0.001; [d] P = 0.04; [e] P = 0.01; [f]P = 0.04; [g]P = 0.03 (Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney *U* test)

N = Number of analysed subjects; SCEs = Sister chromatid exchanges; Metaphases; RI (Replication Index) = $(M_1 + 2M_2 + 3M_3)/N$, where M_1, M_2 and M_3 represent the number of cells undergoing first second and third mitosis and N is the total number of metaphase scored; S.D. = Standard Deviation.

Table 4 – SCEs frequency according to genetic polymorphisms

Gene Polymorphisms	N	Cells	SCEs	SCEs/Cell± S.D.	M_1	M_2	M_3	RI ± S.D.
PHASE I								
CYP1A1 Ile/Ile	177	8850	44395	5.02±1.87	6082	6837	4738	1.92±0.25
CYP1A1 Ile/Val	44	2200	12093	5.50±1.86	1615	1802	983	1.86±0.18
CYP1A1 Val/Val	9	450	2684	5.96±1.69	331	351	220	1.88±0.28
CYP2C19 GG	202	10100	51179	5.07±1.81 [a,b]	7025	7838	5310	1.91±0.24
CYP2C19 G/A	23	1150	6554	5.70±1.97 [a]	845	929	512	1.84±0.24
CYPC192 A/A	5	250	1440	5.76±3.34 [b]	157	225	118	1.92±0.29
PHASE II								
GSTT1+								
GSTT1-	192	9600	47191	4.92±1.69 [c]	6679	509	4979	1.91±0.24
	38	1900	11981	6.31±2.28 [c]	1349	1481	962	1.89±0.25
GSTM1+								
GSTM1-	168	8400	41584	4.95±1.84 [d]	5727	6635	4397	1.92±0.24
	62	3100	17588	5.67±1.86 [d]	2301	2355	1544	1.88±0.23
GSTT1+/GSTM+								
GSTT1-/GSTM1-	125	6250	31066	4.97±1.71	4379	4971	3117	1.89±0.25
GSTT1+/GSTM1-	34	1700	9231	5.43±2.06	1151	1359	889	1.93±0.22
GSTT1-/GSTM1+	50	2500	12574	5.03±1.80	1779	1855	1367	1.92±0.25
	21	1050	6301	6.00±2.40	718	807	567	1.92±0.23
GSTP1 AA								
GSTP1 AG	186	9300	46711	5.02±1.80	6561	7238	4771	1.90±0.25
GSTP1 GG	36	1800	10088	5.60±2.06	1190	1444	955	1.93±0.23
	8	400	2373	5.93±2.24	276	310	214	1.92±0.24
DNA-REPAIR								
ERCC2/XPD Lys751Lys								
ERCC2/XPD Lys751Gln	185	9250	45375	4.91±1.72 [e,f]	6420	7214	4834	1.91±0.25
ERCC2/XPD Gln751Gln	29	1450	8423	5.81±2.01 [e]	1063	1161	677	1.87±0.23
	16	800	5374	6.72±2.31 [f]	544	617	429	1.92±0.26

XRCC1 Arg194Arg								
XRCC1 Arg194Trp	192	9600	48673	5.07±1.89	6727	7497	4931	1.90±0.25
XRCC1 Trp194Trp	31	1550	8504	5.49±1.65	1070	1198	836	1.92±0.25
	7	350	1994	5.70±2.25	230	297	1343	1.92±0.18
XRCC1 Arg399Arg								
XRCC1 Arg399Gln	178	8900	45469	5.11±1.91	6188	6938	4641	1.91±0.25
XRCC1 Gln399Gln	45	2250	11870	5.28±1.73	1592	1759	1141	1.90±0.24
	7	350	1832	5.24±1.91	247	295	158	1.87±0.19
XRCC1 Arg208Arg								
XRCC1 Arg208His	200	10000	50695	5.07±1.88 [g]	6956	7883	5133	1.90±0.24
XRCC1 His208His	26	1300	7062	5.43±1.69 [h]	957	923	707	1.89±0.27
	4	200	1415	7.08±1.22 [g,h]	114	186	100	1.97±0.16

[a,b,c,f,h] $P = < 0.001$; [d] $P = 0.01$; [e] $P = 0.01$; [g] $P = 0.001$ (Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney *U* test)

N = Number of analysed subjects; SCEs = Sister chromatid exchanges; Metaphases; RI (Replication Index) = $(M_1 + 2M_2 + 3M_3)/N$, where M_1, M_2 and M_3 represent the number of cells undergoing first second and third mitosis and N is the total number of metaphase scored; S.D. = Standard Deviation;

Table 5 - Factors affecting Sister Chromatid Exchanges analyzed by ANOVA

Factors	F-value	P-value
Demographic characteristics		
Age groups	6.87	0.02
Sex	4.58	0.03
Smoking habit		
Smoke vs Non smokers	25.13	<0.001
Heavy vs Light	2.12	0.15
Long-Time vs Short-Time	0.28	0.60
Gene Polymorphisms		
CYP1A1 Ile/Val	2.08	0.28
CYP2C19 G/A	14.62	<0.001
GSTT1 +/-	18.88	<0.001
GSTM1 +/-	6.93	0.01
GSTP1 A/G	2.22	0.20
ERCC Lys751Glc	9.68	0.03
XRCC Arg194trp	0.97	0.49
XRCC Arg399Glc	1.39	0.22
XRCC Arg208His	12.62	0.00

Table 6. Multiple regression analysis of confounding factors on SCEs and RI values in lymphocytes of the study groups

	SCEs frequency			RI value		
CF	**β-co**	***P*-value**	**95% CI** (Lower) - (Upper)	**β-co**	***P*-value**	**95% CI** (Lower) - (Upper)
Age	0.10	0.07	(-0.89 – 24.85)	-0.16	0.01	(-0.01) - (-0.00)
Cig/day	0.23	0.03	(0.35) - (6.20)	0.04	0.79	(-0.62) - (0.88)
Years of smoking	-0.05	0.67	-(2.60) - (1.68)	0.04	0.69	(-0.43) - (0.64)

CF = Confounding Factor; β-co = β-coefficient; SCE = Sister Chromatid Exchanges; RI = Replication Index