Enhanced arginine biosynthesis and lower proteolytic profile as indicators of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* stress in stationary phase during fermentation of high sugar grape must: a proteomic
 evidence.

4 Olta Noti^{1,2}, Enrico Vaudano², Maria Gabriella Giuffrida³, Cristina Lamberti³, Laura Cavallarin³,

5 Emilia Garcia-Moruno² & Enrica Pessione¹

6

- ⁷ ¹Consiglio per la Ricerca in Agricoltura e l'Analisi dell'Economia agraria, Centro di Ricerca
- 8 Viticoltura ed Enologia Via Pietro Micca 35, 14100 Asti, Italy. emilia.garciamoruno@crea.gov.it;
- 9 enricotommaso.vaudano@crea.gov.it
- 10 ² Università di Torino Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Biologia dei Sistemi, Via Accademia
- 11 Albertina.13, 10123 Torino, Italy. enrica.pessione@unito.it; olta.noti@edu.unito.it
- ¹² ³CNR-ISPA, Largo P. Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, Torino, Italy. gabriella.giuffrida@ispa.cnr.it;
- 13 cristina.lamberti@ispa.cnr.it; laura.cavallarin@ispa.cnr.it
- ¹To whom correspondence should be addressed: Enrica Pessione,
- 15 Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology Università di Torino. Via Accademia Albertina
- 16 13. 10123 Torino. Italy.
- 17 Tel. +39 011 6704644
- 18 Fax +39 011 6704508
- 19 E-mail: <u>enrica.pessione@unito.it</u>

- 21
- 22
- 23

ABSTRACT

A strain of Saccharomyces (S) cerevisiae (ISE19), which displayed an initial good adaptation to a 25 26 high sugar medium with increased acetate and glycerol production but weak overall 27 growth/fermentation performances, was selected during the alcoholic fermentation of Cortese grape 28 must. To obtain insights into the metabolic changes that occur in the must during growth in particular conditions (high ethanol, high residual sugars and low nitrogen availability) leading to a sluggish 29 30 fermentation or even fermentation arrest, comparative in-gel proteomic analyses were performed on cells grown in media containing 200 g/L and 260 g/L of glucose, respectively, while the YAN (Yeast 31 Assimilable Nitrogen) concentration was maintained as it was. Two post-translationally different 32 33 arginine synthases (pI_s 5.6 and 5.8) were found in higher abundances in the high glucose-grown cells, together with an increased abundance of a glycosyltransferase involved in cell-wall mannans 34 synthesis, and of two regulatory proteins (K7_Bmh1p and K7_Bmh2p) that control membrane 35 transport. In parallel, a proteinase K-like proteolytic enzyme and three other protein fragments 36 (Indolepyruvate decarboxylase 1, Fba1p and Eno1p) were present in lower abundances in the high 37 38 glucose condition, where oxidative stress and cell cycle involved enzymes were also found to be less abundant. The overall results suggest that in stationary phase stress conditions, leading to stuck 39 fermentation, S. cerevisiae ISE19 decreases cell replication, oxidative stress responses and proteolytic 40 41 activity, while induces other metabolic modifications that are mainly based on cell-wall renewal, regulation of the solute transport across the cell membrane and *de novo* arginine synthesis. 42

Key words: *in-gel*-proteomics, glycolytic enzymes, cell-wall mannans, proteins controlling stressinduced apoptosis, K7_Bmh.

45

24

46

47

HIGHLIGHTS

69	INTRODUCTION
68	
67	
66	
65	
64	
63	
62	
61	
60	
59	
58	
57	pathway seems to be activated.
56	S. cerevisiae ISE19 (as previously demonstrated for cold stress), since its biosynthetic
55 •	Apart from proline, arginine could also play a direct protective role against osmotic stress in
54 ·	membrane transport underline an effective stress response concerning envelope structures.
52	expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause the arrest of alcoholic fermentation.
51	sugar condition, in agreement with recent literature data that have reported how the low
50 •	A decreased abundance of proteolytic enzymes and enzyme fragments was observed in a high
49	high sugar grape musts in a condition leading to a fermentation arrest.
48 •	The paper describes what happens at a proteomic level when S. cerevisiae ISE19 is grown in

70 During industrial processes, and in particular during alcoholic fermentation, microorganisms 71 encounter several stressors that can compromise their life, their performances and the achievement of satisfactory amounts of the desired end-product. The most common environmental factors that can 72 73 affect yeasts growth and end-product biosynthesis are must pH, temperature, redox potential and osmolarity (Auesukaree, 2017; Matallana and Aranda, 2017). Saccharomyces cerevisiae is the most 74 prominent microorganism involved in wine production. During alcoholic fermentation, the microbial 75 76 growth phases are characterized by different metabolic events. During the lag-phase, yeast cells adjust 77 their metabolism to adapt to the high initial glucose/fructose concentrations and to be able to grow and convert these sugars into ethanol. One-third of the total ethanol and most of the glycerol amounts 78 79 are produced in the exponential phase, in parallel with the/an increase in biomass. The remaining two thirds of ethanol are generated in the stationary phase, together with the aromatic compounds that 80 determine the final sensory profile and quality of the wine (Salmon and Barre, 1998). In all these 81 82 stages, yeast cells undergo stress such as: I) low temperatures (Pizarro et al, 2008), II) osmotic stress (Yale and Bohnert, 2001), III) anaerobiosis (Kwast et al., 2002) IV) lack of nutrients (Boer et al., 83 84 2003) and V) ethanol stress (Alexandre et al., 2001).

It is well known that a too high osmotic strength (high solute-low solvent concentration) can damage microbial physiology, above all by subtracting the solvation water that stabilizes the tertiary and quaternary structures of proteins (Goldbeck et al., 2001). A salty or sugar-rich environment prevents or delays growth (Hohmann, 2002). Some aspects of microbial responses to osmotic stress have long been elucidated: for instance, salt damage is linked to an altered ionic strength, whereas a high sugar content can cause osmotic stress, without altering the surface charges of the macromolecules (Lages et al., 1999).

As far as yeasts are concerned, it has been established that cells exposed to hyperosmotic NaCl concentrations adapt by increasing glycerol uptake inside the cell (Lages et al, 1999), or they die through an apoptotic process (Huh et al, 2003). However, this apoptotic process is the consequence of an ion disequilibrium rather than of hyperosmotic stress. It has been demonstrated that sugar
hyperosmotic stress (70% glucose or sorbitol w/w) can induce both morphological (chromatin
condensation along the nuclear envelope, mitochondria swelling, DNA strand breaks) and
biochemical (reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, meta-caspase activation) events that lead to
cell apoptosis. Cell death is growth-phase dependent, with stationary cells displaying the highest
death rate (Silva et al., 2005).

Ethanol stress affects cell viability to a great extent at the final phase of batch fermentation. 101 Toxicity is mainly due to the interaction of ethanol with the cytoplasmic membrane (and, to a lesser 102 extent, to cytosolic enzyme damage). The action of ethanol on both the phospholipid heads and 103 membrane proteins is counteracted by the cell, primarily through membrane-level modifications. 104 105 Increases in the content of unsaturated fatty acids and ergosterol and reductions in the membrane protein content are the best known yeast responses to ethanol stress (Vanegas et al, 2012). These 106 changes lead to consequences such as: i) variation of the proton flow, which in turn impairs pH 107 homeostasis, ii) reduced capability of Ca⁺⁺ and Mg⁺⁺ translocation, iii) impaired activity of active 108 membrane transporters (Bisson, 1999). The overall result is an alteration of membrane fluidity that 109 strongly affects the trafficking capability of the cell. 110

111 In a previous investigation, conducted in the very early stages of fermentation, metabolites and transcripts were evaluated for several yeasts strains grown in a hyperosmotic medium (Noti et 112 113 al., 2015). A high glycerol and acetate producer, that is S. cerevisiae (strain ISE19), was detected. This phenotypic trait correlates with an initial good growth and alcohol production in a sugar rich 114 115 environment, but then with weaker performances when the simultaneous effects of prolonged hyperosmosis, an increasing ethanol concentration and a low nitrogen content are present. These 116 117 stressing conditions, which occur in must fermentations with a high sugar content, frequently lead to stuck or sluggish fermentations (Bisson, 1999). The present research is aimed at shedding light on 118 the effects triggered by the late fermentation phase stress on the protein profiles of S. cerevisiae 119

ISE19. An in-gel approach was applied to compare the proteomes of high sugar grown cells and
 control cells both harvested in stationary phase, in order to identify metabolic indicators of cell stress
 in the incoming sluggish or arrested fermentation

123

MATERIALS AND METHODS

124 Overall strategy

Comparative proteomic analyses of a high glycerol and acetate producer, namely, the *S. cerevisiae* strain ISE 19, which belongs to the CREA-Centro di Ricerca Viticoltura ed Enologia culture collection (Asti, Italy), and which was grown in natural Cortese must with both a regular and a high sugar content, in the advanced phases of fermentation, have been performed.

129 Fermentation conditions

S. cerevisiae ISE 19 was pre-cultured in YPD at 26°C for 48 h. It was subsequently inoculated, 130 at a concentration of 5*10⁶ cell/mL (total cell), in 0.22 µm filter sterilized Cortese white grape must 131 (800 mL of culture). The main parameters of the must were: pH 3.30, 200 g/L of reducing sugar and 132 200 mg/L of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN). Two different conditions were tested in the 133 comparative proteomic study. The former (ISE19g-) was tested with a regular amount of sugar (200 134 g/L), and the latter (ISE19g+) was tested by adding glucose/fructose 50% w/w to the must to reach 135 260 g/L of sugar and by restoring the YAN to 200 mg/L. Fermentations were performed at 20°C 136 without stirring, and three biological replicates were set up. Samples were harvested during 137 fermentation: growth was estimated by optical density (OD) at 600 nm calculating cell/mL by 138 calibration curve done with Bürker chamber count, ethanol and acetate were determined by enzymatic 139 analysis (R-Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany), the residual sugar content (glucose and fructose) 140 and glycerol were evaluated by means of an HPLC equipped with a refractometric detector, using a 141 Rezex RCM-Monosaccharide column (dimension: 300 x 7.8 mm; particle size 8 µm; Phenomenex, 142 Torrance, USA). The adopted conditions were the following: eluent: water; column temperature: 143 85°C; flow: 0.35 mL/min; injection volume: 20 µL. Samples for the proteomic analyses were 144

harvested at 175 g/L of consumed sugar(s), at 11 days of fermentation for ISE19g+ and 14 days of
fermentation for the ISE19g- test. Samples were pelleted and immediately frozen. At this
fermentation stage, residual sugar contents of 25 g/l and of 85 g/l were present in the low and high
sugar media, respectively.

149 **Preparation of the protein extracts**

Yeast cells (50 mL of about 9*10⁷ total cells/mL) were washed with 50 mL of a 0.85% NaCl solution, centrifuged (5000xg for 20 min) and the supernatant was discarded. Cell lysis was obtained by re-suspending the pellet with 3 mL of lysis buffer (Tris-HCl 50 mM, pH 7.3, EDTA 1mM), and by adding an equal volume of 0.5 mm glass beads to break the cells (10 cycles of 20 minutes on ice, vortexing at maximum speed). At the end of the procedure, centrifugation was carried out (5000xg for 20 minutes at 4°C), and the supernatant was transferred to a new vial for total protein quantification.

157 Protein quantification was performed using the "2D Quant kit" (GE Healthcare) (UV analysis at 480 nm), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After the preliminary preparation, protein 158 samples were ultra-centrifuged (100000xg for 1 hr. at 4°C) in a Beckman L8-60M Ultracentrifuge 159 (Type 60 rotor). The supernatant containing the soluble proteins was recovered, supplemented with 160 10 µL/mL of Nuclease Mix (GE Healthcare) and dialyzed/concentrated against four volumes of 161 bidistilled water in 10 KDa membrane cutoff tubes (Amicon), at a final volume of 1 mL. A second 162 quantification was performed, followed by methanol/chloroform protein precipitation, according to 163 Wessels and Flugge (1984). The obtained pellet was then solubilized in a rehydration solution (6.5 164 M urea, 2.2 M thiourea, 4% w/v CHAPS, 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.5% IPG buffer (GE Healthcare), 165 100 mM DTT). The protein concentration was evaluated again by using the 2D Quant kit (GE 166 Healthcare). 167

168 *Two dimensional electrophoresis*

169 *Isoelectric focusing*

Thirteen cm long strips were used, over a 4-7 pH range, for Isolectric Focusing (IEF). Three technical replicates were performed for each of the three biological replicates in the two different fermentation conditions (glucose-enriched and control). About 275 µg of extracted proteins was loaded for each strip. IEF was performed using IPGphor (GE Healthcare) at 20°C, with 66.000 Vh, at 8000 V, after 10 h of active rehydration (50 V).

The IEF strips were then incubated at room temperature for 15 min in a reduction buffer (6 M urea, 30% v/v glycerol, 2% w/v SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6), and enriched with 10 mM DTT. They were subsequently incubated for 15 min in an alkylation buffer (6 M urea, 30% v/v glycerol, 2 w/v SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6), and enriched with iodoacetamide 4.5% w/v to alkylate the sulfide groups and prevent re-oxidation during electrophoresis.

180 <u>SDS-PAGE</u>

After equilibration, the strips were sealed at the top of 1.00 mm vertical second dimension gels with 0.5% of boiling agarose. SDS-PAGE was carried out for each sample on 11.5% T and 3.3% C acrylamide (Biorad) on homogeneous gels. The running buffer was 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3. The running conditions were: 600 V constant voltage, 20 mA/gel, 60 W for 15 min at 15°C, 600 V constant voltage, 40 mA/gel, and 80 W for about 2.5 h at 15°C. The used molecular weight marker was a Low M_r Electrophoresis Calibration Kit (GE Healthcare).

The gels were automatically stained, using Processor Plus (Amersham Biosciences), with freshly prepared Colloidal Comassie Blue stain (Neuhoff et al., 1988). After 12 hours of staining, the gels were dried in a GD 2000 Vacuum Gel Drier System (GE Healthcare).

190 *Image analysis*

2-DE gel images were acquired using a SI Molecular Dynamics Personal Densitometer
 (Amersham Biosciences). Image analysis was performed using the Progenesis PG 220 software (Non
 Linear Dynamics). Spot detection was automatically performed using the 2005 detection software
 algorithm.

195 *Statistical analysis*

Nine replicates were performed for each 2-DE gel, and for each condition (high and normal sugar contents in the culture media): three analytical replicates were conducted for each biological replicate. Spot intensities were measured, *via* normalized spot volumes, using the "total quantity in valid spot" standardization system. The spot volumes were statistically analyzed by means of the Student's *T-test*: the mean values were considered significantly different when p<0.05. Protein spots with a fold change ≥ 2 and p<0.05 were selected for MS analysis.

202 Mass spectrometry analysis and protein identification

The selected spots were identified by means of MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometry, using 203 204 an Ultraflex III MALDI TOF/TOF instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen). The spots withdrawn from the 2DE gels were destained overnight (with a 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 40% v/v 205 ethanol solution), washed three times for 10 min with acetonitrile and then dried in a Speedvac device. 206 The proteins were *in gel* digested with trypsin (Promega, Madison, USA), and their spectra were 207 acquired as described by Zava *et al.* (2009). The MS-Fit software package (http://prospector.ucsf.edu) 208 was used to search against the NCBI 2015.3.10 database, using the peptide mass fingerprinting 209 210 method (PMF) (Pappin et al., 1993). The following parameters were set for the searches: Scarbamidomethyl derivate on cysteine as a fixed modification, oxidation on methionine as a variable 211 modification and two missed cleavage sites for trypsin digestion. The peptide mass tolerance was 20 212 213 ppm.

RESULTS

215 Fermentation performance

Figure 1 shows the fermentation profiles of the two tested conditions (with or without added sugars), 216 which were obtained by monitoring sugar consumption and ethanol production. The fermentation of 217 Cortese must with the added sugars, initially shows a better performance with a higher daily rate of 218 219 ethanol production. The conversion of the sugars into ethanol slowed down from the eleventh day of 220 fermentation onwards, until it reached a complete stop on the eighteenth day of fermentation. Stuck fermentation occurred at an alcohol content of about 13.40 vol%, with 36 g/L of residual sugar, 221 mainly consisting of fructose. The fermentation profile of the must not supplemented with sugars was 222 223 regular, with complete exhaustion of the residual sugars and a final ethanol concentration of about 12% (v/v). The growth kinetics in the two conditions (Fig. 2) showed a higher yeast population in the 224 supplemented must, which reached a maximum of about 120 x 10⁶ cell/mL after six days of 225 226 fermentation, whereas the must without any sugar addition underwent a maximum growth of 100 x 10⁶ cell/mL, which was reached after seven days of fermentation. From the 14th day onwards, the 227 yeast population tended to decrease in both sugar conditions reaching similar values on day 21. 228

Glycerol and acetate production differed in the two tests in relation to the initial sugar content (Fig. 229 230 3). In grape must with higher sugar concentrations, yeasts showed an acetic acid production rate constantly higher as compared to the fermentation with regular sugar, reaching 0.60 ± 0.03 (SD) g/L 231 after 7 days. Later, acetate accumulation proceeded slower, showing, at the end of fermentation, 232 concentration of 0.68 \pm 0.03 g/L (Fig. 3). Regular sugar test showed a decrease in the acetate 233 production rate after 3 days and reached a maximum of 0.5 ± 0.02 g/L acetate at the end of the 234 235 fermentation. Similarly, the test with added sugar exhibited a higher concentration also for glycerol that occurs since the beginning of the fermentation and reached 7.0 ± 0.12 compared to a max of 6.30 236 ± 0.28 in fermentation with regular sugar. However, glycerol showed a more regular biosynthetic rate 237 238 as compared to the production of acetate and its concentration remains stable under both conditions (high and low sugar) after 9 days of fermentation (Fig. 3) 239

240 Comparative proteomic studies

241 Protein profiles of the high glycerol and acetate producer S. cerevisiae ISE 19 grown in regular (ISE19g-) and high (ISE19g+) sugar contents, respectively, have been analyzed at a critical moment 242 of the cell growth, that is, when the simultaneous presence of osmotic stress, ethanol stress and 243 nitrogen depletion occurs. Samples were harvested at the stationary phase (Fig. 2), when 10.5% 244 vol/vol of ethanol was produced in both conditions, whereas the remaining residual sugars were 25 245 and 85 g/L in ISE19g- and ISE19g+, respectively (Fig. 1). Protein concentrations at the end of the 246 extraction process were 9.97±0.71 µg/µL in ISE19g- and 11.78±0.75 µg/µL in ISE19g+. 247 Comparative proteomic analyses were performed on the total cell protein extract (*in toto* proteome) 248 249 in the acidic pI range (pH 4-7), where most yeast proteins can be found, in order to detect the presence of the differentially abundant proteins. 250

251 **Protein identification**

The 2DE allowed a good protein separation degree to be obtained for each considered sample. Image analysis of the 2DE gels revealed differences in spot intensity in the two experimental conditions. (Figure 4).

At first sight, a greater total protein pool abundance in gels loaded with extracts from cells grown in high sugar condition is detectable. Image analysis revealed 28 statistically significant different abundant spots. Three spots were absent in the high sugar condition and four spots were present exclusively in the high sugar condition. Of the remaining spots, 7 were present in higher abundances and 14 in lower abundances in the condition stimulated with a high sugar content. The spot identifications and assignment of the proteins to specific metabolic pathways are shown in Table 1.

262

DISCUSSION

The protein expression profiles of *S. cerevisiae* ISE19, in an advanced stage of must fermentation with different initial sugar concentrations, have been analyzed in this work. The imbalance condition between the must sugar content and the presence of Yeast Assimilable Nitrogen (YAN) has been
recognized as being the primary cause of fermentative arrests, even though the molecular mechanisms
involved in sluggish fermentation and the arrest of fermentation are still unclear (Bisson 1999).

268 In the present study, the fermentation with the higher sugar content initially showed a better performance, both in terms of ethanol production and cell growth, with respect to the control 269 270 conditions. The difference of 60 g/l in the initial juice sugar load did not result in any extension of 271 the lag phase, thus demonstrating strain response capacity toward the high initial osmotic pressure. At this early stage, the ISE19 strain was probably favored by a higher carbon source availability, 272 whereas the nitrogen concentration was not yet a limiting factor. The stuck fermentation, which 273 274 occurred at 13.40% ethanol at the end of the stationary phase, was presumably due to the low nitrogen availability, which is known to be a strong limiting factor (Christ et al., 2015). Actually, owing to the 275 higher sugar concentration and the good osmotolerance of this strain, the biomass yield was higher. 276 In this condition, there is an increased need of nitrogen to support growth. Moreover, the concomitant 277 presence of several stressors, that is, a high ethanol content, the osmotic pressure of the residual sugars 278 279 and the accumulation of toxic compounds, such as medium-chain fatty acids, may have contributed to the slowing down of the fermentative efficiency, as previously suggested by other authors (Lafon-280 Lafourcade et al., 1984, Borrull et al., 2015). When fermentation arrest was observed, the main sugar 281 282 present was fructose (data not shown), due to the lower affinity of the hexose transporters (HXT) with fructose and the differences in the efficiency of the hexokinases (HXK) activity toward this sugar 283 observed in yeasts (Bisson, 1999, Berthels et al., 2008). 284

S. cerevisiae cells react to stress through general and/or specific response mechanisms. Global changes in the majority of transcripts have been observed during the fermentation process of wine making, thus highlighting a general adaptive response to the typical stressors of alcoholic fermentation (Marks et al., 2008). In the early fermentation stages, the greatest environmental constraint is osmotic stress, which generates a water flow from inside to outside the cytoplasmic membrane. Later, the yeast cell adapts itself through multi-level specific response mechanisms
(Hohmann, 2002; Yanget al., 2006, Zuzuarregui et al., 2006; Tapia et al., 2015). However, the main
response of yeast cells to high-osmolarity is the production of glycerol, the best osmotic balance
stabilizer (Hohmann, 2002). Glycerol accumulation has been shown to account for 95% of the internal
osmolarity recovery (Reed et al., 1987).

It should be underlined that, from a metabolic standpoint, glycerol can originate from 295 296 glycolysis, at the expense of the biomass, by diverting dihydroxyacetonephosphate (DHAP) from the glycolytic flux after sensing altered osmolarity (Remize et al., 2003; Capaldi et al., 2008), thus 297 298 performing the so-called glyceropyruvic fermentation (Fig 5). However, during hyperosmotic stress, 299 yeasts can also enhance the intracellular glycerol content by limiting the glycerol flux outside the cytoplasmic membrane with closure of aquaglyceroporin Fps1 channels (Oliveira et al., 2003) and 300 activating synthesis of glycerol transporters encoded by the STL1 gene (Petelenz-Kurdziel et al., 301 2013). These proton symport systems can enhance the active uptake of external glycerol, which in 302 turn is used both as a carbon source and during adaption to osmotic stress (Ferreira et al., 2005) and 303 304 temperature (Tulha et al., 2010). Transcriptional and non-transcriptional mechanisms are involved in these flux regulations (Hohmann, 2009; Ahmadpour et al., 2014). Along with the osmo-adaptation 305 mechanism, glycerol production during fermentation is the result of the redox balance control 306 mechanism set up to oxidize the excess of NADH produced in the formation of 1,3-307 diphosphoglycerate starting from the 3-phospate glyceraldehyde in glycolysis (Ansell et al., 1997). 308 On the other hand, in order to balance the excess of NAD+ generated by the initial formation of the 309 osmo-protective glycerol, the cell utilizes NAD + dependent *aldehyde dehydrogenases*, synthesizing 310 acetate (Navarro-Aviño et al., 1999, Pigeau and Inglis, 2005). In the present investigation, the higher 311 312 glycerol and acetate production observed in must with added sugar reflects the mechanism for adaptation to hyperosmosis and the successive redox regulation during alcoholic fermentation 313 according to the mechanisms outlined above. 314

No apparent modifications concerning the glyceropyruvic pathway (Fig 4) were observed, at 315 316 a proteomic level (Tab.1), after prolonged exposure of S. cerevisiae ISE 19 to high sugar environment in a condition of incoming sluggish and stuck fermentation. As far as the functional state (open or 317 closed) of the aquaglyceroporin Fps1 channels is concerned, no conclusion can be reached from the 318 proteomic studies. However, the regulatory proteins K7_Bmh2p (spot 1687B) and K7_Bmh1p (spot 319 1689B), which control the formation of the vesicles involved in transport and exocytosis, were found 320 321 to be present, but only in the hyperosmotic condition. These proteins, which belong to the 14-3-3 gene family, are also involved in the protection against stress-induced apoptosis, and they play an 322 important role in the post-transcriptional control of yeast proteins (Clapp et al., 2012). 323

324 Peculiar results concerning glycolysis are worth discussing. Three enzymes of the alcoholic fermentation pathway were present, with higher abundance in the sugar-stimulated condition: 325 fructose biphosphate aldolase (Fba1p) (spot 1415B), phosphopyruvate hydratase (Eno 2 p) (spot 326 1378B), and pyruvate decarboxylase (Pdc1p) (spots 1331B, 1564B and 1569B). The latter enzyme, 327 converting pyruvate into acetaldehyde and having TPP as a cofactor, was present in three spots 328 329 displaying different isoelectric points (6.1, 5.8 and 5.9 respectively) and the same molecular weight, thus suggesting that they are the result of post-translational modifications of the same gene product. 330 As far as the other two enzymes are concerned, the higher abundance of Fba1p can be exploited to 331 332 supply more DHAP for glycerol biosynthesis whose greater production has actually been observed in yeasts during high sugar fermentation (fig. 3b). The higher abundance of Eno2p is in agreement with 333 the fact that Eno2p is the true glycolytic enzyme, and that glucose can act as an inducer of its 334 synthesis, as previously observed (Kornblatt et al., 2013). 335

Other enzymes involved in glycolysis/alcoholic fermentation are present in lower abundances in high osmotic condition-grown yeasts. The low level of enolase Eno1p (spot 1434A) (25 fold less abundant in high glucose) is consistent with the known repression on the encoding gene exerted by glucose (Kornblatt et al., 2013). Previous studies showed that Eno1p (as well as the oxidative alcohol

dehydrogenase ADH₂) is more abundant in yeast cells grown in media containing ethanol, thus 340 suggesting a gluconeogenic rather than a glycolytic role (Futcher et al., 1999). Two triose-phosphate 341 isomerase Tpi1 (spot 1519A) and Tpi1p (spot 1515A) enzymes, with different entry codes 342 (NP_010335 and EGA87556 respectively) (probably the products of two different genes), were 343 present in low abundance. Triose phosphate isomerase has the function of maintaining a correct 344 balance between glyceraldehyde phosphate (GAP) and DHAP (derived from fructose 1.6 345 biphosphate), and of supplying glycolysis with GAP. A part of the DHAP is probably necessary, in 346 the hyperosmotic condition, to feed the glyceropyruvic route (Fig.5), hence triose phosphate 347 isomerase has to work at a basal level to prevent all the DAPH from being converted into GAP. Three 348 349 spots (1396A 1400A and 1419A), corresponding to oxidative alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs), were found to be of low abundance in high glucose-medium grown cells. The inhibition of oxidative ADHs, 350 together with the enhanced abundance of pyruvate decarboxylase, suggests the activation of alcoholic 351 352 fermentation.

The overall results concerning glycolysis (in the cells grown in the hyperosmotic medium) 353 suggest: i) an initial activation of the glycolytic flux, due to an enhanced abundance of fructose 1,2 354 biphosphate aldolase, ii) a slight slowing-down of glycolysis, due to a decreased abundance of triose-355 phosphate isomerase, which probably favors glycerol synthesis and iii) an activation of the 356 357 conversion of 2-phosphoglycerate to PEP through the enhanced biosynthesis of Eno2p (the glycolytic enzyme) and a decreased abundance of Eno1p (the gluconeogenic enzyme). A stimulation of the 358 alcoholic fermentation in the hyperosmotic medium was obtained, as proved by the enhanced 359 abundance of pyruvate decarboxylase and lower abundance of oxidative alcohol dehydrogenases. It 360 is possible to hypothesize that alcoholic fermentation proceeds, even in a relatively lowered glycolytic 361 362 flux, by exploiting also the pyruvate that comes from other paths.

Three fragments originating from Pdc1p (spot 1354A), Fba1p (1500A) and Eno1p (1507A) were found to be less abundant or totally lacking in a hyperosmotic medium. Since these fragments are the result of a proteolytic action over the native protein, this finding suggests that proteolysis occurs to a lesser extent in high glucose conditions. As a support to this, the only proteolytic enzyme, Proteinase K (spot 1494A) was found in a lower abundance in the high-sugar medium grown cells. These results are in agreement with a recent report that suggests that the low expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause fermentation arrests, due to the lack of nitrogen scavenger activity exerted by proteolytic enzymes (Szopinska et al., 2016). This is probably what also occurs for *S*. *cerevisiae* ISE 19.

Erasmus et al. (2003) reported an up-regulation of genes for glycolysis and for the pentose phosphate pathway, whereas genes involved in the *de novo* biosynthesis of purines, pyrimidines, histidine and lysine were down-regulated during hyperosmotic stress. These findings have also been confirmed at a proteomic level (Pham and Wright, 2002).

376 In the present work, no modifications have been observed in the biosynthetic pathway of histidine. However, the enzymes involved in amino acid biosynthesis displayed fold changes in the 377 378 high glucose-grown cells. In fact, diaminopimelate epimerase (Yhi9p) (spots 1490A and 1493A), a 379 piridoxalphosphate (PLP)-dependent isomerase involved in the so-called aspartate pathway leading to the synthesis of lysine, displayed a low abundance in the hyperosmotic medium, in agreement with 380 the data reported above (Pham and Wright, 2002). On the contrary, two post-translationally different 381 382 arginine-succinate synthases (Arg1p) (spot 1358B, pI 5.8 and spot 1577B pI 5.6) were more abundant (up to 10 fold) in the high sugar condition. Xu and co-workers (2011) demonstrated that arginine 383 could protect the yeast *Candida glabrata* during hyperosmotic stress. Both imported (extracellular) 384 arginine and *de novo* synthesized arginine lead to a higher biomass production (measured as dry cell 385 weight). However, these authors also observed the enhanced transcription of genes encoding enzymes 386 387 for arginine biosynthesis, thus suggesting that the second mechanism (*i.e. de novo* synthesis) is prevalent. Although a cryoprotective effect of arginine on the freezing stress of S. cerevisiae has been 388 reported (Morita et al., 2002), the present proteomic results are the only ones that have found that 389

arginine is a good osmoprotectant in *S. cerevisiae* ISE19 as well as in *Candida glabrata*. Furthermore, our results support the observations of Gutierrez et al. (2012, 2015), who demonstrated that arginine exerted a positive effect (compared to other amino acids) on the growth and fermentation rate of *S. cerevisiae*. It is possible that arginine exerts this beneficial effect on growth not only because of its nutritional role as a nitrogen source, but also because of its osmoprotectant effect. On the other hand, arginine is one of the most abundant amino acid present in must (Gutierrez *et al.*, 2015), and it could have contributed to an evolutionary selection of *S. cerevisiae* strains in this ecological niche.

A glycosyltransferase, involved in the synthesis of cell-wall mannans (YJR075Wp-like 397 protein) (spot 1688B), was only present in the high glucose concentration, thus suggesting the need 398 399 of cell-wall processing and renewal during hyperosmotic stress. This is to be expected, since the cellwall of S. cerevisiae is an elastic and dynamic structure responsive to changes in the external 400 environment, which provides osmotic and physical protection to the yeast cell. Changes the cell-wall 401 mannan structures under osmotic stress have been previously observed in yeasts (Koyama et al. 402 2009). On the other hand, a unique oligosaccharide modification, mannosylphosphorylation, has been 403 404 demonstrated in the cell-wall mannoproteins of S. cerevisiae during cellular response to a variety of stresses, among which osmotic stress, which strongly enhances mannosyl phosphorylation (Odani et 405 al., 1997). These authors hypothesized that mannosylphosphate transfer, which gives a net negative 406 407 charge to the cell-wall, allows the formation of a hydration shell on the cell surface, resulting in yeast cell protection from high solute stress. Whether this mechanism is also operative in S. cerevisiae 408 409 ISE19 during osmotic stress still has to be elucidated.

An inverse correlation was found between osmotic stress and the abundance of oxidativestress related enzymes, such as catalase T (Ctt1p) (spot 1326A and spot 1743A). Szopinska et al. (2016) have recently reported that the highest transcription rate of genes involved in antioxidant activities can be found when all the fermentable sugars have been depleted. The present findings are in agreement with these observations, and confirm that oxidative stress is a statistically rare event when sugars are abundant and hence not completely consumed.

CONCLUSIONS

417 Alcoholic and glyceropyruvic fermentation are closely linked throughout winemaking. On average, 8% of must sugars undergoes glyceropyruvic fermentation, while the remaining part is 418 419 converted to ethanol. The ability to produce glycerol varies according to the yeast strain, and can therefore affect the percentage of glycerol and ethanol formed by the same amount of fermented 420 sugars. The present proteomic results on S. cerevisiae ISE 19 have not revealed any direct activation 421 422 of the glyceropyruvic pathway at stationary phase after prolonged exposure to a hyperosmotic environment, in a condition leading to stuck fermentation, although the catalytic activation of the 423 enzymes involved in glycerol synthesis cannot be excluded. On the other hand, an overall 424 425 enhancement of the abundance of the proteins involved in alcoholic fermentation, cell-wall synthesis, aromatic amino acid as well as arginine biosynthesis has been detected. No apparent increase in 426 abundance of stress proteins has been observed and proteolysis seems to be negatively modulated in 427 hyperosmotic conditions. 428

Understanding how osmotolerant yeasts are able to survive and adapt to critical conditions will allow a better biomass yield and an overall better alcoholic fermentation to be obtained. Moreover, the elucidation of the cytotoxic effects, induced by high glucose concentrations, could contribute to the optimization of the industrial fermentative yeast performance under hyperosmotic stress.

434

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by MIUR-University of Torino "Fondi Ricerca Locale (ex-60%) and the "BIODATI" grant [DM 15421/7301/11] from the Italian *Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali*. O. Noti was a recipient of a PhD fellowship [DM 16578/7303/10] from the Italian *Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali*. We are indebted to Erika Mangiapane for performing part of the work on the 2DE gels.

440

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST/ETHICAL CONCERNS

441 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest and no ethical issues (no animal or human442 involvement) in the experiments performed in the present investigation.

443

444

REFERENCES

- Ahmadpour, D., Geijer, C., Tamás, M.J., Lindkvist-Petersson, K., & Hohmann, S. (2014).Yeast
 reveals unexpected roles and regulatory features of aquaporins and aquaglyceroporins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1840, 1482–1491.
- 448 Alexandre, H., Ansanay-Galeote, V., Dequin, S., & Blondin, B. (2001). Global gene expression
- during short-term ethanol stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Letters*, 498, 98–103.
- 450 Auesukaree, C. (2017). Molecular mechanisms of the yeast adaptive response and tolerance to 451 stresses encountered during ethanol fermentation. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.*, 124, 133-142.
- 452 Berthels, N. J., Otero, R. R. C., Bauer, F. F., Pretorius, I. S. & Thevelein, J. M. (2008). Correlation
- 453 between glucose/fructose discrepancy and hexokinase kinetic properties in different Saccharomyces
- 454 *cerevisiae* wine yeast strains. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 77, 1083–1091.
- 455 Bisson, L.F. (1999). Stuck and sluggish fermentations. *Am. J.Enol. and Viticult.*, 50, 107–119.
- Boer, V.M., de Winde, J.H., Pronk J. T., & Piper M.D. (2003). The genome-wide transcriptional
- responses of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown on glucose in aerobic chemostat cultures limited for
 carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, or sulfur. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 278, 3265-3274.
- Borrull, A., López-Martínez, G., Poblet, M., Cordero-Otero, R. & Rozès, N. (2015). New insights
- 460 into the toxicity mechanism of octanoic and decanoic acids on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*,
- **461** 32, 451–460.

- Capaldi, A.P., Kaplan, T., Liu, Y., Habib, N., Regev, A., Friedman, N., & O'Shea, E.K. (2008).
 Structure and function of a transcriptional network activated by the MAPK Hog1. *Nat. Genet.*, 40, 1300-1306.
- 465 Christ, A., Kowalczyk A., Zuchowska M., Claus C., Löwenstein, R., Szopinska-Morawska A.,
- 466 Renaut, J., & König, H. (2015). An exemplary model study for overcoming stuck fermentation during
- spontaneous fermentation with the aid of a *Saccharomyces* triple hybrid. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 7, 18–34.
- 468 Clapp C., Portt L., Khoury C., Sheibani S., Norman G., Ebner P., Eid R, Vali H., Mandato C.A.,
- Madeo, F., & Greenwood, M. (2012). T14-3-3 Protects against stress-induced apoptosis. *Cell Death Dis.*, 3:e348. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2012.90
- 471 Erasmus, D.J., van der Merwe, G.K., & van Vuuren, H.J.J. (2003). Genome-wide expression analysis:
- 472 Metabolic adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to high sugar stress. *FEMS Yeast Res.*, 3, 375473 399.
- Ferreira, C., van Voorst, F., Martins, A., Neves, L., Oliveira, R., Kielland-Brandt, M.C., Lucas, C.,
 & Brandt, A. (2005). A member of the sugar transporter family, Stl1p is the glycerol/H+ symporter
- 476 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell., 16, 2068-2076.
- Futcher B., Latter G.I., Monardo P., McLaughlin C.S. & Garrels J.I. (1999). A Sampling of the Yeast
 Proteome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 19, 7357–7368.
- 479 Goldbeck, R.A., Paquette S.J., & Kliger D.S. (2001). The effect of water on the rate of conformational
- 480 change in protein allostery. *Biophys. J.*, 81, 2919-2934.
- 481 Guerzoni, M. E., Lanciotti, R., & Cocconcelli, P. S. (2001). Alteration in cellular fatty acid
- 482 composition as a response to salt, acid, oxidative and thermal stresses in *Lactobacillus helveticus*.
- 483 *Microbiology*, 147, 2255-2264.

- Gutiérrez, A., Chiva, R., & Guillamón, J.M. (2015). Arginine addition in the stationary phase
 influences the fermentation rate and synthesis of aroma compounds in a synthetic must fermented by
 three commercial wine strains. *LWT Food Sci. Techol.*, 60, 1009–1016.
- Gutiérrez, A., Chiva, R., Sancho, M., Beltran, G., Arroyo-López, F.N., & Guillamon, J.M. (2012).
 Nitrogen requirements of commercial wine yeast strains during fermentation of a synthetic grape
 must. *Food Microbiol.*, 1, 25–32.
- Hohmann, S. (2002). Osmotic stress signaling and osmoadaptation in yeasts. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 66, 300-372.
- Hohmann, S. (2009). Control of high osmolarity signaling in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett.*, 583, 4025–4029.
- Homma, T., Iwahashi, H., & Komatsu, Y. (2003). Yeast gene expression during growth at low
 temperature. *Cryobiology*, 46, 230-237.
- 496 Huh, W.K., Falvo, J.V., Gerke, L.C., Carrol. A.S, Howson, R.W., Weissman, J.S., & O'Shea, E.K.
- 497 (2003). Global analysis of proteins localisation in budding yeast. *Nature*, 425, 686-691.
- 498 Kornblatt, M. J., Richard, A. J., Mattie, S., Zakaib, J., Dayanandan, S., Hanic-Joyce P. J., & Joyce P.
- B. (2013). The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* enolase-related regions encode proteins that are active
 enolases. *Yeast*, 30, 55–69.
- Koyama, T., Makita, M, Shibata, N, & Okawa, Y. (2009). Influence of oxidative and osmotic stresses
 on the structure of the cell wall mannan of *Candida albicans* serotype A. *Carbohydr. Res.*, 344, 21952200.
- Kwast, K. E., Lai, L. C., Menda, N., James, D. T., Aref, S., & Burke, P. V. (2002). Genomic analyses
 of anaerobically induced genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: functional roles of Rox1 and other
 factors in mediating the anoxic response. *J. Bacteriol.*, 184, 250-265.

- Lafon-Lafourcade, S., Geneix, C., & Ribéreau-Gayon, P. (1984). Inhibition of alcoholic
 fermentation of grape must by fatty acids produced by yeasts and their elimination by yeast ghosts.
- 509 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 47, 1246–1249.
- 510 Lages, F., Silva-Gracia, M., & Lucas, C. (1999). Active glycerol uptake is a mechanism underlying
- halotolerance in yeasts: a study of 42 species. *Microbiology*, 145, 2577–2585.
- Mansilla, M. C., Cybulski, L. E., Albanesi, D., & de Mendoza, D. (2004). Control of membrane lipid
 fluidity by molecular thermosensors. *J.Bacteriol.*, 186, 6681-6688.
- 514 Marks, V. D, Ho Sui, S. J., Erasmus, D., van der Merwe, G. K., Brumm, J., Wasserman, W. W.,
- 515 Bryan, J., & van Vuuren, H. J. (2008). Dynamics of the yeast transcriptome during wine fermentation
- reveals a novel fermentation stress response. *FEMS Yeast. Res.*, 8, 35-52.
- 517 Matallana, E. & Aranda, A. (2017). Biotechnological impact of stress response on wine yeast. *Lett.*518 *Appl. Microbiol.*, 64, 103–110.
- 519 Morita, Y, Nakamori, S, & Takagi, H. (2002). Effect of proline and arginine metabolism on freezing
- 520 stress of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biosci. Bioeng., 94, 390–394.
- Navarro-Aviño, J.P., Prasad, R., Miralles, V.J., Benito, R.M., Serrano, R., 1999. A proposal for
 nomenclature of aldehyde dehydrogenases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and characterization of the
 stress-inducible ALD2 and ALD3 genes. *Yeast* 15, 829–842.
- Neuhoff, V., Arold, N., Taube, D., Ehrhardt, W., 1988. Improved staining of proteins in
 polyacrylamide gels including isoelectric focusing gels with clear background at nanogram sensitivity
 using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 and R-250. *Electrophoresis* 9, 255–262.
- 527 Noti, A., Vaudano, E., Pessione, E., & Garcia-Moruno, E. (2015). Short-term response of different
- 528 Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains to hyperosmotic stress caused by inoculation in grape must: RT-
- 529 qPCR study and metabolite analysis. *Food Microbiol.*, 52, 49-58.

- Odani, T., Shimma, Y, Wang, X.H., & Jigami, Y. (1997). Mannosylphosphate transfer to cell wall
 mannan is regulated by the transcriptional level of the MNN4 gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett.*, 420, 186-90.
- Oliveira, R., Lages, F., Silva-Graca, M., & Lucas, C. (2003). Fps1p channel is the mediator of the
 major part of glycerol passive diffusion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: artefacts and re-definitions. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1613, 57-71.
- Pappin, D.J.C., Hojrup, P., & Bleasby, A.J. (1993). Rapid identification of proteins by peptide-mass
 fingerprinting. *Curr. Biol.*, 3, 327-332.
- Pessione E. (2012). Lactic acid bacteria contribution to gut complexity: lights and shadows. *Frontiers in Cell. Inf. Microbiol.*, 2, 86.
- 540 Petelenz-Kurdziel, E., Kuehn, C., Nordlander, B., Klein, D., Hong, K.-K., Jacobson, T., Dahl, P.,
- Schaber, J., Nielsen, J., Hohmann, S., & Klipp, E. (2013). Quantitative analysis of glycerol
 accumulation, glycolysis and growth under hyper-osmotic stress. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, 9, e1003084.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003084.
- 544 Pham, T.K. & Wright, P.C. (2008). The proteomic response of Saccharomyces cerevisiae in very
- high glucose conditions with amino acid supplementation. J. Proteome Res., 7, 4766-4774.
- Pigeau, G.M., Inglis, D.L., 2005. Upregulation of ALD3 and GPD1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*during Icewine fermentation. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99, 112–125.
- Pizarro, F.J., Jewett, M.C., Nielsen, J., & Agosin, E. (2008). Growth temperature exerts differential
 physiological and transcriptional responses in laboratory and wine strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 74, 6358-6368.
- 551 Reed, R., Chudek, J., Foster, R. & Gadd, G. (1987). Osmotic significance of glycerol accumulation
- in exponentially growing yeasts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 53, 2119–2123.

- Remize, F., Cambon, B., Barnavon L. & Dequin S. (2003). Glycerol formation during wine
 fermentation is mainly linked to Gpd I p and is only partially controlled by the HOG pathway. *Yeast*,
 20, 1243–1253.
- 556 Salmon, J.M. & Barre, P. (1998). Improvement of nitrogen assimilation and fermentation kinetics
- 557 under enological conditions by derepression of alternative nitrogen-assimilatory pathways in an
- industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 64, 3831-3837.
- Silva, R.D., Sotoca, R., Johansson, B., Ludovico, P., Sansonetty, F., Silva M.T., Peinado, J.M. &
 Côrte-Real, M. (2005). Hyperosmotic stress induces metacaspase- and mitochondria-dependent
 apoptosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 58, 824-834.
- Szopinska, A., Christ, E., Planchon, S., König, H., Evers, D. & Renaut, J. (2016). Stuck at work?
 Quantitative proteomics of environmental wine yeast strains reveals the natural mechanism of
 overcoming stuck fermentation. *Proteomics*, 16, 593–608.
- Tapia, H., Young, L., Fox, D., Bertozzi, C.R. & Koshlanda, D. (2015). Increasing intracellular
 trehalose is sufficient to confer desiccation tolerance to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PNAS*, 112, 61226127.
- Toledano, M. B., Kumar, C., Le Moan, N., Spector, D. & Tacnet, F. (2007). The system biology of
 thiol redox system in *Escherichia coli* and yeast: Differential functions in oxidative stress, iron
 metabolism and DNA synthesis. *FEBS Lett.*, 581, 3598-3607.
- 571 Tulha, J., Lima, A., Lucas, C. & Ferreira, C. (2010). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* glycerol/H+ 572 symporter Stl1p is essential for cold/near-freeze and freeze stress adaptation. A simple recipe with
- high biotechnological potential is given. *Microbial. Cell. Fact.*, 9, 82.
- 574 Vanegas, J.M., Contreras M.F., Faller, R. & Longo, M.L. (2012). Role of Unsaturated Lipid and
- 575 Ergosterol in Ethanol Tolerance of Model Yeast. *Biomembranes. Biophys J.*, 102, 507–516.

- Wessel, D. & Flügge, U.I. (1984). A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution
 in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.*, 138, 141-143.
- 578 Yale, J. & Bohnert, H.J. (2001). Transcript expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at high salinity.
- 579 J. Biol. Chem., 276, 15996-16007.
- 580 Yang, X.X., Maurer, K.C., Molanus, M, Mager, W.H., Siderius, M., & van der Vies, S.M. (2006).
- The molecular chaperone Hsp90 is required for high osmotic stress response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res.*, 6, 195-204.
- Zava, S., Barello, C., Pessione, A., Perono Garoffo, L., Fattori, P., Montorfano, G., Conti A., Giunta
- 584 C., Pessione E., Berra B., & Giufrida M.G. (2009). Mare's colostrum globules stimulate fibroblast
- growth *in vitro*: a biochemical study. J. Medicinal Food, 12, 836-845.
- Zuzuarregui, A., Monteoliva, L., Gil C. & Del Olmo M.L. (2006). Transcriptomic and proteomic
 approach for understanding the molecular basis of adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to wine
 fermentation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 72, 836–847.

589 CAPTIONS TO FIGURES

- 590 Figure 1. Fermentation performance, referring to ethanol production versus glucose consumption, in
- the ISE19 strain on Cortese grape must with regular (black line) and high (grey line) sugar contents.
- 592 Error bars represent standard errors of the mean (n=3).
- 593 Figure 2. Growth kinetics of the ISE19 strain in Cortese grape must with regular (black line) and high
- (grey line) sugar contents. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean (n=3).
- 595 Figure 3. Acetate and glycerol production during fermentation by strain ISE19 in Cortese grape must
- with regular (black line) and high (grey line) sugar content. Error bars represent standard error of themean (n=3).

- Figure 4. Representative 2DE images of intracellular soluble proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*ISE 19 grown under a regular sugar condition (200 g/L) (on the left) and with the addition of
 supplementary sugar (260g/L) (on the right).
- 601 Figure 5. Schematic representation of the glyceropyruvic fermentation pathway.

- **Tab.1. Protein identification.** B: proteins more abundant in the high glucose medium. A: proteins more abundant in the
- 604 regular glucose medium

Spot n°	Fold changes	Name of the protein and acronym (if it exists)	Protein Entry (NCBI 2015.3.10)	Number of peptides/numb er of total signals	Sequence coverage by PMF	Molecular weight (Da) (Theoretical/experi mental)	pI (Theoretical/ex perimental)
1331B	4	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	10/56	24%	61542/56000	5.8/6.1
1358B	2	Argininosuccinate synthase, Arg1p	EGA76938	14/51	36.6%	46455/47000	5.7/5.8
1378B	2.5	Phosphopyruvate hydratase, Eno2p	NP_012044	16/55	44.4%	46915/45000	5.7/6.2
1415B	3.5	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, Fba1p	EGA61531	12/58	43.5%	39607/40000	5.5/5.9
1564B	5	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	15/63	33%	61542/53000	5.8/5.8
1569B	2	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p	EGA57763	18/74	41.7%	61496/58000	5.8/5.9
1577B	10	Argininosuccinate synthase, Arg1p	EGA76938	17/56	45.8%	46455/46500	5.7/5.6

1663 B	Only in B	phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase beta subunit , Frs1p	AAT92797	9/25	20.3%	67332/70000	5.5/5.8
1687 B	Only in B	14-3-3 family protein, K7_Bmh2p	GAA22335	9/22	38.6%	30933/35000	4.8/4.5
1688B	Only in B	YJR075Wp-like protein	EDZ71169	4/33	11.7%	30721/32000	6.1/6.0
1689B	Only in B	14-3-3 family protein, K7_Bmh1p	GAA23007	13/30	47.9%	30205/35000	4.9/4.6
1306A	3	Methionine synthase, Met6p	EDN63067	27/58	39.4%	85831/85000	6.1/6.5
1326A	62	Catalase T, Ctt1p	AJP38866	9/76	21.4%	64560/64000	6.2/6.3
1354A	2.5	Pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme 1, Pdc1p (fragment)	EGA57763	12/54	24.7%	61496 /51000	5.8/5.9
1396A	2	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	10/35	31.3%	36823 /40000	6.3/6.5
1400A	2	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	9/61	24.4%	36823/40000	6.3/6.3
1419A	4	Alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH1	AAA34410	6/41	18%	36837/40000	5.9/5.6
1434A	25	Enolase, Eno1p	EGA82740	11/27	40.1%	41709/38000	6.2/6.5
1485A	Only in A	S-adenosylmethionine synthetase, Sam1p	EGA73728	5/49	29.5%	28481/33000	6.0/6.0
1490A	Only in A	Diaminopimelate epimerase, Yhi9p	EGA58492	7/32	31.6%	32186/31000	5.5/6.2

		Ssf2p	EGA83416	4/32	18.2%	32878/31000	6.2/6.2
1493A	8.5	Inorganic pyrophosphatase, Ipp1p Diaminopimelate epimerase,Yhi9p	AJP83924 EGA58492	8/43 5/43	37.3% 24.7%	32284/31000 32186/31000	5.4/5.8 5.5/5.8
1494A	2.5	Peptidases_S8_PCSK9_Prote inaseK_like (from YEL060Cp-like protein aa 130-406)	EDZ72718	6/34	12.8%	29297/31000	6.8/6.6
1500A	3.5	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase, Fba1p fragment (starting from aa 1)	EGA61531	7/42	20.3%	39607/30000	5.5/5.5
1507A	Only in A	Enolase, Eno1p fragment (starting from aa 116)	EGA82740	7/50	20%	29715/30000	5.3/5.1
1513A	5	YAL034W-Ap-like protein	EDZ74019	6/42	32.9%	29537/28000	6.1/5.8
1515A	2	Triose-phosphate isomerase, Tpi1p	EGA87556	3/39	18.3%	27305/27000	6.3/6.3
1519A	2	Triose-phosphate isomerase, Tpi1	NP_010335	10/48	49.2%	26796/27000	5.7/5.9
1743A	5.5	Catalase T, Ctt1p	EDV10159	13/44	27.4%	65696/63000	6.2/6.6

607 Fig. 1



609 Fig, 2



613 Fig. 3



617 Fig. 4



GLYCEROPYRUVIC FERMENTATION



HIGHLIGHTS

624	•	The paper describes what happens at a proteomic level when S. cerevisiae ISE19 is grown in
625		high sugar grape musts in a condition leading to a fermentation arrest.

- A decreased abundance of proteolytic enzymes and enzyme fragments was observed in a high
 sugar condition, in agreement with recent literature data that have reported how the low
 expression of proteases in glucose-rich musts can cause the arrest of alcoholic fermentation.
- An increased abundance of cell-wall renewal enzymes and of the proteins that regulate
 membrane transport underline an effective stress response concerning envelope structures.
- Apart from proline, arginine could also play a direct protective role against osmotic stress in
 S. cerevisiae ISE19 (as previously demonstrated for cold stress), since its biosynthetic
 pathway seems to be activated.