



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

***This is an author version of the contribution published on:***

Caser M, D'Angiolillo F, Chitarra W, Lovisolo C, Ruffoni B, Pistelli Lu,  
Pistelli La, Scariot V

Ecophysiological and phytochemical responses of *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern.  
to drought stress

PLANT GROWTH REGULATION (2017)

***The definitive version is available at:***

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10725-017-0349-1>

1 **Ecophysiological and phytochemical responses of *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern. to drought stress**

2

3 **Matteo Caser<sup>1\*</sup>, Francesca D'Angiolillo<sup>2</sup>, Walter Chitarra<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Claudio Lovisolo<sup>1</sup>, Barbara**  
4 **Ruffoni<sup>3</sup>, Luisa Pistelli<sup>4</sup>, Laura Pistelli<sup>2</sup>, Valentina Scariot<sup>1</sup>**

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2,  
7 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food, and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124,  
9 Pisa, Italy.

10 <sup>3</sup>CREA-OF, Ornamental Species Research Unit, Corso degli Inglesi 508, 18038, Sanremo (IM), Italy.

11 <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Via Bonanno 33, 56126, Pisa, Italy.

12 <sup>5</sup>Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, National Research Council (IPSP-CNR), Strada delle Cacce  
13 73, 10135, Torino (TO), Italy.

14 <sup>6</sup>CREA-VE, Viticulture and Enology Research Centre, Viale XXVIII Aprile 26, 31015, Conegliano  
15 (TV), Italy.

16

17 \*Corresponding author: Matteo Caser, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences,  
18 University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy, tel. +390116708935; fax  
19 +390116708798; mail: matteo.caser@unito.it

20 **Abstract**

21 *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern. (sage) is a medicinal plant containing plant secondary metabolites (PSMs) with  
22 antioxidant properties. The current study investigated the effects of drought stress on *Salvia sinaloensis*  
23 morphological and ecophysiological traits, and active constituent production. Sage plants were  
24 cultivated in controlled conditions for 34 days and exposed to full irrigation as control, half irrigation,  
25 and no irrigation. Changes in growth index (G.I.), dry biomass, leaf water potential (LWP), physiological  
26 parameters, active compounds, volatilome (BVOCs) and essential oils (EOs) were determined. Not  
27 irrigated plants showed a decrease in total chlorophyll content (~-14.7%) and growth (G.I., ~-59.4%)  
28 from day 18, and dry biomass at day 21 (-56%), when the complete leaf withering occurred (LWP, -1.10  
29 MPa). Moderate drought stressed plants showed similar trends for chlorophyll content and growth but  
30 kept a constant LWP (-0.35 MPa) and dry biomass throughout the experiment, as control plants.  
31 Carotenoids were not affected by water regimes. The photosynthetic apparatus tolerated mild to severe  
32 water deficits, without a complete stomatal closure. Plants under both stress conditions increased the  
33 percentage of phenols and flavonoids and showed altered BVOC and EO chemical profiles. Interestingly  
34 Camphor, the main EO oxygenated monoterpene, increased in moderate stressed plants while the  
35 sesquiterpene hydrocarbon Germacrene D decreased. The same trend was seen in the headspace under  
36 stress severity. The data evidenced a possible role of the active molecules in the response of *S.*  
37 *sinaloensis* plants to drought stress. Taking together, these findings point at *S. sinaloensis* as a potential  
38 drought adaptive species, which could be used in breeding strategies to obtain sages with high quality  
39 PSMs, saving irrigation water.

40  
41 **Keywords:** Antioxidant activity, BVOCs, Drought stress, EOs, Monoterpenes, Sage

42  
43 **Abbreviations**

44	BVOCs	Biogenic volatile organic compounds
45	EOs	Essential oils
46	PSMs	Plant secondary metabolites
47	MAPs	Medicinal and aromatic plants
48	CC	Container capacity
49	LWP	Leaf water potential
50	$C_i$	Internal CO <sub>2</sub> concentration
51	$E$	Transpiration rate

52	$g_s$	Stomatal conductance
53	A	Net photosynthetic rate
54	G.I.	Growth index
55	FRAP	Ferric reducing antioxidant power
56	Fe <sup>3+</sup> -TPTZ	Ferric tripyridyl triazine
57	SPME	Solid phase micro extraction
58	GC-EIMS	Gas chromatography–electron impact mass spectrometry
59	WUE	Water use efficiency
60	FW	Fresh weight
61	DW	Dry weight

## 62 **Introduction**

63 Biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) and essential oils (EOs) are plant secondary metabolites  
64 (PSMs), with important ecological functions within defence, protection, and signalling mechanisms  
65 (Loreto and Schnitzler 2010; Raut and Karuppaiyl 2014). Plants modulate their concentration to  
66 withstand stress-related conditions (Fleta-Soriano and Munné-Bosch 2016), such as those provoked by  
67 drought (Selmar and Kleinwachter 2013; Kleinwachter et al. 2015). Water deficit affects many aspects  
68 of plant physiology and biochemistry, activating root to shoot signalling hydraulic and hormones-  
69 mediated processes (Gulen and Eris 2004; Jaleel et al. 2008; Schachtman and Goodger 2008; Pirbalouti  
70 et al. 2014; Fleta-Soriano and Munné-Bosch 2016; Ali et al. 2017; Caser et al. 2017). Plants respond by  
71 closing their stomata and accumulating compatible solutes to maintain a low water potential and avoid  
72 dehydration (Skirycz and Inze 2010). The increased diffusion barrier impairs influx of carbon dioxide  
73 (CO<sub>2</sub>) into leaves. In consequence, lower amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> are fixed via the Calvin Cycle, and then less  
74 reduction equivalents (NADP<sup>+</sup>) are available as electron acceptors (Kleinwachter et al. 2015). In this  
75 state, all reactions must be promoted to consume NADPH + H<sup>+</sup> such as the biosynthesis of highly  
76 reduced secondary compounds, i.e. phenols, terpenoids and alkaloids.

77 BVOCs and EOs are also sources for pharmaceutical, food additives, flavours, and fine chemicals  
78 (Zhao et al. 2005). In the last years the global EO production was around 50 to 100 tonnes per annum  
79 (Lubbe and Verpoorte 2011; Rauter et al. 2012; Ben Farhat et al. 2013). In medicinal and aromatic plants  
80 (MAPs), drought stress enhanced total phenolics and flavonoids production in *Labisia pumila* Benth. &  
81 Hook. (Jaafar et al. 2012) and monoterpene hydrocarbons and oxygenated sesquiterpenes in  
82 *Helichrysum petiolare* Hilliard & B.L. (Caser et al. 2016).

83 *Salvia* species is well known for possessing numerous secondary metabolites with significant  
84 benefits to human nutrition and health, used in folk medicine for a long time and therefore many species  
85 were listed in the official Pharmacopoeias. Antiinflammatory and antioxidant properties were observed  
86 in leaf extracts of *S. officinalis* L. (Munné-Bosch et al. 2001), anticonvulsant and sedative properties in  
87 leaves and seed extracts of *S. leriifolia* Benth (Hosseinzadeh and Arabsanavi 2001), and analgesic,  
88 neuroprotective and antiparkinson properties in roots of *S. miltiorrhiza* Bunge (Du and Zhang 2004).  
89 However, other *Salvia* species, such as in *S. sinaloensis* Fern, had beneficial properties (Abreu et al.  
90 2008) but little information is available.

91 Despite the enormous richness of MAP species, breeding activities are advanced only for those  
92 plants with high demand and cultivation area (e.g. *Origanum vulgare* L. and *Matricaria recutita* L.).  
93 Wild collection and simple domestication are therefore still the main production strategies (Novak 2017).

94 The need of support and speed up MAPs breeding also for minor crops impelled us to deepen the  
95 knowledge about *S. sinaolensis* characteristics in relation to cultivation practices.

96 In sage, imposed drought stress already proved to increase EOs, total polyphenols content and  
97 monoterpenes in *S. officinalis* (Abreu and Munne-Bosch 2008; Bettaieb et al. 2009; Bettaieb et al. 2011;  
98 Radwan et al. 2017) and active constituents in *S. miltiorrhiza* (Liu et al. 2011).

99 Here we investigated *S. sinaloensis* dynamics in response to water limitation through  
100 morphological, ecophysiological and phytochemical analyses, contributing to our understanding of the  
101 mechanisms underlying plant adaptation and PSMs production.

102

## 103 **Materials and methods**

### 104 **Plant material and treatments**

105 Three year old plants from the CREA-FSO collection (Code database RGV FAO Salv095, Sanremo,  
106 Imperia, Italy - 43°81'60.28''N Lat, 7°76'67.38''E Long) were cloned by cuttings in the glasshouse of  
107 Dept. of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences of the University of Torino (Italy, 45°06'23.21''N Lat,  
108 7°57'82.83''E Long), and cultivated in vases (9 cm in diameter – one plant per vase) containing peat  
109 (Silver Torf, Agrochimica, Bolzano, Italy) and Agriperlite® (70:30), and fertilized with 4 g L<sup>-1</sup>,  
110 Osmocote (15:11:13; Scotts Europe, The Netherland). When plants reached 20 cm in height, one  
111 hundred and twenty pots were transferred in a growth chamber at 20 °C, 60% relative humidity and 16  
112 h photoperiod (constant average PAR of 300 μmol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>).

113 The experimental design was a split-plot design with three treatments and four replications per  
114 treatment. Plants were randomly divided in three groups and subjected to irrigation at 100% of container  
115 capacity (CC, control), half of the irrigation volume provided to CC controls (50% CC - moderate  
116 drought stress), or no irrigation (0% CC). The value of 50% CC was set to determine moderate drought  
117 stress in *S. sinaloensis* plants in a previous study (Caser et al., 2012). All the water contents were kept  
118 constant throughout the experiment. Gravimetric determinations of water contents were made by  
119 weighing soil samples before and after oven-drying to constant weight at 80 °C for one week. These  
120 values were used to calibrate all measurements of the moisture content of the substrate in the container.  
121 Container capacity was determined 48 h after irrigation and was calculated according to the equation of  
122 Paquin and Mehuys (1980). The soil moisture levels were maintained by manual irrigation and checked  
123 by weighting individual container every two days. The experiment lasted for a total of 34 days.

124

### 125 **Ecophysiological measurements**

126 Leaf water potential (LWP,  $\Psi_w$ ), internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ), transpiration rate ( $E$ ), stomatal  
127 conductance ( $g_s$ ) and net photosynthetic rate ( $A$ ) were measured twice a week (10-12 a.m.). In each  
128 treatment, LWP was counted in three leaves of five plants with a Scholander chamber (Soil Moisture  
129 Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) (Scholander et al. 1965).

130  $C_i$ ,  $E$ ,  $g_s$  and  $A$  were measured through a gas analyzer ADC-LCPro+ (The Analytical Development  
131 Company Ltd, Hoddesdon, UK). For each treatment three apical leaves of five plants were clamped in  
132 the leaf chamber, and routines of measurements were performed according to Caser et al. (2016). The  
133 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (450-470 ppm) and vapour pressure deficit ( $19.46 \pm 1.67$  Pa/KPa) were kept constant  
134 during the experiment. The ratio between  $A$  and  $E$  was used to calculate the instantaneous water use  
135 efficiency (WUE).

136

### 137 **Determination of pigment content**

138 Fifty mg from four fresh fully formed leaves per treatment were used to determine chlorophyll and  
139 carotenoids twice a week. After an over-night extraction in 5 ml of methanol at 4 °C in the dark, pigments  
140 were spectrophotometrically determined at 665, 652, and 470 nm using a Ultrospec 2100 pro (Amersham  
141 Biosciences, UK) as described by Lichtenthaler (1987).

142 The Chlorophyll Meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., Osaka, Japan) was used to  
143 measure the relative quantity of chlorophyll present in five randomly selected leaves per treatment.

144

### 145 **Determination of growth index and biomass**

146 Height and diameter of each plant per treatment were measured to calculate the growth index (G.I.;  
147  $\Pi * \{[(W'+W'')/2]/2\}^2 * H$ ,  $W'$  is the broadest diameter,  $W''$  is the perpendicular diameter and  $H$  is the  
148 height; Hidalgo and Harkess 2002) . At day 34, roots and aerial parts of ten plants per treatment were  
149 separated and weighed. After recording their fresh biomass, they were oven-dried at 65 °C for one week  
150 and dry biomass was measured.

151

### 152 **Determination of phenol and flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity**

153 Fresh leaves (100 mg per treatment) were pulverized and homogenized in a mortar with 1 ml of 70%  
154 (v/v) methanol to facilitate the extraction. After 30 minutes of incubation on ice, the extracts were  
155 centrifuged at 10.000 g for 10 minutes at room temperature to collect the supernatant (methanol extract)  
156 to be used for the determination of phenol and flavonoid content, and the antioxidant activity. The  
157 content of total phenols was measured by using the Folin-Ciocalteu's phenolic method and determined

158 as reported by Singleton and Rossi (1965). Twenty  $\mu\text{l}$  of methanol extracted samples were added and  
159 mixed with 0.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent and 0.45 ml of 7.5% (w/v) of saturated sodium  
160 carbonate solution. After the incubation at room temperature for 2 h, the absorbance at 765 nm of the  
161 samples was detected in UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Cintra 101, GBC Instruments, Australia).

162 Total flavonoid content was determined by applying the colorimetric method of Kim et al.  
163 (2003). Twenty-five  $\mu\text{l}$  of methanolic extract were added to 225  $\mu\text{l}$  of distilled water and to 75  $\mu\text{l}$  of 5%  
164 (w/v) sodium nitrite ( $\text{NaNO}_2$ ). After 5 minutes of incubation were added 75  $\mu\text{l}$  of 10% (w/v) of aluminum  
165 trichloride ( $\text{AlCl}_3$ ) and after 5 minutes were added 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of 1M sodium hydroxide ( $\text{NaOH}$ ). The  
166 absorbance of the samples was detected at the UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Cintra 101, GBC  
167 Instruments, Australia) after 15 minutes at 415 nm. The quantitative determination was made using a  
168 calibration curve with, as standard, quercetin 1:1 (w/v) dissolved in absolute methanol.

169 The antioxidant activity was determined by using the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)  
170 method with minor modification (Szöllősi and SzöllősiVarga 2002). The FRAP procedure is based on  
171 the reduction of a ferric-tripyridyl triazine complex to its ferrous colored form in the presence of  
172 antioxidants. An intense blue color complex was formed when ferric tripyridyl triazine ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ -TPTZ)  
173 complex was reduced to the ferrous ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) form and the absorption at 593 nm was recorded. The  
174 calibration curve was plotted with absorbance at 593 nm versus concentration of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  solution which in  
175 turn plotted against concentrations of standard antioxidant. A total of 50  $\mu\text{l}$  samples extract were added  
176 to 1.5 ml of the FRAP reagent and mixed well. The absorbance is measured at 593 nm using UV-VIS  
177 spectrophotometer (Cintra 101, GBC Instruments, Australia). Samples are measured in three replicates.

178 At the end of the experiment, the total amount of total phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant  
179 activity per plant (mg/plantFW) was estimated on the basis of the plant fresh biomass.

180

### 181 **BVOC analysis**

182 The evaluation of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) was conducted at day 14. The  
183 headspace of 3 g of twig was measured by applying a Supelco Solid Phase Micro Extraction (SPME)  
184 (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Each sample was  
185 introduced into a 100 ml glass conical flask and equilibrated for 30 min. After the equilibration time, the  
186 fiber was exposed to the headspace for 15 min at room temperature; once sampling was finished the  
187 fiber was withdrawn into the needle and transferred to the injection port of the Gas Chromatography–  
188 Electron Impact Mass Spectrometry (GC-EIMS) system where the fiber was desorbed. GC-EIMS  
189 analysis was performed with a Varian CP 3800 gas chromatograph (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA)



190 equipped with a DB-5 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm; coating thickness 0.25 μm) and a Varian  
191 Saturn 2000 ion trap mass detector chromatograph (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Analytical conditions  
192 were as follows: injector and transfer line temperature were 250 °C and 240 °C, respectively; oven  
193 temperature was programmed from 60 °C to 240 °C at 3 °C min<sup>-1</sup>; helium as carrier gas was set at 1 mL  
194 min<sup>-1</sup>; and the injection was in splitless mode. Identification of the constituents was based on comparison  
195 of their retention times with those of authentic samples, and on computer matching against commercial  
196 (NIST 98 and ADAMS) and home-made library mass spectra built from pure substances and MS  
197 literature data (Swigar & Silvestein, 1981; Davies, 1990; Adams, 1995).

198 The relative proportions of the volatile constituents were percentages obtained by peak-area  
199 normalisation, and all relative response factors were taken as one.

200

### 201 **EO analysis**

202 Twenty g of dried leaves were used for the distillation of essential oils (EOs) by using a Clevenger-type  
203 apparatus (2 h) (Farmacopea Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, vol I. IXth edn. Zecca dello Stato:  
204 Rome, 1991). The yields of distillation were not determined due to the low amount of the starting plant  
205 material. The EOs obtained were solubilized in *n*-hexane, filtered over anhydrous sodium sulphate and  
206 stored in a vial at 4 °C in the dark until use. GC-EIMS was used to analyse all EOs (injection of 0.2 μL,  
207 10% hexane solution) as reported above.

208

### 209 **Statistical analysis**

210 Data were previously tested for the variance homogeneity. Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch-F post-hoc test  
211 (REGW-F) ( $P < 0.05$ ) was applied by means of the SPSS statistical package (version 19.0; SPSS Inc.,  
212 Chicago, Illinois).

213

## 214 **Results and discussion**

### 215 **Changes in ecophysiological parameters**

216 In this study, moderate drought stress did not affect LWP compared to control (Fig. 1). In both cases it  
217 was constant throughout the experiment, with a mean value of -0.35 MPa. On the opposite, no irrigation  
218 significantly reduced LWP to -0.64 MPa at day 7. Then, a decreasing trend was observed till day 21 (-  
219 1.10 MPa) when the complete leaf withering occurred. Within the genus, similar results were found by  
220 Eakes et al. (1991) in *S. splendens* Sellow ‘Bonfire’ whose leaves reached a LWP ranging between -1.10  
221 and -1.40 MPa when exposed to episodic drought (moisture stress conditioned). In other xerophytic sage

222 species, drought induced much lower LWP. *S. officinalis* plants subjected to 50% CC and 25% CC  
223 reached LWP equal to -3.00 and -4.80 MPa, respectively (Bettaieb et al. 2011), and *S. mellifera* Greene  
224 under severe drought condition reached LWP equal to -8.0 MPa, even if severely affected by embolism  
225 (Hargrave et al. 1994).

226 Stomatal closure is the primary mechanism by which plants regulate water loss. It is widely  
227 observed that plants reduce stomatal conductance of their leaves in response to declining water potential  
228 (Oren et al. 1999). Here, drought did not affect internal CO<sub>2</sub> ( $C_i$ ) concentration with the exception for  
229 the 0% CC treatments that led to increase  $C_i$  at day 21 (Fig. 2A). More differences were found for *E*, *gs*  
230 and *A*, showing similar trends (Fig. 2B-C-D). Not irrigated plants showed lower values from day 14,  
231 shifted to day 21 (as regarding *gs* and *A*) and from day 25 (*E*). At the end of the experiment no differences  
232 were observed between control and half irrigation for all measured traits. Thus, drought stress led to  
233 partial stomata closure (Fig. 2B). As result, the influx of CO<sub>2</sub> is diminished, visible by the strongly  
234 decreased rate of photosynthesis in the stressed plants (Fig. 2C) and the lower growth rate (Fig. 3).  
235 However, as the stomata closure is not very distinct, the actual CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the stressed plants  
236 is not significantly lower than in the controls. Obviously, the steady state concentration, at least in part,  
237 is determined by the photosynthetic action (i.e. the affinity of RuBisCO). This is confirmed by the fact  
238 that in the severely stressed plants, the internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased, when CO<sub>2</sub> consumption is  
239 stopped due to the lacking photosynthetic activity after 21 days. Results suggested that the  
240 photosynthetic apparatus of *S. sinaloensis* could tolerate mild to severe water deficits and decreasing in  
241 photosynthesis couple with reduced stomatal function (Eakes et al. 1991; Galmes et al. 2007).

242 Instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE,  $A/E$ ) is used as an indicator of the water amount  
243 applied for growing by plants. Different authors indicated that stressed plants showing higher  $A/E$  are  
244 more able to utilize energy obtained by photosynthesis per unit of water transpired (Liu and Stützel  
245 2004; Monclus et al. 2006). In this study, drought did not affect WUE except for the 0% CC treatment  
246 at day 21 (Fig. 2E). This finding indicates that *S. sinaloensis* could efficiently use water resources under  
247 moderate and severe drought stress condition at least under control conditions. In many species WUE  
248 was improved under water limitation and low *gs* (Liu et al. 2005). Among these, *Trifolium alexandrinum*  
249 L. (Lazaridou and Koutroubas 2004), *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel (Hessini et al. 2009), *Callistemon*  
250 (Alvarez et al. 2011), *Hybanthus floribundus* Lindl. (Kachenko et al. 2011), *Rosa hybrida* L. (Cai et al.  
251 2012), *Vitis vinifera* L. ‘Grenache’ and ‘Tempranillo’ (Lovisololo et al. 2010; Medrano et al. 2015), and  
252 *Helichrysum petiolare* (Caser et al. 2016). In sage, Lambrecht et al. (2011) observed that leaf  
253 physiological activity of *S. mellifera* was not limited by imposed water deficits as well as indicated in

254 our study. The photosynthetic apparatus was not completely affected by drought stress also in *S.*  
255 *splendens* ‘Bonfire’ (Eakes et al. 1991) and in *S. pitcheri* L. (Hamerlynck et al. 1997), highlighting the  
256 positive water use efficiency attitude of different species within the genus *Salvia*.

257

### 258 **Changes in chlorophyll, carotenoids and plant growth**

259 The decrease of chlorophyll and carotenoids in drought stressed plants is reported by several authors  
260 (Kaminska-Rozek and Pukacki 2004; Pastenes et al. 2005; Guerfel et al. 2009). Here, moderate and  
261 severe drought stress reduced the total chlorophyll content starting from day 18 up to the end of the  
262 experiment (Supplementary Table 1), while carotenoids and Chl:Car ratios were not affected by the  
263 imposed irrigation regimes. Plants of *S. officinalis* treated with 50% CC and 25% CC presented similar  
264 values and trends (Bettaieb et al. 2011). Similarly, a chlorophyll decrease was observed also in plants of  
265 *Catharanthus roseus* L. (Jaleel et al. 2008) and *Helichrysum petiolare* (Caser et al. 2016). Jaleel et al.  
266 (2009) indicated that carotenoids play an important role in protecting different processes from reactive  
267 oxygen species damages. Here, the presence of constant amount of carotenoid during the experiment  
268 suggests a possible role to cope with oxidative damages. Similar results were observed by Caser et al.  
269 (2016) in *H. petiolare* plants subjected to 50% CC water regime.

270 The evaluation of SPAD units by the use of the chlorophyll meter is commonly used to associate  
271 leaf damages and abiotic stresses (Caser et al., 2013) and leaf photosynthesis (Castelli et al. 1996). Here,  
272 no significant results were highlighted (data not shown). Similarly, Caser et al. (2012) showed no  
273 differences of SPAD values in *S. dolomitica* Codd and *S. sinaloensis* plants under drought conditions  
274 with the exception for those irrigated with 20% CC in which was observed an increase.

275 The decrease of chlorophyll content might cause a reduction in growth parameters of plants  
276 under water stress conditions (Viera et al. 1991). In this study, all the treated plants grew similarly till  
277 day 11 (Fig. 3). Then, drought stressed plants significantly reduced their growth. Not irrigated plants  
278 reached the lowest G.I. at day 21 (2654.00 cm<sup>3</sup>), while plants under 50% CC kept constant G.I. from  
279 day 18 onward, ranging between 6908.73 cm<sup>3</sup> and 5686.40 cm<sup>3</sup>. These results are in agreement with a  
280 preliminary study on *S. sinaloensis* plants treated with different irrigation regimes where irrigation with  
281 20% CC and 40% CC significantly reduced the plant growth compared to 80% CC and controls (Caser  
282 et al. 2012). However, as shown in Table 1, here only severe drought stress reduced drastically the total  
283 dry mass of plants (-56%), affecting particularly the roots (-67%). Thus, the R:A ratio (-55%), favoring  
284 the shoot as showed in other species under severe drought stress conditions (Comas et al. 2013; Caser et  
285 al. 2016). A reduction of shoot dry weight, leaf area, stem length and root length was similarly seen in

286 *S. splendens* (Eakes et al. 1991; Burnett et al. 2005). On the opposite, half irrigated plants showed an  
287 increase in root dry biomass (+14%) and consequently in R:A ratio (+37%) compared to control. Since  
288 roots are the only source to acquire water from soil, the root growth, its density, proliferation and size  
289 are key responses of plants to drought stress (Kavar et al. 2007). The drought tolerance of tea, onion and  
290 cotton was increased by improved root growth and root functioning (Farooq et al. 2009).

291

### 292 **Changes in phenols and flavonoids and antioxidant activity**

293 Polyphenols and flavonoids are among the most adaptable PSMs, helping plants to cope with different  
294 stress conditions (Di Ferdinando et al. 2014). In this study the total content of phenols in full-irrigated  
295 plants decreased during the whole experiment, reaching the lowest value between days 21 and 25 (0.98  
296 mg/g FW) (Fig. 4A). Conversely, at the same data point moderate stressed plants exhibited the highest  
297 amounts (14.26 mg/g FW and 13.16 mg/g FW at the days 21 and 25, respectively). A different behavior  
298 was also observed in severe stressed plants, that showed higher content of phenols from day 11 to day  
299 18 with the highest value at day 11 (15.74 mg/g FW). A similar dynamic was observed for the total  
300 flavonoids accumulation (Fig. 4B). In control plants, flavonoids increased during the first 7 days of  
301 cultivation. Subsequently, they dramatically decreased reaching minimum values between days 21 (1.19  
302 mg/g FW) and 25 (1.16 mg/g FW). By contrast, in moderate stress condition flavonoid content kept  
303 constant until day 21, later increased with higher values from day 18 till the end of the experiment,  
304 reaching the maximum content at day 25 (2.89 mg/g FW). In the not irrigated plants, the total content of  
305 flavonoids peaked at day 11 (3.73 mg/g FW). In literature, different works showed that in drought  
306 stressed plants was observed an increase in secondary metabolites content. Plants of *Prunus persica* L.,  
307 *Echinacea purpurea* L., *Hypericum brasiliense* Choisy, *Trachyspermum ammi* L. and *Labisia pumila*  
308 Benth. & Hook. subjected to drought stress showed significant increase in total phenols (Kubota et al.  
309 1988; Gray et al. 2003; de Abreu and Mazzafera 2005; Azhar et al. 2011; Jaafar et al. 2012) and *Pisum*  
310 *sativum* in flavonoids (Nogués et al. 1998). In *Salvia officinalis*, an increase in phenols was observed in  
311 half irrigated plants (Bettaieb et al. 2011). Here, a mid to late increase in total phenols and flavonoids  
312 occurred in plants subjected to 50% CC. According with Paulsen and Selmar (2016), imposed drought  
313 stress generally results in decrease of aerial biomass gain and the corresponding dry or fresh weight are  
314 strongly influencing the content of natural products in MAPs. Here, as indicated in Table 2, moderate  
315 drought conditions resulted in a significant increase of the total content of phenols in comparison to  
316 severe drought stressed plants and controls (16.44, 22.85 and 10.43 mg/plant in 100% CC, 50%CC and  
317 0% CC, respectively). While, no differences were noted in flavonoid content between moderate drought

318 stress and control conditions (6.42, 6.47 and 2.73 mg/plantFW of flavonoids in 100% CC, 50%CC and  
319 0% CC, respectively). These findings should be taken in consideration for future industrial purposes.

320 Drought induces oxidative stress in plants, in which reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced  
321 (Munné-Bosch and Penuelas 2003). Plant resistance to ROS is associated with an increase in antioxidant  
322 activity to prevent stress damage (Bor et al. 2003). In this study, only plants under 50% CC showed a  
323 significant increase of antioxidant activity at the end of the experiment (Fig. 4C). Similarly, at the end  
324 of the experiment the total amount of antioxidant activity per plant was much superior in moderate  
325 drought stressed plants than the others (106.37, 125.98 and 20.86 mg/plantFW in 100% CC, 50% CC  
326 and 0% CC, respectively) (Table 2). These findings confirm the ability of this plant to encounter the  
327 water limitation (50% CC) activating stress defense signaling and pathways respect to severe drought  
328 stress (0% CC) where metabolic and physiological constraints are not able to allow plant survival.

329

### 330 **Changes in emitted BVOCs**

331 Different authors observed that any stress factor can potentially change the rate of volatile release and  
332 alter the bouquet of BVOCs (Dicke and Baldwin 2010; Holopainen and Gershenzon 2010; Niinemets et  
333 al. 2013). Their emission can vary drastically depending on the species, organ, developmental stage and  
334 environmental conditions (Holopainen and Gershenzon 2010). Abiotic stress can enhances the emission  
335 of BVOC rates and patterns by the alteration of the communication with other organisms and  
336 photochemical cycles (Loreto and Schnitzler 2010). In the present study, the volatiles emitted and  
337 identified from the analyzed twigs are reported in Supplementary Table 2. In total a number of 20, 32  
338 and 38 compounds were recognized in plants treated with full irrigation, half irrigation and no irrigation,  
339 respectively, accounting for 92.1%, 96.6% and 95.4% of the total compositions, respectively. The  
340 volatile fractions were characterized mainly by sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh) although a mild  
341 reduction by increasing stress conditions was observed (57.5% < 42.8% < 42.7% in full irrigation, half  
342 irrigation and no irrigation, respectively). No irrigation affected the production of four of the six reported  
343 volatile molecule classes. In particular, an increase in oxygenated monoterpenes (om) (9.7%, 16.2% and  
344 23.6% in full irrigation, half irrigation and no irrigation, respectively), was observed. Among the other  
345 categories not-terpene derivates (nt), oxygenated sesquiterpene (os) and apocarotenoids (ac) were  
346 observed only in few percentage. However, plants under 50% CC exhibited the highest content in  
347 monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh) (24.2%, 37.1% and 22.0% in full irrigation, half irrigation and no  
348 irrigation, respectively). All investigated headspaces showed different array of the main constituents.  
349 The chemical profile in fully irrigated plants was characterized by Germacrene D >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene >

350  $\beta$ -Pinene, in moderate stressed plants by  $\beta$ -Pinene > Camphor >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene and in severe stressed  
351 plants by  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene > Camphor >  $\beta$ -Pinene. Among the various constituents, a very sharp  
352 decrease (4.4 times) was observed for the Germacrene D (from 22.0% to 5.0% in full irrigation and no  
353 irrigation, respectively). On the opposite the oxygenated monoterpene Camphor increased 2.5 times  
354 when plants were subjected to 0% CC.

355 The literature is ambiguous concerning BVOC emission in relation to water availability.  
356 Several recent reviews addressed the roles of BVOCs in enhancing the tolerance of plants to various  
357 general abiotic stressors (Holopainen and Gershenzon 2010; Loreto and Schnitzler 2010; Possell and  
358 Loreto 2013; Rinnan et al. 2014). In particular, under drought Mediterranean climatic condition, the  
359 emission of oxygenated monoterpene is common (Loreto et al. 2014) and is thought to promote direct  
360 and indirect defense by modulating the signaling that biochemically activates defense pathways in  
361 response to stressful conditions (Loreto and Schnitzler 2010; Rinnan et al. 2014). Pistelli et al. (2013)  
362 reported an increase in oxygenated monoterpenes in *Salvia officinalis* plants under *in vitro* growing  
363 conditions. Moreover, there is evidence that the strength of the emission of BVOCs can be quantitatively  
364 related to the severity of abiotic stresses (Niinemets et al. 2013). As reported by Niinemets et al. (2004)  
365 the emission of oxygenated BVOCs depends on stomatal behavior. The stomatal closure in response to  
366 drought stress is therefore expected to drastically affect the emission of these compounds as showed in  
367 *Quercus ilex* L. (Bertin and Staudt 1996; Llusia and Peñuelas 1998; Loreto et al. 2001). Here, on the  
368 contrary we observed an increase in oxygenated monoterpenes under mild and severe drought stress  
369 associated with a not completely stomatal closure. Progressing soil water deficiency enhanced  
370 monoterpene emissions also in *Pinus halepensis* Mill. and *Cistus albidus* L., whereas did not affect it in  
371 *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Quercus coccifera* L. (Ormeño et al. 2007). By contrast, overall emissions  
372 of sesquiterpenes were reduced by water deficiency in all the four species (Ormeño et al. 2007).  
373 Moreover, Hansen et al. (1997) and Ormeño et al. (2007) noticed that monoterpene emission in *R.*  
374 *officinalis* was not dependent by photosynthesis, but may originate from “*de novo*” synthesis in the  
375 photosynthetic tissues (Steinbrecher et al. 1999; Ormeño et al. 2009; Nogués et al. 2015). Taking  
376 together, all these results on BVOCs could represent important findings for studying the plant-  
377 environment interactions and can be used in breeding strategies to improve volatile compounds yield  
378 and quality.

379

380 **Changes on essential oil**

381 In a wide range of experiments was highlighted that plants under drought stressed showed an increase  
382 in secondary metabolites content (Selmar and Kleinwächter 2013). Here, a total of 78 constituents were  
383 detected in the investigated EOs of which 59, 41 and 62 in full, half and not irrigated plants, respectively  
384 (Supplementary Table 3). Drought stress conditions only slightly increased the total number of  
385 constituents identified in the EOs (94.0, 99.6 and 98.8% at full irrigation, half irrigation and no irrigation,  
386 respectively). Similar results were observed in *Satureja hortensis* L. (Baher et al. 2002), *Lippia*  
387 *berlandieri* Schauer (Dunford and Vazquez 2005), *Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) (Petropoulos et al.  
388 2008), *S. officinalis* (Bettaieb et al. 2009), and *Laurus nobilis* L. (Maatallah et al. 2016) plants treated  
389 with similar drought stressed conditions. Regarding the type of constituents, moderate drought stress  
390 increased the total amount of monoterpenes (both oxygenated monoterpenes and monoterpene  
391 hydrocarbons: 51.5, 77.1 and 54.9% and 1.4, 6.0 and 1.9% in full irrigation, half irrigation and no  
392 irrigation, respectively) and deeply reduced the oxygenated sesquiterpenes (29.2, 6.3 and 26.9% in full  
393 irrigation, half irrigation and no irrigation, respectively). Similarly, imposed moderate drought stress  
394 strongly increased (2 to 4 fold) the content of Camphor, a specific compound in the essential oil, as also  
395 reported in *S. officinalis* plants (Bettaieb et al. 2009; Nowak et al. 2010) and in *Picea abies* L. and *Pinus*  
396 *silvestris* L. (Turtola et al. 2003). Nowak et al. (2010) reported that moderate stressed condition resulted  
397 in a massive increase of concentration of monoterpenes compensating the reduction in biomass. In fact,  
398 the stimulation to produce high terpene content under drought stress could be due to the low allocation  
399 of carbon to the growth, suggesting a trade-off between growth and defense (Turtola et al. 2003).

400 The main constituent of EOs in all the studied plants was Camphor (an oxygenated monoterpene).  
401 This constituent strongly increased under 50% CC (36.5, 62.8, 38.7% in full irrigation, half irrigation  
402 and no irrigation, respectively). Our results showed a chemical profile composed by Camphor >  
403 Caryophyllene oxide >  $\alpha$ -Cadinol in 100% CC; Camphor >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene > Borneol in 50% CC; and  
404 by Camphor > Caryophyllene oxide >  $\beta$ -Caryophyllene in 0% CC. Abreu et al. (2008) reported  
405 interesting antioxidant properties of *S. sinaloensis* due to the presence of phenolic diterpenes in  
406 comparison with *S. officinalis* extracts. In the present study, moderate drought stress application induced  
407 an increase in oxygenated monoterpenes that are generally the most active components in many EOs  
408 (Ruberto and Baratta 2000; Imanshahidi and Hosseinzadeh 2006), such as in *S. cinnabarina* L. with  
409 hypotensive effect (Alfieri et al. 2007), *S. dolomitica* with anti-bacterial activity (Kamatou et al. 2007)  
410 and in *S. officinalis* with antifungal and anti-inflammatory activity on mammalian cells (Abu-Darwish  
411 et al. 2013). These results may contribute to a good utilization of *S. sinaloensis* EOs for plant breeding  
412 and pharmaceutical applications.

413

## 414 **Conclusions**

415 Drought is one of the abiotic stresses that most severely affects plant growth and development.  
416 Consequently, plants adjust their structure, metabolism and function to withstand it. Generally, it is  
417 characterized by reduction of water content and leaf water potential, turgor loss, closure of stomata and  
418 decrease in cell enlargement and growth. However, with respect to MAPs, the water shortage can lead  
419 to an enhancement of the content of PSMs, Our results showed that in *S. sinaloensis* drought affects  
420 morphological, ecophysiological and chemical traits depending on the stress severity. Plant growth  
421 parameters and chlorophyll were generally reduced but the photosynthetic apparatus demonstrated to  
422 tolerate moderate to severe drought conditions, without a complete stomatal closure. Interestingly, under  
423 moderate stressed conditions, monoterpene hydrocarbons increased in both BVOCs and Eos (e.g.  
424 camphene), while volatile oxygenated monoterpenes increased still with increasing stress severity (e.g.  
425 camphor). Thus, drought stress did not inhibit per se the biosynthesis of monoterpenes, even better the  
426 highest BVOCs and EOs contents were obtained in moderate drought stress condition. Furthermore, this  
427 stress condition induced a mid to late increase in phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity, suggesting  
428 that active molecule accumulation is associated with drought tolerance.

429 In conclusion, here an integrated approach, combining metabolomic and physiological studies,  
430 allowed us to get new insights in mechanisms and processes involved in *S. sinaloensis* adaptation to  
431 drought stress and PSMs production. Coupling this information, breeders and industries may optimize  
432 MAPs breeding and cultivation, in order to produce high quality plant materials adopting sustainable  
433 cultivation techniques.

434

## 435 **Acknowledgments**

436 This research was partially funded by the INTERREG-ALCOTRA 2007-2013 Project “AROMA” (n.  
437 68). Authors acknowledged Claudio Cervelli and Paolo Lo Turco for plant furnishing and multiplication.



438 **References**

- 439 Abreu ME, Müller M, Alegre L, Munné-Bosch S (2008) Phenolic diterpene and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol contents  
440 in leaf extracts of 60 *Salvia* species. J Sci Food Agric 88:2648-2653
- 441 Abreu ME, Munné-Bosch S (2008) Salicylic acid may be involved in the regulation of drought-induced  
442 leaf senescence in perennials: a case study in field-grown *Salvia officinalis* L. plants. Env Exp  
443 Bot 64:105-112
- 444 Abu-Darwish MS, Cabral C, Ferreira IV, Gonçalves MJ, Cavaleiro C, Cruz MT, Al-bdour TH, Salgueiro  
445 L (2013) Essential oil of common sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) from Jordan: Assessment of safety  
446 in mammalian cells and its antifungal and anti-inflammatory potential. Biomed Res Int 2013:  
447 538940
- 448 Adams RP (1995) Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy.  
449 Carol Stream, IL: Allured.
- 450 Alfieri A, Maione F, Bisio A, Romussi G, Mascolo N, Cicala C (2007) Effect of a diterpenoid from  
451 *Salvia cinnabarina* on arterial blood pressure in rats. Phyt Res 21:690-692
- 452 Ali F, Bano A, Fazal A (2017) Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. Plant Growth Regul  
453 82:363-375
- 454 Alvarez S, Navarro A, Nicolas E, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2011) Transpiration, photosynthetic responses,  
455 tissue water relations and dry mass partitioning in *Callistemon* plants during drought conditions.  
456 Sci Hortic 129(2):306-312
- 457 Azhar N, Hussain B, Ashraf MY, Abbasi KY (2011) Water stress mediated changes in growth,  
458 physiology and secondary metabolites of desi ajwain (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.). Pak J Bot  
459 43:15-19
- 460 Baher ZF, Mirza M, Ghorbanli M, Rezaii MB (2002) The influence of water stress on plant height,  
461 herbal and essential oil yield and composition in *Satureja hortensis* L. Flavour Frag J 17:275–  
462 277
- 463 Ben Farhat M, Landoulsi A, Chaouch-Hamada R, Sotomayor JA, Jordán MJ (2013) Characterization  
464 and quantification of phenolic compounds and antioxidant properties of *Salvia* species growing  
465 in different habitats. Ind Crop Prod 49:904-914
- 466 Ben Taarit M, Msaada K, Hosni K, Hammami M, Kchouk ME, Marzouk B (2009) Plant growth,  
467 essential oil yield and composition of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) fruits cultivated under salt stress  
468 conditions. Ind Crops Prod 30:333-337

469 Bertin N, Staudt M (1996) Effect of water stress on monoterpene emissions from young potted holm oak  
470 (*Quercus ilex* L.) trees. *Oecologia* 107:456-462

471 Bettaieb I, Zakhama N, Aidi Wannes W, Kchouk ME, Marzouk B (2009) Water deficit effects on *Salvia*  
472 *officinalis* fatty acids and essential oils composition. *Sci Hort* 120:271–275

473 Bettaieb I, Hamrouni-Sellami I, Bourgou S, Limam F, Marzouk B (2011) Drought effects on polyphenol  
474 composition and antioxidant activities in aerial parts of *Salvia officinalis* L. *Acta Physiol Plant*  
475 33:1103-1111

476 Bor M, Özdemir F, Türkan I (2003) The effect of salt stress on lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in  
477 leaves of sugar beet *Beta vulgaris* L. and wild beet *Beta maritima* L. *Plant Sci* 164:77-84

478 Bretzel F, Benvenuti S, Pistelli L (2014) Metal contamination in urban street sediment in Pisa (Italy) can  
479 affect the production of antioxidant metabolites in *Taraxacum officinale* Weber. *Environ Sci*  
480 *Pollut Res* 21:2325–2333

481 Burnett SE, Pennisi SV, Thomas PA, van Iersel MW (2005) Controlled drought affects morphology and  
482 anatomy of *Salvia splendens*. *J Amer Soc Hort Sci* 130:775-781

483 Cai X, Starman T, Niu G, Hall C, Lombardini L (2012) Response of selected garden rose to drought  
484 stress. *HortSci* 47:1050-1055

485 Caser M, Ruffoni B, Scariot V (2012) Screening for drought tolerance in *Salvia* spp. and *Helichrysum*  
486 *petiolare*: a way to select low maintenance ornamental plants. *Acta Hort* 953:239-246

487 Caser M, Scariot V, Gaino W, Larcher F, Devecchi M (2013) The effects of sodium chloride on the  
488 aesthetic value of *Buxus* spp. *Europ J Hort Sci* 78:153-159

489 Caser M, D’Angiolillo F, Chitarra W, Lovisolo C, Ruffoni B, Pistelli Lu, Pistelli La, Scariot V (2016)  
490 Water deficit trigger changes in valuable physiological and phytochemical parameters in  
491 *Helichrysum petiolare* Hilliard & B.L. Burt. *Ind Crops Prod* 83:680-692

492 Caser M, Lovisolo C, Scariot V (2017) The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and  
493 ornamental quality of potted *Primula vulgaris* ‘Heidy’ plants. New insights to increase water use  
494 efficiency in plant production. *Plant Growth Regul* DOI 10.1007/s10725-017-0301-4

495 Castelli F, Contillo R, Miceli F (1996) Non-destructive determination of leaf chlorophyll content in four  
496 crop species. *J Agr Crop Sci* 4:275-283

497 Comas L, Becker S, Cruz VMV, Byrne PF, Dierig DA (2013) Root traits contributing to plant  
498 productivity under drought. *Front Plant Sci* 4:442

499 Davies NW (1990) Gas chromatographic retention indexes of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes on  
500 methyl silicone and carbowx20M phases. *J Chrom* 503:1–24

501 de Abreu IN, Mazzafera P (2005) Effect of water and temperature stress on the content of active  
502 constituents of *Hypericum brasiliense* Choisy. Plant Physiol Biochem 43(3):241-248

503 di Ferdinando M, Brunetti C, Agati G, Tattini M (2014) Multiple functions of polyphenols in plants  
504 inhabiting unfavorable Mediterranean areas. Env Exp Bot 103:107-116

505 Dicke M, Baldwin IT (2010) The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: beyond the  
506 ‘cry for help’. Trends Plant Sci 15:167-175

507 Du GH, Zhang JT (2004) The general situation and progress of the modern research of red sage root  
508 (*Radix Salviae miltiorrhizae*). II. Yiyao Daobao 23:435–440

509 Dunford NT, Vazquez RS (2005) Effect of water stress on plant growth and thymol and carvacrol  
510 concentrations in Mexican oregano grown under controlled conditions. J Appl Hortic 7:20-22

511 Eakes DJ, Wright RD, Seiler JR (1991) Moisture stress conditioning effects on *Salvia splendens*  
512 ‘Bonfire’. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 116:716-719

513 Farmacopea Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, 9th Edt, Istituto Poligrafico Zecca dello Stato, Roma  
514 (1991)

515 Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, Basra SMA (2009) Plant drought stress: effects,  
516 mechanisms and management. Agr Sust Develop 29:185-212

517 Fleta-Soriano E, Munné-Bosch S (2016) Stress memory and the inevitable effects of drought: a  
518 physiological perspective. Front Plant Sci 7:143

519 Galmés J, Medrano H, Flexas J (2007) Photosynthetic limitations in response to water stress and  
520 recovery in Mediterranean plants with different growth forms. New Phytol 175:81-93

521 Gray DE, Pallardy SG, Garrett HE, Rottinghaus G (2003) Acute drought stress and plant age effects on  
522 alkamide and phenolic acid content in purple coneflower roots. Planta Med 69:50–55

523 Guerfel M, Baccouri O, Boujnah D, Chaibi W, Zarrouk M (2009) Impacts of water stress on gas  
524 exchange, water relations, chlorophyll content and leaf structure in the two main Tunisian olive  
525 (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars. Sci Hortic 119:257-263

526 Gulen H, Eris A (2004) Effect of heat stress on peroxidase activity and total protein content in strawberry  
527 plants. Plant Sci 166:739–744

528 Hamerlynck EP, McAllister CA, Knapp AK, Ham JM, Owensby CE (1997) Photosynthetic gas  
529 exchange and water relation responses of three tallgrass prairie species to elevated carbon dioxide  
530 and moderate drought. Int J Plant Sci 158:608-616

531 Hansen U, van Eijk J, Bertin N, Staudt M, Kotzias D, Seufert G, Fugit JL, Torres L, Cecinato A,  
532 Brancaloni E, Ciccioioli P, Bomboi T (1997) Biogenic emissions and CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange  
533 investigated on four Mediterranean shrubs. *Atmosph Env* 31:157-166

534 Hargrave KR, Kolb KJ, Ewers FW, Davis SD (1994) Conduit diameter and drought-induced embolism  
535 in *Salvia mellifera* Greene (Labiatae). *New Phytol* 126:695-705

536 Hessini K, Martinez JP, Gandour M, Albouchi A, Soltani A, Abdelly C (2009) Effect of water stress on  
537 growth, osmotic adjustment, cell wall elasticity and water-use efficiency in *Spartina alterniflora*.  
538 *Env Exp Bot* 67:312-319

539 Hidalgo PR, Harkess RL (2002) Earthworm castings as a substrate for Poinsettia production. *HortSci*  
540 37:304–308

541 Holopainen JK, Gershenzon J (2010) Multiple stress factors and the emission of plant VOCs. *Trends*  
542 *Plant Sci* 15:176-184

543 Hosseinzadeh H, Arabsanavi J (2001) Anticonvulsant effect of *Salvia leriifolia* Benth. Seed and leaf  
544 extracts in mice. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* 3:163-170

545 Imanshahidi M, Hosseinzadeh H (2006) The pharmacological effects of *Salvia* species on the central  
546 nervous system. *Phytother Res* 20:427-437

547 Jaafar HZE, Ibrahim MH, Fakri NFM (2012) Impact of soil field water capacity in secondary  
548 metabolites, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), malondialdehyde (MDA) and photosynthetic  
549 responses of Malaysian kacip Fatimah (*Labisia pumila* Benth). *Molecules* 17:7305-7322

550 Jaleel CA, Gopi R, Sankar B, Gomathinayagam M, Panneerselvam R (2008) Differential responses in  
551 water use efficiency in two varieties of *Catharanthus roseus* under drought stress. *C R Biologies*  
552 331:42-47

553 Jaleel CA, Manivannan P, Wahid A, Farooq M, Somasundaram R, Panneerselvam R (2009) Drought  
554 stress in plants: a review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int J Agric.*  
555 *Biol* 11:100-105

556 Kachenko AG, Bhatia N, Singh B (2011) Influence of drought stress on the nickel-hyperaccumulating  
557 shrub *Hybanthus floribundus* (Lindl.) F. Muell. Subsp. *floribundus*. *Int J Plant Sci* 172(3):315-  
558 322

559 Kamatou GPP, Van Vuuren SF, Van Heerden FR, Seaman T, Viljoen AM (2007) Antibacterial and  
560 antimycobacterial activities of South African *Salvia* species and isolated compounds from *S.*  
561 *chamelaegnea*. *South Afr J Bot* 73:552-557

- 562 Kaminska-Rozek E, Pukacki PM (2004) Effect of water deficit on oxidative stress and degradation of  
563 cell membranes in needles of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.). Acta Physiol Plant  
564 26:431–442
- 565 Kavar T, Maras M, Kidric M, Sustar-Vozlic J, Meglic V (2007) Identification of genes involved in the  
566 response of leaves of *Phaseolus vulgaris* to drought stress. Mol Breed 21:159–172
- 567 Kim DO, Jeong SW, Lee CY (2003) Antioxidant capacity of phenolic phytochemicals from various  
568 cultivars of plums. Food Chem 81(3):321-326
- 569 Kramer PJ, Boyer JS (1995) Water relations of plants and soils. Academic Press, New York
- 570 Kleinwächter M, Paulsen J, Bloem E, Schnug E, Selmar D (2015) Moderate drought and signal  
571 transducer induced biosynthesis of relevant secondary metabolite in thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*),  
572 greater celandine (*Chelidonium majus*) and parsley (*Petroselinum crispum*). Ind Crops Prod  
573 64:158-166
- 574 Kubota N, Mimura H, Shimamura K (1988) The effects of drought and flooding on the phenolic  
575 compounds in peach fruits. Sci Rep Fac Agric Okayama Univ 171:17–21
- 576 Lambrecht SC, Santiago LS, DeVan CM, Cervera JC, Stripe CM, Buckingham LA, Pasquini SC (2011)  
577 Plant water status and hydraulic conductance during flowering in the southern California coastal  
578 sage shrub *Salvia mellifera* (Lamiaceae). Am J Bot 98:1286-1292
- 579 Lazaridou M, Koutroubas SD (2004) Drought effect on water use efficiency of berseem clover at various  
580 growth stages. In: New directions for a diverse planet: Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Crop  
581 Science Congress Brisbane, Australia (Vol. 26)
- 582 Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes.  
583 Meth Enzymol 148:350-382
- 584 Liu F, Stützel H (2004) Biomass partitioning, specific leaf area, and water use efficiency of vegetable  
585 amaranth (*Amaranthus* spp.) in response to drought stress. Sci Hortic 102:15-27
- 586 Liu F, Andersen MN, Jacobsen SE, Jensen CR (2005) Stomatal control and water use efficiency of  
587 soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) during progressive soil drying. Env Exp Bot 54:33-40
- 588 Liu H, Wang X, Wang D, Zou Z, Liang Z (2011) Effect of drought stress on growth and accumulation  
589 of active constituents in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge. Ind Crops Prod 33:84-88
- 590 Llusia J, Peñuelas J (1998) Changes in terpene content and emission in potted Mediterranean woody  
591 plants under severe drought. Can J Bot 76:1366-1373

592 Loreto F, Fischbach RJ, Schnitzler JP, Ciccioli P, Brancaleoni E, Calfapietra C, Seuffer G (2001)  
593 Monoterpene emission and monoterpene synthase activities in the Mediterranean evergreen oak  
594 *Quercus ilex* L. grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Global Change Biol* 7:709-717

595 Loreto F, Schnitzler JP (2010) Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. *Trends plant Sci* 15:154-166

596 Loreto F, Dicke M, Schnitzler JP, Turlings TCJ (2014) Plant volatiles and the environment. *Plant Cell*  
597 *Env* 37:1905-1908

598 Lovisolo C, Perrone I, Carra A, Ferrandino A, Flexas J, Medrano H, Schubert A (2010) Drought-induced  
599 changes in development and function of grapevine (*Vitis* spp.) organs and in their hydraulic and  
600 non hydraulic interactions at the whole plant level: a physiological and molecular update. *Funct*  
601 *Plant Biol* 37:98–116

602 Lubbe A, Verpoorte R (2011) Cultivation of medicinal and aromatic plants for specialty industrial  
603 materials. *Ind Crops Prod* 34:785-801

604 Maatallah S, Nasri N, Hajlaoui H, Albouchi A, Elaissi A (2016) Evaluation changing of essential oil of  
605 laurel (*Laurus nobilis* L.) under water deficit stress conditions. *Ind Crops Prod* 91:170-178

606 Medrano H, Tomas M, Martorell S, Flexas J, Hernandez E, Rossello J, Pou A, Escalona JM, Bota J  
607 (2015) From leaf to whole-plant water use efficiency (WUE) in complex canopies: limitations of  
608 leaf WUE as a selection target. *Crop J* 3(3):220-228

609 Monclus R, Dreyer E, Villar M, Delmotte FM, Delay D, Petit JM, Barbaroux C, Thiec D, Bréchet C,  
610 Brignolas F (2006) Impact of drought on productivity and water use efficiency in 29 genotypes  
611 of *Populus deltoides* × *Populus nigra*. *New Phytol* 169:765–777

612 Munné-Bosch S, Mueller M, Schwarz K, Alegre L (2001) Diterpenes and antioxidative protection in  
613 drought-stressed *Salvia officinalis* plants. *J Plant Physiol* 11:1431-1437

614 Munné-Bosch S, Peñuelas J (2003) Photo- and antioxidative protection, and a role for salicylic acid  
615 during drought and recovery in field-grown *Phillyrea angustifolia* plants. *Planta* 217:758-766

616 Niinemets Ü, Loreto F, Reichstein M (2004) Physiological and physicochemical controls on foliar  
617 volatile organic compound emissions. *Trends Plant Sci* 9:180-186

618 Niinemets Ü, Kännaste A, Copolovici L (2013) Quantitative patterns between plant volatile emissions  
619 induced by biotic stresses and the degree of damage. *Front Plant Sci* 4:262

620 Nogués S, Allen DJ, Morison JIL, Baker NR (1998) Ultraviolet-B radiation effects on water relations,  
621 leaf development, and photosynthesis in droughted pea plants. *Plant Physiol* 117:173–181

622 Nogués I, Muzzini V, Loreto F, Bustamante MA (2015) Drought and soil amendment effects on  
623 monoterpene emission in rosemary plants. *Sci Total Envi* 538:768-778

- 624 Novak J (2017) Letter to the Editor on “How to implement GACP of MAPs? A practical implementation  
625 guide to Good Agricultural and Wild Collection Practices (GACP)”. J Appl Res Med Arom  
626 Plants <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2016.12.003>
- 627 Nowak M, Kleinwächter M, Manderscheid R, Weigel HJ, Selmar D (2010) Drought stress increases the  
628 accumulation of monoterpenes in sage (*Salvia officinalis*), an effect that is compensated by  
629 elevated carbon dioxide concentration. J Appl Bot Food Qual 83:133-136
- 630 Oren R, Sperry JS, Katul GG, Pataki DE, Ewers BE, Phillips N, Schäfer KVR (1999) Survey and  
631 synthesis of intra- and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit.  
632 Plant Cell Env 22:1515-1526
- 633 Ormeño E, Mévy JP, Vila B, Bousquet Mélou A, Greff S, Bonin G, Fernandez C (2007) Water deficit  
634 stress induces different monoterpene and sesquiterpene emission changes in Mediterranean  
635 species. Relationship between terpene emissions and plant water potential. Chemosphere 67:276-  
636 284
- 637 Ormeño E, Romain O, Mevy JP, Baldy V, Fernandez C (2009) Compost may affect volatile and semi-  
638 volatile plant emissions through nitrogen supply and chlorophyll fluorescence. Chemosphere  
639 77:94-104
- 640 Paquin R, Mehuys GR (1980) Influence of soil moisture on cold tolerance of alfalfa. Can J Plant Sci  
641 60:139-147
- 642 Pastenes C, Pimentel P, Lillo J (2005) Leaf movements and photoinhibition in relation to water stress in  
643 field-grown beans. J Exp Bot 56:425–433
- 644 Paulsen J, Selmar D (2016) The difficulty of correct reference values when evaluating the effects of  
645 drought stress: a case study with *Thymus vulgaris*. J Appl Bot Food Qual 89:287-289
- 646 Petropoulos SA, Daferera D, Polissiou MG, Passam HC (2008) The effect of water deficit stress on the  
647 growth, yield and composition of essential oils of parsley. Sci Hortic 115:393–397
- 648 Pirbalouti AG, Samani MR, Hashemi M, Zeinali H (2014) Salicylic acid affects growth, essential oil  
649 and chemical compositions of thyme (*Thymus daenensis* Celak.) under reduced irrigation. Plant  
650 Growth Regul 72:289-301
- 651 Pistelli L, Noccioli C, D’Angiolillo F, Pistelli L (2013) Composition of volatile in micropropagated and  
652 field grown aromatic plants from Tuscany Islands. Acta Bioch Polon 60:43-50
- 653 Possell M, Loreto F (2013) The role of volatile organic compounds in plant resistance to abiotic stresses:  
654 responses and mechanisms. In: Biology, Controls and Models of Tree Volatile Organic  
655 Compound Emissions (Eds Ü. Niinemets, RK Monson), pp. 209–235. Springer, Berlin, Germany

656 Radwan A, Kleinwachter M, Selmar D (2017) Impact of drought stress on specialised metabolism:  
657 Biosynthesis and the expression of monoterpene synthases in sage (*Salvia officinalis*).  
658 Phytochemistry 141:20-26

659 Raut JS, Karuppayil SM (2014) A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils. Ind Crops  
660 Prod 62:250-264

661 Rauter AP, Dias C, Martins A, Branco I, Neng NR, Nogueira JM, Goulart M, Silva FVM, Justino J,  
662 Trevitt C, Waltho JP (2012) Non-toxic *Salvia sclareoides* Brot. extracts as a source of functional  
663 food ingredients: phenolic profile, antioxidant activity and prion binding properties. Food Chem  
664 132:1930-1935

665 Rinnan R, Steinke M, McGenity T, Loreto F 2014. Plant volatiles in extreme terrestrial and marine  
666 environments. Plant Cell Env 37:1776-1789

667 Ruberto G, Baratta MT (2000) Antioxidant activity of selected essential oil components in two lipid  
668 model systems. Food Chem 69:167-174

669 Schachtman DP, Goodger JQD (2008) Chemical root to shoot signaling under drought. Trends Plant Sci  
670 13:281–287

671 Scholander PF, Hammel HT, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingsen EA (1965) Sap pressure in vascular plants.  
672 Negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 148:339–346

673 Selmar D, Kleinwachter M (2013) Influencing the product quality by deliberately applying drought  
674 stress during the cultivation of medicinal plants. Ind Crops Prod 42:558-666

675 Singleton VL, Rossi JAJ (1965) Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic–phosphotungstic  
676 acid reagent. Amer J Enol Viticol 16:144–158

677 Skirycz A, Inzé D (2010) More from less: plant growth under limited water. Curr Opin Biotech 21\_197-  
678 203

679 Steinbrecher F, Hauff K, Hakola H, Rössler J (1999) A revised parameterization for emission modeling  
680 of isoprenoids for boreal plants. In: Laurilla T, Lindfors V (Eds.), Biogenic VOC Emissions and  
681 Phytochemistry in the Boreal Regions of Europe Air Pollution Research Report 70. Commission  
682 of European Communities. Luxembourg, pp. 29-43

683 Swigar AA, Silverstein RM (1981) Monoterpenes. Aldrich Chem. Comp., Milwaukee

684 Szöllösi R, Szöllösi Varga I (2002) Total antioxidant power in some species of Labiatae (adaptation of  
685 FRAP method). Acta Biol Szegediensis 46:125-127

686 Turtola S, Manninen A, Rikal R, Kainulainen P (2003) Drought stress alters the concentration of wood  
687 terpenoids in scots pine and Norway spruce seedling. J Chem Ecol 29:1981-1995



- 688 Viera HJ, Bergamaschi H, Angelocci LR, Libardi PL (1991) Performance of two bean cultivars under  
689 two water availability regimes. II. Stomatal resistance to vapour diffusion, transpiration flux  
690 density and water potential in the plant (in Portugal) *Pesquisa Agropecu Bras* 9:1035–1045
- 691 Zhao J, Davis LC, Verpoorte R (2005) Elicitor signal transduction leading to production of plant  
692 secondary metabolites. *Biotech Adv* 23:283-333

693 **Tables**694 **Table 1**

695 Aerial and root dry mass accumulation and root:aerial (R:A) ratio of *Salvia sinaloensis* plants under full  
 696 irrigation (100% container capacity, CC), half irrigation (50% CC), or no irrigation (0% CC). In brackets  
 697 are reported the percentage variations referred to controls.

Water regime	Dry mass accumulation (gDW/plant)			R:A ratio
	Total	Aerial part	Root	
100% CC	3.57a (100%)	1.06a (100%)	2.51a (100%)	2.37b (100%)
50% CC	3.75a (105%)	0.88ab (83%)	2.87a (114%)	3.26a (137%)
0% CC	1.59b (44%)	0.77b (73%)	0.82b (33%)	1.06c (45%)
<i>P</i>	**	*	**	*

698 The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (\*= $P<0.05$ , \*\*= $P<0.001$ , ns=not significant). Mean values showing the same letter are not  
 699 statistically different at  $P\leq 0.05$  according to the REGW-F test.

700

701 **Table 2**

702 Total amount (mg/plantFW) of phenols, flavonoids and antioxidant activity at the end of the experiment  
 703 in *Salvia sinaloensis* plants under full irrigation (100% container capacity, CC), half irrigation (50%  
 704 CC), or no irrigation (0% CC). In brackets are reported the percentage variations referred to controls.

Water regime	Total amount (mg/plantFW)		
	Total phenols	Flavonoids	Antioxidant activity
100% CC	16.44 b (100%)	6.42 a (100%)	106.37 b (100%)
50% CC	22.85 a (139%)	6.47 a (101%)	125.98 a (118%)
0% CC	10.43 c (63%)	2.73 b (43%)	20.86 c (20%)
<i>P</i>	**	*	*

705 The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (\*= $P<0.05$ , \*\*= $P<0.001$ , ns=not significant). Mean values showing the same letter are not  
 706 statistically different at  $P\leq 0.05$  according to the REGW-F test.

707 **Figure captions**

708 **Fig. 1** Dynamics of leaf water potential (LWP) of *Salvia sinaloensis* plants treated with full irrigation  
709 (100% container capacity, CC - black line), half irrigation (50% CC - dark grey line), or no irrigation  
710 (0% CC - light grey line). Means superscripted by the same letter do not differ significantly, according  
711 to REGW-F post-hoc test (NS = non significant).

712

713 **Fig. 2** Gas exchange (internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, C<sub>i</sub> - A; transpiration rate, E - B; stomatal conductance,  
714 g<sub>s</sub> - C; net photosynthetic rate, A - D) and dynamic of the instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE, A/E  
715 - E) measured on *Salvia sinaloensis* plants treated with full irrigation (100% container capacity, 100%  
716 CC - black line), half irrigation (50% CC - dark grey line), or no irrigation (0% CC - light grey line).  
717 Mean values showing the same letter are not statistically different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the REGW-F  
718 post-hoc test. The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (ns=non significant,  
719 \*= $P < 0.05$ ) was evaluated.

720

721 **Fig. 3.** Average values of growth index (G.I., cm<sup>3</sup>) during the experiment. *S. sinaloensis* plants were  
722 treated with three irrigation regimes: full irrigation (100% container capacity, 100% CC, black line), half  
723 irrigation (50% CC, dark grey line), or no irrigation (0% CC, light grey line). Means superscripted by  
724 the same letter do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = non significant; \*  $P < 0.05$ ;  
725 \*\*  $P < 0.001$ ).

726

727 **Fig. 4** Leaf total phenols (A) and flavonoids (B), and antioxidant activity (C) of *Salvia sinaloensis* plants  
728 under control condition (black line, 100% container capacity, CC), moderate drought stress (dark grey  
729 line, 50% CC), and severe drought stress (light grey, 0 % CC). Means superscripted by the same letter  
730 do not differ significantly, according to REGW-F test (NS = not significant; \*  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P < 0.001$ ).

## **Ecophysiological and phytochemical responses of *Salvia sinaloensis* Fern. to drought stress**

**Matteo Caser<sup>1\*</sup>, Francesca D'Angiolillo<sup>2</sup>, Walter Chitarra<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Claudio Lovisolo<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Ruffoni<sup>3</sup>, Luisa Pistelli<sup>4</sup>, Laura Pistelli<sup>2</sup>, Valentina Scariot<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture, Food, and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124, Pisa, Italy.

<sup>3</sup>CREA-OF, Ornamental Species Research Unit, Corso degli Inglesi 508, 18038, Sanremo (IM), Italy.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, Via Bonanno 33, 56126, Pisa, Italy.

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection, National Research Council (IPSP-CNR), Strada delle Cacce 73, 10135, Torino (TO), Italy.

<sup>6</sup>CREA-VE, Viticulture and Enology Research Centre, Viale XXVIII Aprile 26, 31015, Conegliano (TV), Italy.

\*Corresponding author: Matteo Caser, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy, tel. +390116708935; fax +390116708798; mail: [matteo.caser@unito.it](mailto:matteo.caser@unito.it)

## Supplementary files

**Supplementary Table 1.** Chlorophyll (*a + b*), total carotenoid (Car) and their rate (Chl:Car) measured on *Salvia sinaloensis* plants under full irrigation (100% container capacity, CC), half irrigation (50% CC), and no irrigation (0% CC).

		Days										
		0	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28	32	34
Chl <i>a + b</i> (mg/g FW)	100% CC	1.40	1.49	1.43	1.38	1.35	1.50a	1.32a	1.36	1.42	1.43	1.45
	50% CC	1.40	1.43	1.25	1.27	1.17	1.15b	1.15b	1.16	1.17	1.24	1.30
	0% CC	1.40	1.39	1.44	1.42	1.38	1.13b	1.07b	-	-	-	-
	<i>P</i>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	*	*	*	*	*
Car (mg/g FW)	100% CC	0.23	0.29	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.26
	50% CC	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.20	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.24	0.24	0.23
	0% CC	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.17	0.19	-	-	-	-
	<i>P</i>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Chl:Car	100% CC	6.20	5.21	5.92	5.51	5.43	7.07	5.17	5.63	5.41	6.12	5.61
	50% CC	6.20	6.54	5.86	5.24	5.97	7.35	6.45	6.25	4.97	5.15	5.76
	0% CC	6.20	5.45	5.96	6.16	5.89	6.48	5.63	-	-	-	-
	<i>P</i>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (\*= $P < 0.05$ , ns=not significant). Mean values showing the same letter are not statistically different at  $P \leq 0.05$  according to the REGW-F test.

**Supplementary Table 2.** Chemical composition (%) of volatiles emitted from *Salvia sinaloensis* plants after full irrigation (100% CC), half irrigation (50% CC) or no irrigation (0% CC). All constituents are ordered on the basis of their linear retention index (LRI).

Category	Constituents	LRI	100% CC	50% CC	0% CC
mh	$\alpha$ -Pinene	939	4.7	6.5	2.0
mh	Camphene	953	2.6	6.6	2.6
mh	$\beta$ -Pinene	980	12.0	16.7	7.5
mh	Myrcene	991	0.0	0.5	1.9
mh	Limonene	1031	4.4	3.3	2.3
mh	( <i>Z</i> )- $\beta$ -Ocimene	1040	0.0	1.9	0.5
mh	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -Ocimene	1050	0.0	0.9	4.6
mh	Terpinolene	1088	0.5	0.7	0.6
om	<i>Trans</i> -sabinene hydrate	1097	0.0	0.5	0.7
om	Linalool	1098	0.0	0.0	0.6
nt	1-octen-3-yl-acetate	1110	0.0	0.1	4.3
om	$\alpha$ -Campholenal	1125	0.0	0.0	0.4
om	Camphor	1143	8.3	12.9	20.2

om	Borneol	1165	1.4	2.2	0.7
om	$\alpha$ -Terpineol	1189	0.0	0.5	0.7
nt	( <i>N</i> )-decanal	1204	0.0	0.1	0.6
om	Isobornyl acetate	1285	0.0	0.1	0.7
sh	$\delta$ -Elemene	1339	1.4	0.6	0.2
sh	$\alpha$ -Copaene	1376	2.0	1.0	0.7
ac-13	( <i>E</i> )- $\alpha$ -damascenone	1380	0.7	0.3	1.0
sh	$\beta$ -Elemene	1391	1.7	1.7	0.9
sh	Cyperene	1398	0.6	0.5	0.6
sh	$\beta$ -Caryophyllene	1418	16.0	12.4	24.2
sh	$\beta$ -Copaene	1429	1.1	1.1	0.3
sh	$\beta$ -Gurjunene	1432	0.0	0.5	0.4
sh	$\alpha$ -Guaiene	1439	1.7	0.8	0.3
sh	$\alpha$ -Humulene	1454	3.9	3.5	6.5
sh	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -Farnesene	1458	1.3	2.7	1.0
sh	$\alpha$ -Himachalene	1476	0.0	0.4	0.1
sh	$\gamma$ -Muurolene	1477	0.0	0.0	0.2
sh	Germacrene-D	1480	22.0	12.1	5.0
sh	$\alpha$ -Selinene	1485	1.5	2.5	0.8
sh	Bicyclogermacrene	1494	4.3	1.4	0.2
sh	Germacrene-A	1503	0.0	0.3	0.2
sh	7-epi- $\alpha$ -selinene	1517	0.0	1.3	0.7
sh	$\delta$ -Cadinene	1524	0.0	0.0	0.4
so	Caryophyllene oxide	1581	0.0	0.0	0.5
so	$\alpha$ -Cadinol	1653	0.0	0.0	0.3
<b>Total</b>		<b>92.1</b>	<b>96.6</b>	<b>95.4</b>	
<b>Non Terpene Derivates (nt, %)</b>		<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>4.9</b>	
<b>Monoterpene Hydrocarbons (mh, %)</b>		<b>24.2</b>	<b>37.1</b>	<b>22.0</b>	
<b>Oxygenated Monoterpene (om, %)</b>		<b>9.7</b>	<b>16.2</b>	<b>23.6</b>	
<b>Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons (sh, %)</b>		<b>57.5</b>	<b>42.8</b>	<b>42.7</b>	
<b>Oxygenated Sesquiterpene (os, %)</b>		<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>	
<b>Apocarotenoids (ac, %)</b>		<b>0.7</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	

\*All the constituents identified belong to non terpene derivates (nt), monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh), oxygenated monoterpene (om), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh), oxygenated sesquiterpene (os), and apocarotenoids (ac).

**Supplementary Table 3.** Effect of full irrigation (100% CC), half irrigation (50% CC) or no irrigation (0% CC) on the essential oil constituents of *Salvia sinaloensis* plants. Constituents are ordered on the basis of their linear retention index (LRI).

Category *	Constituents	LRI	100% CC	50% CC	0% CC
nt	Hexenal	800	0.2	1.0	0.0

nt	( <i>E</i> )-3-hexen-1-ol	851	0.2	0.5	0.0
mh	$\alpha$ -Pinene	939	0.0	0.5	0.1
mh	Camphene	953	0.6	3.6	0.5
mh	Sabinene	976	0.4	1.2	1.0
nt	3-Octanone	988	0.0	0.2	0.0
mh	Myrcene	991	0.0	0.3	0.1
nt	3-Octanol	993	0.0	0.0	0.1
mh	Limonene	1031	0.0	0.1	0.1
om	1,8-Cineole	1033	0.7	0.2	0.1
mh	$\gamma$ -Terpinene	1062	0.2	0.2	0.0
om	<i>Cis</i> -sabinene hydrate	1068	0.0	0.0	0.4
nt	( <i>N</i> )-octanol	1070	0.0	0.0	0.0
om	<i>Trans</i> -linalol oxide (furanoid)	1074	0.2	0.2	0.2
om-no	Camphenilone	1083	0.2	0.3	0.2
mh	Terpinolene	1088	0.2	0.0	0.0
om	Linalool	1098	0.2	0.2	0.7
nt	1-octen-3-yl acetate	1110	0.5	1.8	1.8
om	$\alpha$ -Campholenal	1125	0.3	0.4	0.9
om	<i>Trans</i> -pinocarveol	1142	0.6	1.4	1.7
om	Camphor	1143	36.5	62.8	38.7
om	Isoborneol	1156	0.0	0.0	0.2
om	Pinocarpone	1162	0.5	0.8	1.1
om	Borneol	1165	7.5	4.7	5.1
om	4-Terpineol	1177	1.3	1.6	1.0
om	Cymen8-ol-para	1187	0.2	0.0	0.2
om	$\alpha$ -Terpineol	1189	0.5	0.7	0.6
om	Myrtenal	1193	1.4	1.5	2.1
om	Verbenone	1217	0.0	0.1	0.2
om	<i>Trans</i> -carveol	1217	0.0	0.0	0.2
om	Isobornyl formate	1233	0.6	0.2	0.3
om	Carvone	1242	0.0	0.0	0.2
om	Perilla aldehyde	1271	0.0	0.0	0.1
om	Isobornyl acetate	1285	1.0	1.0	0.9
nt	2-undecanone	1296	0.1	0.0	0.0
nt	( <i>N</i> )-tridecene	1292	0.1	0.0	0.0
om	$\alpha$ -Terpenyl acetate	1352	0.0	1.1	0.0
nt	Undecanol-N	1374	0.3	0.0	0.1
sh	$\beta$ -Bourbonene	1384	0.3	0.3	0.0
ac-13	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -damascenone	1380	0.3	0.0	0.2
sh	Cyperene	1398	0.2	0.0	0.1
sh	$\alpha$ -Gurujene	1409	0.0	0.0	0.9

sh	$\beta$ -Caryophyllene	1418	1.5	5.7	8.3
sh	$\beta$ -Gurjunene	1432	0.5	0.0	0.0
sh	$\alpha$ -Guaiene	1439	0.5	0.0	0.0
sh	Aromadendrene	1439	0.3	0.0	0.9
sh	$\alpha$ -Humulene	1454	0.2	0.0	0.1
ac-12	Geranylacetone	1453	0.0	0.0	0.2
sh	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -farnesene	1458	0.0	0.0	0.2
sh	$\gamma$ -Himachalene	1476	0.2	0.0	0.0
sh	Germacrene-D	1480	0.0	0.3	0.0
sh	$\beta$ -Selinene	1485	0.8	0.0	0.6
sh	<i>Cis</i> - $\beta$ -guaiene	1490	0.2	0.0	0.1
sh	Bicyclogermacrene	1494	0.4	0.0	0.0
sh	Cuparene	1502	1.2	0.3	0.2
sh	7-epi- $\alpha$ -selinene	1517	1.0	0.2	0.5
sh	$\delta$ -Cadinene	1524	1.3	0.1	0.3
sh	$\alpha$ -Calacorene	1542	0.3	0.0	0.0
sh	Selina-3,7(11) diene	1542	0.0	0.0	0.2
os	Elemol	1549	0.3	0.2	0.8
os	Longicamphenylone	1559	0.3	0.4	0.2
os	<i>Trans</i> -nerolidol	1564	0.4	0.0	0.2
os	Spathulenol	1576	1.9	0.5	1.6
os	Caryophyllene oxide	1581	9.8	3.0	10.4
os	<i>Cis</i> - $\beta$ -elemenone	1594	0.4	0.1	0.2
os	Humulene oxide	1606	0.8	0.3	1.3
os	1,10-di-epi-cubenol	1614	1.5	0.3	1.0
os	$\alpha$ -Acorenol/g-Eudesmol	1630	0.6	0.0	0.7
os	$\beta$ -Acorenol	1634	0.3	0.0	0.1
os	Epi- $\alpha$ -cadinol	1640	0.8	0.0	0.3
os	$\beta$ -Eudesmol	1649	0.2	0.0	0.2
os	$\alpha$ -Cadinol	1653	9.1	1.4	6.2
os	14-hydroxy-9-epi-E-caryophyllene	1664	1.6	0.0	1.6
os	<i>Cis</i> -14-nor-muurolen 5-en 4-one	1682	0.9	0.1	1.4
os	( <i>E,E</i> )-Farnesol	1722	0.2	0.0	0.2
os	14-oxy-a-muurolene-	1764	0.2	0.0	0.2
os	( <i>Z</i> )- $\alpha$ -santalol acetate	1786	0.0	0.0	0.4
nt	( <i>N</i> )-octadecane	1800	1.5	0.0	0.1
<b>Total</b>			<b>94.0</b>	<b>99.6</b>	<b>98.8</b>
<b>Non Terpene Derivates (nt,%)</b>			<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>
<b>Monoterpene Hydrocarbons (mh, %)</b>			<b>1.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>
<b>Oxygenated Monoterpene (om, %)</b>			<b>51.5</b>	<b>77.1</b>	<b>54.9</b>
<b>Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons (sh, %)</b>			<b>8.8</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>12.4</b>



<b>Oxygenated Sesquiterpene (os, %)</b>	<b>29.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>26.9</b>
<b>Apocarotenoids (ac,%)</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>

---

\*All the constituents belong to non terpene derivates (nt), monoterpene hydrocarbons (mh), oxygenated monoterpene (om), sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (sh), oxygenated sesquiterpene (os), and apocarotenoids (ac).