Features of inflammatory bowel disease followed in a second level centre in Northern Italy

Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone,^{1*i} Daniele Simondi,²ⁱ Aldo Manca,² Brunello Demarchi,² Raffaella Pulitanò,² Marco Astegiano,¹ Rinaldo Pellicano³

¹Gastroenterology-U, Department of General and Specialist Medicine, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Molinette Hospital, Turin, Italy

²Department of Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy, Azienda Ospedaliera S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, Italy

³Unit of Gastroenterology, Molinette Hospital, Turin, Italy

¹ Equally contributed to this work

Conflicts of interest: none to declare.

Corresponding author: Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone - Gastroenterology-U, Department of General and Specialist Medicine, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Molinette Hospital, Turin, Italy, C.so Bramante 88 - 10126 Torino – Italy. E-mail: davrib_1998@yahoo.com Tel: +390116335208, Fax: +390116336752.

Key words: Anti-TNF - Crohn's disease - Mesalazine - Extraintestinal manifestations - Montreal -

Perianal disease - Smoking - Sex - Surgery - Ulcerative Colitis

TO THE EDITOR: Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are chronic heterogeneous disorders of the bowel resulting from environmental precipitants in genetically susceptible individuals and are distinguished in two main phenotypes, Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). Recent publications pin-pointed modern understanding of the pathogenesis of UC and CD, wherein the former is conceived as the result of a prevalently increased membrane permeability, as opposed to the latter, which is thought of as an immune deficiency state. This could justify the fact that patients with IBDs frequently have extraintestinal manifestations including IBD-associated arthritis, sacroiliitis and ankylosing spondylitis. Furthermore, it is now accepted that commensal enteric bacteria provide the constant antigenic stimulation that activates pathogenic T cells to cause chronic intestinal injury.

The relevance of IBD is witnessed by the data published in the past two decades, that reported their increasing incidence not only in Western Countries but also in Asia, compared with previous data.⁴ Despite several progresses obtained in the medical treatment of IBD, difficulties persist in their management, both in the acute and in the chronic phases.

We analyzed the charts of the outpatient clinic of Gastroenterology of the S. Croce and Carle Hospital of Cuneo, a second level facility with a catchment area of 590309 people. We focalized on 155 IBD patients, 93 (60%) with UC, 59 (38.1%) with CD, 3 (1.9%) with IBD unclassified (IBDU). The prevalence of UC was significantly higher than that of CD (P = 0.006). Among patients affected by UC 29 were females (31.2%) and 64 males (68.8%), with a statistically significant difference (P = 0.0004); among patients affected by CD there were 30 females (50.8%) and 29 males (49.2%) (P = 1). The geometric mean of the age at diagnosis, in UC patients, was 39.8 years (range 10-77); in CD patients the arithmetic mean of the age at diagnosis was 41.1 years (range 17–76) (P = 0.53). Among UC patients 8 (8.6%) were active smokers while 85 (91.4%) were non smokers or ex-smokers; among CD patients 15 (25.4%) were active smokers while 44 (74.6%) were non smokers or ex-smokers (P =

0.001). Based on the Montreal classification, UC patients were divided as follows: 12 (12.9%) with proctitis (E1), 38 (40.9%) with left-sided colitis (E2), 43 (46.2%) with extensive colitis (E3). Considering CD patients they were divided as follows: 21 (35.6%) with ileal (L1) location, 13 (22%) with colonic (L2), 23 (39%) with ileocolonic (L3), and 2 (3.4%) with isolated upper disease (L4).

A history of perianal disease was present in 11 (18.6%) CD patients, in 2 (2.2%) UC patients and none IBDU patient. This difference was significantly higher in case of CD (P = 0.001). Considering the extraintestinal manifestations among patients with UC, 2 (2.2%) suffered from peripheral spondyloarthropathy, 2 (2.2%) from nodosum erythema, 1 (1.1%) from primary sclerosing cholangitis. Among patients with CD, 2 (3.3%) suffered from axial spondyloarthropathy, 2 (3.3%) from nodosum erythema, 1 (1.7%) from peripheral spondyloarthropathy. No extraintestinal manifestations were found among patients with IBDU. There was no statistical difference between UC and CD patients (P = 0.79). In the UC group, 7 patients (7.5%) underwent colectomy while in CD group 19 (35.8%) patients underwent bowel resection. Despite disputed effectiveness is present in literature about it efficacy, P = 0.79 in real practice 76.3% of patients affected by CD are treated with (at least) mesalazine.

Considering treatment with azathioprine, 18 UC patients (12.4%) *versus* 29 CD patients (49.2%) were taking or have already taken this drug. Considering treatment with biologic therapies, 3 UC patients (3.2%) were taking or have already taken adalimumab and 13 (14%) infliximab; among CD patients, 10 (16.9%) were taking or have already taken adalimumab and 9 (15.3%) infliximab.

In conclusion in our centre UC is more prevalent than CD, males are more frequently affected than females in case of UC, active smokers are more prevalent in CD, the prevalence of perianal disease in CD patients is 18.6%, mesalazine is still taken by 76.3% of CD patients, more CD patients take immunosuppressant or adalimumab than UC patients.

References

- 1. Ribaldone DG, Fagoonee S, Astegiano M, De Angelis C, Smedile A, Caviglia GP *et al.* Coxib's Safety in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Meta-analysis. Pain Physician 2015;18:599-607.
- 2. Actis GC, Pellicano R. The pathologic galaxy modulating the genotype and phenotype of inflammatory bowel disease: co-morbidity, contiguity, and genetic and epi-genetic factors.

 Minerva Med 2016;107:401-12.
- 3. Catanzaro R, Anzalone MG, Calabrese F, Milazzo M, Capuana ML, Italia A *et al*. The gut microbiota and its correlations with the central nervous system disorders. Panminerva Med 2015;57:127-43.
- 4. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, Ghali WA, Barkema HW, Kaplan GG. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology 2012;142:46–54.e42.
- 5. Gomollón F, Dignass A, Annese V, Tilg H, Van Assche G, Lindsay JO *et al.* 3rd European Evidence-based Consensus on the Diagnosis and Management of Crohn's Disease 2016: Part 1: Diagnosis and Medical Management. J Crohns Colitis 2017;11:3-25.
- 6. Hanauer SB, Stromberg U. Oral Pentasa in the treatment of active Crohn's disease: A metaanalysis of double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004;2:379-88.