



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Matteo Caser, Claudio Lovisolo, Valentina Scariot

The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant production

Plant Growth Regulation, 2017, 1-14, DOI 10.1007/s10725-017-0301-4

The publisher's version is available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10725-017-0301-4

When citing, please refer to the published version.

This full text was downloaded from iris-Aperto: https://iris.unito.it/

iris-AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

1 The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted 2 Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant 3 production. Matteo Caser^{1*}, Claudio Lovisolo¹, Valentina Scariot¹ 4 5 6 ¹Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini, 7 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy 8 *Corresponding author: Matteo Caser, ¹Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, 9 University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini, 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy; +390116708935; 10 11 matteo.caser@unito.it

13 Abstract

14 Efficient irrigation practices are required to reduce the amount of water used. In this study, the effects 15 of different irrigation regimes on changes in growth, ecophysiology and ornamental traits of potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants were investigated. Three experiments were carried out. In the first, 16 17 the plants were either fully irrigated (100% of container capacity) or not. In the second, plants were 18 watered to full irrigation (control), to 50% of the control (moderate water stress), to 25% of the control 19 (severe water stress), or not irrigated and followed by a rehydration phase. Both experiments were 20 conducted under controlled growth conditions. The third experiment was performed under common 21 nursery conditions in an unheated and shaded greenhouse where plants were either irrigated with 22 common irrigation practices (control), or with 66% of the control amount (moderate water stress), or 23 with 33% of the control (severe water stress). In general, the percentage of senescent plants, the 24 growth index, the number of leaves, and the aerial fresh and the dry weight were not affected by 25 moderate water stress treatments. As expected, increasing water stress resulted in a general decrease 26 in all studied gas exchange parameters. However, stressed plants were more efficient in using water 27 than control plants, suggesting that stomata closed to cope with drought conditions without damaging 28 photosynthesis events. The number of fully opened flowers during the growing season was highest 29 in both control and moderately water stressed plants. In conclusion, moderate, but not severe, water 30 stress could be imposed in Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' pot production to reduce the water consumption, 31 still maintaining plant ecophysiological performances and ornamental quality.

32

Keywords Flower, Gas exchange parameters, Instantaneous water use efficiency, Midday leaf water
 potentials, Plant stress, Primrose

36 Introduction

37 Managing global water resources is one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century. In many 38 areas of the world there is a considerable pressure to produce crops more efficiently by reducing the 39 water use (Fulcher et al. 2016). In floriculture, 100-350 L of water are needed to produce 1 kg of 40 plant dry matter, with differences related to species, variety, cultivation system, and plant growing 41 season (Fornes et al. 2007). Surprisingly, irrigation needs of ornamental pot plants have not been 42 much investigated, although they constitute a major part of horticultural production (Henson et al. 43 2006). In the last decades, the interest in irrigation procedures was mainly centered on fruit and 44 vegetable crops (Goldhamer and Beede 2004; Mo et al. 2016; Pérez-Jiménez et al. 2016).

45 In flower farming, the irrigation is generally based on personal experience and is rarely 46 managed to match the effective water needs (Grant et al. 2012). Water and nutrients are often applied 47 in excess, resulting in water wastage and environmental pollution due to the leaching of fertilisers 48 and herbicides (ARPAT 2007). The controlled application of the water may be used in potted plants 49 also to improve quality (Cameron et al. 2006), but precise scheduling is required to minimise the risk 50 of excessive drying of the substrate. In this sense, different works have demonstrated that the plant 51 quality decreases as the severity of water deficit increases (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2009; Bolla et al. 52 2010; Bernal et al. 2011; Caser et al. 2012). Plant responses to drought are multiple and 53 interconnected (Efeoğlu et al. 2009; Ali et al. 2017) and their capacities to adapt to this stress may 54 vary considerably within genera and species (Sánchez-Blanco et al. 2002; Torrecillas et al. 2003). 55 Water scarcity can delay and reduce the flowering and the new leaves sizes and the quality (Sanchez-56 Blanco et al., 2002; Augé et al., 2003) as a physiological consequence to drought (Davies et al. 2002; 57 Zollinger et al. 2006; Alvarez et al. 2009; Caser et al. 2016). Thus, understanding morphological and 58 physiological responses of plants to water management is critical for optimizing a sustainable high-59 quality production without compromising the economic value of the crop (Cameron et al. 2006; 60 Franco et al. 2006).

61 Primula vulgaris (primrose, syn. P. acaulis (L.) Hill) is a perennial herbaceous native species 62 of western and southern Europe, northwest Africa, and southwest Asia, frequently cultivated as a 63 garden or potted flowering plant all around the world. Effect of water stress on morphology and 64 physiology of Primula species have been reported only in P. palinuri (Dietz and Heber 1983) and in 65 *P. veris* (Whale 1984) as high tolerant species. A high tolerance to adverse conditions, namely strong light intensity (photosynthetically active radiation > 1500 μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹) and heat stress at 38°C and 66 67 42°C was assessed within the genus by Liu et al. (2006) and Ceriani et al. (2009) and Hu et al. (2010). 68 Cloned small heat shock proteins (sHSPs) gene, PfHSP17.1, was obtained by P. forrestii plants 69 exposed to thermal stress (42 °C for 2 h) and used to higher resistance to salt and drought in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana plants (Zhang et al. 2013). Moreover, further work is needed to characterize and
 quantify the responses to water shortage.

The present research investigated the effects of different irrigation regimes on changes in the growth, the physiology and the ornamental traits of *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy', both under controlled growth conditions and common greenhouse practices. Such knowledge might help to optimise water use in primrose production and contribute to develop irrigation protocols for potted ornamental plants.

76

77 Materials and methods

78 Plant material

Plants of *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' were provided in November 2013 by Planta s.s. (Bressanone, Bolzano, Italy) and immediately potted into vases of 11 cm in diameter, filled with a mixture of peat, conifer bark and clay (Turco Silvestro TS2, Albenga, Italy), and amended with 2 g L⁻¹ of Osmocote Plus (14:13:13 N, P, K plus microelements). Their cultivation occurred till April 2014 in an unheated and shaded high tunnel at the Floricoltura Lagomarsino nursery (Genova, Italy) (44°23'06.5''N, 9°02'47.6''E). The weather conditions during greenhouse cultivation are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Plants were used in three different experiments as described below.

86

87 Experiment 1

88 Plants at the foliar period were transferred and maintained at the Department of Agriculture, Forest 89 and Food Sciences of the University of Torino (Grugliasco, Italy) (45°03'59.73''N, 7°35'24.72''E) 90 in a growth chamber at 20 °C, 60% of relative humidity and 16 h of photoperiod, with a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 157 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at the top of the canopy, provided by 91 high pressure sodium lamps. The experimental design was a split-plot design with two treatments and 92 93 three replications per treatment. Thirty-six plants were randomly divided in two groups (18 plants 94 each) and subjected to fully irrigation (100% of container capacity), while the others were not 95 irrigated. The water content was kept constant throughout the experiment. Gravimetric 96 determinations of the water content was made by weighing soil samples before and after oven-drying 97 to constant weight at 80 °C for one week. These values were used to calibrate all measurements of 98 the moisture content of the substrate in the container. The container capacity was determined 48 h 99 after irrigation and was calculated according to the equation of Paquin and Mehuys (1980). The soil 100 moisture levels were maintained by manual irrigation and checked by weighing individual container 101 every two days. This experiment lasted until the not irrigated plants reached the complete leaf turgor 102 loss. These lasts were then recovered by the application of fully irrigation for one week.

104 Experiment 2

105 Plants at the beginning of the blooming stage were cultivated under the same growth conditions of 106 the Experiment 1 and divided into four lots. The experimental design was a split-plot design with four 107 treatments and three replications per treatment. Seventy-two plants were randomly divided in four 108 groups (18 plants each) and subjected to fully irrigation (100% of container capacity) as control 109 treatment, 50% of the control (moderate water stress), 25% of the control (severe water stress) or no 110 irrigation till the complete leaf turgor loss. To evaluate their recovery attitude, these lasts were irrigated as control after three days from the complete leaf turgor loss. The gravimetric determinations 111 112 of water content was conducted as described in Experiment 1.

113

114 Experiment 3

115 The experimental design was a split-plot design with three treatments and three replications per 116 treatment. One hundred and eighty plants at the beginning of the foliar period were randomly divided 117 in into three lots (60 plants each) and irrigated using a drip irrigation system under common nursery 118 conditions at the Floricoltura Lagomarsino nursery (Genova, Italy). In the common irrigation practice, 119 used as control treatment (100% of container capacity), plants were watered with three emitters per plant for a total of 4.8241 h⁻¹ so that 15% (v/v) of the applied water was leached. Plants subjected to 120 121 moderate water stress were watered with two emitters (66% of the control) and plants subjected to 122 severe water stress with one emitter (33% of the control). The gravimetric determinations of water 123 content was conducted as previously described. This experiment lasted the entire growing season 124 from November 2013 to April 2014.

125

126 Measurements

127 Growth and ornamental quality evaluation

The described parameters were periodically measured over the growing season on the basis of the 128 129 specific experiment. Height and diameter of each plant per treatment were measured to calculate the growth index (GI; $\Pi \propto \{ [(D'+D'')/2]/2 \}^2 \propto H$, where D' is the widest width, D'' is the perpendicular 130 131 width and H is the height; Hidalgo and Harkess 2002). The number of leaves per plant and their length 132 and width were measured to assess growth variation leaf area (k x length x width; k = 0.75; Ruget et 133 al. 1996). The relative quantity of the chlorophyll present in leaf tissue was measured on four leaves of each plant per treatment using the Chlorophyll Meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., 134 Osaka, Japan). At the end of the experiments, six plants per treatment were harvested and the aerial 135 part was collected. After recording the fresh biomass (FW), the plant aerial parts were oven-dried at 136 137 65 °C for one week and the dry biomass (DW) was weighted. The DW:FW ratio was then calculated.

- The The root quality was visually evaluated and rated using five classes of roots covering (0=0%, 139 1 = 1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, 4 = 76-100% of vase surface; Larcher et al. 2011).
- 140 To assess the ornamental quality, the leaf damage was visually evaluated and rated using five classes (visual damage class: 0 = 0%; 1 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 76-100% leaf area; 141 142 Caser et al. 2013). The number of flowered plants, the number of completely opened flowers per plant 143 and their diameter, and the height of peduncles were determined throughout the experiments. The 144 petal color (L^* , a^* , b^* space) was measured on four flowers of six plants per treatment at the beginning and at the end of the blooming stage using a Spectrophotometer CM-2600 (Konica Minolta 145 146 Sensing Inc., Osaka, Japan). Chroma (C^*) and hue angle (h°) was calculated according to Onozaky 147 et al (1999) and Scariot et al (2008).
- 148

149 Ecophysiological measurements

150 Based on the specific experiment, one hour before the beginning of the physiological measurements 151 (10 - 12 a.m.), plants were transferred in the lab for the adaptation to the ambient light intensity, the 152 temperature and the relative humidity. Midday leaf water potentials (MLWP, MPa) were determined 153 in three adult leaves of six plants per treatment using a Scholander-type pressure chamber (Soil 154 Moisture Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) (Scholander et al. 1965). The measurement of the 155 internal CO₂ concentration (C_i), the transpiration rate (E), the stomatal conductance (GH_20), and the 156 net photosynthetic rate (A) were performed in three adult leaves of six plants per treatment, using a 157 portable infrared gas analyzer ADC-LCPro+ (The Analytical Development Company Ltd, 158 Hoddesdon, UK). The instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as the ratio between A and E. Leaves were clamped in the leaf chamber, where the light source was set at 1200 µmol 159 photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ and the temperature (25 °C) kept constant. The environmental concentration of CO₂ 160 (450-470 ppm) and the vapour pressure deficit (about 2.3 kPa) were maintained during the 161 162 experiments.

163

164 Statistical analysis

An arcsine transformation was performed on all percent incidence data before statistical analysis in order to improve homogeneity of variance. All the measured and the derived data were then subjected to the homogeneity of the variances and then post-hoc tested using Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch-F test (REGW-F). The critical value for statistical significance was P < 0.05. All the data were computed by means of the SPSS statistical package (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

170

171 **Results and discussion**

172 Experiment 1

173 The changes in physiological traits and growth were investigated in fully or not irrigated plants to 174 characterize the responses to water stress and to determine the minimal water needs of P. vulgaris 175 'Heidy'. Significant differences in midday leaf water potential between treatments were observed 176 starting from the day 2 in not irrigated plants with a constant decrease till day 8, followed by a strong 177 decline to the complete loss of the leaf turgor which occurred at day 10 (MLWP = -0.71 MPa in not 178 irrigated plants, Figure 1A). Similarly, a general decrease was observed in all the studied gas 179 exchange traits (Figure 1B-C-D-E). Stomatal limitation is the first major event that occurs in response 180 to the drought stress (Grassi and Magnani 2005). In fact, the stomatal conductance is extremely 181 sensitive to physiological and environmental factors (e.g. leaf water status). In drought conditions, 182 water deficit stress leads to a progressive limitation of photosynthesis, which is a consequence of an 183 alteration in carbon assimilation (Siddique et al. 2016). The opening and closing of stomata is 184 regulated by changes in turgor pressure in the guard cells that are present in epidermis and, hence, this process protects plants from the dehydration and death during fluctuating environmental 185 186 conditions. Hence, a complex set of factors is involved in stomatal response to drought stress (Lawlor 187 2002). Here, a stomatal limitation to photosynthesis occurred. In fact, as stomatal conductance (Figure 188 1B) closes starting from day 2, the amount of carbon dioxide (Figure 1C) present in mesophyll spaces 189 in leaves also decreases which results in the decline of carbon dioxide to oxygen ratio and to a constant 190 decrease in photorespiration rate (Figure 1D) during mid-time period (from day 2 to day 8) of applied water stress with a mean value of E equal to 1.30 mmol $m^{-2} s^{-1}$. In the same period, net assimilation 191 rate (Figure 1E) kept constant values (mean $A = 6.04 \ \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), perhaps because internal CO₂ 192 193 concentration was utilized in photosynthesis (Faußer et al. 2016). Later stomatal close completely 194 during severe drought at days 9 and 10, which causes both photosynthesis and photorespiration rates 195 to lower (Athar and Ashraf 2005). However, midday leaf water potential equal to -0.40 MPa (day 8) 196 could represent the minimum threshold to maintain the ornamental quality of the studied cultivar. 197 Figure 1F shows how instantaneous water use efficiency (A/E) changed during the experiment. In 198 general, stressed plants resulted equally efficient in using water than control plants, with the exception 199 at days 1, 2, 8 and 9. In the lasts time points, in particular, we hypothesize that plants start to dissipate 200 energy and to close the stomata to survive under severe drought conditions, inducing a consequent increase of WUE at the end of the experiment. Drought-stressed plants with higher WUE are more 201 202 efficient in utilizing energy captured by photosynthesis per unit of water transpired. Similar trends 203 were observed in Callistemon laevis (Alvarez et al. 2011), Hybanthus floribundus (Kachenko et al. 204 2011), Rosa x hybrida 'RADrazz' (Cai et al. 2012), and in Zea mays (Zhang et al. 2015). An 205 experiment carried out on Erica multiflora and Globularia alypum confirmed that plants exposed to

drought conditions show low gas exchange rates compared to plants grown in normal environmentalconditions (Llorens et al. 2004).

208 Regarding morphological changes, the growth index, the leaf area and SPAD values were 209 measured at the end of the experiment (day 10) and after one week of recovery (Table 1). At day 10, 210 fully irrigated plants were bigger and showed wider leaves than not irrigated plants (1004.80 cm³ and 211 207.63 cm³ G.I.; 35.19 and 30.75 cm² in full irrigation and no irrigation, respectively). While, no differences in SPAD values were recorded (data not shown). Similar results were observed by Alvarez 212 213 et al (2009) in Dianthus caryophyllus and by Caser et al (2012) in Salvia dolomitica subjected to 214 severe water stress conditions. This is in agreement with the common plant responses to water 215 stressed conditions leading to the decrease in cell enlargement and leaf size due to the low turgor 216 pressure (Martinez et al. 2007). After one week of recovery, no more differences were observed with 217 the exception for the leaf area with 38.10 and 32.15 cm² in full irrigated and not irrigated plants, 218 respectively. Similar trend was observed in Echinacea purpurea, Gaillardia aristata, Lavandula 219 angustifolia, Leucanthemum x superbum, Penstemon barbatus and Penstemon x mexicali irrigated 220 every 4 weeks (Zollinger et al. 2006).

221

222 Experiment 2

223 The morphological responses to the imposed water stress and consequently the ornamental quality of 224 Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' were characterized by the application of four different irrigation regimes 225 under controlled growth conditions. Water stress significantly affected leaf damage values, SPAD 226 values, leaf area, growth index, number of fully opened flowers and flower damages as reported in 227 Figure 2. Only few damages (dead leaves or yellowing and wilted leaves) appeared starting from 21 228 days of cultivation (leaf damage = 1.0) in fully irrigated plants. Starting from this time point, moderate and severe stressed plants presented always superior leaf damage values than control. These achieved 229 230 the complete leaf damage (class = 4.0) at day 42. Not irrigated plants reached the complete leaf 231 damage after eleven days of cultivation. But imposed re-watering of them after three days (day 14) 232 lead to the restoration of their morphological traits. The application of the recovery reduced the leaf 233 damage of these plants already after three days (day 21 = 2.0) but, it could not reinstate as the control 234 and, at day 49, resulted in the highest leaf damage values as well as half irrigated plants. Zollinger et 235 al (2006) explained that the excellent visual quality of *Penstemon barbatus* after severe drought may 236 be related to the ability to regulate the water loss by stomata closure.

Regarding SPAD values, here, no differences were counted between control and moderate stressed plants, showing that a half irrigation not affected the health plant status. On the opposite, the application of severe water stress and no irrigation treatments significantly reduced this parameter. Several studies reported that water stress leads to a decreased level of chlorophylls in plant leaves (Reddy et al. 2004; Kaminska-Rozek and Pukacki 2004; Guerfel et al. 2009). Here, the reduction in SPAD value could be identified as a drought response mechanism in order to minimize the light absorption by chloroplasts (Pastenes et al. 2005). The application of the recovery induced an increase in SPAD values similarly to control and moderate water stress treatments already from day 14 till the end of the experiment with the exception at day 35, showing that *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' has an excellent desiccation tolerance of their pigment apparatus (Netto et al. 2005).

247 Plant can adapt to environmental change usually by morphological and anatomical 248 modifications. In the present study, fully irrigated plants showed the widest leaves and the highest 249 growth index. While, surprisingly plants subjected to moderate water stress showed more narrow 250 leaves, starting from day 8, and reduced growth, after 21 days of cultivation, as well as severe water 251 stressed plants. Not irrigated plants showed the lowest values for both traits at day 11. The recovery 252 phase was effective to increase the studied traits starting from the day 14 onward. The effect of water 253 stress on plant growth reduction has been described in several crops species such as *Cistus albidus*, 254 Cistus monspeliensis, Petunia x hybrida, Pelargonium x hortorum and Callistemon citrinus 255 (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2002; Niu et al. 2006; Vernieri et al. 2006; Alvarez and Sanchez-Blanco 2013). 256 During severe water stress, the total leaf area and the stem length commonly significantly decreased 257 in many ornamentals such as potted *Dianthus caryophyllus* and *Petunia* x hybrida (Alvarez et al. 258 2009; Van Iersel et al. 2010), resulting from the decline in the cell enlargement and the leaves 259 senescence (Bañon et al. 2004).

260 The present experiment was conducted at the beginning of the blooming stage and is known 261 that flowering is the most sensitive phase to water stress in ornamental plants such as in potted 262 geranium (Alvarez et al. 2013). Here, significant differences between treatments in the number of 263 fully opened flowers were observed (Fig. 2E). At day 8, fully irrigated plants presented more opened 264 flowers (11 flowers per plant) than the others. At day 21, plants subjected to control, moderate water 265 stress, and recovery treatments had more flowers than severe water stressed plants (16, 14, 11 and 7, 266 respectively). In fact, these lasts anticipated the flowering peak at day 14. Later, a general decrease 267 in all the treated plants was observed. As indicated in the previous experiment, *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' 268 subjected to drought stress maintain active photosynthesis, suggesting that no metabolic impairment 269 occurred in this cultivar. In fact, in this experiment we can observe a flower production strictly related 270 to the severity of imposed water stress and not a flowering peak induction caused by severe water 271 stress. Figure 2F shows the evolution of flower damage (wilted flowers) during the experiment. In 272 general no significant differences were observed, even if, fully and half irrigated plants had a similar 273 dynamic. The recovered plants showed a restoration already after the first week of recovery, showing

the lowest damages from day 14 till day 35. Later, a strong increase occurred, reaching the highest
class of flower damage (4.0) at the end of the experiment as well as for severe water stressed plants.
As reported by different authors, water stress application may increase flower damages and reduce
flowering intensity such as in *Antirhinum majus* (Asrar et al. 2012) and in *Callistemon citrinus*(Alvarez and Blanco 2013; 2015).

279 At the end of the experiment the mean flower diameter, dry weight and fresh weight ratio, and 280 the midday leaf water potential were evaluated (Table 2). Fully irrigated plants showed the highest 281 values in flower diameter, without significant differences with half irrigated and recovered plants, 282 and in MLWP. No differences with recovered plants were observed also in DW:FW ratio. On the 283 opposite, plants subjected to severe water stress showed the lowest values in all the traits (1.9 cm, 284 and -0.46 MPa, respectively) with exception for DW:FW ratio (0.98). These results confirmed that 285 controlled irrigation practices could be possible and can be a useful tool to affect ornamental and 286 morphological characteristics.

287

288 Experiment 3

289 Plant growth and ornamental traits

Water use in the nursery is an increasingly important factor due to limited water supply and drought is one of the main adverse factors for seasonal plants, especially for plants grown in pots (Alvarez et al. 2013). In the present experiment three irrigation regimes were applied during the common growing cycle of potted *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' in nursery conditions.

294 The percentage of senescent plants, the aerial dry and fresh weight ratio, the growth index, the 295 number of leaves and the SPAD values were not affected by control and moderate water stress 296 treatments (Table 3; Figure 3). At the opposite, severe water stress significantly increased the 297 percentage of senescent plants starting from day 29 (21.7%) until the end of the experiment, when 298 the 83% of plants were completely senescent. While the application of the same irrigation regime 299 resulted in the lower values in the G.I., starting from day 29, in the leaf area, from day 46, and in the 300 number of leaves from day 85. A significant increase in SPAD values occurred at day 163 (32.7) in 301 comparison with control and moderate water stress (20.0 and 21.1, respectively). A peak in SPAD 302 values in plants close to the full senescence was observed also in aromatic plants such as Salvia 303 sinaloensis, S. dolomitica and Helicrhysum petiolare treated with 20% of container capacity as 304 showed by Caser et al (2012). This result could be explained by the fact that the leaf water status of 305 a plant may interfere with the SPAD 502 Chlorophyll Meter measurements. Martinez and Guiamet 306 (2004) also described that SPAD values increased as leaf water content decreased in wheat leaves. 307 Taken together these data highlighted the possibility of water safe of ca. 35% without compromise

308 the survival of plants. With the same purpose to reduce water irrigation, similar results were observed 309 also in other nursery potted species such as *Dianthus caryophyllus* and *Pelargonium* x hortorum 310 subjected to 35% and 40% of control irrigation as described by Alvarez et al (2009) and Sanchez-311 Blanco et al (2009), respectively. Moreover, as shown in Table 3, the irrigation with 33% of the 312 control induced significant higher aerial dry /fresh weight ratio. While, no differences in the root 313 quality (data not shown) was observed between treatments, indicating that shoots and roots react 314 differently to drought (Bacelar et al. 2007). The effect of water stress is usually greater on aerial 315 growth than on root growth as indicated by Navarro et al (2009). As reported by Bradford and Hsiao 316 (1982) and by Sanchez-Blanco et al (2009), this is probably due to the plants need to maintain root 317 surface area under drought conditions in order to absorb water from the substrate. This criterion can 318 be considered as a plant adaptation to drought conditions and could promote a quicker establishment 319 in gardening (Franco et al. 2011). Within the genus *Primula*, also Noda et al (2004) found out that 320 water stress increased the root weight in P. sieboldii. Thus, the application of controlled deficit 321 irrigation during nursery production can be used as a technique to save water without reducing 322 morphological traits (Morvant et al. 1998). However, plants may lose their ornamental characteristics 323 and reduce and delay flowering to save assimilates (Augé et al. 2003; Cameron et al. 2006; Alvarez 324 et al. 2009). Flower colour parameters (lightness, chroma and hue angle) variation during the 325 blooming stage were not affected by imposed treatments (data not shown). This suggested that the 326 petal colour was not modified by the applied water stress conditions and meaning that plants can cope 327 with water shortage without losing their ornamental value (Brawner 2003). Similar results were 328 observed in potted Pelargonium x hortorum (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2009; Alvarez et al. 2013), 329 Dianthus caryophyllus (Alvarez et al. 2009) and in Callistemon citrinus (Alvarez and Sanchez-Blanco 330 2013) plants in which deficit irrigation treatments did not affected flower colour parameters. Instead, 331 plant quality in terms of percentage of leaf damage (wilted leaves) was significantly affected by 332 moderate and severe water stress starting from day 113 onward (Fig. 3E). Only at the end of the 333 experiment moderate water stressed plants showed a similar leaf damage to the controlled (2.73 and 334 2.40, respectively). Concerning the number of fully opened flowers during the growing season, 335 control and moderate water stressed plants showed a similar trend (Fig. 3F). The blooming peak was 336 observed at day 113 with 6.7 and 5.9 flowers per plants, respectively. On the opposite, at day 142 and 337 163 the highest number of flowers per plants was observed in severe stressed plants (6.4 and 6.5 338 flowers, respectively). These results highlighted that this condition extend and delay the flowering 339 period in P. vulgaris 'Heidy'. Unlike the Experiment 2, here, in common growing condition, we 340 observed that severe water stress significantly influenced a delay of flowering in the studied plants, 341 implying that the different growing conditions may influence the flower development. Several studies

342 further indicate that drought delays the onset of flowering and shortens the length of flowering (Prieto 343 et al. 2008; Jentsch et al. 2009) such as in Genista tinctoria and Calluna vulgaris subjected to 344 recurring weather extremes (Nagy et al. 2013). Control and moderate water stress induced also the 345 highest peduncles and the largest flowers in comparison with the severe water stress conditions (5.9, 346 6.1 and 4.4 cm and 5.1, 5.2 and 3.7 cm, respectively). These data suggested that *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' 347 requires at least 66% of control irrigation to maintain acceptable plant and flower quality. Similarly, 348 another season plant such as potted geranium, cultivated in nursery, needs at least 75% of control 349 irrigation as reported by Henson et al (2006) and Sanchez-Blanco et al (2009). Nevertheless, further 350 research is required to determine the most appropriate degree of water stress in order to optimise the 351 growth and health of the plants and flower development. Similarly, Ma and Gu (2012) successfully 352 applied severe water stress to extend flowering in Bougainvillea spectabilis 'Raspberry ice'.

353

354 Water relations

355 Midday leaf water potential and gas exchange traits were measured at the beginning of the blooming 356 stage (Fig. 4). Moderate and severe water stressed plants showed significant lower MLWP (-0.31 and 357 -0.45 MPa, respectively) than control (-0.10 MPa; Figure 4A). The data on MLWP are in agreement 358 with the previous experiments conducted under controlled growth conditions. In particular, under 359 severe water stress the decrease in the leaf water potential could be the cause of morphological 360 adaptations previously described such as lower growth index, number of leaves, leaf area, and dry 361 weight, which could contribute to reduce the total water consumption (Kang et al. 2000). Moreover, 362 we can confirm that a MLWP equal to -0.40 MPa could be considered as a critic threshold for the 363 survival of the studied plants. On the opposite, differences with the previous experiment were 364 highlighted on gas exchange traits. We observed that after about 80 days of cultivation under common 365 nursery conditions, no differences between treatments were measured, and the levels of transpiration 366 rate (E), stomatal conductance (GH_2O), and net photosynthetic rate (A) were lower than at the end of 367 the Experiment 1 (almost 1/5 in *E* and *GH*₂*O* and 1/10 in *A*). This fact could be explained by the 368 completely different growing conditions and by the longest cultivation period in comparison to the 369 previous experiment. While, both moderate and severe water stress induced significant higher content 370 of internal CO₂ concentration (C_i). Within the genus *Primula*, Dietz and Heber (1983) reported that 371 water stressed Primula palinuri in field showed a complete wilting with MLWP equal to -4.0 MPa, 372 much lower than in our study and that the complete stomatal closure was reach with at least a water 373 loss of the 20% of turgid leaves. Here, a shift from stomatal limitation to non-stomatal limitation was 374 observed as described by Siddique et al (2016). We can hypothesize that studied plants impaired 375 carbon assimilation to cope with drought by conserving the internal CO₂ and blocking photosynthesis.

Hasibeder et al (2015) demonstrated that in plants under severe drought regimes the usage of fresh

377 photosynthates is transferred from metabolic activity to osmotic adjustment and storage compounds.

378 Taken together all these results suggested that there is variability within *Primula* species and that *P*.

379 *vulgaris* 'Heidy' is a not a drought tolerant cultivar under severe water stress.

380

381 Conclusions

In controlled growth conditions, the mechanism of drought tolerance of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' was described by the physiological dynamics, that show a long-term decline in stomatal conductance and transpiration rate also under extreme drought condition. The application of recovery resulted in a restoration of all the morphological and ornamental traits and in the leaf water potential, thus the decrease in leaf water potential during the water stress could be reversed by the application of control irrigation.

388 Under common nursery cultivation practices, moderate water stress could be successfully applied in potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' production, allowing a reduction of ca. 40% (ca. 1.64 L 389 h^{-1} per plant) of irrigation water and in the meanwhile maintaining plant health and ornamental quality 390 391 comparable with common irrigation practice. Also severe deficit irrigation did not affect ornamental 392 quality in terms of flower colour, number and size, but it deeply compromised plant survival. Overall, 393 the studied cultivar appears to be a not drought tolerant cultivar reacting to deficit irrigation by 394 reducing leaf water potential and stomata, activating photosynthesis and maintaining the water use 395 efficiency similarly to control plants. In both growth conditions, the parameters that best allow 396 determining the water use of the studied species were leaf water potential, gas exchanges, leaves 397 traits, growth index, and the percentage of senescent plants.

In conclusion, the degree of the imposed water stress is critical to reveal the responses of the different species at the different phenological stages. The knowledge of physiological dynamics and morphological responses to water stress of a potted seasonal ornamental plant along the cultivation cycle could allow floriculture companies to schedule a sustainable irrigation plan, which match the effective species water needs.

403

404 Acknowledgement

This work has been supported by "PSR 2007-2013-Misura 1.1.1. - Progetti dimostrativi semplici Risparmio Idrico in Floricoltura (R.ID.inFlor.)" project. The authors gratefully acknowledge Alessia
Aru, Eugenio Lagomarsino and Andrea Sampietro for providing plant material and practical help.

409 **References**

- Ali F, Bano A, Fazal A (2017) Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. Plant Growth
 Regul 82:363-375
- 412 Álvarez S, Navarro A, Bañón S, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2009) Regulated deficit irrigation in potted
- 413 *Dianthus* plants: effects of severe and moderate water stress on growth and physiological 414 responses. Sci Hortic 122:579-585
- Álvarez S, Navarro A, Nicolas E, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2011) Transpiration, photosynthetic
 responses, tissue water relations and dry mass partitioning in Callistemon plants during drought
 conditions. Sci Hortic 129(2):306-312
- 418 Álvarez S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2013) Changes in growth rate, root morphology and water use
- 419 efficiency of potted *Callistemon citrinus* plants in response to different levels of water deficit. Sci
 420 Hortic 156:54-62
- Álvarez S, Bañón S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2013) Regulated deficit irrigation in different phenological
 stages of potted geranium plants: water consumption, water relations and ornamental quality. Acta
 Physiol Plant 35:1257-1267
- Álvarez S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2015) Comparison of individual and combined effects of salinity and
 deficit irrigation on physiological, nutritional and ornamental aspects of tolerance in *Callistemon laevis* plants. J Plant Physiol 185:65-74
- 427 ARPAT (2007) Programma di monitoraggio dell'ambiente marino costiero della toscana attività
 428 luglio 2006 gennaio 2007, pp. 85.
- Asrar AA, Abdel-Fattah GM, Elhindi KM (2012) Improving growth, flower yield, and water relations
 of snapdragon (*Antirhinum majus* L.) plants grown under well-watered and water-stress conditions
 using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Photos 50:305-316
- 432 Athar HR, Ashraf M (2005) Photosynthesis under drought stress. In: Pessarakli M (Ed), Handbook
 433 of photosynthesis, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, pp. 793–809.
- 434 Augé RM, Stodola AJW, Moore JL, Klingeman WE, Duan X (2003) Comparative dehydration
 435 tolerance of foliage of several ornamental crops. Sci Hortic 98:511-516
- Bacelar EA, Moutinho-Pereira JM, Gonçalves BC, Ferreira HF, Correia CM (2007) Changes in
 growth, gas exchange, xylem hydraulic properties and water use efficiency of three olive cultivars
 under contrasting water availability regimes. Env Exp Bot 60:183-192
- 439 Bañón S, Fernández JA, Franco JA, Torrecillas A, Alarcón JJ, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2004) Effects of
- 440 water stress and night temperature pre-conditioning on water relations and morphological and
- 441 anatomical changes of *Lotus creticus* plants. Sci Hort 101:333–342

- Bernal M, Estiarte M, Peñuelas J (2011) Drought advances spring growth phenology of the
 Mediterranean shrub *Erica multiflora*. Plant Biol 13:252-257
- Bolla A, Voyiatzis D, Koukourikou-Petridou M, Chimonidou D (2010) Photosynthetic parameters
 and cut-flower yield of rose 'Eurored' (H.T.) are adversely affected by mild water stress
 irrespective of substrate composition. Sci Hortic 126:390-394
- Bradford KJ, Hsiao TC (1982) Physiological responses to moderate water stress. In: Lange OL, Nobel
 PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H (Eds) Encyclopedia of plant physiology. New series. Physiological
- plant ecology II: water relations and carbon assimilation. Springer, Berlin, pp 263–324.
- 450 Brawner F (2003) Geraniums. The Complete Encyclopedia, Schiffer Publishing Ltd., Atglen, p. 13.
- 451 Cai X, Starman T, Niu G, Hall C, Lombardini L (2012) Response of selected garden rose to drough
 452 stress. HortSci 47(8):1050-1055
- 453 Cameron RWF, Harrison-Murray RS, Atkinson CJ, Judd HL (2006) Regulated deficit irrigation a
 454 means to control growth in woody ornamentals. J Hortic Sci Biotech 81:435-443
- 455 Caser M, Ruffoni B, Scariot V (2012) Screening for drought tolerance in *Salvia* spp. and *Helichrysum* 456 *petiolare*: a way to select low maintenance ornamental plants. Acta Hortic 953:239-246
- 457 Caser M, Scariot V, Gaino W, Larcher F, Devecchi M (2013) The effects of sodium chloride on the
 458 aesthetic value of *Buxus* spp. Europ J Hortic Sci 78(4):153-159
- 459 Caser M, D'Angiolillo F, Chitarra W, Lovisolo C, Ruffoni B, Pistelli Lu, Pistelli La, Scariot V (2016)
- 460 Water deficit regimes trigger changes in valuable physiological and phytochemical parameters in
 461 *Helichrysum petiolare* Hilliard & BL Burtt. Ind Crops Prod 83:680-692
- 462 Ceriani RM, Pierce S, Cerabolini B (2009) The survival strategy of the alpine endemic *Primula* 463 *glaucescens* is fundamentally unchanged throughout its climate envelope despite superficial
 464 phenotypic variability. Plant Ecol 204:1-10
- 465 Davies WJ, Wilkinson S, Loveys B (2002) Stomatal control by chemical signalling and the
 466 exploitation of this mechanism to increase water use efficiency in agriculture. New Phyt 153:449467 460
- 468 Dietz KJ, Heber U (1983) Carbon dioxide gas exchange and the energy status of leaves of *Primula* 469 *palinuri* under water stress. Planta 158:349-356
- 470 Efeoğlu B, Ekmekçi Y, Çiçek N (2009) Physiological responses of three maize cultivars to drought
 471 stress and recovery. South Afri J Bot 75:34-42
- 472 Faußer AC, Dušek J, Čížková H, Kazda M (2016) Diurnal dynamics of oxygen and carbon dioxide 473 concentrations in shoots and rhizomes of a perennial in a constructed wetland indicate down-474 of ground consumption. PLANTSplw025 regulation below oxygen AoB doi: 475 10.1093/aobpla/plw025

- Fornes F, Belda RM, Carrión C, Noguera V, García-Agustín P, Abad M (2007) Pre-conditioning
 ornamental plants to drought by means of saline water irrigation as related to salinity tolerance.
 Sci Hortic 113:52–59
- Franco JA, Martinez-Sánchez JJ, Fernández JA, Bañón S (2006) Selection and nursery production of
 ornamental plants for landscaping and xerogardening in semi-arid environments. J Hortic Sci
 Biotech 81:3-17
- 482 Franco JA, Bañón S, Vicente MJ, Miralles J, Martinez-Sánchez JJ (2011) Root development in
 483 horticultural plants grown under abiotic stress conditions a review. J Hortic Sci Biotech 86:543-
- 484 556
- Fulcher A, LeBude AV, Owen Jr JS, White SA, Beeson RC (2016) The next ten years: strategic vision
 of water resources for nursery producers. HortTech 26:121-132
- 487 Goldhamer D, Beede R (2004) Regulated deficit irrigation effects on yield, nut quality and water-use
 488 efficiency of mature pistachio trees. J Hortic Sci Biotech 79:538-545
- 489 Grant SB, Saphores JD, Feldman DL, Hamilton AJ, Fletcher TD, Cook PLM, Stewardson M, Sanders
- 490 BF, Levin LA, Ambrose RF, Deletic A, Brown R, Jiang SC, Rosso D, Cooper WJ, Marusic I
- 491 (2012) Taking the "waste" out of "wastewater" for human water security and ecosystem
 492 sustainability. Science 337(6095):681-686
- 493 Grassi G, Magnani F (2005) Stomatal, mesophyll conductance and biochemical limitations to
 494 photosynthesis as affected by drought and leaf ontogeny in ash and oak trees. Plant Cell Env
 495 28:834-849
- Guerfel M, Ouni Y, Boujnah D, Zarrouk M (2009) Photosynthesis parameters and activities of
 enzymes of oxidative stress in two young 'Chemlali' and 'Chetoui' olive trees under water deficit.
 Photosynthetica 47(3):340-346
- Hasibeder R, Fuchslueger L, Richter A, Bahn M (2015) Summer drought alters carbon allocation to
 roots and root respiration in mountain grassland. New Phyt 205:1117-1127
- Henson DY, Newman SE, Hartley DE (2006) Performance of selected herbaceous annual
 ornamentals grown at decreasing levels of irrigation. HortSci 41:1481-1486
- Hidalgo PR, Harkess RL (2002) Earthworm castings as a substrate for *Poinsettia* production. HortSci
 37:304–308
- 505 Hu WJ, Zhang QX, Pan HT, Dong LL (2010) Differences in ultrastructure of mesophyll cell between
- 506 two *Primula* species with different thermotolerance under heat acclimation and heat stress. J South
- 507 China Agric Univ 2010-03

- 508 Jentsch A, Kreyling J, Boettcher-Treschkow J, Beierkuhnlein C (2009) Beyond gradual warming:
- 509 extreme weather events alter flower phenology of European grassland and heat species. Glob510 Change Biol 15:837-849
- Kachenko AG, Bhatia N, Singh B (2011) Influence of drought stress on the nickel-hyperaccumulating
 shrub *Hybanthus floribundus* (Lindl.) F. Muell. Subsp. floribundus. Int J Plant Sci 172(3):315-322

513 Kaminska-Rozek E, Pukacki PM (2004) Effect of water deficit on oxidative stress and degradation

- of cell membranes in needles of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.). Acta Physiol Plant
 26:431–442
- 516 Kang S, Shi W, Zhang J (2000) An improved water-use efficiency for maize grown under regulated
 517 deficit irrigation. Field Crops Res 67:207-214
- Larcher F, Berruti A, Gullino P, Scariot V (2011) Reducing peat and growth regulator input in
 camellia pot cultivation. Hort Sci 38:35-42
- Lawlor DW (2002) Limitation to photosynthesis in water-stressed leaves: stomatal vs. metabolism
 and the role of ATP. Ann Bot 89:871-885
- Liu FH, Hou SMH, Liang XN (2006) Gas exchange characteristics of four domesticated *Primula* species. New Zeal J Crop Hortic Sci 34:403-411
- Llorens L, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Bruna P (2004) Contrasting growth changes in two dominant
 species of a Mediterranean shrubland submitted to experimental drought and warming. Ann Bot
 94:843-853
- Ma S, Gu M (2012) Effects of water stress and selected plant growth retardants on growth and
 flowering of 'Raspberry ice' boungainvillea (*Boungainvillea spectabilis*). Acta Hortic 937:237 242
- Martinez D, Guiamet J (2004) Distortion of the SPAD 502 chlorophyll meter readings by changes in
 irradiance and leaf water status. Agronomie 24:41-46
- Martinez JP, Silva H, Ledent JF, Pinto M (2007) Effect of drought stress on the osmotic adjustment,
 cell wall elasticity and cell volume of six cultivars of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).
 Europ J Agr 26:30-38
- Mo Y, Yang R, Liu L, Gu X, Yang X, Wang Y, Zhang X, Li H (2016) Growth, photosynthesis and
 adaptive responses of wild and domesticated watermelon genotypes to drought stress and
 subsequent re-watering. Plant Growth Regul 79:229-241
- 538 Morvant JK, Dole JM, Cole JC (1998) Irrigation frequency and system affect *Poinsettia* growth, water
- use and runoff. Hort Sci 33:42–46

- Nagy L, Kreyling J, Gellesch E, Beierkuhnlein C, Jentsch A (2013) Recurring weather extremes alter
 the flowering phenology of two common temperate shrubs. Int J Biometeorol 57:579
 doi:10.1007/s00484-012-0585-z
- Navarro A, Sanchez-Blanco MJ, Morte A, Bañón S (2009) The influence of mycorrhizal inoculation
 and paclobutrazol on water and nutritional status of *Arbutus unedo* L. Env Exp Bot 66:362-371
- 545 Netto AT, Campostrini E, de Oliveira JG, Bressan-Smith RE (2005) Photosynthetic pigments,
- nitrogen, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence and SPAD-502 readings in coffee leaves. Sci Hortic 104:199209
- Niu G, Rodriguez DS, Wang YT (2006) Impact of drought and temperature on growth and leaf gas
 exchange of six bedding plant species under greenhouse conditions. HortSci 41:1408-1411
- Noda H, Muraoka H, Washitani I (2004) Morphological and physiological acclimation responses to
 contrasting light and water regimes in *Primula sieboldii*. Ecol Res 19:331-340
- 552 Onozaky T, Mato M, Shibata M, Ikeda H (1999) Differences in flower colour and pigment 553 composition among white carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cultivars. Sci Hortic 82:103–111
- Paquin R, Mehuys GR (1980) Influence of soil moisture on cold tolerance of alfalfa. Can J Plant Sc.
 60:139–147
- Pastenes C, Pimentel P, Lillo J (2005) Leaf movements and photoinhibition in relation to water stress
 in field-grown beans. J Exp Bot 56:425–433
- 558 Pérez-Jiménez M, Pazos-Navarro M, Piñero MC, Otálora-Alcón G, López-Marin J, del Amor FM
- 559 (2016) Regulaytion of the drought response of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) by foliar-
- applied hormones, in Mediterranean-climate greenhouse conditions. Plant Growth Regul 80:159-169
- Prieto P, Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Estiarte M (2008) Precipitation-dependent flowering of *Globularia alypum* and *Erica multiflora* in Mediterranean shrubland under experimental drought and
 warming, and its inter-annual variability. Ann Bot 102:275-285
- Reddy AR, Chaitanya KV, Vivekanandan M (2004) Drought-induced responses of photosynthesis
 and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. J Plant Physiol 161(11):1189-1202
- 567 Ruget F, Bonhomme R, Chartier M (1996) Estimation simple de la surface foliaire de plantes de mais
 568 en croissance. Agronomie 16:553-562
- 569 Sánchez-Blanco MJ, Rodriguez P, Morales MA, Torrecillas A (2002) Comparative growth and water
- 570 relations of *Cistus albidus* and *Cistus monspeliensis* plants during water deficit conditions and
- 571 recovery. Plant Sci 162:107-113

- 572 Sánchez-Blanco MJ, Álvarez S, Navarro A, Bañón S (2009) Changes in leaf water relations, gas
 573 exchange, growth and flowering quality in potted geranium plants irrigated with different water
 574 regimes. J Plant Phys 166:467-476
- Scariot V, Seglie L, Caser M, Devecchi M (2008) Evaluation of ethylene sensitivity and postharvest
 treatments to improve the vase life of four *Campanula* species. Europ J Hortic Sci 73(4):166-170
- 577 Scholander PF, Hammel HT, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingsen EA (1965) Sap pressure in vascular plants.
- 578 Negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 148:339–346
- 579 Siddique Z, Jan S, Imadi SR, Gul A, Ahmad P (2016) Drought stress and photosynthesis in plants.
- In: Ahmad P (Ed), Water Stress and Crop Plants: A Sustainable Approach, 2 Volume Set. Wiley
 Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex, pp. 1-11
- Torrecillas A, Rodriguez P, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2003) Comparison of growth, leaf water relations
 and gas exchange of *Cistus albidus* and *C. monspeliensis* plants irrigated with water of different
 NaCl salinity levels. Sci Hortic 97:353-368
- Van Iersel MW, Dove S, Kang JG, Burnett SE (2010) Growth and water use of *Petunia* as affected
 by substrate water content and daily light integral. HortSci 45:277-282
- Vernieri P, Mugnai S, Borghesi E, Petrognani L, Serra G (2006) Non-chemical growth control of
 potted *Callistemon laevis* Anon. Agr Med 160:85-90
- 589 Whale DM (1984) Habitat requirements in *Primula* species. New Phyt 97:665–679
- 590 Zhang C, Zhang J, Zhang H, Zhao J, Wu Q, Zhao Z, Cai T (2015) Mechanisms for the relationships
- between water-use efficiency and carbon isotope composition and specific leaf area of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under water stress. Plant Growth Regul 77:233-243
- 593 Zhang L, Gao Y, Pan H, Hu W, Zhang Q (2013) Cloning and characterisation of a *Primula* heat shock
- protein gene, *PfHSP17.1*, which confers heat, salt and drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Acta Physiol Plant 35:3191-3200
- 596 Zollinger N, Kjelgren, R, Cerny-Koenig T, Kopp K, Koenig R (2006) Drought responses of six
- 597 ornamental herbaceous perennials. Sci Hortic 109:267-274
- 598

599 Tables

600 **Table 1.** Values of the growth index (G.I., cm³) and the leaf area (cm²) in potted *Primula vulgaris*

601 'Heidy' plants subjected to full irrigation (100% of container capacity) or no irrigation at day 10 and

after the recovery phase during Experiment 1.

	G.I. (cm ³)		Leaf area (cm ²)		
Treatments	day 10	Recovery	day 10	Recovery	
Full irrigation	1004.80	1140.12	35.19	38.10	
No irrigation	207.63	930.74	30.75	32.15	
Р	**	ns	*	*	

The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001 , ns = not significant) was evaluated.

605

Table 2. Influence of water stress (full irrigation, control, 100% of container capacity; 50% of the control, moderate water stress, MWS; 25% of the control, severe water stress, SWS; no irrigation water followed by recovery at day 14) on flower diameter (cm), dry weight/fresh weight rate (DW:FW), and midday leaf water potential (MLWP, MPa) on *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants at the end of the Experiment 2.

Treatments	Flower diameter	DW:FW	MLWP	
Control	3.2 a [§]	0.29 c	-0.07 a	
MWS	3.0 a	0.50 b	-0.15 b	
SWS	1.9 b	0.98 a	-0.46 c	
No water + r	3.0 a	0.28 c	-0.27 b	
Р	**	**	**	

611 [§]Different letter indicates significant differences at the 0.05 level, Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F)

612 post hoc test (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001 , ns = not significant).

- 613
- 614
- 615
- 616
- 617
- 618
- **c**10
- 619
- 620

- 621 **Table 3.** Effect of different irrigation regimes (full irrigation, control, 100% of container capacity;
- 622 66% of the control, moderate water stress, MWS; 33% of the control, severe water stress, SWS) on
- 623 the mean percentage (%) of senescent plants of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' during the pot cultivation
- and the aerial dry weight/fresh weight ratio (DW:FW) calculated at the end of the Experiment 3

Senescence (%)								
Days Treatments	29	46	71	85	113	142	163	DW:FW
Control	0 b [§]	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0.19 b
MWS	0 b	1.7 b	3.3 b	3.5 b	3.8 b	4.0 b	5.3 b	0.19 b
SWS	21.7 a	36.7 a	38.6 a	41.7 a	59 a	66.7 a	83 a	0.29 a
Р	*	*	*	*	**	**	**	*

625 [§]Different letter indicates significant differences at the 0.05 level, Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F)

626 post hoc test (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001 , ns = not significant).

628 Figure captions

Fig. 1 Dynamics of midday leaf water potential (MLWP, MPa) (A), stomatal conductance (*GH*₂*O*) (B), internal CO₂ concentration (*C_i*) (C), transpiration rate (*E*) (D), net photosynthetic rate (*A*) (E), and instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE, *A/E*) (F) in *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants subjected to full irrigation (100% of container capacity, control, solid black line) or no irrigation (solid grey line) during the Experiment 1. Each time point represents the mean values of six replicas and the vertical bars indicate standard errors. The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (* $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001) was evaluated.

636

637 Fig. 2 Effect of irrigation treatments (100% of container capacity, control, solid black line), 50% of 638 the control (moderate water stress, solid dark grey line), 25% of the control (severe water stress, solid 639 light grey line), or no irrigation followed by recovery phase at day 14 (dotted black line) on leaf damage (class values) (A), SPAD values (B), leaf area (cm²) (C), growth index (G.I., cm³) (D), 640 641 number of opened flowers (E), and flower damages (F) based on five class of flower withered (0= 642 0%, 1 = 1-25%, 2 = 26-50%, 3 = 51-75%, 4 = 76-100% of flower area) of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' 643 plants during the Experiment 2. The arrow indicate the begin of the recovery phase. Means were subjected to Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F) post hoc test (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001). 644

645

646 Fig. 3 Growth index (cm³) (A), number of leaves (B), leaf area (cm²) (C), SPAD values (D), leaf 647 damages based on five classes of wilted damages (0 = 0%; 1 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 2 = 1-25%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 1-25%; 3 = 50%; 3 =648 76-100% leaf area) (E), and number of opened flowers (F) variation in potted Primula vulgaris 649 'Heidy' plants under full irrigation (100% of container capacity, control, solid black line), moderate 650 water stress (66% of the control, solid dark grey line), or severe water stress (33% of the control, solid 651 light grey line). Each time point represents the mean values and the vertical bars indicate standard 652 errors. Means were subjected to Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F) post hoc test (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = \le 653 0.001).

654

Fig. 4 Values of midday leaf water potential (MLWP, MPa) (A), transpiration rate (*E*) (B), stomatal conductance (GH_2O) (C), net photosynthetic rate (*A*) (D), and internal CO₂ concentration (C_i) (E) at the beginning of the blooming phase (day 85) of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants. Black histograms referred to full irrigation (100% of container capacity, control), dark grey histograms to moderate water stress (66% of the control), and light grey histograms to severe water stress (33% of the control). Each histogram represents the mean values, the vertical bars indicate standard errors and similar

- 661 letters indicate not significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) according to Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F)
- 662 post hoc test.