



This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CARDIOLOGY, None, 9999, 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.02.155.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.02.155

The publisher's version is available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167527317302735

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1634204

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

The adjusted Global AntiphosPholipid Syndrome Score (aGAPSS) for risk stratification in young APS patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction

Radin M¹, Schreiber K^{2,3}, Costanzo P⁴, Cecchi I¹, Roccatello D¹, Baldovino S¹, Bazzan M⁵, Cuadrado MJ⁶and Sciascia S¹.

¹Center of Research of Immunopathology and Rare Diseases- Coordinating Center of Piemonte and Valle d'Aosta Network for Rare Diseases, Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, and SCDU Nephrology and Dialysis, S. Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Turin, Italy.

²Department of Thrombosis and Haemophilia, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, United Kingdom.

³ Department of Rheumatology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴Cardiology Departiment, S. Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Turin, Italy.

⁵UOSD Hematology and Thrombosis Unit, S. Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Turin, Italy.

⁶Louise Coote Lupus Unit, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

Running Title: aGAPPS in acute myocardial infarction

Key words:

Antiphospholipid syndrome - APS -acute myocardial infarction- GAPSS- aGAPSS - risk score, thrombosis

Corresponding Author:

Savino Sciascia, MD, PhD;

Center of Research of Immunopathology and Rare Diseases- Coordinating Center of Piemonte and Valle d'Aosta Network for Rare Diseases, and SCDU Nephrology and Dialysis, S. Giovanni Bosco Hospital

Piazza del Donatore di Sangue 3, 10154, Turin, Italy.

Email <u>savino.sciascia@unito.it</u> Tel +390112402056 Fax +390112402052

This author takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation

Total word count: 4404

Abstract

Background: Young adults with acute myocardial infarction are a critical group to examine for the purpose of risk factor stratification and modification. In this study we aimed to assess the clinical utility of the adjusted Global AntiphosPholipid Syndrome Score (aGAPSS) for the risk stratification of acute myocardial infarction in a cohort of young patients with antiphospholipid syndrome (APS).

Methods: The analysis included 83 consecutive APS patients (≤ 50 years old) who presented with arterial or venous thromboembolic events. Data on cardiovascular risk factors and antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) positivity were retrospectively collected. The aGAPSS was calculated by adding the points corresponding to the risk factors, based on a linear transformation derived from the ß regression coefficient as follows: 3 for hyperlipidaemia, 1 for arterial hypertension, 5 for aCL IgG/IgM, 4 for anti-b2 glycoprotein I IgG/IgM and 4 for LA.

Results: Higher aGAPSS values were observed in patients with acute myocardial infarction when compared to the others [mean aGAPSS 11.9 (S.D. 4.15, range 4-18) Vs. (mean aGAPSS 9.2, S.D. 5.1, range 1-17); T test:p<0.05]. Significantly higher aGAPSS values were also seen in patients with acute coronary syndrome compared to patients with a history of peripheral or cerebrovascular arterial thrombotic events [mean aGAPSS 11.9 (S.D. 4.15, range 4-18) Vs. (mean aGAPSS 6.7, S.D. 5.7, range 1-17); T test: P<0.005].

Conclusions:

The aGAPSS is based upon a quantitative score and could aid risk stratifying APS patients younger than 50 years for the likelihood of developing coronary thrombotic events and may guide pharmacological treatment for high-risk patients.

1.1 Introduction

Acute myocardial infarction amongst young patients (for the purpose of this work 'young' refers to adults younger than 50 years old) is an uncommon event. However, it might represent a life threating situation being associated with a significantly increased mortality and morbidity[1,2]. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that younger adults who develop acute coronary syndrome have an increased prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, including male gender, smoking, family history of cardiovascular events and [1–3]. Conversely, these patients present a lower prevalence of hypertension and diabetes[2,3]. Angiography is more likely to show a reduced coronary atherosclerosis when compared with older patients with cardiovascular events [2]. In the setting of underlying systemic autoimmune diseases, premature cardiovascular disease (CVD) deserves even more attention as conditions such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have been associated with the development of premature CVD [4]. The latter has even been implemented in the national institute of health and care excellence (NICE) screening questions to risk stratify patients for the development of CVD[5].

Young adults are a critical group to examine for the purpose of risk factor stratification and modification. In this particular patient group, a thorough history for conventional risk factors including a family history for inherited thrombophilias and often also investigations for any underlying acquired

thrombophilia should be warranted as positive results might have an impact on the therapeutic choices [6].

The most common acquired thrombophilia is antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), an autoimmune disorder characterized by arterial and venous thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity in the presence of persistent positivity for aPL[7]. The current classification criteria for APS include three laboratory tests: lupus anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin (aCL) and anti- β 2 glycoprotein-I (β 2GPI). To prevent the detection of transient antibodies, tests must be positive on more than 2 occasions, at least 12 weeks apart[7].

To date, identifying patients with aPL who are at higher risk for developing any thrombotic event is still an unmet clinical need and remains a major challenge for the treating physician. Recently, our group conceived a risk score for clinical manifestations of APS [the global APS score (GAPSS)] that takes into account the combination of independent cardiovascular risk factors and the aPL positivity profile [8]. The aim of our study was to assess the clinical utility of the adjusted GAPSS (aGAPSS) score for risk stratification of acute myocardial infarction occurrence in a cohort of young patients with thrombotic events.

2.1 Patients and methods:

2.2 Patients

This retrospective study included 83 consecutive APS patients who attended the Giovanni Bosco Hospital, Turin, Italy and the Louise Coote Lupus Unit at St

Thomas' Hospital, London, UK. Inclusion criteria included: a) history of thrombotic APS (venous and/or arterial) and b) age ≤ 50 years old at the time of the first event. Patients with myocardial infarction aged ≤ 50 years old are routinely checked for aPL in both centres as part of the good clinical practice. When found positive, aPL testing was repeated at least 12 weeks apart. The patients included in the analysis had a persistent aPL positivity and fulfilled the Sydney criteria for APS [7]. Fifty-three patients had at least one episode of arterial thrombosis (60%), 44 (50%) had at least one episode of venous thrombosis. Thirteen patients (15%) had a history of acute myocardial infarction. The diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction was based on typical chest pain at rest lasting for >20 min and/or electrocardiogram changes and dynamic changes in troponin levels according to international standards [9]. A diagnosis of acute coronary syndrome was confirmed by percutaneous coronary intervention. Demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

2.3 Cardiovascular risk factors assessment

Cardiovascular risk factors (including hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, hormone replacement therapy and smoking) were assessed following the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines [5]. In detail, enrolled patients underwent a physical examination, blood pressure determination and phlebotomy for vascular risk factors. Arterial hypertension

was defined as an appropriately sized cut-off (140/90 mmHg or higher) [5], high blood pressure on at least two occasions or use of oral antihypertensive medications. Serum total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels were determined with standardized enzymic methods and interpreted according to current cut-off values [5]. For patients with acute myocardial infarction, underlying atrial fibrillation was ruled out in with 24h Holter monitoring.

2.4 Autoantibody detection

The aPL profile included aCL, LA and anti-ß2 glycoprotein I (anti-ß2GPI) antibodies. The aCL and anti-ß2GPI were detected by ELISA as described previously [10,11]. Plasma samples were tested for the presence of LA according to the recommended criteria from the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Phospholipid-Dependent Antibodies [12][13].

2.5 Adjusted GAPSS calculation

The cumulative aGAPSS was calculated for each patient as previously reported by adding together all points corresponding to the risk factors [14].

In brief, the GAPSS was developed and validated in 211 consecutive SLE patients who were randomly divided into two sets by a computer-generated randomized list. Data on clinical disease manifestations, conventional cardiovascular risk

factors, aPL profile, antinuclear antibodies (ANA), extractable nuclear antibodies (ENA) and antibodies against double stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA) were collected and included in the analysis. We developed the GAPSS in the first set of patients (n = 106), assigning the risk factors identified by multivariate analysis and weighted points proportional to the ß regression coefficient values. Assigned points to risk factors based on this linear transformation of the corresponding ß regression coefficient were 3 for hyperlipidaemia, 1 for arterial hypertension, 5 for aCL IgG/IgM, 4 for anti-b2GPI IgG/IgM, 3 for aPS-PT IgG/IgM and 4 for LA. The GAPSS was then validated in a second set of patients with SLE (n =105)[8]and in a third set of patients with primary APS (n = 62)[15]. The GAPSS was further applied and validated by two independent groups [16][17]. In order to increases the generalizability of the findings, a complementary analysis was applied in this cohort of patients by using an adjusted version of the score. This included only aPL testing included in the current classification criteria for APS (excluding aPS-PT, not routinely available in all the laboratories). Data are presented as adjusted GAPSS (aGAPSS). For the purpose of this study, all computed variables refer to values/parameters assessed within one year from the occurrence of the thrombotic event.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are presented as number (%) and continuous variables are presented as mean (S.D.). The significance of baseline differences was

determined by the chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test or the unpaired t-test, as appropriate. A two-sided P-value <0.05 was statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3.1 Results:

A total of 83 consecutive APS patients (age ≤50 years old at the time of the first event, mean 44,6 (SD 11,3), female 90%) with a history of previous thrombotic events (60% arterial and 50% venous) were included in the analysis. Thirty-one patients fulfilled the current classification criteria for primary APS (PAPS), while in the remaining 52 patients APS was associated with SLE (secondary APS; SAPS). Thirteen patients (15%) had a history of acute myocardial infarction. Of note, 6 of those 13 patients (46%) had also an history of ischemic stroke. Among patients with a history of myocardial infarction, no statistical difference (in terms of age, sex, aPL profile, cardiovascular risk factors, treatments, previous history of thrombotic events) was found between PAPS and SAPS. Patients with acute coronary syndrome presented a mean aGAPSS of 11.9 (S.D. 4.15, range 4-18). Significantly higher aGAPSS values were seen in patients with acute myocardial infarction when compared with those with history of any other thrombotic events [mean aGAPSS 11.9 (S.D. 4.15, range 4-18) Vs. (mean aGAPSS 9.2, S.D. 5.1, range 1-17); T test p:<0.05]. Significantly higher aGAPSS values were also seen when comparing patients with acute coronary syndrome with patients with a history of peripheral or cerebrovascular arterial thrombotic events [mean

aGAPSS 11.9 (S.D. 4.15, range 4-18) Vs. (mean aGAPSS 6.7, S.D. 5.7, range 1-17); T test: p <0.005]. When separating for cardiovascular risk factors, hypercholesterolemia was significantly higher in the group that developed myocardial infarction compared with patients with a history of any thrombosis and patients with history of arterial thrombotic events (Chi square test: p< 0.0001 and p< 0.0001). Furthermore, when evaluating the aPL positivity profiles in the different cohorts, we found significantly higher rate of multiple positivity (more than one aPL) for LA, aCL (IgG/IgM), anti-ß2GPI antibodies (IgG/IgM) in the group that developed myocardial infarction compared with patients with a history of any other arterial thrombotic event alone (Chi square test: p<0.05 for all aPL). However, when focusing on the so-called triple positivity, we failed to observed any statistical difference when comparing patients with acute myocardial infarction with those with other thrombotic manifestations (Table 2).

No statistical significant differences were observed when comparing separately each cardiovascular risk factor (smoking, diabetes, arterial hypertension) nor other thrombotic risk factor (hormonal therapy, immobilization, surgery, malignancy).

4.1 Discussion:

In this study we demonstrate the clinical relevance of the aGAPSS for risk stratification in young patients with acute myocardial infarction. Our cohort

comprehended 83 young patients (≤ 50 years old) who had experienced at least one thrombotic events (arterial and/or venous).

Our group recently published a comprehensive series of studies developing and validating the global APS score (GAPSS) in different patients populations[8]. The GAPSS model was developed in patients with SLE and higher GAPSS scores were observed in patients who experienced thrombosis and/or pregnancy loss compared with those without clinical events. Moreover, the GAPSS score was evaluated in a subsequent prospective study of 51 SLE patients 10 and in 62 consecutive patients with primary APS[18]. The GAPSS model was further applied and validated by two independent groups, confirming that higher GAPSS values were seen in patients who had clinical APS manifestations (such as thrombosis or pregnancy complications) compared to patients without APS manifestations[16][17]. the GAPSS. In original antiphosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies (aPS-PT) were included in the score. However, these are not currently part of the international consensus classification criteria [7]. Moreover, aPS-PT antibodies are not routinely tested in most clinical laboratories, and the inclusion as part of the score might be of concern to many. To address this concern, in our current study we applied the adjusted GAPSS(excluding aPS-PT) demonstrating its clinical utility for the stratification of patients for their rate of thromboembolic events [14].

Furthermore, we showed that higher aGAPSS values are seen in patients who experienced acute myocardial infarction when compared to those with

thrombosis alone. In addition, when stratifying the patients and analyzing those patients who developed at least one arterial thromboembolic event, the aGAPSS was significantly higher in patients who experienced acute myocardial infarction. When comparing patients with PAPS and SAPS who suffered from myocardial infarction, no differences were observed in demographic, clinical or laboratory characteristics. It has been shown that in young patients who develop acute coronary syndrome, despite having a high rate of cardiovascular risk factors, angiographic data indicate a less prominent atherosclerosis compared to general population [1-3]. Our results are in line with these findings, showing an increased proportion of patients with hyperlipidemia in the patients who developed acute coronary syndrome, despite the fact that there were no significant differences between groups with regards to the presence of arterial hypertension. Thus, there is growing interest and necessity of thrombophilia screening and aPL testing in young patients who develop myocardial infarction. Besides, one should consider that it was not among the scopes of this study to assess the prevalence of aPL in a cohort of young patients with myocardial infarction. Our analysis supports the idea that a combination of aPL tests should be warranted when assessing the risk of acute coronary syndrome in young patients. Furthermore, in these patients, the aGAPSS might represents a substantial tool in quantifying the risk for acute myocardial infarction, potentially impacting on therapeutic long-term choices and options. Indeed, while anti-platelets agents are the gold standard therapies in acute coronary syndrome in the general population, there is still a debated whether APS patients with arterial thrombosis should undergo long-term anticoagulation (and more critically, the intensity of such anticoagulation) as best treatment option. This aspect is currently highly debated and it was matter of discussion during the 14th International Congress on aPL Task Force [19–23] and in the more recent 15th International Congress on aPL Task Force [24]. The prevalence of aPL in the general population is estimated to be between 1 and 5 % [25]. Multiple studies have investigated the association of aPL and acute myocardial infarction [26][27][28][29][30][31][32][33](Table 3). Among other, recently Andreoli and colleagues found an estimated frequency of 11% in patients with myocardial infarction in aPL positive patients [34]. However, the true incidence of APS among survivors of acute coronary syndrome is difficult to estimate in such a rare condition.

We acknowledge few limitations for our study. Firstly, the use of a cross-sectional approach generally provides only a 'snapshot' and it may therefore be possible that individual scores would give a different aGAPSS when conducted at a different point of time. A future prospective validation of our findings in the specific setting of acute coronary syndrome is highly needed. However, one should acknowledge that APS is a rare condition and acute coronary syndrome represents about 5%[35] of the clinical presentation of this condition. While a longitudinal study would be very informative to confirm our findings, a

prospective data collection may be challenging and would requires international joint efforts. From that perspective, it is worth mentioning the AntiPhospholipid syndrome alliance for clinical trials and International networking (APS ACTION) is the first-ever international research network that has been created specifically to design and conduct well-designed, large-scale, multicenter clinical studies in persistently aPL-positive patients [34,36–38].

Finally, the effect of therapy and therapy compliance, a significant variable when evaluating risk, could not be assessed, as treatment was not controlled in this cohort, but varied according to the clinical manifestations and the clinician's judgement.

In the future, with prospective studies confirming our findings, aGAPSS might be a valid tool for the risk quantification of acute myocardial infarction in young patients ≤ 50 years old. The aGAPSS is based upon a quantitative score and will aid risk stratifying patients < 50 years for the likelihood of developing coronary thrombotic events and may consequently guide pharmacological treatment for high-risk patients.

5.1 References

- [1] M. Doughty, R. Mehta, D. Bruckman, S. Das, D. Karavite, T. Tsai, K. Eagle,
 Acute myocardial infarction in the young--The University of Michigan
 experience., Am. Heart J. 143 (2002) 56–62.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11773912 (accessed December 22, 2016).
- [2] F.H. Zimmerman, A. Cameron, L.D. Fisher, G. Ng, Myocardial infarction in young adults: angiographic characterization, risk factors and prognosis (Coronary Artery Surgery Study Registry)., J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 26 (1995) 654–61. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7642855 (accessed December 22, 2016).
- [3] J. Pineda, F. Marín, P. Marco, V. Roldán, J. Valencia, J.M. Ruiz-Nodar, F. Sogorb, G.Y.H. Lip, Premature coronary artery disease in young (age <45) subjects: Interactions of lipid profile, thrombophilic and haemostatic markers, Int. J. Cardiol. 136 (2009) 222–225.

 doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.04.020.
- [4] M.B. Urowitz, D.D. Gladman, N.M. Anderson, J. Su, J. Romero-Diaz, S.C. Bae, P.R. Fortin, J. Sanchez-Guerrero, A. Clarke, S. Bernatsky, C. Gordon, J.G. Hanly, D.J. Wallace, D. Isenberg, A. Rahman, J. Merrill, E. Ginzler, G.S. Alarcón, B.F. Fessler, M. Petri, I.N. Bruce, M. Khamashta, C. Aranow, M.

- Dooley, S. Manzi, R. Ramsey-Goldman, G. Sturfelt, O. Nived, K. Steinsson, A. Zoma, G. Ruiz-Irastorza, S. Lim, K.C. Kalunian, M. İnanç, R. van Vollenhoven, M. Ramos-Casals, D.L. Kamen, S. Jacobsen, C. Peschken, A. Askanase, T. Stoll, Cardiovascular events prior to or early after diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus in the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics cohort, Lupus Sci. Med. 3 (2016) e000143. doi:10.1136/lupus-2015-000143.
- [5] R.B. D'Agostino, R.S. Vasan, M.J. Pencina, P.A. Wolf, M. Cobain, J.M. Massaro, W.B. Kannel, General Cardiovascular Risk Profile for Use in Primary Care: The Framingham Heart Study, Circulation. 117 (2008) 743–753. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579.
- [6] M.A. Khamashta, M.J. Cuadrado, F. Mujic, N.A. Taub, B.J. Hunt, G.R. Hughes, The management of thrombosis in the antiphospholipid-antibody syndrome., N. Engl. J. Med. 332 (1995) 993–7.

 doi:10.1056/NEJM199504133321504.
- [7] S. Miyakis, M.D. Lockshin, T. Atsumi, D.W. Branch, R.L. Brey, R. Cervera, R.H.W.M. Derksen, P.G. DE Groot, T. Koike, P.L. Meroni, G. Reber, Y. Shoenfeld, A. Tincani, P.G. Vlachoyiannopoulos, S.A. Krilis, International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS)., J. Thromb. Haemost. 4 (2006) 295–306. doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2006.01753.x.

- [8] S. Sciascia, G. Sanna, V. Murru, D. Roccatello, M.A. Khamashta, M.L. Bertolaccini, GAPSS: the Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score., Rheumatology (Oxford). 52 (2013) 1397–403. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kes388.
- [9] S. Mendis, K. Thygesen, K. Kuulasmaa, S. Giampaoli, M. Mähönen, K. Ngu Blackett, L. Lisheng, W. group on behalf of the participating experts of the W. consultation for revision of W. definition of myocardial Writing group on behalf of the participating experts of the WHO consultation for revision of WHO definition of myocardial infarction, World Health Organization definition of myocardial infarction: 2008-09 revision., Int. J. Epidemiol. 40 (2011) 139–46. doi:10.1093/ije/dyq165.
- [10] H.G. Harris EN, Gharavi AE, Patel SP, Evaluation of the anti-cardiolipin antibody test: report of an international workshop held 4 April 1987, Clin Exp Immunol. 68 (1986) 22.
- [11] O. Amengual, T. Atsumi, M.A. Khamashta, T. Koike, G.R. Hughes, Specificity of ELISA for antibody to beta 2-glycoprotein I in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome., Br. J. Rheumatol. 35 (1996) 1239–43. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9010050 (accessed December 22, 2016).
- [12] J.T. Brandt, D.A. Triplett, B. Alving, I. Scharrer, Criteria for the diagnosis of

- lupus anticoagulants: an update. On behalf of the Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the ISTH., Thromb. Haemost. 74 (1995) 1185–90. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8560433 (accessed December 22, 2016).
- [13] V. PENGO, A. TRIPODI, G. REBER, J.H. RAND, T.L. ORTEL, M. GALLI, P.G. DE GROOT, Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardisation Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis, Update of the guidelines for lupus anticoagulant detection, J. Thromb. Haemost. 7 (2009) 1737–1740. doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03555.x.
- [14] S. Sciascia, G. Sanna, V. Murru, D. Roccatello, M.A. Khamashta, M.L.
 Bertolaccini, The global anti-phospholipid syndrome score in primary APS.,
 Rheumatology (Oxford). 54 (2015) 134–8.
 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keu307.
- [15] S. Sciascia, G. Sanna, V. Murru, D. Roccatello, M.A. Khamashta, M.L.
 Bertolaccini, The global anti-phospholipid syndrome score in primary APS,
 Rheumatol. (United Kingdom). 54 (2014).
 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keu307.
- [16] K. Oku, O. Amengual, T. Bohgaki, T. Horita, S. Yasuda, T. Atsumi, An

- independent validation of the Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score in a Japanese cohort of patients with autoimmune diseases., Lupus. 24 (2015) 774–5. doi:10.1177/0961203314561284.
- [17] S. Zuily, B. de Laat, S. Mohamed, H. Kelchtermans, Z. Shums, R. Albesa, G.L. Norman, C. Lamboux-Matthieu, A.-C. Rat, J. Ninet, N. Magy-Bertrand, J.-L. Pasquali, M. Lambert, B. Lorcerie, P. Kaminsky, F. Guillemin, V. Regnault, D. Wahl, TAC(I)T investigators, Validity of the global anti-phospholipid syndrome score to predict thrombosis: a prospective multicentre cohort study., Rheumatology (Oxford). 54 (2015) 2071–5. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kev238.
- [18] S. Sciascia, S. Baldovino, K. Schreiber, L. Solfietti, M. Radin, M.J. Cuadrado, E. Menegatti, D. Erkan, D. Roccatello, Thrombotic risk assessment in antiphospholipid syndrome: the role of new antibody specificities and thrombin generation assay, Clin. Mol. Allergy. 14 (2016) 6. doi:10.1186/s12948-016-0043-2.
- [19] B. Giannakopoulos, S.A. Krilis, How I treat the antiphospholipid syndrome, Blood. 114 (2009) 2020–2030. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-05-220756.
- [20] V. Pengo, G. Ruiz-Irastorza, G. Denas, L. Andreoli, M. Khamashta, A. Tincani, High intensity anticoagulation in the prevention of the recurrence of arterial thrombosis in antiphospholipid syndrome: "PROS" and "CONS,"

- Autoimmun. Rev. 11 (2012) 577–580. doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2011.10.016.
- [21] R. Derksen, P. de Groot, Towards evidence-based treatment of thrombotic antiphospholipid syndrome, Lupus. 19 (2010) 470–474. doi:10.1177/0961203309361483.
- [22] G. Ruiz-Irastorza, M. Cuadrado, I. Ruiz-Arruza, R. Brey, M. Crowther, R. Derksen, D. Erkan, S. Krilis, S. Machin, V. Pengo, S. Pierangeli, M. Tektonidou, M. Khamashta, Evidence-based recommendations for the prevention and long-term management of thrombosis in antiphospholipid antibody-positive patients: Report of a Task Force at the 13th International Congress on Antiphospholipid Antibodies, Lupus. 20 (2011) 206–218. doi:10.1177/0961203310395803.
- [23] V. Pengo, G. Denas, A. Banzato, E. Bison, A. Bracco, M. Facchinetti, A. Hoxha, A. Ruffatti, Secondary prevention in thrombotic antiphospholipid syndrome, Lupus. 21 (2012) 734–735. doi:10.1177/0961203312444773.
- [24] 15th International Congress on Antiphospholipid Antibodies, (n.d.). http://www.apsistanbul2016.org/ (accessed February 17, 2017).
- [25] J.S. Levine, D.W. Branch, J. Rauch, The Antiphospholipid Syndrome, N. Engl.J. Med. 346 (2002) 752–763. doi:10.1056/NEJMra002974.
- [26] A. Hamsten, R. Norberg, M. Björkholm, U. de Faire, G. Holm, Antibodies to cardiolipin in young survivors of myocardial infarction: an association with

- recurrent cardiovascular events., Lancet (London, England). 1 (1986) 113–6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2867345 (accessed March 29, 2017).
- [27] M. Cortellaro, C. Boschetti, M. Cardillo, T. Barbui, Antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with previous myocardial infarction, Lancet. 339 (1992) 929–930. doi:0140-6736(92)90966-7 [pii].
- [28] J.M. Phadke KV, Phillips RA, Clarke DT, C. Naisch P, P, Anti-cardiolipin antibodies in ischaemic heart disease, Clin. Exp. Immunol. 74 (1988) 254–257.

http://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&from=ex port&id=L18275295%5Cnhttp://findit.library.jhu.edu/resolve?sid=EMBA SE&issn=00099104&id=doi:&atitle=Anti-

- cardiolipin+antibodies+in+ischaemic+heart+disease&stitle=CLIN.+EXP.+I MMUNOL.&title=Cli.
- [29] E. Zuckerman, E. Toubi, A. Shiran, E. Sabo, Z. Shmuel, T.D. Golan, E. Abinader, D. Yeshurun, Eli Zuckerman, MD, Elias Toubi, MD, Avinoam Shiran, MD, Edmund Sabo, MD, Zehava Shmuel, BSc, Theo Dov Golan, MD, Edward Abinader, MD, Daniel Yeshurun, MD, (1996) 381–386.
- [30] M.N. Mishra, R. Kalra, M.K. Gupta, Antiphospholipid antibodies in young myocardial infarction patients, Indian J Biochem Biophys. 44 (2007) 481–

- 484. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18320848.
- [31] P.L. Meroni, F. Peyvandi, L. Foco, L. Bernardinelli, R. Fetiveau, P.M. Mannucci, A. Tincani, Anti-beta 2 glycoprotein I antibodies and the risk of myocardial infarction in young premenopausal women, J. Thromb. Haemost. 5 (2007) 2421–2428. doi:10.1111/j.1538-7836.2007.02763.x.
- [32] R.T. Urbanus, B. Siegerink, M. Roest, F.R. Rosendaal, P.G. de Groot, A. Algra, Antiphospholipid antibodies and risk of myocardial infarction and ischaemic stroke in young women in the RATIO study: a case-control study, Lancet Neurol. 8 (2009) 998–1005. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70239-X.
- [33] E. Maor, P. Fefer, D. Varon, N. Rosenberg, N. Levi, H. Hod, S. Matetzky,

 Thrombophilic state in young patients with acute myocardial infarction, J.

 Thromb. Thrombolysis. 39 (2015) 474–480. doi:10.1007/s11239-014
 1166-2.
- [34] L. Andreoli, C.B. Chighizola, A. Banzato, G.J. Pons-Estel, G.R. de Jesus, D. Erkan, Estimated Frequency of Antiphospholipid Antibodies in Patients With Pregnancy Morbidity, Stroke, Myocardial Infarction, and Deep Vein Thrombosis: A Critical Review of the Literature, Arthritis Care Res. (Hoboken). 65 (2013) 1869–1873. doi:10.1002/acr.22066.
- [35] R. Cervera, R. Serrano, G.J. Pons-Estel, L. Ceberio-Hualde, Y. Shoenfeld, E. de Ramón, V. Buonaiuto, S. Jacobsen, M.M. Zeher, T. Tarr, A. Tincani, M.

Taglietti, G. Theodossiades, E. Nomikou, M. Galeazzi, F. Bellisai, P.L. Meroni, R.H.W.M. Derksen, P.G.D. de Groot, M. Baleva, M. Mosca, S. Bombardieri, F. Houssiau, J.-C. Gris, I. Quéré, E. Hachulla, C. Vasconcelos, A. Fernández-Nebro, M. Haro, Z. Amoura, M. Miyara, M. Tektonidou, G. Espinosa, M.L. Bertolaccini, M.A. Khamashta, Euro-Phospholipid Project Group (European Forum on Antiphospholipid Antibodies), Morbidity and mortality in the antiphospholipid syndrome during a 10-year period: a multicentre prospective study of 1000 patients., Ann. Rheum. Dis. 74 (2015) 1011–8. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204838.

- [36] S. Sciascia, G. Sanna, M.A. Khamashta, M.J. Cuadrado, D. Erkan, L. Andreoli, M.L. Bertolaccini, APS Action, The estimated frequency of antiphospholipid antibodies in young adults with cerebrovascular events: a systematic review, Ann. Rheum. Dis. 74 (2015) 2028–2033.

 doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-205663.
- [37] D. Erkan, M. Lockshin, APS ACTION members, APS ACTION AntiPhospholipid Syndrome Alliance For Clinical Trials and InternatiOnal
 Networking, Lupus. 21 (2012) 695–698. doi:10.1177/0961203312437810.
- [38] C.B. Chighizola, L. Andreoli, G.R. de Jesus, A. Banzato, G.J. Pons-Estel, D. Erkan, APS ACTION, The association between antiphospholipid antibodies and pregnancy morbidity, stroke, myocardial infarction, and deep vein thrombosis: a critical review of the literature, Lupus. 24 (2015) 980–984.

doi: 10.1177/0961203315572714.

Acknowledgments: None

Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest: None declared

Funding: None declared

Legend of Tables and Figures:

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics of the cohort

Table 2. Patients cardiovascular risk factors and aPL positivity between groups

Table 3. Studies investigating the association of aPL and acute myocardial

infarction in patients aged <55 years' old