

Journal of Ultrasound

Power Doppler sonography to predict the risk of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JUSD-D-14-00019R2
Full Title:	Power Doppler sonography to predict the risk of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease
Article Type:	Original Paper
Abstract:	<p>Purpose. The aim of this work is to investigate the role of power Doppler sonography as an additional predictor of surgical recurrence in Crohn's disease.</p> <p>Methods. A sample of 33 patients, with ileal or ileocolonic Crohn's disease, that had undergone intestinal resection, were retrospectively enrolled. All patients had bowel ultrasonography 7-16 months after resection. Power Doppler sonography of the preanastomotic ileum was evaluated as a possible prognostication tool to assess the risk of long-term need for reoperation.</p> <p>Results. The absolute incidence of surgical recurrence in those who had a positive power Doppler was 42%, while that of those who had a negative power Doppler was 28.6%. Combining the power Doppler with bowel wall thickness, the surgical recurrence risk grew from 41.2% of those with a positive power Doppler and thickness >3 mm to 55.6% of those with a positive power Doppler and thickness >6 mm.</p> <p>Conclusions. Power Doppler look to be another useful prediction tool for the personalization of patient's care. It could be useful to perform power Doppler in all patients with a wall thickness greater than 5 mm: for those who have a positive power Doppler it may be indicated a more aggressive prophylactic therapy.</p>
Corresponding Author:	Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone ITALY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone Teresa Cammarota Andrea Resegotti Antonino Sarno Daniela Robotti Paola Debani Giovanni Bonenti Francesca Bresso Rinaldo Pellicano Alessandro Adriani Carlo Sguazzini Stefania Reggiani Marco Astegiano
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	

Author Comments:	This is the first serie in the literature in which is studied the predictive power of the color Doppler of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease; we have found another useful factor for the personalization of patient's care: knowing that the 54.5% of patients who at bowel ultrasound performed within 1 year after operation have a bowel wall thickness > 5 mm and positive power Doppler will be reoperated could select the patients that should undergo colonoscopy for assessing endoscopic recurrence and authorize the use of a more aggressive medical therapy to try to change the natural history of their disease.
Response to Reviewers:	1) the color Doppler imagine has been removed 2) declaration about Conflict of interest, Informed Consent, Human & Animal Studies have been added

Power Doppler sonography to predict the risk of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease.

Abstract

Purpose. The aim of this work is to investigate the role of power Doppler sonography as an additional predictor of surgical recurrence in Crohn's disease.

Methods. A sample of 33 patients, with ileal or ileocolonic Crohn's disease, that had underwent intestinal resection, were retrospectively enrolled. All patients had bowel ultrasonography 7–16 months after resection. Power Doppler sonography of the preanastomotic ileum was evaluated as a possible prognostication tool to assess the risk of long-term need for reoperation.

Results. The absolute incidence of surgical recurrence in those who had a positive power Doppler was 42%, while that of those who had a negative power Doppler was 28.6%. Combining the power Doppler with bowel wall thickness, the surgical recurrence risk grew from 41.2% of those with a positive power Doppler and thickness >3 mm to 55.6% of those with a positive power Doppler and thickness >6 mm.

Conclusions. Power Doppler look to be another useful prediction tool for the personalization of patient's care. It could be useful to perform power Doppler in all patients with a wall thickness greater than 5 mm: for those who have a positive power Doppler it may be indicated a more aggressive prophylactic therapy.

Keywords: Color Doppler; Inflammation; Small Bowel; Ultrasound.

Introduction

1
2
3
4
5
6 In a previous study [1], we found that bowel wall thickness at the anastomosis >3
7
8
9 mm, detected through bowel ultrasound performed 1 year after surgery, could be
10
11
12 added to the list of non-invasive predictors of early recurrence after ileo-colonic
13
14
15 resection besides those implying a double risk of recurrence, such as smoking,
16
17
18 previous bowel surgery, penetrating behavior of the disease, perianal location,
19
20
21 extensive small bowel resection, and absence of prophylactic treatment [2].
22
23

24 In recent years, power Doppler has been proposed as an additional
25
26
27 prognostication tool to increase the accuracy of bowel ultrasound in the assessment
28
29
30 of disease activity [3-5].
31
32

33 A wide deployment of these additional techniques is impaired by the intrinsic
34
35
36 variability. It has been also proposed that the morphological characteristics of the
37
38
39 intestinal wall correlate with the histological pattern [6, 7] and with the clinical activity
40
41
42 [8-11], although the correlation between the intestinal wall thickness and Crohn's
43
44
45 disease activity index (CDAI) is not close [12].
46
47
48
49
50

Methodology

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 We performed a retrospective study on 196 patients that underwent ileal or ileo-
2 colonic resection with ileo-colonic anastomosis between December 1993 and March
3
4
5
6 2009 (all diagnosis of Crohn's disease was confirmed through histologic
7
8
9 examination). All patients underwent bowel ultrasound after at least 6 months from
10
11
12 surgery; in this study, we analyzed a total of 33 patients who were evaluated by
13
14
15 power Doppler.
16

17
18 The study was reviewed and authorized by the Local Ethical Committee.
19

20
21 Bowel ultrasound was performed using the following ultrasonographic
22
23 equipments: ESAOTE AU4, ESAOTE Technos, ESAOTE MyLab 70, and TOSHIBA
24
25 Aplio. A first evaluation of the bowel was made with a convex transducer (frequency
26
27 3.5 MHz) and then with a high frequency linear-array transducer (7.5–10 MHz);
28
29
30
31 power Doppler was performed at the preanastomotic ileum.
32
33

34
35
36 The four ultrasonographers who performed the examinations were all
37
38 radiologists with at least 3 years of experience in ultrasound scanning of patients with
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

The four ultrasonographers who performed the examinations were all radiologists with at least 3 years of experience in ultrasound scanning of patients with intestinal bowel diseases and all working in the same department. Each investigation was performed by one radiologist, C. T., S. A, R. D., D. P., who, respectively, performed 11, 10, 7 and 5 investigations. During the procedure, the involved tract wall was examined in a transverse section, from the central hyperechoic line of the lumen to the outer hyperechoic margin of the wall with a linear-array transducer. Wall thickness of the ileo-colonic anastomosis was calculated as the average of at least 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

measurements; at the end of the US investigation, measurements of intestinal wall and power Doppler results at the ileo-colonic anastomosis level were reported on a standardized form. Flow was considered to be present when colored pixels could be constantly reproduced in the area of interest or when flowmetric signals could be documented by spectral analysis of the pulsed color Doppler signal. Color Doppler flow was subjectively characterized either as positive (clearly visible, i.e. numerous color signals distributed within and/or around the inflammatory mass or clear identification of vessel paths or minimally visible, i.e. few and/or scattered color signals) or negative (no color signal obtained either inside the lesion or in the periphery).

Ileocolonoscopy was performed in a little percentage of patients and at a different time than bowel ultrasound (due to the invasiveness of this type of exam in patients with resection of the ileum for Crohn's disease), so it is impossible to prove any correlation.

The CDAI was calculated in the follow-up visits.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using MedCalc software (version 9.2.1.0). The relative risk was calculated with a confidence interval of 95%.

Results

Clinical characteristics of the study population are reported in Table 1.

Table 1.

Other data: 28 patients were clinically inactive (CDAI < 150 at the time of the bowel ultrasound); bowel ultrasound was performed after a mean time of 13 months (range 7–16 months) after surgery.

The average follow-up was 95 months (range: 25–156 months) after surgery.

Major surgical complications occurred in 5 out of the 33 patients (15%).

Clearly, our final analysis refers to the reoperation for the disease recurrence and not to the reoperation for these immediate complications (Table 2).

Table 2.

The rate of surgical recurrence for the whole population was 36.4% (12 of 33 patients), at a mean distance of 50 months from previous surgery (range: 20-144 months).

Discussion

1
2
3
4
5
6 Although a sample of 33 patients is not very a large one, considering that power
7
8
9 doppler in real world is usually assessed only in a subgroup of patients that
10
11
12 underwent bowel ultrasound and the follow up of 95 months is long enough, this
13
14
15 looks to be the first case in the literature in which the predictive power of color
16
17
18 Doppler for the surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease is assessed.

19
20
21 Out of 33 patients, 19 of them had a positive power Doppler after surgery and
22
23
24 this seems to be linked to the fact that color Doppler in clinical practice is performed
25
26
27 mostly in patients with a thickened bowel wall and, thus, with a greater probability of
28
29
30 active disease.

31
32
33 The surgical recurrence was found to be 36.4%; this percentage is higher than
34
35
36 the 20.4% found in our previous study [1]; this seems linked to the fact that the
37
38
39 population in which the power Doppler is normally assessed usually has an
40
41
42 increased risk of recurrence (increased thickness of intestinal wall).

43
44
45 Patients with positive power Doppler at the anastomosis run a relative risk =
46
47
48 1.5 (95% CI = 0.6–3.9) of undergoing surgery compared to patients with negative
49
50
51 power Doppler.

52
53
54 The RR of surgical recurrence of those with positive color Doppler compared to those
55
56
57 with negative color Doppler does not reach the statistical significance, since there is
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 a selection bias of the population, as there are very few patients with negative power
2 Doppler and physiological thickness of the wall (those who have physiological
3 thickness of the wall generally will not be evaluated by power Doppler in real world,
4
5
6
7
8
9 which is the setting of our study).

10
11 The RR of surgical recurrence for patients with positive power Doppler and a bowel
12 wall thickness at the anastomosis greater than 3 mm (18 patients), 4 mm (16
13
14
15
16
17
18 patients), 5 mm (12 patients), 6 mm (10 patients), respectively, compared to patients
19
20
21 with negative power Doppler and lower bowel thickness is shown in Table 3.
22
23
24
25
26

27 Table 3.
28
29
30
31
32

33 The RR of patients with positive power Doppler and increased wall thickness
34 does not reach the statistical significance compared to those with negative power
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

66 The absolute incidence of new surgical intervention was: 28.6% in patients
67 with negative power Doppler, 42% with positive power Doppler, 41.2% with positive
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

finally, 55.6% with positive power Doppler and thickness >6 mm (Figure 1).

Figure 1.

As far as the therapy, the percentage of patients not taking prophylactic therapy of post-surgical recurrence fell from 31.1% of the previous study [1] to 20%; only 12% of patients were receiving steroids and 3% immunosuppressive agents (Figure 2).

Figure 2.

The percentage of patients with positive power Doppler that had taken steroids or immunosuppressants or biologics at the time of bowel ultrasound (2 out of 19, 10.5%) is similar to that of the whole population (5 out of 33, 15%).

Some critical issues should be considered. The retrospective design of the study represents a limitation and this is the reason why the color Doppler has been assessed mainly in patients with thickened bowel wall, but this is exactly what happens in daily clinical practice; in addition, the examinations have been assessed by the same group of radiologists and all patients were treated along the years by the same doctor (A. M.).

1
2
3 In conclusion, it seems we have found another useful factor for the
4 personalization of patient's care: knowing that 54.5% of patients who performed
5 bowel ultrasound within 1 year after operation and showed both a bowel wall
6 thickness >5 mm and a positive power Doppler will be reoperated, this could help
7
8 selecting the patients that should undergo colonoscopy for assessing the endoscopic
9 recurrence and suggest the use of a more aggressive medical therapy to try to
10
11 change the natural history of their disease.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 A prospective study would also be useful, where the population with bowel
22 wall thickness >5 mm within 1 year after surgery would be assessed by color
23
24 Doppler: those with positive color Doppler should undergo colonoscopy for assessing
25
26 endoscopic recurrence and should be treated with more aggressive drugs (topical or
27
28 systemic steroids, immunosuppressants, biological agents) to modify the disease
29
30 behavior and to extend their surgical recurrence-free interval.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Conflict of interest

43
44
45 We have no conflict of interest.
46
47
48
49
50

51 Informed Consent

52
53
54 All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the
55
56 responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. All patients provided written
4
5 informed consent to enrolment in the study and to the inclusion in this article of
6
7 information that could potentially lead to their identification.
8
9

10 11 12 Human & Animal Studies

13
14
15 The study was conducted in accordance with all institutional and national guidelines
16
17
18 for the care and use of laboratory animals.
19
20
21
22
23

24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

- 1) Cammarota T, Ribaldone DG, Resegotti A, Repici A, Danese S, Fiorino G, et al (2013) Role of bowel
ultrasound as a predictor of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 48:552-555
- 2) Bernstein C, Rawsthorne P, Cheang M, Blanchard JF (2006) A population-based case control study of
potential risk factors for IBD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 101:993-1002
- 3) Esteban JM, Aleixandre A, Hurtado MJ, Maldonado L, Mora FJ, Nogues E (2003) Contrast-enhanced power
Doppler ultrasound in the diagnosis and follow-up of inflammatory abdominal masses in Crohn's disease.
Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003 15:253-259
- 4) Robotti D, Cammarota T, Deboni P, Sarno A, Astegiano M (2004) Activity of Crohn disease: value of Color-
Power-Doppler and contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. *Abdom Imaging* 29:648-652
- 5) Bolondi L, Gaiani S, Brignola C, Campieri M, Rigamonti A, Zironi G, et al (1992) Changes in splanchnic
hemodynamics in inflammatory bowel disease. Non-invasive assessment by Doppler ultrasound
flowmetry. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 27:501-507
- 6) Parente F, Maconi G, Bollani S, Anderloni A, Sampietro G, Cristaldi M, et al (2002) Bowel ultrasound in
assessment of Crohn's disease and detection of related small bowel strictures: a prospective

comparative study versus x ray and intraoperative findings. Gut 50:490-495

- 1
2 7) Maconi G, Carsana L, Fociani P, Sampietro GM, Ardizzone S, Cristaldi M, et al (2003) Small bowel stenosis
3
4 in Crohn's disease: clinical, biochemical and ultrasonographic evaluation of histological features.
5
6 Aliment Pharmacol Ther 18:749-756
7
- 8 8) Mayer D, Reinshagen M, Mason RA, Muche R, von Tirpitz C, Eckelt D, et al (2000) Sonographic
9
10 measurement of thickened bowel wall segments as a quantitative parameter for activity in inflammatory bowel
11
12 disease. Z Gastroenterol 38:295-300
13
14
- 15 9) Haber HP, Busch A, Ziebach R, Stern M (2000) Bowel wall thickness measured by ultrasound as a marker of
16
17 Crohn's disease activity in children. Lancet 355:1239-1240
18
19
- 20 10) Castiglione F, deSio I, Cozzolino A, Rispo A, Manguso F, Del Vecchio Blanco G (2004) Bowel wall
21
22 thickness at abdominal ultrasound and the one-year-risk of surgery in patients with Crohn's disease. Am J
23
24 Gastroenterol 99:1977-1983
25
26
- 27 11) Maconi G, Parente F, Bollani S, Cesana B, Bianchi Porro G (1996) Abdominal ultrasound in the assessment
28
29 of extent and activity of Crohn's disease: clinical significance and implication of bowel wall thickening. Am J
30
31 Gastroenterol 91:1604-1609
32
33
- 34 12) Best WR, Beckett JM, Singleton JW (1979) Rederived values of the eight coefficients of the Crohn's Disease
35
36 Activity Index (CDAI). Gastroenterology 77:843-846
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Fig. 1 Surgical recurrence rate

Fig. 2 Medications at the time of the bowel ultrasound

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

Power Doppler sonography to predict the risk of surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease.

Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone ^a, Teresa Cammarota ^b, Andrea Resegotti ^c, Antonino Sarno ^b, Daniela Robotti ^b, Paola Debanì ^b, Giovanni Bonenti ^b, Francesca Bresso ^d, Rinaldo Pellicano ^a, Alessandro Adriani ^a, Carlo Sguazzini ^a, Stefania Reggiani ^a, Marco Astegiano

^a

^a Department of Gastro-Hepatology, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

^b Radiologia 5, Department of Radiology, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

^c 7th Department of Surgery, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

^d Gastrocentrum medicin, Karolinska university hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Davide Giuseppe Ribaldone: Department of Gastro-Hepatology, Città della Salute e della Scienza, Corso Bramante, n° 88, 10126 Turin, Italy; tel (0039)3476861126, fax (0039)0116333623, davrib_1998@yahoo.com

Running title: Doppler and Crohn's disease recurrence.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population ($n = 33$, Crohn's disease patients).

Males/females	18/15
Median age, years (range)	44 (24–72)
Duration of Crohn's disease, years (range)	12 (0–54)
Smoking habit at surgery, n (%)	
Ever smoker	15 (45.5)
Never smoker	18 (54.5)
Previous surgery, n (%)	
Never	17 (51.5)
1	13 (39.4)
2	1 (3.0)
> 2	2 (6.1)
Total length of bowel resection (cm)	
< 50	20 (60.6%)
>= 50	13 (39.4%)
Indication for surgery, n (%)	
Strictures	20 (60.6)
Fistula	5 (15.2)
Refractoriness to medical therapy	6 (18.2)
Perforation	2 (6.1)

Table 2. Major surgical complications.

Patients with major surgical complications	5 (15%)
Complications	
Anastomotic dehiscence	2
Entero-cutaneous fistula	1
Hemorrhage	1
Venous thrombosis	1
Reoperation	2
Number of patients with anastomosis wall thickness >3 mm 1 year after operation	5 (100%)
Number of patients with surgical recurrence	3 (60%)

Table 3. RR of surgical recurrence.

Power Doppler positive and wall thickness at the anastomosis (mm)	RR
> 3	1.3 (95% CI = 0.5 ÷ 3.3)
> 4	1.7 (95% CI = 0.7 ÷ 4.2)
> 5	2.0 (95% CI = 0.8 ÷ 4.8)
> 6	1.9 (95% CI = 0.8 ÷ 4.5)





Figure 2

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Authorship disclosure form

[Click here to download Authorship disclosure form: Cover letter.doc](#)