

# The Journal of Rheumatology

The Journal of Rheumatology

Volume 34, no. 4

Summary findings of a systematic review of the ultrasound assessment of synovitis.

Fredrick Joshua, Marissa Lassere, George A Bruyn, Marcin Szkudlarek, Esperanza Naredo, Wolfgang A Schmidt, Peter Balint, Emilio Filippucci, Marina Backhaus, Annamaria Iagnocco, Alexander K Scheel, David Kane, Walter Grassi, Philip G Conaghan, Richard J Wakefield and Maria-Antonietta D'Agostino

J Rheumatol 2007;34;839-847

<http://www.jrheum.org/content/34/4/839.1>

1. Sign up for our monthly e-table of contents  
<http://www.jrheum.org/cgi/alerts/etoc>
2. Information on Subscriptions  
<http://jrheum.com/subscribe.html>
3. Have us contact your library about access options  
[Refer\\_your\\_library@jrheum.com](mailto:Refer_your_library@jrheum.com)
4. Information on permissions/orders of reprints  
<http://jrheum.com/reprints.html>

*The Journal of Rheumatology* is a monthly international serial edited by Earl D. Silverman featuring research articles on clinical subjects from scientists working in rheumatology and related fields.

# Summary Findings of a Systematic Review of the Ultrasound Assessment of Synovitis

FREDRICK JOSHUA, MARISSA LASSERE, GEORGE A. BRUYN, MARCIN SZKUDLAREK, ESPERANZA NAREDO, WOLFGANG A. SCHMIDT, PETER BALINT, EMILIO FILIPPUCCI, MARINA BACKHAUS, ANNAMARIA IAGNOCCO, ALEXANDER K. SCHEEL, DAVID KANE, WALTER GRASSI, PHILIP G. CONAGHAN, RICHARD J. WAKEFIELD, and MARIA-ANTONIETTA D'AGOSTINO

**ABSTRACT.** This report presents the results of a recent systematic review performed by the OMERACT Ultrasound Group on the metric properties of ultrasound for the detection of synovitis in inflammatory arthritis. Reviews were conducted for the hand, wrist, elbow, shoulder, knee, ankle, and foot; most reports were related to the hand and knee, and the most common disease process was rheumatoid arthritis. The review highlights the current gaps in the literature, including a lack of reliability data with respect to intra-occasion and intra- and inter-reader reliability. Current work by our group is addressing these issues. (*J Rheumatol* 2007;34:839–47)

## Key Indexing Terms:

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

ULTRASOUND

SYNOVITIS

*From the Department of Rheumatology, St. George Hospital, University of NSW, Sydney, Australia; Medical Centre Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden, The Netherlands; Hvidovre Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; Department of Rheumatology, Severo Ochoa Hospital, Madrid, Spain; Medical Center for Rheumatology Berlin-Buch, Berlin, Germany; Third Rheumatology Department, National Institute of Rheumatology and Physiotherapy, Budapest, Hungary; University of Ancona, Ancona, Italy; Charite University Hospital, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany; Rheumatology Unit, University La Sapienza, Rome, Italy; Department of Medicine, Nephrology and Rheumatology, Georg-August University, Göttingen, Germany; Adelaide and Meath Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; Academic Unit of Musculoskeletal Disease, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK; and Ambroise Pare Hospital, Boulogne-Billancourt, France.*

*F. Joshua, MB BS, FRACP, Rheumatology Research Fellow, Department of Rheumatology, St. George Hospital, University of NSW; M. Lassere, MB BS (Hons) Grad Dip Epi, PhD, FRACP, FAFPHM, Senior Staff Specialist Rheumatologist, St. George Hospital, Associate Professor in Medicine (Conjoint), University of New South Wales; G.A.W. Bruyn, MD, PhD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Medical Centre Leeuwarden; M. Szkudlarek, MD, PhD, Consultant Rheumatologist, University of Copenhagen Hvidovre Hospital; E. Naredo, MD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Department of Rheumatology, Research Unit, Epidemiology Unit, Severo Ochoa Hospital; E. Naredo, MD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Department of Rheumatology, Severo Ochoa Hospital; W.A. Schmidt, MD, Local Director, Medical Center for Rheumatology Berlin-Buch; P.V. Balint, MD, PhD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Third Rheumatology Department, National Institute of Rheumatology and Physiotherapy, Budapest; E. Filippucci, MD, PhD, Consultant in Rheumatology, University of Ancona; M. Backhaus, MD, PhD, Associate Professor of Rheumatology, Charite University Hospital, Humboldt University; A. Iagnocco, MD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Rheumatology Unit, University La Sapienza; A.K. Scheel, MD, PhD, Associate Professor of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Nephrology and Rheumatology, Georg-August University; D. Kane, MRCPI, PhD, Consultant Rheumatologist, Adelaide and Meath Hospital; W. Grassi, MD, Professor of Rheumatology, University of Ancona; P.G. Conaghan, MB BS, PhD, FRACP, FRCP, Professor of Musculoskeletal Disease, Academic Unit of Musculoskeletal Disease, University of Leeds; R.J. Wakefield, BM, MD, MRCP, Senior Lecturer in Rheumatology, Academic Unit of Musculoskeletal Disease, University of Leeds; M-A. D'Agostino, MD, Assistant Professor, Ambroise Pare Hospital.*

*Address reprint requests to Dr. F. Joshua, Rheumatology Research Fellow, St. George Hospital, University of NSW, Sydney, Australia  
E-mail: fredjoshua@mac.com*

Ultrasound (US) is increasingly being investigated as a tool for the assessment of synovitis. It is a noninvasive, nonionizing method of assessing joints through the use of reflected sound waves to provide an image. To enable its use in routine practice and clinical trials an assessment of its metric qualities is required.

Measurement applies scientific principles of design with selected statistical methods to describe and quantify. The reliability of a test result is its ability to be reproduced. In US this is a critical issue. US can be divided into the acquisition and the reading phases, as well as the reliability of one observer (intraobserver) and multiple observers (interobserver) to reproduce the result. Validity is the ability of US to accurately reveal what it is supposed to. Responsiveness is the ability of the tool to demonstrate change. These are all components of the OMERACT filter<sup>1</sup>.

The OMERACT Ultrasound Special Interest Group (OUSIG), as part of its evaluation of US as a measurement tool of synovitis, needed to assess the gaps in current knowledge. To do this a systematic review of the literature was performed.

## METHODS

The review process comprised 5 steps. (1) The objective of the review was defined. (2) A single joint was given to a small group for evaluation. (3) The literature was searched to locate all studies that incorporated US of the joint specified and inflammatory arthritis. (4) Data from the articles were extracted using a standardized template. (5) The results were sent to a single center and collated.

The OUSIG decided to evaluate the available literature on US assessment of synovitis in the hand, wrist, elbow, shoulder, knee, ankle and posterior foot, and forefoot. The data were extracted using a template that was specifically designed for the review. The data collected were descriptive and contained primarily information on the metric quality (reliability, validity, responsiveness) being studied in the article. Data were then put into an Excel spreadsheet and sent to one investigator for collation (FJ).

Aspects of reliability covered included the intra-occasion, inter-observer, and intra-observer. Reliability was further divided into acquisition and image-reading reliability. Criterion validity was considered when US was compared to histology or macroscopic appearance as this is the closest comparison to a "gold standard." Construct validity is achieved when measures agree with other measures that evaluate the same phenomenon. This was achieved when comparison was made between US with other imaging techniques and laboratory and clinical data. Responsiveness of US is its ability to change after an intervention.

## RESULTS

Studies that evaluated US and the joint specified and synovitis were identified in PubMed from January 1966 to June 2005. The search was limited to English language articles and included only original articles. Reviews and letters were noted but not included.

Tables 1 to 7 summarize the data for each joint and disease and the performance characteristic studied.

Table 1. Hand OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
De Flaviis <sup>4</sup>	1988	20	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Fornage <sup>5</sup>	1989	16	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	Pathology, clinical
Grassi <sup>6</sup>	1993	20	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Lund <sup>7</sup>	1995	29	RA	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Grassi <sup>8</sup>	1995	20	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Olivieri <sup>9</sup>	1996	10	SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI
Hau <sup>10</sup>	1999	34	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Backhaus <sup>11</sup>	1999	60	RA, SpA	—	—	Yes	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI, bone scan
Kane <sup>12</sup>	1999	17	SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Kotob <sup>13</sup>	1999	54	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	Pathology, clinical
Wakefield <sup>14</sup>	2000	100	RA	—	—	Yes	Yes	Yes	—	—	Yes	X-ray, MRI
Swen <sup>15</sup>	2000	21	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	Pathology, MRI
Magarelli <sup>16</sup>	2001	1	SpA	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	—	—
Qvistgaard <sup>17</sup>	2001	18	RA	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Stone <sup>18</sup>	2001	12	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Szkudlarek <sup>19</sup>	2001	15	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Ferrell <sup>20</sup>	2001	13	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laser Doppler
Hau <sup>21</sup>	2002	5	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Klauser <sup>22</sup>	2002	46	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Ribbens <sup>23</sup>	2003	11	RA	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Szkudlarek <sup>24</sup>	2003	30	RA	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Szudlarek <sup>25</sup>	2003	18	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI
Terslev <sup>26</sup>	2003	11	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical
Terslev <sup>27</sup>	2003	29	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI
Weidekamm <sup>28</sup>	2003	47	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Lopez <sup>29</sup>	2003	10	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	X-ray
Raza <sup>30</sup>	2003	30	Inflammatory arthritis	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Czekajska-Chehab <sup>31</sup>	2003	7	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
D'Agostino <sup>32</sup>	2004	70	RA	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	—	—
Hoving <sup>33</sup>	2004	46	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	X-ray, MRI
Hielscher <sup>34</sup>	2004	2	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory, laser Doppler
Magnani <sup>35</sup>	2004	13	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory, MRI
Agarwal <sup>36</sup>	2005	10	RS <sub>3</sub> PE	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Scheel <sup>37</sup>	2005	13	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laser Doppler
Scheel <sup>38</sup>	2005	4	RA	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI
Scheel <sup>39</sup>	2005	46	RA	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray, MRI
Varsamidis <sup>40</sup>	2005	32	RA	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical

PsA: psoriatic arthritis, SpA: spondyloarthropathy, HCV: hepatitis C virus, SS: Sjögren's syndrome, PMR: polymyalgia rheumatica, RS<sub>3</sub>PE: remitting seronegative symmetrical synovitis with pitting edema, NS: nonsignificant.

Table 2. Wrist OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Speigel <sup>41</sup>	1987	6	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
De Flaviis <sup>4</sup>	1988	20	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Fornage <sup>5</sup>	1989	31	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Goldenstein <sup>42</sup>	1989	25	Wrist swelling	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	Pathology, clinical, CT, MRI
Koski <sup>43</sup>	1992	50	Inflammatory arthritis	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, US after injection of saline
Lund <sup>7</sup>	1995	39	RA	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Yes	Pathology, clinical
van Vugt <sup>44</sup>	1997	7	Inflammatory arthritis	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Koski <sup>45</sup>	2001	85	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical
Frediani <sup>46</sup>	2002	178	RA, SpA, PMR	—	—	Yes	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Ribbens <sup>23</sup>	2003	21	RA	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Terslev <sup>26</sup>	2003	29	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory, MRI
Weidekamm <sup>28</sup>	2003	47	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Terslev <sup>27</sup>	2003	16	RA	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	Clinical
Hoving <sup>33</sup>	2004	46	RA	Yes	—	—	Yes	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory, x-ray, MRI
Magnani <sup>35</sup>	2004	13	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	MRI
Strunk <sup>47</sup>	2004	33	RA	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Varsamidis <sup>40</sup>	2005	43	RA	—	Yes	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical

Table 3. Elbow OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Koski <sup>48</sup>	1990	65	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Okamoto <sup>49</sup>	2000	32	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Lerch <sup>50</sup>	2003	320	PMR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	X-ray
Luukkainen <sup>51</sup>	2005	50	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical

## DISCUSSION

US has potential as a measurement tool in inflammatory arthritis and has been increasingly investigated. It is a safe, cheap, nonionizing, dynamic method of imaging, but for routine use the performance characteristics of reliability, validity, and responsiveness must be investigated. This review summarizes the findings of a systematic review of the literature assessing the use of US in the assessment of inflammatory arthritis.

The major inflammatory condition studied was rheumatoid arthritis, as expected, given the larger burden of disease of this condition. The major joints studied are the hand and knee, due to the ease of assessing these joints and the preponderance for inflammatory diseases to affect them.

The majority of studies were concerned with proving that US assessment is demonstrating what it is supposed to. The

assessment was primarily in contrast to other constructs such as clinical assessments, laboratory markers, and other imaging techniques such as radiographs, bone scan, computerized tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. There was less information comparing US to standards such as histopathology or surgical macroscopic findings.

In US, reliability must be further divided into the acquisition and reading of images because of the subjective nature of image acquisition. The scanning technique for each joint needs to be standardized, and through consensus meetings, position statements have been developed<sup>2</sup>. Even with standard imaging protocols it is important to test the acquisition reliability of US because of the multiplanar capability of US scanning, and the choice of image is at the discretion of the sonographer. The next step is the reliability of image reading.

From our review there are major gaps in the reliability test-

Table 4. Shoulder OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Koski <sup>52</sup>	1989	56	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Koski <sup>53</sup>	1991	99	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Koski <sup>54</sup>	1992	19	PMR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Historical
Alasaarela <sup>55</sup>	1994	44	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Alasaarela <sup>56</sup>	1997	56	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	MRI
Alasaarela <sup>57</sup>	1997	60	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	MRI
Alasaarela <sup>58</sup>	1998	26	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	X-ray, MRI, CT
Alasaarela <sup>59</sup>	1998	36	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	Pathology
Coari <sup>60</sup>	1999	352	RA, PMR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Cantini <sup>61</sup>	2001	171	PMR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	MRI
Cantini <sup>62</sup>	2001	18	PMR	—	—	Yes	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Laboratory
Naranjo <sup>63</sup>	2002	54	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Falsetti <sup>64</sup>	2002	450	RA, SpA, OA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Frediani <sup>46</sup>	2002	178	PMR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Hermann <sup>65</sup>	2003	43	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	X-ray, MRI
Strunk <sup>66</sup>	2003	41	RA	—	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Wamser <sup>67</sup>	2003	24	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	MRI

ing of US. This is primarily in the assessment of acquisition reliability, although the reading reliability is also limited. This is an important deficiency, because if the reliability is poor it is very difficult to have confidence in test results. The recognition of this deficiency has led to studies through OUSIG and independent groups evaluating reliability<sup>3</sup>.

There are studies looking at sensitivity to change, but again this is limited. This is expected, as other metrics need to be proven first.

The challenge in US is to confirm reliability results before moving on to prospective studies that will evaluate the relationship of US findings to disease progression to prove the utility of US above other imaging and clinical measures.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The group leaders for the systematic reviews were as follows: the hand, Fred Joshua; the shoulder, George Bryun; forefoot, Fred Joshua; knee, Maria-Antonietta D'Agostino; wrist, Marcin Szkudlarek; and ankle/posterior foot, Richard Wakefield.

#### REFERENCES

- Boers M, Brooks P, Strand CV, Tugwell P. The OMERACT filter for Outcome Measures in Rheumatology. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:198-9.
- Backhaus M, Burmester GR, Gerber T, et al. Guidelines for musculoskeletal ultrasound in rheumatology. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;60:641-9.
- Naredo E, Moller I, Moragues C, et al. Interobserver reliability in musculoskeletal ultrasonography: results from a "Teach the Teachers" rheumatologist course. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:14-9.
- De Flaviis L, Scaglione P, Nessi R, Ventura R, Calori G. Ultrasonography of the hand in rheumatoid arthritis. *Acta Radiol* 1988;29:457-60.
- Fornage BD. Soft-tissue changes in the hand in rheumatoid arthritis: evaluation with US. *Radiology* 1989;173:735-7.
- Grassi W, Tittarelli E, Pirani O, Avaltroni D, Cervini C. Ultrasound examination of metacarpophalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1993;22:243-7.
- Lund PJ, Heikal A, Maricic MJ, Krupinski EA, Williams CS. Ultrasonographic imaging of the hand and wrist in rheumatoid arthritis. *Skeletal Radiol* 1995;24:591-6.
- Grassi W, Tittarelli E, Blasetti P, Pirani O, Cervini C. Finger tendon involvement in rheumatoid arthritis. Evaluation with high-frequency sonography. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:786-94.
- Olivieri I, Barozzi L, Favaro L, et al. Dactylitis in patients with seronegative spondylarthropathy. Assessment by ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:1524-8.
- Hau M, Schultz H, Tony HP, et al. Evaluation of pannus and vascularization of the metacarpophalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis by high-resolution ultrasound (multidimensional linear array). *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:2303-8.
- Backhaus M, Kamradt T, Sandrock D, et al. Arthritis of the finger joints: a comprehensive approach comparing conventional radiography, scintigraphy, ultrasound, and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:1232-45.
- Kane D, Greaney T, Bresnihan B, Gibney R, FitzGerald O. Ultrasonography in the diagnosis and management of psoriatic dactylitis. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:1746-51.
- Kotob H, Kamel M. Identification and prevalence of rheumatoid nodules in the finger tendons using high frequency ultrasonography. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:1264-8.
- Wakefield RJ, Gibbon WW, Conaghan PG, et al. The value of sonography in the detection of bone erosions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with conventional radiography. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:2762-70.
- Swen WA, Jacobs JW, Hubach PC, Klasens JH, Algra PR, Bijlsma JW. Comparison of sonography and magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of partial tears of finger extensor tendons in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology Oxford* 2000;39:55-62.
- Magarelli N, Guglielmi G, Di Matteo L, Tartaro A, Mattei PA, Bonomo L. Diagnostic utility of an echo-contrast agent in patients with synovitis using power Doppler ultrasound: a preliminary study

Table 5. Knee OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Moore <sup>80</sup>	1975	14	RA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Surgery
Cooperberg <sup>81</sup>	1978	NS	RA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical, arthrography
Hammer <sup>82</sup>	1986	NS	RA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	MRI
van Holsbeeck <sup>83</sup>	1988	20	RA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical, biology, therapy
Rubaltelli <sup>84</sup>	1994	25	RA, PsA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Histology
Lehitinen <sup>85</sup>	1994	20	SpA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical
Ostergaard <sup>86</sup>	1995	20	RA, OA, healthy	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	MRI, clinical
Lehitinen <sup>87</sup>	1995	23	SpA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Newman <sup>88</sup>	1996	6	RA	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NS
Fiocco <sup>89</sup>	1996	24	RA, PsA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Histology
McGonagle <sup>90</sup>	1998	20	RA, PsA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	MRI
Schmidt <sup>91</sup>	2000	20	RA, OA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	No	Histology
Giovagnorio <sup>92</sup>	2001	17	RA, SpA, OA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	NS	Yes	Clinical
Walther <sup>93</sup>	2001	23	RA, OA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	NS	Yes	Histology
Frediani <sup>94</sup>	2001	80	RA, PsA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	NS
Magarelli <sup>95</sup>	2001	40	RA, PsA, others	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	MRI
Frediani <sup>96</sup>	2002	80	RA, PsA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
M Carotti <sup>97</sup>	2002	42	RA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	NS	Yes	Clinical, laboratory
Iagnocco <sup>98</sup>	2002	91	SS, RA + SS	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	NS
Balint <sup>99</sup>	2002	35	SpA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical
Fiocco <sup>100</sup>	2003	17	RA, PsA	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Arthritis
Tarhan <sup>101</sup>	2003	74	OA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	MRI
Terslev <sup>102</sup>	2003	51	RA	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Clinical
D'Agostino <sup>103</sup>	2003	224	SpA	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NS	Clinical
Kane <sup>104</sup>	2003	22	RA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical
Kamel <sup>105</sup>	2003	32	SpA	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	MRI
Salaffi <sup>106</sup>	2004	18	RA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical, laboratory
Karim <sup>107</sup>	204	60	RA	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Clinical, arthroscopy
Iagnocco <sup>108</sup>	2004	29	HCV	NS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NS	NS	NS	Clinical
Kamel <sup>109</sup>	2004	16	SpA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	no	NS	MRI
Naredo <sup>110</sup>	2005	51	RA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical, laboratory
Naredo <sup>111</sup>	2005	11	RA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical, laboratory
D'Agostino <sup>112</sup>	2005	600	OA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	NS	Clinical, x-ray
Acebes <sup>113</sup>	2005	30	OA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical, x-ray
Beckers <sup>114</sup>	2005	16	RA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clinical, laboratory, MRI
Scheel <sup>115</sup>	2005	4	RA, SpA	NS	NS	Yes	NS	No	NS	NS	Yes	MRI
Fiocco <sup>116</sup>	2005	20	RA, PsA	NS	NS	NS	Yes	Yes	NS	NS	NS	Clinical, laboratory
Iagnocco <sup>117</sup>	2006	23	PsA, RA	NS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NS	Clinical, laboratory
Naredo <sup>118</sup>	2006	24	PMR, OA, SpA	NS	NS	Yes	NS	Yes	NS	NS	NS	No
Jan <sup>119</sup>	2006	30	OA	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Clinical, x-ray

PsA: psoriatic arthritis, SpA: spondyloarthropathy, HCV: hepatitis C virus, SS: Sjögren's syndrome, PMR: polymyalgia rheumatica, NS: nonsignificant.

with comparison to contrast-enhanced MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2001;11:1039-46.

17. Qvistgaard E, Rogind H, Torp-Pedersen S, Terslev L, Danneskiold-Samsøe B, Bliddal H. Quantitative ultrasonography in rheumatoid arthritis: evaluation of inflammation by Doppler technique. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;60:690-3.
18. Stone M, Bergin D, Whelan B, Maher M, Murray J, McCarthy C.

Power Doppler ultrasound assessment of rheumatoid hand synovitis. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1979-82.

19. Szkudlarek M, Court-Payen M, Strandberg C, Klarlund M, Klausen T, Ostergaard M. Power Doppler ultrasonography for assessment of synovitis in the metacarpophalangeal joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison with dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:2018-23.



Table 6. Ankle OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Koski <sup>68</sup>	1990	18	RA, SpA, gout	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Nazarian <sup>69</sup>	1995			—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Lehtinen <sup>70</sup>	1996	17	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, MRI
Jacobson <sup>71</sup>	1998			—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Yes	Yes	Pathology, MRI
Luukkainen <sup>72</sup>	2003	20	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical

Table 7. Foot OMERACT filter.

Study	Year	No.	Condition	Intra-occasion Reliability	Intra-observer Acquisition Reliability	Intra-observer Reading Reliability	Inter-observer Acquisition Reliability	Inter-observer Reading Reliability	Sensitivity to Change	Criterion Validity	Construct Validity	Comparator
Koski <sup>68</sup>	1990	18	+	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Koski <sup>73</sup>	1993	25	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Coakley <sup>74</sup>	1994	28	RA, TEAR	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Koski <sup>75</sup>	1995	25	RA, SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Koski <sup>76</sup>	1998	25	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Kane <sup>12</sup>	1999	17	SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical, x-ray
Klocke <sup>77</sup>	2001	15	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	x-ray
Iagnocco <sup>78</sup>	2001	112	RA, OA, SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Szkudlarek <sup>24</sup>	2003	30	RA	—	—	—	Yes	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
Luukkainen <sup>72</sup>	2003	30	RA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical
D'Agostino <sup>79</sup>	2005	68	RA, SpA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	Yes	Clinical

20. Ferrell WR, Balint PV, Egan CG, Lockhart JC, Sturrock RD. Metacarpophalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis: laser Doppler imaging — initial experience. *Radiology* 2001;220:257-62.
21. Hau M, Kneitz C, Tony HP, Keberle M, Jahns R, Jenett M. High resolution ultrasound detects a decrease in pannus vascularisation of small finger joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving treatment with soluble tumour necrosis factor alpha receptor (etanercept). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:55-8.
22. Klauser A, Frauscher F, Schirmer M, et al. The value of contrast-enhanced color Doppler ultrasound in the detection of vascularization of finger joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:647-53.
23. Ribbens C, Andre B, Marcelis S, et al. Rheumatoid hand joint synovitis: gray-scale and power Doppler US quantifications following anti-tumor necrosis factor-alpha treatment: pilot study. *Radiology* 2003;229:562-9.
24. Szkudlarek M, Court-Payen M, Jacobsen S, Klarlund M, Thomsen HS, Ostergaard M. Interobserver agreement in ultrasonography of the finger and toe joints in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:955-62.
25. Szkudlarek M, Court-Payen M, Strandberg C, Klarlund M, Klausen T, Ostergaard M. Contrast-enhanced power Doppler ultrasonography of the metacarpophalangeal joints in rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur Radiol* 2003;13:163-8.
26. Terslev L, Torp-Pedersen S, Savnik A, et al. Doppler ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging of synovial inflammation of the hand in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparative study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:2434-41.
27. Terslev L, Torp-Pedersen S, Qvistgaard E, et al. Effects of treatment with etanercept (Enbrel, TNRF:Fc) on rheumatoid arthritis evaluated by Doppler ultrasonography. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:178-81.
28. Weidekamm C, Koller M, Weber M, Kainberger F. Diagnostic value of high-resolution B-mode and Doppler sonography for imaging of hand and finger joints in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:325-33.
29. Lopez-Ben R, Bernreuter WK, Moreland LW, Alarcon GS. Ultrasound detection of bone erosions in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison to routine radiographs of the hands and feet. *Skeletal Radiol* 2004;33:80-4.
30. Raza K, Lee CY, Pilling D, et al. Ultrasound guidance allows accurate needle placement and aspiration from small joints in patients with early inflammatory arthritis. *Rheumatology Oxford* 2003;42:976-9.
31. Czekańska-Chehab E, Sempoch R, Uhlig S, Danielewicz P, Drop A. High resolution ultrasonography for imaging metacarpophalangeal joints. *Ann Univ Mariae Curie Skłodowska Med* 2003;58:324-9.
32. D'Agostino MA, Maillefert JF, Said-Nahal R, Breban M, Ravaud P, Dougados M. Detection of small joint synovitis by ultrasonography: the learning curve of rheumatologists. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004;63:1284-7.
33. Hoving JL, Buchbinder R, Hall S, et al. A comparison of magnetic resonance imaging, sonography, and radiography of the hand in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31:663-75.
34. Hielscher AH, Klose AD, Scheel AK, et al. Sagittal laser optical

- tomography for imaging of rheumatoid finger joints. *Phys Med Biol* 2004;49:1147-63.
35. Magnani M, Salizzoni E, Mule R, Fusconi M, Meliconi R, Galletti S. Ultrasonography detection of early bone erosions in the metacarpophalangeal joints of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2004;22:743-8.
  36. Agarwal V, Dabra AK, Kaur R, Sachdev A, Singh R. Remitting seronegative symmetrical synovitis with pitting edema (RS3PE) syndrome: ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool. *Clin Rheumatol* 2005;24:476-9.
  37. Scheel AK, Backhaus M, Klose AD, et al. First clinical evaluation of sagittal laser optical tomography for detection of synovitis in arthritic finger joints. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:239-45.
  38. Scheel AK, Schmidt WA, Hermann KG, et al. Interobserver reliability of rheumatologists performing musculoskeletal ultrasonography: results from a EULAR "Train the trainers" course. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1043-9.
  39. Scheel AK, Hermann KG, Kahler E, et al. A novel ultrasonographic synovitis scoring system suitable for analyzing finger joint inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:733-43.
  40. Varsamidis K, Varsamidou E, Tjetjts V, Mavropoulos G. Doppler sonography in assessing disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2005;31:739-43.
  41. Spiegel TM, King W, Weiner SR, Paulus HE. Measuring disease activity: comparison of joint tenderness, swelling, and ultrasonography in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1987;30:1283-8.
  42. Goldenstein C, McCauley R, Troy M, Schaller JG, Szer IS. Ultrasonography in the evaluation of wrist swelling in children. *J Rheumatol* 1989;16:1079-87.
  43. Koski JM. Ultrasonography in detection of effusion in the radiocarpal and midcarpal joints. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1992;21:79-81.
  44. van Vugt RM, van Dalen A, Bijlsma JW. Ultrasound guided synovial biopsy of the wrist. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1997;26:212-4.
  45. Koski JM, Hermunen H. Intra-articular glucocorticoid treatment of the rheumatoid wrist. An ultrasonographic study. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2001;30:268-70.
  46. Frediani B, Falsetti P, Storri L, et al. Evidence for synovitis in active polymyalgia rheumatica: sonographic study in a large series of patients. *J Rheumatol* 2002;29:123-30.
  47. Strunk J, Heinemann E, Neeck G, Schmidt KL, Lange U. A new approach to studying angiogenesis in rheumatoid arthritis by means of power Doppler ultrasonography and measurement of serum vascular endothelial growth factor. *Rheumatology Oxford* 2004;43:1480-3.
  48. Koski JM. Ultrasonography of the elbow joint. *Rheumatol Int* 1990;10:91-4.
  49. Okamoto M, Abe M, Shirai H, Ueda N. Diagnostic ultrasonography of the ulnar nerve in cubital tunnel syndrome. *J Hand Surg Br* 2000;25:499-502.
  50. Lerch K, Borisch N, Paetzel C, Grifka J, Hartung W. Sonographic evaluation of the elbow in rheumatoid arthritis: a classification of joint destruction. *Ultrasound Med Bio* 2003;29:1131-5.
  51. Luukkainen R, Sanila MT, Saltyshev M, Huhtala H, Koski JM. Relationship between clinically detected joint swelling and effusion diagnosed by ultrasonography in elbow joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2005;24:228-31.
  52. Koski JM. Axillar ultrasound of the glenohumeral joint. *J Rheumatol* 1989;16:664-7.
  53. Koski JM. Validity of axillary ultrasound scanning in detecting effusion of the glenohumeral joint. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1991;20:49-51.
  54. Koski JM. Ultrasonographic evidence of synovitis in axial joints in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *Br J Rheumatol* 1992;31:201-3.
  55. Alasaarela EM, Alasaarela EL. Ultrasound evaluation of painful rheumatoid shoulders. *J Rheumatol* 1994;21:1642-8.
  56. Alasaarela E, Takalo R, Tervonen O, Hakala M, Suramo I. Sonography and MRI in the evaluation of painful arthritic shoulder. *Br J Rheumatol* 1997;36:996-1000.
  57. Alasaarela E, Tervonen O, Takalo R, Lahde S, Suramo I. Ultrasound evaluation of the acromioclavicular joint. *J Rheumatol* 1997;24:1959-63.
  58. Alasaarela E, Suramo I, Tervonen O, Lahde S, Takalo R, Hakala M. Evaluation of humeral head erosions in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparison of ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography and plain radiography. *Br J Rheumatol* 1998;37:1152-6.
  59. Alasaarela E, Leppilahti J, Hakala M. Ultrasound and operative evaluation of arthritic shoulder joints. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1998;57:357-60.
  60. Coari G, Paoletti F, Iagnocco A. Shoulder involvement in rheumatic diseases. Sonographic findings. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:668-73.
  61. Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, et al. Shoulder ultrasonography in the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica: a case-control study. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1049-55.
  62. Cantini F, Salvarani C, Olivieri I, et al. Inflamed shoulder structures in polymyalgia rheumatica with normal erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:1155-9.
  63. Naranjo A, Marrero-Pulido T, Ojeda S, et al. Abnormal sonographic findings in the asymptomatic arthritic shoulder. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2002;31:17-21.
  64. Falsetti P, Frediani B, Filippou G, et al. Enthesitis of proximal insertion of the deltoid in the course of seronegative spondyloarthritis. An atypical enthesitis that can mime impingement syndrome. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2002;31:158-62.
  65. Hermann KG, Backhaus M, Schneider U, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis of the shoulder joint: comparison of conventional radiography, ultrasound, and dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:3338-49.
  66. Strunk J, Lange U, Kurten B, Schmidt KL, Neeck G. Doppler sonographic findings in the long bicipital tendon sheath in patients with rheumatoid arthritis as compared with patients with degenerative diseases of the shoulder. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:1828-32.
  67. Wamser G, Bohndorf K, Vollert K, Bucklein W, Schalm J. Power Doppler sonography with and without echo-enhancing contrast agent and contrast-enhanced MRI for the evaluation of rheumatoid arthritis of the shoulder joint: differentiation between synovitis and joint effusion. *Skeletal Radiol* 2003;32:351-9.
  68. Koski JM. Ultrasonography of the metatarsophalangeal and talocrural joints. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1990;8:347-51.
  69. Nazarian LN, Rawool NM, Martin CE, Schweitzer ME. Synovial fluid in the hindfoot and ankle: detection of amount and distribution with US. *Radiology* 1995;197:275-8.
  70. Lehtinen A, Paimela L, Kreula J, Leirisalo-Repo M, Taavitsainen M. Painful ankle region in rheumatoid arthritis. Analysis of soft-tissue changes with ultrasonography and MR imaging. *Acta Radiol* 1996;37:572-7.
  71. Jacobson JA, Andresen R, Jaovisidha S, et al. Detection of ankle effusions: comparison study in cadavers using radiography, sonography, and MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998;170:1231-8.
  72. Luukkainen RK, Saltyshev M, Koski JM, Huhtala HS. Relationship between clinically detected joint swelling and effusion diagnosed by ultrasonography in metatarsophalangeal and talocrural joints in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2003;21:632-4.



73. Koski JM. Ultrasonography of the subtalar and midtarsal joints. *J Rheumatol* 1993;20:1753-5.
74. Coakley FV, Samanta AK, Finlay DB. Ultrasonography of the tibialis posterior tendon in rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994;33:273-7.
75. Koski JM. Detection of plantar tenosynovitis of the forefoot by ultrasound in patients with early arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1995;24:312-3.
76. Koski JM. Ultrasound detection of plantar bursitis of the forefoot in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:229-30.
77. Klocke R, Glew D, Cox N, Blake DR. Sonographic erosions of the rheumatoid little toe. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001;60:896-7.
78. Iagnocco A, Coari G, Palombi G, Valesini G. Sonography in the study of metatarsalgia. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1338-40.
79. d'Agostino MA, Ayril X, Baron G, Ravaud P, Breban M, Dougados M. Impact of ultrasound imaging on local corticosteroid injections of symptomatic ankle, hind-, and mid-foot in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;53:284-92.
80. Moore CP, Sarti DA, Louie JS. Ultrasonographic demonstration of popliteal cysts in rheumatoid arthritis. A noninvasive technique. *Arthritis Rheum* 1975;18:577-80.
81. Cooperberg PL, Tsang I, Truelove L, Knickerbocker WJ. Gray scale ultrasound in the evaluation of rheumatoid arthritis of the knee. *Radiology* 1978;126:759-63.
82. Hammer M, Mielke H, Wagener P, Schwarzrock R, Giebel G. Sonography and NMR imaging in rheumatoid gonarthrosis. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1986;15:157-64.
83. van Holsbeeck M, van Holsbeeck K, Gevers G, et al. Staging and follow-up of rheumatoid arthritis of the knee. Comparison of sonography, thermography, and clinical assessment. *J Ultrasound Med* 1988;7:561-6.
84. Rubaltelli L, Fiocco U, Cozzi L, et al. Prospective sonographic and arthroscopic evaluation of proliferative knee joint synovitis. *J Ultrasound Med* 1994;13:855-62.
85. Lehtinen A, Taavitsainen M, Leirisalo-Repo M. Sonographic analysis of enthesopathy in the lower extremities of patients with spondylarthropathy. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1994;12:143-8.
86. Ostergaard M, Court-Payen M, Gideon P, et al. Ultrasonography in arthritis of the knee. A comparison with MR imaging. *Acta Radiol* 1995;36:19-26.
87. Lehtinen A, Leirisalo-Repo M, Taavitsainen M. Persistence of enthesopathic changes in patients with spondylarthropathy during a 6-month follow-up. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 1995;13:733-6.
88. Newman JS, Laing TJ, McCarthy CJ, Adler RS. Power Doppler sonography of synovitis: assessment of therapeutic response — preliminary observations. *Radiology* 1996;198:582-4.
89. Fiocco U, Cozzi L, Rubaltelli L, et al. Long-term sonographic follow-up of rheumatoid and psoriatic proliferative knee joint synovitis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1996;35:155-63.
90. McGonagle D, Gibbon W, O'Connor P, Green M, Pease C, Emery P. Characteristic magnetic resonance imaging enthesal changes of knee synovitis in spondylarthropathy. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:694-700.
91. Schmidt WA, Volker L, Zacher J, Schlafke M, Ruhnke M, Gromnica-Ihle E. Colour Doppler ultrasonography to detect pannus in knee joint synovitis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2000;18:439-44.
92. Giovagnorio F, Martinoli C, Coari G. Power Doppler sonography in knee arthritis — a pilot study. *Rheumatol Int* 2001;20:101-4.
93. Walther M, Harms H, Krenn V, Radke S, Faehndrich TP, Gohlke F. Correlation of power Doppler sonography with vascularity of the synovial tissue of the knee joint in patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:331-8.
94. Frediani B, Falsetti P, Storri L, et al. Quadriceps tendon enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: ultrasound examination and clinical correlation. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:2566-8.
95. Magarelli N, Guglielmi G, Di Matteo L, Tartaro A, Mattei PA, Bonomo L. Diagnostic utility of an echo-contrast agent in patients with synovitis using power Doppler ultrasound: a preliminary study with comparison to contrast-enhanced MRI. *Eur Radiol* 2001;11:1039-46.
96. Frediani B, Falsetti P, Storri L, et al. Ultrasound and clinical evaluation of quadriceps tendon enthesitis in patients with psoriatic arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2002;21:294-8.
97. Carotti M, Salaffi F, Manganelli P, Salera D, Simonetti B, Grassi W. Power Doppler sonography in the assessment of synovial tissue of the knee joint in rheumatoid arthritis: a preliminary experience. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:877-82.
98. Iagnocco A, Coari G, Palombi G, Valesini G. Knee joint synovitis in Sjogren's syndrome. Sonographic study. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2002;31:291-5.
99. Balint PV, Kane D, Wilson H, McInnes IB, Sturrock RD. Ultrasonography of enthesal insertions in the lower limb in spondyloarthropathy. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2002;61:905-10.
100. Fiocco U, Ferro F, Cozzi L, et al. Contrast medium in power Doppler ultrasound for assessment of synovial vascularity: comparison with arthroscopy. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:2170-6.
101. Tarhan S, Unlu Z. Magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonographic evaluation of the patients with knee osteoarthritis: a comparative study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2003;22:181-8.
102. Terslev L, Torp-Pedersen S, Qvistgaard E, et al. Effects of treatment with etanercept (Enbrel, TNRF:Fc) on rheumatoid arthritis evaluated by Doppler ultrasonography. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:178-81.
103. D'Agostino MA, Said-Nahal R, Hacquard-Bouder C, Brasseur JL, Dougados M, Breban M. Assessment of peripheral enthesitis in the spondylarthropathies by ultrasonography combined with power Doppler: a cross-sectional study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003;48:523-33.
104. Kane D, Balint PV, Sturrock RD. Ultrasonography is superior to clinical examination in the detection and localization of knee joint effusion in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:966-71.
105. Kamel M, Eid H, Mansour R. Ultrasonographic detection of enthesitis: a comparison with MRI. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:774-8.
106. Salaffi F, Carotti M, Manganelli P, Filippucci E, Giuseppetti GM, Grassi W. Contrast-enhanced power Doppler sonography of knee synovitis in rheumatoid arthritis: assessment of therapeutic response. *Clin Rheumatol* 2004;23:285-90.
107. Karim Z, Wakefield RJ, Quinn M, et al. Validation and reproducibility of ultrasonography in the detection of synovitis in the knee: a comparison with arthroscopy and clinical examination. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:387-94.
108. Iagnocco A, Coari G, Mammarella A, et al. Joint sonography in asymptomatic patients with HCV correlated hepatitis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2004;22:43-8.
109. Kamel M, Eid H, Mansour R. Ultrasound detection of knee patellar enthesitis: a comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004;63:213-4.
110. Naredo E, Bonilla G, Gamero F, Uson J, Carmona L, Laffon A. Assessment of inflammatory activity in rheumatoid arthritis: a comparative study of clinical evaluation with grey scale and power Doppler ultrasonography. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;4:375-81.
111. Naredo E, Gamero F, Bonilla G, Uson J, Carmona L, Laffon A. Ultrasonographic assessment of inflammatory activity in rheumatoid arthritis: comparison of extended versus reduced joint evaluation. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2005;23:881-4.
112. D'Agostino MA, Conaghan P, Le Bars M, et al. EULAR report on the use of ultrasonography in painful knee osteoarthritis. Part 1: prevalence of inflammation in osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1703-9.

113. Acebes JC, Sanchez-Pernaute O, Diaz-Oca A, Herrero-Beaumont G. Ultrasonographic assessment of Baker's cysts after intraarticular corticosteroid injection in knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Ultrasound* 2006;17:113-7.
114. Beckers C, Jeukens X, Ribbens C, et al. (18)F-FDG PET imaging of rheumatoid knee synovitis correlates with dynamic magnetic resonance and sonographic assessments as well as with the serum level of metalloproteinase-3. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2006;33:275-80.
115. Scheel AK, Schmidt WA, Hermann K-GA, et al. Interobserver reliability of rheumatologists performing musculoskeletal ultrasonography: results from a EULAR "Train the trainers" course. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1043-9.
116. Fiocco U, Ferro F, Vezzu M, Cozzi L, Checchetto C, Sfriso P. Rheumatoid and psoriatic knee synovitis: clinical, grey scale, and power Doppler ultrasound assessment of the response to etanercept. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:899-905. Epub 2004 Nov 26.
117. Iagnocco A, Cerioni A, Coari G, Ossandon A, Masciangelo R, Valesini G. Intraarticular methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis: a clinical and sonographic study. *Clin Rheumatol* 2006;25:159-63.
118. Naredo E, Moller I, Moragues C, et al. Interobserver reliability in musculoskeletal ultrasonography: results from a "Teach the Teachers" rheumatologist course. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:14-9. Epub 2005 Jun 7.
119. Jan MH, Chai HM, Wang CL, Lin YF, Tsai LY. Effects of repetitive shortwave diathermy for reducing synovitis in patients with knee osteoarthritis: an ultrasonographic study. *Phys Ther* 2006;86:236-44.

---

Articles presented at the OMERACT 8 Conference  
St. Julian's Bay, Malta, May 10–14, 2006

1. Biomarkers and Surrogate Endpoints
2. Imaging
3. Outcome Measures
4. Workshops and Special Interest Groups

Part 1 appeared in the March issue and Parts 3, and 4 will appear in the May, and June issues of *The Journal*.

---