



## UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

*The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10841-014-9662-4>*

8 **Title: Mobility and oviposition site-selection in *Zerynthia cassandra* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae):**  
9 **implications for its conservation.**

11 Authors: Alessio Vovlas<sup>1,2</sup>, Emilio Balletto<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Altini<sup>2</sup>, Daniela Clemente<sup>2</sup> and Simona Bonelli<sup>1</sup>.

13 <sup>1</sup>Zoology Unit, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, Via Accademia  
14 Albertina 13, 10123 Turin, Italy.

15 <sup>2</sup>A.P.S. Polyxena, Via Donizetti 12, 70014 Conversano (Bari), Italy

16 e-mail: [alessio.vovlas@unito.it](mailto:alessio.vovlas@unito.it); [simona.bonelli@unito.it](mailto:simona.bonelli@unito.it); [emilio.balletto@unito.it](mailto:emilio.balletto@unito.it);  
17 [enricoaltini@yahoo.it](mailto:enricoaltini@yahoo.it); [dadaclemente@yahoo.it](mailto:dadaclemente@yahoo.it); [info@polyxena.eu](mailto:info@polyxena.eu)

19 **Corresponding author:**

20 Simona Bonelli

21 University of Turin

22 Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology

23 Via Accademia Albertina 13 10123 – Turin ITALY

24 Telephone number 0039 011 6704552

25 Fax number 0039 011 6704508

26 e-mail address: [simona.bonelli@unito.it](mailto:simona.bonelli@unito.it)

28 **Abstract**

29  
30 The adults' mobility and oviposition preferences of *Zerynthia cassandra* have been studied for the first  
31 time, with the aim of integrating auto-ecological information into recommendations for the habitat's  
32 management of this species. Results of our mark-release-recapture study have highlighted that *Z.*  
33 *cassandra* is a strictly sedentary species, since detected movements only occurred over very short  
34 distances ( $\leq 200$  m) and mainly within the species' reproductive habitat (i.e. around *Aristolochia*  
35 *rotunda* stands), with males moving further than females. Our study shows that the main oviposition  
36 habitat of *Z. cassandra* is found where *A. rotunda* plants are growing in large stands; sites where plants  
37 growing in half to full sun and mostly oriented to the South are preferred. The distance of deposited  
38 eggs from the plants' roots was narrowly correlated with the plants' length. Eggs were deposited  
39 singly, mainly on the underside of leaflets. Management strategies necessary for improving the most  
40 important habitat features for the conservation of this species are suggested.

41  
42  
43  
44  
45 **Keywords:** Butterfly conservation, *Zerynthia cassandra*, oviposition, *Aristolochia rotunda*

## 48 **Introduction**

49

50 Causes for the widespread decline of many European butterfly species are  
51 primarily recognised in habitat degradation and loss (New 1997; Fox 2012; Maes &  
52 Van Dyck 2001; van Swaay et al. 2010). At least in principle, however, any  
53 perturbation of the environment can negatively affect species' survival and may be at  
54 the core of many extinction processes. As Samways (2007) suggests, strategies for  
55 insect conservation must be planned at regional scale, to reduce locally negative  
56 impacts. Stenotopic and univoltine butterfly species are particularly threatened  
57 worldwide by habitat destruction and climate change, most particularly at the edges of  
58 their range (Hoyle & James 2005; Bonelli et al. 2011). Habitat changes have even  
59 stronger negative effects on species with low dispersal ability, including many  
60 terrestrial invertebrates (Thomas et al. 2004) such as the Papilionid species of the  
61 genus *Zerynthia*.

62 *Zerynthia polyxena* and *Zerynthia cassandra* are among the potentially most  
63 vulnerable butterflies in the Mediterranean area. *Z. polyxena* is a strictly European  
64 species, ranging from Southern France to the Urals, Italy and the Balkans (Kudrna et  
65 al. 2011). In Italy, however, two separate species have been demonstrated to exist.  
66 Their species-level separation was initially proposed by Dapporto (2010) on the basis  
67 of genitalic characters and more recently confirmed by genetic data (Zinetti et al.  
68 2013). In Italy, *Z. polyxena* occurs in the North of the Country, mainly in the northern  
69 plains of the Po river valley and the surrounding foothills of the Alps. The other  
70 species, known as *Z. cassandra*, occupies most of the Peninsula, starting from the  
71 northern Tyrrhenian divide and as far South as Calabria, as well as in Sicily. Since  
72 they are almost indistinguishable in external morphology, the two species remained  
73 lumped under *Z. polyxena* for a long time. Since *Z. cassandra* was separated by *Z.*  
74 *polyxena* (a EU Habitats Directive species) responsibility for the conservation of this  
75 species has become a matter of particular importance for Italy, although not yet for the  
76 European Union (Maes et al. 2013).  
77 However, characteristics of the life history and ecology of these species are not well  
78 understood and they can probably differ in such characters as habitat selection,  
79 oviposition behaviour and dispersal ability. As concerns ecological characteristics,  
80 adults of *Z. polyxena* require a sub-nemoral habitat and only spend a relatively short

81 part of the day in open herbaceous areas. Nothing is known, at the moment, as  
82 concerns *Z. cassandra*.

83 The present paper was designed to gain information on some population traits of  
84 *Z. cassandra* deemed particularly useful for planning the conservation of this endemic  
85 species. More in detail, our objectives were: (i) to obtain data on adult mobility, by  
86 investigating whether *Z. cassandra* adults moved all through the landscape matrix, (ii)  
87 to investigate the habitat factors and larval food-plant characteristics positively  
88 influencing the choice of *Z. cassandra* females in oviposition-site selection and (iii) to  
89 consider implications of results from this analysis for the conservation of the species.  
90 Gaining this information will be a first step for developing a specific action plan for  
91 the conservation of *Z. cassandra* and will provide useful guidelines for the  
92 management of its habitat.

93

## 94 **Material and Methods**

95

96 The study species

97

98 Similarly to *Z. polyxena*, *Z. cassandra* is single brooded and the flight period  
99 of adults spans from late February, in Sicily, to the beginning of June, depending on  
100 altitude and latitude (Verity 1947; our data), with hibernation diapause in the pupal  
101 stage. For an adult female, total fecundity is about 50/60 eggs (personal observations).  
102 During the flight period, which lasts around 15 days, females lay on the *Aristolochia*  
103 leaves. In Italy, larvae generally feed on *A. rotunda* or *A. pallida*, which always grow  
104 in small scattered stands within semi-natural ecotonal grasslands, between (0) 300 and  
105 900 m.

106 At least 15 populations of *Z. cassandra* are known for having become extinct  
107 in Italy during the past 50 years (Bonelli et al. 2011), generally as a consequence of  
108 habitat loss and/or demographic stochasticity, since this species typically occurs only  
109 at low-densities. Populations of all *Zerynthia* species are restricted to micro-habitats  
110 where their larval food plants (*Aristolochia* spp.) grow, and their restricted, spots-like  
111 distribution, is probably related to host plants' distribution, even though *Zerynthia*  
112 populations are generally much rarer than those of their food-plants. Adults of *Z.*  
113 *polyxena* do not move over great distances and seldom fly far from their reproductive

114 areas in search of suitable host plants. In a population from Slovenia, the maximum  
115 recorded flight distance was 400 m (Çelik 2012).

116

117 The study sites

118

119 Two disjunct areas were used in Italy as study sites for the work presented  
120 here (Fig.1). The "Capanne di Marcarolo" Regional Park (SCI IT 1180026) and the  
121 "Laghi di Conversano e Gravina di Monsignore" Regional Park (SCI IT 9120006).  
122 The two sites were chosen for their position at the extremity of the distribution range  
123 of the species, as well as because of logistic reasons. These two areas contain large  
124 and persistent populations of *Z. cassandra*. We investigated egg-laying behaviour at  
125 both sites, but we carried out also mark-release-recapture only at "Laghi di  
126 Conversano".

127 The "Laghi di Conversano e Gravina di Monsignore" Regional Park is in  
128 Apulia, in the Southeast of the Italian peninsula. The Natural Park area consists of a  
129 set of ten karst ponds (*dolines*) located in a fragmented agricultural matrix (Altini et  
130 al. 2007). Populations of *Z. cassandra* are here under threat from habitat loss by  
131 anthropogenic disturbance and spread of vineyards. We analysed the egg-laying  
132 behaviour and the adults' movement of *Z. cassandra* in one of these dolines, i.e the  
133 "Chienna lake" (48°58'37" N, 17°04'21" E), which is surrounded by orchards and  
134 vineyards. For the mobility study, we divided the site into 7 arbitrary plots from 0.68  
135 to 3.32 ha in size. Distances between occupied plots ranged from 110 to 477 meters.

136 The oviposition study was conducted at 3 sites a) Site 1: a grassland area of 96 m<sup>2</sup>  
137 located in plot C, near a Mediterranean pond and with a 25% canopy cover (40° 58'  
138 17" N, 17° 04' 24" E).

139 b) Site 2: a 170 m<sup>2</sup> area within plot D (40° 68' 17" N, 17° 04' 30" E) with less than  
140 10% canopy cover.

141 c) Site 3: an area of 78 m<sup>2</sup> in plot G, with 10% canopy cover (40° 58' 41" N, 17° 04'  
142 47" E ).

143

144 The Regional Park of "Capanne di Marcarolo" is located in the North-west of  
145 Italy. Our field study was conducted at three sites, where the butterfly apparently  
146 reached its locally highest densities

147 a) Site 1: Woodland edge. (44°36'22" N 8°46'01" E): consisting of a 128 m<sup>2</sup>  
148 mesophilous meadow bordered by a Black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) grove and  
149 with 10% canopy cover.

150 b) Site 2: Riparian grassland (44°36'21" N 8°45'58" E): having a 50 m<sup>2</sup> grassland with  
151 sparse alder (*Alnus glutinosa*) shrub and hygrophilous vegetation Canopy cover was  
152 25% ;

153 c) Site 3: Dry grassland (44°38' N 8°51'E ): a 170 m<sup>2</sup> semi-natural grassland with 5%  
154 canopy cover.

155

156 Egg-laying studies

157

158 We thoroughly investigated our sites to locate egg-laying areas and to record  
159 details of food-plant's characteristics, eggs' location and surrounding  
160 vegetation/habitat. In April/May 2010, we exhaustively assessed the distribution of  
161 *Aristolochia* plants and *Zerynthia* eggs at "Capanne di Marcarolo" and in April 2013  
162 at "Laghi di Conversano". *Z. cassandra* occurred in small and often isolated  
163 populations, so that individually following females and observing their egg-laying  
164 behaviour was not the appropriate field method, in this case. To avoid any bias  
165 towards expected habitat characteristics, we used intensive search method. Some  
166 adults were still flying during the survey period, but the season was nearing its end, so  
167 that we conducted egg census shortly after the butterflies had completed oviposition.  
168 Observations were aimed at determining eggs' distribution on each host-plant. *Z.*  
169 *cassandra* eggs are not morphologically different from those of *Z. polyxena*, but since  
170 they are characteristic in shape, size and colour they can be unequivocally identified  
171 even when hatched, since egg shells remain on the leaves for at least two weeks. To  
172 avoid recounting the same plant twice during the survey, we marked each plant with a  
173 flag-bearing stick.

174 The characteristics of *Z. cassandra* oviposition habitat were recorded at the landscape,  
175 patch and plant level. At landscape level, the numbers of eggs and of *A. rotunda*  
176 plants were assessed at all study sites. At patch level, the geographical orientation (the  
177 direction the slope faces with respect to the sun, or "aspect"), number of plants (1  
178 plant, small stand with 2-5 plants, large stand with > 5 plants) and sun exposure  
179 (exposure to sunlight reaching the spot during a sunny day) were collected.

180 At plant level, habitat parameters were recorded in 1 x 1 m sample quadrats having  
181 the plant in the centre. Measurements of plant height, height of the surrounding  
182 vegetation, vegetation coverage (estimated in 5% units) and distance from the nearest  
183 tree were recorded in each quadrat. Finally, the number of eggs observed on each  
184 plant, the height of each egg above the ground and its position on the plant were  
185 registered. For data analysis we calculated the difference between the egg's position  
186 in height and the average vegetation height, as a proxy for the "prominence" of the  
187 host plant. Positive values show positive prominence of the eggs-bearing plants.  
188 These parameters were then compared between occupied and unoccupied host plants.

189

#### 190 Butterfly mobility

191

192 From 10 to 20 April 2013, a mark-release-recapture study (MRR) was  
193 conducted at the "Laghi di Conversano" Regional Park (40°58' N, 17°04' E, see Fig.  
194 2) within the peak flight periods of *Z. cassandra* adults. Since the population  
195 occurring at this general area is patchily distributed and our target species occurred at  
196 a number of patches, we conducted a preliminary study (Altini & Tarasco 2011), to  
197 assess which patch contained the highest population density. All the areas were  
198 walked during weather conditions suitable for adults' flight, and three to six people  
199 participated in marking and capturing, summing up to 50 person-days in total.  
200 Occasional visits were also made to apparently unsuitable areas, to check for the  
201 occurrence of adults that might be moving outside their usual habitats. An attempt  
202 was made to capture every observed individual and since adults of *Z. cassandra* are  
203 not difficult to net, most sightings resulted in captures. On each day we carried out  
204 three capture sessions, each 30 minutes long, between 10:00 and 14:00.

205 On each survey event, butterflies were captured, individually marked and  
206 immediately released. Larval food plants density was estimated by counting the  
207 number of *A. rotunda* plants per plot. Correlation between butterfly abundance and  
208 food plant density was determined at each plot. For each capture or recapture, the  
209 location (using a hand-held GPS device, min. accuracy 3 m), date, time, individual's  
210 number and sex were recorded.

211

#### 212 Statistical analysis

213

214 Adults' mobility was estimated separately for the two sexes, as the straight-  
215 line measurement of the distance between consecutive captures.

216 The summation of all single distances was taken to represent the minimum  
217 cumulative distance travelled by each individual. The maximum distance between any  
218 two observations of each individual was also recorded. The operational sex ratio was  
219 defined as the ratio between the number of estimated males and the total estimated  
220 number of females. To test if the total number of males and females fitted the  
221 expected 1:1 ratio, a chi-squared test was performed. At each plot, correlations  
222 between the following parameters were computed: number of marked males, number  
223 of marked females, total marked specimens, recaptured butterflies, plot area and *A.*  
224 *rotunda* densities. A non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyse  
225 intersexual differences in mobility, to test association between sexes and moved  
226 distances. All statistical analyses were performed on SPSS 21.

227 Data collected during MRR surveys were analysed by Cormack-Jolly-Seber type  
228 constrained models (Schwarz & Arnason 1996; Schwarz & Seber 1999) using MARK  
229 5.1 program (White & Burnham 1999).

230

231 For the egg-laying study, statistical analyses were performed on *R-2.9.0* (*R*  
232 *development core team*). Since it was impossible to determinate if eggs on a plant  
233 belonged to one or more females, each eggs-bearing host plant was treated as a single  
234 sample in our data set, regardless of the number of batches it carried.

235 In those cases when data were normally distributed (Komogorov-Smirnov test) and  
236 variances were homogenous (Levene test), parameters for occupied and unoccupied  
237 plants were compared using *t*-tests. Otherwise, the Mann-Whitney U test was used.

238 Data from all sites were merged for evaluation of oviposition preferences at landscape  
239 levels. To define the oviposition preferences at the landscape and patch levels, the  
240 comparison of absolute frequencies for categorical variables, between occupied and  
241 unoccupied plants was assessed using Likelihood ratio statistic, to establish if  
242 environmental variables differed between eggs-bearing and unoccupied *Aristolochia*  
243 plants, at both the landscape and the patch level. Standardized residuals were used to  
244 define significant contributors to the overall Chi square value.

245 We used a generalized linear mixed-effects model to recognise those parameters  
246 possessing the highest explanatory power for oviposition sites selectivity. The  
247 variable "egg presence" was set as a random factor to examine the relationship



248 between the occurrence of oviposition and habitat variables. The best model was  
249 assessed using the Akaike information criterion (AIC; cf. Zuur et al. 2009). Using a  
250 multi-model inference, we examined the AICc values for all possible models with all  
251 different combination of the explanatory variables mentioned above. Owing to the  
252 large number of candidate models, we restricted model averaging to models for which  
253  $\Delta AICc < 4$  compared with model with the lowest AICc.

254 Statistical analyses were performed on SPSS 21 and R-2.9.0 (R development core  
255 team). Multimodel inference analyses were performed using 'MuMIn' package  
256 (Barton 2011) for R.

257

258

259

## 260 **Results**

261

### 262 Egg laying habitat

263

264 To evaluate how eggs' occurrence and abundance were affected by  
265 environmental variables, we surveyed 275 *A. rotunda* plants potentially available for  
266 *Z. cassandra* oviposition. At landscape level, eggs were found at all surveyed sites,  
267 but host-plant quantity differed between patches (site 1: N = 95, site 2: N = 141, site  
268 3: N = 39; Table 1b, c, d). Of 275 potential host plants for *Z. cassandra*, 120 were  
269 selected for oviposition and 153 eggs were found (site 1: 47, site 2: 40, site 3: 66)  
270 (Fig. 3). At patch level, the occupied and unoccupied plants differed significantly in  
271 all measured landscape and patch parameters (Table 1a) except for the "Aspect"  
272 parameter: eggs were predominantly laid on plants growing in large stands (68.5%),  
273 and in half or full sun (83.1%), whereas single plants or plants growing in small  
274 stands (2-5 plants) (31%), or in full shadow (16%) were generally avoided and played  
275 minor roles in eggs deposition. < The great majority (> 70%) of occupied plants were  
276 found on south or south-east facing slopes. More exactly, females preferentially  
277 oviposited in south (44.9%) or south-east facing sites (29.1%), while they avoided  
278 northern orientation (Fig. 4) respect south and south-east facing sites ( $\chi^2 = 29.930$ ;  
279 d.f. = 1;  $p < 0.001$ ). > At site 2, eggs were laid in full shadow (45%), but females  
280 completely avoided plants in full shadow at sites 1 and 3, except in one case at site 1.

281 At plant level, the distribution of occupied and unoccupied plants was best explained  
282 by the combination of prominence (difference in height with respect to the  
283 surrounding vegetation), number of plants per stand and exposure to sun. The  
284 occupied and the unoccupied plants also differed in height (Mann-Whitney U-test:  $N$   
285 = 275;  $Z = 3.468$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ) and the more prominent *A. rotunda* plants were  
286 significantly preferred  $P < 0.05$  by t-test (Fig. 5).

287 The distributions of host plants and egg-deposition heights were more or less  
288 bell-shaped (Fig. 6) and eggs were in significantly higher number ( $N: 65 = 62\%$ ) than  
289 expected on plants 21-40 cm high. More than a half of the egg-bearing plants were  
290 21-60 cm high (min: 17 cm, max 75 cm) (Figure 7).

291 The vast majority of eggs ( $N: 136 = 88.88\%$ ) were laid on the underside  
292 (abaxial) surface of the leaves, while a small fraction were laid on the upper surface  
293 ( $N: 15 = 9.8\%$ ), or even more rarely ( $N: 2 = 1.3\%$ ) on flower buds ( $\chi^2 = 199,991$   $df =$   
294  $2$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Usually one egg per plant was found. Eggs, in fact, were laid mainly  
295 singly ( $N: 56 = 63\%$ ), sometimes in pairs ( $N: 16 = 18\%$ ), or rarely in small batches of  
296 3 ( $N: 7 = 8\%$ ), 4 ( $N: 7 = 8\%$ ), 5 ( $N: 2 = 2\%$ ) or 6 ( $N: 1 = 1\%$ ) eggs. The vegetation  
297 cover was over 70% in all plots and areas. Most of the eggs (40%) were observed in  
298 plots with grass coverage between 80 and 90%.

299 GLM analysis showed that the likelihood of an *A. rotunda* plant being selected  
300 for oviposition was positively correlated with the distance from the nearest tree (Tab.  
301 2). The likelihood of a site being accepted as oviposition habitat increased with host  
302 plant presence and with southerly orientation. Most egg-bearing *A. rotunda* plants  
303 were found in areas with no tree cover.

304

305 To evaluate eggs' occurrence at "Laghi di Conversano", we surveyed 82 *A.*  
306 *rotunda* plants potentially available for *Z. cassandra* oviposition. Eggs were found at  
307 all surveyed sites, but host-plant quantity differed between patches (site 1:  $N = 21$ ,  
308 site 2:  $N = 21$ , site 3:  $N = 40$ ). Of 82 potential host plants, 15 (18%) were selected for  
309 oviposition (site 1: 7, site 2: 7, site 3: 25). Eggs were predominantly laid on plants  
310 growing in large stands ( $N = 296$ ; 82%), whereas single plants or plants growing in  
311 small stands were avoided, and in half (24.3%) or full sun (75.6%). The great  
312 majority of occupied plants were found on dry stone wall surfaces (58.5%) and plants

313 on dry stone wall bore more eggs (N = 28, 73.6%). Females preferentially oviposited  
314 in south- (66.6%), or east facing sites, but the latter bore more eggs (N = 23; 59%).

315

316 The great majority (> 70%) of occupied plants were found on south facing  
317 slopes. Eggs were laid mainly singly (N: 67 = 43%). The maximum number of eggs  
318 per plant was 6 at Marcarolo and 14 at Conversano. Probably the smaller number of  
319 plants on the site of Conversano induces individuals to lay a larger number of eggs on  
320 a single plant. At Marcarolo the chosen plants grew in very thick vegetation cover (>  
321 80%), whereas in southern Italy plants carrying more eggs were found on bare soil.  
322 Most sites with egg-bearing *A. rotunda* plants were found in areas with no tree cover.

323

324 Mobility

325

326 In total 34 individual were marked (23 males and 11 females) and 14 (41%) of  
327 them were recaptured at least once (Tab. 3). Based on these data, we estimated a total  
328 population size of 116 ( $\pm 19$ ) individuals, with 79 ( $\pm 11$ ) males and 37 females ( $\pm 6$ ).  
329 No individuals were captured in nearby plots, showing no exchange between  
330 populations. The sex ratio of captures was male biased ( $\chi^2 = 4.235$ , d.f. = 1, P =  
331 0.039) and males were recaptured more often than females ( $\chi^2 = 4.083$ , d.f. = 1, P =  
332 0.043). Grouped for sexes, distances between captures did not markedly vary and  
333 ranged from 8.8 to 96.7 m. The longest detected movement between successive  
334 captures was 110.63 m. Nevertheless, most (75%) of the movements were within 60  
335 m from the release spot. Residence time provides a rough estimate of maximum adult  
336 life span. The maximum recorded time between the first and the last capture was 6  
337 days and was similar for males and females.

338

339

340

341 **Discussion**

342

343 Egg laying habitat

344

345 In this study we investigated the oviposition microhabitat of *Z. cassandra*.  
346 Characteristics of the oviposition site play an important role in determining habitat  
347 suitability since, according to several studies (e.g. Rausher 1983; Janz 2002) the

348 choice of the deposition site is generally not random and is structured according to  
349 various maternal behaviours. Probably the most interesting result of our eggs-laying  
350 study is that irrespectively of a lower food-plants density, females lay in the more  
351 open areas ( $\chi^2 = 7.098$ , d.f. = 2, P = 0.028) at both study sites.(Fig. 3).

352 The habitat requirements by *Z. cassandra* for egg laying are best explained by a  
353 combination of presence in small stands of *Aristolochia* plants growing in medium  
354 sun conditions, with no other preference for any type of vegetation structure and/or  
355 feature of host plant quality. Although *Aristolochia* plants preferentially tend to  
356 colonise ecotonal areas and are generally less abundant in full sunlight, *Z. cassandra*  
357 prefers the more open habitats. This is probably a consequence of the fact that  
358 *Zerynthia* caterpillars are chemically protected ectotherms in no need of concealing in  
359 the shadow, while in the early Spring, when adults are flying, weather is surely more  
360 unpredictable than in other seasons. *Aristolochia* plants growing the in the shadow  
361 potentially represent ecological traps for strictly sedentary larvae. This is in contrast  
362 with data from the Hungarian population of *Z. polyxena* recorded by Batary et al.  
363 (2008). Considering that *Z. cassandra* occurs in Mediterranean areas, we would  
364 expected the opposite result. The majority of eggs, however, were placed on the  
365 abaxial surface of the leaflets, which may be explained by the fact that eggs need  
366 sufficient humidity to avoid desiccation (Anthes et al. 2008), as well as detection by  
367 predators or parasites. Eggs and young larvae, in fact, are not as chemically protected  
368 as the older larvae and adults (Albanese et al. 2008). Elevated ambient temperatures  
369 appear to be important for many butterfly species because they may increase rates of  
370 larval development, decrease mortality (McKay 1991), improve females' fecundity by  
371 increasing the time available for egg-laying, and therefore generally increase egg-  
372 laying rates (Davies et al 2006). The significant relationship between landscape, patch  
373 and plant parameters of *Z. cassandra* oviposition habitat showed that, as is common  
374 for butterflies in general (Dennis 2010), selection of oviposition sites is determined by  
375 characteristics operating at different hierarchical levels, reflecting their importance in  
376 the process of egg-laying site selection. A sufficient amount of food is essential for  
377 larval survival, in particular for species having "sedentary" caterpillars. Visual  
378 attraction is an important factor when searching for a suitable host plant (Porter  
379 1992). For oviposition, females frequently choose the most conspicuous host plants  
380 (Porter 1992; Garcia-Barros & Fartmann 2009). Compared to plants growing in  
381 shadier areas, shoots that grow higher than their surrounding vegetation are also less

382 shaded and offer better microclimatic conditions for a quick development of eggs and  
383 larvae (Kuer & Fartmann 2005). Furthermore, *Z. cassandra* females laid their eggs on  
384 the intermediate parts of plants probably also due to better food quality for the larvae,  
385 and this may be a direct consequence of female oviposition choice. Upper plant parts  
386 generally have lower amounts of alkaloids, as well as nitrogen contents (Agerbirk et  
387 al. 2010), compared to lower parts. Between occupied and unoccupied host plants,  
388 plants' height was the most important discriminating variable and larger plants bore  
389 more eggs, while Dennis (1996) showed that in *Allancastris* (or *Zerynthia*) *cretica*,  
390 larger food plants bore more eggs.

391 At least in some cases, laying eggs on the higher parts of the plant may  
392 provide some advantage. In *Z. rumina*, Jordano & Gomariz (1994) found that the  
393 freshly hatched larvae consumed the younger and softer leaves of the food plant (*A.*  
394 *pistolocheia*). This could be related with the lower concentration of defence chemicals  
395 (Batory 2008). Early instars of Lepidopteran larvae are known to be sensitive to  
396 environmental and chemical changes (Zalucki et al. 2002). Some larvae are known to  
397 shelter inside the flower buds during their first instar, when they are less mobile and  
398 more prone to suffer from environmental stress (Pinto et al. 2011) and can thereby  
399 increase larval survival and growth. Anthes et al. (2003) noted a similar behaviour in  
400 *Euphydryas aurinia*. They suggested that this strategy eliminated the risks of  
401 predation and of exposure to adverse weather conditions, associated with moving  
402 along the host plant or to another plant.

403

#### 404 Mobility

405

406 No movement of adult individuals was detected away from their breeding  
407 areas, which reveals that *Z. cassandra* is strongly sedentary and has relatively closed  
408 population structure. Similarly to many other butterfly species, *Z. cassandra* is  
409 strongly dependent upon particular microhabitats, both at the larval and at the  
410 imaginal stages. Due to strong human influence, suitable habitats have become  
411 increasingly fragmented, thereby restricting gene flow among populations as well as  
412 chances for a successful re-colonization of the remaining but isolated patches. Fitness  
413 benefits of intermediate-distance dispersal will therefore become strongly reduced,  
414 which will finally impose strong selection against dispersal (Bonelli et al. 2013).

415 Regional extinctions, in such a situation, represent a very likely scenario, perhaps the  
416 most likely at least in many cases.

417

418 Conclusion and implications for conservation

419

420 Protecting *Z. cassandra* populations requires that areas containing suitable nectar  
421 plants are also protected. In the northern parts of the species' range, the main problem  
422 is in the abandonment of rural areas. Thus, it is vital to maintain the few remaining  
423 meadows, by promoting cyclical grass cutting or light cattle grazing, as well as to  
424 create new grasslands, wherever possible. In the study area, which is part of the EU  
425 NATURA 2000 network, appropriate agro-environmental schemes have already been  
426 used to allocate the necessary funding for the maintenance of traditional land  
427 management, within the framework of the regional Rural Development Program  
428 (RDP). Grazing or grass cutting, whenever implemented in the end of June or in late  
429 summer, does not affect the survival of *Z. cassandra* pupae, which shelter at the base  
430 of their (unpalatable) host plant.

431 In Apulia, in contrast, the "tendone"-type vineyards have become largely dominant  
432 since their plastic covering is particularly suitable to protect the (mainly table-  
433 consumed) grapes from excessively high summer temperatures, strong winds and  
434 frequent hail. This particular cultivation type, however, is highly and negatively  
435 impacting on *Z. cassandra* populations, since adults are unable to fly across or over  
436 the vines. In our study area, as a consequence, butterfly populations tend to become  
437 increasingly fragmented by the still spreading vineyards. Mobility data obtained in our  
438 study are alarming, and urgent action is needed. To counteract the currently heavy  
439 fragmentation, suitable habitats should be created within the framework of current  
440 agro environmental schemes, to ensure host plant stands connectivity.

441 In South Italy *Aristolochia* plants that grow on dry stone walls will act as stepping  
442 stones. Dry-stone walls are important landscape elements in this area, but their  
443 importance has only been recognised in their aesthetic and cultural dimension.  
444 Current promotion policies officially aimed at preserving dry stone walls need to be  
445 better implemented to prevent any further loss of this irreplaceable asset, at  
446 communitarian level.

447 In general, schemes based on the application of the integrated organic production  
448 rules financed by RDPs will be less impacting for butterfly populations occurring in

449 cultivated areas and particularly in vineyards. These include the insertion of buffer  
450 stripes between the fields, the (cyclical) abandonment of some mown areas and the  
451 encouragement of spontaneous re-vegetation in the alleyways and areas around crops.  
452 In the current economical crisis, reaching a trade-off compromise between agro-  
453 industrial needs and biodiversity conservation may locally generate important  
454 revenues, both by guaranteeing sustainability and by preserving the touristic  
455 attractiveness of landscapes (Lasanta et al 2001).

456 We also agree with Thomas et al. (1992) and with Maes et al. (2004), that installing a  
457 stepping stones system of suitable habitat patches is the most efficient way to restore  
458 a healthy meta-population structure, which surely works much better than  
459 'generalistic' corridors in enhancing the conservation status of many invertebrates.

460 In the case of butterflies, each ontogenetic instar requires its own specific resources.  
461 In *Z. cassandra*, however, resources are spatially overlapping, since the adults' habitat  
462 closely matches that of the immature stages, at least insofar as suitable nectar sources  
463 are maintained. The way to a successful management is in keeping the sites open and  
464 free from invading scrub. In both study sites, *Z. cassandra* is distributed mainly in the  
465 meadows and along the surrounding hedges. Managing marginal lands to preserve  
466 their biodiversity values and traditional farming systems, with mowing once or twice  
467 a year, could contribute to species persistence in a fragmented landscape. An  
468 optimum mowing heights for this species could range from 5 to 15 cm.

469 Conservation efforts are generally focused on maintaining species *in situ*, with  
470 considerable debate about the possible merits of reintroductions. Natural  
471 recolonisation of suitable habitats will be a slow process, provided that recolonisation  
472 rates will not match extinction rates. So we suggest that at least in some case the  
473 colonisations of some particularly suitable patches should be artificially encouraged.  
474 A well-connected network of suitable habitats ought, however, to be established well  
475 before any reintroduction scheme is implemented.

476

#### 477 **Acknowledgments**

478 Our work was conducted in collaboration with the "Capanne di Marcarolo" Nature  
479 Park and the "Laghi di Conversano e Gravina di Monsignore" Regional Park.

480 Permission to collect species listed under the 92/43/EEC Annex IV was granted by  
481 the Italian Ministry of the Environment (U. prot. PNM-2011-0010400-13/05/2011).

482

483 **References**

484

485 Agerbirk N, Chew FS, Olsen CE, Jørgensen K (2010) Leaf and floral parts feeding by  
486 orange tip butterfly larvae depends on larval position but not on glucosinolate profile  
487 or nitrogen level. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 36: 1335-1345.

488

489 Albanese G, Vickery PD, Sievert PR (2008) Microhabitat use by larvae and females  
490 of a rare barrens butterfly, frosted elfin (*Callophrys irus*). *Journal of Insect*  
491 *Conservation* 12: 603-615.

492

493 Altini E, Tarasco E (2011) Struttura di comunità di lepidotteri ropaloceri in diversi  
494 habitat della Riserva Naturale Regionale Orientata “Laghi di Conversano e Gravina di  
495 Monsignore” (Bari). Atti XXIII Congresso Nazionale Italiano di Entomologia,  
496 Genova 13-16 giugno 2011.

497

498 Altini E, Tarasco E, Gallo M, Triggiani O (2007) I lepidotteri diurni della Riserva  
499 naturale regionale orientata dei “Laghi di Conversano e Gravina di Monsignore” e  
500 note di biologia di *Zerynthia polyxena* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). Atti XXI  
501 Congresso Nazionale Italiano di Entomologia, Campobasso 11-16 giugno 2007: 74.

502

503 Anthes N, Fartmann T, Hermann G (2008) The Duke of Burgundy butterfly and its  
504 dukedom: larval niche variation in *Hamearis lucina* across Central Europe. *Journal of*  
505 *Insect Conservation* 12: 3–14.

506

507 Anthes N, Fartmann T, Hermann G, Kaule G (2003) Combining larval habitat quality  
508 and metapopulation structure – the key for successful management of pre-alpine  
509 *Euphydrias aurinia* colonies. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 7: 175-185.

510

511 Barton K (2011) MuMIn: Multi-model inference. R package version 1.0.0.  
512 <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MumIn>

513

514 Batáry P, Örvössy N, Kőrösi Á, Peregovits L (2008) Egg distribution of the Southern  
515 Festoon (*Zerynthia polyxena*) (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). *Acta Zoologica Academiae*  
516 *Scientiarum Hungaricae* 54: 401-410.



517

518 Bonelli S, Cerrato C, Loglisci N, Balletto E (2011) Population extinctions in the  
519 Italian diurnal Lepidoptera: an analysis of possible causes. *Journal of Insect*  
520 *Conservation* 15: 879–890.

521

522 Bonelli S, Vrabec V, Witek M, Barbero F, Patricelli D, Nowicki P (2013) Selection  
523 on dispersal in isolated butterfly metapopulations. *Population Ecology* 55: 469–478.

524

525 Çelik T (2012) Adult demography, spatial distribution and movements of *Zerynthia*  
526 *polyxena* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) in a dense network of permanent habitats,  
527 *European Journal of Entomology* 109: 217–227.

528

529 Dapporto L (2010) Speciation in Mediterranean refugia and post-glacial expansion of  
530 *Zerynthia polyxena* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and*  
531 *Evolutionary Research* 48: 229–237.

532

533 Davies ZG, Wilson RJ, Coles S, Thomas CD (2006) Changing habitat associations of  
534 a thermally constrained species, the silver-spotted skipper butterfly, in response to  
535 climate warming. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 75: 247–256.

536

537 Dennis RLH (1996) Oviposition in *Zerynthia cretica* (Rebel, 1904): loading on  
538 leaves, shoots and plant patches (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). *Nota lepidopterologica*  
539 18: 3–15.

540

541 Dennis RLH (2010) A resource-based habitat view for conservation. Wiley-  
542 Blackwell, Oxford.

543

544 Fox R (2012) The decline of moths in Great Britain: a review of possible causes.  
545 *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 6: 5–19.

546

547 Garcia Barros E & Fartmann T (2009) Butterfly oviposition: sites, behaviour, modes.  
548 In: *Ecology of Butterflies in Europe*, J. Settele, T. Shreeve, M. Konvicka & H. Van  
549 Dyck Eds. Cambridge University Press.

550

551 Hoyle M, James M (2005) Global warming, human population pressure, and viability  
552 of the world's smallest butterfly. *Conservation Biology* 19: 1113–1124.  
553

554 Janz N (2002) Evolutionary ecology of oviposition strategies. In M. Hilker, & T.  
555 Meiners (eds.), *Chemoecology of insect eggs and egg deposition*.  
556

557 Jordano D, Gomariz G (1994) Variation in phenology and nutritional quality between  
558 host plants and its effect on larval performance in a specialist butterfly, *Zerynthia*  
559 *rumina*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 71: 271 - 277.  
560

561 Lasanta T, Arnáez J, Oserín M, & Ortigosa LM (2001). Marginal lands and erosion in  
562 terraced fields in the Mediterranean mountains: a case study in the Camero Viejo  
563 (Northwestern Iberian System, Spain). *Mountain Research and Development* 21: 69-  
564 76.  
565

566 Krämer B, Kämpf I, Enderle J, Poniatowski D & Fartmann T (2012) Microhabitat  
567 selection in a grassland butterfly: a trade-off between microclimate and food  
568 availability. *Journal of Insect Conservation* 16: 857- 865.  
569

570 Kudrna O, Harpke A, Lux K, Pennerstorfer J, Schweiger O, Settele J, Wiemers M  
571 (2011) *Distribution Atlas of butterflies in the Europe*. – Gesellschaft für  
572 Schmetterlingenschutz, Halle, Germany. 576 pp.  
573

574 Küer A & Fartmann T (2005) Prominent shoots are preferred: microhabitat  
575 preferences of *Maculinea alcon* (Denis & Schiffermüller 1775) in Northern Germany  
576 (Lycaenidae). *Nota Lepidopterologica* 27: 309-319.  
577

578 Maes D, Vanreusel W, Talloen W, Van Dyck H (2004) Functional conservation units  
579 for the endangered Alcon Blue butterfly *Maculinea alcon* in Belgium (Lepidoptera:  
580 Lycaenidae). *Biological Conservation* 120: 229-241.  
581

582 Maes D & van Dyck H (2001) Butterfly diversity loss in Flandres (north Belgium):  
583 Europe's worst case scenario? *Biological Conservation* 99: 263–276.  
584

585 Maes D, Collins S, Munguira ML, Šasic M, Settele J, van Swaay C, Verovnik R,  
586 Warren M., Wiemers M, Wynhoff I (2013) Not the right time to amend the Annexes  
587 of the European Habitats Directive. *Conservation Letters* 6: 468-469.  
588

589 McKay HV (1991) Egg-laying requirements of woodland butterflies; brimstones  
590 (*Gonepteryx rhamni*) and alder buckthorn (*Frangula alnus*). *Journal of Applied*  
591 *Ecology* 28:731-74.  
592

593 New TR (1997) Are Lepidoptera an effective ‘umbrella group’ for biodiversity  
594 conservation? *Journal of Insect Conservation* 1: 5–12.  
595

596 Pinto CF, Urzúa A, Niemeyer HM (2011) Sequestration of aristolochic acids from  
597 meridic diets by larvae of *Battus polydamas archidamas* (papilionidae: troidini).  
598 *European Journal of Entomology* 108: 41-45.  
599

600 Porter K (1992) Eggs and egg-laying. In: *The Ecology of Butterflies in Britain*.  
601 Oxford University Press, Dennis R.L.H. (ed.), Oxford, pp. 46–72.  
602

603 R Development Core Team (2006) R: a Language and Environment for Statistical  
604 Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-  
605 900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.  
606

607 Rauscher M (1983) Alteration of oviposition behavior by *Battus philenor* butterflies  
608 in response to variation in host-plant density. *Ecology* 64:1028-1034.  
609

610 Samways MJ (2007) Insect Conservation: a synthetic management approach. *Annual*  
611 *Review of Entomology* 52: 465–87.  
612

613 Schwarz CJ & Arnason AN (1996) A general methodology for the analysis of  
614 capture-recapture experiments in open populations. *Biometrics* 53: 860-873.  
615

616 Schwarz CJ & Seber GA (1999) Estimating animal abundance: review III. *Statistical*  
617 *Science* 14: 427-456.  
618

619 Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE, Bakkenes M, Beaumont LJ, Collingham YC,  
620 Erasmus BFN, Ferreira de Siqueira M, Grainger A, Hannah L, Hughes L, Huntley B,  
621 van Jaarsveld AS, Midgley GF, Miles L, Ortega-Huerta MA, Townsend Peterson A,  
622 Phillips OL, Williams SE (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* 427:  
623 145-148.  
624  
625 Thomas CD, Thomas JA, Warren MS (1992) Distributions of occupied and vacant  
626 butterfly habitats in fragmented landscapes. *Oecologia* 92: 563-567.  
627  
628 Van Swaay CAM, Cuttelod A, Collins S, Maes D, Munguira Lopez M, Šasic M,  
629 Settele J, Verovnik R, Verstrael T, Warren M, Wiemers M, Wynhof I (2010)  
630 European Red List of Butterflies. Publications Office of the European Union,  
631 Luxembourg, 46 pp.  
632  
633 Verity R (1947) *Le Farfalle Diurne D' Italia* 3. Divisione Papilionida. Famiglie  
634 Papilionidae e Pieridae. Marzocco, Firenze.  
635  
636 White GC & Burnham KP 1999 (1999). Program MARK: survival estimation from  
637 populations of marked animals. *Bird study*, 46: 120-S139.  
638  
639 Zalucki MP, Clarke AR, Malcolm SB (2002) Ecology and behaviour of first instar  
640 larval Lepidoptera. *Annual Review of Entomology* 47: 361-393.  
641  
642 Zinetti F, Dapporto L, Vovlas A, Chelazzi G, Bonelli S, Balletto E, Ciofi C (2013)  
643 When the rule becomes the exception. No evidence of gene flow between two  
644 *Zerynthia* cryptic butterflies suggests the emergence of a new model group. *PLoS One*  
645 8(6):e65746.  
646  
647 Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA., Smith GM (2009) Mixed effects models  
648 and extensions in ecology with R. New York: Springer.

649  
650

## 651 **Captions**

652 **Figure 1.** Location of our study sites. Different habitat types are represented with

653 dots: white for woodland edge, black for riparian grassland, gray for dry grassland.

654 **Figure 2.** Schematic map of the population of *Z. cassandra* investigated at  
655 Conversano S-E Italy. Areas in grey represent the investigated (a-g) habitat patches.

656 **Figure 3.** Number of available food plants and number of eggs observed in the three  
657 habitat types.

658 **Figure 4.** Polarplot of the geographical orientation (in %) of *A. rotunda* plants, either  
659 unoccupied (black line, N = 189), or occupied (grey line, N = 89) by *Zerynthia*  
660 *cassandra* eggs.

661 **Figure 5.** Prominence of unoccupied and occupied plants. Prominence was calculated  
662 as the difference between the host plant's and the turf's height. The dotted line  
663 indicates turf height.

664 **Figure 6.** Distribution of plant and oviposition height in NW Italy.

665 **Figure 7.** Differences in height between plants receiving or not receiving eggs, vs  
666 plant height.

667 **Table 1.** Absolute (N) and relative (%) frequencies of landscape and patch parameters  
668 of foodplants (*Aristolochia rotunda*), either occupied, or unoccupied by *Zerynthia*  
669 *cassandra* eggs in NW Italy. Likelihood ratio statistics (LR) are shown for  
670 comparisons of absolute frequencies between occupied and unoccupied plants (a-d).

671 **Table 2.** GLMM statistic: relationship between probability of occurrence (binomial  
672 response variable: presence [N = 89 occupied plants] or of absence [N = 186  
673 unoccupied plants] of *A. rotunda*, in relation to environmental parameters (predictor  
674 variables: host plant height, height of surrounding vegetation, distance from the  
675 nearest tree and vegetation cover).

676 **Table 3.** Summary of the MRR data.

677