

Received date: 22-Dec-2015

Revised date: 04-Apr-2016

Accepted date: 22-Apr-2016

Article Type: Application

Editor: Richard Fitzjohn

BORIS: a free, versatile open-source event-logging software for video/audio coding and live observations

Olivier Friard* and Marco Gamba

Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Via Accademia Albertina 13, 10123 Torino, Italy.

*Correspondence author. E-mail: olivier.friard@unito.it

Summary

1. Quantitative aspects of the study of animal and human behavior are increasingly relevant to test hypotheses and find empirical support for them. At the same time, photo and video cameras can store a large number of video recordings and are often used to monitor the subjects remotely. Researchers frequently face the need to code considerable quantities of video recordings with relatively flexible software, often constrained by species-specific options or exact settings.

2. BORIS is a free, open-source and multi-platform standalone program that allows a user-specific coding environment to be set for a computer-based review of previously recorded videos or live observations. Being open to user-specific settings, the program allows a project-based ethogram to be defined that can then be shared with collaborators, or can be imported or modified.

3. Projects created in BORIS can include a list of observations, and each observation may include one or two videos (e.g., simultaneous screening of visual stimuli and the subject being tested; recordings from different sides of an aquarium). Once the user has set an ethogram, including state or point events or both, coding can be performed using previously assigned keys on the computer keyboard. BORIS allows definition of an unlimited number of events (states/point events) and subjects.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12584

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

4. Once the coding process is completed, the program can extract a time budget for single or grouped observations automatically, and present an at-a-glance summary of the main behavioral features. The observation data and time budget analysis can be exported in many common formats (TSV, CSV, ODF, XLS, SQL, JSON). The observed events can be plotted and exported in various graphic formats (SVG, PNG, JPG, TIFF, EPS, PDF).

Key-words: ethology, behavioral analysis, time-budget

Introduction

The methods used in behavioral sciences have changed dramatically in recent years due to the increasing availability of quantitative data. Researchers interested in collecting behavioral data to investigate the decision processes and communication strategies in humans and animals have taken advantage of new tools and approaches (e.g., Shteynberg et al. 2014; Baker 2011; Knoppien et al. 2000). The use of photos, video cameras and audio recorders that can store a large amount of data is now widespread in fields like psychology, primatology, entomology, anthropology, ornithology, medicine, neuroscience, and cognitive science (e.g., Cristani et al. 2013; Leong et al. 2003). There is also an increasing use of remote monitoring where researchers collect an impressive amount of data, which they have then to review to extract the target events (van Dam et al. 2013; Li et al. 2015). Computer-aided programs are thus instrumental in ensuring a manageable process that can lead to results (Visser 1993; Noldus et al. 2000).

Observational approaches to studying human and animal behavior lead to the commercial availability of various software that gradually developed into massively complicated applications, applicable to a multitude of particular systems and solutions (Spink et al. 2001; van Dam et al. 2013). The increasing complexity of these programs led to their development into relatively expensive suites of software. They satisfy the requirement of very specific research but may be inaccessible to other researchers, in particular to those working in institutions with an interest in behavior or those that are not affiliated with universities. Traditionally, commercial software also had limitations regarding the computers suitable to run the programs and were useable on a limited number of operating systems (e.g.; Observer XT, Noldus 2015; INTERACT, Mangold 2015), while usually offering a powerful set of analytical features (Spitzen et al. 2013; Adamson et al. 2013).

The answer to the increasing difficulty in accessing commercial software for observational research lead to the rise of free tools for the quantitative analysis of behavior (e.g.; JWatcher, Blumstein & Daniel 2007). They were usually simpler in terms of functions available and analysis routines. Most importantly, they lost the feature of a time-constrained integration between video playing and user coding (Blumstein & Daniel 2007).

BORIS integrates a highly customizable and flexible interface with time-constrained event logging that can be managed by pressing the keys on the keyboard. It can be used for various types of sampling (e.g., focal and scan sampling, Altmann 1974), and several subjects can be observed within the same project. The program allows the calculation of time budgets and provides statistics for the duration, occurrence and intervals of the events.

BORIS (Behavioral Observation Research Interactive Software)

BORIS is available for Microsoft-Windows, Mac OS X, and GNU/Linux platforms at <http://www.boris.unito.it>. The Python3 source code is released under the GNU General Public License at <https://github.com/olivierfriard/BORIS>.

Reviewing and coding visual and audio information in BORIS is easy and intuitive because of the integrated playback in which the time offsets of media files are recorded. Playing a variety of audio and video formats is a critical issue in any media-based program. BORIS is based on the popular VLC multimedia player libraries, which is a free and open source cross-platform framework.

A BORIS project file is a container for all information related to a set of observations, such as the ethogram, the independent variables, and the subjects. BORIS allows an unlimited number of projects, but only one project can be opened at a time. The time format can be set to either seconds or hours.

Defining the ethogram is the first essential step before starting logging behavioral events. This process is completely customizable and is when events are associated with keys. Alternatively, the user can set the ethogram from scratch; import an existing ethogram from another BORIS project; or import an ethogram from a JWatcher project (Blumstein & Daniel 2007). The behavior type can be defined as a 'Point event' or 'State event'. To enable more complex coding (e.g., facial expression coding; spatial position in a grid) the user can also add a 'Coding map' to either a state or a point event. Each behavior can be associated with a keyboard key that will then be used to log the behavioral events. The same key can be associated with more behaviors. In this latter case, BORIS will pause and ask which of the behaviors associated with that key the user wants to record. The keys are case-insensitive. A description of each behavior can be added and can be useful to standardize observations between different users. For each behavior, a series of modifiers can be added.

The modifiers can be used to add attributes to behaviors. A single behavior can have two or more modifiers attached (e.g., "play" may have "solitary" or "social" as modifiers). The use of modifiers can be convenient to significantly reduce the number of keys and simplify the behavioral coding. In BORIS, modifiers can also be added in different sets [e.g., "play, social" may have a modifier set (#1) for "brothers" and another (#2) for "sisters"]. Mutually exclusive states can be set in an exclusion matrix so that the beginning of an event will correspond with the end of another. We worked to ensure that users can freely organize the behavioral codes and decide whether they want to use modifiers or assign more codes to the same key.

The user interface has a toolbar, which, along with the other modules, can be undocked. It features popular video controls for play, pause, stop, fast-forward/backward. BORIS can be switched on and off to a frame-by-frame mode during observations and slowed down to a desired percentage of the original tempo. This feature makes it possible to analyze each step in the video easily, with more detail and focus on a particular display (e.g., muscle contractions, subtle gestures). With a click, the user can capture still frames.

The accuracy of the video coding can be improved by repeated views of a media if needed. Once moving across the media, each key pressed results in the insertion of a behavioral display at the time in which it occurs in the video. The occurrence of a behavior in an individual can be reviewed using a graphical representation or by generating a time budget analysis. Collected data can be exported in many formats (e.g. TSV, CSV, ODF, XLS, SQL, JSON) to allow further analysis.

In recent years, remote video camera traps have been used for population assessment and for capturing behavioral traits of elusive species (Tan et al. 2013). They are a valuable monitoring tool and enable long-term spatiotemporal monitoring, which usually results in a series of short videos of fixed duration (from the triggering of motion detectors to a programmed delay time). Once the video footage is downloaded from the camera traps onto a computer, the behavioral biologist faces the challenge of coding this long series of very short videos. Within a single observation, BORIS has a particular function for this task, which allows the automatic loading of all videos from a selected directory and the interrupting all the current states when passing from one video footage to another. This feature enables a more convenient identification of the actual observation time of the target individuals and an easier switching between individuals that may occur in one video but not in the following one.

While screening the video, the program also allows the visualization of a sound spectrogram of the associated audio information. A spectrogram (or sonogram) is the visual representation of a sound that shows frequencies (on the vertical axis) with variation in time (on the horizontal axis, Fig. 4). The degrees of amplitude are represented as color gradients. A spectrogram facilitates the recognition of common acoustic structures and can be crucial in associating a particular behavior with concurring vocalizations. The program also features an analytical measurement tool that allows measuring angles, distances, and areas from the video (Supporting information S7).

Example of use

To showcase the main functions of BORIS we present behavioral coding performed by Dr. Valentina Matteucci on the video recordings of a captive colony of ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*) at the ZOOM Torino zoological park (www.zoomtorino.it), Cumiana, Italy. Recordings were made with a commercial camcorder Panasonic HC-V720. Dr. Matteucci, in collaboration with OF and MG, compiled the complete ethogram of the ring-tailed lemur from previous research (Jolly 1966; Evans & Goy 1968; Pereira et al. 1988; Macedonia 1993; Oda 1996; Pereira & Kappeler 1997; Wilson 2002; Mertl-Millhollen 2006; Palagi & Norscia 2009; Palagi 2009; Sclafani et al. 2012). Additional behaviors were added after observations by Matteucci and Baracco (unpublished data). The resulting BORIS file containing the full behavioral

repertoire of the ring-tailed lemur is available at <http://www.boris.unito.it/files>. It comprises 104 behaviors (Supporting information S4).

As an example of use, we selected six subjects for the observations using their in-house names (Ciro, Totò, Maurice, Fossar, Giulian, Seven). Dr. Matteucci coded three videos in BORIS at half speed and scored the results indicating the occurring behaviors and subjects (Supporting information S5). To simplify the visualization of the analyses we show here, the behavioral repertoire in these videos has been reduced considerably, from 104 to 26 behaviors. In Fig. 1 we show the composite view of the Ethogram, Subjects, Video and Events tabs for the observation DEMO1. To visualize the occurrence of each behavior and the individual time budget we used the Time Budget function in BORIS ('Analyze'>'Time Budget'; Fig. 2). The Time budget can be calculated from several observations by selecting them from the Observation List (e.g., recordings on the same day). In this example, we analysed three different observations together (observations DEMO1, DEMO2, and DEMO3 corresponding to videos in Supporting information S1, S2, and S3, respectively). For each subject, we visualized the occurrence of behaviors and the duration of each state ('Analyze'>'Plot events'; Fig. 3).

Conclusion and future directions

BORIS offers a customizable and intuitive interface for behavioral observations, implementing novel ideas and widely used functionalities (Supporting information S6). The user fully controls the lists of behaviors and subjects. These lists can be exchanged between projects, modified and shared with colleagues. Coding of the audio and video files can be performed at various speeds, but also switched to a 'frame by frame' video analysis. Event recordings are activated by pressing a key when the corresponding behavior occurs.

We have made improvements on earlier versions to make it easier for users to code multiple video files within the same project. The result is better management of the 'Observations List' with regard to both setting the project and in the calculation of the time budget and the data visualization.

We are currently working on the integration of web services (e.g., sequential analysis), which will be able to receive information from a BORIS project.

The features of this program fit well with the current academic and institutional scenario, where a profound scientific understanding of data must be achieved with flexible and affordable tools.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the researchers who made comments and suggestion. We thank Margot Friard for designing the BORIS logo. We are grateful to Valentina Matteucci for granting access to her data and for helping with the examples. We also thank Laura Ozella, Francesca Bandoli, Rachele Malavasi, and Ben Eisenbraun for their help, suggestions, and comments. We are indebted to Caroline Harcourt for her

help with the linguistic revision of the manuscript. We thank the Editor, the Associate Editor, and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped us improving the manuscript.

Data accessibility

Videos and BORIS project files used for testing are available in the supplemental documents. A features comparison table across popular observational data software is available as supporting information.

References

- Altmann, J. (1974). Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour*, **48**, 227-267.
- Adamson, L.B., Bakeman, R., Deckner, D.F., & Nelson, P.B. (2013). From interactions to conversations: the development of joint engagement during early childhood. *Child Development*, **85**, 941-955.
- Baker, M. (2011). Animal models: Inside the minds of mice and men. *Nature*, **475**, 123-128.
- Blumstein, D.T., & Daniel, J.C. (2007). *Quantifying Behavior the JWatcher Way*. Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- Cristani, M., Raghavendra, R., Del Bue, A., & Murino, V. (2013). Human behavior analysis in video surveillance: A Social Signal Processing perspective. *Neurocomputing*, **100**, 86-97.
- Evans, C. S., & Goy, R. W. (1968). Social behavior and reproductive cycles in captive Ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). *Journal of Zoology*, **156**, 181-197.
- Jolly, A. (1966). *Lemur behavior: a Madagascar field study*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Knoppien, P., van der Pers, J. N. C., & van Delden, W. (2000). Quantification of Locomotion and the Effect of Food Deprivation on Locomotor Activity in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, **13**, 27-43.
- Leong, K. M., Ortolani, A., Burks, K. D., Mellen, J. D., & Savage, A. (2003). Quantifying Acoustic And Temporal Characteristics Of Vocalizations For A Group Of Captive African Elephants *Loxodonta africana*. *Bioacoustics: The International Journal of Animal Sound and its Recording*, **13**, 213-231.
- Li, N., Wu, X., Xu, D., Guo, H., & Feng, W. (2015). Spatio-temporal context analysis within video volumes for anomalous-event detection and localization. *Neurocomputing*, **155**, 309-319.
- Macedonia, J. M. (1993) The vocal repertoire of the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*). *Folia primatologica*, **61**, 186-217.
- Mangold (2015). *INTERACT 14 User Guide*. Mangold International GmbH (Ed.) www.mangold-international.com

Mertl-Millhollen, A. S. (2006). Scent marking as resource defense by female *Lemur catta*. *American Journal of Primatology*, **68**, 605-621.

Noldus, L. P. J. J., Trienes, R. J. H., Hendriksen, A. H. M., Jansen, H., & Jansen, R. G. (2000). The Observer Video-Pro: new software for the collection, management, and presentation of time-structured data from videotapes and digital media files. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments and Computers*, **32**, 197-206.

Noldus. (2015). The Observer XT Reference Manual v. 12.5 www.noldus.com

Oda, R. (1996). Effects of contextual and social variables on contact call production in free-ranging ringtailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). *International Journal of Primatology*, **17**, 191-205.

Palagi E. (2009). Adult Play Fighting and Potential Role of Tail Signals in Ringtailed Lemurs (*Lemur catta*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, **123**, 1-9.

Palagi, E., & Norscia, I. (2009). Multimodal signaling in wild *Lemur catta*: Economic design and territorial function of urine marking. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, **139**, 182-192.

Pereira, M. E., & Kappeler, P. M. (1997). Divergent systems of agonistic behaviour in lemurid primates. *Behaviour*, **134**, 225–274.

Pereira, M. E., Seeligson, M. L., & Macedonia, J. M. (1988). The behavioral repertoire of the black-and-white ruffed lemur, *Varecia variegata variegata* (Primates: Lemuridae). *Folia primatologica*, **51**, 1-32.

Sclafani V., Norscia I., Antonacci, D., & Palagi, E. (2012). Scratching around mating: factors affecting anxiety in wild *Lemur catta*. *Primates*, **53**, 247:254.

Shteynberg, G., Hirsh, J. B., Apfelbaum, E. P., Larsen, J. T., Galinsky, A. D., & Roese, N. J. (2014). Feeling more together: group attention intensifies emotion. *Emotion*, **14**, 1102-1114.

Spink, A.J., Tegelenbosch, R.A.J., Buma, M.O.S., & Noldus, L.P.J.J. (2001). The EthoVision video tracking system: a tool for behavioral phenotyping of transgenic mice. *Physiology & Behavior*, **73**, 731-744.

Spitzen, J., Spoor, C.W., Grieco, F., ter Braak, C., Beeuwkes, J., van Brugge, S.P., Kranenbarg, S., Noldus, L.P.J.J., van Leeuwen, J.L., & Takken, W. (2013). A 3D analysis of flight behavior of *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto malaria mosquitoes in response to human odor and heat. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e62995.

Tan, C. L., Yang, Y., & Niu, K. (2013). Into the night: camera traps reveal nocturnal activity in a presumptive diurnal primate, *Rhinopithecus brelichi*. *Primates*, **54**, 1-6.

van Dam, E. A., van der Harst, J. E., ter Braak, C. J., Tegelenbosch, R. A., Spruijt, B. M., & Noldus, L. P. (2013). An automated system for the recognition of various specific rat behaviours. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, **218**, 214-224.

Visser, M. E. (1993). The Observer, a software package for behavioural observations. *Animal Behaviour*, **45**, 1045-1048.

Wilson N. (2002). *Behavioural Strategies of the Ring-Tailed Lemur (Lemur catta) in a Sub-Desert Spiny Forest Habitat at Berenty Reserve*. Ph.D. thesis, Emory University, USA.

Supporting Information

The files used in the examples are available from <http://www.boris.unito.it/files>.

Supporting information S1. Video recording of a captive colony of ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*) at ZOOM Torino.

Supporting information S2. Video recording of a captive colony of ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*) at ZOOM Torino.

Supporting information S3. Video recording of a captive colony of ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*) at ZOOM Torino.

Supporting information S4. *Lemur catta* ethogram. BORIS project files containing an ethogram with 104 codified behaviors.

Supporting information S5. Example of use. BORIS project files containing three observations, of video S1, S2, and S3, respectively.

Supporting information S6. Features comparison table across popular observational data software.

Supporting information S7. Example of use. Distance measurement.

DEM01 - LEMUR LATA example of civi - HD03

Observations: Playback Tools Analysis Help

ethogram

No focal subject

The screenshot shows the observation software interface. On the left is a list of events with columns for key, code, type, description, and modifiers. The central video frame shows a wooden walkway in a natural setting. On the right is an 'Events for DEM01' table with columns for time, subject, code, code, type, modifier, and comment.

key	code	type	description	modifiers
1	A	Anoint tale	AGONISTIC ANOINT TALE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1991; PATERIA 2000)	Male vs male, Male vs female
2	V	Calla	AGONISTIC (Mauricio 1993)	
3	Z	Jump away	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
4	J	Jumping	LOCOMOTOR (PATERIA et al 1988)	
5	S	Look away	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
6	A	Looking around	EXPLORATION (Mauricio unpublished data)	
7	C	Making	MORNING GRY (1986; WOLFMEUBLEN 2006; PANG & TOLLA 2005)	Spur (Uma, Anogenital)
8	P	Passing by	LOCOMOTOR (Mauricio unpublished data)	
9	Q	Quadrupedal walking	LOCOMOTOR (PATERIA et al 1988)	solitary, group
10	B	St	RESTING (only 1986)	
11	E	Sitting	RESTING (Mauricio unpublished data)	
12	S	Stalk	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
13	V	Shutter	AGONISTIC (Mauricio 1993)	
14	A	Stare	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (only 1986; PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
15	V	Suming	RESTING (only 1986)	
16	I	Threat	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (only 1986)	
17	N	Touch	APPROACH (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
18	W	Wave tale	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (MORNING PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	Male vs male, Male vs female
19	Z	Wet to get	MORNING (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
20	D	Whisk	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
21	H	Whisk-eat	AGONISTIC (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
22	F	Flie	AGONISTIC SUBMISSIVE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	
23	S	Stronung	SPY (MORNING SELF CARE (PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997))	mutual, all, self
24	G	Hanging	LOCOMOTOR (PATERIA et al 1988)	
25	H	Head movement	EXPLORATION (Mauricio unpublished data)	
26	H	Huddling	APPROACH (only 1986; PATERIA & KAPPeler 1997)	

time	subject	code	code	type	modifier	comment
1	00:00:00.289	Ciro	St			
2	00:00:00.289	Totò	Quadrupedal walking		solitary	
3	00:00:00.200	Totò	Jumping			
4	00:00:00.839	Maurice	Quadrupedal walking		solitary	
5	00:00:00.840	Totò	Touch			
6	00:00:11.439	Maurice	Stalk			
7	00:00:14.879	Maurice	Looking around			
8	00:00:15.523	Ciro	Huddling			
9	00:00:16.760	Totò	Huddling			
10	00:00:18.440	Maurice	Stalk			
11	00:00:20.199	Maurice	Looking around			
12	00:00:21.840	Maurice	Sitting			
13	00:00:26.479	Maurice	Looking around			
14	00:00:41.960	Maurice	Flatten ears			
15	00:00:45.079	Maurice	Looking around			
16	00:00:50.840	Maurice	Stalk			
17	00:00:54.800	Maurice	Anoint tale		Male vs male	
18	00:00:57.439	Totò	Look away			
19	00:00:58.999	Totò	Depart			
20	00:00:59.874	Ciro	St			
21	00:01:04.050	Totò	Passing by			
22	00:01:08.719	Totò	Quadrupedal walking		solitary	
23	00:01:14.039	Totò	Jumping			
24	00:01:21.450	Totò	Head movement			
25	00:01:27.920	Totò	Hanging			
26	00:01:38.000	Maurice	Wave tale		Male vs male	
27	00:01:45.320	Totò	Huddling			
28	00:01:49.199	Totò	Grooming		mutual	
29	00:01:50.700	Ciro	Grooming		mutual	
30	00:01:56.120	Maurice	Anoint tale		Male vs male	
31	00:02:00.559	Ciro	Look away			
32	00:02:00.559	Totò	Look away			
33	00:02:00.559	Maurice	Look away			

Time budget

Selected observations

DEM01

Total media length: 00:02:32.240

Subject	Behavior	Modifiers	Total number	Total duration (s)	Duration mean (s)	Duration std dev	inter-event intervals mean (s)	inter-event intervals std dev	% of total media length
1	Ciro	Huddling	NA	2	77.041	38.521	8.657	45.841	50.6
2	Ciro	Look away	NA	1	-	-	-	NA	-
3	Maurice	Anoint tale	NA	3	-	-	-	39.42	30.971
4	Maurice	Flatten ears	NA	1	-	-	-	NA	-
5	Maurice	Flee	NA	1	-	-	-	NA	-
6	Maurice	Looking around	NA	2	7.321	3.661	1.445	29.4	4.8
7	Maurice	Quadrupedal walking	NA	1	5.88	5.88	NA	NA	3.9
8	Maurice	Sitting	NA	1	-	-	-	NA	-
9	Maurice	Stalk	NA	2	-	-	-	NA	-
10	Maurice	Wave tale	NA	2	-	-	-	35.64	NA
11	Totò	Depart	NA	1	-	-	-	NA	-
12	Totò	Hanging	NA	1	27.234	27.234	NA	NA	17.9
13	Totò	Head movement	NA	2	-	-	-	6.96	-
14	Totò	Huddling	NA	2	74.878	37.439	6.449	46.561	49.2
15	Totò	Jumping	NA	2	-	-	-	72.839	-
16	Totò	Look away	NA	2	-	-	-	63.121	NA
17	Totò	Passing by	NA	3	-	-	-	44.1	52.524
18	Totò	Quadrupedal walking	NA	UNPAIRED	UNPAIRED	UNPAIRED	UNPAIRED	UNPAIRED	-

Save results Close

Time diagram of observation DEMO1

