# Lateral distributions of EAS muons ( $E_{\mu} > 800 \text{ MeV}$ ) measured with the KASCADE-Grande Muon Tracking Detector in the primary energy range $10^{16} \text{ eV} - 10^{17} \text{ eV}$

W.D. Apel<sup>a</sup>, J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez<sup>b</sup>, K. Bekk<sup>a</sup>, M. Bertaina<sup>c</sup>, J. Blümer<sup>a,d</sup>, H. Bozdog<sup>a</sup>, I.M. Brancus<sup>e</sup>, E. Cantoni<sup>c,f,1</sup>, A. Chiavassa<sup>c</sup>, F. Cossavella<sup>f,2</sup>, K. Daumiller<sup>a</sup>, V. de Souza<sup>g</sup>, F. Di Pierro<sup>c</sup>, P. Doll<sup>a</sup>, R. Engel<sup>a</sup>, J. Engler<sup>a</sup>, B. Fuchs<sup>f</sup>, D. Fuhrmann<sup>h,3</sup>, A. Gherghel-Lascu<sup>e</sup>, H.J. Gils<sup>a</sup>, R. Glasstetter<sup>h</sup>, C. Grupen<sup>i</sup>, A. Haungs<sup>a</sup>, D. Heck<sup>a</sup>, J.R. Hörandel<sup>j</sup>, D. Huber<sup>d</sup>, T. Huege<sup>a</sup>, K.-H. Kampert<sup>h</sup>, D. Kang<sup>d</sup>, H.O. Klages<sup>a</sup>, K. Link<sup>d</sup>, P. Łuczak<sup>k,\*</sup>, H.J. Mathes<sup>a</sup>, H.J. Mayer<sup>a</sup>, J. Milke<sup>a</sup>, B. Mitrica<sup>e</sup>, C. Morello<sup>f</sup>, J. Oehlschläger<sup>a</sup>, S. Ostapchenko<sup>a,4</sup>, N. Palmieri<sup>d</sup>, M. Petcu<sup>e</sup>, T. Pierog<sup>a</sup>, H. Rebel<sup>a</sup>, M. Roth<sup>a</sup>, H. Schieler<sup>a</sup>, S. Schoo<sup>a</sup>, F.G. Schröder<sup>a</sup>, O. Sima<sup>l</sup>, G. Toma<sup>e</sup>, G.C. Trinchero<sup>c</sup>, H. Ulrich<sup>a</sup>, A. Weindl<sup>a</sup>, J. Wochele<sup>a</sup>, J. Zabierowski<sup>k,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Kernphysik, KIT - Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Germany
 <sup>b</sup>Universidad Michoacana, Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Morelia, Mexico
 <sup>c</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy
 <sup>d</sup>Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT - Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Germany
 <sup>e</sup>Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
 <sup>f</sup>Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, INAF Torino, Italy
 <sup>g</sup>Universidade São Paulo, Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Brasil
 <sup>h</sup>Fachbereich Physik, Universität Wuppertal, Germany
 <sup>i</sup>Department of Physics, Siegen University, Germany
 <sup>j</sup>Deptartment of Astrophysics, Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 <sup>k</sup>National Centre for Nuclear Research, Department of Astrophysics, Łódź, Poland
 <sup>l</sup>Department of Physics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania

#### Abstract

The KASCADE-Grande large area (128 m<sup>2</sup>) Muon Tracking Detector has been built with the aim to identify muons  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=800 \text{ MeV})$  in Extensive Air Showers by track measurements under 18 r.l. shielding. This detector provides high-accuracy angular information (approx. 0.3°) for muons up to 700 m distance from the shower core. In this work we present the lateral density distributions of muons in EAS measured with the Muon Tracking Detector of the KASCADE-Grande experiment. The density is calculated by counting muon tracks in a muon-to-shower-axis distance range from 100 m to 610 m from showers with reconstructed energy of  $10^{16} \text{ eV} - 10^{17} \text{ eV}$  and zenith angle  $\theta < 18^\circ$ . In the distance range covered by the experiment, these distributions are well described by **Greisen functions**. They are compared also with the distributions obtained with the KASCADE scintillator array  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=230 \text{ MeV})$  and with distributions obtained using simulated showers.

Keywords: cosmic rays, extensive air showers, KASCADE-Grande, Muon Tracking Detector, lateral distributions, muon density

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### 1. Introduction

Investigations of the muonic component in extensive air 12
 showers (EAS) is of primary importance for understanding air 13
 shower physics. Muons carry nearly undistorted information 14
 about their parent particles, pions and kaons. These parent par-15
 ticles are the most numerous products of hadronic interactions 16
 responsible for the development of the shower cascade in the 17
 atmosphere. This longitudinal development contains the infor-18
 mation on the nature (mass) of the primary cosmic ray particle, 19

which is related to astrophysical questions. It also carries information relevant to particle physics on the underlying properties of hadronic interactions in the energy range and the kinematical region only recently being accessed by the forward detectors of the LHC [1]. Therefore, study of the mass composition of cosmic rays and the tests of various hadronic interaction models are in many cases related to the investigation of this longitudinal development of showers.

The most common way used by all EAS experiments with sufficient number of muon detectors is the investigation of lateral distributions of muons, being **a projection** of the development of the muonic component onto **the shower plane** [2, 3]. This is usually measured with arrays of scintillation detectors, where the number of muons in each detector is derived from the energy deposited in the scintillators, using non-trivial procedures based on simulations [4, 5].

Muon tracking detectors, actually counting muons in EAS,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors

Email addresses: luczak@zpk.u.lodz.pl (P. Łuczak),

janzab@zpk.u.lodz.pl (J. Zabierowski)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>now at: Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologia, INRIM, Torino <sup>2</sup>now at: DLR Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>now at: University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany <sup>4</sup>now at: Stanford University (CA)



Figure 1: Layout of the KASCADE-Grande experiment. Note the location of the Muon Tracking Detector (MTD) within the KASCADE Array.

have rather not been used for this purpose due to the difficulty 55 27 of building sufficiently large detectors of this type. Earlier at-28 tempts were based on neon flash tubes, either in tracking [6] or <sup>56</sup> 29 hodoscopic [7] configurations. Muon densities were measured 57 30 close to the shower core (< 30 m in Ref. [7] and 5 m - 70 m <sup>58</sup> 31 in Ref. [6]), and for shower sizes corresponding to the 'knee' <sup>59</sup> 32 region of the primary energy spectrum, around 10<sup>15</sup> eV. A pos-60 33 sibility of the investigation of muon tracks from more energetic <sup>61</sup> 34 showers at larger distances has been created in the KASCADE- 62 35 Grande EAS experiment [8], being an extension of the KAS- 63 36 CADE experimental setup [9]. It is a multi-detector system <sup>64</sup> 37 (Fig. 1) located on the site of the Karlsruhe Institute of Tech-65 38 nology (KIT) - Campus North, Germany at 110 m a.s.l. It was 66 39 designed to detect the three EAS particle components: hadrons, 67 40 electrons and muons (at 4 energy thresholds) in a wide range of 68 41 distances from the shower core (up to 700 m), and for primary <sup>69</sup> 42 particle energies from  $5 \times 10^{14}$  eV to  $10^{18}$  eV. High precision <sup>70</sup> 43 measurements of particle densities and tracks - the latter by 71 44 means of a dedicated Muon Tracking Detector (MTD) [10] - 72 45 at different energy thresholds allow investigation of many fea-73 46 tures of EAS and are the basis for multi-parameter analyses 74 47 (e.g.: [4, 11]). 48

In particular, the MTD gives a possibility to study the longitudinal development of EAS. For the first time it was possible <sup>76</sup> to investigate the lateral distribution of muons using the muon <sup>77</sup> tracks in a distance up to several hundred meters from the core <sup>78</sup> from a large number of showers with energies above 10<sup>16</sup> eV. <sup>79</sup> The results of this investigation are reported in this work.

#### 2. Muon tracking in KASCADE-Grande

The KASCADE-Grande experiment (Fig. 1) contains several detector systems. First, it consists of the KASCADE experimental setup located in the North-East corner, where the MTD is also situated. A detailed description of this part of the experiment and its performance can be found elsewhere [9]. In view of the research presented here, apart from the MTD, an array of 252 detector stations (called the *KASCADE Array*), covering an area of 200 m × 200 m, is an important part of the setup. The stations are placed on a square grid with 13 m spacing and are organized in 16 clusters. Each station is equipped with scintillation counters registering the electromagnetic shower component ( $E^{thr}$ =5 MeV), and in the outer 12 clusters, also the muonic part of EAS ( $E_{\mu}^{thr}$ =230 MeV).

A second major part of KASCADE-Grande is the *Grande Array*, being an extension of the KASCADE Array. It consists of 37 detector stations organized in a **grid of 18 clusters of overlapping hexagons**, covering an area of 0.5 km<sup>2</sup> [8]. In the centre there is a small trigger array of plastic scintillation stations, called *Piccolo*, built to provide additional fast triggers for some of the KASCADE detector components.

#### 2.1. Design of the MTD

The MTD is located in the northern part of the KASCADE Array (as shown in Fig. 1) and houses 16 muon telescopes made of *streamer tubes* (ST). The telescopes are placed in a  $5.4 \times 2.4 \times 44$  m<sup>3</sup> concrete tunnel, additionally buried under an absorber made of iron plates separated with sand. This shielding corresponds to an equivalent of 18 radiation lengths and

absorbs most of the low-energy electromagnetic particles, thus 83 allowing the identification of the tracks from muons with an 84 energy larger than 800 MeV. The streamer tubes in each muon 85 telescope are grouped in four  $2 \times 4 \text{ m}^2$  detector modules, three 86 horizontal and one vertical (Fig. 1). The horizontal modules are 87 separated by 820 mm. The middle module is located 1.7 m be-88 low the level of the KASCADE scintillator array. The total area 89 for detection of vertical muons is 128 m<sup>2</sup>. 90

All telescopes are connected with a gas supply system, high voltage and electronic chain readout system. An extended description of the design, performance and tests of the MTD can be found in Refs. [10], [12] and [13].

When a particle is passing through the modules of the tele-95 scope it ionizes the gas in the streamer tubes and charge-96 streamers are created. As a result a large increase of charge 97 in a small volume of the tube occurs. This charge is inducing 98 a certain charge in the aluminum strips above and below the 99 tubes (perpendicular and diagonal, 30° with respect to the wires 100 in the ST), respectively (Fig. 2). A coincidence of the signals 101 from wires and strips in each layer is called a hit. The tracks 102 are reconstructed of three or two hits, in three or two modules, 103 respectively. 104



Figure 2: The MTD module design. The PVC boards for fixing<sup>131</sup> signal outputs from wires and strips are shown.

#### 106 2.1.1. Reconstruction of the muon tracks

105

From the raw data the information about signals on wires, di-<sup>135</sup> agonal and perpendicular strips is retrieved and converted into<sup>136</sup> positions of each wire and strip. The *hit cluster sizes*<sup>5</sup> along<sup>137</sup> the wires and strips (in cm) are reconstructed for each detector<sup>138</sup> module. The position, where the particle crossed the module is<sup>139</sup> estimated as the centre of the cluster and becomes the position<sup>140</sup> of a hit.

Using all hits, the algorithm is searching for hits that can cre-<sup>142</sup> ate a track whose direction is correlated with the direction of the shower within an angle of 15°. The 3-hit tracks are reconstructed first. The procedure is then repeated for 2-hit tracks but only for hits that are not involved in 3-hit tracks. The hits that do not fit to any track are ignored.

At muon densities higher than  $\sim 0.5$  muons/m<sup>2</sup> clusters may overlap and not all tracks are reconstructed or are reconstructed<sup>143</sup>



Figure 3: Shower core distribution over the fiducial area used in the MTD analysis (solid-line rectangle). The standard fiducial area (dashed-line rectangle) used in KASCADE-Grande EAS analyses is shown for comparison. The co-ordinates shown are ground co-ordinates and the point 0,0 is the centre of KASCADE.

with poor quality [10]. This reconstruction inefficiency occurs when showers fall too close to the MTD. At extreme cases none of the muon tracks are reconstructed. The minimum distance to the shower core where there is still full reconstruction efficiency increases with shower energy. A more detailed description of the detector response can be found in Refs. [10] and [13].

#### 3. Selection of the data

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

133

134

#### 3.1. Selection of experimental showers

This work is based on more than 45 million showers registered with the KASCADE-Grande experiment from November 2003 to June 2009.

Showers selected for the MTD analysis fulfill general conditions used in the KASCADE-Grande analyses (described in Ref. [8]) and the shower zenith angle is less than 18° to avoid registration problems **due to the growing hit cluster sizes** and the effects of the attenuation of muons originating from more inclined showers. The analysis is done for showers with reconstructed primary energy above  $10^{16}$  eV where KASCADE-Grande provides full detection and reconstruction efficiency for  $\theta < 18^\circ$ .

The energy of each shower is calculated with the formula:

$$lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV]) = [a_H + (a_{Fe} - a_H) \cdot k] \cdot lg(N_{ch})$$
(1)  
+  $b_H + (b_{Fe} - b_H) \cdot k$ 

$$k = \frac{\lg(N_{ch}/N_{\mu}) - \lg(N_{ch}/N_{\mu})_H}{\lg(N_{ch}/N_{\mu})_{Fe} - \lg(N_{ch}/N_{\mu})_H}$$
(2)

The formula (see Ref. [14] for details) is based on the number of charged particles, obtained with the KASCADE ( $E^{thr}=5 \text{ MeV}$ ) and Grande ( $E^{thr}=3 \text{ MeV}$ ) arrays, and the number of muons ( $E^{thr}=230 \text{ MeV}$ ) obtained with the KASCADE Array muon detectors [8]. The energy assignment is defined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Number of wires and strips having signal in each hit used in a track recon-<sup>146</sup> struction is called a hit cluster size. 147



180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

197

198

Figure 4: Example of the fraction *F* of showers triggered by<sup>191</sup> KASCADE Array but without reconstructed muon tracks as<sup>192</sup> a function of muon-to-shower-axis  $R_{\mu}$  for the primary energy<sup>193</sup> range  $lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.6 - 7.9$ . The region with constant  $F^{194}$  (plateau) within one standard deviation is indicated with the<sup>195</sup> grey area.

as  $E = f(N_{ch}, k)$ , where  $N_{ch}$  is the size of the charged particle<sup>199</sup> 148 component (total number of charge particles at observation200 149 level) and the parameter k is defined through the ratio of the 201150 size of the charged component  $(N_{ch})$  and muon size  $(N_{\mu})$ ,202 151 being a total number of muons at the observation level. The203 152 parameters a and b are obtained by Monte Carlo simulations<sup>204</sup> 153 (QGSJet-II-2+FLUKA) and are optimized for the energy and205 154 zenith angular range of interest for the present analysis. 206 155

The MTD registration is fully efficient in a certain distance<sup>207</sup> 156 range to the shower core. Close to the core the track recon-208 157 struction efficiency is affected by the high density of muons209 158 that create overlapping hit clusters, and the saturation of the210 159 data acquisition system. At larger distances, where the muon211 160 density becomes smaller, the registered number of showers<sup>212</sup> 161 with at least one muon is further reduced by trigger and<sup>213</sup> 162 registration inefficiency effects<sup>6</sup> (see Ref. [13]). This leads to<sub>214</sub> 163 the core distribution of showers available for the investigation 164 in the MTD shown in Fig. 3. The structures observed  $in_{215}$ 165 this 10 m×10 m binning have no influence on our results 166 what has been checked by calculating muon densities also 167 217 with removed most deviated areas. Most of the showers are 168 218 located within the standard KASCADE-Grande fiducial area 169 219 around the centre of the Grande Array (dashed-line rectangle). 170 To enlarge statistics of the number of showers with muons 171 used in the MTD analysis it was necessary to increase this 172 area (solid-line rectangle). This extension, however, has no 173 223 influence on the quality of reconstructed showers used in the 174 MTD analysis. 175

In the sample of showers passing all selection criteria and having KASCADE trigger in each distance bin there is a number of showers not having muons in the MTD  $(N_{s0})$ .<sup>227</sup>

There are two possibilities of such a situation. One is that the density of muons in the shower is too low to give a muon track in the 128 m<sup>2</sup> detector. If so, then such cases should enter into the density calculations with their *empty* areas. The other explanation is the inefficiency of track reconstruction and/or inefficiency of the MTD trigger. Such cases can be treated like "faulty/switched-off detector" and not being considered in the muon density calculations. We have checked both possibilities by investigating the dependence of the fraction of such showers  $\mathbf{F} = N_{s0}/N_{st}$  on the muon-to-shower-axis distance  $(R_{\mu})$ , where  $N_{st}$  is the total number of selected showers in a distance bin. An example of such dependence of this fraction for  $\lg E_0^{rec}$ interval equal to 7.6 - 7.9 is shown in Fig. 4. At small distances, where the muon density is the largest, the rise of the F value indicates the detector saturation and track reconstruction problems while at large distances trigger inefficiencies seem to be more pronounced. In the plateau region F is constant within one standard deviation, being on the same level of 0.15±0.05 in all primary energy intervals. It is despite the fact that muon density becomes reduced 10 times over that region, shown in gray in Fig. 4. Moreover, examination of all showers without muons did not show any difference between their characteristics and the characteristics of the showers with muons in the MTD. In addition, the Poisson probability of having zero muons in the 128 m<sup>2</sup> detector in the showers with the mean muon track number: 50 at 200 m down to 5 at 560 m is negligibly small. All of this points to the explanation of the showers without muons rather by the experimental reasons than by the physical ones (fluctuations towards lower muon densities). Therefore, in the plateau region of the F dependence we treat such cases in a similar way like those when the MTD was not operating and for the following analyses only showers  $N_s$ , with muon tracks, are taken into account.

#### 3.2. Selection of muons

The standard set of parameters used in the description of muon directions obtained with the MTD contains:

*a*) coordinates of the point where the muon track crosses the plane of the KASCADE scintillator array, *b*) azimuth and zenith angles of the track, *c*) hit cluster size, *d*) the track pattern describing which modules were involved in the track reconstruction.

Due to the reconstruction inefficiency effects it was necessary to restrict the muon-to-shower-axis distance  $(R_{\mu})$ , calculated from the shower core position obtained with the Grande Array and the track position in the shower coordinate system, to be larger than 100 meters.

#### 3.3. Analysis of the simulated data

In the standard KASCADE-Grande simulation set, EAS were simulated with CORSIKA [15] using QGSJet-II-2 [16] as a high-energy and FLUKA2002.4 [17] as a low-energy interaction models. Showers were simulated for H, He, C, Si

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The MTD is triggered by the KASCADE Array. The showers detected<sub>229</sub> far away from the KASCADE centre not always generate a KASCADE trigger. Moreover, in such showers, the delay of the KASCADE trigger signal reaching<sup>230</sup> the MTD may be too large for fully efficient registration of all muons reaching<sup>231</sup> the MTD.



Figure 5: Distance between the muon track and the shower axis<sub>279</sub>  $R_{\mu}$  is divided into 30-meter bins. In each bin the number of muon tracks and the area of the MTD are calculated **for each**<sub>281</sub> **event**.

and Fe primaries with an energy spectrum  $E^{-2}$  in the energy range from  $10^{14}$  eV to  $10^{18}$  eV and in the zenith angle range  $0^{\circ} - 42^{\circ}$ .

In the standard simulation set, due to the location of the  $_{287}$ 236 MTD within the experiment and the relatively small detection 237 area, as well as the higher muon energy threshold, the number 238 of simulated events with muon tracks was too small for sta-290 239 tistically significant comparisons with the experimental data.291 240 To overcome this problem and to lessen the bias in parameter 241 values created by multiple use of CORSIKA simulated showers $_{293}$ 242 a special set of proton and iron initiated showers was generated  $p_{204}$ 243 with a QGSJetII+FLUKA model combination. Showers were 295 244 simulated only up to  $20^{\circ}$  in zenith angle and each shower was<sub>296</sub> 245 in the detector simulations used 5 times only over the fiducial 246 area used in the MTD analysis. 247 298

In the following analysis, the selection of simulated showers 299 248 and muons is identical to the one for the experimental data, and  $_{_{300}}$ 249 the shower energy assignment is done with the reconstructed  $_{\rm 301}$ 250 parameters. In addition, all simulated showers fulfill the 302 251 software trigger condition that at least 10 stations in one of the 252 outer clusters of the KASCADE Array have a signal from  $e/\gamma_{304}$ 253 detectors above the threshold. This condition is an equivalent  $_{305}^{307}$ 254 of the experimental condition that the MTD is being triggered 306255 by the KASCADE Array. 256 307

#### 258 4. Lateral muon density distributions

#### 259 4.1. Description of the analysis

The geometry of a typical shower event is shown in Fig. 5.<sub>314</sub> The **near** vertical shower hits the ground in the Grande Array.<sub>315</sub> The core position, direction and other parameters are recon-<sub>316</sub> structed with the information from the arrays of charged parti-<sub>317</sub> cles and muon detectors. 318

To obtain the lateral distributions of muons registered in the MTD the following procedure was applied:

- 1. For each shower, the distance  $R_{\mu}$  was divided into 30meter-wide radial bins around the reconstructed shower core position in shower coordinates.
- 2. In each distance bin, the number of muons was calculated using the position of the hit in the middle detector module in 3-hit tracks or extrapolation of the position of the hit to the level of the middle detector module in 2-hit tracks for each reconstructed track.
- 3. The calculation of the area of the detector in each distance bin is done in the following way. In a standard set of data taking two neighbouring wires are combined. With the perpendicular strip they create a  $20 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$  cell that is a basic detection area in the module. With the positions of wires and strips, the location of each cell is calculated and its size added to the detector area contained in the particular  $R_{\mu}$  bin. The area was corrected for the zenith angle of a shower multiplying it by the cosine of this angle.

The number of reconstructed muon tracks may be distorted by the data acquisition and/or trigger inefficiencies [13]. As a result, one can obtain no tracks in a distance bin even if the size of the detector area, and the registered muon density in the neighbouring bin make such a zero-track registration highly improbable. However, when the two parts differ significantly in size the lack of muon observation in the smaller part of the detector (having typically less than 10% - 20% of the full area) may be also due to the muon number fluctuations in air showers. Including the areas without muons into the density calculations gives the true values only with the perfect detector. However, in our case, the above mentioned inefficiencies could create a strong bias of the calculated muon densities towards lower values if no special means to minimize their influence on the results were undertaken. There is a benefit of having large detectors like the MTD, where at primary energy above 10<sup>16</sup> eV we should nearly always expect a muon in a bin with 50 m<sup>2</sup>- 128 m<sup>2</sup> of detector area in it (typical values).

For most of the showers the MTD was divided in two distance bins (78% of the showers) or was fully contained in one distance bin only (12% of the showers). If the two parts were of similar size the muons were usually detected in both of them. In the **remaining** 10% of the showers when the MTD was divided into three parts (three distance bins), in all cases the middle part always had muons, and one of the others, small ones, could have no muons in it.

In the analyses the bias was minimized by the selection of muon-to-shower-axis distance ranges where the fraction of cumulated detector area without muons is small due to the low probability of "no registration" both by physical and instrumental reasons. The fraction of the area without muons due to the detector inefficiencies, contributing to the systematic uncertainty of the result, was estimated in the following (section 4.2).

308

309

310

311

312

313

The density in each distance bin was calculated as a sum of<sub>368</sub> 319 all muons from all showers, corrected for reconstruction effi-369 320 ciency, being divided by the detector area in that distance bin,370 321 corrected for zenith angle  $(A_{MTD})$ . 322

$$\rho_{i} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{s}} (N_{tr2}^{k,i} + N_{tr3}^{k,i}) \cdot \mathcal{K}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{s}} A_{MTD}^{k,i}}$$
(3)<sub>372</sub>  
373

where i is the distance bin number,  $N_s$  is the number of showers, 324  $A_{MTD}^{k,i}$  is the detector area in  $i^{th}$  distance bin for the  $k^{th}$  shower, 325  $N_{tr2}$  and  $N_{tr3}$  are the number of 2-hit and 3-hit muon tracks,<sup>374</sup> 326 **respectively**, and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a track reconstruction efficiency correc-<sup>375</sup> 327 376 tion factor [13].

323

328

#### 4.2. Determination of the MTD full-efficiency distance ranges <sup>378</sup> 329

The lateral muon density distributions in EAS obtained with  $\frac{1}{380}$ 330 muon tracks were investigated in the primary CR energy range<sub>381</sub> 331 from  $10^{16}$  eV to  $1.6 \times 10^{17}$  eV (in four energy sub-ranges, en-332 ergy calculated with formula (1)) in the muon-to-shower-axis 333 distance from 100 m to 700 m. 334 384

Historically, the parametrization of the measured lateral dis-335 tributions was usually done with Greisen [18], NKG [19] or 386 336 Lagutin [20] type lateral distribution functions (LDF). These 337 functions describe the lateral density distributions differently in 338 the distance range close to and far away from the shower core. 339 The first two describe equally well the measured distributions 340 of muon densities at different energy thresholds up to 200 m [4], 391 341 the Lagutin function was applied to describe the lateral distri-342 butions in distance range 100 m - 600 m [8]. 343 393

The functional relation will only describe well the measure-344 ment in the muon-to-shower-axis distance where the above 345 mentioned inefficiencies have no or negligible effect. The ac-346 tual value of the efficiency, being a measure of the detector<sub>397</sub> 347 capability to register all muons which fall onto it, cannot 348 be directly measured. However, one can indirectly, by ex-349 amining various experimental quantities, find the muon-to-400 350 shower-axis distance ranges where the muon registration ef-351 ficiency with the MTD has its maximum and constant value. 352 We have called them full-efficiency distance ranges  $(FR)_{_{403}}$ 353 and, prior to the application of any fitting procedure, such 354 ranges were determined for each of the four primary energy  $_{_{405}}$ 355 intervals, in  $lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])$  equal to: 7.0 - 7.3, 7.3 - 7.6, 7.6 -356 7.9 and 7.9 - 8.2. 357 407

They are determined as the distance ranges where all of 358 108 the following conditions should be fulfilled: 359 409

- 1. The number of showers  $N_s$  passing the shower and muon 360 selection criteria in all 30-meter distance bins is not 361 smaller than the mean value by more than two standard 362 deviations<sup>7</sup> – for sufficiently large muon statistics. 363
- 2. The value of the detector area with muons  $A_{MTD}$  does not 364 differ from the mean  $\langle A_{MTD} \rangle$  by more than one standard 365 deviation - giving nearly constant detection area in each 366 distance bin. 367

- 3. The mean number of muon tracks  $\langle N_{tr} \rangle = \langle N_{tr3} + N_{tr2} \rangle$ registered in the far end of the full efficiency distance range is larger than three.
- 4. The fraction F of showers without muons in the MTD in the whole number of KASCADE-triggered showers should be in its plateau region.

The third condition is introduced in order to minimize the probability of distance bins with detector areas without muon tracks  $A_0$ , called *empty detector areas*, due to the muon number fluctuations.

The forth condition allows not to consider showers without muons in the analysis and ensures that the remaining registration inefficiencies are at minimum level.

In Fig. 6, as an example, for the primary energy range  $lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.3 - 7.6$  the lateral distributions of the following quantities used for the FR determination are shown: the mean detector area with muons  $\langle A_{MTD} \rangle$  (a), the mean number of muon tracks  $\langle N_{tr} \rangle$  (b), the number of showers  $N_s$  (c), and the ratio of the empty detector area  $A_0$ , to the sum  $A_{MTD} + A_0$  (d).

As seen in Fig. 6(d) the accumulated empty detector area increases with the distance, reaching at the far-end distance bin of the FR approx. 7%. This increase corresponds to the decrease of the  $\langle N_{tr} \rangle$  (Fig. 6b) which is a strong indication that these empty areas have physical meaning and should be included in muon density calculation. However, one should estimate what is the remaining level of the detector inefficiencies contributing to the values in Fig. 6d, constituting the systematical bias of the result towards lower values and determining the value of "full efficiency". It has been done comparing the plots like in Fig. 6d and Fig. 6b for all four primary energy intervals. In all of them the plots of empty detector areas in the first two-three distance bins of the corresponding FR are showing equal and constant value on the level of 1%. By taking this into account, as well as the large values of  $\langle N_{tr} \rangle$  there (Fig. 6b), we attributed this 1% of area to the remaining detector inefficiency effects, which are at that level in the whole FR. Therefore, our full efficiency is estimated to be on the level of 99% and the 1% bias due to the inefficiencies contributes to the systematical error of our results.

In Table 1 the results of the determination of the MTD fullefficiency distance ranges are summarized.

Table 1: MTD full-efficiency distance ranges (FR) in four primary energy intervals.

$lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])$	FR [m]	$\langle N_s \rangle$	$\langle A_{MTD} \rangle [m^2]$
7.0 - 7.3	100 - 370	782±256	72±3
7.3 – 7.6	130 - 460	226±57	71±5
7.6 – 7.9	220 - 550	68±12	72±6
7.9 - 8.2	370 - 580	22±3	69±8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In the two highest energy intervals – one standard deviation only.



Figure 6: Lateral distributions of the following quantities for the primary energy range  $lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.3 - 7.6$ : (a) $\langle A_{MTD} \rangle$ , (b)  $\langle N_{tr} \rangle = \langle N_{tr3} + N_{tr2} \rangle$ , (c) the number of showers  $N_s$  and (d) the ratio of the empty detector area  $A_0$  to the sum  $A_{MTD} + A_0$ . Full-efficiency distance range (FR), **determined with quantities from (a), (b) and (c), as well as the information on F for this energy range (not shown),** is marked with grey area.

432

#### 410 4.3. Results and discussion

The lateral muon density distributions in EAS obtained with433 411 muon tracks are presented in Fig. 7 and in Table 2. 412 434 Errors are small and could not be seen in the graphical pre-435 413 sentation in Fig. 8. They are listed in the table only. In addi-414 tion to the small statistical errors there are several sources 415 of the systematic ones. Apart from the above mentioned  $1\%^{
m ^{436}}$ 416 bias due to the remaining inefficiencies there are three other 417 sources: accuracy in energy estimation by formula (1), reso-437 418 lution in shower direction and accuracy in core position de-438 419 termination. In the four primary energy sub-ranges above439 420 10<sup>16</sup> eV used in this work the mean error in energy given by<sup>440</sup> 421 (1) is: 24.5%, 22.2%, 20.0% and 17.9%, decreasing with en-441 422 ergy [21]. The two other uncertainties are 0.8° and 6 m, re-442 423 spectively [8]. Varying individually primary energy, shower<sup>443</sup> 424 directions and core positions by these values the contribu-444 425 tion of these uncertainties to the total systematic error of 445 426 measured muon density was determined. The total system-427 atic values are dominated by uncertainty of primary energy, 428 being, in the FR of the MTD, on the level of  $\pm (15 - 30)\%$ , 429 the core position uncertainty introduces, per average, about 430

-3% and +14% change of the result, while the angular resolution has an influence on  $\pm(1-2)$ % level only.

In all energy intervals the lateral distributions obtained with the MTD are fitted with a function proposed by Greisen for the muonic component  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=1 \text{ GeV})^8$ :

$$\rho(r) = C \left(\frac{r}{r_G}\right)^{-0.75} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_G}\right)^{-2.5}$$
(4)

where  $C = \text{const.} \cdot N_{\mu}$ ,  $r_G$  is the Greisen radius. In this analysis the fitted parameters are  $r_G$  and the scaling factor C of the distributions.

The values of the *C* and  $r_G$  fit parameters are presented in Table 3. The distributions were fitted in the MTD full efficiency ranges, as determined above. In Fig. 7 the experimental MTD-points used in the fits are marked with full symbols.

It is worth to note that the LDFs become steeper with the energy of the EAS (the value of  $r_G$  is decreasing). This is due

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>It is also well suited for our analysis because only less than 5% of muons above 800 MeV have energy below 1 GeV. Moreover, our effective threshold is slightly higher because muons are mostly not vertical ( $\langle \theta \rangle \approx 13.3^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ )

Middle of the distance	$\rho [m^{-2}] \pm \sigma_{stat} \pm \Delta_{syst}$ lg( $E_{c}^{rec}$ [GeV]):				
range [m]	7.0 - 7.3	7.3 - 7.6	7.6 - 7.9	7.9 - 8.2	
115	$0.228 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.063 \\ -0.054 \end{array}$	$0.369 \pm 0.004 \stackrel{+0.053}{_{-0.041}}$	$0.58 \pm 0.02 \stackrel{+0.04}{_{-0.16}}$	$0.24 \pm 0.08 + 0.40$	
145	$0.167 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.050 \\ -0.042 \end{array}$	$0.314 \pm 0.003 \begin{array}{c} +0.071 \\ -0.076 \end{array}$	$0.469 \pm 0.009 + 0.065 \\ -0.042$	$0.63 \pm 0.04 + 0.01 = 0.63$	
175	$0.131 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.038 \\ -0.030 \end{array}$	$0.249 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.054 \\ -0.053 \end{array}$	$0.424 \pm 0.006 \begin{array}{c} +0.065 \\ -0.068 \end{array}$	$0.56 \pm 0.03 \begin{array}{c} +0.11 \\ -0.16 \end{array}$	
205	$0.105 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.031 \\ -0.023 \end{array}$	$0.197 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.053 \\ -0.040 \end{array}$	$0.364 \pm 0.005 \begin{array}{c} +0.078 \\ -0.062 \end{array}$	$0.49 \pm 0.02 \begin{array}{c} +0.03 \\ -0.03 \end{array}$	
235	$0.087 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.026 \\ -0.019 \end{array}$	$0.164 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.047 \\ -0.035 \end{array}$	$0.309 \pm 0.005 \begin{array}{c} +0.040 \\ -0.067 \end{array}$	$0.47 \pm 0.02 + 0.01 - 0.07$	
265	$0.071 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.021 \\ -0.017 \end{array}$	$0.137 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.037 \\ -0.027 \end{array}$	$0.262 \pm 0.004 \begin{array}{c} +0.041 \\ -0.056 \end{array}$	$0.43 \pm 0.01 + 0.01$	
295	$0.056 \pm 0.000 + 0.017 = 0.012$	$0.113 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.026 \\ -0.025 \end{array}$	$0.204 \pm 0.004 \begin{array}{c} +0.033 \\ -0.040 \end{array}$	$0.37 \pm 0.01 + 0.08 = 0.08$	
325	$0.045 \pm 0.000 + 0.013 \\ -0.009$	$0.093 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.023 \\ -0.023 \end{array}$	$0.177 \pm 0.003 \begin{array}{c} +0.045 \\ -0.035 \end{array}$	$0.359 \pm 0.009 \substack{+0.059 \\ -0.056}$	
355	$0.038 \pm 0.000 \begin{array}{c} +0.010 \\ -0.008 \end{array}$	$0.074 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.022 \\ -0.018 \end{array}$	$0.142 \pm 0.003 \begin{array}{c} +0.028 \\ -0.032 \end{array}$	$0.297 \pm 0.008 \stackrel{+0.032}{_{-0.078}}$	
385	$0.033 \pm 0.000 \stackrel{+0.008}{-0.006}$	$0.062 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.015 \\ -0.014 \end{array}$	$0.107 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.028 \\ -0.019 \end{array}$	$0.215 \pm 0.006 \substack{+0.039 \\ -0.032}$	
415	$0.029 \pm 0.000 \stackrel{+0.007}{_{-0.007}}$	$0.054 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.013 \\ -0.014 \end{array}$	$0.104 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.020 \\ -0.021 \end{array}$	$0.188 \pm 0.006 \begin{array}{c} +0.058 \\ -0.041 \end{array}$	
445	$0.024 \pm 0.001 + 0.006 = 0.006$	$0.043 \pm 0.001 + 0.001 + 0.009$	$0.088 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.013 \\ -0.024 \end{array}$	$0.140 \pm 0.005 \begin{array}{c} +0.042 \\ -0.041 \end{array}$	
475	$0.021 \pm 0.001 + 0.007 = 0.006$	$0.038 \pm 0.001 + 0.001 = 0.008$	$0.070 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.012 \\ -0.015 \end{array}$	$0.126 \pm 0.005 \substack{+0.020 \\ -0.030}$	
505	$0.018 \pm 0.001 \stackrel{+0.007}{_{-0.006}}$	$0.036 \pm 0.001 \begin{array}{c} +0.007 \\ -0.008 \end{array}$	$0.066 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.017 \\ -0.018 \end{array}$	$0.118 \pm 0.004 \begin{array}{c} +0.028 \\ -0.024 \end{array}$	
535	$0.016 \pm 0.001 \stackrel{+0.006}{_{-0.007}}$	$0.030 \pm 0.001 \stackrel{+0.007}{_{-0.005}}$	$0.054 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.008 \\ -0.012 \end{array}$	$0.119 \pm 0.005 \substack{+0.039 \\ -0.033}$	
565	$0.014 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.003 \\ -0.002 \end{array}$	$0.027 \pm 0.001 \stackrel{+0.006}{_{-0.010}}$	$0.044 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.008 \\ -0.008 \end{array}$	$0.088 \pm 0.004 \substack{+0.031 \\ -0.016}$	
595	$0.013 \pm 0.003 \stackrel{+0.005}{_{-0.006}}$	$0.021 \pm 0.002 \stackrel{+0.004}{_{-0.007}}$	$0.040 \pm 0.002 \begin{array}{c} +0.014 \\ -0.008 \end{array}$	$0.081 \pm 0.004 \begin{array}{c} +0.016 \\ -0.019 \end{array}$	

Table 2: Densities of muons with their statistical and systematic errors obtained with the MTD in four primary particle energy ranges and distance range 100 m - 610 m. Values in bold are used to fit the Greisen functions.

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 7: Lateral density distributions of muons from the  $MTD_{459}$ in four primary energy ranges. The distributions can be de-<sub>460</sub> scribed by the Greisen function in the distance ranges (FR)<sub>461</sub> marked with full symbols. **Errors - see Table 2.** 

to the fact that with increasing energy the shower penetrates<sub>465</sub>
deeper into the atmosphere. The same behaviour was observed<sub>466</sub>
for muon and electron LDFs in the KASCADE Array [4].

It has been observed, especially seen in the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> energy<sub>468</sub> 449 range, that the LDFs for the KASCADE Array  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=230 \text{ MeV})_{469}$ 450 are flatter than the LDFs for the MTD muons  $(E_{\mu}^{' thr} = 800 \text{ MeV})_{470}$ 451 confirming the known dependence of the  $r_G$  value in Greisen's<sub>471</sub> 452 formula on the muon energy threshold. This is related to the472 453 decrease of the muon parent production angle ( $\theta_{\pi} \simeq p_t/E$ ) with<sup>473</sup> 454 muon energy and to the weaker multiple Coulomb scattering474 455  $(\theta_s \propto 1/E_\mu).$ 475 456

Table 3: Fit parameters C and  $r_G$  of the lateral density distributions of muons obtained with the MTD.

$lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])$	$C[m^{-2}]$	<i>r<sub>G</sub></i> [m]	$\chi^2/NDF$
7.0 - 7.3	0.151±0.006	441±10	19/7
7.3 – 7.6	$0.30 \pm 0.02$	434±14	14/9
7.6 – 7.9	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	325±15	5/9
7.9 - 8.2	$3.4 \pm 0.6$	247±19	4/5

The shape of the lateral muon density distributions in those distances selected for the Greisen fits allows **fitting** them equally well also with a Lagutin-type function.

For instance, in the first energy bin, the MTD distribution has been fitted with the Greisen function in the distance range from 100 m to 370 m. At larger distances the MTD distribution is rising, as well as the uncertainty of the density values due to decreasing number of showers and muons there. In the last energy bin, the MTD distribution was fitted with the Greisen function in the distance range from 370 m to 580 m. In distances outside this range the MTD distribution gives lower (<370 m) or higher (>580 m) values than predicted by the Greisen function, and the uncertainties of the densities are increasing.

Outside the MTD full-efficiency distance ranges the deviations of the experimental points from the Greisen type functional dependence are seen due to the various inefficiencies discussed above. They are responsible for the shape of the MTD muon lateral density distributions at large distances

463

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 8: Lateral muon density distributions obtained with the MTD ( $E_{\mu}^{thr}$ =800 MeV) ( $\bullet$ ,  $\circ$ ) and KASCADE Array measurements ( $E_{\mu}^{thr}$ =230 MeV) ( $\bigstar$ ) together with CORSIKA simulations ( $\triangle$ ,  $\Box$ ) in the primary energy ranges: (a)  $\lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.0-7.3$ , (b)  $\lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.3-7.6$ , (c)  $\lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.6-7.9$ , (d)  $\lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=7.9-8.2$ . The lateral distributions obtained with the KASCADE Array are multiplied by factor 10. Only some statistical errors in the simulations can be seen.

changing the population of showers with muon tracks recon-491
structed with the MTD in that distance range.
492
493

### 478

## 479 4.4. Comparison with lateral density distributions from simu-495 480 lations and KASCADE Array 496

For the purpose of testing the interaction models used in497 481 the EAS simulations the comparisons of the experimental498 482 muon density distributions at two energy thresholds, obtained499 483 with the MTD and with the KASCADE Array, with simulated<sup>500</sup> 484 ones have been done. Simulations were performed for H<sup>501</sup> 485 and Fe primary initiated showers using CORSIKA with502 486 QGSJet-II-2+FLUKA2002.4 hadronic interaction models. The<sup>503</sup> 487 504 results are shown in Fig. 8. 488

In all energy bins the presented simulations reproduce the<sup>505</sup> experimental distributions within error bars in the sense that the<sup>506</sup> experimental data is bracketed by the simulations with proton and iron primaries, i.e. no unexpected physical processes are visible. However, in case of the MTD distributions, in the distance range up to 200 m (clearly visible in the  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$ energy bin) the densities for simulations are higher than the densities for the measurement. This **is probably due to** the idealized description of the MTD in the detector simulation code that "fails" in reproducing the detector behaviour in extreme cases of high muon density events, when the saturation of the data acquisition and overlapping hit clusters occur.

Further tests of hadronic interaction models can be done by calculating the ratios of the muon densities obtained for different threshold energies. By investigating such a ratio a sensitivity to the muon energy spectrum in high-energy air showers could be achieved. However, due to the small differences in the muon densities between proton and iron

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 9: Mean muon density ratio for data and simulations at<sup>557</sup> the distance from 280 m to 460 m at two energy thresholds as a<sup>558</sup> function of the shower energy. The points are shifted for better<sup>559</sup> visibility. Only statistical errors are shown.

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

initiated showers, as well as the experimental uncertainties it563 507 is difficult to extract a parameter which is both, sensitive to564 508 composition and the validity of the hadronic interaction model.565 509 In earlier analyses of the  $R_{\rho_1/\rho_2} = f(\lg E)$  dependence de-566 510 scribed in Refs. [22, 23, 24], the muon density ratios for567 511 different energy thresholds measured in KASCADE were in-568 512 vestigated (e.g.  $R_{2.4/0.49} = \rho_{2.4}/\rho_{0.49}$ ,  $R_{0.49/0.23} = \rho_{0.49}/\rho_{0.23}$ ).<sup>569</sup> 513 Those investigations were done in the energy range570 514  $lg(E_0^{rec}[GeV])=6.0-7.0$  and in the distance from 30 m to<sup>571</sup> 515 70 m. Comparison of several hadronic interaction model<sup>572</sup> 516 combinations (e.g. QGSJet1998+GHEISHA) with the data573 517 revealed significant differences between the distributions of574 518 the density ratios. The conclusion was that the simulations575 519 could not describe well the measurements, but a more detailed576 520 quantification was hampered by experimental and methodical577 521 uncertainties. 522

In this analysis we investigate  $R_{0.23/0.8}$ , the ratio of  $\rho_{0.23^{579}}$ 523 and  $\rho_{0.8}$  which are the densities of muons with energy above<sup>580</sup> 524 0.23 GeV from the KASCADE and 0.8 GeV from the MTD,581 525 and its dependence on shower energy  $R_{0.23/0.8} = f(\lg(E_0^{rec}))$ . 582 526 In Fig. 9 the dependence of the mean muon density ratio583 527 calculated with the mean densities in  $R_{\mu}$  distance from 280 m<sup>584</sup> 528 to 460 m (see Figure 7) in four energy intervals is shown. 585 529

The decrease of the mean density ratio for data is of the 530 order of 10% per decade of the shower energy, however with 531 large statistical errors. A similar decrease can be observed in 586 532 the simulations where the ratios for iron showers follow the<sub>587</sub> 533 ratios from the measurement. Due to the above mentioned 588 534 limitations we cannot judge on the significance of this<sup>589</sup> 535 observation, however, this behaviour shows the trend similar<sup>590</sup> 536 to other investigations of the mass composition at KASCADE-592 537 Grande, which indicate that at these energies the composition593 538 is significantly heavier than proton [25], [26]. 594 539

The presented  $R_{0.23/0.8}$  analysis indicates only that, as <sup>595</sup>/<sub>596</sub> 540 in the case of lateral muon distributions, the description597 541 of the experimental data by the simulations with QGSJet-508 542 II-2+FLUKA2002.4 model combination does not require599 543 inclusion of any exotic processes. A more detailed quantifica-600 544 tion, similarly as in the previous density ratio investigations in<sup>601</sup> 545 KASCADE, is impossible due to the experimental uncertain-546 ties. 547 604

#### 5. Summary and conclusions

Employing the large and precise muon tracking device in KASCADE-Grande the use of the tracking technique for the muon lateral distribution measurements has been revived. For the first time the lateral density distributions of EAS muons  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=800 \text{ MeV})$  have been obtained by counting muon tracks for radial distance 100 m – 610 m, in four primary energy ranges above  $10^{16}$  eV and with high statistical accuracy.

The obtained results validate the excellent performance of the MTD, which has already delivered valuable results on the muon production heights, cosmic ray composition and model tests [27, 28, 29].

The MTD distributions can be described with the Greisen function, however in a limited distance range, different for each energy interval. This is due to the limited efficiency of track reconstruction in the MTD, caused by the saturation of the detector, as well as by trigger inefficiency.

The LDFs from the MTD become steeper with the energy of the showers. The same behaviour was observed for the muon and electron LDFs obtained with the KASCADE Array, as shown in Ref [4]. The dependence of the shape of lateral muon distribution ( $r_G$  value in Greisen's formula) on muon threshold energy was observed.

The lateral distributions obtained with the MTD are compared with the density distributions based on energy deposits obtained with the KASCADE Array of scintillator detectors  $(E_{\mu}^{thr}=230 \text{ MeV})$  for the same sample of EAS. The measured distributions are compared with distributions in simulated showers, QGSJet-II-2+FLUKA2002.4 model combination, Hydrogen and Iron initiated EAS. The comparisons of the lateral muon density distributions, as well as of the density ratio  $R_{0.23/0.8}$  show that the MTD and KASCADE results are bracketed by the simulated distributions i.e. no unexpected physical processes are visible. This indicates that the hadronic interaction models have been significantly improved because the simulations performed with earlier models, like QGSJet1998+GHEISHA, could not describe the KASCADE air shower data.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the members of the engineering and technical staff of the KASCADE-Grande collaboration, who contributed to the success of the experiment. The KASCADE-Grande experiment is supported in Germany by the BMBF and by the Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics - HAP funded by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, by the MIUR and INAF of Italy, the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, and the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS -UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0691 and PN-II-RU-PD-2011-3-0145.

- [1] T. Pierog, EPJ Web Conf. 52 (2013) 03001.
- [2] L. Pentchev, P. Doll, H. O. Klages, J. Phys. G 25 (1999) 1235.
- [3] L. Pentchev, P. Doll, J. Phys. G 27 (2001) 1459.
- [4] T. Antoni, et al. (The KASCADE Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 14 (2001) 245–260.
- [5] W. Apel, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 24 (2006) 467 – 483.

- [6] F. Ashton, J. Fatemi, H. Nejabat, A. Nasri, W. S. Rada, E. Shaat, A. C.
   Smith, T. R. Stewart, M. G. Thompson, M. W. Treasure, I. A. Ward, in:
   Proc. 15<sup>th</sup> ICRC, 1977, volume 11, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, p. 400.
  - [7] M. Samorski, W. Stamm, in: Proc. 18th ICRC, 1983, Bangalore, India.
- [8] W. D. Apel, et al. (The KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 620 (2010) 202–216.
- [9] T. Antoni, et al. (KASCADE Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 513
   (2003) 490–510.
- [10] P. Doll, W. Bartl, C. Büttner, K. Daumiller, K.-H. Kampert, H. Klages,
  D. Martello, R. Obenland, L. Pentchev, J. Zabierowski, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 488 (2002) 517 535.
- 616 [11] W. Apel, et al., Astropart. Phys. 29 (2008) 412–419.

- [12] J. Zabierowski, P. Doll, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 484 (2002) 528-532.
- [13] P. Łuczak, "Investigation of muons in extensive air showers
   with the KASCADE-Grande Muon Tracking Detector", Ph.D.
   thesis, National Centre for Nuclear Research, Poland, 2012.
   http://www.ncbj.gov.pl/node/1951.
- [14] M. Bertaina, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), in: Proc. 31<sup>th</sup>
   ICRC, 2009, Lodz, Poland. FZKA 7516, p13-16 (0323).
- [15] D. Heck, et al., "CORSIKA: a Monte Carlo code to simulate extensive air
   showers", Technical Report 6019, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe(FZKA),
   1998.
- 627 [16] S. Ostapchenko, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 151 (2006) 143–146.
- [17] A. Ferrari, P.R. Sala, A. Fasso, and J. Ranft, "FLUKA: a multi-particle
   transport code", Technical Report, CERN, 2005. CERN-2005-010;
   INFN-TC-2005-11; SLAC-R-773.
- 631 [18] K. Greisen, Ann. Rev. Nuc. Sci. 10 (1960) 63–108.
- 632 [19] K. Kamata, J. Nishimura, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 6 (1958) 93–155.
- [20] A. A. Lagutin, A. V. Pljasheshnikov, V. V. Uchaikin, in: Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> ICRC,
   1979, volume 7, Kyoto, Japan, p. 18.
- <sup>635</sup> [21] W. D. Apel, et al., Astroparticle Physics 36 (2012) 183 194.
- <sup>636</sup> [22] A. Haungs, et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 151 (2006) 167 174.
- [23] A. Haungs, et al. (The KASCADE Collaboration), in: Proc. 28<sup>th</sup> ICRC, 2003, Tsukuba, Japan. FZKA 6890 p.37-40.
- [24] A. Haungs, et al. (The KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), in: Proc. 29<sup>th</sup>
   ICRC, 2005, volume 6, Pune, India, pp. 281–284.
- [25] W. D. Apel, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.
   107 (2011) 171104.
- 643 [26] W. D. Apel, et al., Astroparticle Physics 47 (2013) 54 66.
- [27] W. Apel, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), Astropart. Phys. 34
   (2011) 476 485.
- [28] P. Łuczak, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), in: Proc. 33<sup>rd</sup>
   ICRC, 2013, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. arXiv:1308.2059.
- [29] J. Zabierowski, et al. (KASCADE-Grande Collaboration), in: Proc. 30<sup>th</sup>
   ICRC, 2007, volume 4, Merida, Mexico, pp. 111–114.