brought to you by TCORE



Journal of Analytical Toxicology

# DETERMINATION OF ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES AND a-CHLORALOSE IN HUMAN HAIR AND APPLICATION TO A REAL CASE

Journal:	Journal of Analytical Toxicology
Manuscript ID	JAT-15-1956.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Leporati, Marta Salomone, Alberto; Centro Regionale Antidoping , Golè, Giambattista Vincenti, Marco
Keywords:	hair, anticoagulant rodenticides, uhplc-ms/ms, a-chloralose, POISONING



<ul> <li>APPLICATION TO A REAL CASE</li> <li>Marta Leporati<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Salomone<sup>1,*</sup> Giambattista Golé<sup>2</sup> and Marco Vincenti<sup>1,5</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbes</li> <li><sup>1</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbes</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Medicina Legole ASL TOZ, Via Faligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Orresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li>Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbessano, Torino, Italy</li> <li>Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li>E-mail: alberto salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</li> </ul>	2 3	1	DETERMINATION OF ANTICOAGULANT RODENTICIDES AND $\alpha$ -CHLORALOSE IN HUMAN HAIR									
<ul> <li>Marta Leporati<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Salomone<sup>1,*</sup> Giambattista Golè<sup>2</sup> and Marco Vincenti<sup>1,4</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orba:</li> <li>(TO), Italy</li> <li><sup>2</sup>: Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>3</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>4</sup></li> <li><sup>6</sup> *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Eli: +39.011 90224232; FAX:: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li><sup>8</sup> E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</li> </ul>	4	2	APPLICATION TO A REAL CASE									
<ul> <li>Marta Leporati<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Salomone<sup>1,*</sup> Giambattista Golè<sup>2</sup> and Marco Vincenti<sup>1,4</sup></li> <li><sup>4</sup>: Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbas</li> <li>(TO), Italy</li> <li><sup>2</sup>: Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>1</sup> Tel:: +39.011 90224232; FAX:: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li><sup>1</sup> E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.plemonte.it</li> </ul>	6	3										
<ul> <li><sup>5</sup></li> <li><sup>1</sup>: Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbas</li> <li><sup>7</sup>(TO), Italy</li> <li><sup>8</sup>: Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>8</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>8</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup> *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li><sup>17</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>18</sup> Tel: +39.011 90224232; FAX: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li><sup>19</sup> E-mail: <u>alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</u></li> <li><sup>20</sup></li> </ul>	7 8	4	Marta Leporati $^1$ , Alberto Salomone $^{1,*}$ Giambattista Golè $^2$ and Marco Vincenti $^{1,3}$									
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>: Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbas</li> <li>(TO), Italy</li> <li><sup>2</sup>: Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>3</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimico, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>4</sup>Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li>Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li>Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li>E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</li> </ul>	9 10	5										
<ul> <li>(TO), Italy</li> <li></li></ul>	11	6	<sup>1</sup> : Centro Regionale Antidoping e di Tossicologia "A. Bertinaria", regione Gonzole 10/1, 10043 Orbassano									
14       8         15       9 <sup>7</sup> : Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy         17       10         18       10         19       1 <sup>3</sup> : Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy         12       13         13	12 13	7	(TO), Italy									
<ul> <li>9 <sup>2</sup>: Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy</li> <li>10</li> <li>11 <sup>3</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16 *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li>27 Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li>18 Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li>19 E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	14 15	8										
<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>3</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>4</sup></li> <li><sup>4</sup></li> <li><sup>6</sup> *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>7</sup> Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li><sup>8</sup> E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.plemonte.it</li> <li><sup>8</sup> 20</li> </ul>	16	9	<sup>2</sup> : Medicina Legale ASL TO2, Via Foligno 14, 10149 Torino, Italy									
<ul> <li><sup>19</sup> 11 <sup>3</sup>: Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>11</sup> 12</li> <li><sup>12</sup> 13</li> <li><sup>14</sup> 14</li> <li><sup>15</sup> 15</li> <li><sup>16</sup> *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone</li> <li><sup>17</sup> Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy</li> <li><sup>18</sup> Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145</li> <li><sup>19</sup> E-mail: <u>alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it</u></li> <li><sup>20</sup> 20</li> </ul>	18	10										
12       13         14       14         15       15         16       *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone         17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         38       44         44       45         45       46         46       47         47       48         48       49         49       44         41       44         42       44         43       44         44       45         45       46         46       47         47       48         48       49         49       49         40       41         41       41         42       41         43       41         44       41         45       41         46       41         47       41         48	19 20	11	<sup>3</sup> : Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125 Torino, Italy									
13         14         15         16       *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone         17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         21       20         22       38         33       19         24       34         34       44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54         55         56         57         58         59	21	12										
24       14         15       16         *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone         17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         21       20	23	13										
15         16       *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone         17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         11       20         12       Value         13       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         14       19         15       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         20       20         20       20         21       20         22       23         23       24         24       25         25       26         26       27         27       28         28       29         29       20         20       20         20       20         20       20         21       21         22       22         23       23         24       24         25       25<	24 25	14										
16       *Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone         17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         20       20         20       20         20       20         20       20         20       20	26 27	15										
30       17       Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy         31       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         35       19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         36       20         37       20         38       9         44       45         45       46         47       48         48       49         50       56         51       52         52       54         54       54         55       56         56       57         57       58	28 29	16	*Corresponding author: Alberto Salomone									
32       18       Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242; Mobile: +39.3489330145         35       19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         36       20         37       20         38	30 31	17	Centro Regionale Antidoping "A. Bertinaria", Regione Gonzole 10/1 - 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy									
34       19       E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it         36       20         38       39         40       41         42       43         44       45         45       46         47       48         49       50         51       52         53       54         54       55         56       57         58       59	32 33	18	Tel.: +39.011 90224232; FAX.: +39.011 90224242;       Mobile: +39.3489330145         E-mail: alberto.salomone@antidoping.piemonte.it									
36       20         38	34 35	19										
	36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 546 47 48 90 51 23 54 556 57 89 90	20										

2 3	21	
4 5	22	ABSTRACT
6	23	Anticoagulant rodenticides are the largest group of poisons used to kill harmful rodents. Their fundamental
8	24	mode of action consists in the inhibition of the vitamin K epoxide reductase, which causes blood-clotting
9 10	25	alteration, ultimately leading to hemorrhagic events as the cause of death. In this study, we developed an
11	26	UHPLC-MS/MS for the simultaneous determination of 10 anticoagulant hydroxycoumarine rodenticides,
12	27	plus $\alpha$ -chloralose in human hair, with the scope of detecting potential trace of chronological poison
14 15	28	exposure in clinical and forensic cases. The method was fully validated and applied to a case of intentional
16	29	poisoning perpetrated by administration of difenacoum and $\alpha$ -chloralose <u>to a 97-years old woman, who</u>
17 18	30	was hospitalized because of severe symptoms, including drowsiness, convulsions, pallor and hematoma.
19 20	31	Hair sample from the victim was segmentally analyzed. and proved sensitive enough to detect occasional
21	32	exposure of the victim to the two analytes. Difenacoum was detected in the proximal 3-cm hair segment at
22 23	33	the concentration of 2.9 pg/mg. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report that exposure to
24 25	34	difenacoum is detectable in real hair samples. The other target analyte found in the hair sample was $\alpha$ -
26	35	chloralose, which was detected in the 0-3 cm segment at the concentration of 85 pg/mg. The two
27 28	36	subsequent and consecutive segments (3-6 cm and 6-9 cm) showed only traces of difenacoum (below LOQ)
29	37	and low but quantifiable levels of $\alpha$ -chloralose (29 pg/mg and 6 pg/mg, respectively). Therefore, hair
30 31	38	segmental analysis allowed us to conclude that the victim was repeatedly exposed to two poisons in the
32 33	39	period corresponding to the first segment of hair.
34	40	
35 36	41	
37 38	42	<b>KEYWORDS:</b> UHPLC-MS/MS; hair; anticoagulant rodenticides; $\alpha$ -chloralose; poisoning
39	40	
40 41	45	
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
54		
55 56		
57		
JO		

INTRODUCTION

1

2	
3 4	44
4 5	45
6 7	46
8	47
9 10	48
11 12	49
13	50
14 15	51
16 17	52
18	53
19 20	54
21 22	55
23	56
24 25	57
26	58
27 28	59
29 30	60
31	61
32 33	62
34 35	63
36	64
37 38	65
39	66
40 41	67
42 43	68
44	69
45 46	70
47 48	71
40	72
50 51	73
52	74
53 54	75
55 56	76
57	77
58 59	78
60	

6 Anticoagulant rodenticides are the largest group of poisons used to kill harmful rodents. They are classified 7 depending on their chemical structure into two main groups: hydroxycoumarine and indandione 8 rodenticides. The chemical structure of anticoagulant compounds is related to that of natural compounds 9 such as ferulenol or dicoumarol, the latter being found in moldy sweet clover. Their action mechanism is 0 almost identical, even when they are used within therapeutic protocols, producing similar clinical effects, hematological changes and treatment schedule, regardless of their specific structural identity (1). Their 1 2 fundamental mode of action consists in the inhibition of the vitamin K epoxide reductase, which causes blood-clotting alteration, ultimately leading to hemorrhagic events as the cause of death (2). The first 3 4 marketed product (warfarin) has been continuously used as either a rodenticide or a therapeutic drug to 5 prevent thromboembolic disorders in human beings. The first generation of anticoagulants (warfarin, 6 coumatetralyl, coumachlor, diphacinone, and chlorophacinone) produce their effect in rodents only after 7 several days of feeding (3). The massive use of warfarin resulted in the development of resistance in several 8 strains of rodents (4). As a consequence, newer and more active products were developed to overcome these problems, such as brodifacoum and flocoumafen. These second generation anticoagulants are active 9 after a single intake. The widespread use of anticoagulant rodenticides led to the need of analytical 0 1 methods to monitor accidental or intentional intoxication of either domestic animals or humans (5-7). In 2 the past, a number of techniques have been used to detect anticoagulant rodenticides, including gas chromatography-electron capture detection (58), gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (69), 3 4 immunoassay (710), thin-layer chromatography-UV/fluorescence (811) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence and UV/photodiode array detectors (9-1012-13). In recent years, 5 6 liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS) has become the most frequently used 7 technique for these analyses ( $\frac{11-16}{14-19}$ ). Besides anticoagulants,  $\alpha$ -chloralose is also commonly used as a 8 rodenticide. It shows both a depressive effect on the central nervous system, producing sedation and 9 anesthesia, and a stimulant effect on spinal reflexes, producing spontaneous myoclonic movements or 0 generalized convulsions ( $\frac{17-1920-22}{2}$ ).  $\alpha$ -chloralose is often found in specimens from animal autopsies, in 1 particular in baits and bird organs. Furthermore, cases of accidental ingestion involving humans or 2 intentional suicides have been reported (20-2223-25). Many studies about rodenticides detection in 3 biological samples were published in the past (2-326), but only one on human hair, limited to brodifacoum 4 and bromadiolone (2427). 5 In clinical and forensic toxicology, blood, plasma, or serum concentrations of anticoagulant rodenticides are

In clinical and forensic toxicology, blood, plasma, or serum concentrations of anticoagulant rodenticides are
utilized to diagnose and predict the duration of vitamin K1 therapy, or to estimate a state of intoxication at
the moment of sampling. On the other hand, the keratin matrix, namely hair, is commonly used to establish
a potential chronological drug/poison exposure, with faurther periods corresponding to the hair segments

more distant from the hair root (25-2828-31). Furthermore, hair analysis may provide a non-invasive approach for monitoring previous exposure also for wild animals, with easier storage and longer detection time compared to other non-invasive methods such as detection in pellets of bird of prey, or faeces of mammals (2427).

83 In the present study, we developed an UHPLC-MS/MS for the simultaneous determination of 10 84 anticoagulant rodenticides plus  $\alpha$ -chloralose in human hair. The method was fully validated and applied to 85 a case of intentional poisoning perpetrated by administration of difenacoum and  $\alpha$ -chloralose.

**EXPERIMENTAL** 

# **Chemicals, reagents, and standard solutions**Materials

Coumatetralyl, brodifacoum, bromadiolone, difenacoum, flocoumafen, coumachlor, acenocoumarol, coumafuryl, dicoumarol,  $\alpha$ -chloralose, methanol (MeOH), dichloromethane and ammonium formiate were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Warfarin was purchased by LGC (Sesto San Giovanni (MI), Italy) and warfarin-d5 by C/D/N Isotopes Inc. (Pointe-Claire, Quebec, Canada). Ultrapure water was obtained by a Milli-Q Millipore system (Bedford, MA, U.S.A.). Stock standard solutions of analytes and warfarin-d5 as internal standard (IS), were prepared in MeOH at a concentration of 200 mg  $L^{-1}$  and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C in the dark. Working MeOH solutions containing all the analytes at different concentrations were prepared by mixing the stock solutions at the proper dilution. The working solutions were used to spike negative hair samples at various levels. 

# 100 Analytical method Instrumental conditions

All analyses were performed on a Shimadzu Nexera 30 UHPLC-system (Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany) interfaced to an AB Sciex API 5500 triple guadrupole mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Darmstadt, Germany) with an electrospray Turbo Ion source operating in the negative (ESI-) ion mode. A Kinetex C18 column  $50 \times 2.1$  mm i.d.  $\times 1.7 \mu$ m (Phenomenex, Italy), protected by a C18 guard column, was used for the separation of analytes. The column oven was maintained at +40 °C, and the elution solvents used were water/ammonium formiate 2 mM (solvent A) and MeOH (solvent B). The mobile phase eluted under the following conditions (a/b; v/v): initial 80:20 ratio for 1 min, then linear gradient to 0:100 in 4 min; final isocratic condition at 100% B for 0.5 min. The flow rate was 0.5 mL/min and total run time was 8.5 min, including the re-equilibration time at the initial conditions between two consecutive injections. The MS system was operated in the selected reaction monitoring mode (SRM). In order to establish appropriate SRM conditions, each analyte was individually infused into the ESI capillary, while the declustering potential (DP) and the entrance potential (EP) were adjusted to maximize the intensity of the  $[M-H]^-$ species. The collision offset voltage (CE) was adjusted to preserve approximately 10 % of the precursor ion,

# Journal of Analytical Toxicology

and the cell exit potentials (CXP) were also optimized. Each SRM transition was maintained during a time window of  $\pm 10.0$  s around the expected retention time of the corresponding analyte, and the SRM target scan time (i.e., sum of dwell times for each SRM cycle) was 0.30 s, including pause times of 5 ms between consecutive SRM transitions. The best results were obtained using a source block temperature of +500 °C and an ion-spray voltage of -3.000 V. Both Q1 and Q3 were operated at unit mass resolution. Nitrogen was employed as the collision gas at  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa. The gas settings were as follows: curtain gas 30.0 psi, collision gas 8.0 psi, ion source gas GS1 40.0 psi, and ion source gas GS2 50.0 psi. The Analyst 1.5.2 (AB Sciex) software was used for data processing. The chemical structures of the anticoagulant rodenticides investigated in this study and  $\alpha$ -chloralose are reported in Figure 1. All analytes and IS, their corresponding retention time, SRM transitions, and potentials are presented in Table 1.

### 125 Case study

A 97-years old woman was hospitalized because of severe symptoms, including drowsiness, convulsions, pallor and hematoma. The laboratory tests showed abnormal values for coagulation parameters (prothrombin time-international normalized ratio PT-INR = 12.46; activated partial thromboplastin time <u>aPTT = 60 s; aPTT ratio = 1.82). After intra-venous (IV) administration of 10 mg vitamin K and one day</u> monitoring, the patient recovered from the hemorrhagic syndrome (PT-INR = 1.45; aPTT = 40.6 s; aPTT ratio = 1.23) and was dismissed. After one week, the woman was taken again to the Emergency Department (ED) because she accused the same symptoms. Her coagulations parameters were the following: PT-INR = 13.31; aPTT = 68 s; aPTT ratio = 2.06. After IV administration of vitamin K and three blood transfusions, the patient recovered once more. During the treatment of the second episode, a blood sample was collected and screened for anticoagulants, in order to find possible explanations. Screening for further substances, which may account for convulsive symptoms, were not performed. Since the blood sample resulted positive to difenacoum, the case was reported to the Public Prosecutor's office, which took jurisdiction of the case. A fruit mousse allegedly used 

to poison the victim was seized by the Police. Our laboratory was asked by the Prosecutor to determine the
 content of the mousse and to estimate for how long the poisoning occurred. In order to respond to the
 latter query, the victim was asked to give a hair sample on which to perform the inherent toxicological
 analyses. The patient's hair sample was taken 2.5 months after her first hospitalization.

### 144 Sample preparation

About 200 mg of hair was twice-washed with dichloromethane (2 mL, vortex mixed for 3 min). After complete removal of solvent wash, the hair was dried at room temperature by a gentle nitrogen flow and subsequently cut with scissors into 1–2 mm segments. An aliquot of about 50 mg was weighted and then fortified with 62.5 μL of <u>warfarin-d5 an IS</u>-working solution at 20 ng/mL, yielding a final concentration of 25 149 pg/mg. Similarly to the analysis of other xenobiotics in hair (32), Sasample extraction was carried out by 150 addition of 1 mL of methanol, vortex shaking for 5 min and centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 3 min, to ensure 151 the complete immersion of the matrix into the solvent, and final incubation at 55°C for 15 h. Lastly, the 152 organic phase was collected, and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen and mild 153 heating (25°C) using a Techne Sample Concentrator (Barloworld Scientific, Stone, UK). The residue was 154 dissolved in 100  $\mu$ L of MeOH, transferred into a vial, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. 2  $\mu$ L of solution 155 was injected into the UHPLC–MS/MS system.

### 157 Validation

The analytical method was validated in accordance with the criteria and recommendations of international standard and international guidelines (2933). The following parameters were investigated: specificity, selectivity, linearity range, detection and quantification limits (LOD and LOQ), intra-assay and inter-assay precision and accuracy. Carry-over and matrix effect were also investigated.

#### 163 Specificity

A pool of five blank hair samples obtained from different healthy volunteers (two females, three males) was prepared without spiking and analyzed as described above. The occurrence of possible interferences from endogenous substances was tested by monitoring the SRM chromatograms characteristic for each investigated compound at the expected retention time interval. The S/N was measured on the less intense mass transition at the expected analyte retention time. The noise was measured from the end of the peak till ±0.05 min after it for each analyte. A S/N<3 was considered satisfactory in order to verify the method's specificity.

172 Selectivity

173 The repeatability of relative peak intensities for the transitions of each analyte was determined on five 174 spiked hair samples at two concentration levels (5 and 250 pg/mg). Retention time precision at each 175 concentration was also determined.

#### 177 Linearity, LOD and LOQ

The linear calibration model was checked by analyzing (two replicates) blank hair samples spiked with the working solution at five concentration levels (5, 25, 100, 250, 500 pg/mg). The calibration was completed by internal standardization. The squared correlation coefficient, adjusted by taking into account the number of observations and independent variables (AdjR<sup>2</sup>), was utilized to roughly estimate linearity.

182The limit of detection (LOD) was estimated with the Hubaux-Vos approach (3034). LOQs was then183calculated as 2 times the LOD values.

2	184	
4	185	Precision and accuracy
5 6	186	For all analytes, intra- and inter-day precision (expressed as percent variation coefficient, CV%) and
7 8	187	accuracy (expressed as bias %) were evaluated at two concentration levels. Ten replicates of blank hair
9	188	samples were spiked with the standard solutions at the lowest calibration point (close to LOQ values) and
10	189	at intermediate calibration level, i.e. 5 and 250 pg/mg concentrations. Intra-assay precision was considered
12 13	190	satisfactory when CV% values were below 15%. Satisfactory accuracy was achieved when the
14	191	experimentally determined average concentration lied within ±15% from the expected value.
15 16	192	
17 18	193	Carry-over
19	194	The background chromatographic profiles for each analyte were monitored during the analysis of blank hair
20 21	195	sample injected for five times after the chromatographic run of a spiked blank hair sample containing all
22 23	196	the analytes at 500 pg/mg concentration. To assure the absence of carry-over, the same criteria adopted to
24	197	verify the specificity requirements had to be respected.
25 26	198	
27 28	199	Matrix effect
29	200	Matrix effect (expressed as percentage variation) was evaluated at 25 pg/mg analytes concentration by
30 31	201	comparing the signals obtained when the analytes were added to the matrix extract with those acquired
32 33	202	from a methanol solution containing the analytes at the same concentration. Since the quantification of
34	203	real samples is performed by internal standardization, the 'relative' matrix effect, namely the effect
35 36	204	calculated by comparing the peak areas of the analyte, divided by the peak area of the IS, both in matrix
37	205	and methanol, was also evaluated. In the latter case, the matrix effect is expected to be partly
38 39	206	compensated by the choice of a suitable internal standard, both analyte and IS undergoing similar
40 41	207	interference from the matrix. The matrix effect was calculated as the mean of five replicates. The
42	208	difference with respect to 100% highlighted matrix suppression (values below 100%) or enhancement
43 44	209	(values above 100%) ( <mark>31<u>35</u>).</mark>
45 46	210	
47	211	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
48 49	212	
50 51	213	Method development
52	214	The optimized UHPLC-MS/MS method allowed the simultaneous determination of 10 anticoagulant
53 54	215	rodenticides plus $\alpha$ -chloralose. The whole chromatographic run, comprehensive of the time required for
55 56	216	column re-equilibration, was completed in 8.5 min. Retention times ranged between 1.39 min (coumafuryl)
57	217	and 4.33 min (brodifacoum). Figure 2 shows the SRM chromatograms recorded from a blank hair spiked at
58 59	218	5 pg/mg for all the analytes. These concentrations correspond to the lowest point of the calibration curves.

Two SRM transitions were selected for each analyte with the exception of dicoumarol for which only a transition is available. Validation Specificity and Selectivity SRM chromatograms from negative hair samples showed no interfering signals at the retention time where the analytes were expected to elute. Thus, all specificity tests proved successful. To assess selectivity, one qualifying transition was monitored, in addition to the primary fragmentation with the exception of dicoumarol (Table 1). Variations of relative gualifier ion intensities did not exceed  $\pm 20\%$  with respect to the corresponding control and were considered acceptable. Linearity, LOD and LOQ Table 2 reports the AdjR<sup>2</sup> values obtained from the calibration curves that range from 0.9926 up to 1.000 and indicate good fit and linearity. LOD values ranged from 0.3 pg/mg for warfarin to 2.6 pg/mg for brodifacoum, while LOQ values lied between 0.6 pg/mg and 5.1 pg/mg. The calculated LODs were experimentally confirmed with five blank hair samples spiked at concentrations below these. As a matter of fact, LOD values calculated with the Hubaux-Vos method are quite conservative, since both CC $\alpha$  and CC $\beta$ risks are minimized at 5%, making the LOD values significantly higher than the limits of decision. Consequently, the LOQ values were realistically calculated as 2 × LOD, instead of 3 × LOD. This choice is supported by the satisfactory precision and accuracy data obtained at 5.0 pg/mg, i.e. close or below the LOQ values calculated for  $\alpha$ -chloralose, acenocoumarol, and brodifacoum (see below). Precision and accuracy Intra- and inter-day data on precision and accuracy are reported in Table 3. The results show satisfactory intra-day repeatability, as the percent variation coefficient (CV%) is lower than 10% for all the spiked analytes at low and high concentration, with only one exception (11% for dicoumarol at 250 pg/mg). The intra-day results also demonstrated optimal accuracy, as the percent bias are within few percent in almost all cases, with maximum experimental errors of -12% and +9.6%. Also inter-day repeatability and accuracy results proved fully satisfactory, as CV% and bias% values were within 12% or lower for all the spiked analytes at both low and high concentrations. Carry-over The background chromatographic profiles of the main transitions for each analyte, monitored during the analysis of blank hair extracts injected after samples spiked at the highest analytes concentration, did not 

# Journal of Analytical Toxicology

show the presence of any significant signal (i.e. the S/N value was always <3) at the retention times expected for most of the tested analytes, with few exceptions. For dicoumarol and flocoumafen, S/N>3  $(S/N = 3.4 \div 8.9)$  was observed in all the replicates for both SRM transitions considered. We also evaluated the carry-over effect after a slightly lower spiking of hair samples, i.e. 250 pg/g. Measurable carry-over was recorded for dicoumarol and flocoumafen also in this case, and the evaluation criteria for specificity were respected. For acenocoumarol, S/N>3 was observed in two replicates out of five for both SRM transition considered. In conclusion, possible occurrence of carry-over effects have to be taken into account for these three analytes when they are detected at relatively high concentrations.

263 Matrix effect

The effect of the real hair matrix components appeared to be significant for some of the analytes tested (see Table 2). The results of 'absolute' matrix effect show an increasing ion suppression in the last part of the chromatographic run, when methanol exceeds 80% in the eluent mixture and most of the hydrophobic matrix components are likely to be eluted. This effect is probably due to the simple sample preparation procedure, which is effective and rapid but do not include a purification step. Notably, a contribution to the observed matrix effect from the addition of multiple spiking has to be excluded, because no co-elution among the analytes is observed. The IS-corrected values of 'relative' matrix effect show a significant improvement for warfarin and dicoumarol, whereas the large ion suppression observed for flocoumafen and brodifacoum take minimal advantage from the IS-correction. In real forensic cases, the quantitative results for these two analytes should be considered thoughtfully. Fully reliable results may be obtained by the standard addition quantification method, whenever high accuracy is needed. On the other hand, the good linearity observed in the calibration plots for these analytes demonstrated that the observed matrix effect is proportionally constant, i.e. does not depend on the analytes' concentrations.

278 Application to a real case

A 97-years old woman was hospitalized because of severe symptoms, including drowsiness, convulsions, pallor and hematoma. The laboratory tests showed abnormal values for coagulation parameters (prothrombin time-international normalized ratio PT-INR = 12.46; activated partial thromboplastin time aPTT = 60 s; aPTT ratio = 1.82). After intra-venous (IV) administration of 10 mg vitamin K and one day monitoring, the patient recovered from the hemorrhagic syndrome (PT-INR = 1.45; aPTT = 40.6 s; aPTT ratio = 1.23) and was dismissed. After one week, the woman was taken again to the Emergency Department (ED) because she accused the same symptoms. Her coagulations parameters were the following: PT-INR = 13.31; aPTT = 68 s; aPTT ratio = 2.06. After IV administration of vitamin K and three blood transfusions, the patient recovered once more.

During the treatment of the second episode, a blood sample was collected and screened for anticoagulants, in order to find possible explanations. Screening for further substances, which may account for convulsive symptoms, were not executed. Since the blood sample resulted positive to difenacoum, the case was reported to the Public Prosecutor's office, which took jurisdiction of the case. A fruit mousse allegedly used to poison the victim was seized by the Police. Our laboratory was asked by the Prosecutor to determine the content of the mousse and to estimate for how long the poisoning occurred. In order to respond to the latter query, the victim was asked to give a hair sample on which to execute the inherent toxicological analyses. The patient's hair sample was taken 2.5 months after her first hospitalization.

 The extraction of the fruit mousse was performed by QuEChERS method, which is a streamlined and effective extraction and cleanup approach for the analysis of a variety of analyte residues in food matrices (). The fruit mousse sample tested positive for difenacoum and  $\alpha$ -chloralose, at 2 µg/g and 50 µg/g concentrations, respectively.

300 To execute perform segmental analysis, proximal and distal extremities of the hair sample were identified. 301 Assuming that the hair growth rate generally ranges from 1.0 to 1.3 cm/month (3337), a relationship 302 between hair length and investigation chronology was obtained. The hair length was about 9 cm and was 303 segmented as described in Table 4.

Difenacoum was detected in the first (proximal) 3-cm hair segment at the concentration of 2.9 pg/mg. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report that exposure to difenacoum is detectable in real hair samples. For most drugs, concentrations in the low picogram per milligram range are expected in the circumstances of single intake, such as in drug-facilitated crimes and drug offences (2528, 348, 359). Differently, long-term intoxication usually lead to nanogram of drug per milligram of hair levels (3640, 3741). Therefore, we concluded that in the present case the victim was administered difenacoum in either a single or few isolated occurrences, possibly immediately before the two admissions into the ED.

The other target analyte found in the hair sample was  $\alpha$ -chloralose, which was detected in the proximal (0-3 cm) segment at the concentration of 85 pg/mg. The two subsequent and consecutive segments (3-6 cm and 6-9 cm) showed only traces of difenacoum (below LOQ) and low but quantifiable levels of  $\alpha$ -chloralose (29 pg/mg and 6 pg/mg, respectively). Sporkert et al. reported a case of segmental hair analysis which yielded  $\alpha$ -chloralose concentrations in the range from 75 to 338 ng/mg for each segment, suggesting repetitive exposure of the victim to this substance (3842).

On the other hand, numerous factors may account for an observed longitudinal migration of drugs along the hair shaft (2831), suggesting that the detection of a drug in two or three hair segments does not necessarily implies multiple exposures. For example, drugs released in the sweat are prevalently incorporated into the proximal hair segment, but partly also in distal segments, especially when the entire hair length is kept in contact with the skull by a pillow, a foulard, a hat, or similar clothes. This is even more likely in elderly people spending most part of the day in armchairs and bed, as in the present case. In order

З 

# Journal of Analytical Toxicology

to interpret apparently contradictory segmental hair analysis data after single drug exposure, Kintz (3943) proposed to consider that the highest concentration must be detected in the segment corresponding to the period of the alleged event, and this measured concentration should be at least three times higher than those measured in the preceding or following segments. In the case presented hereby, we concluded that the victim was repeatedly exposed to  $\alpha$ -chloralose in the period corresponding to the first segment of hair. Possible contamination of the remaining hair segments may be accounted for by the fact that the victim used to spend most of her time in bed or on armchairs. Several harmful substances are easily available on the market in large quantities. Therefore, these compounds are often involved in intoxication cases and detected in biological specimens, including hair, in circumstances of attempted or accomplished poisonings. Cumulative exposure to organophosphorus pesticides was demonstrated by Kavvalakis et al. 2012 (44), who reported results on hair samples from both the general population and exposed populations. Concentrations of non-specific metabolites of organophosphorus pesticides, dialkilphosphates, ranged from 40 to 165 ppb for the general population and from 181.7 and 812.9 ppb for the exposed population. Similar hair concentrations were reported also by Tsatsakis et al (45). Kavvalakis et al. 2013 demonstrated a dose dependent accumulation of Imidacloprid, a relatively new neuro-active neonicotinoid insecticide, in rabbit hair, after a chronic sub-acute long term exposure to the insecticide (46), while Schummer et al measured 50 pesticides including 39 molecules from different chemical families currently used in agriculture and 11 organochlorines in hair of farm workers in order to evaluate the exposure to pesticides (47). These results demonstrate that hair analysis can provide extensive 

information on human exposure to pesticides and harmful substances in general.

#### CONCLUSIONS

An UHPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of ten anticoagulant rodenticides and  $\alpha$ -chloralose in human hair was developed and validated. The method proved to be simple, accurate, rapid and highly sensitive, allowing the simultaneous detection of all compounds. The method was successfully applied to a real case of difenacoum and  $\alpha$ -chloralose poisoning and proved sensitive enough to detect occasional exposure of the victim to the two analytes by segmental analysis.

2	257	
3	352	
5	353	REFERENCES
7	254	1 Rady CH El Mahroulov E S Abdolnabby H M Abmod H A (2012) Sub Lothal and Toratogonicity
8 9	222	1. Rady, G.H., El-Manrouxy, F.S., Abdemabby, H.M., Anned, H.A. (2013) Sub-Lethal and Teratogenicity
10	350	Action of Bromadiolone and Chlorophachone Anticoagulant Rodenticides on Albino Rats.
11 12	357	American-Eurasian Journal of Toxicological Sciences, <b>5</b> , 07-14.
13 14	358	2. Jin, M., Ren, Y., Xu, X., Chen, X. (2007) Determination of bromadiolone in whole blood by high-
15	359	performance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization tandem mass
16 17	360	spectrometry. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , <b>171</b> , 52–56.
18	361	3. Vandenbroucke, V., Desmet, N., De Backer, P., Croubels, S. (2008) Multi-residue analysis of eight
19 20	362	anticoagulant rodenticides in animal plasma and liver using liquid chromatography combined with
21	363	heated electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography B, 869,
22 23	364	101–110.
24	365	4. Fourel, I., Hugnet, C., Goy-Thollot, I., Berny, P. (2012) Validation of a new liquid chromatography-
25 26	366	tandem mass spectrometry ion-trap technique for the simultaneous determination of thirteen
27	367	anticoagulant rodenticides, drugs, or natural products. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 34, 95-102.
28 29	368	5. Palmer, R.B., Alakija, P., Cde Baca, J.E., Nolte, K.B. (1999) Fatal Brodifacoum Rodenticide Poisoning:
30 31	369	Autopsy and Toxicologic Findings. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 44, 851-855.
32	370	6. Grobosch, T., Angelow, B., Schonberg, L., Tampe, D. (2006) Acute Bromadiolone Intoxication.
33 34	371	Journal of Analytical Toxicology, <b>30</b> , 281-286.
35	372	4.7. King, N., Tran, M. (2015) Long-Acting Anticoagulant Rodenticide (Superwarfarin) Poisoning: A
36 37	373	Review of Its Historical Development, Epidemiology, and Clinical Management. Transfusion
38	374	<u>Medicine Reviews</u> , <b>29</b> , 250-258.
39 40	375	5.8. Bullard, R.W., Holguin, G., Peterson, J.E. (1975) Determination of chlorphacinone and diphenadione
41	376	residues in biological materials. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 23, 72-74.
42 43	377	6-9. Duffield, P., Duffield, A.M., Kennedy, M., Birkett, D.J., Wade, D.N. (1979) Warfarin and warfarin-
44 45	378	alcohol levels in anti-coagulated patients. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine, 9, 534-
46	379	537.
47 48	380	7.10. Mount, M.E., Kurth, M.J., Jackson, D.Y. (1988) Production of antibodies and development of
49 50	381	an immunoassay for the anticoagulant, diphacinone. <i>Journal of Immunoassay</i> , <b>9</b> , 69-81.
51	382	8-11. Berny, P.J., Buronfosse, T., Lorgue, G. (1995) Anticoagulant poisoning in animals: A simple
52 53	383	new high-performance thin-layer chromatographic (HPTLC) method for the simultaneous
54 55	384	determination of 8 anticoagulant rodenticides in liver samples. <i>Journal of Analytical Toxicology</i> , <b>19</b> .
ວວ 56	385	576-580.
57 58		
59		
60		12

# Journal of Analytical Toxicology

1		
2 3	386	9.12. Palazoglu, M.G., Tor, E.R., Holstege, D.M., Galey, F.D. (1998) Multiresidue analysis of nine
4 5	387	anticoagulant rodenticides in serum. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, <b>46</b> , 4260-4266.
6	388	10.13. Guan, F., Ishii, A., Seno, H., Watanabe, K., Kumazawa, T., Suzuki, O. (1999) A method for
7 8	389	simultaneous determination of five anticoagulant rodenticides in whole blood by high-performance
9 10	390	liquid chromatography. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 21, 179-185.
11	391	11.14. Kollroser, M., Schober, C. (2002) Determination of Coumarin-type Anticoagulants in Human
12 13	392	Plasma by HPLC–Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry with an Ion Trap Detector.
14	393	Clinical Chemistry, <b>48</b> , 84–91.
15 16	394	12.15. Jin, M., OuYang, X., Chen, X. (2007) High-performance liquid chromatography coupled with
17 19	395	electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of flocoumafen and
19	396	brodifacoum in whole blood. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> , <b>27</b> , 18–24.
20 21	397	13.16. Jin, M., Cai, M., Chen, X. (2009) Simultaneous Measurement of Indandione-Type
22	398	Rodenticides in Human Serum by Liquid Chromatography–Electrospray Ionization-Tandem Mass
23 24	399	Spectrometry. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, <b>33</b> , 294-300.
25 26	400	<u>14.17.</u> Yan, H., Xiang, P., Zhu, L., Shen, M. (2012) Determination of bromadiolone and brodifacoum
27 27	401	in human blood using LC-ESI/MS/MS and its application in four superwarfarin poisoning cases.
28 29	402	Forensic Science International, <b>222</b> , 313–317.
30 21	403	15.18Gallocchio, F., Basilicata, L., Benetti, C., Angeletti, R., Binato, G. (2014) Multi-residue
32	404	determination of eleven anticoagulant rodenticides by high-performance liquid chromatography
33 34	405	with diode array/fluorimetric detection: Investigation of suspected animal poisoning in the period
35	406	2012–2013 in north-eastern Italy. Forensic Science International, 244, 63-69.
36 37	407	16-19. Bidny, S., Gago, K., David, M., Duong, T., Albertyn, D., Gunja, N. (2015) A Validated LC–MS-
38 30	408	MS Method for Simultaneous Identification and Quantitation of Rodenticides in Blood. Journal of
40	409	Analytical Toxicology, <b>39</b> , 219–224.
41 42	410	17.20. Leikin, J.B., Paloucek, F.P. (2007) Poisoning and toxicology handbook, 4th edition. Informa
43	411	Healthcare, New York, NY.
44 45	412	18.21. Federici, S., Claudet, I., Laporte-Turpin, E., Marcoux, M.O., Cheuret, E., Maréchal, K. (2006)
46 47	413	l Intoxication sévère par le chloralose chez un nourrisson. <i>Archives of Pediatrics</i> , <b>13</b> , 364–366.
48	414	19.22Kouraichi, N., Brahmi, N., Elghord, H., Béji, O., Thabet, H., Amamou, M. (2010) Intoxication
49 50	415	par le chloralose: Facteurs pronostiques et prise en charge. <i>Reanimation</i> , <b>19</b> , 581–586.
51 52	416	20.23. Kintz, P., Doray, S., Cirimele, V., Ludes, B. (1999) Testing for alpha-chloralose by headspace-
53	417	GC/MS. A case report. <i>Forensic Science International</i> , <b>104</b> , 55–63.
54 55	418	21.24. Baselt, R.C. (2004) Disposition of toxic drugs and chemicals in man, 7th edition. Biomedical
56 57	419	Publications, Foster City, CA.
58		
59 60		13

2	420	22-25. Gerace, E., Ciccotelli, V., Rapetti, P., Salomone, A., Vincenti, M. (2012) Distribution of
4	421	Chloralose in a fatal intoxication. <i>Journal of Analytical Toxicology</i> . <b>36</b> , 452-456.
5 6	422	23.26. Imran, M., Shafi, H., Wattoo, S.A., Chaudhary, M.T., Usman, H.F. (2015) Analytical methods
7 8	423	for determination of anticoagulant rodenticides in biological samples. <i>Forensic Science</i>
9	424	International, <b>253</b> , 94–102.
10 11	425	24.27. Zhu, L., Yan, H., Shen, B., Shi, Y., Shen, M., Xiang, P. (2013) Determination of bromadiolone
12 13	426	and brodifacoum in human hair by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry and its
14	427	application to poisoning cases. Rapid Communication in Mass Spectrometry, 27, 513–520.
15 16	428	25.28. Kintz, P. (2012) Value of the concept of minimal detectable dosage in human hair. Forensic
17 18	429	Science International, <b>218</b> , 28–30.
19	430	26-29. Vincenti, M., Salomone, A., Gerace, E., Pirro, V. (2013) Application of mass spectrometry to
20 21	431	hair analysis for forensic toxicological investigations. Mass Spectrometry Reviews, <b>32</b> , 312-332.
22 23	432	27.30. Vincenti, M., Salomone, A., Gerace, E., Pirro, V. (2013) Role of LC-MS/MS in hair testing for
24	433	the determination of common drugs of abuse and other psychoactive drugs. <i>Bioanalysis</i> , <b>5</b> , 1919-
25 26	434	1938.
27 28	435	31. Vincenti, M., Kintz, P., (2015) New challenges and perspectives in hair analysis. In Kintz, P.,
29	436	Salomone, A., Vincenti, M. (eds.) Hair Analysis in Clinical and Forensic Toxicology, Chapter 12.
30 31	437	Elsevier – Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, 337-368.
32 33	438	28-32. Di Corcia, D., D'Urso, F., Gerace, E., Salomone, A., Vincenti, M. (2012) Simultaneous
33 34	439	determination in hair of multiclass drugs of abuse (including THC), by ultra-high performance liquid
35 36	440	<u>chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography B</u> , <b>899,</b> 154–159.
37	441	<del>29.<u>33.</u> Scientific Working Group for Forensic Toxicology (SWGTOX). (2013) <i>Standard practices for</i></del>
38 39	442	method validation in forensic toxicology. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, <b>37</b> , 452–474.
40 41	443	<del>30.<u>34.</u></del> Hubaux, A., Vos, G. (1970) Decision and Detection limits for linear calibration curves.
42	444	Analytical Chemistry, <b>42</b> , 8.
43 44	445	31.35. Matuszewski, B.K., Constanzer, M.L., Chavez-Eng, C.M. (2003) Strategies for the assessment
45 46	446	of matrix effect in quantitative bioanalytical methods based on HPLC-MS/MS. Analytical Chemistry,
47	447	<b>75</b> , 3019.
48 49	448	32. <u>36.</u> Wilkowska, A., Biziuk, M. (2011) Determination of pesticide residues in food matrices using
50 51	449	the QuEChERS methodology. <i>Food Chemistry</i> , <b>125</b> , 803–812.
52	450	33. <u>37.</u> Pecoraro, V., Astore, I.P.L. (1990) Measurement of hair growth under physiological
53 54	451	conditions. <i>Hair and Hair Diseases</i> , 237-254.
55 56	452	34. <u>38.</u> Kintz, P., Alvarez, J., Deveaux, M., Dumestre, V., Gaillard, Y., Gaulier, J. (2014) Conflicting
57	453	Hair Testing Results Can Have an Impact in Courts: Interpretation of Single Exposure to Zolpidem.
วช 59	454	Journal of Analytical Toxicolology, <b>38</b> , 304-305.
60		14

Page 15 of 23

# Journal of Analytical Toxicology

2		
3	455	35. <u>39.</u> Salomone, A., Gerace, E., Di Corcia, D., Martra, G., Petrarulo, M., Vincenti, M. (2012) Hair
4 5	456	analysis of drugs involved in drug-facilitated sexual assault and detection of zolpidem in a
6	457	suspected case. International Journal of Legal Medicine, 126, 451-459.
7 8	458	36.40. Gerace, E., Salomone, A., Pellegrino, S., Vincenti, M. (2012) Evidence of Haldol (halopedol)
9	459	long-term intoxication. Forensic Science International, <b>215</b> , 121-123.
11	460	37.41. Cooper, G.A.A., Kronstrand, R., Kintz, P. (2012) Society of Hair Testing guidelines for drug
12 13	461	testing in hair. Forensic Science International, <b>218</b> , 20–24.
14	462	38.42. Sporkert, F., Augsburger, M., Brandt-Casadevall, C., Mangin, P. (2005) Annales de
15 16	463	Toxicologie Analytique, <b>17</b> , 127.
17 18	464	43. Kintz, P. (2013) Issues about axial diffusion during segmental hair analysis. Therapeutic Drug
19	465	Monitoring, <b>35</b> , 408–410.
20 21	466	44. Kavvalakis, M.P., Tsatsakis, A.M. (2012) The atlas of dialkylphosphates; assessment of cumulative
22	467	human organophosphorus pesticides' exposure. Forensic Science International, 218, 111–122.
23 24	468	45. Tsatsakis, A.M., Barbounis, M.G., Kavalakisa, M., Kokkinakis, M., Terzi, I., Tzatzarakis, M.N. (2010)
25 26	469	Determination of dialkyl phosphates in human hair for the biomonitoring of exposure to
27	470	organophosphate pesticides. Journal of Chromatography B, 878, 1246–1252.
28 29	471	46. Kavvalakis, M.P., Tzatzarakis, M.N., Theodoropoulou, E.P., Barbounis, E.G., Tsakalof, A.K., Tsatsakis
30	472	A.M. (2013) Development and application of LC–APCI–MS method for biomonitoring of animal and
31	473	human exposure to imidacloprid. <i>Chemosphere</i> , <b>93</b> , 2612–2620.
33 34	474	39.47. Schummer, C., Salquèbre, G., Briand, O., Millet, M., Appenzeller, B.M.R. (2012) <i>Toxicology</i>
35	475	Letters, <b>210</b> , 203–210.
36 37	176	
57	4/0	

					Target			Qualifier				
Analyte	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Precursor Ion	DP (V)	EP (V)	Fragment	CE (V)	CXP (V)	Fragment	(qualifier/quantifier %)	CE (V)	CXP (V)	
Coumafuryl	1.39	297.1	-95	-9	240.2	-28	-11	211.2	56 %	-42	-9	
α-chloralose	1.95	307.0	-118	-5	161.0	-15	-7	189.2	50 %	-13	-8	
Warfarin	2.56	307.1	-118	-5	161.1	-26	-14	250.2	60 %	-28	-10	
Coumatetralyl	2.56	291.0	-162	-10	141.1	-36	-12	143.0	36 %	-55	-16	
Acenocoumarol	2.63	352.0	-101	-12	265.2	-41	-9	145.0	95 %	-59	-13	
Coumachlor	3.08	341.2	-82	-6	161.1	-28	-13	117.1	25 %	-50	-10	
Dicoumarol	3.33	335.1	-72	-7	161.1	-21	-10	-	-	-	-	
Bromadiolone	3.96	525.1	-88	-8	78.9	-114	-10	250.2	58 %	-46	-10	
Difenacoum	4.06	443.1	-98	-9	135.0	-43	-8	293.4	71 %	-43	-8	
Flocoumafen	4.25	541.2	-74	-9	161.0	-45	-15	289.2	46 %	-45	-12	
Brodifacoum	4.33	521.1	-108	-8	78.9 🦷	-115	-14	135.0	55 %	-47	-14	
Warfarin- d5 (IS)	2.52	312.2	-100	-10	161.2	-21	-22	-	-	-	-	
DP: Declustering F	otential;	EP: Entrance Po	otential;	CE: Collisi	on Energy; C	CXP: Cell	Exit Pote	ntial				



Table 2. Range of calibration, linearity, LODs and LOQs values and matrix effect for all analytes.

6 7						Matrix effect without IS correction <sup>a</sup> (n=5)		Matrix effect with IS correction <sup>b</sup> (n=5)	
8	Analyte	Linearity range (pg/mg)	Linearity (Adj R <sup>2</sup> )	LOD (pg/mg)	LOQ (pg/mg)	Mean (±%)	CV (%)	Mean (±%)	CV (%)
9	Coumafuryl	5-500	0.9999	0.6	1.2	- 21	4.4	- 8.0	5.2
1	α-chloralose	5-500	0.9990	1.6	3.2	- 11	9.8	+ 5.0	13
1	, Warfarin	5-500	0.9933	0.3	0.6	- 14	9.5	+ 1.0	2.7
1	3 Coumatetralyl	5-500	0.9999	0.8	1.6	0	8.0	+ 17	8.3
1	4Acenocoumarol	5-500	0.9926	1.7	3.5	- 1	8.7	+ 16	10
1	5 Coumachlor	5-500	0.9998	1.1	2.2	- 11	10	+ 4	7.3
1	6 Dicoumarol	5-500	0.9997	1.4	2.9	- 6	9.9	+ 10	7.7
1	7 Bromadiolone	5-500	0.9999	0.9	1.8	- 43	9.0	- 33	17
1	<sup>B</sup> Difenacoum	5-500	0.9998	1.3	2.7	- 48	9.2	- 38	9.6
2	Flocoumafen	5-500	1.000	0.5	1.0	- 70	5.5	- 66	11
2	Brodifacoum	5-500	0.9934	2.6	5.1	- 77	6.8	- 72	13

23LOD: limit of detection; LOQ: limit of quantitation; CV%: per cent variation coefficient

24ª Matrix effect was evaluated using five different sources of hair (25pg/mg for all analytes). Absolute peak areas are considered without IS correction 25<sup>b</sup>Matrix effect was evaluated on the same sources of hair, but in this case the matrix effect was compensated by the use of the IS (Warfarin d5) 26

Table 3. Intra- and inter-day precision (CV%) and accuracy (bias %) for each analyte tested.

	Intra-day	(n=10)			Inter-day (	n=30)		
	Precision	(CV%)	Accuracy (	bias%)	Precision (	CV%)	Accuracy (	bias%)
Analyte	5 pg/mg	250 pg/mg	5 pg/mg	250 pg/mg	5 pg/mg	250 pg/mg	5 pg/mg	250 pg/mg
Coumafuryl	6.1	4.5	+ 7.4	+ 2.2	11	9.1	+ 2.5	- 1.8
α-chloralose	5.8	7.3	- 6.4	- 4.0	8.4	5.8	- 4.0	- 2.5
Warfarin	5.2	7.7	+ 6.3	- 12	6.9	7.1	- 0.3	- 11
Coumatetralyl	3.7	3.9	- 7.3	- 3.3	10	5.2	- 4.7	- 4.3
Acenocoumarol	4.2	6.6	- 0.5	- 6.7	6.4	7.8	- 4.8	- 10
Coumachlor	6.1	8.5	+ 7.0	- 4.2	9.5	6.7	- 0.2	- 2.8
Dicoumarol	7.4	11	+ 3.8	+ 9.6	7.5	8.7	+ 9.7	+7.0
Bromadiolone	7.4	8.1	- 0.2	+ 2.9	9.4	9.1	- 4.3	+ 5.0
Difenacoum	8.8	5.7	- 2.6	- 5.6	11	11	- 2.0	+ 1.5
Flocoumafen	6.7	6.9	+ 5.6	+ 8.4	11	8.6	+ 6.5	+ 8.0
Brodifacoum	9.6	8.7	- 4.6	+ 3.6	12	12	+ 2.4	+ 4.1

# Table 4. Difenacoum and $\alpha$ -chloralose determined in authentic hair samples

ir segment (cm) Difenacoum	α-chloralose
0.2 2.0	
0-3 2.9	85
3-6 < LOQ	29
6-9 < LOQ	6

# **Figure captions**

Figure 1. Chemical structures of anticoagulant rodenticides and  $\alpha$ -chloralose considered in this study.

Figure 2. SRM chromatograms of a blank hair sample spiked at 5 pg/mg

Figure 3. MRM chromatogram of the three segments of a real hair sample resulted positive to difenacoum (only segment A) and  $\alpha$ -chloralose.

.et nere segments of a real hairs.





105x110mm (600 x 600 DPI)

