



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

***This is an author version of the contribution published on:***

*FALZOI S., LESSIO F., SPANNA F., ALMA A. – Influence of temperature on the embryonic and post-embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), vector of grapevine *Flavescence dorée*.*

*International Journal of Pest Management, 60(4), 2014, 246-257. DOI:  
10.1080/09670874.2014.966170.*

***The definitive version is available at:***

*<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09670874.2014.966170>*



**Influence of temperature on the embryonic and post-embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), vector of grapevine Flavescence dorée**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Pest Management</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPPM-2014-0035.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Papers
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Aug-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Falzoi, Simone; Regione Piemonte, Servizio Fitosanitario Regionale; University of Torino, DISAFA-Entomology Lessio, Federico; University of Torino, DISAFA-Entomology Spanna, Federico; Regione Piemonte, Servizio Fitosanitario Regionale Alma, Alberto; University of Torino, DISAFA-Entomology
Keywords:	grapevine, leafhopper, moult, temperature, Brière's equation

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Only

1  
2  
3 Influence of temperature on the embryonic and post-embryonic  
4 development of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), vector of  
5 grapevine Flavescence dorée.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Simone Falzoi<sup>a,b</sup>, Federico Lessio<sup>a</sup>, Federico Spanna<sup>b</sup>, and Alberto Alma<sup>a\*</sup>  
13  
14

15  
16  
17  
18 <sup>a</sup> *DISAFA-University of Torino; largo P. Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy*  
19

20  
21 <sup>b</sup> *Regione Piemonte-Servizio Fitosanitario Regionale; via Livorno 60, 10144 Torino,*  
22 *Italy*  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

---

50  
51  
52  
53 \* Corresponding author: [alberto.alma@unito.it](mailto:alberto.alma@unito.it)  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Influence of temperature on the embryonic and post-embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), vector of grapevine Flavescence dorée.

The embryonic and post-embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus*, the main vector of grapevine Flavescence dorée, was studied under laboratory conditions, at constant temperatures ( $T=15^{\circ}$ ,  $18^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $22^{\circ}$ ,  $24^{\circ}$ ,  $27^{\circ}$ , and  $29^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The data obtained were fitted to the equation of Brière, and the model was validated against independent field data. The minimum cardinal temperature for eggs ranged from  $18^{\circ}$  to  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the duration of egg hatching was minimum at  $T = 24^{\circ}\text{C}$ , egg hatchability was optimum at  $22^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and very few eggs hatched at  $T \geq 27^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The duration of post-embryonic development clearly shortened as the temperature increased, both overall and within the same life stage, almost half-reducing itself from  $18^{\circ}$  to  $29^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Within the same temperature tested, the early instars took less time to moult compared to the late ones. The data obtained provided a significant fit with the equation of Brière. Validation was satisfactory, particularly concerning 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymphs and adults, which are the key life instars for targeting IPM strategies. The model proposed could be used to predict the development of *S. titanus* in Northwestern Italy for IPM purposes.

Keywords: grapevine; leafhopper; moult; temperature; Brière's equation

### 1. Introduction

*Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae, Deltocephalinae) is the main vector of grapevine Flavescence dorée (FD), a serious disease caused by phytoplasmas belonging to the 16SrV group (C and D subgroups) (Malembic-Maher et al., 2011). *Scaphoideus titanus* is native to North America, where it is widespread both in Canada and in the U.S. (Barnett, 1976). It was detected in Europe for the first time in the late 1950s in France (Bonfils and Schvester, 1960), and is now widespread in Central Europe, from Portugal to Bulgaria (COST Action FA0807, 2013).

1  
2  
3 This species is a grapevine specialist (*Vitis* spp.); it is univoltine, and overwinters in the  
4 egg stage, laid under the bark of mainly two-year-old wood (Vidano, 1964). Hatching starts since  
5 the middle of May; post-embryonic development includes five nymphal instars (N1-N5)  
6 (Vidano, 1964); adults usually appear at the beginning of July, with a peak between the end of  
7 July and the middle of August, and persist until mid-end of October, depending on the season  
8 (Lessio and Alma, 2004). The nymphs from the third instar onwards acquire phytoplasmas by  
9 feeding on infected grapevines (acquisition access period, AAP), and are infective after 28-35 d  
10 (latency access period, LAP) meanwhile becoming adults (Bressan et al., 2006).

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 *Scaphoideus titanus* is a target for mandatory sprays in many European countries  
22 including Italy (Lessio et al., 2011). Depending on the active ingredient (a.i.) to be used, the time  
23 of spraying must take into account the life instar of the insect; for instance, insect growth  
24 regulators (IGRs) and natural pyrethrum are ineffective against adults. Also, the first spray is  
25 often made to target third instar nymphs (N3) which are able to acquire phytoplasmas.  
26 Moreover, egg hatching may go on for a long time during the season, exceeding the usual period  
27 of insecticidal sprays.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 These aspects call for a more detailed knowledge about the seasonal presence of the  
37 different life stages of *S. titanus*. Within this frame of mind, agro-meteorological models are  
38 useful tools to enhance pest management (Arnold et al., 1976; Severini et al., 2005; Rigamonti et  
39 al., 2011). They may depend on biotic factors, such as genetic variability, and non-biotic factors  
40 such as air temperature, relative humidity, light radiation, among other factors (Logan et al.,  
41 1976). Phenological models are the basis for timing of plant protection measurements against  
42 insects (Lieth, 1974). The distribution delay models (DDM) are developed using both  
43 phenological and demographic models: the latter describing the variations over time of the size  
44 of a population (Manetsch, 1976; Severini and Gilioli, 2002).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Temperature is probably the most important non-biotic factor influencing insects' life  
4 cycles, as it regulates most biochemical reactions (Podolsky, 1984). To predict the relationship  
5 between temperature and developmental rate, the first models applied were linear and based on  
6 the accumulation of the thermal degree days (Roy et al., 2002). However, because often this  
7 response is not linear at limit temperatures (Butturini et al., 1992), non-linear models have been  
8 developed (Logan et al., 1976; Brière et al., 1999; Severini and Gilioli, 2002).

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Concerning *S. titanus*, Rigamonti et al. (2011) developed a model to predict the  
17 development of eggs in the post-dormancy phase and of first instar nymphs (N1) in open fields  
18 in Switzerland, whereas N2-N5 were observed at constant temperatures. This model was  
19 validated against independent field data, to determine the beginning of egg hatching, N3  
20 appearance, and adult emergence, in order to synchronize the timing of IGR applications against  
21 N3. However, some basic information on the response of *S. titanus* to temperature of the  
22 different instars was not considered. A separate study on the development of N1 is needed, as the  
23 application of insecticides other than IGRs may call for a model that represents the dynamics of  
24 *S. titanus* considering age structure.

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 In Italy, where *S. titanus* was first found in 1963 in Liguria region (Vidano, 1964) and is  
37 now widespread in 16 regions, the main problem for pest management is given by the fact that  
38 adults enter the vineyards from reservoirs such as uncultivated areas containing wild (American)  
39 grapevine plants (Pavan et al., 2012; Lessio et al., 2014), during the latter part of a season.  
40 Moreover, the first insecticidal application against nymphs is usually made with active  
41 ingredients other than IGRs (for instance neonicotinoids or organophosphates), thus it is  
42 important to detect the peak of nymphal presence, to maximize the effect of spraying. Therefore,  
43 a basic study on the thermal behaviour of this insect pest for Italy is needed. Our aim was to  
44 study the influence of temperature on the developmental rate of the different life stages of *S.*  
45 *titanus* (eggs, nymphal instars, and adults) under laboratory conditions, in order to study the  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

embryonic and post-embryonic development of this insect pest and to apply the obtained model in the open field.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Insect source and rearing

Field samplings were conducted in the district of Dogliani (CN) (44.539529 °N, 7.949967 °E), Piedmont, NW Italy, during 2009-2011. In order to obtain viable eggs of *S. titanus*, grapevine canes (two or more year-old wood) were collected after pruning at the middle of March, in an organic vineyard, cv. Dolcetto, 20 years old, highly infested by the leafhopper according to captures with yellow sticky traps during the previous year (more than 200 adults per trap, from July to September). The canes were stored into a cool chamber (T=5°C, Relative Humidity=65%, Light:Dark=16:8 h) before use.

The developmental rate of *S. titanus* eggs was studied during 2009. The canes were collected on 25 March 2009, and the rearing started on the following day. Rearing cages were set up inside climatic chambers at constant temperatures of 15°, 18°, 20°, 22°, 24°, 27°, and 29° C (one cage per each T tested); the temperature in each chamber was recorded by means of HOBO® data loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, Pocasset, MA) every 15 minutes (RH=65%, L:D=16:8 h). Cages consisted in insect-proof tents made of polyethylene film and nylon mesh (50×50 cm, h 75 cm). A green potted grapevine from nursery stock, cv. Barbera or Chardonnay, h=30 cm, and 0.5-2 kg of grapevine canes, were put into each cage. The cages were inspected every 48 h, until the first eggs hatched; afterwards, they were inspected daily, or at least for six days per week; during each inspection, newly hatched nymphs (N1) were removed from the cages to avoid any mistakes given by double-counting them. Each cage was inspected

1  
2  
3 up to 75 days after the last hatching occurred.  
4

5 The eggs of *S. titanus* were counted on a random subsample of canes, consisting in 30  
6 pieces of wood (total weight 350 g, average length 30 cm). Each piece was inspected by gently  
7 removing the bark with a cutter blade, and observing and counting the eggs under a  
8 stereomicroscope. Finally, the mean number of eggs per subsample was used to estimate with a  
9 proportion the amount of eggs in the wood placed in each cage.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 The post-embryonic development of *S. titanus* was studied during 2010-2011. The wood  
17 was collected as in the previous year (on 17 March 2010, and 24 March 2011), and stored into  
18 the climatic chamber at  $T=5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; the rearing started about the end of March, inside the climatic  
19 chamber at  $T=24^{\circ}$ , following the same method used during 2009, and using roughly the same  
20 amount of wood per cage. When hatching started, N1 cohorts were moved to smaller  
21 rectangular-shaped cages (25×25 cm, h 40 cm), made of Plexiglas and insect-proof mesh. A  
22 potted grapevine plant was placed inside each cage, as previously described. The cages were  
23 kept at constant temperature regimes of  $15^{\circ}$ ,  $18^{\circ}$ ,  $20^{\circ}$ ,  $22^{\circ}$ ,  $24^{\circ}$ ,  $27^{\circ}$ , and  $29^{\circ}\text{C}$ .  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 The objective was to calculate the developmental time of at least 25 insects having the  
35 same physiological age, per each moult and temperature, overcoming mortality. So every time a  
36 cohort of at least 50 N1 was obtained, it was divided into sub-cohorts of  $N=10$ , that were put into  
37 smaller cages (15 × 15 cm, h 25 cm) to estimate the time of development up to the adult stage.  
38 The different sub-cohorts obtained were set up in parallel at the different temperature regimes  
39 tested. On the whole, the experiment was started with a number of  $N1\approx 500$  resulting from 10  
40 different cohorts. In the end, by pooling different sub-cohorts, a data set of  $N\geq 25$  for each  
41 temperature and moult tested was obtained.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 Cages were inspected daily in order to check the number and age of *S. titanus* nymphs.  
53 After having shaken the grapevine plant to make them fall, insects were removed from the cage  
54 with an aspirator and placed into glass tubes (3-5 insects/tube), anesthetized with carbon dioxide,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 gently picked out of the tube with a small brush, placed in a Petri dish, and observed under a  
4  
5 stereomicroscope. The different life stages (N1 to N5) were identified by observing  
6  
7 morphological features (as in Vidano, 1964). Once determined, the nymphs were put back into  
8  
9 the cages for them to complete their development, whereas the adults were permanently  
10  
11 removed.  
12

## 13 14 15 16 **2.2. Statistical analyses and model building** 17

18  
19  
20 The mean time of embryonic development, the mean embryonic developmental rate, the  
21  
22 hatchability (% of eggs hatched on the total estimated with counts on the subsample), and the  
23  
24 cumulative frequency of hatchings over time were calculated under each of the temperature  
25  
26 regimes tested. Concerning post-embryonic development, for each of the temperatures and  
27  
28 moults tested, frequency of moults over time, weighted mean and standard error, median,  
29  
30 minimum, maximum, first and third quartile of the time of development (days), and survival rate  
31  
32 were calculated. The data of insect mortality for each of the temperatures studied and moults  
33  
34 tested were fitted to a 2<sup>nd</sup> order model ( $y=ax^2 + bx + c$ ), to identify the optimal temperature for  
35  
36 survival. This condition is satisfied when the 1<sup>st</sup> derivative of the model ( $y'=2ax + b$ ) is equal to  
37  
38 0.  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The developmental rate of *S. titanus* was modelled as a function of temperature. The  
44  
45 experimental data were fitted to the non-linear equation of Brière et al. (1999):  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

$$51 \quad RD(T) = n T (T - T_0) (T_L - T)^{1/m} \quad (1)$$

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 where  $RD$  is the rate of development, expressed as the reciprocal of the number of days  
4 between phases, as a function of temperature  $T$ ;  $T_L$  and  $T_0$  are the upper (lethal) and lower  
5 cardinal temperatures, that is the temperature developmental thresholds ( $T_0 \leq T \leq T_L$ ); and  $n$  and  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  $m$  are empirical constants.

11  
12 As for  $T=15^\circ\text{C}$  no data aside from those for eggs were obtained (see results),  $T_0$  was  
13 estimated by regressing the reciprocal of developmental time in days ( $y$ ) against temperature ( $x$ ),  
14 and then solving the regression equation for  $y=0$  (Rapagnani et al., 1988). In order to find the  
15 best fit, linear ( $y = a x + b$ ), logarithmic ( $y = a \ln x + b$ ), and inverse ( $y = a/x + b$ ) regression  
16 equations were compared. The best fit was obtained with the inverse equation ( $R^2 \geq 0.97$ ,  $P <$   
17  $0.05$ ), that was subsequently used for estimating  $T_0$  for each of the moults. On the other hand,  $T_L$   
18 was fixed at  $40^\circ\text{C}$ , after Rigamonti et al. (2011), as its experimental determination is problematic  
19 due to high mortality occurring at this temperature limit, resulting in selection of resistant (and  
20 thus not representative) individuals; moreover, the mortality at a certain constant temperature  
21 cannot exist if such a temperature is maintained for just a few hours.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 The agreement between the observed and estimated values of the non-linear equation of  
35 Brière for each stage tested was based on the Mean Absolute Error (MAE), the Relative Root  
36 Mean Square Error (RRMSE), the Efficiency index (EF), and the Coefficient of Residual Mass  
37 (CRM) index (Loague and Green, 1991). The MAE measures how close predictions are to the  
38 observed data, and ranges from 0 (best) and  $+\infty$ . The same range occurs in RRMSE. The EF  
39 index (that ranges from  $-\infty$  to 1) identifies inefficient models, as negative values indicate that the  
40 mean of all measures is a better predictor than the model used. The CRM indicates the tendency  
41 of the model to overestimate (if negative) or underestimate (if positive) the observed data. A  
42 perfect fit between observed and simulated data should result in  $MAE=0$ ,  $RRMSE=0$ ,  $EF=1$ , and  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
CRM=0.

1  
2  
3 The model was calibrated and validated with two independent field data sets, consisting  
4  
5 in weekly visual inspections of the nymphal presence on grapevine leaves from the middle of  
6  
7 May to the middle of July (details of the sampling method are given in Lessio and Alma, 2006)  
8  
9 and monitoring the adults with yellow sticky traps from end June to end October. Samplings  
10  
11 occurred in many vineyards throughout different vine growing areas of Piedmont (a total of 130,  
12  
13 from 2006 to 2013), and were made partially by the authors, and partially by the Plant Protection  
14  
15 Service of Piedmont for the application of pest management strategies. The mean hourly  
16  
17 temperatures for each of the sampling points throughout different years were obtained via the  
18  
19 meteorological station network of Piedmont (Regione Piemonte. Rete Agrometeorologica  
20  
21 regionale e banca dati). For each year and meteorological station, the day of year (DOY) of the  
22  
23 first appearance of each life stage of *S. titanus* was recorded. The data set was divided into a  
24  
25 calibration and a validation subset, and thereafter the data of three meteorological stations were  
26  
27 used for calibration, whereas 31 stations were used for validation. Two data sets of 18 and 69  
28  
29 observations were used for calibration and validation, respectively. For each life stage, at least  
30  
31 three sampling units were used.  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 An appropriate script that calculates cumulative Brière's units (the dependent variable in  
37  
38 eq. 1) for each of the stages of *S. titanus* as a function of mean hourly temperature was  
39  
40 developed using the software R (<http://www.r-project.org/>). During calibration, the script was  
41  
42 started from the date of the first observation of each of the life stages (obtained with field data).  
43  
44 Concerning eggs, the calculation was started from January 1 (DOY=1), whereas the development  
45  
46 of N1 and N4 was not subject to calibration due to insufficient data, and their appearance was  
47  
48 forced based on single field-observations, on 5 May (DOY=124) for N1, and 19 June  
49  
50 (DOY=169) for N4. Afterwards, calibration was made with an attempt-error procedure, adjusting  
51  
52 the starting date to maximize the values of  $R^2$  and to minimize RRMSE between the estimated  
53  
54 and observed values of the appearance of each stage (given in DOY). This resulted in a different  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 value of Brière's units reached by each stage matching the start of the appearance of the next  
4  
5 stage. The subsequent validation was made with the independent data set previously described.  
6  
7 The error indices MAE, RRMSE, EF, CRM, and the correlation coefficient  $R^2$  between the  
8  
9 observed and predicted DOY values were calculated for both calibration and validation.  
10

11  
12 Finally, a linear regression was performed between the observed and predicted DOY  
13  
14 values, without taking into account the different life stages, to estimate the overall model  
15  
16 performances. As well, a homogeneity of regression test was made between the obtained  
17  
18 regression line and the bisector ( $y=x$ ), to determine if there were any under or overestimation of  
19  
20 the observed data.  
21

22  
23 All statistical analyses were carried out with the Curve Expert Professional Software,  
24  
25 version 1.5.0 (Hyams, 2012).  
26  
27

### 28 29 **3. Results**

#### 30 31 32 33 **3.1. Egg-hatching patterns**

34  
35  
36  
37  
38 Eggs of *S. titanus* were detected in all the sub-samples of grapevine wood inspected. Out of 30  
39  
40 pieces of wood, 118 eggs were found (mean 3.90, standard deviation 2.07, minimum 2,  
41  
42 maximum 9 eggs/piece). Therefore, the estimated number of eggs per Kg of wood was  
43  
44 approximately 330, and this mean value was multiplied by the weight of the wood inside the  
45  
46 rearing cage to estimate the initial number of eggs.  
47

48  
49  
50 The post-dormancy (i.e. the time between the displacement of the wood in the climatic  
51  
52 chambers and the start of hatching) varied along with temperature, as at  $T=24^\circ$  it took 24 days, at  
53  
54  $T=20^\circ$  and  $22^\circ$  about 42-47 days, respectively (Fig. 1). The cumulated frequency of hatched eggs  
55  
56 reached 50% after 60, 75, and 50 days from the start (post-dormancy included) for  $T=20^\circ$ ,  $22^\circ$ ,  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and 24°, respectively; whereas the hatchings stopped after 75 days with T=20° and 24°, and after  
4  
5 90 days with T=22° (Fig. 1). No hatching was observed for T=15° (data not shown) and 18°,  
6  
7 whereas the maximum hatchability (64.58%) was recorded with T=22°. Hatchability was about  
8  
9 52% at T=20°, and 59% for T=24°; at 27° and 29°, only one and five eggs hatched, respectively.  
10  
11 The mean developmental time (including post-dormancy) was negatively related to rising  
12  
13 temperatures up to 24° (38 days), whereas it increased again at T=29°; however for such a  
14  
15 temperature too few eggs hatched (Table 1).  
16  
17  
18  
19

### 20 21 **3.2. Post-embryonic development**

22  
23  
24  
25 The time of development (T.D.) at the chosen temperatures was calculated for all the moults of  
26  
27 *S. titanus*. The only exception was T=15°, under which too few nymphs survived ( $\approx$  90%  
28  
29 mortality, data not shown); besides, the grapevine plant itself started to shed the leaves and  
30  
31 entered a dormancy phase. At  $T \geq 18^\circ$ , the developmental rate clearly increased along with  
32  
33 temperature in all instars (Fig. 2); the overall post-embryonic developmental time ranged from  
34  
35 21 days at 29° to 52.8 days at 18° (Fig. 2).  
36  
37

38  
39 The frequency distribution of moults occurring at different temperatures is shown in  
40  
41 figure 3, whereas the descriptive statistics (mean, standard error, median, first and third quartile)  
42  
43 of the duration of the post-embryonic development of *S. titanus* are listed in figure 4. As a result,  
44  
45 within the same temperature the later instars took more time for development than earlier ones.  
46  
47 For instance, at T=24° N1 to N2 took only five days, whereas N4 to N5 and N5 to adult took  
48  
49 seven and eight days, respectively. Again, at the extreme T=29°, N1 to N2 took approximately  
50  
51 three days, and N5 to adult more than five days. The highest mortalities were recorded at the  
52  
53 limit temperatures of 18 and 29°, and were higher for early than for late instars; on the other  
54  
55 hand, the observed mortality was generally minimal at 24°. N1 survived quite well between 22°  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and 27° (approx. 5-12% of mortality) whereas they were affected by lower (23-33%) and higher  
4  
5 (29%) temperatures. N2 showed a similar pattern, although the survival between 24° and 27°  
6  
7 was slightly lower, and the highest mortality (33%) was noted at the extreme temperature of 29°.  
8  
9 N3 survived fairly well between 20° and 25°, whereas many of them (41-43%) died at the  
10  
11 opposite extreme temperatures. N4 showed the highest absolute mortality of all instars (44.5%)  
12  
13 at 18°, whereas they survived better than N3 at  $T \geq 24^\circ\text{C}$ . Finally, N5 were similar to N4 in  
14  
15 extreme temperature values, whereas they were less fit at 22-24° C (Table 2). The 2<sup>nd</sup> order  
16  
17 polynomial model produced an excellent fit with experimental data, and the predicted mortality  
18  
19 was minimal between 23° and 25°C (Table 3).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

### 25 **3.3. Model fitting**

26  
27  
28  
29 Brière's curves obtained for the different post-embryonic life stages of *S. titanus* showed  
30  
31 different slopes ( $1/m$ ), indicating different increases of developmental rates, and the highest  
32  
33 slope was recorded for N2 ( $m=0.81$ ), and the lowest for N4 ( $m=1.46$ ). The minimum  
34  
35 development temperature ( $T_0$ ) ranged from 12.4° C (N4) to 14.5° (N2). Optimum temperatures,  
36  
37  $T_{opt}$  (which maximize the predicted developmental rate, that is, when the first derivative of the  
38  
39 Brière's function is equal to zero) were 28.5-31.8° C (Table 4, Fig. 5). On the other hand, the  
40  
41 inflection point of post-embryonic development (when the increase starts to be less than  
42  
43 proportional) was always reached with  $T \approx 22^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 5).  
44  
45  
46

47 Concerning eggs, the minimum temperature  $T_0=18^\circ\text{C}$  was obtained experimentally,  
48  
49 whereas  $T_L$  was obtained via interpolation at  $T=30^\circ\text{C}$ . The rate of development increased quite  
50  
51 quickly up to 24°, and the maximum ( $T_{opt}$ ) was at 25.7° C. The response at  $T=27^\circ\text{C}$ , however,  
52  
53 should be considered carefully because of the low number of eggs hatched (Table 4, Fig. 5).  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The agreement between observed and estimated values of the non-linear equation of  
4 Brière, for each stage tested, is shown in Table 5. The four common indices of prediction error in  
5 time series analysis confirmed the high reliability of the model used, with  $R^2 > 0.90$  and  $P < 0.01$ .  
6  
7 The MAE was close to the optimal value of 0. The EF, ranging 0.87-0.96, indicates a good  
8 predictive power of the model compared to the sample mean. The values of analysis of the  
9 RRMSE were between 6.8 (for N2) and 12.3 (for N1), and indicate that the percentage error of  
10 the model is always less than 13%. Finally, the CRM was always positive but close to the  
11 optimum 0, indicating a good estimation of the model compared to the average value.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16 During the calibration phase, fairly good  $R^2$  values were obtained for N2 and N3, but  
17 without significance. On the other hand,  $R^2$  was significant for adults. The MAEs and RRMSEs  
18 were quite low for N2, N3 and N5, indicating an error of 4-6 days between the observed and  
19 predicted DOY; for the same stages, and for adults, the CRM values were close to the optimum  
20 zero but they were always positive, that indicates a slight tendency of the model to  
21 underestimation. EF values were negative, and ranged from -0.41 to -1.57. Concerning adults,  
22 higher MAE and RRMSE values were obtained (6.26 days and 10.33 %, respectively), whereas  
23 EF was -7.94 (Table 6).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 Validation results showed significant  $R^2$  values only for N3 and adults; RRMSE and  
40 MAE ranged 1.6-4.6 % and 2.0-4.9 days, respectively, with the exception of N1 and N5 that  
41 produced very high errors. The EF values were positive for N3, N4 and adults, and negative for  
42 the other stages. Again, CRM values were positive and indicated a slight underestimation, but  
43 they were close to the optimum zero (Table 6).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49  
50 The linear regression between the overall observed and predicted DOY values was  
51 significant ( $R^2 = 0.67$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), indicating a good performance of the model proposed (Fig. 6).  
52 The homogeneity of regression test between the regression line and the bisector ( $y = x$ ) was not  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 significant ( $F=2.02$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $135$ ,  $P=0.16$ ), indicating no under or overestimation of the observed  
4  
5 data.  
6  
7

## 8 9 **4. Discussion**

### 10 11 12 13 **4.1. Egg-hatching patterns**

14  
15  
16  
17  
18 One of the novel contributions of this research was the calculation of the minimum ( $T_0=18^\circ$ ) and  
19  
20 maximum ( $T_L=27^\circ$ ) cardinal temperatures for *S. titanus* eggs. These parameters, along with the  
21  
22 thermal requirement for stopping dormancy stated by Chuche and Thiéry (2009), could be  
23  
24 important in the future in order to determine the potential geographical distribution of this  
25  
26 leafhopper. In fact, the thermal requirements of overwintering stages are often critical for  
27  
28 geographical distribution. For instance, Gutierrez et al. (2012) simulated the distribution of the  
29  
30 European grapevine moth, *Lobesia botrana* (Denis & Schiffmuller) in California as a function  
31  
32 of temperature, based upon the thermal requirements of certain life stages such as overwintering  
33  
34 pupae. *Scaphoideus titanus* overwinters in the egg stage, and the duration of dormancy depends  
35  
36 on winter temperatures since hatching is delayed if eggs are exposed to mild rather than cold  
37  
38 winters (Chuche and Thiéry, 2009). However, as our egg source came from the same lot of  
39  
40 grapevine wood, the difference in hatching duration should depend just on the different  
41  
42 temperature regimes (from  $20^\circ$  to  $27^\circ$ ) the eggs were exposed to. The duration of the post-  
43  
44 dormancy period was minimum at  $24^\circ$  (38 days), that is 235.6 degree-days if considering a  $T_0 \approx$   
45  
46  $18^\circ$ . Our data are in accordance with those reported by Rigamonti et al. (2011), who calculated it  
47  
48 to be about 196 degree-days by collecting N1 with beating trays in the vineyards.  
49  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 Under laboratory conditions at constant temperatures, hatching duration was in accordance with  
55  
56 observations in the open field (Vidano, 1964; Rigamonti et al., 2011). Hatchability was  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 minimum at high rather than low temperatures: this aspect is probably due to the fact that *S.*  
4  
5 *titanus* originates from temperate and not tropical regions (Barnett, 1976), and overwinters in the  
6  
7 egg-stage (Vidano, 1964), and may be therefore more affected by high than low extreme  
8  
9 temperature regimes. However, these data should be considered carefully as the initial number of  
10  
11 eggs was estimated and not observed. Hatching length is also influenced by winter temperatures,  
12  
13 being shorter after cold winters and vice versa (Chuche and Thiéry, 2014). From a biological  
14  
15 point of view, a different length of the hatching period may be considered an adaptation of the  
16  
17 insect to the phenology of grapes, as nymphs need to find a suitable number of developed leaves  
18  
19 in order to feed and find shelter. From a pest management point of view, a late hatching may  
20  
21 result in nymphs that originate adults at the end of the season, with a further risk of phytoplasma  
22  
23 transmission. As the insecticidal treatments to apply in the vineyards must take into account the  
24  
25 residuals in grapes, the knowledge of egg hatching patterns is important to maximize pest  
26  
27 management effects, for example targeting as many specimens of the same instar as possible  
28  
29 with a single spraying event.  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 As for other leafhopper species, *Erythroneura ziczac* Walsh shows lower developmental times  
35  
36 (=higher developmental rates) at the same temperatures tested (Olsen et al., 1998); *Cicadulina*  
37  
38 *bipunctata* (Melichar) has a very shorter developmental period of eggs (Tokuda and Matsumura,  
39  
40 2005); Knight et al. (1991) tested the developmental rate of *Typhlocyba pomaria* McAtee eggs at  
41  
42 T=12°, 16°, 20°, and 25°, and found a slight increase along with rising temperatures, but they  
43  
44 claimed that the optimum egg-hatching temperature was probably above 25°; and finally,  
45  
46 Tokuda and Matsumura (2005) found that the developmental time for eggs of *C. bipunctata*  
47  
48 constantly decreases along with increasing temperatures from 16° to 34°, but with a significantly  
49  
50 lower survivorship at 16°. In *S. titanus*, the cumulative frequency of hatchings reached 50% after  
51  
52 60, 75 and 50 days from the start of rearing at 20°, 22° and 24°, respectively. Knight et al. (1991)  
53  
54 found that the simulated cumulative egg hatching of *T. pomaria* in the open field reached 100%  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 in 30 days: the difference between this species and *S. titanus* may be due to the different size of  
4  
5 eggs.  
6  
7

#### 9 10 **4.2. Post-embryonic development**

11  
12  
13  
14 The dynamics of age structures in *S. titanus* was clearly related to temperature, as within the  
15  
16 same instar, the development was faster at increasing temperatures. As a result, the whole post-  
17  
18 embryonic development of *S. titanus* should last about one month at a constant temperature of  
19  
20 24°. According to field data, the life cycle from N1 to adults lasts about 50 days (Vidano, 1964).  
21  
22  
23 The mean daily temperatures of May and June, in the main vine-growing areas of North-western  
24  
25 Italy, are about 17-18°C and 20-21°C, respectively (Barni et al., 2012); in these conditions, the  
26  
27 life cycle of *S. titanus* should match the laboratory data obtained during this research. However,  
28  
29 the developmental rate may be different under constant or variable temperature conditions, due  
30  
31 to rate summation effects (Worner, 1992; Liu et al., 1995). Another issue to be addressed is  
32  
33 micro-climate, in the measure that nymphs stay on the lower leaf surface (Vidano, 1964), where  
34  
35 temperature values are lower than those registered in a meteorological station. On the other hand,  
36  
37 insects placed inside a rearing cage under constant temperature conditions cannot choose a  
38  
39 cooler environment. This may explain the shorter life cycle of *S. titanus* obtained in the  
40  
41 laboratory at constant temperatures, compared to what happens in the open field at similar mean  
42  
43 temperatures when the different life stages appear. Finally, the duration of the whole life cycle of  
44  
45 an insect is often different than the one calculated as a summation of the durations of different  
46  
47 life stages, and many factors besides temperature (such as relative humidity, food sources, etc.)  
48  
49 can increase and/or decrease the developmental time (Danks, 2000).  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 Concerning other leafhoppers, post-embryonic development is often strongly related to  
55  
56 temperature, both if calculated under constant or variable (=field) conditions (Fielding et al.,  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 1999). *C. bipunctata* nymphs took a shorter period of time for completing their development,  
4 about 22 days for  $T=22^{\circ}$  and 15 days for  $T=25^{\circ}$  (Tokuda and Matsumura, 2005), whereas the  
5 whole post-embryonic development of *S. titanus* took 26 and 22 days for the same temperatures,  
6 respectively. The same happened for *E. ziczac* (Olsen et al, 1998), which developed faster than *S.*  
7 *titanus*. On the other hand, *Graminella nigrifrons* (Forbes) displayed values comparable to those  
8 of *S. titanus* (Sedlacek et al., 1990). This could be due to the fact that *C. bipunctata* and *E. ziczac*  
9 are smaller than *S. titanus* and, therefore, need a shorter period of time for developing at the  
10 same temperatures, whereas *G. nigrifrons*, which has similar developmental rates, is about the  
11 same size. Besides the different developmental times, however, the response of *S. titanus*  
12 nymphs to temperature matches those of other leafhopper species. For instance, Sedlacek et al.  
13 (1990) found a linear developmental rate in *G. nigrifrons* between  $18^{\circ}$  and  $30^{\circ}$ . On the other  
14 hand, Knight et al. (1991) found a non-linear development function for nymphs of *T. pomaria*  
15 between  $25^{\circ}$  and  $30^{\circ}$ , whereas in a similar range of temperatures ( $24-29^{\circ}$ ) the response of *S.*  
16 *titanus* was almost linear.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 At the same temperature, the development was faster for earlier rather than later instars.  
35  
36 In many species of arthropods the temperature requirements for later instars are higher than for  
37 earlier ones (Danks, 2000), and body size has an effect on development time as well (Gillooly et  
38 al., 2002). As later instars of *S. titanus* appear when air temperatures are higher, however, the  
39 duration of the different instars may not differ substantially along the season. This may be the  
40 same reason for the shorter time of development displayed by some Typhlocybinae, at the same  
41 temperature (Olsen et al., 1998; Tokuda and Matsumura, 2005). Note that members of this  
42 family are quite small in size, whereas, for instance, *Graminella nigrifrons* (Forbes)  
43 (Deltocephalinae) displayed values comparable to those of *S. titanus* (Sedlacek et al., 1990).  
44  
45 The mortality of *S. titanus* nymphs was always minimum for  $T=24^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and later instars (N3-N5)  
46  
47 suffered from low rather than high extreme temperatures, probably because larger species (and  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 therefore also larger instars of the same species) have higher thermal requirements and can better  
4 tolerate higher than lower temperatures (Danks, 2000; Gillooly et al., 2002). Our estimation of *S.*  
5 *titanus* survival via regression analysis at constant temperature regimes is in accordance with  
6 Régnière et al. (2012). This last aspect is in accordance with N3 appearing in the second half of  
7 June (Vidano, 1964), when in Northwestern Italy mean daily temperatures are about 24°C, and  
8 max. temperatures can reach 35°-37° C (Brunetti et al., 2005). Therefore, a decrease in  
9 temperature during the late instar period (that is, between the second half of June and the first  
10 half of July) may cause some mortality in *S. titanus*. However, other factors besides temperature  
11 must be taken into account in calculating the field mortality in *S. titanus* nymphal instars.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16 The survivorship of *S. titanus* nymphs was quite high if compared to those of *C.*  
17 *bipunctata*, reared at the same temperatures on rice seedlings (Tokuda and Matsumura, 2005).  
18 This discrepancy may be due to the different physiology of host plants, or to the rearing method:  
19 *C. bipunctata* was reared on rice seedlings inside small glass tubes, whereas the cages with a  
20 potted grapevine where we put *S. titanus* nymphs may be closer to natural conditions.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **4.3. Model fitting and validation**

37  
38  
39

40 During parametrization the Brière model provided a good fit of the experimental data, with high  
41  $R^2$  values and low errors. Although it was not possible to determine experimentally the extreme  
42 temperatures  $T_0$  and  $T_L$  for post-embryonic development, these extreme values are not reached  
43 for more than 3-4 hours per day in north-western Italy (Brunetti et al., 2005). Thus the estimation  
44 of extreme values from the literature and *via* interpolation appears to be sound. According to  
45 Régnière et al. (2012), upper and lower critical temperatures are one of the main issues to be  
46 addressed when building a phenological model. Rigamonti et al. (2011) tested the equation of  
47 Brière for the whole nymphal developmental time, and found  $T_0=8.7^\circ$ , whereas the present  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 research suggest that this value is higher, between 12° C and 14°C, for late instars (N4-N5);  
4  
5 again, the discrepancy may be due to the difference of modelling under constant or variable  
6  
7 temperature conditions (Worner, 1992; Liu et al., 1995), or because the two models are obtained  
8  
9 from different (laboratory, and field) data sources.  
10

11  
12 Although care must be taken when modelling at extreme values, outside the range of  
13  
14 experimental data (Liu et al. 1995; Danks, 2000), the choice of Brière's function was successful,  
15  
16 and confirms the suitability of this model to depict the influence of temperature on the  
17  
18 developmental rate of insects (Shi and Ge, 2010). The validation of the model was satisfactory  
19  
20 for N3 and adults, which are the two key life instars for targeting IPM. Low errors were obtained  
21  
22 also for N2 and N4, whereas for N1 and N5 errors were higher. Concerning N1, the poor  
23  
24 performance may be due to a delay in estimation of the appearance during field sampling, which  
25  
26 is very time-consuming when *S. titanus* is present at very low densities (the sampling plan used  
27  
28 can detect a density of 0.02 nymphs/5 leaves per plant after having counted up to 137 plants and  
29  
30 found 2 nymphs, with a 25% error rate) (Lessio and Alma, 2006), so *S. titanus* may have been  
31  
32 present during early samplings but not detected. On the other hand, the appearance of N5 may  
33  
34 have been influenced by insecticidal sprays that targeted the previous instars, causing as well a  
35  
36 delay in the estimation in the field. However, an overall good performance of the model applied  
37  
38 to field data was confirmed by the significant fit between the predicted and observed DOY  
39  
40 values. Finally, the homogeneity of regression test proved how the model does not under or  
41  
42 overestimate significantly the observed field data.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

#### 49 **4.4. Conclusions and perspectives**

50  
51  
52  
53  
54 The embryonic and post-embryonic development of *S. titanus* is strongly related to temperature,  
55  
56 and the trend obtained under laboratory conditions is sound with the occurrence of the different  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 life stages of this leafhopper in the open field. The parametrization of Brière's equation through  
4  
5 laboratory data and its subsequent validation permitted to model the whole life cycle of this  
6  
7 insect vector in Northern Italy. The possible income of the model proposed is the application to  
8  
9 IPM in order to identify the best moment (that is, the maximum seasonal frequency of moults  
10  
11 from N2 to N3, and from N5 to adults) for insecticide sprays. For instance, the sprays against  
12  
13 nymphs (N3-N4) may better focus on the peak of presence in the field (that is, when the  
14  
15 cumulated frequency equal to 50%), in order to target as many of them as possible. The  
16  
17 understanding of the dynamics of age structure is very important in organic farming, as  
18  
19 pyrethrum, the main active ingredients. used in Italy, is effective only against nymphs and must  
20  
21 be applied several times per year (Lessio et al., 2011; Žežlina et al., 2013). Concerning adults,  
22  
23 that are highly mobile and capable of transmitting phytoplasmas agents of FD, it would be better  
24  
25 to apply insecticides at their first occurrence; moreover, in some regions a further insecticidal  
26  
27 application is made in the later part of the season (August-September) against adults entering the  
28  
29 vineyards from uncultivated areas, and in this case, it is important to know the distribution of late  
30  
31 egg hatching and nymphal development in order to spray.  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Forecasting models play a key role in pest management, as they permit to enhance the  
37  
38 efficacy and timing of insecticidal sprays depending on weather data, saving resources and  
39  
40 reducing environmental impact. The prediction of *S. titanus* seasonal dynamics is crucial for  
41  
42 controlling the spread of FD, and within this frame the proposed phenological model should  
43  
44 become a useful tool to guide pest management strategies against this insect vector.  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 **5. Acknowledgments**

50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 We are grateful to Paola Gotta (Regione Piemonte, Servizio Fitosanitario Regionale) for indicating the  
4 vineyard for collecting wood and for providing part of the data used for model validation, and to  
5 Antonello Q. Cossu (ARPA, Regione Sardegna) for advice on statistical analyses and model choice.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 11 **6. Funding**

12  
13  
14  
15 This research was conducted within the frame of the project “ENTOMODEL”, funded by “Regione  
16 Piemonte, Assessorato Agricoltura”.  
17  
18  
19

## 20 21 **7. References**

- 22  
23  
24  
25  
26 Arnold GW, De Wit CT. 1976. Critical evaluation of system analysis in ecosystems research and  
27 management. Simulation Monographs, Centre for Agricultural Publishing and  
28 Documentation, Wageningen, The Netherlands.  
29  
30  
31  
32 Barnett ED. 1976. A revision of the nearctic species of the genus *Scaphoideus* (Homoptera  
33 Cicadellidae). Trans, Am. Entomol. Soc. 102: 485-593.  
34  
35  
36  
37 Barni E, Bacaro G, Falzoi S, Spanna F, Consolata S. 2012. Establishing climatic constraints  
38 shaping the distribution of alien plant species along the elevation gradient in the Alps. Pl.  
39 Ecol. 213: 757-767.  
40  
41  
42  
43 Bonfils J, Schvester D. 1960. Les cicadelles (Homoptera Auchenorrhyncha) dans leur rapports  
44 avec la vigne dans le Sud-Ouest de la France. Ann. Epiph. 11: 325-336.  
45  
46  
47  
48 Bressan A, Larrue J, Boudon-Padiou E. 2006. Patterns of phytoplasma-infected and infective  
49 *Scaphoideus titanus* leafhoppers in vineyards with high incidence of Flavescence dorée. Ent.  
50 Exp. Appl. 119: 61-69.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Brière JF, Pracros P, Le Roux AI, Pierre JS. 1999. A novel rate model of temperature-dependent  
4  
5 development for arthropods. *Environ. Entomol.* 28: 22-29.  
6  
7 Brunetti M., Maugeri M, Monti F, Nanni T. 2005. Temperature and precipitation variability in  
8  
9 Italy in the last two centuries from homogenised instrumental time series. *Int. J. Climatol.*  
10  
11 26: 345-381.  
12  
13 Butturini A, Tiso R, De Berardinis E. 1992. Influenza della temperatura sullo sviluppo di *Cydia*  
14  
15 *pomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Boll. Ist. Ent. "G. Grandi" Univ. Bol.* 47: 123-  
16  
17 134.  
18  
19  
20 COST action FA0807. Phytoplasma Diseases and Vectors in Europe and Surroundings.  
21  
22 <http://www.costphytoplasma.ipwgnnet.org/WG2/Phytoplasma%20Vectors%20and%20Diseases%20in%20Europe%20and%20Surroundings.pdf> (accessed on 23 January 2014).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Chuche J, Thiéry D. 2009: Cold winter temperatures condition the egg-hatching dynamics of a  
28  
29 grape disease vector. *Naturwiss.* 96: 827-834.  
30  
31 Chuche J, Thiéry D. 2014. Biology and ecology of the Flavescence dorée vector *Scaphoideus*  
32  
33 *titanus*: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 34: 381-403.  
34  
35  
36 Danks HV 2000. Measuring and reporting life-cycle duration in insects and arachnids. *Eur. J.*  
37  
38 *Entomol.* 97: 285-303.  
39  
40  
41 Fielding CA, Whittaker JB, Butterfield JEL, Coulson JC. 1999. Predicting responses to climate  
42  
43 change: the effect of altitude and latitude on the phenology of the spittlebug *Neophilaenus*  
44  
45 *lineatus*. *Funct. Ecol.* 13 (suppl. 1): 65-73.  
46  
47  
48 Gillooly JF, Charnov EL, West GB, Savage VM, Brown JH. 2002. Effect of size and  
49  
50 temperature on developmental time. *Nat.* 417: 70-73.  
51  
52 Gutierrez AP, Ponti L, Cooper ML, Gilioli G, Baumgartner J, Duso C. 2012. Prospective  
53  
54 analysis of the invasive potential of the European grapevine moth *Lobesia botrana* (Den. &  
55  
56 Schiff.) in California. *Agric. For. Entomol.*, 14: 225-238.  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Hyams DH. 2012. <http://www.curveexpert.net/> (accessed on 23 January 2014)  
4  
5 Knight AL, Beers EH, Elsner EA. 1991. Modeling postdiapause egg and nymphal development  
6  
7 of the white apple leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Environ. Entomol. 20(6): 1659-  
8  
9 1664.  
10  
11 Lessio F, Alma A. 2004. Seasonal and daily movement of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball (Homoptera:  
12  
13 Cicadellidae). Environ. Entomol. 33(6): 1689-1694.  
14  
15 Lessio F, Alma A. 2006. Spatial distribution of nymphs of *Scaphoideus titanus* (Homoptera:  
16  
17 Cicadellidae) in grapes, and evaluation of sequential sampling plans. J. Econ. Entomol.  
18  
19 99(2): 578-582.  
20  
21  
22 Lessio F, Albertin I, Lombardo DM, Gotta P, Alma A. 2011. Monitoring *Scaphoideus titanus* for  
23  
24 IPM purposes: results of a pilot-project in Piedmont (NW Italy). Bull. Insectol. 64 (suppl.):  
25  
26 269-270.  
27  
28  
29 Lessio F, Tota F, Alma A. 2014. "Tracking the dispersion of *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball  
30  
31 (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) from wild to cultivated grapevine: use of a novel mark-capture  
32  
33 technique." Bull. Entomol. Res.: 432-443.  
34  
35  
36 Lieth H. 1974. Purposes of a phenology book. In *Phenology and Seasonality Modeling*, pp. 3-19.  
37  
38 Ed. H. Lieth. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.  
39  
40  
41 Liu SS, Zhang GG, Zhu J. 1995. Influence of temperature variations on rate of development in  
42  
43 insects: analysis of case studies from entomological literature. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 88  
44  
45 (2): 107-119.  
46  
47  
48 Loague K, Green RE. 1991. Statistical and graphical methods for evaluating solute transport  
49  
50 models: overview and application. J. Cont. Hydrol. 7: 51-73.  
51  
52  
53 Logan JA, Wollkind DJ, Hoyt SC, Tanigoshi LK. 1976. An analytic model for description of  
54  
55 temperature dependent rate phenomena in arthropods. Environ. Entomol. 5 (6): 1133-1140.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Malembic-Maher S, Salar P, Filippin L, Carle P, Angelini E, Foissac X. 2011. Genetic diversity  
4 of European phytoplasmas of the 16SrV taxonomic group and proposal of 'Candidatus  
5 *Phytoplasma rubi*'. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 61: 2129–2134.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Manetsch TJ. 1976. Time-varying distributed delay models and their use in aggregative models  
11 of large systems. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cyb.* 6: 547-553.  
12  
13  
14 Olsen KN, Cone WW, Wright LC. 1998. Influence of temperature on grape leafhoppers in  
15 Southcentral Washington. *Environ. Entomol.* 27 (2): 401-405.  
16  
17  
18 Pavan F, Mori N, Bigot G, Zandigiaco P. 2012. Border effect in spatial distribution of  
19 Flavescence dorée affected grapevines and outside source of *Scaphoideus titanus* vectors.  
20 *Bull. Insectol.* 65(2): 281-290.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Podolsky AS. 1984. *New Phenology: elements of mathematical forecasting in ecology*. New  
26 York, NY: John Wiley and Sons Publication.  
27  
28  
29 Rapagnani MR, Caffarelli V, Barlattani M. 1988. *Lobesia botrana* Schiff.: studio in laboratorio  
30 del ciclo di sviluppo in funzione della temperatura, pp. 973-980. In Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup>  
31 Italian National Congress of Entomology, 13-17 June 1988. L'Aquila - Italy.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 Regione Piemonte. Rete Agrometeorologica regionale e banca dati.  
37 [http://www.regione.piemonte.it/agri/area tecnico scientifica/settore fitosanitario/agrometeo](http://www.regione.piemonte.it/agri/area_tecnico_scientifica/settore_fitosanitario/agrometeo)  
38 [/ram.htm](http://www.regione.piemonte.it/agri/area_tecnico_scientifica/settore_fitosanitario/agrometeo) (accessed 10 December 2013).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 Régnière J, Powell J., Bentz B., nealis V., 2012. Effects of temperature on development, survival  
44 and reproduction of insects: experimental design, data analysis and modelling. *J. Ins.*  
45 *Physiol.* 58: 634-647.  
46  
47  
48  
49 Rigamonti IE, Jermini M, Fuog D, Baumgärtner J. 2011. Towards an improved understanding of  
50 the dynamics of vineyard-infesting *Scaphoideus titanus* leafhopper populations for better  
51 timing of management activities. *Pest Man. Sc.* 67 (10): 1222-1229.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Roy M, Brodeur J, Cloutier J. 2002. Relationship between temperature and developmental rate of  
4  
5 *Stethorus punctillum* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and its prey *Tetranychus mcdanieli*  
6  
7 (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Environ. Entomol. 31 (1): 177-187.  
8  
9  
10 Sedlacek JD, Yeargan KV, Freytag PH. 1990. Effect of temperature on the development of the  
11  
12 blackfaced leafhopper (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Environ. Entomol. 19 (2): 209-214.  
13  
14 Severini M, Gilioli G. 2002. Storia e filosofia dei modelli di simulazione nella difesa delle  
15  
16 colture agrarie. Not. Prot. Piante 15: 9-29.  
17  
18 Severini M, Alilla R, Pesolillo S, Baumgärtner J. 2005. Grapevine and *Lobesia botrana* (lep.  
19  
20 Tortricidae) phenology in the Castelli Romani area. It. J. Agrometeorol. 3: 34-39.  
21  
22  
23 Shi P, Ge F. 2010. A comparison of different thermal performance functions describing  
24  
25 temperature-dependent development rates. J. Therm. Biol. 35: 225-231.  
26  
27  
28 Tokuda M, Matsumura M. 2005. Effect of temperature on the development and reproduction of  
29  
30 the maize orange leafhopper *Cicadulina bipunctata* (Melichar) (Homoptera: Cicadellidae).  
31  
32 Appl. Entomol. Zool. 40 (2): 213-220.  
33  
34 Vidano C. 1964. Scoperta in Italia dello *Scaphoideus littoralis* Ball cicalina americana collegata  
35  
36 alla "Flavescence dorée" della vite. It. Agric. 101: 1031-1049.  
37  
38  
39 Worner SP. 1992. Performance of phenological models under variable temperature regimes:  
40  
41 consequences of the Kaufmann or rate summation effect. Environ. Entomol. 21 (4): 689-  
42  
43 699.  
44  
45 Žežlina I, Škvarč A, Bohinc T, Trdan S. 2013. Testing the efficacy of single applications of five  
46  
47 insecticides against *Scaphoideus titanus* on common grapevines. Int. J. Pest Manag. 59:1-9.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Table 1. Data of embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus* under different constant temperature conditions <sup>a</sup>.

	Temperature (° C)					
	18	20	22	24	27	29
T.D. (mean ± s.e.)	0.0 ± 0.0	60.0 ± 10.1	56.7 ± 12.5	38.4 ± 15.4	34.0 ± 17.0	96.8 ± 13.2
D.R.	N.D.	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01
Total E	144	355	248	636	251	205
Total N1	0	184	160	376	1	5
Mortality (%)	100.00	48.16	35.42	40.89	99.60	97.56

<sup>a</sup> T.D.: time of development (days); D.R.: developmental rate (days<sup>-1</sup>); N.D.: not determined; total eggs (E) were estimated by proportion after having counted the number of eggs on a sub-sample of 25-30 pieces of grapevine wood (see text for details). N1: 1<sup>st</sup> instar nymphs.

Table 2. Data of *Scaphoideus titanus* mortality (range 0-1) during moults at different constant temperatures <sup>a</sup>.

T (°C)	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5
18	0.33	0.28	0.41	0.45	0.41
20	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.31	0.31
22	0.12	0.14	0.22	0.2	0.29
24	0.06	0.13	0.16	0.08	0.20
27	0.07	0.11	0.34	0.26	0.24
29	0.29	0.33	0.43	0.35	0.37

<sup>a</sup> N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.

Table 3. Results of the 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial regression of *Scaphoideus titanus* mortality during moults as a function of temperature <sup>a</sup>.

<i>Life stage</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>T</i> <sub>opt.</sub>	<i>Min. mort.</i>
N1	0.01	-0.38	4.65	0.89	24.05	0.08
N2	0.01	-0.28	3.49	0.77	23.33	0.22
N3	0.01	-0.36	4.40	0.93	23.08	0.25
N4	0.01	-0.43	5.30	0.91	23.89	0.16
N5	0.01	-0.25	3.31	0.88	25.00	0.19

<sup>a</sup> *T*<sub>opt.</sub>: optimal temperature (° C) providing minimum mortality (Min. mort., range 0-1); N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.

Table 4. Estimation of the parameters  $n$  and  $m$  of Brière's equation representing the phenological development of *Scaphoideus titanus*<sup>a</sup>.

<i>Life stage</i>	$n$	$m$	$T_0$	$T_{opt}$	$T_L$	$R^2$	$P$
E to N1	$5.14 \times 10^{-5}$	1.44	17.8	25.7	30.0	0.93	0.00
N1 to N2	$7.31 \times 10^{-5}$	1.14	13.2	30.3	40.0	0.99	0.00
N2 to N3	$3.21 \times 10^{-5}$	0.81	14.5	28.5	40.0	0.99	0.00
N3 to N4	$4.99 \times 10^{-5}$	1.04	13.5	29.8	40.0	0.99	0.01
N4 to N5	$8.43 \times 10^{-5}$	1.46	12.4	31.8	40.0	0.98	0.01
N5 to A	$3.65 \times 10^{-5}$	1.04	12.9	29.7	40.0	0.94	0.03

<sup>a</sup>  $T_0$ ,  $T_{opt}$ , and  $T_L$  are lower, optimal and lethal temperature (° C) development thresholds, respectively.

E: eggs; N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar; A: adults.

Table 5. Estimation of the errors of Brière's equation fitted to experimental data of embryonic and post-embryonic development of *Scaphoideus titanus*<sup>a</sup>.

<i>Life stage</i>	<i>MAE</i>	<i>RRMSE</i>	<i>EF</i>	<i>CRM</i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>P</i>
E to N1	0.00	11.01	0.97	0.00	0.97	0.00
N1 to N2	0.02	12.33	0.83	0.02	0.86	0.01
N2 to N3	0.01	6.83	0.95	0.01	0.96	0.00
N3 to N4	0.01	7.90	0.93	0.01	0.95	0.00
N4 to N5	0.01	11.08	0.87	0.02	0.90	0.00
N5 to A	0.01	10.02	0.87	0.01	0.89	0.01

<sup>a</sup> MAE: mean absolute error; RRMSE: root mean square error; EF: efficiency index; CRM: coefficient of residual mass; E: eggs; N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar; A: adults



Table 6. Results of calibration and validation of Brière's equation using experimental field data of appearance of the different life stages of *Scaphoideus titanus*<sup>a</sup>.

<i>Life stage</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>BU</i>	<i>DOY<sub>obs</sub></i>	<i>DOY<sub>pred</sub></i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>P</i>	<i>RRMSE</i>	<i>MAE</i>	<i>EF</i>	<i>CRM</i>
Calibration										
N2	6	44	148.5 ± 4.97	147.8 ± 11.32	0.64	0.06	4.90	5.67	-1.57	0.00
N3	6	41	165.7 ± 7.34	160.8 ± 10.53	0.57	0.08	4.81	6.17	-0.41	0.03
N5	3	33	177.7 ± 5.03	176.7 ± 9.50	0.33	0.61	3.63	5.67	-1.47	0.01
A	3	30	192.3 ± 4.93	190.0 ± 9.53	1.00	0.03	6.26	10.33	-7.94	0.01
Validation										
N1	7	2.3	151.29 ± 3.40	126.7 ± 6.87	0.35	0.16	16.59	24.57	-62.55	0.16
N2	16	44	161.81 ± 3.64	158.0 ± 4.43	0.17	0.11	3.62	4.94	-1.21	0.02
N3	28	41	166.32 ± 5.10	165.8 ± 5.34	0.39	0.00	2.71	3.89	0.19	0.00
N4	5	5	172.60 ± 9.53	169.8 ± 1.48	0.68	0.09	4.62	4.80	0.12	0.02
N5	3	35	186.00 ± 11.79	170.7 ± 10.26	0.25	0.67	11.75	15.33	-4.16	0.08
A	10	30	187.20 ± 3.68	186.8 ± 4.78	0.56	0.01	1.62	2.00	0.24	0.00

<sup>a</sup> N: number of observations used; BU: value of Brière's units that causes the start of calculation of the subsequent stage; DOY: day of year (mean ± standard deviation), observed in the field (obs) or predicted by the model (pred); RRMSE: relative root mean square error; MAE: mean absolute error; EF: efficiency index; CRM: coefficient of residual mass.

### Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Cumulative distribution frequency of egg hatching patterns of *S. titanus* as a function of time, under different constant temperature conditions.

**Figure 2.** Duration of development of the different life stages of *S. titanus* (mean  $\pm$  standard error) under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.


**Figure 3.** Frequency distribution of moults of *S. titanus* as a function of time, under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.

**Figure 4.** Mean, standard error, median, first and third quartile of the duration of post-embryonic development for *S. titanus* under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.

**Figure 5.** Fitting of Brière's equation of the mean of the observed developmental rate (D.R., expressed as day<sup>-1</sup>) for the different life stages of *S. titanus* as a function of temperature (line: predicted values; diamonds: observed values). E: eggs; N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar.


**Figure 6.** Linear regression between the observed and predicted days of year (DOY) of appearance of *S. titanus* life stages. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar; A: adults.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Cumulative distribution frequency of egg hatching patterns of *S. titanus* as a function of time, under different constant temperature conditions.  
24x18mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only




Duration of development of the different life stages of *S. titanus* (mean  $\pm$  standard error) under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1st to 5th instar; A: adults. 19x11mm (600 x 600 DPI)




Frequency distribution of moults of *S. titanus* as a function of time, under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1st to 5th instar; A: adults.  
25x19mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only




Mean, standard error, median, first and third quartile of the duration of post-embryonic development for *S. titanus* under different constant temperature conditions. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1st to 5th instar; A: adults.  
25x24mm (600 x 600 DPI)

AMU



Fitting of Brière's equation of the mean of the observed developmental rate (D.R., expressed as day<sup>-1</sup>) for the different life stages of *S. titanus* as a function of temperature (line: predicted values; diamonds: observed values). E: eggs; N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1st to 5th instar. 27x29mm (600 x 600 DPI)





Linear regression between the observed and predicted days of year (DOY) of appearance of *S. titanus* life stages. N1-N5: nymphs (=juveniles) from 1st to 5th instar; A: adults.  
182x134mm (150 x 150 DPI)