



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: September 10, 2015

ACCEPTED: October 15, 2015

PUBLISHED: November 5, 2015

S-duality and the prepotential of $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories (II): the non-simply laced algebras

M. Billó,^a M. Frau,^a F. Fucito,^b A. Lerda^{c,a} and J.F. Morales^b

^aUniversità di Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica and I.N.F.N. - sezione di Torino,
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy

^bI.N.F.N. - sezione di Roma 2 and Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Dipartimento di Fisica,
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, I-00133 Roma, Italy

^cUniversità del Piemonte Orientale, Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica,
and I.N.F.N. - Gruppo Collegato di Alessandria - sezione di Torino
Viale T. Michel 11, I-15121 Alessandria, Italy

E-mail: billlo@to.infn.it, frau@to.infn.it, lerda@to.infn.it,
fucito@roma2.infn.it, morales@roma2.infn.it

ABSTRACT: We derive a modular anomaly equation satisfied by the prepotential of the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ supersymmetric theories with non-simply laced gauge algebras, including the classical B_r and C_r infinite series and the exceptional F_4 and G_2 cases. This equation determines the exact prepotential recursively in an expansion for small mass in terms of quasi-modular forms of the S-duality group. We also discuss the behaviour of these theories under S-duality and show that the prepotential of the $SO(2r+1)$ theory is mapped to that of the $Sp(2r)$ theory and viceversa, while the exceptional F_4 and G_2 theories are mapped into themselves (up to a rotation of the roots) in analogy with what happens for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric theories. These results extend the analysis for the simply laced groups presented in a companion paper.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetry and Duality, Duality in Gauge Field Theories, Solitons Monopoles and Instantons, Nonperturbative Effects

ARXIV EPRINT: [1507.08027](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.08027)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	S-duality	3
3	Multi-instanton calculations for the B_r and C_r theories	8
3.1	Multi-instantons for the $B_r = \text{so}(2r + 1)$ theories	9
3.2	Multi-instantons for the $C_r = \text{sp}(2r)$ theories	12
4	Prepotential and recursion relations for the B_r and C_r theories	15
4.1	The C_r theories	15
4.2	The B_r theories	19
4.3	Relations between the B_r and C_r theories	21
5	Prepotential and recursion relations for the G_2 and F_4 theories	22
5.1	The G_2 theory	22
5.2	The F_4 theory	26
6	Conclusions	26
A	Notations and conventions for the root systems	27
B	Modular forms	29

1 Introduction

In a companion paper [1] we have studied $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ super Yang-Mills theories with gauge groups of ADE type, generalizing and extending results that were previously obtained for $SU(2)$ and $SU(N)$ gauge groups [2–6]. The $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories possess eight supercharges and interpolate between the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and the pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang-Mills theories. Their low-energy effective dynamics is encoded in the prepotential F which can be conveniently organized as an expansion in even powers of the mass m of the matter hypermultiplet.

Given that F has mass-dimension two and that the only other dimensionful parameter available in the model is the vacuum expectation value a of the scalar field in the gauge vector multiplet, each term of the prepotential at order $2n$ in the mass must be accompanied by a function of a with mass-dimension $(2 - 2n)$. These functions of a turn out to be nicely written as sums over the root lattice of the gauge algebra. Thus the prepotential always maintains the same form for all ADE algebras since what changes from case to case is only the explicit expression of the roots. The coefficients in front of these lattice sums are universal functions of the gauge coupling constant and receive both perturbative

contributions at 1-loop and non-perturbative corrections due to instantons. Actually, all these contributions can be resummed into *exact* functions of the gauge coupling that are built out of the Eisenstein series, including the second Eisenstein series E_2 which has an “anomalous” behaviour under the modular transformations of $Sl(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The presence of E_2 leads to a modular anomaly equation which can be put in the form of a recursion relation for the coefficients of the mass expansion of the prepotential and encodes all information implied by S-duality [1].¹

In this paper we extend and generalize these results to $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with non-simply laced gauge algebras $\mathfrak{g} \in \{B_r, C_r, F_4, G_2\}$. The presence of long and short roots in these algebras implies several important differences with respect to the ADE case, even if the overall picture remains similar. In particular, the notion of S-duality, originally formulated in [8–13] for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories, can be also extended to the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ models with non-simply laced gauge algebras where the strong/weak coupling symmetry requirement takes the form of a relation between the S-dual prepotential and the Legendre transform of its dual [3, 4, 14]. However, differently from the ADE case, one finds that S-duality is not a true symmetry since it maps a theory with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} to a theory with a dual gauge algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee , obtained by exchanging (and rescaling) the long and short roots [9]. This property leads to very severe constraints on the prepotential coefficients, and it is a quite remarkable fact that they can be satisfied by imposing again a modular anomaly equation similar to that of the ADE theories.

Another important difference with respect to the ADE case is that the modular group of the non-simply laced theories is not $Sl(2, \mathbb{Z})$ but its congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ [15, 16], where $n_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the ratio between the norm squared of the long and short roots of \mathfrak{g} . Consequently, the prepotential coefficients are expressed as quasi-modular forms of this subgroup, which include other modular functions besides the standard Eisenstein series. Such functions as well as the Eisenstein series have a simple behaviour also under the S-duality transformation which lies outside $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Exploiting this fact together with the S-duality transformation properties of the root lattices mentioned above, it is possible to verify that the modular anomaly equation implies the expected strong/weak coupling relation between the prepotentials of $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with dual gauge algebras.

The plan of the paper is the following: In section 2 we discuss the S-duality action on the gauge theories with non-simply laced algebras and derive the modular anomaly equation and the recursion relation satisfied by the quantum prepotential. In section 3 we present the microscopic computation of the instanton corrections for theories with gauge algebras in the classical B_r and C_r series using the equivariant localization methods [17–20]. This is necessary in order to have explicit “microscopic” data on the multi-instanton corrections which can then be used in order to prove S-duality or compared with the S-duality predictions. In section 4 we derive exact formulas written in terms of modular forms for the first few coefficients in the mass expansion of the prepotential for theories with orthogonal or symplectic gauge algebras, while in section 5 we repeat the analysis

¹Modular anomaly equations have been considered also in the context of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal SQCD models with fundamental matter [3, 4, 7].

for the exceptional algebras G_2 and F_4 . By Taylor expanding the modular forms, one can obtain the whole series of multi-instanton corrections to the prepotential. While for the classical algebras these can be checked against the microscopic computations, for G_2 and F_4 they are a prediction since the ADHM construction is not known for the exceptional gauge algebras. Finally, in section 6 we present our conclusions and perspectives. Several details about the root systems of the non-simply laced algebras and about the modular forms are contained in two technical appendices.

2 S-duality

In this section we investigate the S-duality transformation properties of $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with non-simply laced gauge algebras $\mathfrak{g} \in \{B_r, C_r, F_4, G_2\}$. In particular, we will show that the symmetry requirement under S-duality determines the modular behaviour of the quantum prepotential and implies the emergence of a modular anomaly equation in the form of a recursion relation for the coefficients of its mass expansion.

In order to treat all cases simultaneously, we introduce the convenient notation

$$n_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{\alpha_L \cdot \alpha_L}{\alpha_S \cdot \alpha_S} \tag{2.1}$$

where α_L and α_S denote, respectively, the long and the short roots of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . In appendix A we give our conventions on the root systems, from which one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\mathfrak{g}} &= 2 && \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = B_r, C_r \text{ and } F_4, \\ n_{\mathfrak{g}} &= 3 && \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = G_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Using this notation, we can write the prepotential for a theory with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} as

$$F^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a) = n_{\mathfrak{g}} \pi i \tau a^2 + f^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a) \tag{2.3}$$

where the first term represents the classical contribution. Here we have introduced the usual complex combination of the Yang-Mills coupling g and the θ -angle

$$\tau = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + i \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \tag{2.4}$$

and denoted by a the vacuum expectation value of the scalar field φ in the gauge vector multiplet

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r). \tag{2.5}$$

Like in the ADE case [1], also the non-simply laced quantum prepotential $f^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ can be conveniently expanded in the mass m of the adjoint hypermultiplet, namely

$$f^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a), \tag{2.6}$$

with $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})} \sim m^{2n}$. For $n \geq 2$ the coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ are functions of the coupling τ through the instanton counting parameter $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. On dimensional grounds, they are also homogeneous functions of degree $(2 - 2n)$ of the vacuum expectation values a :

$$f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, \lambda a) = \lambda^{2-2n} f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a). \tag{2.7}$$

\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{g}^\vee
B_r	C_r
C_r	B_r
F_4	F'_4
G_2	G'_2

Table 1. The correspondence between a non-simply laced algebra \mathfrak{g} and its GNO dual \mathfrak{g}^\vee .

On the contrary, f_1 is independent of τ and is entirely given by the 1-loop contribution

$$f_1^{(\mathfrak{g})}(a, \Lambda) = f_1^{(\mathfrak{g}),1\text{-loop}}(a, \Lambda) = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \quad (2.8)$$

where Λ is the dynamically generated scale.

Since we are interested in the S-duality transformation of the prepotential (2.3), we have to define how S-duality acts on the gauge coupling τ and on the vacuum expectation values a . As discussed for example in [11–13], in the non-simply laced theories one has

$$\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \quad (2.9)$$

which replaces the usual $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ transformation of the ADE models. Furthermore, S-duality maps a theory with a gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} to a theory with a gauge algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee defined from \mathfrak{g} by exchanging (and suitably rescaling) the long and the short roots [9]. The correspondence between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^\vee is given in table 1, where for F_4 and G_2 , the ' in the second column means that the dual root systems are equivalent to the original ones up to a rotation. Note that according to this definition, which is simply the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ version of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ S-duality rule [8–13], the electric variables of the \mathfrak{g} theory are dual to the magnetic variables of the \mathfrak{g}^\vee theory. Moreover, using the roots given in appendix A, from (2.8) it is easy to check that, up to a -independent terms,²

$$f_1^{(\mathfrak{g})}(a, \Lambda) = f_1^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(a, \Lambda). \quad (2.10)$$

To define how S-duality acts on a , we have first to introduce the dual variables a_D . These are defined as the a -derivatives of the prepotential of the dual \mathfrak{g}^\vee -theory, namely

$$a_D = \frac{1}{2n_{\mathfrak{g}}\pi i} \frac{\partial F^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} = \tau \left(a + \frac{\delta}{12n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

where, for later convenience, we introduce

$$\delta = \frac{6}{\pi i \tau}. \quad (2.12)$$

²Here and in the following we neglect all a -independent terms of the prepotential since they are irrelevant for the low-energy effective theory. These terms can always be absorbed by redefining the scale Λ in one of the sides of (2.10).

The S-duality transformation (2.9) is represented by the $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ element [11, 13]

$$\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.13)$$

which, when acting on the periods, exchanges a and a_{D} ; indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{\text{D}} \\ a \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\text{D}} \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a/\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \\ \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\text{D}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus we have

$$\mathcal{S}(a) = \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\text{D}} = \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \tau \left(a + \frac{\delta}{12n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \frac{\partial f^{\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}}}{\partial a} \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Using (2.9) and (2.15), the S-dual prepotential is therefore

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(\mathfrak{g})}] \equiv F^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{S}(\tau), \mathcal{S}(a), \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)) = F^{(\mathfrak{g})} \left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \tau \left(a + \frac{\delta}{12n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \frac{\partial f^{\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}}}{\partial a} \right), \mathcal{S}(\Lambda) \right), \quad (2.16)$$

where the modular transformation property of the scale Λ will be determined shortly. In analogy with the ADE case [1], one can constrain the form of the prepotential by requiring that $\mathcal{S}[F^{(\mathfrak{g})}]$ be the Legendre transform of the prepotential of the dual theory, namely

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(\mathfrak{g})}] = \mathcal{L}[F^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})}] \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}[F^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})}] \equiv F^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})} - a \cdot \frac{\partial F^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})}}{\partial a} = -n_{\mathfrak{g}}\pi i \tau a^2 - a \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})}}{\partial a} + f^{(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee})}. \quad (2.18)$$

As it is clear from (2.17), S-duality is not a symmetry of the non-simply laced theories since it relates a theory with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} to a theory with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} or viceversa. Nevertheless, it is powerful enough to constrain the structure of the prepotential.

In order to enforce (2.17), several conditions have to be satisfied. First of all, like in the ADE theories [1], we will see that also here the prepotential coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ (with $n \geq 2$) must depend on τ through quasi-modular forms. However, differently from the ADE models, the modular group is now a subgroup of $\Gamma = \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$; more precisely it is the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ defined as³

$$\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma : c = 0 \pmod{n_{\mathfrak{g}}} \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

If we denote by $\hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ the generators of Γ , then $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is generated by \hat{T} and $\hat{S}\hat{T}^{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\hat{S}$. The (quasi-)modular forms of $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are known (see for instance [15, 16]; see also [21] for a catalog and appendix B of [22] for a nice compendium). They form a ring generated by the basic elements

$$\begin{cases} \{E_2(\tau), H_2(\tau), E_4(\tau), E_6(\tau)\} & \text{for } n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2, \\ \{E_2(\tau), K_2(\tau), E_4(\tau), E_6(\tau)\} & \text{for } n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 3, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

³Note that the S-duality transformation (2.9) lies outside this subgroup.

where E_2 , E_4 and E_6 are the Eisenstein series of weight 2, 4 and 6 respectively, and H_2 and K_2 are modular forms of weight 2 defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_2(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta_3^4(\tau) + \theta_4^4(\tau)) \\
 &= 1 + 24q + 24q^2 + 96q^3 + 24q^4 + 144q^5 + \dots, \\
 K_2(\tau) &= \left[\left(\frac{\eta^3(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{3\eta^3(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right)^3 \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
 &= 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + 84q^4 + 72q^5 + \dots,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.21}$$

where the θ 's are the Jacobi θ -functions and η is the Dedekind η -function. We refer to appendix B for a summary of the main properties of these modular functions and the Eisenstein series. Here we simply recall that all elements of the basis (2.20) are modular forms of $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$, except for E_2 which is quasi-modular. Also under the transformation (2.9), which does not belong to $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$, all basis elements transform covariantly up to a factor of $(\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau)^w$ where w is their modular weight, except for E_2 which behaves as follows

$$E_2\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right) = \begin{cases} 2\tau^2 [E_2(\tau) + \delta + H_2(\tau)] & \text{for } n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2, \\ 3\tau^2 [E_2(\tau) + \delta + 2K_2(\tau)] & \text{for } n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 3, \end{cases}
 \tag{2.22}$$

where δ is given in (2.12). This implies that under S-duality, up to the prefactors of $(\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau)^2$ and the modular forms H_2 or K_2 , any occurrence of E_2 is replaced by $E_2 + \delta$. Since this ‘‘anomalous’’ shift plays a crucial rˆole in the following, we will explicitly exhibit the E_2 -dependence of the prepotential coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ by writing, for $n \geq 2$,

$$f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\tau, a, E_2).
 \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand we leave implicit the dependence on the other modular forms to avoid clutter in the formulas.

Guided by the experience with the ADE theories [1], after some simple algebra one can realize that in order to enforce (2.17) it is necessary that the coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ behave as

$$f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right)\right) = (\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau)^{2n-2} f_n^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta)
 \tag{2.24}$$

for $n \geq 2$. The prefactor $(\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau)^{2n-2}$ in the right hand side is precisely the one that the (quasi-)modular forms of $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ of weight $(2n - 2)$ acquire under the S-duality transformation (2.9). Thus, we conclude that $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ must be a (quasi-)modular form of $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with weight $(2n - 2)$. Thanks to the homogeneity property (2.7), it is possible to rewrite (2.24) as

$$f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right)\right) = f_n^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta).
 \tag{2.25}$$

For $n = 1$, instead, we simply have to require that

$$f_1^{(\mathfrak{g})}(\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau a, \mathcal{S}(\Lambda)) = f_1^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(a, \Lambda),
 \tag{2.26}$$

which, in view of (2.10), implies

$$\mathcal{S}(\Lambda) = \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau \Lambda . \tag{2.27}$$

Eqs. (2.25) and (2.26) can be combined together into

$$f^{(\mathfrak{g})}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right), \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau\Lambda\right) = f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta, \Lambda) . \tag{2.28}$$

We are now in the position of computing the S-dual prepotential. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[F^{(\mathfrak{g})}] &= F^{(\mathfrak{g})}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau\left(a + \frac{\delta}{12n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a}\right), E_2\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right), \sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\tau\Lambda\right) \\ &= -n_{\mathfrak{g}}\pi i\tau a^2 - a \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} - \frac{\delta}{24n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \\ &\quad + f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}\left(\tau, a + \frac{\delta}{12n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a}, E_2 + \delta, \Lambda\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The second line is the S-dual of the classical prepotential, while the third line gives the S-dual of the quantum prepotential $f^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ which has been expressed in terms of $f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}$ using (2.28). Taylor expanding the right hand side (2.29) with respect to δ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}[F^{(\mathfrak{g})}] &= -n_{\mathfrak{g}}\pi i\tau a^2 - a \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} + f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}(\tau, a, E_2, \Lambda) \\ &\quad + \delta \left(\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial E_2} + \frac{1}{24n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{144n_{\mathfrak{g}}^2}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a^2} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial^2 f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial E_2^2} + \frac{1}{6n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a \partial E_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

The first line in the right hand side reproduces the Legendre transform of the dual prepotential (2.18), so in order to enforce the relation (2.17) the δ -dependent terms should vanish. The cancellation of the term linear in δ implies

$$\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial E_2} + \frac{1}{24n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}}{\partial a} = 0 . \tag{2.31}$$

We have written this modular anomaly equation for the \mathfrak{g}^\vee -theory, but it clearly holds also for the dual \mathfrak{g} -theory. The cancellation of the δ^2 -term follows from differentiating (2.31) with respect to E_2 . By taking further E_2 derivatives of this differential equation one can check that also the higher order terms in the δ -expansion vanish.

Summarizing, the S-duality symmetry relation (2.17) requires that the mass expansion coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ of the quantum prepotential are quasi-modular forms of the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(n_{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with weight $(2n - 2)$ satisfying the recursion relations

$$\frac{\partial f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}}{\partial E_2} = -\frac{1}{24n_{\mathfrak{g}}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f_\ell^{(\mathfrak{g})}}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{n-\ell}^{(\mathfrak{g})}}{\partial a} . \tag{2.32}$$

Moreover, since the S-duality map (2.25) exchanges the algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^\vee , the prepotential coefficients $f_n^{(\mathfrak{g})}$ should depend on the vacuum expectation values a through the long and short roots of \mathfrak{g} in a way that is compatible with (2.28). This is a highly non-trivial requirement. However, as we will see later on, the explicit evaluation of the first few instanton corrections in the B_r and C_r theories reveals that the a -dependent part to the prepotential can be written in terms of the following two basic sums

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{n;m_1 m_2 \dots m_\ell} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_L} \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \dots \beta_\ell \in \Psi(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\alpha \cdot a)^n (\beta_1 \cdot a)^{m_1} (\beta_2 \cdot a)^{m_2} \dots (\beta_\ell \cdot a)^{m_\ell}}, \\
 S_{n;m_1 m_2 \dots m_\ell} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_S} \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \dots \beta_\ell \in \Psi^\vee(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\alpha \cdot a)^n (\beta_1^\vee \cdot a)^{m_1} (\beta_2^\vee \cdot a)^{m_2} \dots (\beta_\ell^\vee \cdot a)^{m_\ell}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.33}$$

where we have denoted by Ψ_L and Ψ_S , respectively, the sets of long and short roots of \mathfrak{g} , and defined for any root α

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi(\alpha) &= \{ \beta \in \Psi : \alpha^\vee \cdot \beta = 1 \}, \\
 \Psi^\vee(\alpha) &= \{ \beta \in \Psi : \alpha \cdot \beta^\vee = 1 \},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.34}$$

with α^\vee denoting the coroot of α (see appendix A for details). The sums (2.33) are a generalization for the non-simply laced groups of the sums $C_{n;m_1 \dots}$ introduced in [1] for the ADE series. With an abuse of language, we will often refer to $L_{n;m_1 \dots}$ and $S_{n;m_1 \dots}$ as the “long” and “short” sums, respectively, since the first factors in the denominators involve long and short roots. Using the properties of the root systems (see appendix A) it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(\mathfrak{g})} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}}} \right)^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} S_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}, \\
 S_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(\mathfrak{g})} &= (\sqrt{n_{\mathfrak{g}}})^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} L_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.35}$$

These are precisely the desired maps.

Showing that all this construction can be explicitly realized and proved will be the subject of the remainder of this paper.

3 Multi-instanton calculations for the B_r and C_r theories

In this section we discuss multi-instanton calculations in $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with the classical gauge algebras B_r and C_r , using the methods of equivariant localization [17–20]. This is necessary to obtain explicit expressions for the prepotential coefficients f_n , order by order in the instanton expansion, and verify that indeed they can be resummed into quasi-modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$ as anticipated in the previous section. Even if some multi-instanton calculations for orthogonal and symplectic theories with adjoint matter have already been considered in the literature (see for example [23, 24]), we present a brief discussion here in order to be as self-contained as possible, and also to fix some details and subtleties that have been overlooked, but which are important for the explicit calculations.

(ϕ, ψ)	$(-1)^{F_\phi}$	$\text{SO}(2r+1) \times \text{Sp}(2k)$	λ_ϕ
$(B_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})$	+	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_2$
$(B_{a\dot{a}}, M_{\dot{a}a})$	+	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_3, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_4$
$(N_{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, D_{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})})$	-	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\sqrt{\chi_{ij}}, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$
$(\bar{\chi}, N)$	+	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\sqrt{\chi_{ij}}$
$(N_{\alpha a}, D_{\alpha a})$	-	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4$
$(w_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_{\dot{\alpha}})$	+	(\square, \square)	$\chi_i - \varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}$
(h_a, μ_a)	-	(\square, \square)	$\chi_i - \varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}$

Table 2. Instanton moduli for the $\text{SO}(2r+1)$ gauge theory. The columns display, respectively, the moduli in a ADHM-like notation organized as supersymmetric pairs, their statistics, their transformation properties with respect to the gauge and instanton symmetry groups and finally Q^2 -eigenvalues λ_ϕ , where Q is the supersymmetry charge used in the localization approach. See also [30] where similar tables have been given for other brane systems.

We recall that the instanton moduli space for theories with orthogonal and symplectic gauge groups can be engineered using systems of N D3 branes and k D(-1) branes living on top on an orientifold O3 plane in Type IIB string theory [20]. In this set-up the instanton moduli are realised in terms of the lowest modes of open strings with at least an end-point on a D(-1) brane [25–29], whose spectrum can be obtained from that of the parent $U(N) \times U(k)$ theory after an orientifold projection.

3.1 Multi-instantons for the $B_r = \text{so}(2r+1)$ theories

The moduli space of the $\text{SO}(2r+1)$ gauge theory can be found from that of the $U(2r+1)$ theory by quotienting it with ΩI , where Ω is the parity operator that changes the open string orientation, and I is the operator that reflects those fields transforming as anti-chiral spinors, namely in the fundamental representation of the left-moving $SU(2)_L$ subgroup of the Lorentz group. As a result of this projection, keeping only the anti-symmetric combinations, the symmetry group of the D3/D(-1) brane system reduces to $\text{SO}(2r+1) \times \text{Sp}(2k)$, see for example [20]. The invariant components under ΩI are listed in table 2.

The most relevant information is contained in the last column of the above table: there the χ_i 's (with $i = 1, \dots, k$) are the unpaired bosonic moduli representing the D(-1) positions, φ_u 's (with $u = 1, \dots, r$) are the D3 positions and are related to the vacuum expectation values a_u ; the notation χ_{ij} stands for $\chi_i - \chi_j$, and finally $\epsilon_{1,2,3,4}$ are the deformation parameters of the $\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(4)$ symmetry. Collecting all eigenvalues λ_ϕ , one finds that the k -instanton partition function is given by

$$Z_k = \oint \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{d\chi_i}{2\pi i} z_k^{\text{gauge}} z_k^{\text{matter}} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$z_k^{\text{gauge}} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k!} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \right)^k \frac{\Delta(0) \Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)}{\Delta(\epsilon_1) \Delta(\epsilon_2)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{4\chi_i^2 (4\chi_i^2 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^2)}{P(\chi_i + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}) P(\chi_i - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2})},$$

$$z_k^{\text{matter}} = \left(\frac{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)}{\epsilon_3 \epsilon_4} \right)^k \frac{\Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)}{\Delta(\epsilon_3) \Delta(\epsilon_4)} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{P(\chi_i + \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}) P(\chi_i - \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2})}{(4\chi_i^2 - \epsilon_3^2)(4\chi_i^2 - \epsilon_4^2)},$$

with

$$P(x) = x \prod_{u=1}^r (x^2 - \varphi_u^2),$$

$$\Delta(x) = \prod_{i < j}^k ((\chi_i - \chi_j)^2 - x^2) ((\chi_i + \chi_j)^2 - x^2).$$
(3.2)

The integrals in (3.1) are computed by closing the contours in the upper-half complex χ_i -planes after giving the deformation parameters an imaginary part with the following prescription

$$\text{Im}(\epsilon_4) \gg \text{Im}(\epsilon_3) \gg \text{Im}(\epsilon_2) \gg \text{Im}(\epsilon_1) > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

This choice allows us to unambiguously compute all integrals in (3.1) and to obtain the instanton partition function

$$Z_{\text{inst}} = 1 + \sum_{k=1} q^k Z_k, \quad (3.4)$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. At the end of the computation, we have to set

$$\varphi_u = \sqrt{2} a_u, \quad \epsilon_3 = m - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}, \quad \epsilon_4 = -m - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} \quad (3.5)$$

in order to express the result in terms of the vacuum expectation values a_u and the adjoint hypermultiplet mass m in the normalization used in the previous section. Finally, the instanton prepotential of the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory is given by

$$F_{\text{inst}} = \lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \left(-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \log Z \right) = \sum_{k=1} q^k F_k. \quad (3.6)$$

1-instanton. At $k = 1$ there is just one integral to compute and one can easily see that the poles of the integrand in (3.1) are located at

$$\chi_1 = \left\{ \pm \varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}, \frac{\epsilon_3}{2}, \frac{\epsilon_4}{2} \right\} \quad \text{for } u = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore the 1-instanton prepotential $F_{k=1}$ can be written as

$$F_{k=1} = \lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \left(-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 Z_1 \right)$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{u=1}^r f_{+\varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}} + \sum_{u=1}^r f_{-\varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}} + f_{\frac{\epsilon_3}{2}} + f_{\frac{\epsilon_4}{2}} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\pm\varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3}{2}} &= -(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4) \frac{(\pm 2\varphi_u + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(\pm\varphi_u - \epsilon_3)(\pm\varphi_u - \epsilon_4)}{(\pm\varphi_u + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3)(\pm 2\varphi_u + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_4)\varphi_u} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{v \neq u} \frac{((\pm\varphi_u - \epsilon_3)^2 - \varphi_v^2)((\pm\varphi_u - \epsilon_4)^2 - \varphi_v^2)}{(\varphi_u^2 - \varphi_v^2)((\pm\varphi_u + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^2 - \varphi_v^2)}, \\
 f_{\frac{\epsilon_3}{2}} &= -\frac{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)(2\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)}{(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)} \prod_{u=1}^r \frac{\varphi_u^2 - (2\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)^2}{\varphi_u^2(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2}, \\
 f_{\frac{\epsilon_4}{2}} &= -\frac{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)(2\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_3)}{8(\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)} \prod_{u=1}^r \frac{(2\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_3)^2 - a_u^2}{(\epsilon_4 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2 - \varphi_u^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Inserting these expressions in (3.8) and using (3.5), for the first few algebras of the B_r series we obtain

$$F_{k=1}^{(B_1)} = -\frac{5m^2}{8}, \tag{3.10a}$$

$$F_{k=1}^{(B_2)} = -\frac{13m^2}{8} + \frac{2m^4(a_1^2 + a_2^2)}{(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2} - \frac{2m^6}{(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2}, \tag{3.10b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k=1}^{(B_3)} &= -\frac{21m^2}{8} + \frac{2m^4(a_1^4 - a_2^2 a_1^2 - a_3^2 a_1^2 + a_2^4 + a_3^4 - a_2^2 a_3^2)}{(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2 (a_1^2 - a_3^2)^2 (a_2^2 - a_3^2)^2} \\
 &\quad \times (a_2^2 a_1^4 + a_3^2 a_1^4 + a_2^4 a_1^2 + a_3^4 a_1^2 - 6a_2^2 a_3^2 a_1^2 + a_2^2 a_3^4 + a_2^4 a_3^2) + \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10c}$$

where the ellipses stand for terms with higher powers of the mass whose explicit expressions can be obtained from (3.8) in a straightforward way but rapidly become quite cumbersome. We have checked (up to B_5) that our 1-instanton results exactly match those derived long ago in [31] using very different methods.

Up to two instantons. At $k = 2$ one has to compute two integrals to obtain the instanton partition function and hence the prepotential $F_{k=2}$. The procedure we have outlined above is straightforward to implement, and with the prescription (3.3) no ambiguity arises. For B_1 and B_2 we obtain the following 2-instanton contributions

$$F_{k=2}^{(B_1)} = -\frac{23m^2}{16} + \frac{m^4}{a_1^2}, \tag{3.11a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k=2}^{(B_2)} &= -\frac{47m^2}{16} + \frac{m^4(a_1^6 + 5a_1^4 a_2^2 + 5a_1^2 a_2^4 + a_2^6)}{a_1^2 a_2^2 (a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2} \\
 &\quad - \frac{2m^6(a_1^8 + 5a_1^6 a_2^2 + 12a_1^4 a_2^4 + 5a_1^2 a_2^6 + a_2^8)}{a_1^2 a_2^2 (a_1^2 - a_2^2)^4} + \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11b}$$

where again the ellipses stand for higher order mass terms. We refrain from writing the explicit expressions of $F_{k=2}$ for other orthogonal algebras since they are quite involved. However, if we use the “long” and “short” sums defined in (2.33), it is possible to write all

(ϕ, ψ)	$(-)^{F_\phi}$	$\text{Sp}(2r) \times \text{SO}(k)$	λ_ϕ
$(B_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})$	+	$(\mathbf{1}, \square\square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_2$
$(B_{a\dot{a}}, M_{\dot{a}a})$	+	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_3, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_4$
$(N_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, D_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$	-	$(\mathbf{1}, \square)$	$\chi_{ij}, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$
$(N_{\alpha a}, D_{\alpha a})$	-	$(\mathbf{1}, \square\square)$	$\chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3, \chi_{ij} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4$
$(w_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mu_{\dot{\alpha}})$	+	(\square, \square)	$\chi_i - \varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}$
(h_a, μ_a)	-	(\square, \square)	$\chi_i - \varphi_u + \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}$

Table 3. Instanton moduli for the $\mathcal{N} = 2^* \text{Sp}(2r)$ gauge theory. As before, the columns display, respectively, the moduli in a ADHM-like notation organized as supersymmetric pairs, their statistics, their transformation properties with respect to the gauge and instanton symmetry groups and finally Q^2 -eigenvalues λ_ϕ , where Q is the supersymmetry charge used in the localization approach.

the $k = 1, 2$ results in a very compact and simple way. Indeed, we have⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k=1}^{(B_r)} &= m^4 L_2 + \frac{m^6}{2} L_{2;11} + \frac{m^8}{24} L_{2;1111} + \dots, \\
 F_{k=2}^{(B_r)} &= m^4 (3L_2 + S_2) - m^6 \left(6L_4 - 3L_{2;11} + \frac{1}{2} S_{2;11} \right) \\
 &\quad + m^8 \left(\frac{5}{2} L_6 + 6L_{4;2} + \frac{1}{2} L_{3;3} + \frac{1}{2} L_{2;1111} + \frac{1}{16} S_{3;3} + \frac{1}{24} S_{2;1111} \right) + \dots.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.12}$$

These formulas, which we have explicitly verified up to B_5 , clearly show the advantage of organize the multi-instanton results in terms of the “long” and “short” sums that fully exploit the algebraic properties of the root system of the gauge algebra.

3.2 Multi-instantons for the $C_r = \text{sp}(2r)$ theories

The above analysis can be easily extended to the symplectic series C_r . The instanton moduli space of the $\mathcal{N} = 2^* \text{Sp}(2r)$ gauge theory is found from that of $\text{U}(2r)$ after quotienting by ΩI . As a result of this projection, keeping only the symmetric combinations, the symmetry of the D3/D(-1) brane system reduces to $\text{Sp}(2r) \times \text{SO}(k)$ where $k = 2K + \nu$ with $\nu = 0$ or 1 for k even or odd respectively [20]. The invariant components under ΩI are listed in table 3. Collecting the eigenvalues λ_ϕ from the last column of the above table, one finds that the instanton partition function is now given by

$$Z_k = \oint \prod_{i=1}^K \frac{d\chi_i}{2\pi i} z_k^{\text{gauge}} z_k^{\text{matter}}
 \tag{3.13}$$

⁴We neglect again all a -independent terms, see footnote 2.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_k^{\text{gauge}} &= \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+\nu}} \frac{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^k}{k! (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)^{k+\nu}} \frac{\Delta(0) \Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)}{\Delta(\epsilon_1) \Delta(\epsilon_2)} \frac{1}{P\left(\frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}\right)^\nu} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^K \frac{1}{P\left(\chi_i + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}\right) P\left(\chi_i - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}\right) (4\chi_i^2 - \epsilon_1^2) (4\chi_i^2 - \epsilon_2^2)} \\
 z_k^{\text{matter}} &= \frac{\left((\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)\right)^{k+\nu}}{(\epsilon_3 \epsilon_4)^k} \frac{\Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3) \Delta(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)}{\Delta(\epsilon_3) \Delta(\epsilon_4)} P\left(\frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}\right)^\nu \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^K P\left(\chi_i + \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}\right) P\left(\chi_i - \frac{\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4}{2}\right) (4\chi_i^2 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)^2) (4\chi_i^2 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4)^2) .
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x) &= \prod_{u=1}^r (x^2 - \varphi_u^2) , \\
 \Delta(x) &= \prod_{i < j}^K (x^2 - (\chi_i - \chi_j)^2) (x^2 - (\chi_i + \chi_j)^2) \prod_{i=1}^K (x^2 - \chi_i^2)^\nu .
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The integrals in (3.13) are computed again by closing the contours in the upper-half complex χ_i -planes with the prescription (3.3). At the end of the computation we should make the substitutions

$$\varphi_u = a_u , \quad \epsilon_3 = m - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} , \quad \epsilon_4 = -m - \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} \tag{3.15}$$

in order to write the result in terms of the physical parameters of the gauge theory in the normalizations of section 2. From the partition function we can derive the instanton contributions of the prepotential along the same lines discussed for the orthogonal groups.

1-instanton. For $k = 1$, i.e. $K = 0$ and $\nu = 1$, there is no integral to be done. The prepotential following from (3.13) in this case is simply

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k=1} &= \lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} (-\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 Z_1) \\
 &= \lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_4) \prod_{u=1}^r \frac{4\varphi_u^2 - (\epsilon_3 - \epsilon_4)^2}{4\varphi_u^2 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^2} \right] .
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

In particular for the first few symplectic algebras, using (3.15) we find

$$F_{k=1}^{(C_1)} = -\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m^4}{2a_1^2} , \tag{3.17a}$$

$$F_{k=1}^{(C_2)} = -\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m^4(a_1^2 + a_2^2)}{2a_1^2 a_2^2} - \frac{m^6}{2a_1^2 a_2^2} , \tag{3.17b}$$

$$F_{k=1}^{(C_3)} = -\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{m^4(a_1^2 a_2^2 + a_3^2 a_2^2 + a_1^2 a_3^2)}{2a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2} - \frac{m^6(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)}{2a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2} + \frac{m^8}{2a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2} . \tag{3.17c}$$

We have checked (up to C_5) that our 1-instanton results exactly match those derived in [31] using very different methods.

Up to four instantons. For the symplectic algebras one can push the calculation of the partition function and the prepotential to higher instanton numbers with relatively little effort. Indeed, for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ one has to compute just one integral, while there are only two integrals to compute for $k = 4$ and $k = 5$. As an example, we now write the first multi-instanton terms of the prepotential for the $\text{Sp}(4)$ theory that we have obtained with these methods:

$$F_{k=2}^{(C_2)} = -\frac{19m^2}{8} + \frac{m^4(3a_1^6 + 5a_1^4a_2^2 + 5a_1^2a_2^4 + 3a_2^6)}{2a_1^2a_2^2(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2} - \frac{m^6(3a_1^8 + 6a_1^6a_2^2 + 14a_1^4a_2^4 + 6a_1^2a_2^6 + 3a_2^8)}{4a_1^4a_2^4(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2} + \dots \quad (3.18a)$$

$$F_{k=3}^{(C_2)} = -\frac{2m^2}{3} + \frac{2m^4(a_1^2 + a_2^2)}{a_1^2a_2^2} - \frac{2m^6(2a_1^4 + 3a_1^2a_2^2 + 2a_2^4)}{a_1^4a_2^4} + \dots \quad (3.18b)$$

$$F_{k=4}^{(C_2)} = -\frac{53m^2}{16} + \frac{m^4(7a_1^6 + 17a_1^4a_2^2 + 17a_1^2a_2^4 + 7a_2^6)}{2a_1^2a_2^2(a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2} - \frac{m^6(45a_1^{12} - 124a_1^{10}a_2^2 + 379a_1^8a_2^4 + 168a_1^6a_2^6 + 379a_1^4a_2^8 - 124a_1^2a_2^{10} + 45a_2^{12})}{4a_1^4a_2^4(a_1 - a_2)^4(a_1 + a_2)^4} + \dots \quad (3.18c)$$

where the ellipses stand for terms with higher powers of m . Clearly the explicit formulas become more and more involved for symplectic groups of higher rank, and quickly cease to be useful. However, if we use the “long” and “short” sums (2.33), we can write quite compact expressions which are valid for all C_r 's. Indeed, neglecting again the a -independent terms, we find

$$F_{k=1}^{(C_r)} = m^4 L_2 + \frac{m^6}{2} L_{2;11} + \frac{m^8}{24} L_{2;1111} + \dots, \quad (3.19a)$$

$$F_{k=2}^{(C_r)} = m^4(3L_2 + S_2) - m^6\left(6L_4 - 3L_{2;11} - \frac{1}{2}S_{2;11}\right) + m^8\left(\frac{5}{2}L_6 + 6L_{4;2} + L_{3;3} + \frac{1}{2}L_{2;1111} + \frac{1}{24}S_{2;1111}\right) + \dots, \quad (3.19b)$$

$$F_{k=3}^{(C_r)} = 4m^4 L_2 - m^6(32L_4 - 6L_{2;11}) + m^8\left(80L_6 + 48L_{4;2} + 8L_{3;3} + \frac{3}{2}L_{2;1111}\right) + \dots, \quad (3.19c)$$

$$F_{k=4}^{(C_r)} = m^4(7L_2 + 3S_2) - m^6(90L_4 - 14L_{2;11} + 6S_4 - 3S_{2;11}) + m^8\left(\frac{1095}{2}L_6 + 180L_{4;2} + 34L_{3;3} + \frac{14}{3}L_{2;1111} + \frac{5}{2}S_6 + 6S_{4;2} + \frac{1}{2}S_{3;3} + \frac{1}{2}S_{2;1111}\right) + \dots \quad (3.19d)$$

where the ellipsis stand for higher mass terms. By inspecting these formulas, one realizes that the odd instanton contributions are simpler than the even instanton ones since the latter involve both the “long” sums $L_{n;m_1\dots}$ and the “short” sums $S_{n;m_1\dots}$. This observation will turn out to be useful in the following.

4 Prepotential and recursion relations for the B_r and C_r theories

In this section we show that the instanton corrections to the prepotential can be resummed into (quasi-)modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$ along the lines discussed in section 2. To do so we write the quantum prepotential f as an expansion in the hypermultiplet mass m , namely

$$f = f^{1\text{-loop}} + f^{\text{inst}} = \sum_{n=1} f_n, \tag{4.1}$$

with $f_n \sim m^{2n}$. The perturbative 1-loop term can be compactly written as (see for example [32])

$$f^{1\text{-loop}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \left[-(\alpha \cdot a)^2 \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2 + (\alpha \cdot a + m)^2 \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a + m}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right], \tag{4.2}$$

where α is an element of the root system Ψ of the gauge algebra. Expanding $f^{1\text{-loop}}$ for small values of m , all odd powers cancel upon summing over positive and negative roots and in the end, neglecting all a -independent terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned} f^{1\text{-loop}} &= \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2n}}{4n(n-1)(2n-1)} (L_{2n-2} + S_{2n-2}) \\ &= \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2 - \frac{m^4}{24} (L_2 + S_2) - \frac{m^6}{120} (L_4 + S_4) - \frac{m^8}{336} (L_6 + S_6) - \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The instanton part of the prepotential can be determined from the recursion relation (2.32) which for the B_r and C_r series reads

$$\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial E_2} = -\frac{1}{48} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{n-\ell}}{\partial a} \tag{4.4}$$

since $n_g = 2$ in these cases. The starting point of the recursion is f_1 which, as we have seen in the previous section, just receives a contribution at 1-loop:

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Starting from this, we will recursively determine the *exact* q -dependence of the prepotential order by order in m^2 . It is important to realize that the recursion relation (4.4) only fixes the E_2 -dependence of f_n at a given order. The E_2 -independent contributions will be determined instead by comparing with the perturbative expansion (4.3) and the microscopic multi-instanton computations described in the previous section.

4.1 The C_r theories

We begin our analysis from the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ C_r theories for which explicit multi-instanton calculations can be performed with relatively little effort up to high values of k as we have seen in section 3.2. The results up to 4-instantons are given in (3.19). Collecting the various

Weight	Modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$
2	H_2
4	H_2^2, E_4
6	H_2^3, E_6
8	$H_2^4, E_4 H_2^2, E_4^2$
10	$H_2^5, E_4^2 H_2, E_4 E_6$
12	$H_2^6, E_4 H_2^4, E_4^3, E_6^2$

Table 4. A basis of modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$ up to weight 12. The number n_w of modular forms of weight w can be obtained by expanding the generating function $\frac{1+x^2+x^4}{(1-x^4)(1-x^6)} = \sum_w n_w x^w = 1 + x^2 + 2x^4 + 2x^6 + 3x^8 + 3x^{10} + 4x^{12} + \dots$.

powers of m^{2n} and adding the 1-loop contribution (4.3), we can rewrite the prepotential coefficients in the following suggestive form

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24} (1 - 24q - 72q^2 - 96q^3 - 168q^4 + \dots) L_2 - \frac{m^4}{24} (1 - 24q^2 - 72q^4 + \dots) S_2, \tag{4.6b}$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{m^6}{120} (1 + 720q^2 + 3840q^3 + 10800q^4 + \dots) L_4 + \frac{m^6}{2} (q + 6q^2 + 12q^3 + 28q^4 + \dots) L_{2;11} - \frac{m^6}{120} (1 + 720q^4 + \dots) S_4 + \frac{m^6}{2} (q^2 + 6q^4 + \dots) S_{2;11}, \tag{4.6c}$$

$$f_4 = -\frac{m^8}{336} (1 - 720q^2 - 26880q^3 - 183960q^4 + \dots) L_6 + m^8 (6q^2 + 48q^3 + 180q^4 + \dots) L_{4;2} + m^8 (q^2 + 8q^3 + 34q^4 + \dots) L_{3;3} + \frac{m^8}{24} (q + 12q^2 + 36q^3 + 112q^4 + \dots) L_{2;1111} - \frac{m^8}{336} (1 - 840q^4 + \dots) S_6 + m^8 (6q^4 + \dots) S_{4;2} + \frac{m^8}{2} (q^4 + \dots) S_{3;3} + \frac{m^8}{24} (q^2 + 12q^4 + \dots) S_{2;1111}. \tag{4.6d}$$

As discussed in section 2, S-duality requires that the q -dependent functions in front of the various sums organize into quasi-modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$ which is the modular group for the C_r theories. In table 4 we display a basis for such modular forms up to weight 12. There E_4 and E_6 are the usual Eisenstein series (see also appendix B), while H_2 is the modular form of weight 2 defined in (2.21). Combining them with the second Eisenstein series E_2 , one

finds two quasi-modular forms of weight 2, namely $\{H_2, E_2\}$, four quasi-modular forms of weight 4, namely $\{H_2^2, E_4, E_2^2, E_2 H_2\}$, and so on. In principle one can use the q -expansion of these forms and fit the 1-loop and instanton results (3.19) for the first few n 's. For instance, using

$$E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24q - 72q^2 - 96q^3 - 168q^4 + \dots, \quad (4.7)$$

and comparing with (4.6b), one finds

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2(\tau) L_2 - \frac{m^4}{24} E_2(2\tau) S_2. \quad (4.8)$$

Similarly, from (4.6c), (4.7) and

$$E_4(\tau) = 1 - 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots, \quad (4.9)$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 = & -\frac{m^6}{720} (5E_2^2(\tau) + E_4(\tau)) L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576} (E_2^2(\tau) - E_4(\tau)) L_{2;11} \\ & - \frac{m^6}{720} (5E_2^2(2\tau) + E_4(2\tau)) S_4 - \frac{m^6}{576} (E_2^2(2\tau) - E_4(2\tau)) S_{2;11}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

This method can be used to find the higher prepotential coefficients f_n even if, when n increases, the number of quasi-modular forms that become available increases as well, thus requiring more and more microscopic multi-instanton data to fix all coefficients. It is therefore much more efficient to exploit the modular anomaly equation (4.4). For f_2 we have

$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E_2} = -\frac{1}{48} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} = -\frac{m^4}{96} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Psi} \frac{(\alpha \cdot \beta)}{(\alpha \cdot a)(\beta \cdot a)} = -\frac{m^4}{48} (2L_2 + S_2) \quad (4.11)$$

where in the last step we used the fact that only the terms with $\alpha = \pm\beta$ contribute to the sum since $L_{1;1} = S_{1;1} = 0$.⁵ Integrating over E_2 , we find

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2(\tau) L_2 - \frac{m^4}{48} (E_2(\tau) + H_2(\tau)) S_2 \quad (4.12)$$

where the E_2 -independent term has been added in order to match the 1-loop and the 1-instanton contributions in (4.6b). Notice that only the 1-instanton result is used for this; thus the perfect matching of the higher order coefficients in the q -expansion of (4.12) with the explicit multi-instanton results (4.6b) has to be regarded as a very strong and highly non-trivial consistency check. Furthermore, using the duplication formulas of the Eisenstein series given in (B.10), one can easily check that the two expressions for f_2 given in (4.10) and (4.12) coincide. Proceeding in a similar way for the m^6 terms, we get⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial E_2} = & -\frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial a} \\ = & -\frac{m^6}{1152} E_2 (16L_4 + 4L_{2;11} + 4S_4 + S_{2;11}) - \frac{m^6}{1152} H_2 (4S_4 + S_{2;11}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

⁵The identities for the “long” and “short” sums can be proven with methods similar to those discussed in appendix D of [1].

⁶Here, we have simplified the notation by writing E_2 and H_2 in place of $E_2(\tau)$ and $H_2(\tau)$.

Integrating over E_2 and matching the perturbative and the first instanton terms against (4.6c) leads to

$$f_3 = -\frac{m^6}{720}(5E_2^2 + E_4)L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576}(E_2^2 - E_4)L_{2;11} \quad (4.14)$$

$$-\frac{m^6}{2880}(5E_2^2 + 10E_2H_2 + 10H_2^2 - E_4)S_4 - \frac{m^6}{2304}(E_2^2 + 2E_2H_2 - 4H_2^2 + E_4)S_{2;11}$$

Using the duplication formula (B.10), one can show that (4.10) and (4.14) are the same. We stress again that this result is *exact* in τ and that by expanding it in powers of q one can obtain the contributions at *any* instanton number and check that they perfectly agree with those computed with the multi-instanton calculus.

Using the recursion relation we have determined also f_4 . We now collect our results on the prepotential coefficients for the C_r theories:

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2, \quad (4.15a)$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24}E_2L_2 - \frac{m^4}{48}(E_2 + H_2)S_2, \quad (4.15b)$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{m^6}{720}(5E_2^2 + E_4)L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576}(E_2^2 - E_4)L_{2;11} \quad (4.15c)$$

$$-\frac{m^6}{2880}(5E_2^2 + 10E_2H_2 + 10H_2^2 - E_4)S_4 - \frac{m^6}{2304}(E_2^2 + 2E_2H_2 - 4H_2^2 + E_4)S_{2;11},$$

$$f_4 = -\frac{m^8}{90720}(175E_2^3 + 84E_2E_4 + 11E_6)L_6 + \frac{m^8}{8640}(5E_2^3 - 3E_2E_4 - 2E_6)\left(L_{4;2} + \frac{1}{6}L_{3;3}\right)$$

$$-\frac{m^8}{41472}(E_2^3 - 3E_2E_4 + 2E_6)L_{2;1111}$$

$$-\frac{m^8}{725760}(175E_2^3 + 525E_2^2H_2 + 945E_2H_2^2 - 84E_2E_4 + 39E_6 + 560H_2^3)S_6$$

$$+\frac{m^8}{69120}(5E_2^3 + 15E_2^2H_2 + 3E_2E_4 - 3E_6 - 20H_2^3)\left(S_{4;2} + \frac{1}{12}S_{3;3} + \frac{2}{3}L_{3;3}\right)$$

$$-\frac{m^8}{331776}(E_2^3 + 3E_2^2H_2 - 12E_2H_2^2 + 3E_2E_4 + E_6 + 4H_2^3)S_{2;1111}. \quad (4.15d)$$

It is interesting to observe that the combinations of Eisenstein series appearing in f_2 , f_3 and in the first two lines of f_4 in front of the “long” sums are exactly the same that appear also in the prepotential coefficients f_2 , f_3 and f_4 of the ADE theories studied in [1]. Moreover, using the duplication formulas (B.10), one can show that the combinations of Eisenstein series of the last three lines of f_4 in (4.15d) become identical to the ones of the first two lines, but evaluated in 2τ instead of τ , similarly to what happens in f_2 and f_3 .

Finally we observe that for $r = 1$, *i.e.* for $C_1 = sp(2)$, there are only two long roots, ± 2 , and thus only the sums of the type L_n are non zero. In this case the quantum prepotential f drastically simplifies and reduces to

$$f^{(C_1)} = m^2 \log \left(\frac{2a}{\Lambda} \right) - \frac{m^4}{48a^2}E_2 - \frac{m^6}{5760a^4}(5E_2^2 + E_4)$$

$$-\frac{m^8}{2903040a^6}(175E_2^3 + 84E_2E_4 + 11E_6) + \dots \quad (4.16)$$

This exactly coincides with the prepotential of the $SU(2)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory [1], as it should be since $Sp(2) \simeq SU(2)$.

1-instanton. Given the previous results, it is possible to write a very compact expression for the 1-instanton contribution to the prepotential. Indeed, the only terms which have a 1-instanton part are those proportional to $L_{2;11\dots}$ (as is clear also from (3.19a)), and one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k=1} &= m^4 \sum_{\ell=0} \frac{m^{2\ell}}{\ell!} L_{2;11\dots1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_L} \frac{m^4}{(\alpha \cdot a)^2} \sum_{\ell=0} \frac{m^{2\ell}}{\ell!} \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \dots \neq \beta_\ell \in \Psi(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\beta_1 \cdot a) \cdots (\beta_\ell \cdot a)} \\
 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_L} \frac{m^4}{(\alpha \cdot a)^2} \prod_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha)} \left(1 + \frac{m}{\beta \cdot a} \right). \tag{4.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the intermediate step follows from the definition (2.33) of the “long” sums $L_{2;11\dots}$. The number of factors in the product above is given by the dimension of $\Psi(\alpha)$ which, when α is a long root of C_r , is $2r - 2$ (see appendix A). Thus, in (4.17) the highest power of the mass is m^{2r+2} . This is precisely the only term which survives in the decoupling limit

$$q \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ with } m^{2r+2}q = \widehat{\Lambda}^{2r+2} \text{ fixed,} \tag{4.18}$$

when the $N = 2^*$ theory reduces to the pure $N = 2$ SYM theory.⁷ In this case the 1-instanton prepotential is

$$q F_{k=1} \Big|_{\mathcal{N}=2} = \widehat{\Lambda}^{2r+2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_L} \frac{1}{(\alpha \cdot a)^2} \prod_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\beta \cdot a}. \tag{4.19}$$

This expression perfectly coincides with the known results present in the literature (see for example [23, 24, 31, 33] and in particular [34]), while (4.17) is the generalization thereof to the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ symplectic theories.

4.2 The B_r theories

The $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with non-simply laced orthogonal gauge groups can be treated exactly as described above. Indeed, the modular group is again $\Gamma_0(2)$, and the recursive relation, the 1-loop and the 1-instanton microscopic data have exactly the same form as in the C_r theories and differ only in the explicit expression for the roots. Thus, the results for the B_r models become very similar to those of the symplectic ones with the only differences arising from the different relations among the root sums. Skipping the intermediate steps, the prepotential for the $so(2r + 1)$ theory turns out to be

$$F_{so(2r+1)} = 2\pi i \tau a^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \tag{4.20}$$

⁷Note that the exponent $(2r + 2)$ is the 1-loop β -function coefficient for the pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM theory with gauge group $Sp(2r)$.

where the first few f_n 's are

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2, \quad (4.21a)$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2 L_2 - \frac{m^4}{48} (E_2 + H_2) S_2, \quad (4.21b)$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{m^6}{720} (5E_2^2 + E_4) L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576} (E_2^2 - E_4) L_{2;11} \quad (4.21c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{m^6}{2880} (5E_2^2 + 10E_2 H_2 + 10H_2^2 - E_4) S_4 - \frac{m^6}{2304} (E_2^2 + 2E_2 H_2 - 4H_2^2 + E_4) S_{2;11}, \\ f_4 = & -\frac{m^8}{90720} (175E_2^3 + 84E_2 E_4 + 11E_6) L_6 \\ & + \frac{m^8}{8640} (5E_2^3 - 3E_2 E_4 - 2E_6) \left(L_{4;2} + \frac{1}{12} L_{3;3} + \frac{1}{96} S_{3;3} \right) \\ & - \frac{m^8}{41472} (E_2^3 - 3E_2 E_4 + 2E_6) L_{2;1111} \\ & - \frac{m^8}{725760} (175E_2^3 + 525E_2^2 H_2 + 945E_2 H_2^2 - 84E_2 E_4 + 39E_6 + 560H_2^3) S_6 \\ & + \frac{m^8}{69120} (5E_2^3 + 15E_2^2 H_2 + 3E_2 E_4 - 3E_6 - 20H_2^3) \left(S_{4;2} + \frac{1}{6} S_{3;3} \right) \\ & - \frac{m^8}{331776} (E_2^3 + 3E_2^2 H_2 - 12E_2 H_2^2 + 3E_2 E_4 + E_6 + 4H_2^3) S_{2;1111}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21d)$$

By expanding the above expressions in powers of q , one can obtain the multi-instanton contributions to the prepotential. At the 1-instanton level one finds exactly the same expression (3.19a) or (4.17), simply with the roots of C_r replaced by those of B_r . At the 2-instanton level one finds complete agreement with the expressions obtained in section 3.1. For higher k the above formulas can be used to efficiently determine the higher instanton contributions to the prepotential, which instead are technically difficult to compute with the localization methods. Notice that the expressions in (4.21) are similar but not identical to those of (4.15) since there are a few differences in f_4 .

For B_1 , *i.e.* for $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, there are just two short roots, $\pm\sqrt{2}$, so that only the sums of the type S_n are non-vanishing. In this case the quantum prepotential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(B_1)} = & m^2 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\Lambda} \right) - \frac{m^4}{48 a^2} (E_2 + H_2) - \frac{m^6}{5760 a^4} (5E_2^2 + 10E_2 H_2 + 10H_2^2 - E_4) \\ & - \frac{m^8}{2903040 a^8} (175E_2^3 + 525E_2^2 H_2 + 945E_2 H_2^2 - 84E_2 E_4 + 39E_6 + 560H_2^3) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

which, after using the duplication formulas (B.10), takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(B_1)} = & m^2 \log \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\Lambda} \right) - \frac{m^4}{24 a^2} E_2(2\tau) - \frac{m^6}{1440 a^4} (5E_2^2(2\tau) + E_4(2\tau)) \\ & - \frac{m^8}{362880 a^8} (175E_2^3(2\tau) + 84E_2(2\tau)E_4(2\tau) + 11E_6(2\tau)) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The fact that only modular forms evaluated at 2τ appear means that $f^{(B_1)}$ admits an expansion in powers of q^2 ; in other words only the even instantons contribute. The prepotential (4.22) perfectly matches that of the $SU(2)$ theory (see also (4.16)) provided

$$a \rightarrow \sqrt{2}a \quad \text{and} \quad q_{SO(3)}^2 = q_{SU(2)} . \tag{4.23}$$

The above identification of the coupling constants, which practically amounts to replace 2τ with τ in (4.22), is consistent with the fact that $SU(2)$ is the double cover of $SO(3)$, *i.e.* $SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq SO(3)$.

4.3 Relations between the B_r and C_r theories

The similarity of the results (4.21) and (4.15) for the prepotential of the B_r and C_r theories is not surprising since, as discussed in section 2, they are related by a strong/weak-coupling S-duality. Here we check explicitly this relation exploiting the properties of the quasi-modular forms and of the “long” and “short” sums.

The first observation is that H_2, E_2, E_4 and E_6 have simple properties under

$$\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2\tau} ; \tag{4.24}$$

indeed, one can check (see appendix B) that⁸

$$\frac{1}{2\tau^2} H_2 \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} \right) = -H_2 , \tag{4.25a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\tau^2} E_2 \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} \right) = E_2 + H_2 + \frac{6}{\pi i \tau} , \tag{4.25b}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\tau^4} E_4 \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} \right) = -E_4 + 5H_2^2 , \tag{4.25c}$$

$$\frac{1}{8\tau^6} E_6 \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau} \right) = E_6 + 7H_2^3 . \tag{4.25d}$$

We recall again that the transformation (4.24) does not belong to the modular group $\Gamma_0(2)$ but it is a generator of the S-duality group, which is a discrete subgroup of $Sl(2, \mathbb{R})$.

The second observation is that the root systems of B_r and C_r can be mapped into each other by exchanging (and suitably rescaling) long and short roots. As a consequence of this fact, the “long” and “short” sums in the two theories are related in the following way (see (2.35)):

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(B_r)} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} S_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(C_r)} , \\ S_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(B_r)} &= (\sqrt{2})^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} L_{n;m_1 \dots m_\ell}^{(C_r)} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Combining (4.25) and (4.26) with the expressions of the prepotential coefficients in the orthogonal and symplectic theories, we can check how they are non-perturbatively related with each other. In order to display these relations in a transparent way, we explicitly indicate the dependence on the coupling constant τ , on the vacuum expectation

⁸To simplify the notation, here and in the following, when we write H_2, E_2, E_4 and E_6 , we mean that these are evaluated at τ .

values a (through the root lattice sums) and on the quasi-modular form E_2 by writing $f_n^{(B_r)}(\tau, a, E_2(\tau))$ and $f_n^{(C_r)}(\tau, a, E_2(\tau))$. Then, from (4.21b) and (4.15b), it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_2^{(B_r)}\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}, \sqrt{2}\tau a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right)\right) &= \frac{1}{2\tau^2}\left[-\frac{m^4}{24}E_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right)L_2^{(B_r)} - \frac{m^4}{48}\left(E_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right) + H_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right)\right)S_2^{(B_r)}\right] \\
 &= -\frac{m^4}{48}(E_2 + H_2 + \delta)S_2^{(C_r)} - \frac{m^4}{24}(E_2 + \delta)L_2^{(C_r)} \\
 &= f_2^{(C_r)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $\delta = \frac{6}{\pi i \tau}$ as before. More generally one can prove that

$$f_n^{(B_r)}\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}, \sqrt{2}\tau a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right)\right) = f_n^{(C_r)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta) . \tag{4.28}$$

This is precisely the type of duality relation discussed in section 2 (see in particular (2.25)). Using it together with the recurrence relations, we can therefore verify that

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(B_r)}] = \mathcal{L}[F^{(C_r)}] \tag{4.29}$$

as we anticipated. Of course, also the reverse relation

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(C_r)}] = \mathcal{L}[F^{(B_r)}] \tag{4.30}$$

is true. These relations, which are an extension of the ones described in [1] for the ADE theories provide a highly non-trivial test of the S-duality.

5 Prepotential and recursion relations for the G_2 and F_4 theories

In this section we consider $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with G_2 and F_4 gauge algebras. Differently from the classical algebras B_r and C_r , the ADHM construction of the instanton moduli space is not known for the exceptional algebras and thus in these cases one cannot rely on the localization techniques to obtain explicit multi-instanton results. Nevertheless remarkable progress can be made using the methods described in the previous section. Let us start our discussion from the G_2 theory.

5.1 The G_2 theory

The prepotential for the G_2 theory can be written as

$$F = 3\pi i \tau a^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n \tag{5.1}$$

with the first term describing the classical part and f_n the mass expansion coefficients of the quantum prepotential. Now the modular group is $\Gamma_0(3)$ [11–13] and we assume as

Weight	Modular forms of $\Gamma_0(3)$
2	K_2
4	K_2^2, E_4
6	$K_2^3, E_4 K_2, E_6$
8	$K_2^4, E_4 K_2^2, E_4^2$
10	$K_2^5, E_4^2 K_2, E_4 K_2^3, E_4 E_6$
12	$K_2^6, E_4^2 K_2^2, E_4 K_2^4, E_4^3, E_6^2$

Table 5. A basis of modular forms for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3)$ of the modular group up to weight 12. The number n_w of modular forms of weight w can be obtained by expanding the generating function $\frac{1+x^2+x^4+x^6}{(1-x^4)(1-x^6)} = \sum_w n_w x^w = 1 + x^2 + 2x^4 + 3x^6 + 3x^8 + 4x^{10} + 5x^{12} + \dots$.

before that f_n are quasi-modular forms of this group. For $\Gamma_0(3)$ the ring of quasi modular forms is generated by

$$\{E_2, K_2, E_4, E_6\} \tag{5.2}$$

where K_2 , the modular form of weight 2 defined in (2.21). By building monomials of these basic elements one can construct a basis for the modular forms of $\Gamma_0(3)$ with higher weights, as indicated in table 5 up to weight 12. Comparing with the table of the modular forms of $\Gamma_0(2)$, we see many similarities but also some differences. For example at weight 6 we now have three independent modular forms instead of two.

Finally, there is another well-known feature of G_2 [9] that will play a crucial rôle in the following, namely the fact that by exchanging (and suitably rescaling) long and short roots we obtain an equivalent root system with the two axes interchanged. Thus, under this operation the G_2 theory is mapped into another theory with the same symmetry but with the two vacuum expectation values a_1 and a_2 interchanged. We call this “dual” algebra G'_2 .

The prepotential for the G_2 theory will be derived again from the recursion relation and the E_2 -independent terms will be determined by the perturbative 1-loop prepotential $f^{1\text{-loop}}$ and the one-instanton result

$$F_{k=1}^{(G_2)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi_L} \frac{m^4}{(\alpha \cdot a)^2} \prod_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha)} \left(1 + \frac{m}{\beta \cdot a}\right) = m^4 L_2 + \frac{m^6}{2} L_{2;11} + \frac{m^8}{24} L_{2;1111} \tag{5.3}$$

where the last step follows from the fact that there are only four factors in the product since $\dim(\Psi(\alpha_L)) = 4$ for G_2 (see appendix A). Formula (5.3) was checked to be valid both for theories with ADE in [1] and BC gauge groups in the previous section, and will be assumed to be valid also for G_2 . This assumption is well justified not only by the fact that the 1-instanton contribution takes this form in all other groups considered so far, but also by the fact that the last term in (5.3), which is the one surviving in the pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ G_2 theory where the adjoint hypermultiplet is decoupled, exactly matches the 1-instanton result obtained long-ago in [35] from the Picard-Fuchs approach to the Seiberg-Witten curve of the G_2 theory, and more recently in [34] from coherent states of W-algebras.

The recursion relation for G_2 reads

$$\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial E_2} = -\frac{1}{72} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{n-\ell}}{\partial a} \quad (5.4)$$

Again the starting point is f_1 which has only the 1-loop part

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log \left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda} \right)^2, \quad (5.5)$$

On the other hand, according to our working hypothesis, f_2 must be proportional to L_2 and S_2 , since it has to be homogeneous of degree -2 in the vacuum expectation values, with coefficients that are linear combinations of E_2 and K_2 , since it has to be a quasi-modular form of $\Gamma_0(3)$ with weight 2. Therefore, matching the 1-loop and 1-instanton terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2(\tau) L_2 - \frac{m^4}{72} (E_2(\tau) + 2K_2(\tau)) S_2 \\ &= -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2(\tau) L_2 - \frac{m^4}{24} E_2(3\tau) S_2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where the last step is a consequence of the triplication formula

$$E_2(3\tau) = \frac{1}{3} (E_2(\tau) + 2K_2(\tau)). \quad (5.7)$$

The same result follows by solving the recursion relation (5.4)

$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial E_2} = -\frac{1}{72} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} \cdot \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a} \quad (5.8)$$

and matching with the perturbative and 1-instanton terms. By expanding f_2 in powers of q we can obtain all multi-instanton contributions to the prepotential that are proportional to m^4 . As we already remarked, for G_2 there is no known ADHM construction of the instanton moduli space and no explicit multi-instanton calculations can be performed. Thus, our result represents a prediction for the higher instanton terms.

Applying the same method at order m^6 , it is quite straightforward to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &= -\frac{m^6}{720} (5E_2^2 + E_4) L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576} (E_2^2 - E_4) L_{2;11} \\ &\quad - \frac{m^6}{6480} (5E_2^2 + 20E_2K_2 + 30K_2^2 - E_4) S_4 - \frac{m^6}{5184} (E_2^2 + 4E_2K_2 - 6K_2^2 + E_4) S_{2;11} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we suppressed the τ dependence in the right hand side to simplify the notation. It is interesting to observe that using the triplication formulas (5.7) the quasi-modular forms appearing in front of S_4 and $S_{2;11}$ can be written exactly like the ones appearing in front of L_4 and $L_{2;11}$, respectively, but evaluated in 3τ instead of τ . The same triplication formulas allow us to show that under

$$\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{3\tau}, \quad (5.10)$$

the Eisenstein series and K_2 have simple transformation properties, namely

$$\frac{1}{3\tau^2} K_2\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) = -K_2, \quad (5.11a)$$

$$\frac{1}{3\tau^2} E_2\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) = E_2 + 2K_2 + \frac{6}{\pi i\tau}, \quad (5.11b)$$

$$\frac{1}{9\tau^4} E_4\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) = -E_4 + 10K_2^2, \quad (5.11c)$$

$$\frac{1}{27\tau^6} E_6\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) = -E_6 - 7E_4K_2 + 35K_2^3. \quad (5.11d)$$

Furthermore, from the properties of the G_2 root lattice, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n;m_1\dots m_\ell}^{(G_2)} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} S_{n;m_1\dots m_\ell}^{(G'_2)}, \\ S_{n;m_1\dots m_\ell}^{(G_2)} &= (\sqrt{3})^{n+m_1+\dots+m_\ell} L_{n;m_1\dots m_\ell}^{(G'_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where G_2 and G'_2 are dual to each other [9] as discussed above. Combining (5.12) with the transformation rules (5.11), one can check that both f_2 and f_3 satisfy the expected duality relations

$$f_n^{(G_2)}\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}, \sqrt{3}\tau a, E_2\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right)\right) = f_n^{(G'_2)}(\tau, a, E_2 + \delta). \quad (5.13)$$

Using the recursion formula (5.4), imposing the duality relations (5.13) and matching with the 1-loop and the 1-instanton results, we managed to determine also the m^8 -terms of the prepotential. We now collect all our findings for the G_2 theory up to m^8 :

$$f_1 = \frac{m^2}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \log\left(\frac{\alpha \cdot a}{\Lambda}\right)^2, \quad (5.14a)$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{m^4}{24} E_2 L_2 - \frac{m^4}{72} (E_2 + 2K_2) S_2, \quad (5.14b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &= -\frac{m^6}{720} (5E_2^2 + E_4) L_4 - \frac{m^6}{576} (E_2^2 - E_4) L_{2;11} \\ &\quad - \frac{m^6}{6480} (5E_2^2 + 20E_2K_2 + 30K_2^2 - E_4) S_4 - \frac{m^6}{5184} (E_2^2 + 4E_2K_2 - 6K_2^2 + E_4) S_{2;11}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= -\frac{m^8}{90720} (175E_2^3 + 84E_2E_4 + 11E_6) L_6 + \frac{m^8}{8640} (5E_2^3 - 3E_2E_4 - 2E_6) L_{4;2} \\ &\quad - \frac{m^8}{186624} (52E_2^3 + 81E_2^2K_2 - 42E_2E_4 + 162E_2K_2^2 + 44E_6 + 189E_4K_2 - 486K_2^3) L_{2;1111} \\ &\quad - \frac{m^8}{2949440} (175E_2^3 + 1050E_2^2K_2 - 84E_2E_4 + 2940E_2K_2^2 - 11E_6 - 245E_4K_2 + 3465K_2^3) S_6 \\ &\quad + \frac{m^8}{233280} (5E_2^3 + 30E_2^2K_2 + 3E_2E_4 + 30E_2K_2^2 + 2E_6 + 20E_4K_2 + 90K_2^3) S_{4;2} \\ &\quad - \frac{m^8}{5038848} (52E_2^3 + 231E_2^2K_2 + 42E_2E_4 + 42E_2K_2^2 - 44E_6 - 35E_4K_2 - 288K_2^3) S_{2;1111}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14d)$$

We remark that the E_2 -dependence in f_4 is completely determined by the recursion relation (5.4) which, however, can not fix the combinations of the three independent modular

forms of $\Gamma_0(3)$ with weight 6, namely E_6 , E_4K_2 and K_2^3 , that appear in front of the various sums. To fix these combinations some extra information is therefore needed beside the 1-loop and 1-instanton data. In the absence of explicit multi-instanton results, we have used the duality relations (5.13). These impose very severe restrictions on the coefficients, and the fact that all resulting constraints are mutually compatible, thus allowing for a solution, is a very strong consistency check on our approach.

The previous formulas and their properties allow us to conclude that

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(G_2)}] = \mathcal{L}[F^{(G'_2)}] . \tag{5.15}$$

Of course also the reverse relation is true.

5.2 The F_4 theory

The $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory with gauge group F_4 can be analysed in exactly the same way as discussed above. In this case the modular group is $\Gamma_0(2)$, like in the B_r and C_r theories. Again the recursive relation, the 1-loop and the 1-instanton microscopic data match those of B_r and C_r models and we have checked that the prepotential coefficients up to f_3 are given by exactly the same formulas (4.15a), (4.15b) and (4.15c), with the “long” and “short” sums written with the roots of F_4 , and that they satisfy the recursion relation (4.4). These properties imply

$$\mathcal{S}[F^{(F_4)}] = \mathcal{L}[F^{(F'_4)}] \tag{5.16}$$

and its reverse.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have extended the results of [1] to $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories with non simply-laced gauge algebras and in particular have studied their behaviour under S-duality. This extension is far from being trivial. From the analysis in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories one expects that S-duality be a symmetry for ADE gauge groups. But this cannot be the case for the non-simply laced theories, since S-duality exchanges the B_r and C_r algebras and maps G_2 and F_4 into the rotated G'_2 and F'_4 algebras. All these features and constraints can be put into a consistent picture which allows the computation of the effective prepotential starting from a modular anomaly equation. The payoff of this approach is a conjecture for the prepotential of the G_2 and F_4 gauge theories for which a direct check is possible only at the 1-instanton level, since the microscopic multi-instanton computations are not available for the exceptional algebras. At the same time given the number of consistency checks which are passed by our proposal, we are confident about its validity.

It is amusing to observe that the modular anomaly equation by itself is not enough to completely determine the prepotential coefficients in the mass expansion which in general need the perturbative 1-loop and some microscopic instanton computations in order to be fixed. Nonetheless even if the latter are not available for the G_2 and F_4 cases our proposal stands at the same level of the computations for the classical orthogonal or symplectic algebras given that our results are written in a form that makes them independent of the

details of the various root systems. One final remark: the results presented here overlook the contribution of the Ω -background. Such contributions could be easily incorporated by the means of the same methods employed in our companion paper [1] for the ADE theories. However, in order not to make the formulas too involved, we have preferred to omit them from our presentation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Carlo Angelantonj, Sujay Ashok, Massimo Bianchi, Eleonora Dell’Aquila and Igor Pesando for discussions.

The work of M.B., M.F. and A.L. is partially supported by the Compagnia di San Paolo contract “MAST: Modern Applications of String Theory” TO-Call3-2012-0088.

A Notations and conventions for the root systems

In this appendix we list our conventions for the root systems of the non-simply laced groups. We consider both the classical algebras $B_r = \mathfrak{so}(2r + 1)$ and $C_r = \mathfrak{sp}(2r)$, and the two exceptional ones F_4 and G_2 . In all cases, we denote by Ψ , Ψ_L and Ψ_S , respectively, the set of all roots α , the set of the long roots α_L and the set of the short roots α_S . Of course one has $\Psi = \Psi_L \cup \Psi_S$.

Given a root $\alpha \in \Psi$, we define its corresponding co-root α^\vee as

$$\alpha^\vee = \frac{2}{(\alpha \cdot \alpha)} \alpha, \tag{A.1}$$

and introduce the two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\alpha) &= \{ \beta \in \Psi : \alpha^\vee \cdot \beta = 1 \}, \\ \Psi^\vee(\alpha) &= \{ \beta \in \Psi : \alpha \cdot \beta^\vee = 1 \}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The roots of B_r . Let $\{ \mathbf{e}_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r \}$ be the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^r . The set Ψ_L of the long roots of B_r is

$$\Psi_L = \{ \pm\sqrt{2} \mathbf{e}_i \pm \sqrt{2} \mathbf{e}_j ; 1 \leq i < j \leq r \}, \tag{A.3}$$

with all possible signs, while the set Ψ_S of the short roots is

$$\Psi_S = \{ \pm\sqrt{2} \mathbf{e}_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r \}. \tag{A.4}$$

Any long root $\alpha_L \in \Psi_L$ has length 2, while any short root $\alpha_S \in \Psi_S$ has length $\sqrt{2}$. Therefore we have $n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2$. It is easy to see that

$$\text{ord}(\Psi_L) = 2r^2 - 2r, \quad \text{ord}(\Psi_S) = 2r, \tag{A.5}$$

so that the total number of roots is $2r^2$ which is indeed the order of Ψ .

According to (A.1), we have

$$\alpha_L^\vee = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_L, \quad \alpha_S^\vee = \alpha_S. \tag{A.6}$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_L)) &= 4r - 6 = 2h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_S)) &= 0 = 4h - 4h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_L)) &= 4r - 8 = -2h + 4h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_S)) &= 2r - 2 = 3h - 2h^\vee - 4,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A.7}$$

where $h = 2r$ is the Coxeter number and $h^\vee = 2r - 1$ is the dual Coxeter number for B_r .

The roots of C_r . For the symplectic algebra C_r the long roots are given by

$$\Psi_L = \{\pm 2\mathbf{e}_i; 1 \leq i \leq r\}
 \tag{A.8}$$

where again $\{\mathbf{e}_i; 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^r . The short roots are instead given by

$$\Psi_S = \{\pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq r\},
 \tag{A.9}$$

with all possible signs. Any long root $\alpha_L \in \Psi_L$ has length 2, while any short root $\alpha_S \in \Psi_S$ has length $\sqrt{2}$, like for the B_r groups. Therefore also in this case we have $n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2$. Its easy to see that

$$\text{ord}(\Psi_L) = 2r, \quad \text{ord}(\Psi_S) = 2r^2 - 2r,
 \tag{A.10}$$

so that the total number of roots is $2r^2$ which is the order of Ψ .

According to (A.1), we have

$$\alpha_L^\vee = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_L, \quad \alpha_S^\vee = \alpha_S.
 \tag{A.11}$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_L)) &= 2r - 2 = 2h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_S)) &= 4r - 8 = 4h - 4h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_L)) &= 0 = -2h + 4h^\vee - 4, \\
 \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_S)) &= 4r - 6 = 3h - 2h^\vee - 4,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A.12}$$

where $h = 2r$ is the Coxeter number and $h^\vee = r + 1$ is the dual Coxeter number for C_r .

As is well-known [9], there is a duality between the formulas for B_r and those for C_r under the exchange of long and short roots, which can be explicitly verified on the above results.

The roots of F_4 . F_4 has forty-eight roots; half of them are long roots and half are short roots. Denoting by $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4\}$ the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^4 , the twenty-four long roots are

$$\Psi_L = \left\{ \pm\sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_i \pm \sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq 4 \right\},
 \tag{A.13}$$

while the twenty-four short roots are

$$\Psi_S = \left\{ \pm\sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_1, \pm\sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_2, \pm\sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_3, \pm\sqrt{2}\mathbf{e}_4, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pm\mathbf{e}_1 \pm \mathbf{e}_2 \pm \mathbf{e}_3 \pm \mathbf{e}_4) \right\}.
 \tag{A.14}$$

The long roots have length 2, while the short roots have length $\sqrt{2}$. Thus, according to (A.1), we have

$$\alpha_L^\vee = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_L, \quad \alpha_S^\vee = \alpha_S ; \tag{A.15}$$

moreover, $n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2$.

Given the explicit expressions (A.13) and (A.14), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_L)) &= 14, & \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_S)) &= 8, \\ \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_L)) &= 8, & \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_S)) &= 14, \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

It is interesting to observe that by exchanging (and suitably rescaling) long and short roots, we obtain an equivalent root system; the algebra associated to this dual root system is called F'_4 .

The roots of G_2 . For G_2 the six long roots are given by

$$\Psi_L = \left\{ \pm \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{e}_1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{e}_2, \pm \sqrt{6} \mathbf{e}_2 \right\}, \tag{A.17}$$

while the six short roots are given by

$$\Psi_S = \left\{ \pm \sqrt{2} \mathbf{e}_1, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{e}_1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbf{e}_2 \right\} \tag{A.18}$$

where \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 form the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^2 . With this choice, the long roots have length $\sqrt{6}$, while the short roots have length $\sqrt{2}$. Thus, according to (A.1), we have

$$\alpha_L^\vee = \frac{1}{3}\alpha_L, \quad \alpha_S^\vee = \alpha_S ; \tag{A.19}$$

moreover, $n_{\mathfrak{g}} = 3$.

Given the explicit expressions (A.17) and (A.18), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_L)) &= 4, & \text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_S)) &= 2, \\ \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_L)) &= 2, & \text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_S)) &= 4, \end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

It is interesting to observe that by exchanging (and suitably rescaling) long and short roots, we obtain an equivalent root system in which \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are exchanged. We call the algebra associated to this dual root system G'_2 .

We summarize in table 6 the properties for the various algebras that are useful for the calculations presented in the main text:

B Modular forms

In this appendix we collect the main formulas for the modular functions used in the main text.

	dim	rank	h^\vee	$\text{ord}(\Psi_L)$	$\text{ord}(\Psi_S)$	$\text{ord}(\Psi(\alpha_L))$	$\text{ord}(\Psi^\vee(\alpha_S))$
B_r	$r(2r+1)$	r	$2r-1$	$2r^2-2r$	$2r$	$4r-6$	$2r-2$
C_r	$r(2r+1)$	r	$r+1$	$2r$	$2r^2-2r$	$2r-2$	$4r-6$
F_4	52	4	9	24	24	14	14
G_2	14	2	4	6	6	4	4

Table 6. The main properties of the non-simply laced algebras.

- We adopt the standard definitions for the Jacobi θ -functions:

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (v|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i \tau (n - \frac{a}{2})^2} e^{2\pi i (n - \frac{a}{2})(v - \frac{b}{2})}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

We also define the θ -constants: $\theta_2(\tau) \equiv \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (0|\tau)$, $\theta_3(\tau) \equiv \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\theta_4 \equiv \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (0|\tau)$. Their q -expansions are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2(\tau) &= 2q^{\frac{1}{8}} (1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + \dots), \\ \theta_3(\tau) &= 1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2q^2 + 2q^{\frac{9}{2}} + 2q^8 + \dots, \\ \theta_4(\tau) &= 1 - 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2q^2 - 2q^{\frac{9}{2}} + 2q^8 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

- The Dedekind η -function is defined as

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

- Under the $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ generators

$$T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1, \quad S : \tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} T : \quad & \theta_3(\tau) \leftrightarrow \theta_4(\tau), \quad \theta_2(\tau) \rightarrow e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} \theta_2(\tau), \quad \eta(\tau) \rightarrow e^{\frac{i\pi}{12}} \eta(\tau), \\ S : \quad & \frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \leftrightarrow \frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad \frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \rightarrow \frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad \eta(\tau) \rightarrow \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

- The Eisenstein series are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(\tau) &= 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) q^n = 1 - 24q - 72q^2 - 96q^3 - 168q^4 + \dots, \\ E_4(\tau) &= 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots, \\ E_6(\tau) &= 1 - 504 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_5(n) q^{2n} = 1 - 504q - 16632q^2 - 122976q^3 - 532728q^4, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where $\sigma_k(n)$ is the sum of the k -th power of the divisors of n , i.e., $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$. The Eisenstein series E_4 and E_6 can be expressed as polynomials in the θ -constants according to

$$\begin{aligned} E_4(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2^8(\tau) + \theta_3^8(\tau) + \theta_4^8(\tau)), \\ E_6(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta_3^4(\tau) + \theta_4^4(\tau))(\theta_2^4(\tau) + \theta_3^4(\tau))(\theta_4^4(\tau) - \theta_2^4(\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

The series E_2 , E_4 and E_6 are connected among themselves by logarithmic q -derivatives and form a sort of a “ring”:

$$\begin{aligned} q \frac{\partial E_2(\tau)}{\partial q} &= \frac{1}{12}(E_2^2(\tau) - E_4(\tau)), \\ q \frac{\partial E_4(\tau)}{\partial q} &= \frac{1}{3}(E_4(\tau)E_2(\tau) - E_6(\tau)), \\ q \frac{\partial E_6(\tau)}{\partial q} &= \frac{1}{2}(E_6(\tau)E_2(\tau) - E_4^2(\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

The series E_4 and E_6 are modular forms of $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with weight 4 and 6 respectively, while E_2 is a quasi-modular form of weight 2 with an anomalous shift. In particular under S , we have

$$E_2\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^2 E_2(\tau) + \frac{6\tau}{\pi i}, \quad E_4\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^4 E_4(\tau), \quad E_6\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^6 E_6(\tau). \tag{B.9}$$

- The Eisenstein series satisfy the following duplication formulas

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(E_2(\tau) + H_2(\tau)), \\ E_4(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(-E_4(\tau) + 5H_2^2(\tau)), \\ E_6(2\tau) &= \frac{1}{8}(E_6(\tau) + 7H_2^3(\tau)) \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

where

$$H_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_3^4(\tau) + \theta_4^4(\tau)) = 1 + 24q + 24q^2 + 96q^3 + 24q^4 + 144q^5 + \dots \tag{B.11}$$

The function $H_2(\tau)$ is a modular form of weight 2 under the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(2) \subset \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and is such that

$$H_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right) = -2\tau^2 H_2(\tau). \tag{B.12}$$

Combining the modular transformation properties (B.9) with the duplication formulas (B.10) and also with (B.12), one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} E_2\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right) &= 2\tau^2(E_2(\tau) + H_2(\tau)) + \frac{12\tau}{\pi i}, \\ E_4\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right) &= 4\tau^4(-E_4(\tau) + 5H_2^2(\tau)), \\ E_6\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau}\right) &= 8\tau^6(E_6(\tau) + 7H_2^3(\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

- The Eisenstein series satisfy the following triplication formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_2(3\tau) &= \frac{1}{3}(E_2(\tau) + 2K_2(\tau)), \\
 E_4(3\tau) &= \frac{1}{9}(-E_4(\tau) + 10K_2^2(\tau)), \\
 E_6(3\tau) &= \frac{1}{27}(-E_6(\tau) - 7E_4(\tau)K_2(\tau) + 35K_2^3(\tau))
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{B.14}$$

where

$$K_2(\tau) = \left[\left(\frac{\eta^3(\tau)}{\eta(3\tau)} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{3\eta^3(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \right)^3 \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} = 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + 84q^4 + 72q^5 + \dots
 \tag{B.15}$$

The function $K_2(\tau)$ is a modular form of weight 2 under the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3) \subset \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and is such that

$$K_2\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) = -3\tau^2 K_2(\tau) .
 \tag{B.16}$$

Combining the modular transformation properties (B.9) with the triplication formulas (B.14) and also with (B.16), one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_2\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) &= 3\tau^2(E_2(\tau) + 2K_2(\tau)) + \frac{18\tau}{\pi i}, \\
 E_4\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) &= 9\tau^4(-E_4(\tau) + 10K_2^2(\tau)), \\
 E_6\left(-\frac{1}{3\tau}\right) &= 27\tau^6(-E_6(\tau) - 7E_4(\tau)K_2(\tau) + 35K_2^3(\tau)) .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{B.17}$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] M. Billó, M. Frau, F. Fucito, A. Lerda and J.F. Morales, *S-duality and the prepotential in $N = 2^*$ theories (I): the ADE algebras*, [arXiv:1507.07709](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.07709) [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1507077)].
- [2] M.-x. Huang, A.-K. Kashani-Poor and A. Klemm, *The Ω deformed B-model for rigid $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories*, *Annales Henri Poincaré* **14** (2013) 425 [[arXiv:1109.5728](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.5728)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1109572)].
- [3] M. Billó, M. Frau, L. Gallot, A. Lerda and I. Pesando, *Deformed $N = 2$ theories, generalized recursion relations and S-duality*, *JHEP* **04** (2013) 039 [[arXiv:1302.0686](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.0686)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1302068)].
- [4] M. Billó, M. Frau, L. Gallot, A. Lerda and I. Pesando, *Modular anomaly equation, heat kernel and S-duality in $N = 2$ theories*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 123 [[arXiv:1307.6648](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6648)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1307664)].
- [5] M.-x. Huang, *Modular anomaly from holomorphic anomaly in mass deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 105010 [[arXiv:1302.6095](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.6095)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/literature/1302609)].

- [6] M. Billó, M. Frau, F. Fucito, A. Lerda, J.F. Morales, R. Poghossian et al., *Modular anomaly equations in $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theories and their large- N limit*, *JHEP* **10** (2014) 131 [[arXiv:1406.7255](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] S.K. Ashok, M. Billó, E. Dell'Aquila, M. Frau, A. Lerda and M. Raman, *Modular anomaly equations and S -duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal SQCD*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 091 [[arXiv:1507.07476](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] C. Montonen and D.I. Olive, *Magnetic Monopoles as Gauge Particles?*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 72** (1977) 117 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] P. Goddard, J. Nuyts and D.I. Olive, *Gauge Theories and Magnetic Charge*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 125** (1977) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] L. Girardello, A. Giveon, M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni, *S duality in $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theories with general gauge groups*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 448** (1995) 127 [[hep-th/9502057](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] N. Dorey, C. Fraser, T.J. Hollowood and M.A.C. Kneipp, *S duality in $N = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theories with arbitrary gauge group*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 383** (1996) 422 [[hep-th/9605069](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] P.C. Argyres, A. Kapustin and N. Seiberg, *On S -duality for non-simply-laced gauge groups*, *JHEP* **06** (2006) 043 [[hep-th/0603048](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, *Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program*, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **1** (2007) 1 [[hep-th/0604151](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 426** (1994) 19 [*Erratum ibid.* **B 430** (1994) 485-486] [[hep-th/9407087](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Germany (1993).
- [16] T.M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Germany (1990).
- [17] N.A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2004) 831 [[hep-th/0206161](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions*, [[hep-th/0306238](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] U. Bruzzo, F. Fucito, J.F. Morales and A. Tanzini, *Multiinstanton calculus and equivariant cohomology*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 054 [[hep-th/0211108](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] F. Fucito, J.F. Morales and R. Poghossian, *Multi instanton calculus on ALE spaces*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 703** (2004) 518 [[hep-th/0406243](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [22] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis and B. Pioline, *Rankin-Selberg methods for closed strings on orbifolds*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 181 [[arXiv:1304.4271](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] S. Shadchin, *Saddle point equations in Seiberg-Witten theory*, *JHEP* **10** (2004) 033 [[hep-th/0408066](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] M. Mariño and N. Wyllard, *A Note on instanton counting for $N = 2$ gauge theories with classical gauge groups*, *JHEP* **05** (2004) 021 [[hep-th/0404125](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [25] E. Witten, *Small instantons in string theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** (1996) 541 [[hep-th/9511030](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] M.R. Douglas, *Branes within branes*, [hep-th/9512077](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M.B. Green and M. Gutperle, *D instanton induced interactions on a D3-brane*, *JHEP* **02** (2000) 014 [[hep-th/0002011](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] M. Billó, M. Frau, I. Pesando, F. Fucito, A. Lerda and A. Liccardo, *Classical gauge instantons from open strings*, *JHEP* **02** (2003) 045 [[hep-th/0211250](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] M. Billó, M. Frau, F. Fucito and A. Lerda, *Instanton calculus in RR background and the topological string*, *JHEP* **11** (2006) 012 [[hep-th/0606013](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] M. Billó et al., *Non-perturbative gauge/gravity correspondence in $N = 2$ theories*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 166 [[arXiv:1206.3914](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] I.P. Ennes, C. Lozano, S.G. Naculich and H.J. Schnitzer, *Elliptic models and M-theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **576** (2000) 313 [[hep-th/9912133](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Lectures on supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, [hep-th/9912271](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] E. D'Hoker, I.M. Krichever and D.H. Phong, *The Effective prepotential of $N = 2$ supersymmetric $SO(N_c)$ and $Sp(N_c)$ gauge theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **489** (1997) 211 [[hep-th/9609145](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] C.A. Keller, N. Mekareeya, J. Song and Y. Tachikawa, *The ABCDEFG of Instantons and W-algebras*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 045 [[arXiv:1111.5624](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] K. Ito, *Picard-Fuchs equations and prepotential in $N = 2$ supersymmetric G_2 Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **406** (1997) 54 [[hep-th/9703180](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].