



# Interpersonal Psychotherapy Adapted for Borderline Personality Disorder (IPT-BPD): A Review of Available Data and a Proposal of Revision

Silvio Bellino\* and Paola Bozzatello

Center for Personality Disorders, Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Italy

#### **Abstract**

Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) was developed by Klerman in 1984 for patients with major depression. IPT is aimed to the resolution of interpersonal difficulties, improving both social functioning and psychiatric symptoms. The promising results that this model of psychotherapy has obtained in unipolar depression have led investigators to enlarge the application of IPT beyond this clinical population. Specific adaptations of IPT have been required to address the different psychopathological and clinical characteristics of each disorder. IPT has been proposed to treat borderline personality disorder because of the frequent comorbidity with mood disorders and the serious relational problems. Markowitz and colleagues in 2006 proposed an adaptation for this severe personality disorder, IPT-BPD, including a specific conceptualization of BPD, a prolonged length of treatment to 34 sessions, and a more flexible setting. Clinical efficacy of this adaptation of IPT was investigated in a few clinical trials during the last decade. Our research group conducted three randomized controlled trials.

Our findings suggested that combined treatment with IPT-BPD and antidepressants (fluoxetine) can be considered a useful treatment option in treating BPD patients. The efficacy of this combined therapy was superior to single pharmacotherapy in improving core BPD symptom clusters, including disturbed interpersonal relationships, inadequate control of impulsive behaviors, and affective instability. The main effects of IPT-BPD registered after 32 weeks of treatment were maintained during a follow-up of two years. In particular, the superior effects of the addition of IPT-BPD on impulsive behavioral dyscontrol and interpersonal relationships instability were maintained. More severe BPD symptoms and higher degree of fear of abandonment, affective instability, and impaired identity were identified as predictors of response to combined therapy.

On the basis of our experience, we present a proposal of revision of IPT-BPD (IPT-BPD-R) with the aim to deal with problems emerged from patients' treatment.

**Keywords:** Interpersonal psychotherapy; Psychiatric disorders; Borderline personality disorder; Pharmacotherapy; Combined therapy

# The Main Characteristics of Interpersonal Psychotherapy for Major Depression

Interpersonal model of psychotherapy integrates both the relational theory of Harry Stack Sullivan [1] and the attachment theory of John Bowlby [2]. Sullivan asserted that "a person can never be isolated from the complex of interpersonal relations in which the person lives and has his being". This idea was influenced by the integrative psychobiological theories of Adolf Meyer [3] and based on the clinical observation of a primary social group and the immediate involvement of the subject with one or more significant persons. Individual personality is defined by the relations that are established and by the social role that the he plays. According to John Bowlby's attachment theory, secure attachment to the caregiver early in life forms the foundation for later success in interpersonal relationships. Interpersonal therapy was designed on the basis of these theories and is focused on the patient's intimate relationships.

Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) was proposed in 1984 by Klerman and colleagues [4], who defined the methods and techniques of this psychotherapeutic approach for patients with a diagnosis of major depression. Traditional IPT is a time-limited, diagnosis-focused, life-event-based treatment consisting of 12-16 weekly sessions. The intervention has a distinct medical setting based on the formulation and communication of a diagnosis, and on the transitory attribution of the phenomena of illness to the patient. During IPT sessions the intervention is focused on one of four interpersonal problem areas that patient and therapist together identify and choose: grief, interpersonal role disputes, role transitions, and interpersonal deficits. Interpersonal approach removes responsibility from the patient regarding guilt and frustration for

their emotional experience and at the same time defines the symptoms in a syndromic context that is known and therefore curable.

## Adaptation of IPT to Other Psychiatric Disorders: The Case of Borderline Personality Disorder

The efficacy of IPT in treatment of major depression is wellestablished, and recent meta-analyses have confirmed its efficacy in both monotherapy and in association with pharmacotherapy [5,6]. The promising results that this psychotherapeutic intervention has obtained in its primary indication, unipolar major depression, have led clinicians and investigators to enlarge the application of IPT beyond this clinical population. So, IPT has been studied in several psychiatric disorders: dysthymia [7-10], bipolar disorder [11-15], substance abuse [16-18], post-traumatic stress disorder [19]; social phobia [20], panic disorder [21-23], and eating disorders [24-27]. However, specific adaptations of the traditional model of IPT have been required for each disorder

\*Corresponding author: Silvio Bellino, Center for Personality Disorders, Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Italy, Tel: 00390116634848; Fax: 0039011673473; E-mail: silvio.bellino@unito.i

Received December 03, 2015; Accepted December 18, 2015; Published December 26, 2015

Citation: Bellino S, Bozzatello P (2015) Interpersonal Psychotherapy Adapted for Borderline Personality Disorder (IPT-BPD): A Review of Available Data and a Proposal of Revision. J Psychol Psychother 5: 229. doi:10.4172/2161-

Copyright: © 2015 Bellino S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

considering the different clinical characteristics of these disturbances and the peculiar needs of patients.

Among personality disorders, IPT has been used in patients with borderline personality disorder (BPD) that is a heterogeneous and severe mental disorder, characterized by dysregulation in interpersonal relationships, affects and emotions, sense of self, and control of impulsivity. Its prevalence is approximately ranged between 1 and 5% in general population, up to 25-30% in psychiatric outpatients [28-30]. Subjects with BPD, because of their pervasive instability, often show a scarce compliance to both pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions. So, it is a great challenge for the clinician to establish a solid therapeutic alliance based on the mutual cooperation with these patients. Most of BPD patients, due to a marked intolerance to stress and frustrations and poor identity cohesion, cannot tolerate longterm psychotherapies that adopt explorative techniques and strict boundaries of setting. Moreover, patients with BPD often develop a relational dependency with fear of abandonment that may be elicited by too long-lasting treatment modalities. On the other hand, timelimited interventions can be too short to obtain a significant change in the main psychopathological dimensions. The risk of self-mutilating behaviours and suicide is another element that must be considered in the treatment approach of this clinical population. A more containing and comforting therapeutic approach and the opportunity to contact therapist during the crises outside the sessions may prevent acting out and self-damaging conducts. While on one hand BPD patients require a major setting flexibility, on the other hand well defined boundaries of the therapeutic interventions should be explicated to the subjects at the beginning of the therapy to stem their manipulative attitudes.

The frequent comorbidity of BPD with mood disorders and the relational problems due to borderline core symptoms are the main reasons for the proposal of IPT for BPD patients.

In the light of all this factors, the traditional model of IPT might not address the complexity and heterogeneity of BPD psychopathology and a specific adaptation was required to deal with the peculiar characteristics of these patients.

#### Initial Studies of Efficacy of IPT in BPD

The first attempt to adapt the traditional model of IPT to BPD psychopathology was performed by Angus and Gillies in 1994 [31]. They maintained the brief duration of 12 weeks and the intensive format of the traditional IPT, but added fifth problematic areas: the image of self. Authors considered the interpersonal relational dysfunction of BPD patients in the context of their labile sense of self and conducted a pilot randomized controlled trial in 24 patients who were assigned to IPT or Relational Management Therapy. Unfortunately, the study was early concluded because of the high rate of drop-outs (75%).

The standard model of IPT for major depression was subsequently modified by Markowitz [32] adapting the intervention to deal with difficulties in interpersonal relationships, chronicity of BPD, poor therapeutic alliance, and the high risk of suicide and self-harm of these patients. In particular, the features of the adaptation involved a different conceptualization of the disorder, prolonged length of treatment, and a more flexible setting. Markowitz and colleagues conceptualized BPD as a "mood-inflected chronic illness, but punctuated by sporadic and ineffective outbursts of anger and impulsivity". The duration of IPT was extended to thirty-six 50-minute sessions over 32 weeks, divided into two stages, both of 16 weeks. The aims of the initial phase were to create a valid therapeutic alliance, to limit self-mutilating acts, and to provide an initial symptoms relief. If patients successfully completed this phase,

they entered the continuation phase, in which the therapeutic alliance was reinforced, initial gains were increased, more adaptive interpersonal mechanisms were developed, and the termination of therapy was discussed. In addition, IPT adapted to BPD (IPT-BPD) allowed patients to contact therapist with one 10-minute telephone call once weekly, if necessary, in order to contain crises and maintain a strong alliance.

Clinical efficacy of this model of IPT specifically adapted to suit the needs of BPD patients was investigated with clinical trials during the last decade. The first pilot study was performed by Markowitz [32] on 8 BPD patients with comorbid mood disorders and other personality disorders that were treated for 8 months with IPT-BPD. Five patients completed the study showing a significant improvement at the Clinical Global Impression Scale (CGI), the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS), and the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90). Moreover, no patient fulfilled diagnostic criteria of BPD at the end of the trial.

# Controlled Trials of IPT-BPD: Efficacy, Follow-Up and Predictors of Response

Since 2010, our research group at the University of Turin, Italy, conducted three randomized controlled trial to investigate whether the adapted model of IPT may impact on the core BPD psychopathology.

According to clinical data and APA guidelines [33,34] treatment options for BPD include both pharmacotherapy and psychotherapy. Combination of a specific psychotherapy for BPD with drugs, for example a selective serotonergic antidepressant, is common in clinical practice and is recommended in guidelines as first choice in treating affective dysregulation and impulsive behavioral dyscontrol of BPD. Moreover, there is some evidence that psychotherapy may enhance the effects of pharmacotherapy [35,36]. For these reasons and in order to better handle the heterogeneity and the severity of clinical manifestations of BPD, in our trials we retained appropriate to treat all patients with a medication, used as single therapy or associated with psychotherapy. Fluoxetine was chosen because it has been studied in several controlled trials [37-40] and is recommended by APA treatment guidelines.

In the first trial [41], aimed to compare the efficacy of the combined treatment with IPT-BPD plus pharmacotherapy versus single pharmacotherapy, we enrolled 55 BPD patients without other concomitant psychiatric disorders. Participants were randomly assigned to two types of treatments: fluoxetine at a dose from 20 to 40 mg/day (27 patients) plus IPT-BPD versus fluoxetine at the same dose plus clinical management (a visit lasting 15-20 minutes every 2 weeks focused on clinical issues) (28 patients) for a period of 32 weeks. Forty-four patients completed the study, 22 in each group. The primary outcomes were score changes of Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI-S), Borderline Personality Disorder Severity Index (BPDSI) and BPDSI single items. Secondary outcomes were score changes of Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS), and Satisfaction Profile (SAT-P). Results showed that, after 8 months, the two treatment options did not obtain different effects on general symptoms and total BPD symptoms. Moreover, both treatments had the same efficacy on depressive symptoms and social and occupational functioning. Combined therapy with IPT-BPD was superior to single drug therapy in reducing anxious symptoms and improving subjective perception of quality of life in terms of two factors: psychological and social functioning. A significant difference in favor of combined therapy was found for three BPD symptom clusters: disturbed interpersonal relationships, inadequate control of impulsive behaviors and affective instability.

As BPD is a chronic and lifelong disorder, one of the most important criteria for evaluating the efficacy of treatment is the long-term outcome. The second of our studies [42] was aimed to verify whether the benefits from the addition of interpersonal psychotherapy to pharmacotherapy after 32 weeks of treatment persisted during a follow-up period of two years after termination of psychotherapy. Forty-four patients who completed the 32 weeks trial (22 who received combined therapy and 22 who received single fluoxetine) underwent 24 months of follow-up. All subjects received single pharmacotherapy with fluoxetine (20-40 mg/day) during the follow-up period. Thirty patients concluded the follow-up. The primary and secondary outcomes were the same indicated for the 32 weeks trial of efficacy. Findings suggested that a psychotherapy specifically adapted for BPD patients had positive effects that endured for a long period after its termination. A large part of the differences between combined therapy and single pharmacotherapy registered in BPD patients at the end of a 32 weeks trial were maintained after 24 months of follow-up.

In particular, the addition of IPT-BPD to fluoxetine produced greater effects on impulsive behavioral dyscontrol and interpersonal relationships instability that were significantly maintained during the 24 months follow-up period, as measured with the two items "impulsivity" and "interpersonal relationships" of the BPDSI. Moreover, BPD patients experienced a long-lasting improvement of two key factors of quality of life, subjective perception of psychological and social functioning, as assessed with the SAT-P. On the contrary, the advantage of combined therapy in terms of improvement of anxiety and affective instability was not replicated at follow-up.

As indicated by these results, the combined therapy with IPT adapted

to BPD is a promising time-limited treatment option, able to obtain effects superior to single pharmacotherapy on a few core symptoms of BPD and on subjective quality of life. The most of these effects are still significantly superior to control group after a long-term follow-up. This kind of intervention requires a consistent investment of clinical resources, cannot be guaranteed to all patients, and should be provided to patients selected on the basis of predictive factors of response. We performed a further analysis of our data [43] in order to identify which demographic and clinical factors were predictors of response to combined treatment with IPT-BPD and fluoxetine. We considered the 27 patients allocated to combined therapy in our clinical trial (41). Clinical response was measured by the change of CGI-S score between baseline and week 32. Findings indicated that patients with more severe global BPD symptoms (assessed with the BPDSI total score) and with higher level of fear of abandonment, affective instability, and impaired identity (measured with the three BPDSI items "abandonment", "affective instability", and "identity") presented a significantly better response to combined therapy with IPT-BPD and fluoxetine. The most important implication of this study is that the clinical improvement of patients seemed not dependent from the baseline severity of general symptoms, but was more specifically related to the core BPD psychopathology. This finding is in accordance with previous investigations [44-46], indicating that higher pre-treatment severity of BPD predicted greater symptom change with different models of psychotherapies (IPP-Integrative Psychotherapy Practice, Schema-focused therapy, Transference-focused psychotherapy, and STEPPS).

Characteristics and results of the three trials are presented in Table 1.

Authors	Treatment	Sample	Duration	Significant outcomes <i>P</i> ≤ 0.05	Non significant outcomes
Bellino et al., 2010	fluoxetine (20-40 mg/day) +IPT-BPD versus fluoxetine (20-40 mg/day) +CM	55 BPD patients 11 drop outs	32 weeks	fluoxetine+IPT-BPD>fluoxetine+CM on: HARS; BPDSI items: affective instability, impulsivity, interpersonal relationships; SAT-P factors: psychological functioning, social functioning	HDRS; CGI-S; SOFAS; BPDSI total score and items: abandonment, identity; outbursts of anger, dissociation/paranoid ideation, emptiness, parasuicidal behaviors
Bellino et al., in press	fluoxetine 20-40 mg/day	44 BPD patients who completed the 32 weeks trial 14 drop outs	follow-up 24 months	fluoxetine+IPT-BPD>fluoxetine+CM on: BPDSI items: impulsivity, interpersonal relationships; SAT-P factors: psychological functioning, social functioning	HARS; HDRS; CGI-S; SOFAS; BPDSI total score and items: affective instability, abandonment, identity, outbursts of anger, dissociation/paranoid ideation, emptiness, parasuicidal behaviors
Bellino et al., 2015	fluoxetine (20-40 mg/day) +IPT-BPD	27 BPD patients 5 drop outs	32 weeks	predictive factors: BPDSI total score and items: abandonment, identity, affective instability	non-predictive factors: CGI-S; HARS; HDRS; SOFAS; SAT-P; BPDSI items: interpersonal relationships, impulsivity, outbursts of anger, parasuicidal behaviors, dissociation/paranoid ideation, emptiness

**Table 1:** Results of three RCTs investigating treatment efficacy, outcome of follow-up, and predictors of response of combined therapy with IPT-BPD and fluoxetine compared with single fluoxetine. IPT-BPD: Interpersonal Psychotherapy adapted to Borderline Personality Disorder; CM: Clinical Management; BPD: borderline personality disorder; CGI-S: Clinical Global Impression-Severity Item; SOFAS: Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale; HARS: Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BPDSI: Borderline Personality Disorder Severity Index; SAT-P: Satisfaction Profile.

#### A Proposal of Revision of IPT-BPD

In the last years, we designed a proposal of revision (IPT-BPD-R) of Markowitz's adaptation of IPT to BPD in the attempt to overcome some limitations of this model that emerged during clinical practice. In our opinion, 32 weeks of treatment are still rather few and often insufficient to obtain a long-lasting psychopathological improvement. So, our proposal is to prolong treatment duration up to 10 months of therapy divided into two phases of 22 sessions (20 weeks) and 20 sessions (20 weeks). As the conclusion of psychotherapy is particularly problematic to accept for BPD subjects, three additional sessions can be provided if patients present serious difficulties during the termination phase.

In selected patients, for example those who suffer from severe baseline BPD psychopathology, higher levels of impulsive and self-mutilating behaviors, higher risk of suicide, and severe identity disturbance, a maintenance therapy of 8 monthly sessions is administered. Two weekly contacts by phone with the therapist are allowed in situations of crisis, as well as two admissions to hospital for a brief period of 7-10 days. During the hospitalisation IPT-BPD-R continues if the patient's clinical conditions allow it. In our revised model of IPT-BPD is also included an intervention for family members of patients aimed to decrease the level of perceived stress and to help them to better understand the illness of their relative. The intervention consists of six monthly 1-hour sessions of interpersonal counselling (IPC) that can be provided to one or two cohabiting family members. During the first session family members receive psychoeducation about BPD. In the middle sessions therapist and family members together choose the focus of IPC (among the four interpersonal problematic areas of traditional IPT), analyse the dysfunctional modalities of interpersonal relationships and promote new interpersonal skills. In the last session conclusion of IPC and obtained results are discussed.

These changes to the initial adaptation of IPT to BPD patients are required in our opinion on the basis of several considerations:

- 1) the need to provide BPD patients a more supportive intervention, in order to deal with low level of compliance and high risk of impulsive conducts:
- 2) the advantage of designing a more flexible model of psychotherapy, that can provide more tailored treatments for complex and heterogeneous clinical picture;
- 3) a longer duration is preferable in order to provide a comparable length of treatment with other models of psychotherapy for BPD, such as mentalization based therapy [47] and dialectical behaviour therapy [48];
- 4) the considerable differences of BPD patients to acquire more adaptive patterns of interpersonal relationships is a further reason to increase the number of sessions and to provide a continuation phase in selected cases;
- 5) Psychoeducation and counselling of family members of BPD patients can produce important effects on relationships between the patient and his relatives/caregivers and secondarily improve his symptoms and functions.

The revised model of IPT for borderline personality disorder is currently administered to our BPD outpatients and will be the focus of a clinical controlled trial of efficacy.

In conclusion, combined treatment with IPT adapted to BPD and antidepressant medications can be considered a useful treatment

option. The efficacy of this combined therapy was superior to single pharmacotherapy in improving some core BPD symptom clusters. The main effects of IPT-BPD registered after 32 weeks of treatment were maintained during a follow-up period of two years. More severe BPD symptoms and higher degree of fear of abandonment, affective instability, and impaired identity were predictors of response to combined therapy with IPT-BPD. More trials are required to replicate these initial findings. In fact, our studies suffer from some limitations: the sample size is limited; exclusion of psychiatric comorbidity may imply that our population has different clinical characteristics from patients of clinical practice; reliable assessment of effects of IPT combination requires more specific instruments; intention-to-treat analysis was not performed.

#### Reference

- Sullivan HS (1947) Conceptions of Modern Psychiatry. W.A. White Psychiatric Foundation, Washington DC.
- 2. Bowlby J (1969) Attachment and Loss. 1. Attachment. Basic Books, New York.
- Meyer A, Winters EE (1951) The Collected Papers of Adolf Meyer: Psychiatry. Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD.
- Klerman GL, Weissman MM, Rounsaville BJ, Chevron ES (1984) Interpersonal psychotherapy of depression. Basic Books, New York.
- Cuijpers P, van Straten A, van Schaik A, Andersson G (2009) Psychological treatment of depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. Br J Gen Pract 59: e51-60.
- Cuijpers P, Geraedts AS, van Oppen P, Andersson G, Markowitz JC, et al. (2011) Interpersonal psychotherapy for depression: a meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 168: 581-592.
- Markowitz JC, Klerman GL (1993) Manual for interpersonal psychotherapy of dysthymia. Cornell University Medical College, Department of Psychiatry, New York.
- Markowitz JC (1996) Psychotherapy for dysthymic disorder. Psychiatr Clin North Am 19: 133-149.
- Browne G, Steiner M, Roberts J, Gafni A, Byrne C, et al. (2002) Sertraline and/ or interpersonal psychotherapy for patients with dysthymic disorder in primary care: 6-month comparison with longitudinal 2-year follow-up of effectiveness and costs. J Affect Disord 68: 317-330.
- Markowitz JC, Kocsis JH, Bleiberg KL, Christos PJ, Sacks M (2005) A comparative trial of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy for "pure" dysthymic patients. J Affect Disord 89: 167-175.
- Frank E (2005) Treating bipolar disorder: a clinician's guide to interpersonal and social rhythm therapy. Guilford Press, New York.
- Frank E (2007) Interpersonal and social rhythm therapy: a means of improving depression and preventing relapse in bipolar disorder. J Clin Psychol 63: 463-473
- Swartz HA, Frank E, Frankel DR, Novick D, Houck P (2009) Psychotherapy as monotherapy for the treatment of bipolar II depression: a proof of concept study. Bipolar Disord 11: 89-94.
- Swartz HA, Frank E, Frankel D (2008) [Interpersonal psychotherapy and social rhythm therapy for bipolar II disorder: treatment development and case examples]. Sante Ment Que 33: 151-184.
- Bouwkamp CG, de Kruiff ME, van Troost TM, Snippe D, Blom MJ, et al. (2013) Interpersonal and social rhythm group therapy for patients with bipolar disorder. Int J Group Psychother 63: 97-115.
- Rounsaville BJ, Glazer W, Wilber CH, Weissman MM, Kleber HD (1983) Shortterm interpersonal psychotherapy in methadone-maintained opiate addicts. Arch Gen Psychiatry 40: 629-636.
- Rounsaville BJ, Gawin F, Kleber H (1985) Interpersonal psychotherapy adapted for ambulatory cocaine abusers. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 11: 171-191.
- Carroll KM, Rounsaville BJ, Gawin FH (1991) A comparative trial of psychotherapies for ambulatory cocaine abusers: relapse prevention and interpersonal psychotherapy. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse 17: 229-247.

- 19. Bleiberg KL, Markowitz JC (2005) A pilot study of interpersonal psychotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 162: 181-183.
- 20. Lipsitz JD, Markowitz JC, Cherry S, Fyer AJ (1999) Open trial of interpersonal psychotherapy for the treatment of social phobia. Am J Psychiatry 156: 1814-
- 21. Lipsitz JD, Gur M, Miller NL, Forand N, Vermes D, et al. (2006) An open pilot study of interpersonal psychotherapy for panic disorder (IPT-PD). J Nerv Ment Dis 194: 440-445.
- 22. Vos SP, Huibers MJ, Diels L, Arntz A (2012) A randomized clinical trial of cognitive behavioral therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy for panic disorder with agoraphobia. Psychol Med 42: 2661-2672.
- 23. Markowitz JC, Lipsitz J, Milrod BL (2014) Critical review of outcome research on interpersonal psychotherapy for anxiety disorders. Depress Anxiety 31: 316-
- 24. Fairburn CG, Jones R, Peveler RC, Hope RA, O'Connor M (1993) Psychotherapy and bulimia nervosa. Longer-term effects of interpersonal psychotherapy, behavior therapy, and cognitive behavior therapy. Arch Gen Psychiatry 50: 419-428.
- 25. Agras WS, Walsh T, Fairburn CG, Wilson GT, Kraemer HC (2000) A multicenter comparison of cognitive-behavioral therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy for bulimia nervosa. Arch Gen Psychiatry 57: 459-466.
- 26. McIntosh VV, Jordan J, Carter FA, Luty SE, McKenzie JM, et al. (2005) Three psychotherapies for anorexia nervosa: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry 162: 741-747.
- 27. Murphy R, Straebler S, Basden S, Cooper Z, Fairburn CG (2012) Interpersonal psychotherapy for eating disorders. Clin Psychol Psychother 19: 150-158.
- 28. Gunderson JG, Ridolfi ME (2001) Borderline personality disorder. Suicidality and self-mutilation. Ann N Y Acad Sci 932: 61-73.
- 29. Torgersen S, Kringlen E, Cramer V (2001) The prevalence of personality disorders in a community sample. Arch Gen Psychiatry 58: 590-596.
- 30. Grant BF, Chou SP, Goldstein RB, Huang B, Stinson FS, et al. (2008) Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV borderline personality disorder: results from the wave 2 national epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related consitions. J Clin Psychiatry 69: 533-545.
- 31. Angus L, Gillies LA (1994) Counseling the borderline personality client: an interpersonal approach. Can J Couns 28: 69-82.
- 32. Markowitz JC, Skodol AE, Bleiberg K (2006) Interpersonal psychotherapy for borderline personality disorder: possible mechanisms of change. J Clin Psychol 62: 431-444.
- 33. American Psychiatric Association Practice Guidelines (2001) Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with borderline personality disorder. American Psychiatric Association. Am J Psychiatry 158: 1-52.

- 34. Oldham JM (2005) Guideline watch: Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with borderline personality disorder. American Psychiatric Association, Arlington (VA)
- 35. Herpertz SC, Zanarini M, Schultz CS, Siever L, Lieb K, et al. (2007) World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry (WFSBP) guidelines for Biological Treatment of Personality Disorders. World J Biol Psychiatry 8: 212-
- 36. Stoffers J, Völlm BA, Rücker G, Timmer A, Huband N, et al. (2010) Pharmacological interventions for borderline personality disorder. Cochrane Database Syst Rev: CD005653.
- 37. Marcovitz P (1995) Impulsivity and Aggression. Hollander E, Stein DJ (Eds.), John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 38. Salzman C, Wolfson AN, Schatzberg A, Looper J, Henke R, et al. (1995) Effect of fluoxetine on anger in symptomatic volunteers with borderline personality disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol 15: 23-29.
- 39. Coccaro EF, Kavoussi RJ (1997) Fluoxetine and impulsive aggressive behavior in personality-disordered subjects. Arch Gen Psychiatry 54: 1081-1088.
- 40. Simpson EB, Yen S, Costello E, Rosen K, Begin A, et al. (2004) Combined dialectical behavior therapy and fluoxetine in the treatment of borderline personality disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 65: 379-385.
- 41. Bellino S, Rinaldi C, Bogetto F (2010) Adaptation of interpersonal psychotherapy to borderline personality disorder: a comparison of combined therapy and single pharmacotherapy. Can J Psychiatry 55: 74-81.
- 42. Bozzatello P, Bellino S (2015) Combined therapy with interpersonal psychotherapy adapted for borderline personality disorder: a two-year followup. Psychiatry Res submitted.
- 43. Bellino S, Bozzatello P2, Bogetto F2 (2015) Combined treatment of borderline personality disorder with interpersonal psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy: predictors of response. Psychiatry Res 226: 284-288.
- 44. Meares R, Stevenson J, Comerford A (1999) Psychotherapy with borderline patients: I. A comparison between treated and untreated cohorts. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 33: 467-472.
- 45. Giesen-Bloo J, van Dyck R, Spinhoven P (2006) Outpatient therapy for borderline personality disorder: randomized trial of schema-focused therapy versus transference-focused therapy. Arch Gen Psychiatry 63: 649-708.
- 46. Black DW, Allen J, St John D (2009) Predictors of response to system training for emotional predictability and problem solving (STEPP) for borderline personality disorder: an exploratory model. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 120: 53-61.
- 47. Fonagy P, Bateman AW (2006) Mechanisms of change in mentalization-based treatment of BPD. J Clin Psychol 62: 411-430.
- 48. Linehan MM (1987) Dialectical behavior therapy for borderline personality disorder. Theory and method. Bull Menninger Clin 51: 261-276.

#### **OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features**

### Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

#### ecial features:

- 700 Open Access Journals
- 50,000 editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
  Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: http://www.omicsonline.org/submission

Citation: Bellino S, Bozzatello P (2015) Interpersonal Psychotherapy Adapted for Borderline Personality Disorder (IPT-BPD): A Review of Available Data and a Proposal of Revision. J Psychol Psychother 5: 229. doi:10.4172/2161-0487.1000229