

# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

*The final publication is available at Springer via http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10526-015-9676-1 (DOI 10.1007/s10526-015-9676-1)* 

- 1 Non-target host risk assessment for the parasitoid *Torymus sinensis*

# 6 Abstract

7	Torymus sinensis Kamijo (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) has been released throughout Italy for
8	biological control of the chestnut gall wasp. In response to concern about non-target impacts
9	associated with the introduction of this exotic biological control agent, this study aimed at
10	investigating T. sinensis's host range. In total, 1,371 non-target galls were collected in north-central
11	Italy in a two-year period, representing nine different species. Collections were carried out on
12	common oak, downy oak, sessile oak, Turkey oak, and wild rose.
13	A total of five native torymid species were recorded from the non-target galls (Megastigmus
14	dorsalis, Torymus affinis, T. auratus, T. flavipes, and T. geranii), and three 33 T. sinensis
15	individuals emerged from Biorhiza pallida galls collected in the field. Under controlled conditions,
16	most of the non-target galls tested were not suitable hosts for oviposition. T. sinensis females only
17	laid eggs on Andricus curvator. In olfactometer bioassays, higher numbers of T. sinensis females
18	showed more interest to the chestnut galls compared to non-target hosts. This data highlights how T.
19	sinensis has a broader ecological host range than reported in the literature and that it is attracted by
20	non-target hosts other than D. kuriphilus.
21	
22	
23	Keywords: Torymus sinensis, risk assessment, host specificity, chestnut gall, oak gall
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

## 32 Introduction

Biological control of arthropod pests, weeds and plant diseases has been practiced for centuries. It is 33 a cost-effective, environmentally friendly approach to resolve pest problems in terrestrial and 34 35 aquatic ecosystems. Classical biological control, in particular, involves selecting natural enemies of invasive species in their native range and releasing them in a recently invaded environment. It can 36 assure lasting, highly selective, and effective pest control (McEvoy 1996), but in addition to 37 providing a long-term benefit, the dispersal and permanent establishment of a beneficial insect for 38 39 biological control leads to an irreversible situation with the potential to cause negative consequences to species other than the target pest (Andreassen et al. 2009; Brodeur 2012). 40 41 Prey/host specificity appears to be one of the most variable biological traits of biocontrol agents; 42 natural enemies currently used in biological control programmes may show various degrees of specificity, ranging from organisms having a narrow host range restricted to a single species or 43 44 genus to those with a wide spectrum of potential hosts covering several orders, classes or even 45 kingdoms. Specificity primarily establishes the intrinsic potential of a given species to become an 46 efficient safe natural enemy of a target pest (Brodeur 2012). Risks to non-target species from 47 biological control programmes were already noted in the 1980s; issues concern the risks, if any, that biological control agents introduced to new countries may pose, causing a decline in species that are 48 not the target pest (Howarth, 1991; Strand and Obricky 1996; Brodeur 2012). 49 50 Torymus sinensis Kamijo is a biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp Dryocosmus 51 kuriphilus Yasumatsu that has been distributed in Japan (1975), the USA (in the late 1970s), Italy 52 (2005), and France (2011) (Moriva et al. 1989; Cooper and Rieske 2007; Quacchia et al. 2008; Borowiec et al. 2014). It is reported in the literature as univoltine like its host, predominantly 53 54 reproducing amphigonically, even if recent evidence proved it may exhibit a prolonged diapause 55 mainly as late instar larva. After emergence, that takes place in early spring, and mating, the female lays eggs inside the larval chamber of newly formed galls, usually one egg per host larva. After 56

hatching the larva feeds ectoparasitically on the host larva, and it pupates in the host larval chamber
during winter (Moriya et al., 1990; Ferracini et al. 2015).

59 The introduction of this parasitoid is widely known as one of the typical successful cases of 60 classical biological control in Japan and Italy too (Moriya et al. 2003; Ferracini et al. 2015); however, the risks of the agent concerning potential negative effects on non-target native gall 61 makers mainly present on oaks (*Quercus* spp.) and closely related parasitoids have never been 62 evaluated thoroughly. Murakami et al. (1977) reported T. sinensis, among the Chinese parasitoids, 63 64 as the only species host specific and synchronous with the chestnut gall wasp, but we currently know little about its host range and host specificity in its native or introduced ranges. A few limited 65 66 host range tests were carried out under laboratory conditions with alternative host galls from Mikiola fagi Hartig (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), and on asexual generation of the oak gall wasps 67 Cynips quercusfolii L. and Andricus kollari Hartig (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) in 2004 (Quacchia et 68 69 al. 2008), but no oviposition ever occurred. More preliminary tests with seven oak gall species [A. 70 crispator Tschek, A. curvator Hartig, A. cydoniae Giraud, A. grossulariae Giraud, A. multiplicatus 71 Giraud, Biorhiza pallida Olivier, and D. cerriphilus Giraud (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae)] were also 72 performed in 2014, supporting the specificity thesis further (Quacchia et al. 2014). In response to concern about non-target impacts associated with the introduction of this exotic 73 74 biological control agent, the EFSA Panel on Plant Health established a new alternative host species 75 list for testing the host-specificity of T. sinensis, comprising galls which may be more susceptible to 76 attack during the period that females are searching for hosts, such as A. curvator sexual generation; A. cydoniae sexual generation; A. grossulariae sexual generation; A. inflator sexual generation; A. 77 78 lucidus sexual generation; A. multiplicatus sexual generation; B. pallida sexual generation; D. 79 *cerriphilus* sexual and asexual generation; *Neuroterus quercusbaccarum* (L.) sexual generation) 80 (EFSA Panel On Plant Health 2010). The present study aimed at investigating T. sinensis's host range, with particular regard to the oak 81

82 galls species listed by EFSA Panel On Plant Health (2010). No choice trials were carried out

applying recently-developed protocols for host/prey range testing, considering the hypothesis that
species most closely related taxonomically and ecologically to the target are more likely to be
utilized as hosts by the biological control agent being tested (Kuhlmann et al. 2006; van Lenteren et
al. 2006). Furthermore, olfactometer bioassays were performed to assess the attractiveness of
volatiles for *T. sinensis* females; experiments were conducted to test the response of the parasitoid
comparing chestnut galls, reported in literature as the only target host, *versus* non target oak galls.

## 89 Materials and methods

90 <u>Insect</u>

All T. sinensis used in the trials emerged from parasitized chestnut galls randomly collected, by 91 92 hand from low branches (from ground level to 2 m high) and with the aid of lopping shears from the 93 medium-high tree crown (from 2 to 5 m high), once a year, in winter, both in 2013 and 2014 in 94 chestnut orchards in Piemonte region, where the parasitoid was first released in 2005 and then 95 successfully established. The galls were kept in cardboard boxes outdoors from January to April 96 until emergence of the parasitoids (Tmin=-1.9, Tmax=16.3°C, RHmin=67.8=, RHmax=78.8% in 97 2013; Tmin=0.8°C, Tmax=19.3°C, RHmin=64.5=, RHmax=81.1% in 2014). Newly emerged 98 females were fed every 48 h with drops of honey on cardboard and individually kept in glass tubes 99 (120 mm in height by 18 mm in diameter), with no previous contact with a host, in a climatic 100 chamber at  $15 \pm 1$  °C,  $60\pm 5\%$  RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. 101 Gall collection Non-target galls were collected in 4 regions (2 sites per region): Liguria [Borzonasca (GE) 102 103 44°26'01.6''N 9°23'45.9''E, Sassello (SV) 44°29'33.7''N 8°33'17.9''E], Piemonte [Molare (AL) 44°34'40.2''N 8°36'10.5''E, Pianfei (CN) 44°19'41.2''N 7°40'58.9''E], Toscana [Marradi (FI) 104 105 44°04'53.1''N 11°38'17.8''E, Piazza al Serchio (LU) 44°10'31.8''N 10°17'14.9''E], Valle d'Aosta

- 106 [Arnad (AO) 45°38'22.7''N 7°43'41.8''E Perloz 45°36'53.9''N 7°48'24.4''E] (Figure 1).
- 107 Collections were carried out on common oak (Quercus robur L.), downy oak (Q. pubescens
- 108 Willdenow), sessile oak (Q. petraea (Mattuschka) Lieblein), Turkey oak (Q. cerris L.), and wild

109 rose (Rosa spp. L.). The selection of non-target oak hosts was based on the species list for host-110 specificity testing established by EFSA Panel On Plant Health (2010), and host galls from the genus Rosa, since their abundance in the wood, were tested as well as suggested by Gibbs et al. (2011). 111 112 Investigations were carried out over a 2-year period (2013–2014) in all regions and in the same sites. Withered galls were collected in January-February in order to verify if T. sinensis may emerge 113 114 from non-target hosts, and fresh galls were collected in April-May (during T. sinensis emergence) 115 both on non-target hosts and chestnut trees (used as a control), to perform oviposition trials and 116 olfactometer bioassays under controlled conditions.

Sampled trees and shrubs were located in mixed forests close to infested chestnut stands where *T*. *sinensis* was previously released in order to evaluate a potential shift from chestnut to non-target
hosts. In the Table 1 the years of release and the coordinates of *T. sinensis* release points are
provided. Collections were made by hand from low branches (from ground level to 2 m high) and
with the aid of lopping shears from the medium-high tree crown (from 2 to 5 m high). Galls were
stored in plastic bags, transferred immediately to the laboratory, and identified using voucher
specimens deposited at the DISAFA-Entomology laboratory.

124 Withered galls were individually isolated in plastic containers (70 mm in height by 55 mm in

diameter) with a fine-gauze lid, and stored outdoors within 24 hrs from collection. Containers were

126 checked weekly, and then daily after the first parasitoid emerged. Fresh galls were kept in climatic

127 chamber at 15  $\pm$ 1 °C, 60 $\pm$ 5% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h and used in the trials within 24

128 hrs from collection. Containers were kept outdoors from January to December until emergence of

129 the parasitoids (Tmin=-1.9, Tmax=29.1°C, RHmin=61.7%=, RHmax=83.4% in 2013; Tmin=0.8°C,

130 Tmax=26.3°C, RHmin=63.0%=, RHmax=89.7% in 2014).

131 <u>No choice oviposition trials</u>

132 These tests, based on the 'no choice black box test' (van Lenteren et al. 2006), aimed to test *T*.

133 *sinensis*'s host range, investigating the parasitoid's ability to develop in non-target hosts. No choice

134 exposures to non-target hosts were conducted in an enclosed arena in order to maximise the

likelihood of non-target attack. Four fresh oak gall species included in the EFSA list were tested in
2013 (*A. curvator*, *A. grossulariae*, *B. pallida*, and *N. quercusbaccarum*), and only two in 2014 (*A. curvator*, and *B. pallida*).

A single fresh non-target gall was offered to a 6-d-old naïve *T. sinensis* female placed on a wet filter paper inside a Petri dish (diameter, 10 cm) in order to obtain data on its behaviour in relation to parasitism, with a minimum of 20 replications for each gall species. Observations were performed using a stereomicroscope for 1 hour. Three behavioural sequences were recorded: host location, defined as a walk on the gall locating the host through vibrotaxis, attempted oviposition, defined as the attempted insertion of the ovipositor, and oviposition, defined as successful insertion of the ovipositor followed by the pumping action of the abdomen.

At the end of the observation the female was then removed from the arena and individually isolated 145 in a Petri dish containing a fresh unparasitised chestnut gall as control, and the three behavioural 146 147 sequences were recorded following the same procedure described above. All the tested galls were 148 individually stored in glass tubes (120 mm in height by 18 mm in diameter), and then dissected with 149 the aid of a scalpel using a stereomicroscope. Since eggs may have escaped detection, galls were 150 stored in a climatic chamber at 24±2°C, 50±10% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h for 10 days to ease the detection of the parasitoid at larval stage. All the trials were performed in laboratory 151 conditions. To avoid any influence in the behaviour of the parasitoid, chestnut galls were collected 152 153 in Alto Adige region in a site with no presence of T. sinensis. On the contrary, since during 154 collection it was not possible to detect previously parasitised galls (e.g. by visual inspection), oak galls were discarded after the trials if any native parasitoid larva was identified by molecular 155 156 analysis after dissection.

157 <u>Olfactometer bioassays</u>

158 In the olfactometer bioassays, 6-d-old *T. sinensis* females were used to assess their olfactory

responses to the odours of the chestnut gall (used as a control) and 6 non-target galls (A. curvator,

160 A. cydoniae, A. grossulariae, A. multiplicatus, B. pallida, N. quercusbaccarum) as alternative hosts.

161 Before the trials, the insects were individually kept at room temperature without any host in a glass 162 tube for 18 h with a humid cotton cap and microdrops of honey to acclimate the wasps to the experimental conditions. The bioassays were carried out in a horizontal Y-shaped Pyrex tube 163 164 following the procedure described for another wasp, *Necremnus* spp. (Ferracini et al. 2012). The air flow was provided by an air pump (Air 275R, Sera, Heinsberg, Germany) and then filtered in an 165 activated CO2 filter, regulated with a flowmeter at 2.5 liters/min (EK-2NRK, Comer, Bologna, 166 Italy) and humidified in a 1-liter water bubbler half filled with deionized water. After the air flow 167 168 was established, a single parasitoid female was introduced into the entry arm. Each female was observed until she had moved at least 2 cm up one of the side arms or until 10 min had elapsed. For 169 170 each test the same odour sources were used while concerning the wasps, a female was evaluated only once to prevent any behaviour conditioned by experience. The odour sources chosen by 171 172 females that responded were recorded. Thirty responses were recorded for each pair of odour 173 sources. After testing five females, the odour sources were switched between the left-hand and right-hand side arms to minimize any spatial effect on choices. The Y-tube and cameras were 174 175 cleaned with mild soap and alcohol (70% v) and sterilized in an autoclave at 120°C for 20 min. The 176 olfactory bioassays were conducted at 24±2°C, 50±10% RH, and 250±10 lux.

# 177 <u>Parasitoid identification</u>

Among all the parasitoids emerged from non-target hosts, only the torymid species were
morphologically identified using specific dichotomous keys (Kamijo 1982; de Vere Graham and
Gijswijt 1998) and by comparison with voucher specimens deposited at the DISAFA-Entomology
laboratory. Doubtful species and larvae recorded in dissected galls in the no choice oviposition
trials were submitted to DNA extraction and then sequenced for the cytochrome oxidase I (COI)
gene following Kaartinen et al. (2010).

184 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

In the behavioural trials the numbers of times that *T. sinensis* females engaged in three types of
behaviour (host location, attempted oviposition, and oviposition) were recorded, and means were

analyzed for each non-target gall to *D. kuriphilus* gall (as control) by paired t-tests for dependent
samples. In the olfactory bioassays, the responses of parasitoid females were analysed by a chisquare test. The null hypothesis was that parasitoid females had a 50:50 distribution across the two

190 odour sources. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

191 **Results** 

192 In total, 1,371 non-target galls were collected over the 2-year period, corresponding to 4 different genera: Andricus, Biorhiza, Diplolepis, and Neuroterus (Table 2). The galls found most frequently 193 194 were the sexual generations of *B. pallida* (856), while only 3 galls from *A. grossulariae* and 1 gall 195 from A. lucidus were recorded. A total of 707 native torymid specimens emerged from the isolated 196 galls, belonging to 5 species: Megastigmus dorsalis, Torymus affinis, T. auratus, T. flavipes, and T. geranii (Table 3). The most frequent species was T. flavipes (381 specimens), while M. dorsalis (6 197 198 specimens), and T. geranii (3 specimens) were recorded sporadically. B. pallida galls proved to be 199 parasitized by all the parasitoid species recorded, except for M. dorsalis, which was recorded 200 emerging from galls of A. cydoniae, A. lucidus, and A. multiplicatus. T. geranii emerged only from 201 B. pallida galls, and the only species recorded emerging from D. rosae was T. auratus. 202 In addition to native torymid species, in 2013 a total of 3 337. *sinensis* individuals emerged from 203 non-target *B. pallida* galls collected in the Piemonte region in both surveyed sites (2 강강 from 204 Pianfei and 1  $\stackrel{\frown}{\bigcirc}$  from Molare). The cytochrome oxidase I gene obtained from the specimens 205 submitted to molecular identification was sequenced and sequences were compared with those in 206 the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) sequence database. In all cases, a 207 minimum of 99 % similarity with T. sinensis-related sequences was observed. 208 No other emergence of the exotic parasitoid was recorded for the other non-target oak galls nor for 209 D. rosae during the surveyed period (Table 3). All the torymid species emerged from the withered 210 non-target galls were collected between April and May, depending on the site.

211 No choice oviposition trials

In the no choice oviposition trials all the behavioural traits recorded on non-target and target hosts

are reported in Table 4. In the close confinement imposed by the experimental design, *T. sinensis* 

females responded to all non-target and target species by locating and investigating the hosts. The

- number of host location events was significantly lower for A. curvator both in 2013 and 2014 (t
- 216 test=-4.59; df=29; P<0.001 in 2013; t test=-2.99; df=29; P=0.008 in 2014), and for *A. grossulariae*
- 217 (t test=-3.14; df=19; P=0.005), and *N. quercusbaccarum* (t test=-4.36; df=19; P<0.001) compared to
- the control, while for *B. pallida* no significant differences were revealed (t-test=-0.82; df=19;

P=0.42 in 2013; t-test=-1.18; df=19; P=0.25 in 2014). Attempts of oviposition were observed when

the parasitoid was offered *B. pallida* galls both in 2013 and in 2014 (t-test=1.83; df=19; P=0.08 in

221 2013; t-test=1.79; df=19; P=0.09 in 2014), but no oviposition ever occurred.

222 Only in 2014 both host location and oviposition was observed when the parasitoid was offered a

223 non-target gall. In fact, 6 T. sinensis females out of the 20 tested showed interest in a non-target

host, laying eggs in *A. curvator* galls (each female laid one egg per gall), although this was

significantly lower that the number of *T. sinensis* females that subsequently oviposited on the

control, *D. kuriphilus* galls (t-test=-3.25; df=19; P=0.004).

227 In the non-target galls tested no native parasitoid was detected by molecular analysis carried out

after dissection. In the control trials oviposition occurred in 96% of the chestnut galls tested.

The cytochrome oxidase I gene obtained from each of the larvae found in the dissected galls, both

on non-target and target hosts, was submitted to molecular identification, sequenced and the

sequences compared with those in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)

sequence database. In all cases, a minimum of 99% similarity with *T. sinenisis*-related sequences

was observed.

## 234 <u>Olfactometer bioassays</u>

In the olfactometer bioassays all the *T. sinensis* females tested responded by making a choice within the fixed time. Higher numbers of *T. sinensis* females were attracted to the chestnut galls compared to non-target hosts. In particular, significant differences in the responses of adults were found when chestnut gall was compared to A. cydoniae ( $\chi^2$ =6.53; df=1; P=0.01), and A. grossulariae ( $\chi^2$ =13.33;

239 df=1; P<0.01), while for *A. curvator* ( $\chi^2$ =3.33; df=1; P=0.07), *A. multiplicatus* ( $\chi^2$ =3.33; df=1;

240 P=0.07), *B. pallida* ( $\chi^2$ =3.33; df=1; P=0.07), and *N. quercusbaccarum* ( $\chi^2$ =2.13; df=1; P=0.14) no

significant differences were observed (Figure 2).

#### 242 Discussion

In recent years there has been growing concern about the potential or actual threat presented by
alien entomophagous biological control agents to populations of native non-target arthropod species
(López-Vaamonde and Moore 1998). The use of tests to assess plants as potential hosts for
herbivorous insects began over 70 years ago and has long been routine. In contrast, interest in
estimating parasitoid and predator host ranges has lagged considerably behind (van Driesche and
Murray 2004).

A full environmental risk assessment relies on the identification and evaluation of potential risks associated with natural enemy release and the development of a plan to minimize them. That is why in a classical biological program it is extremely important, prior to releasing the exotic natural enemy, to identify, assess and weigh all adverse and beneficial effects in a risk-cost benefit assessment (Gibbs et al. 2011).

The set of species that can support the development of a parasitoid or serve as prey for a predator— 254 255 observed under laboratory conditions exclusively-is defined as the fundamental host range of a 256 potential agent, also termed the physiological host range. In contrast, the ecological host range is defined as the current and evolving set of host species actually used for successful reproduction in 257 the field (Onstad and Mcmanus 1996; Haye et al. 2005). However, assessment of the host range of a 258 259 biological control agent in the laboratory often yields a significantly broader fundamental host 260 range in comparison to the ecological host range (Haye et al. 2005), overestimating the field host 261 range. Generally, the results of the host specificity study constitute a key factor in the risk analysis performed before an exotic beneficial arthropod can be safely utilized as a biological control agent. 262

In the last decade annual chestnut production in Italy underwent several drops, mainly ascribed to
adverse climatic conditions and pests. In particular, the Asian chestnut gall wasp has been
responsible for a severe reduction in fruiting, with yield losses estimated to reach between 65% and
85% in northern Italy (Bosio et al. 2013; Battisti et al. 2014), with a heavy economic impact on
Italian chestnut production.

From the first report of this pest in Italy in 2002, following the successful experiences in Japan and 268 North America, and due to the severity of the problem, pros and cons in the release of the exotic 269 270 parasitoid were balanced, and biological control was considered the only economically and environmentally sustainable solution to deal with the pest promptly, since in the literature 271 272 alternative approaches (e.g. chemical treatments, resistant varieties) were all found infeasible. In its native distribution, D. kuriphilus populations are controlled by natural enemies. In all the countries 273 274 invaded by the pest a rich parasitoid community has been reported, but the attack rates have 275 remained low (typically less than 2%) (Aebi et al., 2007; Gibbs et al., 2011; Quacchia et al., 2013). 276 Introductions of exotic organisms carry with it some unknown level of environmental risk, but these 277 risks must be weighed against the consequences of not initiating biological control, which can also 278 include serious environmental as well as economic consequences (Heimpel et al., 2004). The 279 releases of T. sinensis carried out aided in restoring a habitat to similar conditions as those observed 280 prior to the pest introduction, representing a large benefit for the chestnuts and chestnut growers. 281 Even though the host range of T. sinensis has never been studied or tested in detail in either its 282 native or introduced ranges over a long period of time, the parasitoid was considered specific to D. kuriphilus (Murakami et al. 1977; Quacchia et al. 2014). 283

Nevertheless the host range of an apparently strictly monophagous parasitoid species may not be constant, either in space or time; it could expand in environments with greater diversity and hence have a larger number of new potential hosts (López-Vaamonde and Moore 1998). Attacking nontarget hosts is of concern due to the potential harm that exotic natural enemies may impose on native or beneficial exotic species (Nadel et al. 2009). However, the risk to non-target species is complex and difficult to estimate; guidelines for appropriate host range tests have been proposed by
the EFSA Panel on Plant Health (2010). Following this suggestion, our approach was to assess *T*. *sinensis*'s capacity to attack and reproduce on non-target gall species inhabiting common habitat
with *Castanea* trees.

293 Even if, to date, no severe non-target effects have ever been reported in the literature after the 294 release of *T. sinensis*, this paper represents the first report of potential negative effects on non-target 295 native galls makers mainly present on oaks (Quercus spp.) by introducing the exotic parasitoid T. 296 sinensis as a biological control agent for the chestnut gall wasp, D. kuriphilus. In contrast to Quacchia et al. (2008; 2014), who confirmed a high level of specificity for T. sinensis on the basis 297 298 of a set of non-target species tested, our study highlights how *B. pallida* oak galls proved to be 299 successfully parasitized. Even if the case record was low (3 galls parasitised by T. sinensis out of 856 collected in the field), this finding suggests that this oak gall species is a suitable host for the 300 301 exotic parasitoid. In 2013 the emergence of T. sinensis was recorded only from B. pallida galls 302 collected in both surveyed sites of Piemonte region; that is why, due to the considerable presence of 303 B. pallida in our environment, a mass collection of this gall species was performed in 2014, but no 304 other emergence was recorded. In the laboratory the conditions under which non-target tests are 305 conducted may also limit interpretation of the host range. Test arenas confine the parasitoid with the 306 host and may force encounters with non-target hosts, increasing the probability of the parasitoid 307 accepting completely factitious hosts (Mason et al. 2011). Nevertheless, in the no choice oviposition 308 trials, when they were offered to T. sinensis females oviposition only occurred in 2014 on A. 309 *curvator*; since galls were dissected to detect the presence of the larvae, no data is available about 310 their potential development to the adult stage. Some probing attempts were recorded on B. pallida, 311 but no oviposition ever occurred.

And in general, *T. sinensis* proved to be more attracted by chestnut galls compared to non-target hosts, showing a similar responsiveness in the olfactometer bioassays as well. Statistical differences were observed only for *A. cydoniae* and *A. grossulariae*, but the interest showed by the parasitoid

for *A. curvator*, *A. multiplicatus*, *B. pallida*, and *N. quercusbaccarum* have to be further
investigated.

In the oviposition trials, all the parasitoid females were naïve and tests were conducted only under 317 318 no choice conditions. In accordance with Withers and Brown (2005), no choice tests with both 319 naïve and oviposition-experienced females should be performed because it has been shown that 320 oviposition experience may either reduce or enhance responsiveness. Furthermore, since parasitoids 321 can display wider host ranges in choice tests, it would be useful to set up choice trials where the 322 parasitoid is given a choice of host and non-target host for a more accurate prediction of potential host range. In fact, parasitoid response is generally expected to be biased toward the familiar host, 323 324 especially after the parasitoid successfully oviposits in it (Nadel et al. 2009). In this study we confirmed that T. sinensis has a broader physiological host range than reported in 325 326 the literature and determined that it may be attracted by non-target hosts other than D. kuriphilus.

327 The assessment of risk requires consideration of the likelihood and magnitude of an effect and

evaluation of risk management priorities (Moeed et al., 2006). Methods for quantifying the

329 magnitude and spatiotemporal scale of impact of exotic natural enemies on populations of native

insects are crucial to advance current risk assessment (Wyckhuys et al., 2009), however, the

incidence of these host shift in the complex chestnut-oak is currently difficult to be quantified in thenatural environments.

Over the 2-year period, in order to monitor the potential emergence of *T. sinensis*, 8 oak gall species
suggested in the EFSA list were collected, while in the oviposition trials 4 non-target species out of

335 9 were tested. At present, research is ongoing to test A. cydoniae, A. inflator, A. lucidus, A.

336 *multiplicatus*, and *D. cerriphilus* in controlled conditions as possible hosts for *T. sinensis*, since they

provide the closest phenological match to the flight period of the parasitoid (i.e. between April and

338 May in Italy) (EFSA Panel On Plant Health Aebi et al., 2011). Further investigations need also to

be performed on *B. pallida*, given the emergence of the parasitoid from galls collected in the field

and the interest showed by some females in the oviposition trials.

Food availability is an important aspect that may influence the biological traits of many arthropods. 341 Recently a novel insight concerning T. sinensis's life cycle was highlighted in this regard: a 342 prolongation of diapause was in fact reported. Even if it is hard to speculate what are the factors that 343 344 triggered this response, this may be read as an adaptive value to protect the population against the yearly fluctuation in food supply (Ferracini et al. 2015). At present, there is growing evidence that 345 the *T. sinensis* parasitism rate is dramatically increasing in some Italian regions, almost reaching 346 98% in old release sites (Bosio et al., 2013), and parasitisation on non-target hosts was recorded 347 348 only in sites where a stable population of the exotic parasitoid is present, (Piemonte region), since the first releases date back to 2005. Hence, a host-shift to oak galls may be due to the need to find 349 350 another suitable host since populations of the Asian chestnut gall wasp have recently declined 351 significantly (Ferracini et al. 2015), and even though the frequency of cases of observed non-target impacts were small, major effects on non-target galls populations could be expected to be 352 353 detectable. Hence, longer term studies are necessary to allow more precise conclusions to be drawn 354 on non target impacts, that is why an exhaustive research about all potential non-target galls and 355 their phenology is needed in order to better understand the relationship between the exotic 356 parasitoid and native biocoenoses. At the same time, since in this paper four native Torymus species emerged from non target galls during T. sinensis flight period as well, and in literature five native 357 species [T. auratus, T. erucarum (Schrank), T. flavipes, T. geranii, and T. scutellaris (Walker)] are 358 359 reported from chestnut galls (Alma et al., 2015), an evaluation of the potential for hybridization 360 between these congeneric species is also required in order to have a comprehensive knowledge of 361 the environmental risk to non-target species that T. sinensis may pose to native biodiversity.

#### 362 **References**

- Aebi A, Schönenberger N, Melika G, Quacchia A, Alma A, Stone GN (2007) Native and introduced
   parasitoids attacking the invasive chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. EPPO Bull 37:
   166-171
- Aebi A, Schönenberger N, Bigler F (2011) Evaluating the use of *Torymus sinensis* against the
   chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Canton Ticino, Switzerland. Agroscope
   Reckenholz-Tänikon Report, pp 71
- Alma A, Ferracini C, Sartor C, Ferrari E, Botta R (2015) Il cinipide orientale del castagno: lotta
  biologica e sensibilità varietale. Italus Hortus (in print)
- Andreassen LD, Kuhulmann U, Mason PG, Holliday NJ (2009) Host range testing of a prospective
   classical biological control agent against cabbage maggot, *Delia radicum*, in Canada. Biol
   Control 48:210-220
- Battisti A, Benvegnù I, Colombari F, Haack RA (2014) Invasion by the chestnut gall wasp in Italy
  causes significant yield loss in *Castanea sativa* nut production. Agric Forest Entomol 16:75-79
- Borowiec N, Thaon M, Brancaccio L, Warot S, Vercken E, Fauvergue X, Ris N, Malausa JC (2014)
- 377 Classical biological control against the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*378 (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in France. Plant Prot Q 29(1):7-10
- Bosio G, Armando M, Moriya S (2013) Verso il controllo biologico del cinipide del castagno.
  Inftore Agrario 4(14):60-64
- Brodeur (2012) Host specificity in biological control: insights from opportunistic pathogens. Evol
  Appl 5:470-480
- Castellini A, Palmieri A, Pirazzoli C (2009) Economic aspects of chestnut market in Italy. Acta
  Hortic 866:485-492
- Cooper WR, Rieske LK (2007) Community associates of an exotic gallmaker, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), in Eastern North America. Ann Entomol Soc Am
   100(2):236-244

- de Vere Graham MWR, Gijswijt MJ (1998) Revision of the European species of *Torymus* Dalman
  (s. Lat.) (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Zool Verh Leiden 317:1-202
- 390 EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) (2010) Risk assessment of the oriental chestnut gall wasp,
   391 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* for the EU territory on request from the European Commission. EFSA
   392 J 8:1619
- Ferracini C, Ingegno BL, Navone P, Ferrari E, Mosti M, Tavella L, Alma A (2012) Adaptation of
  indigenous larval parasitoids to *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Italy. J Econ
  Entomol 105:1311-1319
- 396 Ferracini C, Gonella E, Ferrari E, Saladini MA, Picciau L, Tota F, Pontini M, Alma A (2015) Novel
- insight in the life cycle of *Torymus sinensis*, biocontrol agent of the chestnut gall wasp.
  BioControl, 60: 169-177.
- Gibbs M, Schönrogge K, Alma A, Melika G, Quacchia A, Stone GN, Aebi A (2011) *Torymus sinensis*: a viable management option for the biological control of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in
  Europe? BioControl 56:527-538
- 402 Haye T, Goulet H, Mason PG, Kuhlmann U (2005) Does fundamental host range match ecological
- 403 host range? A retrospective case study of a *Lygus* plant bug parasitoid. Biol Control 35:55-67
- Heimpel GE, Ragsdale DW, Venette R, Hopper KR, O'Neil RJ, Rutledge CE, Wu Z (2004)
  Prospects for Importation Biological Control of the Soybean Aphid: Anticipating Potential
  Costs and Benefits. Ann Entomol Soc Am 97(2): 249-258
- 407 Howarth FG (1991) Environmental impacts of classical biological control. Annu Rev Entomol
  408 36:485-509
- Kaartinen R, Stone GN, Hearn J, Lohse K, Roslin T (2010) Revealing secret liaisons: DNA
  barcoding changes our understanding of food webs. Ecol Entomol 35:623-638
- 411 Kamijo K (1982) Two new species of Torymus (Hymenoptera, Torymidae) reared from
- 412 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in China and Korea. Kontyû 50:505-510

413	Kuhlmann U, Schaffner U, Mason PG (2006) Selection of nontarget species for host-specificity
414	testing. In: Bigler F, Babendreier D, Kuhlmann U (eds) Environmental impact of invertebrates
415	for biological control of arthropods: methods and risk assessment. CABI Publishing,
416	Wallingford, UK, pp 15-37
417	López-Vaamonde C, Moore D (1998) Developing methods for testing host specificity of
418	Phymastichus coffea LaSalle (Hym.: Tetrastichinae), a potential biological control agent of
419	Hypothenemus hampei (Ferrari) (Col.: Scolytidae) in Colombia, Biocontrol Sci Technol
420	8(3):397-411
421	Mason PG, Broadbent AB, Whistlecraft JW, Gillespie DR (2011) Interpreting the host range of
422	Peristenus digoneutis and Peristenus relictus (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) biological control
423	agents of Lygus spp. (Hemiptera: Miridae) in North America. Biol Control 57:94-102
424	Mc Evoy (1996) Host specificity and biological pest control. BioSci 46(6):401-405
425	Moeed A, Hickson R, barratt BIP (2006) Principles of environmental risk assessment with emphasis
426	on the New Zealand perspective. In: Bigler F, Babendreier D, Kuhlmann U (eds)
427	Environmental impact of invertebrates for biological control of arthropods: methods and risk
428	assessment. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp 241-253
429	Moriya S, Inoue K, Ôtake A, Shiga M, Mabuchi M (1989) Decline of the chestnut gall wasp
430	population, Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) after the
431	establishment of Torymus sinensis Kamijo (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Appl Entomol Zool
432	24:231-233
433	Moriya S, Inoue K, Mabuchi M (1990) The use of Torymus sinensis to control chestnut gall wasp,
434	Dryocosmus kuriphilus, in Japan. The use of natural enemies to control agricultural pest.
435	FFTC Book series No. 40
436	Moriya S, Shiga M, Adachi I (2003) Classical biological control of the chestnut gall wasp in Japan.
437	In: van Driesche RG (ed) Proceedings of the 1 <sup>st</sup> International Symposium on Biological
438	Control of Arthropods. USDA Forest Service, Washington, pp 407-415

439	Murakami Y, Umeya K, Oho N (1977) A preliminary introduction and released of a parasitoid
440	(Chalcidoidea, Torymidae) of the chestnut gall wasp Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu. Jpn
441	J Appl Entomol Zool 21:197-203

- 442 Nadel H, Daane KM, Hoelmer KA, Pickett CH, Johnson MW (2009) Non-target host risk
- assessment of the idiobiont parasitoid *Bracon celer* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for biological
  control of olive fruit fly in California. Biocontrol Sci Technol 19(7):701-715
- 445 Onstad DW, McManus ML (1996) Risks of Host Range Expansion by Parasites of Insects. BioSci
  446 46(6):430-435
- 447 Quacchia A, Moriya S, Bosio G, Scapin G, Alma A (2008) Rearing, release and settlement prospect
  448 in Italy of *Torymus sinensis*, the biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus*
- 449 *kuriphilus*. BioControl 53:829-839
- Quacchia A, Ferracini C, Nicholls JA, Piazza E, Saladini MA, Tota F, Melika G, Alma A (2013)
  Chalcid parasitoid community associated with the invading pest *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in
  north-western Italy. Insect Conserv Diver 6 (2):114-123
- 453 Quacchia A, Moriya S, Askew R, Schönrogge K (2014) *Torymus sinensis*: biology, host range and
  454 hybridization. Acta Hortic 1043:105-111
- 455 Strand MR, Obricky JJ (1996) Host Specificity of Insect Parasitoids and Predators. BioSci
  456 46(6):422-429
- van Driesche RG, Murray TJ (2004) Overview of testing schemes and designs used to estimate host
  ranges. In: Assessing host ranges of parasitoids and predators used for classical biological
  control: a guide to best practice. R.G. Van Driesche, T. Murray, and R. Reardon (eds), Forest
  Health technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA, pp. 68-89
- 461 van Lenteren JC, Bale J, Bigler F, Hokkanen HMT, Loomans AJM (2006) Assessing risks of
  462 releasing exotic biological control agents of arthropod pests. Annu Rev Entomol 51:609–634
- 463 Withers TM, Brown LB (2005) Behavioral and physiological processes affecting outcomes of host
- 464 range testing. In: Assessing host ranges of parasitoids and predators used for classical

- biological control: a guide to best practice. R.G. Van Driesche, T. Murray, and R. Reardon
- 466 (eds), Forest Health technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA, pp. 40-55
- Wyckhuys KAG, Koch RL, Kula RR, Heimpel GE (2009) Potential exposure of a classical
  biological control agent of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines*, on non-target aphids in North
- 469 America. Biol Invasions 11:857–871
- 470
- 471

Italian regions	Surveyed sites	Year of release	Coordinates
Liguria	Borzonasca	2012	44°26'01.6"N 9°23'45.9"E
	Sassello	2011	44°29'33.7"N 8°33'17.9"E
Piemonte	Molare	2012	44°34'40.2"N 8°36'10.5"E
	Pianfei	2008	44°19'41.2"N 7°40'58.9"E
Toscana	Marradi	2010	44°04'53.1"N 11°38'17.8"E
	Piazza al Serchio	2011	44°10'31.8"N 10°17'14.9"E
Valle d'Aosta	Arnad	2012	45°38'22.7"N 7°43'41.8"E
vune artosta	Perloz	2012	45°36'53.9"N 7°48'24.4"E
	1 CHOL	2012	

472 Table 1 Years of release and coordinates of *T. sinensis* release points in the surveyed sites

Table 2 Number of non-target galls collected in the two-year period (2013–2014) from the surveyed
sites according to the species list for host-specificity testing established by EFSA Panel On Plant
Health (2010).

Year	Italian regions	Host plant	Galls species	Generation	No.
e 2		Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	sexual	1
		Quercus petraea	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	29
	Piemonte	Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	sexual	26
		Quercus cerris	Andricus cydoniae	sexual	205
		Quercus robur	Andricus inflator	sexual	46
		Quercus cerris	Andricus multiplicatus	sexual	12
		Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	132
		Rosa canina	Diplolepis rosae	asexual	17
		Quercus robur	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	sexual	2
	Toscana	Quercus cerris	Andricus lucidus	sexual	1
		Quercus cerris	Andricus multiplicatus	sexual	41
		Rosa spp.	Diplolepis rosae	asexual	1
	Valle d'Aosta	Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	sexual	61
		Quercus cerris	Andricus cydoniae	sexual	2
		Quercus cerris	Andricus grossulariae	sexual	3
		Quercus pubescens	Andricus inflator	sexual	12
		Quercus pubescens	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	317
		Rosa canina	Diplolepis rosae	asexual	6
		Quercus pubescens	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	sexual	79
2014	Liguria	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	76
	Piemonte	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	150
	Toscana	Quercus petraea	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	10
	Valle d'Aosta	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	sexual	142

- ----

Table 3 Numbers of native torymid species and the exotic *Torymus sinensis* (in bold) emerged from

506	non-target galls collected in the 2-year period (2013–2014) from the surveyed sites.
-----	--

Year	Italian regions	Gall species	Torymid species emerged	ŶŶ	33
2013	Liguria	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	9	6
			Torymus auratus	40	36
			Torymus flavipes	20	3
	Piemonte	Andricus cydoniae	Megastigmus dorsalis	0	1
		Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	10	13
			Torymus auratus	0	2
			Torymus geranii	0	1
			Torymus sinensis	0	3
		Diplolepis rosae	Torymus auratus	1	3
	Toscana	Andricus lucidus	Megastigmus dorsalis	4	0
		Andricus multiplicatus	Megastigmus dorsalis	0	1
	Valle d'Aosta	Andricus curvator	Torymus flavipes	27	44
		Andricus cydoniae	Torymus flavipes	1	0
		Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	3	7
			Torymus auratus	11	14
			Torymus flavipes	105	105
			Torymus geranii	0	2
		Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	Torymus flavipes	24	50
2014	Liguria	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus auratus	4	8
	Piemonte	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	23	40
			Torymus auratus	2	0
	Toscana	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	7	4
			Torymus auratus	3	1
	Valle d'Aosta	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	84	69
			Torymus auratus	2	2
			Torymus flavipes	0	2

Table 4 Mean number (±SE) of host location, attempted oviposition, and oviposition events

516 engaged in by *T. sinensis* females comparing non-target oak galls<sup>+</sup> and *D. kuriphilus* galls (control)

recorded during 1 h observation periods in no choice oviposition trials over a two-year period

518 (2013–2014). Means were compared for each non-target species using a paired t-tests for dependent

519 samples; P<0.05 \*; P<0.01 \*\*; P<0.001\*\*\*.

Year	Non-target species compared to the control	No.	Host location	Attempted oviposition	Oviposition
	Andricus curvator	30	0.33± 0.09***	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	30	$0.97{\pm}0.10$	0.00	$0.83 \pm 0.07$
	Biorhiza pallida	20	$1.40 \pm 0.29$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$	0.00***
2012	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	$1.45 \pm 0.15$	0.00	$0.80 \pm 0.09$
2013	Andricus grossulariae	20	0.85±0.15**	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	$1.55{\pm}0.17$	0.00	$0.90 \pm 0.07$
	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	20	0.80± 0.12***	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	$1.90 \pm 0.22$	0.00	$0.80 \pm 0.09$
	Andricus curvator	20	0.35± 0.11**	0.00	$0.30 \pm 0.11 **$
2014	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	$0.95{\pm}0.15$	0.00	$0.80 \pm 0.09$
2014	Biorhiza pallida	20	$1.50 \pm 0.44$	$0.25 \pm 0.14$	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	$1.55{\pm}0.18$	0.00	$0.90 \pm 0.07$

<sup>+</sup>The selection was based on the species list for host-specificity testing established by EFSA Panel On Plant Health (2010)

521

Figure 1 Location of the sampling sites (black dots). The inset indicates the location of the foursurveyed regions in Italy.

524

525 Figure 2 Responses of *T. sinensis* (number of responding females in bars) in a Y-tube olfactometer

to the odours of chestnut gall and non-target galls. Numbers in bars represent individuals that

527 moved toward the volatiles. Chi-square statistics (\* P <0.05; df=1) tested the hypothesis that the

528 distribution of side arm choices deviated from a null model where odour sources were chosen with

529 equal frequency.

530



