Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Endocrinology Volume 2015, Article ID 674734, 7 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/674734



Research Article

Evaluation of Midnight Salivary Cortisol as a Predictor Factor for Common Carotid Arteries Intima Media Thickness in **Patients with Clinically Inapparent Adrenal Adenomas**

Giuseppe Reimondo, Barbara Allasino, Marcella Coletta, Anna Pia, Giulia Peraga, Barbara Zaggia, Chiara Massaglia, Piero Paccotti, and Massimo Terzolo

Internal Medicine I, Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, San Luigi Hospital, 10043 Orbassano, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Giuseppe Reimondo; giuseppe.reimondo@unito.it

Received 12 February 2015; Revised 22 April 2015; Accepted 28 April 2015

Academic Editor: Andre P. Kengne

Copyright © 2015 Giuseppe Reimondo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly

Purpose. The aim of the present study was to investigate the atherosclerotic vascular damage in a consecutive series of patients with AI and to correlate it with MSC. Methods. We studied 32 patients with AI matched with control subjects for age, sex, and cardiovascular risk factors. Either patients or control subjects underwent MSC measurement as outpatients and carotid arteries ultrasound (US) imaging studies. Results. The patients with AI had higher mean carotid artery IMT values and higher MSC levels than control subjects. In a multivariate analysis performed in AI age was the best predictor for IMT. We have stratified patients and control subjects by age (<60 yrs and ≥60 yrs). The patients showed significantly higher MSC levels than controls in both groups, whereas significantly higher IMT values were observed only in older subjects. Conclusions. Patients with AI have signs of accelerated atherosclerosis. Patients older than 60 years seem more susceptible to the possible detrimental effect of subclinical hypercortisolism on cardiovascular system. The MSC levels are not a strong predictor of the accelerated atherosclerosis, but they seem to indicate the subtle but not autonomous cortisol excess that may potentially raise the cardiovascular risk,

1. Introduction

Clinically inapparent adrenal masses are discovered serendipitously during diagnostic testing or treatment for unrelated disorders and are commonly known as adrenal incidentaloma (AI) [1-3]. Improvement in imaging techniques has resulted in the detection of an increasing number of AI, whose numbers count millions of people worldwide [3]. In 5-47% of cases depending on different diagnostic protocols, AI are associated with autonomous cortisol secretion that is no longer under control of pituitary feedback [4-8]. This particular endocrine disorder, which has been called subclinical Cushing's syndrome (SCS) [9] or subclinical autonomous glucocorticoid hypersecretion [2], is difficult to characterize because of a spectrum of variation from normality to autonomy of cortisol secretion and since the degree of cortisol excess is often minimal [2, 8, 10]. Notwithstanding

the uncertainty regarding definition of SCS, many patients with the condition may be exposed to a chronic, low-grade cortisol excess. Results from different studies support the concept that this condition may confer an increased risk for metabolic disorders and cardiovascular events [11-16].

Previous reports suggested that patients with Cushing's syndrome had severe atherosclerotic damage, as indicated by reduced caliber, increased stiffness of carotid artery wall, and increased prevalence of atherosclerotic plaques [11, 12]. However, there are conflicting data on the possibility that also the low-grade cortisol excess characterizing SCS may cause vascular damage [13, 14].

The aim of the present study was to investigate the atherosclerotic vascular damage in a consecutive series of patients with AI and to correlate it with midnight salivary cortisol, as an outpatient measure of cortisol excess.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Patients. We studied a consecutive, prospective series of 32 patients with incidentally detected adrenocortical adenoma [13 men, 19 women; median age 61.5 years, range: 37-74] referred to our center from January 1, 2013, to February 28, 2014. In all cases, AI were detected by an abdominal CT scan done for unrelated diseases. The diagnosis of cortical adenoma rested on the following CT criteria: size less than 6.0 cm, regular shape with well-defined margins, homogenous texture, and hypodense content. An attenuation value of 10, or less, Hounsfield units on unenhanced CT scan was considered suggestive of an adrenal adenoma. The diagnosis of adenoma was confirmed by a repeat CT scan after 3-6 months showing no significant increase in mass size, or change in mass density, in any patient. The patients with confirmed diagnosis of hyperaldosteronism or pheochromocytoma were excluded.

We performed a 1:1 case-control analysis with 32 control subjects matched for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, blood pressure, glucose and lipid profile, and occurrence of previous cardiovascular events. They were collected from the medical staff and their relatives. All of the control subjects had previous imaging exclusion of adrenal masses.

Either patients or control subjects were studied as outpatients and they underwent careful clinical and history examination; none of them displayed specific signs or symptoms of hypercortisolism, such as weakness associated with proximal muscle wasting, skin atrophy, ecchymoses, or purple striae. Moreover, none of them was receiving any drug known to affect the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis or had current or previous history of alcohol abuse or major mood disorders. Either patients or controls had an apparently normal sleepwake cycle.

Any subject with BMI greater than 30 kg/m^2 was categorized as obese [17]. Any subject with systolic blood pressure greater than 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 mm Hg or on antihypertensive treatment was categorized as hypertensive [18]. Visit blood pressure was the average of two seated measurements taken after five minutes of rest by a physician using standardized techniques. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed if a subject was on insulin or hypoglycemic agents or when the subject's plasma glucose was greater than 7.0 mmol/L at fasting in at least two samples collected on different days [19]. Impaired fasting glucose was diagnosed when the subject's plasma glucose was between 6.1 and 7.0 mmol/L at fasting in at least two samples collected on different days [19]. Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events were ascertained by reviewing the patient discharge summaries and source documents; complementary documentation was requested if necessary. Cardiovascular events were validated according to the principles used in randomized trials. The following definitions were used: (a) myocardial infarction was defined if there was hospitalization in the presence of 2 or more of the symptoms, typical chest pain, electrocardiographic changes, and increased cardiac enzyme concentrations, or by the presence of typical ECG changes without any previous acute symptoms (silent myocardial infarction); (b) angina

pectoris was diagnosed if there were hospitalization and chest pain and documented electrocardiographic signs of coronary ischemia or if there was a need for coronary revascularization in the absence of acute myocardial infarction; (c) stroke was diagnosed if there was hospitalization for a neurologic deficit with symptoms continuing for more than 24 hours; (d) transient ischemic attack (TIA) was defined as a neurologic deficit lasting less than 24 hours. Smoking status was defined as active if patients were current or former smokers.

The institutional review board approved the study, and all subjects provided written, informed consent.

2.2. Methods. The patients with incidentally discovered adrenal adenoma underwent a specific endocrine evaluation as outpatients. It included (a) measurement of the 24-hour excretion of urinary free cortisol (UFC), (b) measurement of plasma ACTH at 8:00 a.m., and (c) overnight low-dose dexamethasone suppression test (1 mg, orally, at 11:00 p.m. with measurement of serum cortisol at 8:00 a.m. the following morning).

Either patients or control subjects underwent midnight salivary cortisol (MSC) measurement as outpatients. They were instructed to collect saliva samples at midnight. These were obtained twice and were kept in a refrigerator for up to 1 week before being transferred to our reference laboratory. Saliva was collected using Salivettes (Sarstedt, Newton, NC), a cotton device that is placed in the mouth for 2 min. Before saliva collection, patients were instructed to rinse their mouth without brushing their teeth (to avoid risk of gingival bleeding). Midnight samples were collected after 4-5 h of fasting (last food intake at 1900 or 2000 h). A mean of the two collected samples was calculated. The MSC was determined in our reference laboratory using commercially available kits (RIA, Radim S.p.A., Rome, Italy). The assay sensitivity was 0.5 nmol/L. The intra- and interassay variations were 3 and 9%, respectively. Coefficients of variation in the high and in the low range were, respectively, of 7 and 4%. Levels of salivary cortisol below 8.3 nmol/L were considered in the normal range. Concentrations were defined in a large series of healthy subjects.

Serum and urinary cortisol were measured using commercially available RIAs (Sorin Biomedica, Saluggia, Italy; Radim S.p.A., Pomezia, Rome, Italy; Diagnostic Product Corporation, Los Angeles, CA, USA). Intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 6% and 11.5%, respectively. Plasma ACTH was measured by commercially available immunoradiometric assays (CIS Biointernational, Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Intra-assay coefficients of variation ranged from 2.1 to 5.3% and interassay coefficients of variation ranged from 3.1 to 8.9%, respectively; sensitivity ranged from 0.44 to 1.1 pmol/L.

SCS was considered in agreement with the recommendations of the AME Position Statement [20]: no specific clinical sign of cortisol excess and serum cortisol >138 nmol/L after 1 mg dexamethasone suppression test or serum cortisol between 50 nmol/L and 138 nmol/L with one additional alteration: UFC >414 nmol/24 h or ACTH <2.2 pmol/L.

Carotid arteries ultrasound imaging was performed in all subjects by echo-Doppler ultrasonography (US), carried out

with a Vingmed Sound CMF 725 (Horten, Norway) using a 7.5 MHz annular phased array transducer. Right and left carotid arteries were scanned longitudinally, 2.5 cm proximal to the bifurcation. The pictures were stored on magnetic media and analyzed later. US imaging studies were performed by the same operator (B.A.), who was blinded to laboratory assessment. Each measurement was repeated three times, and the mean was determined. Wall thickness of both carotid arteries was investigated by measuring the intima media thickness. In all subjects, the presence, location, and size of plaques were also evaluated at the levels of common, internal, and external carotid arteries.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Rates and proportions were calculated for categorical data and means and standard deviations for continuous data. Normality of data was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For continuous variables, differences were analyzed by means of the two-tailed Student's t-test when data were normally distributed and by using the Mann-Whitney U test for nonparametric data. For categorical variables, differences were analysed by means of the χ^2 test with Yates correction. Levels of statistical significance were set at p < 0.05. All analyses were performed using the SPSS software package version 21 (IBM).

3. Results

The characteristics of the patients with AI are reported in Table 1. Eleven patients (34.4%) qualified for SCS and eight patients (25%) had MSC >8.3 nmol/L, whereas in none of the controls elevated MSC levels were observed. Eighteen patients (56.2%) had hypertension and 16 patients (50%) had hyperglycemia (Table 1).

The whole group of patients with AI had higher IMT values than control subjects (left IMT: $0.069 \pm 0.017 \, \text{cm}$ versus $0.060 \pm 0.010 \,\text{cm}$, p = 0.013; right IMT: $0.072 \pm$ $0.018 \text{ cm} \text{ versus } 0.061 \pm 0.010 \text{ cm}, p = 0.005). \text{ Four AI}$ patients had IMT values >0.9 mm, while all of the controls had IMT in the normal range. Well-defined carotid wall plaques were detected in six patients (18.7%) and only in one control subject (3.1%). Two patients had a plaque localized at the level of the left carotid bifurcation with maximum diameters of six mm and seven mm, respectively, whereas four patients had a plaque at the level of the right internal carotid artery with a maximal diameter ranging from six mm to eight mm. A well-defined carotid wall plaque with maximal diameter of nine mm was detected in one control at level of the right carotid artery bifurcation. The same figure was observed when we considered the two groups of patients with SCS or nonsecreting AI compared to the matched controls (Table 2(a)), while the patients with SCS did not present any significant difference for either IMT values or clinical parameters in comparison with patients with nonsecreting AI (Table 2(b)).

The patients with AI had higher midnight salivary cortisol levels than control subjects (5.90 \pm 4.17 nmol/L versus 1.90 \pm 0.77 nmol/L, p < 0.0001). When patients were classified for MSC levels (>8.3 nmol/L, Group A, and \leq 8.3 nmol/L, Group B) we have not observed any significant difference for either

IMT values or clinical parameters (Table 3(a)). However, both groups had higher IMT values than the matched controls (Table 3(b)).

In univariate analysis we have found a correlation at the limit of the statistical significance between IMT and hypertension (r=0.378, p=0.062), smoking status (p=0.372, p=0.067), or cortisol levels after 1 mg DST (r=0.363, p=0.074). A significant correlation has been found with age (r=0.478, p=0.016), while we have not found any correlation between IMT and obesity, hyperglycemia, MSC, UFC, or ACTH.

In a multivariate analysis performed in patients with AI that included hypertension, smoking status, age, and cortisol levels after 1 mg DST, age was the best predictor for both left IMT and right IMT ($r^2 = 0.466$, p = 0.001). Therefore, we have stratified patients and control subjects by age defining 2 groups (<60 years and \geq 60 years) which represent the mean age of the series. The patients showed significantly higher MSC levels than controls in both age groups, whereas significantly higher IMT values were observed only in older subjects (Table 4).

4. Discussion

The present data demonstrate that patients with AI and of 60 years or more have higher carotid artery IMT values than control subjects matched for age, sex, BMI, smoking status, blood pressure, glycemic state, lipid profile, and occurrence of previous cardiovascular events. Moreover, the overall group of patients shows higher MSC levels in comparison with control subjects. However, MSC was not a predictor of increased IMT.

The link between cortisol and atherosclerosis is suggested by fragments of information indicating that prolonged corticosteroid therapy accelerates the development of atherosclerosis. In animal experiments, ACTH and cortisone were shown to produce vascular injury and to enhance experimentally induced atherosclerosis [21, 22]. In humans, the use of glucocorticoids caused significant changes in vascular connective tissue [23-25]. In addition, a cause and effect relationship between corticosteroid treatment and premature atherosclerosis was hypothesized in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or systemic lupus erythematosus chronically treated with glucocorticoids [26, 27]. Furthermore, a significant association was found between coronary artery disease and serum cortisol concentrations in patients subjected to coronary angiography [24]. In patients with endogenous hypercortisolism, the presence of atherosclerotic plaques or preatherosclerotic lesions at the level of coronary or carotid arteries has been previously demonstrated [11, 12, 28].

Several data from cross-sectional studies point to an association between clinically inapparent cortisol excess and some manifestations of the metabolic syndrome (arterial hypertension, hyperglycemia, and overweight) and predisposition to thrombosis and cardiovascular disease in patients with adrenal incidentaloma [4, 13–15, 29–31].

Carotid IMT is generally recognized as a marker of early atherosclerosis. In fact, ultrasound examination of the carotid arteries with measurement of the IMT and detection of

Table 1: Demographic, anthropometric, and hormonal characteristics of the patients with AI.

	Аое	Sex	BMI	Hypertension	IGT/diahetes	Mass size	MSC	UFC	ACTH	Cortisol after 1 mg DST	SOS	Left IMT	Rioht IMT
Patients	(years)	(M/F)	(kg/m^2)	(Y/N)	(Y/N)	(cm)	(nmol/L)	(nmol/24 h)	(pmol/L)	(nmol/L)	(Y/N)	(cm)	(cm)
#1	58	ц	23.1	Y	Z	2.2	4.7	118.6	2.4	179.4	Y	0.07	0.07
#2	26	щ	23.5	Z	Z	3.5	2.7	126.9	4.6	66.2	Z	0.08	80.0
#3	57	ഥ	24.0	Y	Z	2.4	5.8	750.7	2.4	44.1	Y	0.05	0.05
#4	26	M	27.5	Z	Z	3.0	2.7	540.9	0.6	55.2	Y	90.0	0.08
#2	28	ц	24.4	Y	Y	2.0	22.1	110.4	2.2	44.1	Z	0.08	0.08
9#	44	ц	37.7	Y	Y	2.0	8.5	162.8	18.9	27.6	Z	0.05	90.0
47	53	щ	21.6	Z	Z	2.5	7.7	52.4	2.3	74.5	Z	90.0	0.08
8#	37	щ	27.6	Z	Z	1.9	8.5	836.2	5.7	113.1	Y	0.03	0.03
6#	26	щ	34.0	Y	Y	2.4	8.5	237.3	1.7	77.2	Y	0.08	0.07
#10	53	щ	20.7	Y	Z	3.3	2.7	494.1	1.6	41.4	Z	90.0	90.0
#11	43	ц	20.7	Z	Y	3.5	2.7	460.9	3.5	46.9	Z	90.0	90.0
#12	09	ц	36.0	Z	Z	1.5	2.7	242.8	4.6	63.4	Z	0.07	0.08
#13	43	M	26.6	Z	Z	3.0	5.5	184.9	3.3	41.4	Z	0.07	0.08
#14	28	ц	36.9	Z	Y	2.7	2.7	314.6	4.6	184.9	Y	0.05	0.05
#15	46	ц	21.0	Z	Z	2.0	2.7	474.7	3.5	41.4	Z	0.05	0.05
#16	7	M	24.5	Z	Z	2.0	2.7	411.2	3.4	66.2	Z	0.08	0.08
#17	70	ц	23.4	Y	Z	4.0	7.4	322.9	1.5	55.2	Y	0.08	0.08
#18	63	M	28.0	Y	Z	2.0	9.9	673.4	1.1	41.4	Z	90.0	0.05
#19	29	M	27.7	Y	Z	2.5	2.7	298.1	4.1	82.8	Z	0.07	0.07
#20	72	M	29.6	Y	Y	3.0	2.7	146.2	4.6	77.2	Z	0.11	0.12
#21	64	щ	20.3	Z	Y	3.0	3.8	140.7	4.8	71.7	Z	90.0	0.07
#22	20	щ	19.0	Y	Z	3.0	6.6	146.2	1.1	146.8	Y	60.0	0.10
#23	62	M	29.4	Y	Y	2.0	2.7	342.2	1.1	44.1	Z	60.0	80.0
#24	64	щ	26.9	Y	Y	2.0	4.7	226.3	0.7	113.1	Y	0.08	0.07
#25	64	M	27.3	Y	Y	3.7	6.9	447.1	3.3	110.4	Y	90.0	0.07
#26	62	M	26.8	Y	Y	3.0	2.7	113.1	2.6	66.2	Z	0.08	0.08
#27	62	M	27.2	Z	Z	3.5	2.7	419.5	3.3	55.2	Y	90.0	0.07
#28	74	M	29.7	Y	Y	0.9	2.7	171.1	3.3	124.2	Z	0.08	0.08
#29	20	ഥ	27.9	Z	Y	2.5	13.2	794.8	2.8	38.6	Z	0.05	0.05
#30	20	M	28.1	Z	Y	2.7	11.0	126.9	4.6	27.6	Z	0.05	0.05
#31	19	ഥ	28.0	Y	Y	3.0	8.5	187.6	2.2	38.6	Z	0.10	0.10
#32	89	M	31.8	Y	Y	2.5	8.0	187.6	1.1	129.7	Y	0.09	0.10
Series - SUS	Jinical Cu	ching exnd	e - IA amo.	SCS = subclinical Cushing syndrome AI = adrenal incidentaloma IMT	- intimo	nedia thickness	BMI	- hody mass index 1G	F - impaired	- M ser - V esucretor esosula	. DOM Out	- midnight co	ivaev corticol

SCS = subclinical Cushing syndrome, AI = adrenal incidentaloma, IMT = intima media thickness, BMI = body mass index, IGT = impaired glucose tolerance, Y = yes, N = no, MSC = midnight salivary cortisol, UFC = urinary free cortisol, and ACTH = adrenocorticotropin hormone.

Table 2: Comparison of IMT values between SCS and nonsecreting AI with the matched controls (a). Comparison of clinical parameters between patients with SCS and nonsecreting AI (b).

N Mean SD *p* value Left IMT (cm) **SCS** 11 0.068 0.018 0.01 Controls 11 0.060 0.007 Right IMT (cm) **SCS** 11 0.071 0.019 0.04 0.009 Controls 11 0.063 Left IMT (cm) Nonsecreting 21 0.069 0.017 0.03 Controls 21 0.059 0.011 Right IMT (cm) Nonsecreting 21 0.071 0.018 0.01 Controls 21 0.059 0.011 (b) N Mean SD p value Left IMT (cm) **SCS** 0.068 0.018 11 NS Nonsecreting 21 0.069 0.017 Right IMT (cm) SCS 11 0.071 0.019 NS 21 0.071 Nonsecreting 0.018 Age (yrs) **SCS** 11 60.2 9.3 NS 59.4 Nonsecreting 21 9.7 BMI (kg/m^2) **SCS** 27.7 11 5.1 NS Nonsecreting 21 26.4 4.6 Mass size (cm) SCS 2.8 0.7 11 NS Nonsecreting 21 2.7 0.9 Hypertension (%) **SCS** 63.6 11 NS 52.4 Nonsecreting 21 IGT/diabetes (%) SCS 45.4 11 NS Nonsecreting 21 47.6

SCS = subclinical Cushing syndrome, AI = adrenal incidentaloma, IMT = intima media thickness, BMI = body mass index, IGT = impaired glucose tolerance, N = numbers of patients, SD = standard deviations, and NS = not significant.

plaques has repeatedly been shown to predict occurrence of both stroke and myocardial infarction. The relation between carotid artery IMT and cardiovascular events is continuous, but a threshold ≥ 0.9 mm can be considered as a conservative estimate of significant alteration [32].

In 2002 Tauchmanovà et al. [14] reported that carotid IMT was significantly increased in patients with subclinical cortisol excess compared with that in controls, suggesting

Table 3: Comparison of clinical parameters between patients with MSC > 8.3 nmol/L (Group A) and $\le 8.3 \text{ nmol/L}$ (Group B) (a). Comparison of IMT values between Group A and Group B with the matched controls (b).

		(a)				
	N	Mean	SD	%	p value	
Left IMT (cm)						
Group A	8	0.068	0.024		NS	
Group B	24	0.070	0.014		113	
Right IMT (cm)						
Group A	8	0.069	0.024		NS	
Group B	24	0.071	0.016		110	
Age (yrs)						
Group A	8	58.2	12.4		NS	
Group B	24	60.2	8.5		113	
BMI (kg/m ²)						
Group A	-			NS		
Group B	24 26.4		4.4		11/3	
Mass size (cm)						
Group A	8	2.5	0.4		NIC	
Group B	24	2.8	0.9		NS	
Hypertension (%)						
Group A	8			62.5	NS	
Group B	24			54.2	NS	
IGT/diabetes (%)						
Group A	8			75.0	NIC	
Group B	24			71.4	NS	
		(b)				
	N	Mean		SD	p value	
Left IMT (cm)					1	
Group A	8	0.066		0.024	0.01	
Controls	8	0.0588		0.009	0.01	
Right IMT (cm)						
Group A	8	0.067		0.024	0.02	
Controls	8	0.057	0.011		0.03	
Left IMT (cm)						
Group B	24	24 0.070		0.014	0.01	
Controls	24	0.060		0.010	0.01	
Right IMT (cm)						
Group B	24	0.073		0.016	0.006	
Controls	24	0.062		0.010	0.006	

IMT = intima media thickness, BMI = body mass index, IGT = impaired glucose tolerance, N = numbers of patients, SD = standard deviations, and NS = not significant.

a higher prevalence of systemic atherosclerosis, a concept supported by the increased frequency of atherosclerotic plaques. However, carotid IMT was not correlated with any hormonal parameters. More recent studies have confirmed, in different settings, increased IMT in patients with AI, either with or without subclinical cortisol excess [29, 33, 34]. In these papers, a more robust link between IMT and cortisol

	Left IMT (cm)			Rig	Right IMT (cm)			Midnight salivary cortisol (nmol/L)		
	Patients (#16)	Controls (#16)	Р	Patients (#16)	Controls (#16)	Р	Patients (#16)	Controls (#16)	р	
$Age \ge 60 \text{ yrs}$	0.075 ± 0.017	0.060 ± 0.012	0.005	0.077 ± 0.019	0.060 ± 0.012	0.006	5.85 ± 3.39	2.12 ± 0.66	0.001	
Age < 60 yrs	0.061 ± 0.014	0.060 ± 0.008	NS	0.065 ± 0.015	0.060 ± 0.009	NS	5.98 ± 4.99	1.68 ± 0.77	0.002	

TABLE 4: Comparison between patients and controls stratified by age.

levels has been demonstrated, in particular with morning serum cortisol [29, 33, 34].

MSC is already an established test to screen patients with suspected overt Cushing's syndrome [35]. Nonetheless, in patients with AI evaluation of MSC was powerless to distinguish between nonsecreting adenoma and subclinical cortisol excess [36, 37]. Thus, none of the studies have evaluated MSC as a possible marker of increased IMT. MSC has the obvious advantage of being more practical; thus it is tempting to see if it can predict cardiovascular risk profile. Only one paper showed a correlation between IMT and morning salivary cortisol in healthy subjects [38]. In patients with AI, we have previously demonstrated that elevated midnight serum cortisol was associated with the elderly, greater fasting glucose, and systolic blood pressure [16].

Our findings on IMT are in agreement with previous studies confirming increased IMT and more wall plaque in AI (secreting and nonsecreting) compared to controls. Moreover, we have demonstrated that the whole group of AI patients presents higher MSC levels than controls, and 25% of them had MSC levels higher than the upper limit of normality. However, we have not confirmed a correlation between IMT and hormonal and metabolic factors, whereas the only predictor was age. We acknowledge the limit of a reduced number of patients that may have hampered the demonstration of a correlation between IMT and hormonal variables. Moreover, the hormonal evaluations of patients with AI remain controversial, since we use parameters that are not representative of the possible persistent exposure to cortisol excess. Thus, also patients with AI and formally normal hormonal value should have metabolic alterations and an increased cardiovascular risk. On the other hand the strength has been to have designed our study to evaluate IMT in patients with AI carefully matched to control subjects for age and known cardiovascular risk factors. Nonetheless, our data support the hypothesis that patients with AI may present a worsened cardiovascular risk profile when compared to the general population, as a possible consequence of being exposed to a chronic cortisol excess, albeit of minimal intensity. Patients with AI showed higher MSC than controls, as an additional marker of an altered HPA axis. Additionally, our data point out the importance of assessing IMT in patients older than 60 years.

In conclusion, the present findings confirm that patients with AI show signs of accelerated atherosclerosis compared to matched controls. Patients older than 60 years seem more compromised. Levels of MSC are not a strong predictor of accelerated atherosclerosis, although further studies are needed to fully evaluate whether MSC may be exploited as a marker of subclinical cortisol excess that may potentially raise cardiovascular risk.

Conflict of Interests

Author Massimo Terzolo has received research grants and speaker honorarium from Company HRA Pharma. He is also consultant for the Company Atterocor.

References

- [1] M. D. Gross, M. Korobkin, W. Bou-Assaly, and D. Rubello, "Incidentally-discovered adrenal masses," *Discovery Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 44, pp. 24–33, 2010.
- [2] F. Menegaux, N. Chéreau, J. L. Peix et al., "Management of adrenal incidentaloma," *Journal of Visceral Surgery*, vol. 151, no. 5, pp. 355–364, 2014.
- [3] P. Kanagarajah, R. Ayyathurai, M. Manoharan, G. Narayanan, and B. R. Kava, "Current concepts in the management of adrenal incidentalomas," *Urology Annals*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 137–144, 2012.
- [4] V. Morelli, G. Reimondo, R. Giordano et al., "Long-term followup in adrenal incidentalomas: an Italian multicenter study," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 827–834, 2014.
- [5] D. N. Orth, "Medical progress: cushing's syndrome," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 332, no. 12, pp. 791–803, 1995.
- [6] M. D. Stifelman and D. M. Fenig, "Work-up of the functional adrenal mass," *Current Urology Reports*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 63–71, 2005
- [7] M. Terzolo, S. Bovio, A. Pia, G. Reimondo, and A. Angeli, "Management of adrenal incidentaloma," *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 233–243, 2009.
- [8] D. C. Aron, "The adrenal incidentaloma: disease of modern technology and public health problem," *Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 335–342, 2001.
- [9] G. N. Zografos, I. Perysinakis, and E. Vassilatou, "Subclinical Cushing's syndrome: current concepts and trends," *Hormones* (*Athens*), vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 323–337, 2014.
- [10] J. W. Findling, H. Raff, and D. C. Aron, "The low-dose dexamethasone suppression test: a reevaluation in patients with Cushing's syndrome," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 1222–1226, 2004.
- [11] A. Faggiano, R. Pivonello, S. Spiezia et al., "Cardiovascular risk factors and common carotid artery caliber and stiffness in patients with Cushing's disease during active disease and 1 year after disease remission," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 88, no. 6, pp. 2527–2533, 2003.
- [12] N. Albiger, R. M. Testa, B. Almoto et al., "Patients with Cushing's syndrome have increased intimal media thickness at different vascular levels: comparison with a population matched for similar cardiovascular risk factors," *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 405–410, 2006.

- [13] P. Anagnostis, S. N. Karras, V. G. Athyros, D. P. Mikhailidis, and A. Karagiannis, "Subclinical Cushing's syndrome and cardiovascular disease," *The Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology*, vol. 2, no. 5, p. 361, 2014.
- [14] L. Tauchmanovà, R. Rossi, B. Biondi et al., "Patients with subclinical Cushing's syndrome due to adrenal adenoma have increased cardiovascular risk," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 87, no. 11, pp. 4872–4878, 2002.
- [15] M. Terzolo, A. Pia, A. Alì et al., "Adrenal incidentaloma: a new cause of the metabolic syndrome?" *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 998–1003, 2002.
- [16] M. Terzolo, S. Bovio, A. Pia et al., "Midnight serum cortisol as a marker of increased cardiovascular risk in patients with a clinically inapparent adrenal adenoma," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 153, no. 2, pp. 307–315, 2005.
- [17] G. A. Bray and D. H. Ryan, "Clinical evaluation of the overweight patient," *Endocrine*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 167–186, 2000.
- [18] G. Mancia, R. Fagard, K. Narkiewicz et al., "2013 Practice guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC): ESH/ESC Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension," *Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 1925–1938, 2013.
- [19] American Diabetes Association, "Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 29, supplement 1, pp. S43–S48, 2006.
- [20] M. Terzolo, A. Stigliano, I. Chiodini et al., "Italian Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. AME position statement on adrenal incidentaloma," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 164, no. 6, pp. 851–870, 2011.
- [21] J. Stamler, R. Pick, and L. N. Katz, "Effects of cortisone, hydrocortisone and corticotropin on lipemia, glycemia and atherogenesis in cholesterol-fed chicks," *American Heart Association*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 237–246, 1954.
- [22] S. Rosenfeld, J. Marmorston, H. Sobel, and A. E. White, "Enhancement of experimental atherosclerosis by ACTH in the dog," *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*, vol. 103, pp. 83–86, 1960.
- [23] J. F. Evans and L. Ragolia, "Systemic and local ACTH produced during inflammatory states promotes osteochondrogenic mesenchymal cell differentiation contributing to the pathologic progression of calcified atherosclerosis," *Medical Hypotheses*, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 823–826, 2012.
- [24] N. M. Neary, O. J. Booker, B. S. Abel et al., "Hypercortisolism is associated with increased coronary arterial atherosclerosis: analysis of noninvasive coronary angiography using multidetector computerized tomography," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinol*ogy and Metabolism, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. 2045–2052, 2013.
- [25] P. Fantidis, "The role of the stress-related anti-inflammatory hormones ACTH and cortisol in atherosclerosis," *Current Vascular Pharmacology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 517–525, 2010.
- [26] S. R. Schoenfeld, S. Kasturi, and K. H. Costenbader, "The epidemiology of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease among patients with SLE: a systematic review," *Best Practice & Research:* Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 233–243, 2009.
- [27] D. Hürlimann, F. Enseleit, and F. Ruschitzka, "Rheumatoid arthritis, inflammation, and atherosclerosis," *Herz*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 760–768, 2004.
- [28] R. H. Stimson and B. R. Walker, "Glucocorticoids and 11betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 in obesity and the

- metabolic syndrome," *Minerva Endocrinologica*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 141–159, 2007.
- [29] I. I. Androulakis, G. A. Kaltsas, G. E. Kollias et al., "Patients with apparently nonfunctioning adrenal incidentalomas may be at increased cardiovascular risk due to excessive cortisol secretion," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. 2754–2762, 2014.
- [30] G. Di Dalmazi, V. Vicennati, E. Rinaldi et al., "Progressively increased patterns of subclinical cortisol hypersecretion in adrenal incidentalomas differently predict major metabolic and cardiovascular outcomes: a large cross-sectional study," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 166, no. 4, pp. 669–677, 2012.
- [31] I. Chiodini, "Clinical review: diagnosis and treatment of subclinical hypercortisolism," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 96, no. 5, pp. 1223–1236, 2011.
- [32] A. Šrámek, J. G. Bosch, J. H. C. Reiber, J. A. van Oostayen, and F. R. Rosendaal, "Ultrasound assessment of atherosclerotic vessel wall changes: reproducibility of intima-media thickness measurements in carotid and femoral arteries," *Investigative Radiology*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 699–706, 2000.
- [33] M. M. Tuna, N. N. Imga, B. A. Doğan et al., "Non-functioning adrenal incidentalomas are associated with higher hypertension prevalence and higher risk of atherosclerosis," *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 765–768, 2014.
- [34] S. Yener, S. Genc, B. Akinci et al., "Carotid intima media thickness is increased and associated with morning cortisol in subjects with non-functioning adrenal incidentaloma," *Endocrine*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 365–370, 2009.
- [35] L. K. Nieman, B. M. K. Biller, J. W. Findling et al., "The diagnosis of Cushing's syndrome: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 1526–1540, 2008.
- [36] B. Masserini, V. Morelli, S. Bergamaschi et al., "The limited role of midnight salivary cortisol levels in the diagnosis of subclinical hypercortisolism in patients with adrenal incidentaloma," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 160, no. 1, pp. 87–92, 2009.
- [37] Y. Tateishi, R. Kouyama, M. Mihara, M. Doi, T. Yoshimoto, and Y. Hirata, "Evaluation of salivary cortisol measurements for the diagnosis of subclinical Cushing's syndrome," *Endocrine Journal*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 283–289, 2012.
- [38] N. Hurwitz Eller, B. Netterstrom, and A. M. Hansen, "Cortisol in urine and saliva: relations to the intima media thickness, IMT," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 159, no. 1, pp. 175–185, 2001.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























