1 2	This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy following peer review. The version of record "J Antimicrob
3 4	Chemother. 2015 Jul 14. doi:10.1093/jac/dkv208 [Epub ahead of print]" is available online at: http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2015/07/13/jac.dkv208.long
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

33	Successful Pharmacogenetics-based Optimization of Unboosted Atazanavir Plasma Exposure
34	in HIV-positive Patients: a Randomized, Controlled, Pilot Study (The REYAGEN Study).
35	
36	°Bonora S ¹ , °Rusconi S ² , *Calcagno A ¹ , Bracchi M ^{1,3} , Viganò O ² , Cusato J ¹ , Lanzafame M ⁴ ,
37	Trentalange A ¹ , Marinaro L ¹ , Siccardi M ⁵ , D'Avolio A ¹ , Galli M ² , and Di Perri G ¹ .
38	
39	°These two authors equally contributed to the study
40	
41	¹ Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy;
42	² Department of Infectious Diseases, Ospedale Luigi Sacco, University of Milano, Milano, Italy; ³ St.
43	Stephen's Centre, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London, United Kingdom; ⁴ Unit of Diagnosis
44	and Therapy of HIV Infection, 'G.B.Rossi' Hospital, 37134 Verona, Italy; ⁵ Department of
45	Pharmacology, University Of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
46	
47	
48	Eudract number: 2009-014216-35
49	Running Head: PG-based adjustment of atazanavir
50	
51	Type of article: Original article
52	
53	Key words: pharmacogenetics; pharmacokinetics; HIV; atazanavir; ABCB1; PXR; SLCO1B1.
54	
55	Word count: 1492 (abstract 151)
56	
57	Figures: 1 Tables: 1

58	Supplementary material: 2 Tables, 2 Figures, CONSORT checklist.
59	
60	
61	*Corresponding Author:
62	Andrea Calcagno
63	Unit of Infectious Diseases
64	Department of Medical Sciences
65	University of Torino
66	Amedeo di Savoia Hospital
67	C.SO SVIZZETA 104 10140 Torino, Italy
60	+30011/30388/
70	+390114393044 +39011/3939/2
70	and real calcagno ∂ unito it
72	undiou.oulougho.ty unito.it
73	
74	
75	
75	
76	
77	
78	
78	
79	
80	
Q 1	
01	
82	
83	
01	
04	
85	

86 Synopsis

Background: Atazanavir without ritonavir, despite efficacy and tolerability, shows low plasma
concentrations that warrant optimization.

Methods: In a randomized, controlled, pilot trial, stable HIV-positive patients on
atazanavir/ritonavir (with tenofovir/emtricitabine) were switched to atazanavir. In the standard dose
arm atazanavir was administered as 400 mg once-daily, while according to patients' genetics (*PXR*, *ABCB1* and *SLCO1B1*) in the pharmacogenetic arm: patients with unfavourable genotypes received
atazanavir 200 mg twice-daily.

Results: Eighty patients were enrolled with balanced baseline characteristics. Average atazanavir exposure was 253 ng/mL (150-542) in the pharmacogenetic arm versus 111 ng/mL (64-190) in the standard arm (p<0.001); 28 patients in the pharmacogenetic arm (75.7%) had atazanavir exposure >150 ng/mL versus 14 patients (38.9%) in the standard arm (p=0.001). Immunovirological and laboratory parameters had a favourable outcome throughout the study with non-significant differences between study arms.

100 Conclusions: Atazanavir plasma exposure is higher when the schedule is chosen according to the101 patient's genetic profile.

102

103

- 104
- 105

106

108 INTRODUCTION

In the lifelong perspective of anti-HIV treatment, individual tailoring of the antiretroviral regimen is 109 going to be increasingly required. Although never formally approved in Europe, the use of 110 atazanavir without concurrent intake of ritonavir has been shown to be effective and well tolerated 111 in two induction-maintenance clinical trials of relevant size and several retrospective studies. ¹⁻⁴ 112 However in a significant proportion of patients the pharmacokinetic (PK) exposure of atazanavir 113 might be potentially insufficient to guarantee long-term HIV inhibition.^{5,6} atazanavir lower 114 exposure when combined with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate has been shown in healthy volunteers 115 but subsequently found to be less relevant in HIV-positive patients.⁷⁻⁹ atazanavir pharmacokinetics 116 is significantly influenced by genetic polymorphisms in the region coding for the pregnane X 117 receptor (PXR, controlling the expression of several genes involved in drug metabolism and 118 119 transport); additionally polymorphisms in ABCB1 (coding for P-glycoprotein) and SLCO1B1 (coding for OATP1B1) were shown to have a comparable effect on atazanavir exposure.¹⁰⁻¹² 120 Furthermore we observed that the pharmacokinetic exposure of atazanavir was significantly 121 improved when administered 200 mg twice-daily instead of 400 mg once-daily.¹³ 122

We report here the results of a randomized comparative study on the clinical use of unboosted atazanavir with or without pharmacogenetic guide in patients also taking co-formulated tenofovir/emtricitabine.

126

127 METHODS

HIV-positive adult patients on treatment with atazanavir/ritonavir (300/100 mg) plus tenofovir/emtricitabine with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL for at least six months were eligible for enrolment at two sites in Italy. Switch to atazanavir was proposed for toxicity/tolerability or for simplification, according to physicians' evaluation in clinical practice. Exclusion criteria were: previous virological failure, genotypic resistance-associated mutations, ongoing opportunistic
 infections/neoplasias, liver cirrhosis, chronic renal failure, self-reported adherence <90% (Visual
 Scale) and consumption of potentially interacting drugs.

The study was approved by the institutional review board at both participating centres, and each participant provided signed informed consent before enrolment; the procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (as revised in 1983).

The study was a randomized, controlled, open-label, pilot trial. Patients were randomized 1:1 138 (block randomization) to either standard-dose arm ["SD"; atazanavir 400 mg once daily] or 139 pharmacogenetic-based arm ["PG"; atazanavir 400 mg once daily in patients with favourable 140 genetic profile or atazanavir 200 mg twice daily in patients with unfavourable genetic profile].At 141 enrolment genomic DNA was extracted using QIAamp whole blood mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, 142 143 CA, USA) and genotyping was conducted by real time-based allelic discrimination with the use of standard methods (BIORAD, Milano, Italy). The following single nucleotide polymorphisms were 144 analysed: C63396T in PXR (rs2472677), C3435T in ABCB1 (rs1045642) and C521T in SLCO1B1 145 (rs4149056). PXR 63396 TT, ABCB1 3435 CT/TT and SLCO1B1 521 TT were codified as 1 146 (associated with lower plasma concentrations). On the basis of the PG results patients were given a 147 score (min zero - max three) and a different dosing schedule according to favourable (≤ 1) or 148 unfavourable genetic profiles (≥ 2). 149

Primary end point was the prevalence of atazanavir average trough concentrations (geometric mean of the first three determinations at weeks 4, 8 and 12) above 150 ng/mL (suggested target plasma level) in the two arms. Secondary end points were the comparison of the proportion of patients with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL and of the changes in indirect bilirubin, total cholesterol, LDLcholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides at 48 weeks. atazanavir trough plasma concentrations [12/24 hours after drug intake according to drug schedule (\pm two hours)] were measured by a previously validated HPLC-PDA (Photo Diode Array) method and performed in Torino.¹⁴

A sample size of 80 patients (40 per group) was calculated to provide a statistical power of at least 80%, in order to identify a difference in mean atazanavir Ctrough below the MEC of 150 ng/mL between the two study arms. It was assumed a 20% of atazanavir Ctrough under MEC in the PG arm, and a 50% in the control arm from previous studies results.¹⁰⁻¹² Standard non-parametric tests were usd for all analysis and performed using SPSS 20.0 software for Mac (SPSS, IBM Inc.).

163

164 **RESULTS**

Eighty patients were enrolled (2009-2011): demographic and immunovirological characteristics were well balanced between study arms (Table 1). Patients' disposition is shown in Figure S1: no subject dropped out of the study due to toxicity, virological failure or major clinical events. The prevalence of single nucleotide polymorphisms is reported in Table 1; all variants were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. 27 patients in the PG arm received atazanavir 200 mg twice daily.

Atazanavir plasma trough concentrations are shown in Figure S2 and Table S1. Atazanavir Ctrough
was slightly higher at baseline in the PG arm [1034 ng/mL (592-1935) versus. 587 ng/mL (771290), Mann-Whitney p=0.06] as compared to SD arm; it was significantly higher at every time
point after randomization (p<0.001 for all comparisons, Mann-Whitney) in the PG arm.

Geometric mean of week 4 to 12 atazanavir Ctroughs was 253 ng/mL (150-542) in the PG arm versus 111 ng/mL (64-190) in the SD arm, favouring the former (p<0.001, Mann-Whitney). As for the primary endpoint 28 patients in the PG arm (75.7%) had an average atazanavir Ctrough above 150 ng/mL versus 14 patients (38.9%) in the SD arm (p=0.001, RR 4.89, 95%CI 1.79-13.38) (Fig.

178 1).

No difference in plasma HIV-RNA <50 copies/mL was observed in 37 patients (100%) in the PG arm versus 33 patients (97%) in the SD arm at week 48. Three patients (8.1%) and 4 patients (11.7%) in the PG and SD arm presented a viral blip during the study (p=0.703, Fisher's exact test).
Patients in both arms had similar CD4+ T lymphocytes recovery at week 48: 39 cells/mm³ in the PG versus 53 cells/mm³ in the SD arm (p=0.744, Mann-Whitney).

At 48 weeks significant decreases (all p<0.05, Wilcoxon's) in safety markers were noted as compared to baseline: no significant differences between study arms were found (Mann-Whitney), (Table S2).

187

188 DISCUSSION

In this pilot, randomized and controlled study we found that the pharmacokinetic exposure of 189 atazanavir, when co-administered with tenofovir/emtricitabine was significantly higher and closer 190 to the desired target concentration when the frequency of administration was chosen according to 191 the patient's genetic profile. The proportion of patients with atazanavir Ctrough above the cut-off 192 193 concentration rose from 40% (previous studies and the standard arm) to 75.7% (study arm) when the frequency of atazanavir administration (400 mg once daily or 200 mg twice daily) was decided 194 on the basis of the individual genotypic profile.¹⁰⁻¹² Although not all patients had a Ctrough level 195 above the pre-specified cut-off value of 150 ng/mL, the pharmacokinetic exposure in the study arm 196 was found significantly more appropriate than in patients in the control arm. In the PG arm baseline 197 atazanavir levels were higher than those recorded in the SD arm: it is possibly due to unbalanced 198 factors between study arms (such as CYP3A5 genotype and adherence levels) and unexpected 199 atazanavir exposures according to genotype (Supp.Tab.1) may support this hypothesis.¹⁵ It must 200 however be considered that the 150 ng/mL threshold resulted from the analysis of a moderately 201 experienced population of HIV-infected patients that was no longer formally re-assessed in 202

treatment-naïve patients: it appears possible that it could be lower in patients not harbouring virus with resistance associated mutations and after achieving viral suppression.^{6,16,17} The documented higher intracellular accumulation of atazanavir as compared to other PIs might also support this hypothesis. ^{18,19} No significant difference in the prevalence of viral control or in the changes in safety markers between study arms was seen: it is possible the longer follow-up may be required to observe the effect of improved pharmacokinetic exposure or that lower atazanavir concentrations may be adequate.

Independently of study arm atazanavir-based regimens were well tolerated and associated with 210 improved safety profiles. Even if the drug is nowadays less used given the availability of safe and 211 very compact antiretroviral regimens it may be very useful in the long-term treatment of HIV-212 positive patients. The absence of ritonavir (associated with side effect even at low doses) and the 213 214 uncommon incidence of hyperbilirubinmia (being the main determinant of atazanavir/ritonavir inferior performance in naïve patients) support the attractiveness of atazanavir-containing 215 regimens.²⁰ Even if the need for genetic testing prior to start atazanavir might no be commonly 216 accepted it can be a tool for avoiding unnecessary treatment interruptions and side effects.²¹ 217 Although some patients (those with unfavourable genetic profile) would necessitate to take the drug 218 219 twice daily instead of once daily, the advantage in terms of side effects reduction might compensate the higher frequency of administration. 220

We have to recognize some limitations of this study: the limited sample size, the restricted number of included genetic polymorphisms as well as a casual impaired factors distribution between the study arms, the potential need for therapeutic drug monitoring even in the PG-based arm.

Once in a lifetime performed genetic testing offers the possibility to know in advance the likelihood of an individual patient to achieve a more appropriate atazanavir pharmacokinetic exposure and to choose the frequency of administration accordingly; if confirmed, this observation supports the useof pharmacogenetics for treatment tailoring in atazanavir-receiving HIV-positive patients.

228

229 Acknowledgements

This work was presented at the 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 3-6
March 2013, Atlanta, GE, USA. P556 and at the 14th Conference of the European AIDS Clinical
Society, Bruxelles, Belgium. 16-19 October 2013.

233

234 Funding

This study was funded by an unrestricted grant from Bristol Meyers-Squib, the manufacturer of atazanavir. The funding source had no role in data collection, analysis, interpretation, trial design, patient recruitment, writing of the manuscript or the decision to submit it for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

240 Transparency declarations

S. B. has received grants, travel grants, and consultancy fees from Abbott, Boehringer-Inghelheim, 241 BMS, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), MSD, Pfizer, and Janssen-Cilag. S.R. has 242 received grants, travel grants and speaker's honoraria from Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), 243 Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), and Janssen-Cilag. A. C. has received grants, travel grants and 244 245 speaker's honoraria from Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), and Janssen-Cilag. M.G. has received grants, travel grants and speaker's honoraria from Abbott, 246 Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), and Janssen-Cilag. G. D. P. has 247 received grants, travel grants, and consultancy fees from Abbott, Boehringer-Ingelheim, BMS, 248

Gilead Sciences, GSK, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, and Tibotec (Johnson & Johnson). All other authorsreport no potential conflicts.

SB, SR, MS, AD and GDP designed the study and contributed to data collection. AC performed data interpretation and statistical analysis and generated the random allocation sequence. SR, MB, OV, ML, AT, LM contributed to data collection. AC and MB drafted the first version of the manuscript and finalized the manuscript. JC, MS and AD performed the pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic analysis and revised the technical details of the paper. SB, SR, GDP and MG contributed to study design, supervision and critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

258

259 **References**

Squires KE, Young B, DeJesus E, et al. ARIES 144 week results: durable virologic suppression in HIV-infected patients simplified to unboosted atazanavir/abacavir/lamivudine. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2012;13(5):233-44.

263 2. Ghosn J, Carosi G, Moreno S, et al. Unboosted atazanavir-based therapy maintains control
 264 of HIV type-1 replication as effectively as a ritonavir-boosted regimen. *Antivir Ther.* 265 2010;15(7):993-1002.

Pavie J, Porcher R, Torti C et al. Efficacy and safety of a switch to unboosted atazanavir in combination with nucleoside analogues in HIV-1-infected patients with virological suppression under antiretroviral therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66(10): 2372-8.

4. Bonora S, Calcagno A, Vigano O et al. Efficacy, Tolerability and Virological Consequences
 of Long-Term Use of Unboosted Atazanavir Plus 2 NRTIs in HIV-Infected Patients. *Curr HIV Res.* 2014;12(5):339-46.

272	5. Goutelle S, Baudry T, Gagnieu MC, et al. Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling of
273	unboosted Atazanavir in a cohort of stable HIV-infected patients. Antimicrob Agents
274	Chemother. 2013; 57 (1):517-23.

- 275 6. Rekić D, Röshammar D, Bergstrand M, et al. External validation of the bilirubin-atazanavir
 276 nomogram for assessment of atazanavir plasma exposure in HIV-1-infected patients. *AAPS*277 J. 2013;15(2):308-15.
- 7. Taburet AM, Piketty C, Chazallon C, et al. Interactions between atazanavir-ritonavir and
 tenofovir in heavily pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(6):2091-6.
- 8. Calcagno A, Bonora S, Tettoni MC, et al. Tenofovir coadministration is not associated with
 lower unboosted atazanavir plasma exposure in the clinical setting. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2009;**52**(3):431-2.
- Fournier C, Higgins N, Thomas R, et al. Negligible effect of tenofovir on atazanavir trough
 concentrations and genotypic inhibitory quotients in the presence and absence of ritonavir.
 Ther Drug Monit. 2013;35(2):264-9.
- 287 10. Siccardi M, D'Avolio A, Baietto L, et al. Association of a single-nucleotide polymorphism
 288 in the pregnane X receptor (PXR 63396C-->T) with reduced concentrations of unboosted
 289 atazanavir. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;47(9):1222-5.
- 290 11. Schipani A, Siccardi M, D'Avolio A, et al. Population pharmacokinetic modeling of the
 291 association between 63396C->T pregnane X receptor polymorphism and unboosted
 292 atazanavir clearance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;**54**(12):5242-50.
- 293 12. Siccardi M, D'Avolio A, Bonora S, et al. Combined effect of SLCO1B1 521T>C, PXR
 294 63396C>T, and ABCB1 3435C>T on the achievement of therapeutic concentrations of
 295 unboosted Atazanavir. In: *Abstracts of the 10th International Workshop on Clinical*296 *Pharmacology of HIV Therapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, April 2009.* Abstract O-04.

- 297 13. Bonora S, Gonzalez de Requena D, D'Avolio A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of switching
 298 unboosted atazanavir coadministered with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate from 400 mg once
 299 daily to 200 mg twice daily in HIV-positive patients. *Antivir Ther.* 2011;16(4):499-504.
- 14. D'Avolio A, Baietto L, Siccardi M, et al. An HPLC-PDA method for the simultaneous
 quantification of the HIV integrase inhibitor raltegravir, the new nonnucleoside reverse
 transcriptase inhibitor etravirine, and 11 other antiretroviral agents in the plasma of HIV infected patients. *Ther Drug Monit.* 2008; **30**(6):662-9.
- 304 15. Savic RM, Barrail-Tran A, Duval X, et al. Effect of Adherence as Measured by MEMS,
 305 Ritonavir Boosting, and CYP3A5 Genotype on Atazanavir Pharmacokinetics in Treatment 306 Naive HIV-Infected Patients. *Clin Pharmacol and Ther.* 2012; **30**(6):662-9.
- 307 16. Zhu L, Liao S, Child M, et al. Pharmacokinetics and inhibitory quotient of
 308 atazanavir/ritonavir versus lopinavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected, treatment-naive patients who
 309 participated in the CASTLE Study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012;67(2):465-8.
- 310 17. Lescure FX, Poirier JM, Meynard JL, et al. Factors predictive of virological failure on
 atazanavir in 310 HIV-infected patients. *AIDS*. 2010;24(10):1593-5.
- 312 18. Zehnacker L, Abe E, Mathez D, Alvarez JC, Leibowitch J, Azoulay S. Plasma and
 313 Intracellular Antiretroviral Concentrations in HIV-Infected Patients under Short Cycles of
 314 Antiretroviral Therapy. *AIDS Res Treat*. 2014;2014:724958.
- 315 19. D'Avolio A, Carcieri C, Cusato J, et al. Intracellular accumulation of atazanavir/ritonavir
 316 according to plasma concentrations and OATP1B1, ABCB1 and PXR genetic
 317 polymorphisms. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(11):3061-6.
- 20. Lennox JL, Landovitz RJ, Ribaudo HJ, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of 3 nonnucleoside
 reverse transcriptase inhibitor-sparing antiretroviral regimens for treatment-naive volunteers
 infected with HIV-1: a randomized, controlled equivalence trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;161(7):461-71.

322	21. Nettles RE, Child MJ, Bertz RJ, Schnittman S. Gilbert syndrome and the development of
323	antiretroviral therapy-associated hyperbilirubinmia: genetic screening is unnecessary. J
324	Infect Dis. 2006; 193 (11):1611-2
325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	
332	
333	
334	
335	
336	
337	
338	
339	
340	
341	
342	
343	
344	
345	
346	

347 Tables

Characteristic	Standard dose arm (n= 40)	e Pharmacogenetic arm (n= 40)	p values
Age (years): median (IQR)	43 (37-47)	44 (38-50)	0.424
Male gender: n (%)	28 (70%)	30 (75%)	0.783
Ethnicity: n (%) White Black Other	37 (92.5%) 1 (2.5%) 2 (5%)	34 (85%) 3 (7.5%) 3 (7.5%)	0.487
BMI (Kg/m ²): median (IQR)	22.9 (20.2-25.3)	23.9 (21-26.2)	0.421
Duration of HIV infection (years): median (IQR)	5.9 (3.7-12.4)	7.3 (3.7-12.3)	0.665
CD4+ T lymphocytes (cells/mm ³): median (IQR)	541 (428-628)	467 (320-600)	0.063
CD4+/CD8+ T lymphocytes ratio: median (IQR)	0.65 (0.53-1.1)	0.60 (0.5-1.29)	0.864
Hepatitis B surface antigen positive: n (%)	6 (15%)	1 (2.5%)	0.049
Hepatitis C antibody positive: n (%)	8 (20%)	8 (20%)	0.823
Single nucleotide polymorphisms: n (%) <i>PXR 63396</i> TT <i>ABCB1 3435</i> CT/TT <i>SLCO1B1 521</i> TT	12 (30%) 28 (70%) 30 (75%)	10 (25%) 29 (72.5%) 33 (82.5%)	0.848 0.364 0.848
Favorable pharmacogenotypic score (<=1) : n (%)	14 (35%)	13 (32.5%)	0.797

Table 1. Demographics, immunovirological and pharmacogenetic characteristics of
randomized patients. Values were compared between the two arms using Chi-square (Fisher's
exact test where appropriate) for categorical values and Mann-Whitney test for continuous variable;
two-sided p values are shown in the last column. "IQR": interquartile range.

360				
361				
362				
363				
364				
365				
366				
367				
368				
369				
370	Figures:			
371				
372				
373				
374				
375				





Figure 1. Atazanavir average concentration (weeks 4 to 12) according to study arm. Symbols
indicate geometric mean of trough concentration obtained at weeks 4, 8 and 12; the horizontal lines
represent median values. The gray boxes represent the percentage of patients with average exposure
above 150 ng/mL.