



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

***This is an author version of the contribution published on:***

*Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera:*

*J Biol Chem. 2015 May 8;290(19):12403-14. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.620500*

***The definitive version is available at:***

*La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:*

*<http://www.asbmb.org/>*

Identification of Equine Lactadherin-Derived Peptides that Inhibit Rotavirus Infection via Integrin Receptor Competition

Andrea Civra<sup>1§</sup>, Maria Gabriella Giuffrida<sup>2§</sup>, Manuela Donalisio<sup>1</sup>, Lorenzo Napolitano<sup>2</sup>, Yoshikazu Takada<sup>3</sup>, Barbara S. Coulson<sup>4</sup>, Amedeo Conti<sup>2</sup>, David Lembo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Torino, 10043 Orbassano, Torino, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*ISPA-CNR, c/o Bioindustry Park "SilvanoFumero", CollettertoGiacosa, Torino, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Dermatology and Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, UC Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA 95817, U.S.A.*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia*

<sup>§</sup>AC and MGG contributed equally to this work

\*Running Title: Equine Lactadherin Peptides Inhibit Human Rotavirus Infection

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Prof. David Lembo, Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of *Torino*, S. Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, Regione Gonzole, 10, 10043, Orbassano, *Torino*, Italy, Phone: +39 011 6705484; Fax: +39 011 2365484; E-mail: david.lembo@unito.it

**Keywords:** Human rotavirus, peptide, antiviral, integrin, donkey milk, lactadherin

**Background:** Human milk lactadherin protects breastfed infants against symptomatic rotavirus infections.

**Results:** A 20 aa peptide (namely pDGE-RGD) derived from equine lactadherin inhibits human rotavirus infectivity.

**Conclusion:** pDGE-RGD interacts specifically with  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin, thus hindering the rotavirus cell-attachment process.

**Significance:** The discovery of the pDGE-RGD peptide may prove useful in the development of inhibitors of receptor recognition by rotavirus or other integrin-using viruses.

#### ABSTRACT

Human rotavirus is the leading cause of severe gastroenteritis in infants and children under the age of five years in both developed and developing countries. Human lactadherin, a milk-fat globule membrane (MFGM) glycoprotein, inhibits human rotavirus infection *in vitro*, whereas bovine lactadherin is not active. Moreover, it protects breastfed infants against

symptomatic rotavirus infections. To explore the potential antiviral activity of lactadherin sourced by equines we undertook a proteomic analysis of MFGM proteins from donkey milk and elucidated its amino acid sequence. Alignment of the human, bovine and donkey lactadherin sequences revealed the presence of an Asp-Gly-Glu (DGE)  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin-binding motif in the N-terminal domain of donkey sequence only. Since integrin  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  plays a critical role during early steps of rotavirus host cell adhesion, we tested a mini-library of donkey lactadherin-derived peptides containing DGE sequence for anti-rotavirus activity. A 20 amino acid peptide containing both DGE and RGD motifs (named pDGE-RGD) showed the greatest activity, and its mechanism of antiviral action was characterised: pDGE-RGD binds to integrin  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  by means of DGE motif and inhibits rotavirus attachment to the cell surface. These findings suggest the potential anti-rotavirus activity of equine lactadherin and support the feasibility of

**developing an anti-rotavirus peptide that acts by hindering virus-receptor binding.**

---

Human rotavirus is a double-stranded RNA virus belonging to the *Reoviridae* family. It is transmitted by the faecal-oral route, causing severe gastroenteritis in infants and children under the age of five years in both developed and developing countries, although mortality occurs mainly in developing countries. As a result of rotavirus infection, 114 million episodes of diarrhea, 25 million clinic visits, 2.4 million hospital admissions, and more than 500,000 deaths in children up to age 5 occur worldwide annually (1). Groups at increased risk for rotavirus infection include children who are hospitalized or in community care centers and undernourished and/or immunodeficient children (2). Moreover, since exclusive breastfeeding was found to be associated with a lower incidence of rotavirus gastroenteritis (3-6), non-exclusively breastfed children are considered an additional group more vulnerable to rotavirus infections. The mature virion is a triple-layered particle of about 100 nm in diameter: the most external layer is comprised of two viral proteins (VP), VP7 (~34 kDa) and VP4 (87 kDa) (7, 8) with VP4 being the major determinant of tropism and receptor binding (9-12). Trimeric spikes of VP4 are anchored into the intermediate VP6 layer, while the trimeric calcium-binding protein VP7 covers the virion surface, locking VP4 spikes into place. The proteolytic cleavage of VP4 by trypsin is essential for optimum rotavirus infectivity and produces two subunits, VP5\* (60 kDa) and VP8\* (28 kDa), which remain associated with the virion (13-15). Initial cell attachment by rotaviruses is mediated by VP8\* binding to host cell glycans (16). Infection of permissive cells by many rotaviruses, including human (*e.g.* Wa and K8), monkey (RRV and SA11), and bovine (NCDV) strains, also depends on virus binding to particular integrins, a family of cell surface proteins that recognize extracellular matrix proteins (*e.g.*, collagen), cell surface ligands (*e.g.*, Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1)(17), growth factors (*e.g.*, Fibroblast growth factor-1)( 18), and viral proteins (*e.g.*, rotavirus). VP5\* recognition of the collagen-binding  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin is a key event in rotavirus binding and entry into cells which is followed by the

interaction of VP7 with integrins  $\alpha x\beta 2$ ,  $\alpha 4\beta 1$  and  $\alpha v\beta 3$  (9, 19-24). The VP5\* of almost all group A rotaviruses contain the Asp-Gly-Glu (DGE) sequence at aa 308-310, a motif that has been implicated in  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  recognition by type I collagen (17). Mutation of the putative  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  ligand sequence DGE abrogates binding of truncated VP5\* to the integrin  $\alpha 2$  subunit I domain ( $\alpha 2I$ ) and VP5\* competition with RRV cell binding and infectivity (9, 25). In addition, DGE-containing peptides such as Asp-Gly-Glu-Ala (DGEA) specifically inhibit rotavirus-cell binding and infection mediated by  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  (9, 20, 21, 25). Binding by infectious monkey (SA11, RRV) and human (Wa) rotaviruses to recombinant  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  expressed on K562 cells was specifically inhibited by DG-containing peptides and a function-blocking antibody to the  $\alpha 2I$  domain (9, 21, 23). Therefore, the interaction of rotavirus with  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin can be considered a target for the development of antiviral agents aimed at preventing or reducing rotavirus infection.

Bioactive components in milk are an important research focus (26). *In vitro*, lactadherin, a milk-fat globule membrane (MFGM) glycoprotein, inhibits rotavirus infection when sourced from humans, whereas bovine lactadherin is not active against human rotavirus (27). Moreover, it has been reported that human milk lactadherin protects breastfed infants against symptomatic rotavirus infections (6). Lactadherins isolated from different animal species are characterised by two N-terminal epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domains, the second of which contains an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) integrin-binding motif that engages  $\alpha v\beta 3/5$  integrins and two C-terminal Discoidin/F5/8C domain repeats (28). Bovine lactadherin consists of 427 amino acid residues, including an N-terminal signal sequence of 18 amino acids that is cleaved during intra-cellular processing. In contrast, human lactadherin is a protein of 387 amino acids including an N-terminal signal sequence of 23 residues. When separated by two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE), each of these proteins shows an apparent molecular mass above the theoretical value, with the difference due to glycosylation (29-31). MFGM-associated proteins from water buffalo, sheep and horse also have been analysed (32-34). Comparative species-to-

species structural studies among MFGM proteins showed high variation especially for lactadherin (35). It is proposed that these differences could explain the divergent effects of bovine and human lactadherin on human rotavirus infection. In order to test if the lack of rotavirus inhibition by bovine lactadherin is atypical or represents a shared property amongst lactadherins of related domesticated animals we undertook a proteomic analysis of MFGM proteins from equine milk and elucidated the amino acid sequence of donkey lactadherin. Alignment of the human, bovine and donkey lactadherin sequences revealed the presence of a DGE integrin-binding sequence motif in the donkey sequence only, suggesting a potential antirotavirus activity. To test this hypothesis, a mini-library of donkey lactadherin-derived peptides containing DGE sequence was tested for anti-rotavirus activity. A 20 amino acid peptide containing both DGE and RGD sequence showed the greatest activity, and its mechanism of antiviral action was characterised. These findings shed light on the mechanisms of equine lactadherin inhibition of rotavirus infection and support the feasibility of developing an anti-rotavirus peptide that acts through inhibition of virus-receptor binding.

## **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Preparation of fat globules from donkey milk* - Milk was collected from several donkeys at a single farm. Protease inhibitors (Complete; Roche Diagnostics, Basle, Switzerland) were added and the milk immediately stored at -20°C. Thawed milk was centrifuged at 5000×g for 30 min at 10°C and the pellet discarded. The cream layer and skimmed milk were centrifuged at 189,000×g for 70 min at 6°C. Fat globules were recovered in the supernatant and washed three times with 0.9% (w/v) NaCl.

*Sample protein preparation and 2-DE* - Washed fat globules were incubated at 4°C overnight in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6 containing 1% (w/v) ASB-14, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 7 M urea and 2 M thiourea to extract the proteins associated with fat globule membranes. After centrifugation at 18,400×g for 10 min at 10°C the floating cream layer was discarded. Proteins were precipitated from the supernatant

with methanol and chloroform, as described previously (36). Pellets containing proteins were solubilized in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.6 containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 1% (w/v) ASB-14, 1% (v/v) DTT and 0.5% (v/v) IPG buffer. Total protein was quantified using the 2-D Quant kit (GE Healthcare). Extracted proteins (200 µg) were loaded onto 13 cm pH 3-10 NLIPG Strips (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp, USA). IEF was carried out on an IPGphor unit (GE Healthcare) at 20°C and 8000 V for a total of 70,000 Vhr. Strips were incubated at room temperature in 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.6 containing 6 M urea, 30% (v/v) glycerol, 2% (w/v) SDS, enriched with 2% (w/v) DTT for 20 min and afterwards with 4.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide for 20 min. SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was carried out on homogeneous running gels with 11.7% total acrylamide concentration and a 2.6% grade of cross-linking (Ettan DALT II system, GE Healthcare) at 400 V and 50 mA per gel for approx. 3 h. Gels were stained using the Processor Plus (GE Healthcare) with Blue Coomassie Colloidal stain (37) and scanned with a GS-800 Densitometer (Biorad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

*Enzymatic digestion of proteins* - In-gel Multiple Enzymatic Digestion on selected 2-DE spots was performed according to the published method (38). Briefly, excised spots were destained for 2 h in 40% (v/v) ethanol containing 50 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>, dehydrated 3 times (10 min each) in acetonitrile and dried in a vacuum concentrator for 30 min. Rehydration was performed at room temperature for 5 min with 5 µl of 75 ng/µl trypsin in 25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> (Promega Corp., Madison, WI), 5 µl of 75 ng/µl Asp-N solution (Sigma), or 5 µl of 75 ng/µl of chymotrypsin in 25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> (Sigma). Digestion continued at 37°C. Asp-N peptides were collected after 2 h of digestion and submitted to mass spectrometry (MS). Trypsin and chymotrypsin digestions were performed overnight after the addition of 15 µl of 25 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>/10% (v/v) acetonitrile. These supernatants were collected and submitted for MS analysis on the following day

*Mass spectrometric analysis* - For MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, 0.5 µl of each peptide

mixture was applied to a target disk and allowed to air-dry. Subsequently, 0.5 µl of matrix solution (1% (w/v)  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 30% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.1% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid) were applied to the dried sample and dried under vacuum. Spectra were collected on a Ultraflex II MALDI-TOF-TOF (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Germany). The reported mass spectra are the result of signals of approximately 800 laser shots. The spectra were externally calibrated using the standard calibration mixture from Bruker Daltonik (GmbH, Germany). Manual/visual estimation of the mass spectra was performed using Flex Analysis software (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Germany). For LC-MS/MS experiments an Agilent's 1100 Series liquid chromatography and LC/MSD XCT series ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with nano-ESI source were used. Peptide mixtures were separated on a RP C18 column (Zorbax 300SB-C18 3.5 µm 150x0.075 mm) (CPS) equilibrated with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water. Peptides were eluted over 55 min with a linear gradient of 5%-70% (v/v) of 0.5% water/0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The flow rate was 300 nl/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode. Data were processed using DataAnalysis software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, U.S.).

*Spectra interpretation* - For Peptide Mass Fingerprinting (PMF) identification, the MS-Fit software package in ProteinProspector version 5.10.9 (<http://prospector.ucsf.edu/>) was used for spectra interpretation (39). Data were searched against the *Horse* database UniProtKB.2012.03.21.

The parameters used for the search were: S-carbamidomethyl derivative on cysteine as fixed modification, oxidation on methionine as variable modification and two missed cleavage sites for trypsin digestion. The peptide mass tolerance was 30 ppm.

The LC-MS/MS and MALDI-TOF/TOF spectra were analyzed using the MASCOT MS/MS Ions Search engine (<http://www.matrixscience.com/>) against the UniProtKB.2012.03.21 database. The parameters used for the search were: S-carbamidomethyl derivative on cysteine as fixed modification,

oxidation on methionine as variable modification, two missed cleavage sites for each enzymatic digestion and default selection of charge states ions (+2, +3). The peptide mass tolerance was set to 0.6 Da and the fragment mass tolerance to 0.8 Da. Protein hits were validated if the protein scores were above the MASCOT default significance threshold ( $p \leq 0.05$ ), and a minimum of two unique peptides matched.

*N-terminal sequencing* - Spots were passively eluted from gels as described elsewhere (40) and the membrane analyzed by direct micro sequencing on a Procise 492 protein sequencer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). All chemicals were purchased from Applied Biosystems.

*Cell lines and viruses* - African green monkey kidney epithelial cells (MA104) and human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (Caco-2) were propagated, respectively, in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) and Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Both media were supplemented with 1% (v/v) Zell Shield (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin, Germany) and heat inactivated, 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Sigma).

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells were cultured in High Glucose DMEM (PAA, 4061 Pasching, Austria) supplemented as above. The derivation of CHO K1 cell transfectants expressing human  $\alpha 2$  integrin subunit (CHO  $\alpha 2$ ) or the PBJ-1 empty vector (CHO K1 PBJ-1) has been described previously (41-45). CHO cell transfectants were grown as for the parental cell line, except that G418 sulfate (Sigma) at 0.1 µg/ml to 0.8 µg/ml was included in the growth medium.

Human rotavirus strain Wa was obtained from the ATCC; the origin of porcine rotavirus P9 G3 strain CRW-8 has been described previously (46). Wa and CRW-8 infectivity was activated with 5 µg/ml of porcine pancreatic trypsin type IX (Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C and propagated in MA104 cells in MEM containing 0.5 µg/ml trypsin as described previously (47).

*Antibodies* - Mouse monoclonal antibodies (MAb) directed to human rotavirus VP6 (0036),

$\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin (AK7) and  $\alpha \beta 3$  integrin (LM609) were purchased from Covalab (Villeurbanne, France), Abcam (Cambridge, UK) and Millipore (Molsheim, France), respectively.

*Peptides* - Synthetic peptides, pRGD-Hu (EVRGDVFP), pRGD-Bo (SHRGDVFI) (derived from human and bovine lactadherin, respectively), pRGD-Eq (SHRGDVFT), pDGE (QNDGECHV) (derived from equine lactadherin), pRGE (SHRGEVFT), pAGE (QNAGECHV) were purchased from Eurogentec (Liege, Belgium). Synthetic peptides pDGE-RGDmut1 (NNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQ), pDGE-RGDmut2 (QNDGECHVIDDSDRGDVFTQ), pDGE-RGDmut3 (QNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFSQ), pAGE-RGD (QNAGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQ), pDGE-RGE (QNDGECHVIDDSHRGEVFTQ) were purchased from Proteogenix (Valparc, France). pDGE-RGD19 (NDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQ) and pDGEA (DGEA) were purchased from the PolyPeptide Group (Strasbourg, France). pAGE-RGE (QNAGECHVIDDSHRGEVFQT) was purchased from the CASLO Laboratory (Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark).

pDGE-RGD peptide (QNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQ), derived from equine lactadherin, was purchased from Eurogentec, PolyPeptide Group, Proteogenix and CASLO Laboratory.

Biotinylated peptides Biot-pDGE (Biotin-QNDGECHV), Biot-pAGE (Biotin-QNAGECHV) and Biot-pDGE-RGD (Biotin-QNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQ) were purchased from Proteogenix. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry analysis confirmed that pDGE-RGD, the peptide of major interest for this study, was soluble and monomeric (data not shown).

*Rotavirus infectivity assays* - Peptide blockade of virus infectivity was determined by focus reduction assay using confluent MA104 cell monolayers in 96-well trays, as described previously (21). Unless otherwise stated, cells were treated for 1 h at 37°C with peptides at 0.01  $\mu$ M to 500  $\mu$ M in serum-free medium prior to virus addition. Rotavirus infection was

performed at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.02, unless otherwise indicated, for 1 h at 37°C, in presence of the peptide unless otherwise stated. Infected cells were washed with serum-free medium and incubated in this medium at 37°C in a humidified incubator in 5% (vol/vol) CO<sub>2</sub>-95% (vol/vol) air. In some experiments (as indicated), peptide pDGE-RGD was included with these washed, infected cells for the duration of their incubation. After 16 h of incubation, infected cells were fixed with cold acetone-methanol (50:50), and viral titers determined by indirect immunostaining, by using UltraTech HRP Streptavidin-Biotin Detection System (Beckman Coulter) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Peptide blockade of viral infectivity is expressed as mean %  $\pm$  SD. Where possible, anti-viral effective concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) values were calculated by regression analysis using the dose-response curves generated from the experimental data, using PRISM 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). IC<sub>50</sub> values were compared using the sum-of-squares F test.

*Assay of virus yield* - To test the ability of pDGE-RGD to inhibit multiple cycles of viral replication, confluent MA104 cells in 24-well trays were infected with trypsin-activated Wa rotavirus (MOI=0.02) for 1 h at 37°C and washed as above. Cells were incubated in medium supplemented with 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml porcine trypsin and 30, 100 or 300  $\mu$ M pDGE-RGD, with fresh pDGE-RGD added to peptide-treated wells at 16 h after infection and at later fixed intervals, and an equal volume of medium added to untreated controls at these times. Infected cells and cell supernatants were harvested at 48 h post infection and virus titers determined by indirect immunostaining of MA104 cell monolayers inoculated with serial dilutions of the samples. The assay was performed in triplicate.

*Rotavirus-cell binding assay* - Confluent MA104 cell monolayers in 24-well trays were washed, incubated with peptides or antibodies for 1 h at 37°C and cooled on ice for 20 min in the continuing presence of peptides or antibodies. Trypsin-activated virus, which had been cooled to 4°C, was allowed to attach to cells on ice for 1 h (MOI=3) in presence of

peptides or antibodies. Cells were washed with cold MEM; cold MEM was added. Cells were subjected to two rounds of freeze-thawing, incubated at 37°C for 30 min with 10 µg/ml porcine trypsin to release bound virus, and the lysate clarified by low speed centrifugation for 10 min. Cell-bound virus titers were determined by indirect immunostaining as above.

*Rotavirus-cell entry assay* - Confluent MA104 cell monolayers in 96-well trays were washed twice with MEM and cooled on ice for 20 min. Trypsin-activated virus, which had been cooled to 4°C, was adsorbed to cells on ice for 1 h at 4°C (MOI=0.02). Peptide pDGE-RGD was added to washed cells at 0.01 µM to 500 µM for 1 h at 37°C. Rotavirus entry was stopped by removal of surface-bound virions with two quick washes with 3 mM EGTA in PBS, which removes the virion outer capsid (48, 49). Cells were incubated in MEM for 16 h at 37°C, fixed, stained and titers determined as described above for the infectivity assay.

*Virucidal assay* - Trypsin-activated Wa rotavirus was reacted with 100 µM pDGE-RGD peptide or diluent for 1 h at 37°C, then titrated. Viral yields were determined by indirect immunostaining, by counting the number of infectious foci at 1:1024, 1:2048 and 1:4096 dilutions.

*Peptide-cell binding assay* - Confluent CHO K1 and CHO α2 cells in 96-well trays were washed twice with DMEM containing 1% (w/v) BSA and incubated for 1 h at 37°C with 0.3 µM, 3 µM or 30 µM Biotin-pDGE, Biotin-pAGE or Biotin-pDGE-RGD peptides in the same DMEM diluent. Washed cells were incubated with streptavidin-HRP (Beckman Coulter) for 10 min at room temperature, washed twice with PBS and reacted with ABTS reagent (Thermoscientific, IL, U.S.A.) for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with PBS containing 5% (w/v) SDS and the absorbance determined at λ=405 nm.

*Cell viability assay* - The cytotoxicity of peptide pDGE-RGD was assessed in MA104 and CaCo2 cells seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 1.2×10<sup>4</sup> cells/well. After 24 h of culture, cells were treated with peptide at

0.0044 µM to 880 µM. Cell viability was determined 48 h later with the CellTiter 96 Proliferation Assay kit (Promega, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbances were measured using a model 680 microplate reader (Biorad) at 490 nm. Viability in treated cells was expressed as a percentage relative to cells incubated with culture medium alone. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC<sub>50</sub>) was determined using logarithmic viability curves. Where possible, a selectivity index (SI) was calculated by dividing the CC<sub>50</sub> by the IC<sub>50</sub> value.

*Statistical analysis* - One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), in some cases followed by the Bonferroni test, was used to assess the statistical significance of differences in virus titers and absorbance data. Significance was set at the 95% level.

## **RESULTS**

*Proteomic analysis and protein sequencing indicate the presence of two putative integrin ligand motifs in equine lactadherin* - The 2-DE map of donkey milk fat globule membrane proteins was determined (Figure 1). The 2DE map showed a pattern very similar to that previously published for horse MFGM proteins, where lactadherin was the major protein and was identified in several spots at different molecular masses (34). The spots 1 to 4, corresponding to the molecular masses of horse lactadherin, were excised and the proteins identified by MALDI-TOF MS and PMF as the horse milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant, also known as horse lactadherin (Table 1). To understand the nature of the difference between the ~45 kDa and ~50 kDa proteins, their N-terminal amino acid sequences were determined (Table 2). The sequences of proteins 1 and 2 matched the N-terminal amino acid sequence of horse lactadherin (UniProt F7B0S3) with an identity of ≥95%. Proteins 3 and 4 corresponded to the same horse lactadherin sequence, commencing from the amino acid residue at position 44. As the 45 kDa form was most similar to the human counterpart, its amino acid sequence was examined further. The results of multiple enzymatic digestions of this 45 kDa protein with trypsin, Asp-N and chymotrypsin,

followed by MALDI-TOF/TOF and nano LC-ESI MS/MS analyses (Table 3), together with the N-terminal amino acid sequence, gave an (almost) complete coverage of the sequence of this form of donkey lactadherin (Figure 2). The sequence was submitted to UniProt database and the accession number C0HJR4 was assigned.

The sequence alignment of this equine (EQ) lactadherin with the bovine (BO) and human (HU) lactadherins revealed some very interesting features in the N-terminal domains (Figure 3). An RGD motif is conserved in the three proteins, being present at amino acid residues 23 to 25 in equine and human lactadherin, and at residues 67 to 69 in bovine lactadherin. RGD is an integrin-binding motif that engages  $\alpha_v\beta_3$ ,  $\alpha_v\beta_5$ ,  $\alpha_3\beta_1$  and  $\alpha_5\beta_1$  integrins to facilitate cell adhesion. Surprisingly, the equine lactadherin was shown to contain an additional integrin binding motif, the DGE sequence that can specifically bind the  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin, which is present at residues 12 to 14.

*Functional roles of the equine lactadherin RGD and DGE sequences in inhibition of rotavirus infection* - In order to assess the importance of the RGD sequence (shared by human, bovine and equine lactadherin) and the DGE motif (present in equine lactadherin only) for inhibition of rotaviral infection, the effect on Wa infection of cellular treatment with the 8 amino acid peptides pRGD-Hu, pRGD-Bo, pRGD-Eq, and pDGE was determined. These human, bovine and equine RGD-peptides inhibited Wa infection of MA104 cells in a dose-dependent fashion to maxima at 500  $\mu\text{M}$  of 57%, 50% and 47% respectively (Figure 4A). In contrast, MA104 cell treatment with an 8 amino acid RGE peptide harboring a single amino acid substitution (D→E) known to inhibit the ability of RGD triplet to bind integrin (pRGE), had no effect on virus infectivity even at high concentrations (Figure 4A). Notably, the DGE-containing peptide pDGE derived from equine lactadherin inhibited Wa infectivity to maximum of 84% (Figure 4B), making it possible to assess its  $\text{IC}_{50}$  at 17  $\mu\text{M}$  (Table 4). As expected, an AGE peptide (pAGE), despite its ability to inhibit rotaviral infectivity to maximum of 80%, showed a significantly higher  $\text{IC}_{50}$  (257  $\mu\text{M}$ )

than pDGE ( $p < 0.0001$ ; Table 4). As positive control, we tested the Type I collagen-derived peptide Asp-Gly-Glu-Ala (pDGEA); this  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin ligand showed an antiviral activity comparable to the one previously reported (21), as depicted in Figure 4B.

*A 20 amino acid region of equine lactadherin has elevated specific anti-rotaviral activity in epithelial cell cultures that depends on its RGD and DGE sequences* - Interestingly, the 20 amino acid peptide pDGE-RGD, corresponding to amino acids 10 to 29 of equine lactadherin and harboring both the RGD and DGE motifs, showed the highest anti-rotaviral efficacy ( $\text{IC}_{50} = 4.4 \mu\text{M}$ ; Table 4). pDGE-RGD was therefore selected as the lead compound for further studies. Of note, the pDGE-RGD peptide maintained a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) inhibitory activity against high MOIs of Wa (Figure 4C). The stage of the rotavirus replication cycle inhibited by peptide pDGE-RGD was examined. Peptide addition 1 h before or immediately after infection did not inhibit Wa infectivity. The greatest infectivity inhibition was achieved when the peptide was added during infection (Figure 5), as performed in the experiments described above and the following studies. To assess the role played by each motif in inhibition of rotavirus infectivity, the antiviral activity of several mutant peptides derived from pRGD-DGE that harbored mutations in DGE (D→A, pAGE-RGD), RGD (D→E, pDGE-RGE) or both triplets (pAGE-RGE) was tested. These peptides failed to show any antiviral activity even at high concentrations, demonstrating the importance of these motifs for anti-rotavirus activity (Table 4). In contrast, peptides with single amino acid substitutions outside these functional groups, namely pDGE-RGDmut1, pDGE-RGDmut2 and pDGE-RGDmut3, retained the ability to inhibit Wa infection (Table 4), at levels comparable to those of pDGE-RGD. No significant difference was seen between the  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of pDGE-RGD and these peptides with amino acid substitutions outside the DGE and RGD functional groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). The antiviral efficacy of pDGE-RGD and its AGE- and RGE-mutants was also evaluated in the Caco-2 intestinal cell line (Table 5). While pDGE-RGD showed antiviral activity in Caco-2 cells

(IC<sub>50</sub>=8.8 μM) comparable to that in MA104 cells, pDGE-RGE, pAGE-RGD, and pAGE-RGE exhibited a significant loss of activity (p<0.0001). Interestingly, these Caco-2 cell studies identified significant differences in antiviral activity between these three peptides (0.002<p<0.0194), with pDGE-RGE showing a lower IC<sub>50</sub> (46 μM) than pAGE-RGD (IC<sub>50</sub>=101 μM) and pAGE-RGE (IC<sub>50</sub>=152 μM). This suggests that the DGE sequence plays a major role in the process leading to rotavirus inhibition. In order to rule out any non-specific effect due to loss of cell viability, MTS cell viability assays were performed after incubation of Wa-infected and control MA104 and Caco-2 cell cultures for 72 h with a range of peptide concentrations. The tested peptides did not impair cell viability at any concentration tested on MA104 cells, showing a 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC<sub>50</sub>) of >880 μM (Tables 4 and 5). In Caco-2 cells, the pDGE-RGD CC<sub>50</sub> was 945 μM. CC<sub>50</sub> values were used to calculate the selectivity index of pDGE-RGD, which was >100 for both cell lines (Tables 4 and 5).

*Infectious rotavirus yield is reduced by the 20 amino acid lactadherin peptide* - The antiviral activity of pDGE-RGD was evaluated in a virus yield assay, a more stringent test that allows multiple cycles of viral replication to occur before measuring the titer of infectious virus produced. Wa rotavirus yield was reduced in a dose-dependent manner in cells treated with 100 μM or 300 μM pDGE-RGD (p<0.05), showing 50% and 84% inhibition, respectively (Figure 6).

*The 20 amino acid lactadherin peptide binds α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub> integrin in a DGE-dependent manner* - The results described above suggested that pDGE-RGD may inhibit rotavirus infectivity by binding the α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub> integrin, thereby blocking viral binding to this cellular receptor. The ability of equine lactadherin peptides to specifically bind α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub> was tested by treating CHO cells expressing surface α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub> with biotinylated pDGE-RGD, pDGE and pAGE peptides. Peptides pDGE-RGD and pDGE bound to the surface of α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub>-expressing CHO cells in a dose-dependent manner, whereas pAGE did not show this ability (Figure 7A). Peptide pDGE-RGD bound to a greater extent than pDGE, as

pDGE-RGD binding was significantly greater than that of pDGE at 3 μM and 30 μM (0.01<p<0.02). In contrast, pDGE binding to the CHO parental cell line (CHO-K1) (Figure 7B) was reduced compared to α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub>-expressing CHO cells at all concentrations tested (p<0.0006). No difference was detected between pDGE and pAGE binding to CHO-K1 cells (p>0.05). Interestingly, substantial binding of pDGE-RGD to CHO-K1 cells was evident, indicating binding to cell surface component(s) other than α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub>. Consistently, at 30 μM pDGE-RGD binding to the surface of α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub>-expressing CHO cells was significantly greater than to the parental cell line (p<0.05).

*The 20 amino acid lactadherin peptide preferentially inhibits rotavirus-cell attachment rather than entry, in a DGE-dependent manner*

- In order to evaluate the ability of pDGE-RGD to inhibit rotavirus-cell attachment we measured the titer of infectious Wa rotavirus particles attached to pDGE-RGD-treated and untreated MA104 cells. pDGE-RGD significantly reduced Wa binding by a maximum of 67% (p<0.0001), while pAGE-RGE did not show any inhibitory activity (p>0.05; Figure 8A). As found previously (9), the AK7 MAb to integrin α<sub>2</sub>β<sub>1</sub> reduced virus-cell binding to maximum of 51% (p<0.05), whereas MAb LM609 to integrin α<sub>v</sub>β<sub>3</sub> had no significant effect (p>0.05) effect. In a control experiment, we tested the antiviral efficacy of pDGE-RGD against the integrin-independent rotavirus strain CRW-8. As expected, pDGE-RGD did not inhibit CRW-8 infectivity at any concentration tested (Figure 8B). The effect of pDGE-RGD on viral entry also was investigated. This peptide significantly inhibited Wa rotavirus-cell entry only when added to cells at very high concentrations i.e. 300 μM or 500 μM (p<0.05; Figure 8C). In order to rule out any virucidal effect of pDGE-RGD on rotavirus, Wa was exposed for 1 h to a pDGE-RGD concentration (100 μM) able to inhibit to 80% of Wa infectivity. The infectious titer of the pDGE-RGD-treated viral suspension was indistinguishable for that of the untreated control (p>0.05; Figure 8D).

## **DISCUSSION**

Many human and animal rotaviruses contain the Asp–Gly–Glu (DGE) sequence in VP8\*, which is necessary for their usage of the  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin to aid infection. In this study we have identified the putative integrin ligand motifs RGD and DGE in the N-terminal domain of donkey lactadherin. While the RGD motif is common to human, bovine and donkey lactadherins, the DGE motif is found only in the donkey sequence. Direct evaluation of the antiviral activity of donkey lactadherin would be of interest but has proven to be difficult. Difficulties arise when experiments to purify donkey lactadherin with the same methods used for the human and bovine proteins are attempted, since human and bovine milk contain a much higher amount of fat (approx 3.5%) than donkey milk (approx. 0.3% ) from which only a very small amount of lactadherin could be recovered. For this reason we turned to the recombinant protein. We achieved bacterial expression of full-length donkey lactadherin, but the recombinant His-tagged protein was insoluble and could not be refolded (unpublished observations). This is consistent with the insolubility of milk-derived lactadherins, which are associated with the milk fat globule membrane (50). In any case, our main focus is on the development of rotavirus inhibitors based on derivative peptides, rather than analysing the full-length protein: one main reason is that it is very unlikely that lactadherin molecules pass through the digestive tract intact - at least not in a relevant amount (e.g. we have easily digested donkey lactadherin with trypsin to solve their primary structure). Moreover, the ability of the DGE integrin binding domain to inhibit rotavirus replication can be addressed much more clearly working with small peptides rather than intact proteins, where the tertiary and eventually quaternary structure of the molecule could mask the biological activity of the inner part of some protein segment. To this end, we have demonstrated that peptides derived from donkey lactadherin, which contain the intact RGD and DGE sequences, specifically and effectively inhibit rotavirus infection at low  $\mu\text{M}$  levels. We selected a 20 amino acid peptide derived from donkey lactadherin harboring the RGD and a DGE motif (pDGE-RGD) depending on its

favourable SI and its ability to limit the production of viral progeny resulting from multiple cycles of viral replication. The differences between MA104 and Caco-2 cells in the effectiveness of pDGE-RGD in preventing rotavirus cell infection parallel the data documented in a previous work (21), showing that a DGEA peptide was a less effective inhibitor of virus binding in Caco-2 cells than in MA104 cells. The higher surface expression of  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  on Caco-2 cells than on MA104 cells (51) could explain these findings, as higher levels of peptide would be needed to block all available integrin sites that could bind virus.

During our investigation of the functional roles of the equine lactadherin RGD and DGE sequences in inhibition of rotavirus infection, we found that pAGE-RGD, pDGE-RGE and pAGE-RGE mutant peptides lack antiviral activity on MA104 cells. Interestingly, we were able to discriminate a different contribution from the two motifs using Caco2 cells, with the DGE triplet being more critical than RGD in limiting Wa infectivity ( $\text{IC}_{50}$  of pAGE-RGD >  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of pDGE-RGE). These data - together with the fact that peptides harboring single amino acid substitutions outside these functional motifs retain their antiviral activity - suggest that both triplets are important for the inhibition of rotavirus infectivity. These specific substitutions (i.e. D→A for DGE and D→E for RGD) were chosen because an aspartate (D) or glutamate (E) residue is a critical feature of all integrin recognition sites (52). The amino acid substitution in the DGE motif (i.e. D→A) is known to alter the integrin  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  binding ability of VP5\* (21, 24, 32), with D308 in VP5\* being the major requirement for  $\alpha 2\text{I}$  binding by rotavirus. Overall, these results suggest that the antiviral activity of pDGE-RGD is dependent on its ability to interact with  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin. This notion is supported further by the finding that infection by integrin-independent virus CRW-8 was unaffected by pDGE-RGD.

The demonstration of the antiviral efficacy of pDGE (an 8 aa peptide harboring only the DGE triplet) extends data previously reported (21), which showed that individual peptide polymers containing the collagen-binding motif DGEA inhibit rotavirus infectivity by more than 60% in Caco-2 and MA104 cells and provided

additional evidence of the importance of  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  in the rotavirus cell attachment and entry process. We also tested the antiviral efficacy of DGEA peptide in our experimental systems, confirming the efficacy previously reported against the Wa strain (21) and showing that pDGE has a greater inhibitory capacity. Notably, an 8 aa mutant peptide (pAGE) showed a significant antirotaviral activity only at high concentrations. Taken together, these results confirm that the antiviral activity of pDGE-RGD peptide is mostly dependent on the integrity of the DGE triplet. Nevertheless, pDGE shows a less effective antiviral activity than pDGE-RGD, suggesting that a second region of this peptide provides an additional contribution in inhibiting rotavirus infectivity. To this end, we showed that 8 aa RGD peptides (namely pRGD-Hu, pRGD-Bo and pRGD-Eq derived from human, bovine or equine lactadherin respectively) are endowed with a slight antiviral efficacy. Published studies provide evidence that rotavirus infectivity is not inhibited by RGD peptide, and function-blocking antibodies to RGD-binding integrins do not inhibit rotavirus infection (20, 23, 53). No evidence for Wa binding to integrins via RGD is available. We speculate that the slight antiviral efficacy of RGD peptides may be due to an indirect effect on rotavirus cell binding, perhaps by anchoring pDGE-RGD peptide to the cell surface and sterically blocking Wa virus access to receptors.

The results of the virucidal assay rule out the possibility of a direct effect of peptide treatment on rotavirus particles, while the time of addition assays suggest that pDGE-RGD might function by hindering the attachment of the virus to the host cell. The results of rotavirus cell-binding experiments confirm this conclusion: the titer of infective particles attached on the surface of pDGE-RGD treated cells is significantly reduced compared with the untreated control. Moreover, pAGE-RGE peptide does not reduce the number of infectious particles attached to the cell surface, showing that this ability is strictly dependent on the integrity of the DGE or RGD sequences. Consistent with these considerations, we demonstrated that both pDGE and pDGE-RGD bind  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin in a DGE-dependent manner, since pAGE does not interact with  $\alpha 2\beta 1$ -expressing CHO-K1 cells.

The pDGE and pDGE-RGD peptides interact in a dose-dependent manner with  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  expressed on the surface of CHO-K1 cells. Importantly, pDGE-RGD bound the cellular surface to a greater extent than pDGE, which parallels the higher antiviral activity of the longer peptide. Moreover, we show that pDGE-RGD (unlike pDGE) is able to interact with the surface of CHO-K1 parental cell line that does not express  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  on the cellular surface. This result suggests that pDGE-RGD interacts with a second surface determinant, a clue that could explain the greater antiviral activity of pDGE-RGD compared to pDGE. Of the known RGD-binding integrins, CHO-K1 cells express  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  and  $\alpha v\beta 5$ , but not  $\alpha 3\beta 1$  or  $\alpha v\beta 3$  (54, 55). It is likely that pDGE-RGD binds  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  or  $\alpha v\beta 5$  on CHO-K1 cells and  $\alpha 2\beta 1$ -expressing CHO-K1 cells, as well as binding  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  on  $\alpha 2\beta 1$ -expressing CHO-K1 cells. The close proximity of the RGD and DGE motifs in pDGE-RGD is expected to preclude binding to both  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  and an RGD-recognising integrin simultaneously by a single peptide molecule. However, peptide anchorage to an RGD integrin like  $\alpha 5\beta 1$ , which is abundant on CHO-K1 cells, could prevent virus access to  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  and possibly other receptors. Interestingly, rotavirus-cell entry experiments showed that pDGE-RGD is able to inhibit rotavirus infectivity when added after virus-cell attachment, even if only slightly and at high concentrations. One possibility is that high concentrations of pDGE-RGD could interfere with virus-cell attachment, even after it took place, by mediating detachment of part of the viral population from the cell surface. It is also possible that integrin-bound pDGE-RGD is endocytosed and inhibits virion conversion into the transcriptionally-active, double-layered particle, which for Wa has been proposed to occur during exit from late endosomes (56). Interestingly, Wa VP8\* binds the GM1 ganglioside, which can associate with integrins such as  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  and  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  in membrane microdomains (57, 58) and has been proposed to classify cargo for uptake and trafficking in late endosomes (59). Binding of pDGE-RGD to  $\beta 1$  integrins internalised in endosomes also might interfere with Wa access to the cytoplasm, which is essential for replication (60).

Overall, the information obtained in the present study indicates that a specific 20 aa peptide from the N-terminal domain of donkey lactadherin is able to block rotavirus cell-adhesion and infectivity in a DGE-dependent manner. Previously reported data (21) show that polymerization increases the effectiveness of peptide polymers containing the collagen-binding motif DGEA as rotavirus inhibitors by up to 10-fold, suggesting that it might be possible to develop more effective inhibitors of rotavirus-integrin interactions. In our experimental system, we also tested the in vitro

antiviral activity of a dendrimeric peptide harboring multiple DGE motifs; this molecule displays an  $IC_{50}$  (3.6  $\mu$ M) that is not significantly different from the pDGE-RGD  $IC_{50}$  ( $p > 0.05$ ; data not shown). Currently, no antivirals are commercially available for rotavirus. The discovery of the pDGE-RGD peptide may prove useful in the development of inhibitors of receptor recognition by rotavirus or other viruses that use  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin during cell attachment and entry like human echovirus 1 (61, 62).

## REFERENCES

1. Kotloff K. L., Nataro J. P., Blackwelder W. C., Nasrin D., Farag T. H., Panchalingam S., Wu Y., Sow S. O., Sur D., Breiman R. F., Faruque A. S. G., Zaidi A. K. M., Saha D., Alonso P. L., Tamboura B., Sanogo D., Onwuchekwa U., Manna B., Ramamurthy T., Kanungo S., Ochieng J. B., Omere R., Oundo J. O., Hossain A., Das S. K., Ahmed S., Qureshi S., Quadri F., Adegbola R. A., Antonio M., Hossain M. J., Akinsola A., Mandomando I., Nhampossa T., Acácio S., Biswas K., O'Reilly C. E., Mintz E. D., Berkeley L. Y., Muhsen K., Sommerfelt H., Robins-Browne R. M., and Levine M. M. (2013) Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *Lancet* **382**, 209 – 222
2. Gleizes O., Desselberger U., Tatochenko V., Rodrigo C., Salman N., Mezner Z., Giaquinto C., Grimprel E. (2006) Nosocomial Rotavirus Infection in European Countries: A Review of the Epidemiology, Severity and Economic Burden of Hospital-Acquired Rotavirus Disease. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* **25**, S12-S21
3. Prameela K. K., and Vijaya L. R. (2012) The importance of breastfeeding in rotaviral diarrhoeas. *Malays. J. Nutr.* **18**, 103-111.
4. Morrow A. L., and Rangel J. M. (2004) Human milk protection against infectious diarrhea: implications for prevention and clinical care. *Semin. Pediatr. Infect. Dis.* **15**, 221-228.
5. Kurugöl Z., Geylani S., Karaca Y., Umay F., Erensoy S., Vardar F., Bak M., Yaprak I., Ozkinay F., and Ozkinay C. (2003) Rotavirus gastroenteritis among children under five years of age in Izmir, Turkey. *Turk. J. Pediatr.* **45**, 290-294.
6. Newburg D. S., Peterson J. A., Ruiz-Palacios G. M., Matson D. O., Morrow A. L., Shults J., Guerrero M. L., Chaturvedi P., Newburg S. O., Scallan C. D., Taylor M. R., Ceriani R. L., and Pickering L. K. (1998) Role of human-milk lactadherin in protection against symptomatic rotavirus infection. *Lancet.* **351**, 1160-1164.
7. Shaw A. L., Rothnagel R., Zeng C. Q., Lawton J. A., Ramig R. F., Estes M. K., and Prasad B. V. (1996) Rotavirus structure: interactions between the structural proteins. *Arch. Virol. Suppl.* **12**, 21-27.
8. Yeager M., Berriman J. A., Baker T. S., and Bellamy A. R. (1994) Three-dimensional structure of the rotavirus haemagglutinin VP4 by cryo-electron microscopy and difference map analysis. *EMBO J.* **13**, 1011-1018
9. Graham K. L., Halasz P., Tan Y., Hewish M. J., Takada Y., Mackow E. R., Robinson M. K., and Coulson B. S. (2003) Integrin-using rotaviruses bind alpha2beta1 integrin alpha2 I domain via VP4 DGE sequence and recognize alphaXbeta2 and alphaVbeta3 by using VP7 during cell entry. *J. Virol.* **18**, 9969-9978
10. Ludert J. E., Feng N., Yu J. H., Broome R. L., Hoshino Y., and Greenberg H. B. (1996) Genetic mapping indicates that VP4 is the rotavirus cell attachment protein in vitro and in vivo. *J. Virol.* **70**, 487-493.
11. Bass D. M., Mackow E. R., and Greenberg H. B. (1991) Identification and partial characterization of a rhesus rotavirus binding glycoprotein on murine enterocytes. *Virology.* **183**, 602-610.
12. Kirkwood C. D., Bishop R. F., and Coulson B. S. (1998) Attachment and growth of human rotaviruses RV-3 and S12/85 in Caco-2 cells depend on VP4. *J. Virol.* **72**, 9348-9352
13. Espejo R. T., López S., and Arias C. (1981) Structural polypeptides of simian rotavirus SA11 and the effect of trypsin. *J. Virol.* **37**, 156-160
14. Estes M. K., Graham D. Y., and Mason B. B. (1981) Proteolytic enhancement of rotavirus infectivity: molecular mechanisms. *J. Virol.* **39**, 879-888
15. Gilbert J. M., and Greenberg H. B. (1998) Cleavage of rhesus rotavirus VP4 after arginine 247 is essential for rotavirus-like particle-induced fusion from without. *J. Virol.* **72**, 5323-5327.
16. Fleming F. E., Böhm R., Dang V. T., Holloway G., Haselhorst T., Madge P. D., Deveryshetty J., Yu X., Blanchard H., von Itzstein M., and Coulson B. S. (2014) Evaluation of specificity and effects of monoclonal antibodies submitted to the Eighth Human Leucocyte Differentiation Antigen Workshop on rotavirus-cell attachment and entry. *J. Virol.* **88**, 4558-4571
17. Takada Y., Ye X., and Simon S. (2007) The integrins. *Genome Biol.* **8**, 215

18. Mori, S. and Y. Takada, (2013) Crosstalk between Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) Receptor and Integrin through Direct Integrin Binding to FGF and Resulting Integrin-FGF-FGFR Ternary Complex Formation. *Medical Sciences* **1**, 20-36.
19. Ciarlet M., Crawford S. E., Cheng E., Blutt S. E., Rice D. A., Bergelson J. M., and Estes M. K. (2002) VLA-2 (alpha2beta1) integrin promotes rotavirus entry into cells but is not necessary for rotavirus attachment. *J. Virol.* **76**, 1109-1123.
20. Coulson B. S., Londrigan S. L., and Lee D. J. (1997) Rotavirus contains integrin ligand sequences and a disintegrin-like domain that are implicated in virus entry into cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **94**, 5389-5394
21. Graham K. L., Zeng W., Takada Y., Jackson D. C., and Coulson B. S. (2004) Effects on rotavirus cell binding and infection of monomeric and polymeric peptides containing alpha2beta1 and alpha3beta2 integrin ligand sequences. *J. Virol.* **78**, 11786-11797
22. Guerrero C. A., Méndez E., Zárate S., Isa P., López S., and Arias C. F. (2000) Integrin alpha(v)beta(3) mediates rotavirus cell entry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **97**, 14644-14649
23. Hewish M. J., Takada Y., and Coulson B. S. (2000) Integrins alpha2beta1 and alpha4beta1 can mediate SA11 rotavirus attachment and entry into cells. *J. Virol.* **74**, 228-236
24. Zárate S., Espinosa R., Romero P., Méndez E., Arias C. F., and López S. (2000) The VP5 domain of VP4 can mediate attachment of rotaviruses to cells. *J. Virol.* **74**, 593-599
25. Graham K. L., Takada Y., and Coulson B. S. (2006) Rotavirus spike protein VP5\* binds alpha2beta1 integrin on the cell surface and competes with virus for cell binding and infectivity. *J. Gen. Virol.* **87**, 1275-1283
26. López Alvarez M. J. (2007) Proteins in human milk. *Breastfeed Rev.* **15**, 5-16
27. Kvistgaard A. S., Pallesen L. T., Arias C. F., López S., Petersen T. E., Heegaard C. W., and Rasmussen J. T. (2004) Inhibitory effects of human and bovine milk constituents on rotavirus infections. *J. Dairy Sci.* **87**, 4088-4096
28. Raymond A., Ensslin M. A., and Shur B. D. (2009) SED1/MFG-E8: a bi-motif protein that orchestrates diverse cellular interactions. *J. Cell Biochem.* **106**, 957-966
29. Spertino S., Cipriani V., De Angelis C., Giuffrida M. G., Marsano F., and Cavaletto M. (2012) Proteome profile and biological activity of caprine, bovine and human milk fat globules. *Mol. Biosyst.* **8**, 967-974.
30. Fortunato D., Giuffrida M. G., Cavaletto M., Garoffo L. P., Dellavalle G., Napolitano L., Giunta C., Fabris C., Bertino E., Coscia A., and Conti A. (2003) Structural proteome of human colostrum fat globule membrane proteins. *Proteomics.* **3**, 897-905
31. Cavaletto M., Giuffrida M. G., and Conti A. (2004) The proteomic approach to analysis of human milk fat globule membrane. *Clin. Chim. Acta.* **347**, 41-48
32. D'Ambrosio C., Arena S., Salzano A. M., Renzone G., Ledda L., and Scaloni A. (2008) A proteomic characterization of water buffalo milk fractions describing PTM of major species and the identification of minor components involved in nutrient delivery and defense against pathogens. *Proteomics.* **17**, 3657-3666
33. Pisanu S., Ghisaura S., Pagnozzi D., Biosa G., Tanca A., Roggio T., Uzzau S., and Addis M. F. (2011) The sheep milk fat globule membrane proteome. *J. Proteomics.* **74**, 350-358.
34. Barello C., Garoffo L. P., Montorfano G., Zava S., Berra B., Conti A., and Giuffrida M. G. (2008) Analysis of major proteins and fat fractions associated with mare's milk fat globules. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **52**, 1448-1456
35. Cebo C., Rebours E., Henry C., Makhzami S., Cosette P., and Martin P. (2012) Identification of major milk fat globule membrane proteins from pony mare milk highlights the molecular diversity of lactadherin across species. *J. Dairy Sci.* **95**, 1085-1098
36. Wessel D., and Flüggé U. I. (1984) A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.* **138**, 141-143
37. Lambri M., Dordoni R., Giribaldi M., Riva Violetta M., and Giuffrida M. G. (2012) Heat-unstable protein removal by different bentonite labels in white wines. *LWT - Food Science and Technology* **46**, 460-467
38. Hellmann U., Wernstedt C., Gonez J., and Heldin C. H. (1995) Improvement of an "In-Gel" digestion procedure for the micropreparation of internal protein fragments for amino acid sequencing. *Anal. Biochem.* **224**, 451-455

39. Pappin D. J., Hojrup P., and Bleasby A. J. (1993) Rapid identification of proteins by peptide-mass fingerprinting. *Curr. Biol.* **3**, 327-332. Erratum in: *Curr. Biol.* **3**, 487
40. Reuter A., Fortunato D., Garoffo L. P., Napolitano L., Scheurer S., Giuffrida M. G., Vieths S., and Conti A. (2005) Novel isoforms of Pr<sup>u</sup>av 1 with diverging immunoglobulin E binding properties identified by a synergistic combination of molecular biology and proteomics. *Proteomics*. **5**, 282-289
41. Kamata T., and Takada Y. (1994) Direct binding of collagen to the I domain of integrin  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  (VLA-2, CD49b/CD29) in a divalent cation-independent manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 26006-26010.
42. Kamata T., Wright R., and Takada Y. (1995) Critical threonine and aspartic acid residues within the I domains of  $\beta 2$  integrins for interactions with intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and C3bi. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 12531-12535.
43. King S. L., Kamata T., Cunningham J. A., Emsley J., Liddington R. C., Takada Y., and Bergelson J. M. (1997) Echovirus 1 interaction with the human very late antigen-2 (integrin  $\alpha 2\beta 1$ ) I domain. Identification of two independent virus contact sites distinct from the metal ion-dependent adhesion site. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 28518-28522
44. Takada Y., and Hemler M. E. (1989) The primary structure of the VLA-2/collagen receptor alpha 2 subunit (platelet GPIa): homology to other integrins and the presence of a possible collagen-binding domain. *J. Cell Biol.* **109**, 397-407.
45. Takada Y., Ylanne J., Mandelman D., Puzon W., and Ginsberg M. H. (1992) A point mutation of integrin  $\beta 1$  subunit blocks binding of  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  to fibronectin and invasin but not recruitment to adhesion plaques. *J. Cell Biol.* **119**, 913-921
46. Nagesha H. S., and Holmes I. H. (1988) New porcine rotavirus serotype antigenically related to human rotavirus serotype 3. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **26**, 171-174
47. Coulson B. S., Fowler K. J., Bishop R. F., and Cotton R. G. (1985) Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to human rotavirus and indications of antigenic drift among strains from neonates. *J. Virol.* **54**, 14-20
48. Cohen J., Laporte J., Charpilienne A., and Scherrer R. (1979) Activation of rotavirus RNA polymerase by calcium chelation. *Arch. Virol.* **60**, 177-186
49. Estes M. K., Graham D. Y., Smith E. M., and Gerba C. P. (1979) Rotavirus stability and inactivation. *J. Gen. Virol.* **43**, 403-409.
50. Taylor M. R., Couto J. R., Scallan C. D., Ceriani R. L., and Peterson J. A. (1997) Lactadherin (formerly BA46), a membrane-associated glycoprotein expressed in human milk and breast carcinomas, promotes Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD)-dependent cell adhesion. *DNA Cell Biol.* **16**, 861-869
51. Londrigan S. L., Hewish M. J., Thomson M. J., Sanders G. M., Mustafa H., and Coulson B. S. (2000) Growth of rotaviruses in continuous human and monkey cell lines that vary in their expression of integrins. *J. Gen. Virol.* **81**, 2203-2213.
52. Hynes, R. O. (2002) Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. *Cell* **110**, 673-687
53. Coulson. (1997) Effects of workshop monoclonal antibodies on rotavirus infection of cells. p. 391-393. In T. Kishimoto, H. Kikutani, A. E. G. K. von dem Borne, S. M. Goyert, D. Y. Mason, M. Miyasaka, L. Moretta, K. Okumura, S. Shaw, T. A. Springer, K. Sugamura, and H. Zola (ed.). *Leucocyte Typing VI*. Garland Publishing Inc., New York
54. Ahrens I. G., Moran N., Aylward K., Meade G., Moser M., Assefa D., Fitzgerald D. J., Bode C., and Peter K. (2006) Evidence for a differential functional regulation of the two beta(3)-integrins alpha(V)beta(3) and alpha(IIb)beta(3). *Exp. Cell. Res.* **312**, 925-937
55. Azab W., and Osterrieder N. (2012) Glycoproteins D of equine herpesvirus type 1 (EHV-1) and EHV-4 determine cellular tropism independently of integrins. *J. Virol.* **86**, 2031-2044
56. Díaz-Salinas M. A., Silva-Ayala D., López S., and Arias C. F. (2014) Rotaviruses reach late endosomes and require the cation-dependent mannose-6-phosphate receptor and the activity of cathepsin proteases to enter the cell. *J. Virol.* **88**, 4389-4402.
57. Hoffmann C., Berking A., Agerer F., Buntru A., Neske F., Chhatwal G. S., Ohlsen K., and Hauck C. R. (2010) Caveolin limits membrane microdomain mobility and integrin-mediated uptake of fibronectin-binding pathogens. *J. Cell. Sci.* **123**, 4280-4291.

58. Ning Y., Buranda T., and Hudson L. G. (2007) Activated epidermal growth factor receptor induces integrin alpha2 internalization via caveolae/raft-dependent endocytic pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 6380-6387.
59. Ravindran M. S., Tanner L. B., and Wenk M. R. (2013) Sialic acid linkage in glycosphingolipids is a molecular correlate for trafficking and delivery of extracellular cargo. *Traffic.* **14**, 1182-1191.
60. Desselberger U. (2014) Rotaviruses. *Virus Res.* **190**:75-96.
61. Bergelson J. M., St John N. F., Kawaguchi S., Pasqualini R., Berdichevsky F., Hemler M. E., and Finberg R. W. (1994) The I domain is essential for echovirus 1 interaction with VLA-2. *Cell Adhes Commun.* **2**, 455-464
62. Xing L., Huhtala M., Pietiäinen V., Käpylä J., Vuorinen K., Marjomäki V., Heino J., Johnson M. S., Hyypiä T., and Cheng R. H. (2004) Structural and functional analysis of integrin alpha2I domain interaction with echovirus 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 11632-11638

## FOOTNOTES

Conflict of interest: Amedeo Conti and David Lembo are founders of the start-up company Rotalactis that partially funded the research.

\*This work was supported by a grant from Piedmont Regional Call for Innovation Poles 2007-2013 (POR-FESR Asse I - I.1.3 Innovation and SMEs): "Development of a food supplement based on equine milk for the prevention of Rotavirus infections" received through Rotalactis s.r.l.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Torino, S. Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, Regione Gonzole, 10, 10043, Orbassano, Torino, Italy, Phone: +39 011 6705484; Fax: +39 011 2365484; E-mail: david.lembo@unito.it

<sup>2</sup>ISPA-CNR, c/o Bioindustry Park "SilvanoFumero", CollettertoGiacosa, Torino, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology and Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, UC Davis School of Medicine, Sacramento, CA 95817, U.S.A.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**FIGURE 1.** 2-DE map of donkey milk fat globule membrane proteins. Identified proteins are marked with numbers. The spots numbered 1 to 4 were excised from the gel and analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS and PMF. All were identified as horse milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant, also known as horse lactadherin.

**FIGURE 2.** Amino acid sequence of the 45 kDa form of donkey lactadherin obtained by N-terminal sequencing, PMF by MALDI-TOF and LC-MS/MS. The sequence of the 45 kDa form of donkey lactadherin obtained (UniProt entry C0HJR4) is aligned with two isoforms of *Equus caballus* lactadherin (UniProt entries F7B0S3 and F5CEP2). Amino acids of the 45 kDa form of donkey lactadherin that differ from the other lactadherins are given in bold and underlined.

**FIGURE 3.** Sequence alignment of the equine (EQ Lact45), bovine (BO Lactad) and human (HU Lactad) lactadherins. The integrin-binding motifs RGD and DGE are given in bold and boxed.

**FIGURE 4.** Blockade of Wa infection in MA104 cells by peptides pRGD-Eq, pRGD-Hu, pRGD-Bo, pRGE (panel A), and pDGE-RGD, pDGE, pAGE and pDGEA (panel B). Panel C shows the blockade of Wa infection in MA104 cells by pDGE-RGD at different MOIs. The infectivity titer of virus in the treated sample is expressed as a % of the titer obtained in the absence of peptide (untreated control). Error bars represent the SD of the mean of 3 independent experiments.

**FIGURE 5.** Time-of-addition experiments were performed by treating cells with pDGE-RGD for 1h before infection (A), for 1h during infection (B) or by adding the peptide immediately after infection (C). Control experiments were performed by treating cells both before and during viral adsorption (D). The infectivity titers of virus in the treated samples are expressed as a percentage of the titer obtained in the absence of peptide (untreated control).

**FIGURE 6.** Effect of peptide pDGE-RGD on multiple cycles of Wa replication. Cells were treated after virus infection at the given peptide concentrations. The titer of rotavirus in the treated samples is expressed as a % of the titer in the untreated control.

**FIGURE 7.** Evaluation of the ability of biotinylated pDGE-RGD, pDGE and pAGE to interact with the  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  integrin. Experiments were performed with CHO cells expressing  $\alpha_2\beta_1$  (CHO- $\alpha_2$ ; panel A) and the

parental CHO-K1 cells lacking this integrin (panel B). Levels of peptide-cell binding are expressed in absorbance units ( $\lambda=405\text{nm}$ ). Error bars represent the SD of the mean of 3 independent experiments.

**FIGURE 8.** Evaluation of the mechanism of action of pDGE-RGD. Panel A shows the effect of pDGE-RGD on Wa binding to the MA104 cell surface; control experiments were performed by treating cells with pAGE-RGE, anti- $\alpha_2$  antibody AK7 or anti- $\alpha_v\beta_3$  antibody LM609. On the y axis, the infectious titer of Wa bound to cells is expressed as a % of the titer bound to control MA104 cells in the absence of peptides or antibodies. Panel B shows the effect of pDGE-RGD on CRW-8 infection in MA104 cells. The infectivity titer of virus in the treated sample is expressed as a % of the titer obtained in the absence of peptide (untreated control). Panel C displays the effect of pDGE-RGD on Wa rotavirus entry into MA104 cells; the rotavirus titer measured in the treated samples is expressed as a % of the titer obtained in the untreated control. Evaluation of the virucidal effect of pDGE-RGD on infectious rotavirus particles is shown on panel D. On the y axis, the Wa infectious titers are expressed as focus-forming units per ml (FFU/ml). On the three graphs, error bars represent the SD of the mean of 3 independent experiments.

**Table 1.**

**Identification of donkey milk fat globule membrane proteins by peptide mass fingerprinting (PMF)**

\* Mowse score is obtained by the MS-Fit search and concerns the highest scored protein

<b>Spot no. (fromFig.1)</b>	<b>Protein name</b>	<b>UniProt KB/TrEmbl entry</b>	<b>MOWSE* Score</b>	<b>Experimental/nominal Molecular weight (Da)</b>	<b>PMF Number of signals M/Z matched/number of signals M/Z collected– Sequence coverage (%)</b>
<b>1</b>	milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant	F5CEP2	5.25e+8	50,000 /43,308	24/78 - (66.2%)
<b>2</b>	milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant	F5CEP2	2.26e+9	50,000/43,308	23/59 - (61.1 %)
<b>3</b>	milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant	F5CEP2	4.74e+6	45,000/43,308	17/60 - (54.1%)
<b>4</b>	milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 splice variant	F5CEP2	1.44e+7	45,000/43,308	18/54 - (54.1%)

**Table 2.**

**N-terminal amino acid sequences and identification of donkey milk fat globule membrane proteins**

<b>Spot number (Fig.1)</b>	<b>N-terminal amino acid sequence by Edman degradation</b>	<b>Comparison with horse lactadherin amino acid sequence (F7B0S3)</b>	<b>% identity</b>
<b>1</b>	ASGDFCDSSQCLNGGTCLLGQ	<sup>1</sup> AIAGDFCDSSQCLNGGTCLLGQ <sup>22</sup>	95%
<b>2</b>	ASGDFCDSSQCLNGGTCLLGQDDL	<sup>1</sup> AIAGDFCDSSQCLNGGTCLLGQDDL <sup>25</sup>	96%
<b>3</b>	ASGPCFPNPCQNDGECHVIDDSHR	<sup>44</sup> GPCFPNPCQNDGECHVIDDSHR <sup>65</sup>	96%
<b>4</b>	ASGPCFPNPCQNDGECHVID	<sup>44</sup> GPCFPNPCQNDGECHVID <sup>62</sup>	90%

**Table 3.**

**Identification of the 45 kDa donkeylactadherin short form by enzymatic digestions and mass spectrometry techniques**  
 Cam: carbamidomethylated cysteine; Mox: oxidized methionine.

ENZYME	PMF by MALDI-TOF			LC-MS/MS		
	Position	M/Z- single charge	Sequence	Position	M/Z – charge state	Sequence
<b>Trypsin</b>	127-134	838.4305	GGTAEYLK	89-102	770.3600(+2)	TGIVNAWTASNYDK
	138-144	866.4367	VAYSVDGR	289-300	706.2300(+2)	DFGHIQYVAAYK
	116-126	1074.5902	VTGVVVTQGASR	25-37	801.7400(+2)	GDVFTQYICSCPR
	349-357	1105.6265	ILPVAWHNR	278-288	558.2200(+2)	QVTGVVVTQGAR
	358-367	1173.6660	ITLRVELLGCam	160-171	624.6400(+2)	VFVGNVDNSGLK
	336-345	1225.6034	NMFETPFLAR	116-126	537.7100(+2)	VTGVVVTQGASR
	336-345	1241.5983	NMoxFETPFLAR	199-224	959.2800(+3)	FELLGCEVNAGCAEPLGLEDNSIPDR
	289-300	1411.7005	DFGHIQYVAAYK	349-357	553.1500(+2)	ILPVAWHNR
	146-157	1411.7328	KFQFIRDAGDSK	173-185	854.8500(+2)	VNMFDPVPLEVQYVR
	323-335	1483.7625	IFPGNLDNNSHKK	255-277	856.5900(+3)	FNAWTAQSNASAEWLQVDLGSQK
	186-198	1519.7620	LVPVACamHHGCamTLR	186-198	760.2300(+2)	LVPVACHHGCTLR
	25-37	1602.7039	GDVFTQYICamSCamPR	336-345	613.2900(+2)	NMFETPFLAR
	172-185	1708.8727	VNMFDPVPLEVQYVR	323-334	678.1600(+2)	IFPGNLDNNSHK
	103-115	1717.8989	NPWIQVNLMRKMR	79-85	435.6300(+2)	WVPELAR
	172-185	1724.8676	VNMFDPVPLEVQYVR			
	234-248	1878.8962	TWGLNAFSWYPPFYAR			
	255-277	2567.2161	FNAWTAQSNASAEWLQVDLGSQK			
	199-224	2875.3237	FELLCamEVNAGCamAEPLGLEDNSIPDR			
<b>Chimotrypsin</b>	347-354	953.5931	VRILPVAW			
	233-240	964.4999	RTWGLNAF			
	32-39	1012.4339	ICamSCamPRGY			
	87-95	1053.5588	HRTGIVNAW			
	71-79	1157.5561	FGFMGLQRW			
	246-255	1225.6688	YARLDKQGKF			
	76-86	1267.7270	QRWVPELARL			
	29-39	1404.6035	TQYICamSCamPRGY			
	246-258	1596.8281	YARLDKQGKFNAW			
	296-310	1618.7608	VAAVKVSHSNDGANW			
	184-197	1618.8305	VRLVVPVACamHHGCamTL			
	148-161	1625.8282	QFIRDAGDSKDKVF			
	329-342	1708.7748	DNNSHKKNMFETPF			
	215-232	1966.9352	GLEDNSIPDRQITASSTY			
	162-183	2453.2017	VGNVDNSGLKVNMFDPVPLEVQY			
40-71	3393.4742	TGTHCamETTCamAMPLGMETGAIADAQISASSVYF				
314-342	3393.6393	RDQRAADSKIFPGNLDNNSHKKNMFETPF				
101-132	3578.8319	DKNPWIQVNLMRKMRVTVGVVVTQGASRGGTAEY				
<b>Asp-N</b>	155-165	1207.6317	DSKDKVFGNV	272-288	872.3800(+2)	DLGSQKQVTGVVVTQGAR
	166-175	1140.5354	DNSGLKVNMF	289-305	968.8900(+2)	DFGHIQYVAAYKVSHSN
				180-198	814.3400(+3)	EVQYVRLVVPVACHHGCTLR
				131-142	724.3000(+2)	EYLKTFKVAYSV
				166-175	570.7000(+2)	DNSGLKVNMOxF
				26-44	774.8400(+3)	DVFTQYICSCPRGYTGTHC
				158-165	439.2100(+2)	DKVFGNV
				166-175	562.6800(+2)	DNSGLKVNMF
				320-328	495.7300(+2)	DSKIFPGL
				45-60	842.7800(+2)	ETTCAMoxPLGMoxETGAIA
				143-151	583.7300(+2)	DGRKFQFIR
				13-19	415.1600(+2)	DGECHVI
				250-266	920.3900(+2)	DKQGFNAWTAQSNAS
			1-12	674.6700(+2)	ASGPCFPNPCQN	
			45-60	834.8300(+2)	ETTCAMoxPLGMETGAIA	

**Table 4.**

**Effect of lactadherin-derived peptides on cell viability and Wa rotavirus infectivity in MA104 cells**

\*n.a.: not assessable


<b>PEPTIDES</b>	<b>Features</b>	<b>IC<sub>50</sub> (<math>\mu</math>M)</b>	<b>95% Confidence Interval</b>	<b>CC<sub>50</sub> (<math>\mu</math>M)</b>	<b>SI</b>
pDGE	peptide DGE, 8 AA, equine	17.3	6.9 - 43.5	>880	>50.9
pAGE	AA substitution in position 3	256.6	177.7 - 370.3	>880	>3.4
pDGE-RGD	Wild type peptide	4.4	2.7 - 7.1	>880	>200
pAGE-RGD	AA substitution in position 3	> 220	n.a.	>880	>4
pDGE-RGE	AA substitution in position 16	> 220	n.a.	>880	>4
pAGE-RGE	AA substitutions in position 3 and 16	> 220	n.a.*	>880	>4
pDGE-RGDmut1	AA substitution in position 1	13.5	5.1 - 35.7	>880	>65.2
pDGE-RGDmut2	AA substitution in position 13	13.1	7.5 - 22.9	>880	>67.2
pDGE-RGDmut3	AA substitution in position 19	6.7	2.2 - 20.4	>880	>131.3

**Table 5.**

**Effect of lactadherin-derived peptides on cell viability and Wa rotavirus infectivity in Caco-2 cells**

<b>PEPTIDES</b>	<b>Features</b>	<b>IC<sub>50</sub> (<math>\mu</math>M)</b>	<b>95% Confidence Interval</b>	<b>CC<sub>50</sub> (<math>\mu</math>M)</b>	<b>SI</b>
pDGE-RGD	Wild type peptide	8.8	6.1 - 12.5	945.1	102.7
pDGE-RGE	AA substitution in position 16	46.0	24.9 - 84.9	>880	19.1
pAGE-RGD	AA substitution in position 3	101.7	78.6 - 131.6	>880	8.7
pAGE-RGE	AA substitutions in position 3 and 16	152.5	123.3 - 188.6	>880	5.8

**Figure 1**



**Figure 2**

F7B0S3 <sup>1</sup>AIAGDFCDSSQCLNGGTCLLQDDLPFYCLCPEGFTGLICNETEKGPCFPNPCQNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQYIC  
 F5CEP2 <sup>1</sup>GRPPFYCLCPEGFTGLICNETEKGPCFPNPCQNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQYIC  
 C0HJR4 <sup>1</sup>ASGPCFPNPCQNDGECHVIDDSHRGDVFTQYIC

F7B0S3 <sup>77</sup>SCPRGYTGTHCETTCAMPLGMETGAIADAQISASSVYFGFMGLQRWVPELARLHRTGIVNAWTASNYDKNPWIQ  
 F5CEP2 <sup>55</sup>SCPRGYTGTHCETTCAMPLGMETGAIADAQISASSVYFGFMGLQRWVPELARLHRTGIVNAWTASNYDKNPWIQ  
 C0HJR4 <sup>34</sup>SCPRGYTGTHCETTCAMPLGMETGAIADAQISASSVYFGFMGLQRWVPELARLHRTGIVNAWTASNYDKNPWIQ

F7B0S3 <sup>151</sup>VNLMRKMRTGVVTQGASRGGTAEYLKTFKVAYSVDGRKFQFIRDAGDSKDKVFVGNVDNSGLKVNMFVPLE  
 F5CEP2 <sup>129</sup>VNLMRKMRTGVVTQGASRGGTAEYLKTFKVAYSVDGRKFQFIRDAGDSKDKVFVGNVDNSGLKVNMFVPLE  
 C0HJR4 <sup>108</sup>VNLMRKMRTGVVTQGASRGGTAEYLKTFKVAYSVDGRKFQFIRDAGDSKDKVFVGNVDNSGLKVNMFVPLE

F7B0S3 <sup>224</sup>VQYVRLVPVACHHGCTLRFELLGCEVN -GCAEPLGLEDNSIPDRQITASSTYRTWGLNAFSWYPPFYARLDKQGKFN  
 F5CEP2 <sup>202</sup>VQYVRLVPVACHHGCTLRFELLGCEVNAGCAEPLGLEDNSIPDRQITASSTYRTWGLNAFSWYPPFYARLDKQGKFN  
 C0HJR4 <sup>181</sup>VQYVRLVPVACHHGCTLRFELLGCEVNAGC AEPLGLEDNSIPDRQITASSTYRTWGLNAFSWYPPFYARLDKQGKFN

F7B0S3 <sup>298</sup>AWTAQSNSASEWLQVDLGSQKQVTGVITQGARDFGHIQYVAAYKVSHSNDGANWTEYRDQRAADSKIFPGNLDNN  
 F5CEP2 <sup>277</sup>AWTAQSNSASEWLQVDLGSQKQVTGVITQGARDFGHIQYVAAYKVSHSNDGANWTEYRDQRAADSKIFPGNLDNN  
 C0HJR4 <sup>256</sup>AWTAQSNSASEWLQVDLGSQKQVTGVVTQGARDFGHIQYVAAYKVSHSNDGANWXRDQRAADSKIFPGNLDNN

F7B0S3 <sup>373</sup>SHKKNMFETPFLARFVRILPVAWHNRITLRVLLGC<sup>409</sup>  
 F5CEP2 <sup>352</sup>SHKKNMFETPFLARFVRILPVAWHNRITLRVLLGC<sup>388</sup>  
 C0HJR4 <sup>292</sup>SHKKNMFETPFLARXVRILPVAWHNRITLRVLLGC<sup>328</sup>


**Figure 3**

```
EQ Lact45 -----ASGCFPNPCQNDGECH 16
BO Lactad ASGDFCDSSLCLHGGTCLLNEDRTPPFYCLCPEGFTGLLCNETEHGPCFPNPCHNDAECQ 60
Hu Lactad -----LDICSKNPCHNGGLECE 16


EQ Lact45 17 VIDDSHRGDVFTQYICSCPRGYTG-HCETTCAMPLGMETG 55
BO Lactad 61 VTDDSHRGDVFIQYICKCPLGYVGIHCETTCTSPPLGMQTG 100
Hu Lactad 17 EISQEVRGDVFPSYTCTCLKGYAGNHCETKCVEPLGMENG 56
```

**Figure 4**

**A**



**B**



**C**





Figure 5



**Figure 6**




Figure 7





Figure 8

