

Molecular biology of gliomas: present and future challenges

R. Altieri¹, A. Agnoletti¹, F. Quattrucci², D. Garbossa¹, F. M. Calamo Specchia¹, M. Bozzaro¹,
Fornaro R.¹, Mencarani C.¹, M. Lanotte¹, R. Spaziante², A. Ducati¹

¹Department of Neurosurgery, University of Turin

²Department of Medicine, University of Salerno

(roberto.altieri.87@gmail.com)

Abstract- Malignant brain tumours are one of the most relevant causes of morbidity and mortality across a wide range of individuals. Malignant glioma is the most common intra axial tumor in the adult. Many researches on this theme brought advances in the knowledge of gliomas biology and pathogenesis and to the development of new agents for targeted molecular therapy. Recent studies focused on either tumor metabolism analysis or epigenetic regulation in the pathogenesis or maintenance of brain tumors. This Review summarizes these developments analyzing molecular pathology and possible further developments for targeted therapies.

Keywords – genetics, glioma, IDH, metilation, therapy

I. INTRODUCTION

Astrocytic gliomas are the most common primary brain cancer [1], with an incidence of 3–5 per 100 000 people each year [2]. Gliomas occur in all age groups, but are most prevalent in adults over 45 years of age [3].

Tumors grading is made according to WHO consensus-criteria, in which 4 classes are described, according to malignant behaviour taking into consideration histological features and genetic alterations [4].

- Grade I tumors are biologically benign and total removal leads to recovery;
- Grade II tumors are low-grade malignancies that may follow long clinical courses, but early diffuse infiltration of the surrounding brain renders them often not totally resectable;
- Grade III tumors exhibit aggressive behaviour characterized by increased anaplasia and mitosis over grade II tumors; due to this biological pattern; these tumors have often a quick progression
- Grade IV tumors, also known as glioblastomamultiforme (GBM), exhibit more advanced features of malignancy, including vascular proliferation and necrosis, often refractory to radiotherapy or chemotherapy

On the basis of clinical presentation, GBMs have been further subdivided into primary or secondary GBM

subtypes. Primary GBMs account for the great majority of GBM cases in elderly patients, while secondary GBMs are quite rare and tend to occur in younger patients (often below the 45 years old). Primary GBM presents ex novo, with no evidence of prior symptoms or preexistent low grade glioma. Concerning secondary GBMs, the main event is progressive transformation of lower grade astrocytomas in malignant neoplasms. It has been described that up to 70% of grade II gliomas progress to grade III/IV Astrocytomas within 5–10 yr from diagnosis.

Despite different etiopathogenesis, these two categories, are not distinguishable on histopathological bases or clinical behaviour.

One of the most relevant hypothesis concerning secondary GBMs, is related to the possibility of successive acquisition of genetic alterations. This hypothesis was proven analyzing selected genetic changes in low grade glioma (LGG), and the presence of the same alterations plus others in high grade glioma (HGG). Thus the role of specific genetic alteration is supposed to be involved in malignant progression.

Considering grade II, III and IV gliomas, the common behaviour is characterized by invasiveness, thus surgery alone would be not sufficient as curative treatment [5]. The only life-prolonging treatment proven to be effective, consists of the association between radiant therapy and Chemotherapy with the alkilating agent called Temozolamide (TMZ). Despite this, prognosis remains poor with a reported median survival of 14.6 months and a 2-year survival rate of 26.5% [6,7]. Tumor recurrence has been observed after 6.9 months (mean) [6], resulting in a median patient survival of just 12–15 months following diagnosis [8]. In order to improve survival, in combination with RT and/or cytotoxic chemotherapy, many targeted drugs were evaluated. In this review, we would like to remark important findings regarding the unique biology of this cancer, considering a neuroscience perspective, focusing on how these insights have influenced latest clinical trials and the search for further treatment options.

II. METHODOLOGY

A literature search using PubMed MEDLINE database has been performed. The search terms “genetics and glioma”, were combined with the following terms: “IDH, metilation, therapy”.

III. DISCUSSION

A. GENETIC ALTERATION IN GLIOMA

A.1 Cell cycle dysregulation. RB and P53 pathway

The RB and p53 pathways involved in regulation of the G1-to-S-phase transition, are major targets of inactivating mutations in GBM. The absence of this regulatory system leads to an excessive and inappropriate cell division. This is caused by constitutively active mitogenic signaling effectors, such as phosphoinositide 3'-kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK).

A.1.1 The Rb pathway

The Rb1 gene, which maps on chromosome 13q14, is mutated in 25% of HGG and the loss of 13q is characteristic of transition from low-grade to intermediate-grade gliomas [9].

The importance of the inactivation of the RB pathway in glioma progression is evidenced by the near-universal and mutually exclusive alteration of RB pathway effectors and inhibitors in both primary and secondary GBM [10, 11]. However, many in vitro and in vivo assays showed that the neutralization of this pathway alone is insufficient to subvert cell cycle control, thus not sufficient to cause cellular transformation. This is remarkable since other cycle regulation pathways interfere preventing gliomagenesis [12-14].

A.1.2 The p53 pathway

The p53 pathway is nearly invariably altered in sporadic (ex novo) GBMs: loss of p53, through either point mutations that prevent DNA binding or loss of chromosome 17p, is a frequent and early event in the pathological progression of secondary GBM [15, 16].

The importance of p53 in gliomagenesis is also demonstrated by the increased incidence of gliomas in Li-Fraumeni syndrome, which is characterized by germline p53 mutations.

Amplification of the p53 antagonists MDM2 and MDM4 have also been found in distinct subsets of Tp53 intact GBMs, as well as mutations and/or deletions in the CDKN2A second locus that encodes p14ARF which is a regulator of p53.

A.2 RTKs

The Epidermal Growth Factor and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) pathways play an important role in gliomagenesis. Recently targeted therapy against these signalling are under basic and clinical investigation.

A.2.1 EGFR

The EGFR is a transmembrane glycoprotein that constitutes one of four members of the ErbB family of tyrosine kinase receptors. EGFR is activated by interaction with ligand to the extracellular domain, leading to receptor dimerization and subsequent activation of tyrosine kinases in the intracellular domain. This results in the stimulation of other downstream signaling effectors including PI3K, Akt, Ras, and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK). All these pathways are involved in cell proliferation, survival, migration, and apoptosis [17].

Approximately 40% of GBMs shows EGFR gene amplification and overexpression [18,19]. Amplification of the EGFR gene is often associated with a mutation that encodes for a truncated form of the receptor, known as EGFR variant vIII (EGFRvIII), lacking the extracellular binding domain and leading to constitutive activation of tyrosine kinases [20, 21]. Expression of EGFRvIII correlates with poor survival in GBM patients [22] and promotes glioma cell migration [23], tumor growth, invasion, survival [24] and angiogenesis [25]. Furthermore, EGFRvIII causes enhanced apoptosis resistance. Activated EGFR is also related to radio- and chemo-resistance in GBM cells [26].

A large number of therapeutic targets have been analyzed in order to block the EGFR signaling pathways, including monoclonal antibodies cetuximab, and TKIs gefitinib, erlotinib and lapatinib. However, the use of EGFR inhibitors, erlotinib and gefitinib, as single agents in patients with progressive GBM has shown no significant survival benefit [27].

A.2.2 PDGF receptor (PDGFR)

In addition to the EGFR signaling axis, PDGFR α and its ligands, PDGF-A and PDGF-B, are represented in gliomas, especially in HGG. Concerning expression of PDGFR β , high levels were reported in proliferating endothelial cells of GBM [28]. PDGF-C and PDGF-D, which require proteolytic cleavage to be activated, are also frequently expressed in glioma cell lines and in GBM tissues [29]. In contrast to the pathway of EGFR; amplification or rearrangement of PDGFR α is a less common event. This justifies that oncogenic deletion mutation of PDGFR α (loss of exons 8 and 9) have been rarely described [30].

Although rare, this mutation has a behaviour similar to EGFRvIII variation: PDGFR α is constitutively active and enhances tumorigenesis. Considering the tumoral coexpression of PDGF and PDGFR, the main hypothesis considers autocrine and paracrine loops as the main way to favour oncologic transformation. Supportive evidence for a paracrine circuit started by PDGF-B secretion were related to glioma angiogenesis. This has been demonstrated through stimulation of endothelial cells displaying PDGFR β , in part, to express VEGF [31]. On this findings an orally active kinase inhibitor of the 2-phenylaminopyrimidine class such as STI571 (imatinibmesylate) proved to be a potent inhibitor of these oncogenic loops [32, 33]. This

drug combined with hydroxyurea in a phase II study, achieved durable anti-tumor activity in some patients with recurrent GBM [34]. Imatinib alone, proved to have minimal activity in malignant glioma [35].

A.3 Mitogenicsignaling pathways

Enhanced RTK signalling, whether driven by somatic mutagenesis or other stimuli, seems to play a relevant role in many HGG. These effects are probably mediated through oncogenic PI3K–AKT–mTOR and Ras–MAPK signalling downstream.

This is a remarkable event since it is not infrequent to find molecular components in these downstream networks in HGG [36, 37]. The most common alteration is functional loss of the tumour suppressor gene pTEN, that is the primary negative regulator of PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling [38, 39].

PTEN is commonly mutated in 20%–40% of GBMs [40]. Thus, targeting the mTOR complex may represent a valuable therapeutic approach for GBM. Actually synthetic analogues of rapamycin (sirolimus), including temsirolimus, everolimus, and ridaforolimus are currently being tested in GBM.

TABLE I

GENETIC ALTERATION IN GLIOMA		
<i>Cell cycle dysregulation</i>	<i>RTKs</i>	<i>Mitogenicsignaling pathways</i>
Rb1 → mutated in 25% of HGG; loss of 13q → transition from LGG to intermediate-grade gliomas	EGFR gene amplification and overexpression → 40% of GBMs	PTEN mutation → 20%–40% of GBMs
p53 → nearly invariably altered in ex novo GBMs; loss of p53 → early event in progression of secondary GBM	PDGF and PDGFR coexpression → autocrine and paracrine loops determine oncologic transformation. PDGF-B → glioma angiogenesis	PI3K–AKT–mTOR signalling constitutively activated by stimulation of RKTs and unsuppressed by PTEN

B. ANGIOGENESIS

GBMs are among the most highly vascular of all solid tumors. Microvascular hyperplasia consists of proliferating endothelial cells that emerge from normal parent microvessels as tufted microaggregates (glomeruloid bodies) accompanied by stromal elements, including pericytes and basal lamina [41]. Microvascular density, a measure of microvascular proliferation, is an independent prognostic factor for adult gliomas [42, 43]. Angiogenesis and its major regulator, VEGF, represents one of the most important therapeutic targets in GBM treatment. Several VEGF/VEGFR inhibitors have been recently developed, including bevacizumab, vatalanib, cediranib, sunitinib, sorafenib, vandetanib, and aflibercept.

Bevacizumab was used in two independent studies as monotherapy for recurrent gliomas. These studies reported favorable 6-month progression-free survival rates of 42.6% [44] and 29% [45], significantly greater than the 18% derived from TMZ use [46]. These studies also showed a reduction in peritumoraledema, allowing a reduction of the corticosteroid dose for symptoms relieve/control [45]. These successes promoted further trials looking at the benefits of adding bevacizumab to radiation and TMZ [47-49]. In literature, there are 114 registered clinical trials using bevacizumab in patients with glioma, typically in combination with other treatment modalities. Unfortunately, the benefit of anti-angiogenic therapies so far remains limited [50]. This may be due to the vascularization supplies of the growing tumor. Moreover, anti-angiogenic therapy showed to produce a more invasive and aggressive tumor cell phenotype, including increased expression of other proangiogenic factors [51], upregulation of pro-invasion proteins such as matrix metalloproteinases [51], activation of pro-invasive signaling pathway such as the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)- and Wnt-signaling pathways [52]. This leads also to an increase in tumor hypoxia by upregulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1a (HIF1a) [52].

C. EPIGENETIC: REGULATION OF GENE EXPRESSION THAT ALTERS THE RESPONSE TO TREATMENT

Patients with 6-O-Methylguanine-DNA Methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation who received TMZ and radiation therapy showed a median survival time of 21.7 months compared with 12.7 months for those who had unmethylated MGMT [53]. Two-year survival was 46% with MGMT methylation, compared with 13.8% without MGMT methylation [53].

Increased activity of the MGMT gene inhibits the effects of TMZ, the standard alkylating chemotherapeutic agent used to treat gliomas [53] and explains why some patients fail to benefit from this treatment. In individuals resistant to TMZ treatment,

the MGMT promoter [53,54] is unmethylated and transcription of the gene provides sufficient protein to counteract the effects of TMZ. Conversely, patients with a methylated MGMT promoter do not generate the protein and, therefore, are more sensitive to TMZ therapy, particularly if combined with radiation [53]. The recognition that this specific epigenetic modification dramatically alters the potency of a commonly used chemotherapeutic was an important assumption, as it allows a patient-tailored treatment. Unfortunately, the lack of an effective alternative treatment didn't give many other options in TMZ refractory patients. It is tempting to speculate that MGMT-mediated DNA repair may itself be considered a potentially valuable therapeutic target for the 50% of patients that express the MGMT gene [55].

D. INTEGRATED GENOMICS AND SUBSEQUENT ADVANCES

Integrated genomic analysis has also facilitated the identification and characterization of additional genes involved in glioma pathogenesis. Recently, missense mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) were found in a significant number of GBMs that tend to occur mostly in younger patients with more protracted clinical courses [56]. These point mutations are restricted exclusively to the R132 residue in the active site region of the protein in which they disrupt hydrogen binding with its substrate [56, 57]. Curiously, a separate group of gliomas harbour mutations in the IDH1 homologue IDH2 at the analogous residue (R172). Further investigations have shown that mutations in IDH1 and IDH2 are present in high proportions of grade II and III astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumours (72–100%) along with secondary GBMs (85%), but are largely absent in primary GBMs (5%) [57, 58].

Additionally, IDH mutations are associated with other genomic abnormalities that are typically seen in LGG, such as Tp53 mutation and 1p/19q deletion; they are also mutually exclusive with EGFR amplification and chromosome 10 loss, and multivariate analysis suggests that they are independent favourable prognostic markers [57, 59]. These findings suggest that, although IDH mutations probably contribute to the early evolution of LGG (including those that subsequently progress to higher grade lesions), they seem to have no role in the underlying biology of ex novo GBM. These findings emphasize the pathogenetic differences between these two broad diagnostic categories.

The mechanisms related to mutations in IDH genes and the induction of gliomagenesis are still largely unknown. However, a recent study demonstrated that loss of IDH1 function through point mutation induces hypoxia inducible factor 1 α (HIF1 α) [60]. This is a component of the hypoxia-responsive transcription factor complex that has been proved to be involved in angiogenesis and tumour growth [61]. By contrast, another recent report has shown that mutant IDH1 proteins exhibit a gain-of-function phenotype by

generating R-2-hydroxyglutarate (2HG), a toxic metabolite associated with an increased risk of malignant brain tumours in patients with inherited errors of 2HG metabolism [62].

Although much remains to be analyzed, the identification of IDH mutations in diffuse gliomas, and more recently in acute myeloid leukaemia [63], provided new potential therapeutic targets and emphasized the increasingly compelling link between cancer biology and basic metabolic processes.

TABLE II

<i>IDH1 and IDH2 MUTATION</i>	
Astrocytic and Oligodendroglial tumours (WHO II & III)	72–100%
secondary GBMs	85%
primary GBMs	5%

TABLE III

<i>IDH1 MUTATION</i>	
<i>Loss of function</i>	<i>Gain of function</i>
HIF1 α \rightarrow angiogenesis and tumour growth	2HG \rightarrow increased histone methylation \rightarrow blocks to cellular differentiation, and tumorigenesis

E. GENETIC AND MOLECULAR ALTERATIONS RESULT IN CHANGES IN CELLULAR METABOLISM

It is becoming increasingly evident that at least some of these genetic and molecular alterations result in changes in cellular metabolism. GBMs frequently exhibit increased glucose consumption and lactate production in the presence of oxygen, known as Warburg effect [64]. Activation of PI3K/AKT in GBM cell lines leads to increased glucose uptake and glycolysis [64-66].

Pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), an enzyme that plays a critical role in the glycolytic pathway, is an example of a metabolic enzyme that can affect histone modifications. In EGFR driven glioblastoma, PKM2 translocates to the nucleus and phosphorylates histone 3 at threonine 11 (H3-T11) [67]. This causes dissociation of HDAC3 from the Cyclin D1 (CCND1) and c-MYC promoters and subsequent histone acetylation, leading to transcription of CCND1 and c-MYC, and subsequent cell proliferation [67, 68].

More recently, the NADP⁺-dependent enzyme IDH1 was found to be mutated in ~70% of grade II and grade III astrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas, and secondary GBMs [57, 69, 70].

How mutations in IDH1 result in DNA methylation in gliomas is not entirely known. One hypothesis (Fig. 3) is that mutant IDH1 catalyzes the production of 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) from α -ketoglutarate (α -KG). The Jumonji C family of histone lysine demethylases

(KDMs) and the TET group of DNA hydroxylases are a-KG-dependent dioxygenases. 2-HG, structurally similar to a-KG [71, 72], is thought to inhibit a-KG-dependent dioxygenase enzymes. Inhibition of these enzymes may result in increased histone methylation marks and DNA methylation contributing to G-CIMP responsible for global changes to DNA methylation, inhibition of histone lysine demethylases, blocks to cellular differentiation, and ultimately, tumorigenesis [73-76].

These observations advocates the possibility that inhibiting IDH mutants might reverse their tumorigenic effects [77] and that the design of effective inhibitors would need to take into account the complex downstream effects of IDH mutations. Recently, to assess this therapeutic possibility in the glioma context, Rohle et al. [78] used AGI-5198, a small molecule inhibitor of the most common IDH mutation in gliomas, IDH1-R132H. Treatment of an oligodendroglioma cell line harboring an endogenous IDH1-R132H mutation with this inhibitor reduced growth in soft agar by 40%–60% and impeded the growth of xenograft tumors derived from that cell line in mice.

Analysis of these tumors showed a reduction in proliferative markers but no change in apoptosis, suggesting that the altered tumor growth was due to failure to proliferate as opposed to cell death. Following treatment, several genes involved in glial differentiation were upregulated and found to have lost repressive histone marks H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 at their promoters, implying that the mutant IDH1 inhibitor is capable of erasing histone modifications that influence gene expression. This study demonstrated that, in this model, targeting mutant IDH1 can impair glioma growth in vivo and this growth inhibition is linked to changes in differentiation.

the unique biology of gliomas. Despite the strong rationale of clinical trials with genetic targets, most studies have shown very modest results. The negative results reported in the majority of published clinical studies may be explained, at least in part, by single-targeted approaches, which may be somehow limited by such factors as tumor heterogeneity and genetic instability. Heterogeneity of the GBM cell population, which includes expression of cell surface receptors, as well as proliferative and angiogenic potential, might be attributed to morphological and epigenetic plasticity. On the other hand, there is also evidence for the coexistence of genetically divergent tumor cell clones within tumors. So far, if multiple oncogenic processes are active in distinct tumor subpopulations, single-targeted therapies may provide limited effects. Furthermore, GBM cells may exhibit significant genetic instability, possibly leading to resistance of single-targeted agents by switching to alternative molecular pathway. Recently, however, a new paradigm is emerging in cancer biology, represented by convergence of multiple metabolic pathways and epigenetic regulation. The complex connection between the metabolic state of a cancerous cell and its epigenetic machinery represents a novel mechanism, by which the normal control of cell proliferation/differentiation can be disrupted. Discovering the dynamics and intricacies of these processes may help us in developing a better understanding of gliomas, thus permitting the knowledge of novel therapeutic targets to effectively fight these highly aggressive tumors.

We suggest that new trends on HGG targeted therapies should not focus on a single genetic pathway, but should try to interact in different molecular pathways. We think that HGG biology has many shortcuts and secret passages, not yet fully discovered.

TABLE IV

BIOLOGICAL TARGET THERAPY			
<i>RTKs</i>	<i>PTEN pathway</i>	<i>Angiogenesis</i>	<i>IDH1</i>
Erlotinib, Gefinitib → EGFR; Imatinib+Hydroxyurea → PDGF	Temsirolimus, everolimus, ridaforolimus → mTOR	Bevacizumab → VEGF	AGI-5198 → IDH1-R132H

IV. CONCLUSION

Gliomas remain one of the most challenging cancers to treat, as demonstrated by the poor improvements in patients survival. This may be partially connected to the fact that current treatment approaches are similar to the ones used for other solid-tumors. This could be counter-productive due to the lack of consideration of

REFERENCES

[1] Furnari FB, Fenton T, Bachoo RM, Mukasa A, Stommel JM, Stegh A, Hahn WC, Ligon KL, Louis DN, Brennan C, Chin L, DePinho RA, Cavenee WK. Malignant astrocytic glioma: genetics, biology, and paths to treatment. *Genes Dev.* 2007 Nov 1;21(21):2683-710.

[2] Preusser M, de Ribaupierre S, Wöhrer A, Erridge SC, Hegi M, Weller M, Stupp R. Current concepts and management of glioblastoma. *Ann Neurol.* 2011 Jul;70(1):9-21.

[3] Porter KR, McCarthy BJ, Freels S, Kim Y, Davis FG. Prevalence estimates for primary brain tumors in the United States by age, gender, behavior, and histology. *Neuro Oncol.* 2010 Jun;12(6):520-7.

[4] Louis, D.N., Ohgaki, H., Wiestler, O.D., Cavenee, W.K., Burger, P.C., Jouvet, A., Scheithauer, B.W., Kleihues, P., eds(2007) *The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system* (IARC Press, Lyon, France).

- [5] Cheng L, Wu Q, Guryanova OA, Huang Z, Huang Q, Rich JN, Bao S. Epub 2011 Mar 1. Elevated invasive potential of glioblastoma stem cells. *BiochemBiophys Res Commun.* 2011 Mar 25;406(4):643-8.
- [6] Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, Belanger K, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2005 Mar 10;352(10):987-96.
- [7] Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Taphoorn MJ, Janzer RC, et al. Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009 May;10(5):459-66.
- [8] Wen, P.Y. and Kesari, S. (2008) Malignant gliomas in adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 359, 492–507
- [9] Henson, J.W., Schnitker, B.L., Correa, K.M., Von Deimling, A., Fassbender, F., Xu, H.J., Benedict, W.F., Yandell, D.W., Louis, D.N.(1994) The retinoblastoma gene is involved in malignant progression of astrocytomas. *Ann. Neurol.* 36:714–721.
- [10] Rich, J.N., Guo, C., McLendon, R.E., Bigner, D.D., Wang, X.F., Counter, C.M.(2001) A genetically tractable model of human glioma formation. *Cancer Res.* 61:3556–3560.
- [11] Sonoda, Y., Ozawa, T., Hirose, Y., Aldape, K.D., McMahon, M., Berger, M.S., Pieper, R.O.(2001) Formation of intracranial tumors by genetically modified human astrocytes defines four pathways critical in the development of human anaplastic astrocytoma. *Cancer Res.* 61:4956–4960
- [12] Huang, Z.Y., Baldwin, R.L., Hedrick, N.M., Gutmann, D.H.(2002) Astrocyte-specific expression of CDK4 is not sufficient for tumor formation, but cooperates with p53 heterozygosity to provide a growth advantage for astrocytes in vivo. *Oncogene* 21:1325–1334.
- [13] Uhrbom, L., Dai, C., Celestino, J.C., Rosenblum, M.K., Fuller, G.N., Holland, E.C.(2002) Ink4a-Arf loss cooperates with KRas activation in astrocytes and neural progenitors to generate glioblastomas of various morphologies depending on activated Akt. *Cancer Res.* 62:5551–5558.
- [14] Uhrbom, L., Kastemar, M., Johansson, F.K., Westermarck, B., Holland, E.C.(2005) Cell type-specific tumor suppression by Ink4a and Arf in Kras-induced mouse gliomagenesis. *Cancer Res.* 65:2065–2069.
- [15] Louis, D.N.(1994) The p53 gene and protein in human brain tumors. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 53:11–21
- [16] Louis, D.N., Cavenee, W.Devita, V.T., Hellman, S.(1997) in *Cancer: Principles and practice of oncology, Molecular biology of central nervous system neoplasms*, eds Devita, V.T., Hellman, S. (Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia), pp 2013–2022.
- [17] Yarden Y. The EGFR family and its ligands in human cancer. Signalling mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001;37(Suppl 4):S3–S8.
- [18] Libermann TA, Nusbaum HR, Razon N, et al. Amplification and over-expression of the EGF receptor gene in primary human glioblastomas. *J Cell Sci Suppl.* 1985;3:161–172.
- [19] Wong AJ, Bigner SH, Bigner DD, Kinzler KW, Hamilton SR, Vogelstein B. Increased expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in malignant gliomas is invariably associated with gene amplification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1987;84(19):6899–6903.
- [20] Huang HS, Nagane M, Klingbeil CK, et al. The enhanced tumorigenic activity of a mutant epidermal growth factor receptor common in human cancers is mediated by threshold levels of constitutive tyrosine phosphorylation and unattenuated signaling. *J Biol Chem.* 1997;272(5):2927–2935.
- [21] Heimberger AB, Hlatky R, Suki D, et al. Prognostic effect of epidermal growth factor receptor and EGFRvIII in glioblastoma multiforme patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11(4):1462–1466.
- [22] Feldkamp MM, Lala P, Lau N, Roncari L, Guha A. Expression of activated epidermal growth factor receptors, Ras-guanosine triphosphate, and mitogen-activated protein kinase in human glioblastoma multiforme specimens. *Neurosurgery.* 1999;45(6):1442–1453.
- [23] Liu M, Yang Y, Wang C, et al. The effect of epidermal growth factor receptor variant III on glioma cell migration by stimulating ERK phosphorylation through the focal adhesion kinase signaling pathway. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2010;502(2):89–95.
- [24] Lu KV, Zhu S, Cvrljevic A, et al. Fyn and SRC are effectors of oncogenic epidermal growth factor receptor signaling in glioblastoma patients. *Cancer Res.* 2009;69(17):6889–6898.
- [25] Bonavia R, Inda MM, Vandenberg S, et al. EGFRvIII promotes glioma angiogenesis and growth through the NF- κ B, interleukin-8 pathway. *Oncogene.* 2012;31(36):4054–4066.
- [26] Chakravarti A, Loeffler JS, Dyson NJ. Insulin-like growth factor receptor I mediates resistance to anti-epidermal growth factor receptor therapy in primary human glioblastoma cells through continued activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase signaling. *Cancer Res.* 2002;62(1):200–207.

- [27] Van den Bent MJ, Brandes AA, Rampling R, et al. Randomized phase II trial of erlotinib versus temozolomide or carmustine in recurrent glioblastoma: EORTC brain tumor group study 26034. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(8):1268–1274.
- [28] Di Rocco, F., Carroll, R.S., Zhang, J., Black, P.M.(1998) Platelet-derived growth factor and its receptor expression in human oligodendrogliomas. *Neurosurgery* 42:341–346.
- [29] Lokker, N.A., Sullivan, C.M., Hollenbach, S.J., Israel, M.A., Giese, N.A.(2002) Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) autocrinesignaling regulates survival and mitogenic pathways in glioblastoma cells: Evidence that the novel PDGF-C and PDGF-D ligands may play a role in the development of brain tumors. *Cancer Res.* 62:3729–3735.
- [30] Clarke, I.D., Dirks, P.B.(2003) A human brain tumor-derived PDGFR- α deletion mutant is transforming. *Oncogene* 22:722–733.
- [31] Guo, P., Hu, B., Gu, W., Xu, L., Wang, D., Huang, H.J., Cavenee, W.K., Cheng, S.Y.(2003) Platelet-derived growth factor-B enhances glioma angiogenesis by stimulating vascular endothelial growth factor expression in tumor endothelia and by promoting pericyte recruitment. *Am. J. Pathol.* 162:1083–1093
- [32] Kilic, T., Alberta, J.A., Zdunek, P.R., Acar, M., Iannarelli, P., O'Reilly, T., Buchdunger, E., Black, P.M., Stiles, C.D.(2000) Intracranial inhibition of platelet-derived growth factor-mediated glioblastoma cell growth by an orally active kinase inhibitor of the 2-phenylaminopyrimidine class. *Cancer Res.* 60:5143–5150.
- [33] Hagerstrand, D., Hesselager, G., Achterberg, S., Wickenberg Bolin, U., Kowanzetz, M., Kastemar, M., Heldin, C.H., Isaksson, A., Nister, M., Ostman, A.(2006) Characterization of an imatinib-sensitive subset of high-grade human glioma cultures. *Oncogene* 25:4913–4922.
- [34] Reardon, D.A., Egorin, M.J., Quinn, J.A., Rich, J.N., Gururangan, S., Vredenburgh, J.J., Desjardins, A., Sathornsumetee, S., Provenzale, J.M., Herndon, J.E., et al.(2005) Phase II study of imatinibmesylate plus hydroxyurea in adults with recurrent glioblastomamultiforme. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 23:9359–9368.
- [35] Wen, P.Y., Yung, W.K., Lamborn, K.R., Dahia, P.L., Wang, Y., Peng, B., Abrey, L.E., Raizer, J., Cloughesy, T.F., Fink, K., et al.(2006) Phase I/II study of imatinibmesylate for recurrent malignant gliomas: North American Brain Tumor Consortium Study 99-08. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 12:4899–4907.
- [36] Ciardiello F, Caputo R, Damiano V, et al. Antitumor effects of ZD6474, a small molecule vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, with additional activity against epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase. *ClinCancer Res.* 2003;9(4):1546–1556.
- [37] Brave SR, Odedra R, James NH, et al. Vandetanib inhibits both VEGFR-2 and EGFR signalling at clinically relevant drug levels in preclinical models of human cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2011;39(1):271–278.
- [38] Cairncross JG, Ueki K, Zlatescu MC, Lisle DK, Finkelstein DM, Hammond RR, et al. Specific genetic predictors of chemotherapeutic response and survival in patients with anaplastic oligodendrogliomas. *J NatlCancerInst.* 1998 Oct 7;90(19):1473-9.
- [39] James CD, Carlom E, Dumanski JP, Hansen M, Nordenskjold M, Collins VP, Cavenee WK. Clonal genomic alterations in glioma malignancy stages. *Cancer Res.* 1988 Oct 1;48(19):5546-51.
- [40] Zhang S, Yu D. PI(3)king apart PTEN's role in cancer. *ClinCancer Res.* 2010;16(17):4325–4330.
- [41] Stiver, S.I., Tan, X., Brown, L.F., Hedley-Whyte, E.T., Dvorak, H.F.(2004) VEGF-A angiogenesis induces a stable neovasculature in adult murine brain. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 63:841–855.
- [42] Leon, S.P., Folkherth, R.D., Black, P.M.(1996) Microvessel density is a prognostic indicator for patients with astroglial brain tumors. *Cancer* 77:362–372.
- [43] Birlik, B., Canda, S., Ozer, E.(2006) Tumour vascularity is of prognostic significance in adult, but not paediatric astrocytomas. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 32:532–538.
- [44] Friedman HS, Prados MD, Wen PY, Mikkelsen T, Schiff D, Abrey LE, et al. Bevacizumab alone and in combination with irinotecan in recurrent glioblastoma. *J ClinOncol.* 2009 Oct 1;27(28):4733-40. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.19.8721. Epub 2009 Aug 31.
- [45] Kreisl TN, Kim L, Moore K, Duic P, Royce C, Stroud I, et al. Phase II trial of single-agent bevacizumab followed by bevacizumab plus irinotecan at tumor progression in recurrent glioblastoma. *J ClinOncol.* 2009 Feb 10;27(5):740-5.
- [46] Brada M, Hoang-Xuan K, Rampling R, Dietrich PY, Dirix LY, Macdonald D, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of temozolomide in patients with glioblastomamultiforme at first relapse. *AnnOncol.* 2001 Feb;12(2):259-66.
- [47] Narayana A, Gruber D, Kunnakkat S, Golfinos JG, Parker E, Raza S, et al. A clinical trial of bevacizumab, temozolomide, and radiation for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *J Neurosurg.* 2012 Feb;116(2):341-5.
- [48] Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, Reardon DA, Peters KB, Herndon JE 2nd, Marcello J, et al. The

- addition of bevacizumab to standard radiation therapy and temozolomide followed by bevacizumab, temozolomide, and irinotecan for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *ClinCancer Res.* 2011 Jun 15;17(12):4119-24.
- [49] Lai A, Tran A, Nghiemphu PL, Pope WB, Solis OE, Selch M, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab plus temozolomide during and after radiation therapy for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastomamultiforme. *J ClinOncol.* 2011 Jan 10;29(2):142-8.
- [50] Reardon DA, Herndon JE 2nd, Peters K, Desjardins A, Coan A, Lou E, et al. Outcome after bevacizumab clinical trial therapy among recurrent grade III malignant glioma patients. *J Neurooncol.* 2012 Mar;107(1):213-21.
- [51] Lucio-Eterovic AK, Piao Y, de Groot JF. Mediators of glioblastoma resistance and invasion during antivasular endothelial growth factor therapy. *ClinCancer Res.* 2009 Jul 15;15(14):4589-99.
- [52] Keunen O, Johansson M, Oudin A, Sanzey M, Rahim SA, Fack F, et al. Anti-VEGF treatment reduces blood supply and increases tumor cell invasion in glioblastoma. *ProcNatlAcadSci U S A.* 2011 Mar 1;108(9):3749-54.
- [53] Hegi, M.E., Diserens, A.C., Gorlia, T., Hamou, M.F., de Tribolet, N., Weller, M., Kros, J.M., Hainfellner, J.A., Mason, W., Mariani, L., et al.(2005) MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 352:997–1003.
- [54] Felsberg J, Thon N, Eigenbrod S, Hentschel B, Sabel MC, Westphal M, et al. Promoter methylation and expression of MGMT and the DNA mismatch repair genes MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 and PMS2 in paired primary and recurrent glioblastomas. *Int J Cancer.* 2011 Aug 1;129(3):659-70.
- [55] Hegi, M.E., Murat, A., Lambiv, W.L., Stupp, R.(2006) Brain tumors: Molecular biology and targeted therapies. *Ann. Oncol.* 17 (Suppl. 10) x191–x197.
- [56] Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, et al. An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastomamultiforme. *Science.* 2008 Sep 26;321(5897):1807-12.
- [57] Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Feb 19;360(8):765-73.
- [58] Hartmann C, Meyer J, Balss J, Capper D, Mueller W, Christians A, et al. Type and frequency of IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are related to astrocytic and oligodendroglial differentiation and age: a study of 1,010 diffuse gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2009 Oct;118(4):469-74.
- [59] Sanson M, Marie Y, Paris S, Idbaih A, Laffaire J, Ducray F, et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 codon 132 mutation is an important prognostic biomarker in gliomas. *J ClinOncol.* 2009 Sep 1;27(25):4150-4.
- [60] Zhao S, Lin Y, Xu W, Jiang W, Zha Z, Wang P, et al. Glioma-derived mutations in IDH1 dominantly inhibit IDH1 catalytic activity and induce HIF-1alpha. *Science.* 2009 Apr 10;324(5924):261-5.
- [61] Jensen, R. L. Brain tumor hypoxia: tumorigenesis, angiogenesis, imaging, pseudoprogression, and as a therapeutic target. *J. Neurooncol.* 92, 317–335 (2009).
- [62] Dang L, White DW, Gross S, Bennett BD, Bittinger MA, Driggers EM, et al. Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. *Nature.* 2009 Dec 10;462(7274):739-44.
- [63] Mardis ER, Ding L, Dooling DJ, Larson DE, McLellan MD, Chen K, et al. Recurring mutations found by sequencing an acute myeloid leukemia genome. *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Sep 10;361(11):1058-66.
- [64] Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB (2009) Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science* 324:1029–1033.
- [65] Buzzai M, Bauer DE, Jones RG, Deberardinis RJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Elstrom RL, Thompson CB (2005) The glucose dependence of Akt-transformed cells can be reversed by pharmacologic activation of fatty acid beta-oxidation. *Oncogene* 24:4165–4173.
- [66] Elstrom RL, Bauer DE, Buzzai M, Karnauskas R, Harris MH, Plas DR et al (2004) Akt stimulates aerobic glycolysis in cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 64:3892–3899.
- [67] Yang W, Xia Y, Hawke D, Li X, Liang J, Xing D et al (2012) PKM2 phosphorylates histone H3 and promotes gene transcription and tumorigenesis. *Cell* 150:685–696.
- [68] Yang W, Xia Y, Ji H, Zheng Y, Liang J, Huang W et al (2011) Nuclear PKM2 regulates beta-catenin transactivation upon EGFR activation. *Nature* 480:118–122.
- [69] Bleeker FE, Lamba S, Leenstra S, Troost D, Hulsebos T, Vandertop WP et al (2009) IDH1 mutations at residue p.R132 (IDH1(R132)) occur frequently in high-grade gliomas but not in other solid tumors. *Hum Mutat* 30:7–11.
- [70] Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P et al (2008) An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastomamultiforme. *Science* 321:1807–1812.

- [71] Chowdhury R, Yeoh KK, Tian YM, Hillringhaus L, Bagg EA, Rose NR et al (2011) The oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate inhibits histone lysine demethylases. *EMBO Rep* 12:463–469.
- [72] Xu W, Yang H, Liu Y, Yang Y, Wang P, Kim SH et al (2011) Oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate is a competitive inhibitor of alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases. *Cancer Cell* 19:17–30.
- [73] Figueroa, M.E., Abdel-Wahab, O., Lu, C., Ward, P.S., Patel, J., Shih, A., Li, Y., Bhagwat, N., Vasanthakumar, A., Fernandez, H.F., et al. (2010). *Cancer Cell* 18, 553–567.
- [74] Lu, C., Ward, P.S., Kapoor, G.S., Rohle, D., Turcan, S., Abdel-Wahab, O., Edwards, C.R., Khanin, R., Figueroa, M.E., Melnick, A., et al. (2012). *Nature* 483, 474–478.
- [75] Sasaki, M., Knobbe, C.B., Munger, J.C., Lind, E.F., Brenner, D., Brustle, A., Harris, I.S., Holmes, R., Wakeham, A., Haight, J., et al. (2012). *Nature* 488, 656–659.
- [76] Turcan, S., Rohle, D., Goenka, A., Walsh, L.A., Fang, F., Yilmaz, E., Campos, C., Fabius, A.W., Lu, C., Ward, P.S., et al. (2012). *Nature* 483, 479–483.
- [77] Jin, G., Pirozzi, C.J., Chen, L.H., Lopez, G.Y., Duncan, C.G., Feng, J., Spasojevic, I., Bigner, D.D., He, Y., and Yan, H. (2012). *Oncotarget* 3, 774–782.
- [78] Rohle, D., Popovici-Muller, J., Palaskas, N., Turcan, S., Grommes, C., Campos, C., Tsoi, J., Clark, O., Oldrini, B., Komisopoulou, E., et al. (2013). *Science*. Published online April 4, 2013