



This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS, 42 (2), 2013, 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.05.001.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.05.001

The publisher's version is available at:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0924857913001738

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

http://hdl.handle.net/2318/140674

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

New antibiotics for paediatric use: A review of a decade of regulatory trials submitted to the European Medicines Agency from 2000—Why aren't we doing better?

Silvia Garazzino

Irja Lutsarb,

Chiara Bertainaa,

Pier-Angelo Tovo

Mike Sharlandc

Abstract

New initiatives have been introduced in Europe and the USA to encourage more rapid development of antibiotics. The need to ensure these new antibiotics can be safely used in children, and especially neonates, is important owing to high antimicrobial resistance in these patient groups. This review aims to determine what lessons can be learnt from the recent regulatory processes to speed up access to new medicines for children, focusing on antibiotics licensed for adults by the EMA since 2000. For the 11 newly approved antibiotics, 31 clinical trials enrolling children in Europe were identified. However, many of these trials included both adults and children but did not provide a subset analysis for paediatrics, limiting the relevance of their findings. Some studies have been prematurely terminated and others are apparently active but are still not yet recruiting patients. Among paediatric-specific studies, 18 evaluate safety and efficacy of new compounds, 4 are pharmacokinetic studies, but only 2 focus on neonates. Nearly all studies with an agreed Paediatric Investigation Plan have just started or are not yet recruiting. For most antibiotics, despite adult phase 3 studies being completed, with specific concerns for particular drugs already noted, it will take another 3–5 years before adequate prescribing information becomes available for paediatricians. Evidence from this review suggests that we could do better. Lessons should be learnt from paediatric antiretroviral development, with neonatal and paediatric pharmacokinetic, clinical trial and pharmacovigilance drug development programmes being run directly in parallel with adult studies—not a decade behind.

1. Introduction

The development of novel antibiotics is a key priority of antibacterial therapy in the 21st century. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the USA and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in Europe are responsible for the scientific evaluation of applications for marketing authorisation of medicinal products and for the approval of specific proposed clinical indications for each compound.

Appropriate use of antibiotic agents may minimise selective pressure on the emergence of drug-resistant strains [1] and [2]. The licensing and marketing of a drug occur at the end of a long development process, in which clinical trials represent the final critical stage [3].

In the paediatric setting, the number of clinical trials is very low compared with the adult population: both economic and ethical factors can discourage pharmaceutical companies in conducting trials on children [4]. The result is a potential delay in the authorisation of antibiotics for children and a consequent increase in off-label prescribing [5]. Moreover, given the paucity of information of new antibiotics in children, data on drug safety and tolerability are often extrapolated from adult studies, with the consequent risks of underestimating toxicity, inadequate dosages and clinical failures [6].

Important new initiatives have recently been introduced both in the USA and Europe to encourage earlier and more complete evaluation of new drug products in paediatrics. The 'Paediatric Regulation' is a new legislation governing the development and authorisation of medicines in children that was introduced in the European Union (EU) in 2007 [7]. It brought in the creation of a Paediatric Committee, within the EMA, that provides objective scientific opinions on required drug development plans submitted by pharmaceutical companies. Such Paediatric Investigation Plans (PIPs) are aimed at ensuring that the necessary data to support authorisation of the medicine for paediatric use are obtained through targeted studies [8]. Further initiatives are now proposed to enhance the more rapid development of antibiotics. This study therefore aimed to see what lessons can be learnt from the recent regulatory processes to speed up access to new medicines for children, focusing on antibiotics licensed for adults by the EMA since 2000.

2. Materials and methods

The Medline, EMBASE and Cochrane library databases were systematically searched from January 2000 to December 2012 to identify all published papers regarding prospective clinical trials on the use of new antibiotics in infants and children aged 0–17 years and involving European countries. Only new antibiotics that have been licensed in the EU for adult use were

considered. A cross-check on references of major articles reporting adult data was also performed. To identify ongoing trials or recently completed trials, a search of the World Health Organization (WHO), US National Institutes of Health and EU clinical trials registers was performed (last accessed 31 January 2013) [9], [10] and [11]. PIPs were systematically searched through the EMA database [8].

3. Results

Eleven antibiotics approved in the EU since 2000 were identified (Table 1). A total of 31 clinical trials were found. A brief summary of completed and ongoing trials, as well as PIPs, for each drug is given.

Table 1.

Antimicrobials licensed for the adult population in the European Union (EU) since 2000.

Drug	EU approved indications in adults	EU approved indications in children	Paediatric Investigation Plans (EU)
Aztreonam lysine	Pseudomonas aeruginosainfection in patients with cystic fibrosis	None	None
Ceftaroline fosamil	cSSTI, CAP	None	cSSTIs, CAP (0–18 years)
Daptomycin	cSSTI, Staphylococcus aureusbacteraemia, S. aureus right- sided endocarditis	None	None
Doripenem monohydrate	cIAI, cUTI, HAP	None	HAP/VAP, cIAI, UTI (0–18 years)
Ertapenem sodium	cIAI, CAP, cSSTI (diabetic foot), acute pelvic infection, surgical-site infection	cIAI, CAP, cSSTI (diabetic foot), acute pelvic infection	None

Drug	EU approved indications in adults	EU approved indications in children	Paediatric Investigation Plans (EU)
	prophylaxis in elective colorectal surgery	in children aged ≥3 months of age	
Fidaxomicin	CDAD	None	Safety and pharmacokinetics (2–17 years) Development of an ageappropriate oral suspension formulation (0–18 years)
Linezolid	HAP, CAP, cSSTI by Gram-negative bacteria	None	None
Moxifloxacin	Acute bacterial sinusitis, AECB, CAP	None	PID (12–18 years) cIAI (3 months to 18 years)
Retapamulin	Superficial SSTI (impetigo, infected small lacerations, abrasions or sutured wounds)	Superficial SSTI (impetigo, infected small lacerations, abrasions or sutured wounds) in children ≥9 months of age	None
Telithromycin	CAP (mild to moderate)	None	None
Tigecycline	cSSTI, cIAI	None	cSSTIs, cIAIs (8–18 years)

cSSTI, complicated skin and soft-tissue infection; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; cIAI, complicated intra-abdominal infection; cUTI, complicated urinary tract infection; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; VAP, ventilator-associated

pneumonia; CDAD, Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea; AECB, acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis; PID, pelvic inflammatory disease.

Table options

3.1. Aztreonam lysine

Aztreonam is a synthetic monobactam that has been available intravenously for many decades. It displays a mechanism of action similar to penicillin and has selective bactericidal activity against susceptible Gram-negative bacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa and most clinically relevant Enterobacteriaceae. Aztreonam is inactivated by extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) and has no activity against Gram-positive bacteria or anaerobes.

An inhaled formulation, aztreonam lysine (AZLI), administered using an ultrasonic nebuliser, was recently developed. It received conditional approval by the EMA in 2009 for the treatment of P. aeruginosa infections in adults with cystic fibrosis and was also approved by the FDA in 2010, although not in children [12] and [13]. Three clinical trials enrolling children with cystic fibrosis and lower respiratory tract infections with P. aeruginosa are registered in Europe (Table 2). AZLI demonstrated superiority in lung function and a reduction in acute pulmonary exacerbations compared with tobramycin inhalation solution in adult and paediatric patients with pulmonary P. aeruginosacolonisation. However, only a small number of children were enrolled (n = 58; 22%) and no separate analysis for the paediatric population was performed [14] and [15]. Two studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of AZLI are active but are not yet recruiting patients [16] and [17].

Table 2.

European clinical trials on aztreonam lysine involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	No of pts	Ag e	Type of infection	Primary endpoin t	Secondary endpoint	Main exclusion criteria	Comp	Aztreo nam dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Results
NCT0075723 7[14] and [15]	Ph as e 3, R C T, O L	USA , Euro pe	26 8 (5 8 ag ed <1 8 ye ars)	≥6 years	Pulmon ary infection by Pseudomonas aeruginosa in pts with CF	Compar ative safety and efficacy		Previous antipseudo monal treatment; lung transplant ation; colonisati on by Burkho lderiaspp.; continuou s oxygen supplemen tation; high-dose steroids	TNS 300 mg q12h as inter mitte nt 28- day cours es	Inhalat ion solutio n 75 mg q8h as interm ittent 28-day course s	Com plete d	Mean relative changes after one course: AZLI, 8.35%; TNS, 0.55% (P < 0.0 01). Mean actual changes across three courses: AZLI, 2.05%; TNS,—0.66% (P = 0.0 02)

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	Ag e	Type of infection	Primary endpoin t	Secondary endpoint	Main exclusion criteria	Comp	Aztreo nam dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Results
											Fewer respirato ry hospitali sations (P = 0.0 44) and respirato ry events requirin g addition al antipseu domonal antibioti cs (P = 0.0 04) in AZLI-treated pts

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca	No of pts	Ag e	Type of infection	Primary endpoin t	Secondary endpoint	Main exclusion criteria	Comp	Aztreo nam dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Results
NCT0137504 9[16]	Ph as e 2, O L	USA , Euro pe	80	3 mo nth s to 17 yea rs	Lower respirat ory tract infectio n by P. aerugin osa in pts with CF	Microbi ological respons e		Previous antipseudo monal treatment; lung transplant ation; colonisati on by Burkho lderiaspp.; continuou s oxygen supplemen tation	None	Inhalat ion solutio n 75 mg q8h for 28 days	Active but not recruiting	NA
NCT0140423 4[17]	Ph as e 3, O L	USA , Euro pe	60	Up to 12 yea rs	Chronic lower respirat ory tract infectio n by P. aerugin osa in	Safety	Efficacy: improvemen t of respiratory functionalit y and changes in P.	Previous antipseudo monal treatment; lung transplant ation; colonisati	None	Inhalat ion solutio n 75 mg q8h as three interm	Active but not recruiting	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	Ag e	Type of infection	Primary endpoin t	Secondary endpoint	Main exclusion criteria	Comp		Statu s	Results
				pts with CF		aeruginosae xacerbations and sputum density	on by Burkho lderiaspp.		ittent 28-day course s		

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; OL, open label; CF, cystic fibrosis; TNS, tobramycin nebuliser solution; q12h, every 12 h; q8h, every 8 h; AZLI, aztreonam for inhalation solution; NA, not available.

Table options

No PIP is currently agreed on AZLI.

3.2. Ceftaroline fosamil

Ceftaroline fosamil is a novel broad-spectrum cephalosporin approved by the EMA in 2012 for the treatment of adults with complicated skin and soft-tissue infections (cSSTIs) and community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) [18].

Ceftaroline inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis by binding penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), including PBP2a produced by meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus(MRSA). It has activity against a wide spectrum of Gram-negative and Grampositive pathogens, such as MRSA (including vancomycin- and daptomycin-resistant strains), penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae (PRSP), meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis (MRSE) and Enterococcus faecalis. It is not active against P. aeruginosa and ESBL-producing strains [19].

Although clinical data are still limited, ceftaroline displays a good safety and tolerability profile. The paediatric dosage is yet to be determined. In addition to the approved indications, a potential promising role for ceftaroline is empirical monotherapy when an MRSA or MRSE infection is suspected.

Two randomised controlled trials (RCTs) are recruiting paediatric patients in Europe (Table 3): they will assess the safety, efficacy, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline versus comparators in children aged 2 months to 18 years with CAP and cSSTIs [20] and [21].

Table 3. European clinical trials on ceftaroline fosamil involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locati ons	No. of pts	Age	Type of infect ion	Primary endpoint	Secondary endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparato r(s)	Ceftaro line fosamil dose	Status
NCT015307 63[20]	Pha se 2- 3, RC T, SB	USA, Europ e	160 (estima ted)	2 mon ths to 18 year s	CAP	Compar ative safety and tolerabil ity	Comparative e efficacy; pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline fosamil in plasma and CSF	Hypersensi tivity to β-lactams; infection with a pathogen resistant to ceftriaxone; sources of infection other than CAP; non-infectious causes of pulmonary infiltrates	Ceftriaxon e i.v. 75 mg/kg/d ay (up to 4 g/day) divided q12h	≥6 months: i.v. ceftarol ine fosamil 12 mg/kg (if ≤33 kg body weight) or 400 mg (if >33 kg body weight) 400 mg (if >33 kg body weight) q8h<6	Recruiting

										months: 8 mg/k g q8h	
NCT014008 67[21]	Pha se 2- 3, RC T, SB	USA, Europ e, South Ameri ca, Asia, South Africa	270 (estima ted)	2 mon ths to 18 year s	cSST	Compar ative safety and tolerabil ity	Comparative e efficacy; pharmacokinetics of ceftaroline fosamil in plasma	Hypersensi tivity to vancomyci n, aztreonam or β-lactams; requireme nt for concomita nt systemic antimicrob ial therapy; history of seizures, excluding febrile seizure of childhood; signs or suspicion of meningitis	Vancomyci n i.v. 15 mg/kg q6h or cefazolin i.v. 75 mg/kg/d ay divided q8h ± aztre onam i.v. 30 mg/kg q8h	≥6 months: ceftarol ine fosamil i.v. 12 mg/kg (if ≤33 kg body weight) or 400 mg (if >33 kg body weight) q8h <6 months: 8 mg/k g q8h	Recruiting

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SB, single blind; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; i.v., intravenous; q12h, every 12 h; q8h, every 8 h; cSSTI, complicated skin and soft-tissue infection; q6h, every 6 h.

Table options

A PIP for treatment of cSSTI and CAP has been agreed [22].

3.3. Daptomycin

Daptomycin is a cyclic lipopeptide that was approved by the FDA in 2003 for the treatment of adults with SSTIs caused by Gram-positive bacteria as well as S. aureusbacteraemia or right-sided endocarditis. It is inactivated by pulmonary surfactants and therefore should not be used for non-haematogenous pneumonia [23] and [24].

Daptomycin binds to the bacterial cell membrane in a calcium-dependent manner, causing rapid membrane depolarisation and bacterial death. It has selective activity against Gram-positive bacteria, including glycopeptide-resistant enterococci, MRSA, MRSE, streptococci and corynebacteria. In adults, daptomycin is given intravenously at 4 mg/kg or 6 mg/kg once daily, respectively, for SSTIs and S. aureusbacteraemia/endocarditis. Safety and tolerability of higher dosages have been reported both in adults and children [25], [26] and [27]. Daptomycin is generally well tolerated. As its most relevant side effect is muscular toxicity, regular monitoring of creatine kinase levels is recommended [28]. A warning that daptomycin could cause life-threatening eosinophilic pneumonia has recently been issued [29].

The experience of daptomycin in children is very limited and the paediatric dosing regimen remains to be determined [30], [31], [32], [33], [34] and [35]. According to the latest Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines on the management of MRSA infections, daptomycin should be administered at 6–10 mg/kg every 24 h in children with bacteraemia, osteomyelitis and septic arthritis [36].

The pharmacokinetic (PK) profile, efficacy and safety of daptomycin in infants and children are under evaluation in several trials. Among these, only two are recruiting children in the EU. One study aims at characterising the peak concentration of daptomycin in cerebrospinal fluid of children aged 3 months to 16 years with acute Gram-positive meningitis (Table 4); daptomycin will be given as single-dose add-on therapy [37]. The second study will describe the safety and efficacy of daptomycin versus standard of care in children aged 2–17 years with S. aureus bacteraemia [38].

Table 4.

European clinical trials on daptomycin (DAP) involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	No. of pts	Age	Type of infection	Primary endpoint	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclusion criteria	Daptom ycin dose/du ration	Compar	Status
NCT01522 105[37]	OL , SG A, ph ase 1	Euro	5 (estim ated)	3 mo nths to 16 year s	Gram-positive meningitis	Character isation of peak concentra tion of DAP in the CSF	Evaluat e possibl e side effects of DAP	Gram- negative bacteria in the CSF; renal impairmen t; CK level >2× upper age- related norm or muscular disease/we akness; allergy or hypersensi tivity to DAP; known significant chronic	One i.v. dose (3–24 months, 6 mg/k g; 2–6 years, 10 mg/kg; 7–11 years, 8 mg/k g; 12–16 years, 6 mg/k g) given 24 h after first	None	Recruiting

	Stu dy						Second ary	Main	Daptom yein		
Study no.	typ e	Locat	No. of pts	Age	Type of infection	Primary endpoint	endpoi nt	exclusion criteria	dose/du ration	Compar ators	Status
								diseases; underlying neurologic al disease with disruption of blood— brain barrier; epilepsy, peripheral neuropath y, Guillain— Barré syndrome	ceftriax one dose		
NCT01728 376[38]	RC T, SB , ph ase 4	USA, Euro pe, South Amer ica, Asia	75 (estim ated)	2– 17 year s	Staphyloc occus aureusbact eraemia	Compara tive safety	Compa rative efficac y	Shock or hypotensio n unresponsi ve to standard therapy; intoleranc	DAP i.v. at 7 mg/k g (age 12–17 years), 9 mg/k g (age	Vancom ycin, semisyn thetic penicilli n, first- generati on	Recruiting

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	No. of pts	Age	Type of infection	Primary endpoint	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclusion criteria	Daptom ycin dose/du ration	Compar ators	Status
								e or hypersensi tivity to DAP; renal insufficien cy; rhabdomy olysis; or significant CK elevation; history of clinically significant muscular disease, nervous system or seizure disorder; S . aureuspne umonia,	7–11 years), 12 mg/ kg (ages 2–6 years)	cephalos porin, clindam ycin	

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	No. of pts	Age	Type of infection	Primary endpoint	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclusion criteria	Daptom ycin dose/du ration	Compar	Status
								empyema, meningitis or endocardit is			

pts, patients; OL, open label; SGA, single group assignment; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CK, creatine kinase; i.v., intravenous; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SB, single blind.

Table options

At present, the lack of adequate safety data does not allow daptomycin to be routinely used in children. Potential uses in selected cases include right-sided endocarditis with or without bacteraemia, infection of intravascular devices, and osteoarticular infections caused by MRSA, MRSE and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) [39].

No PIP is currently agreed on daptomycin.

3.4. Doripenem monohydrate

Doripenem monohydrate is the most recently developed carbapenem [40] and [41]. It was approved by the EMA in 2008 for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia (NP) [including ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP)], complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAIs) and complicated urinary tract infections (cUTIs) including pyelonephritis.

When compared with imipenem/cilastatin and meropenem, doripenem had enhanced in vitro activity against P. aeruginosa and Acinetobacter spp. It is also active against most β -lactam-susceptible Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria (including ESBL-producing strains) and anaerobes. As with other carbapenems, it inhibits synthesis of the bacterial

cell wall by attaching to PBPs and has no activity against Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Adverse effects of doripenem are similar to those of other carbapenems [40], [41], [42], [43] and [44].

In phase 3 studies, doripenem was non-inferior to comparators in the treatment of NP and cIAIs [45], [46] and [47]. However, a recent trial evaluating its safety and efficacy in severely ill patients with VAP was terminated prematurely due to excess mortality and poorer clinical cure rates in the doripenem group [48]: in that study, doripenem was administered at a higher dose [1 g every 8 h (q8h)] and for a shorter treatment period (7 days) than currently authorised (500 mg q8h for 10–14 days) and was compared with 1 g q8h of imipenem/cilastatin for 10 days. Following these preliminary results, the EMA's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) recommended updating the prescribing information allowing higher doses (1 g q8h for 10–14 days) in patients with NP caused by non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria [49].

PK and pharmacovigilance studies of doripenem in children have been started in Europe (Table 5).

Table 5.

European clinical trials on doripenem monohydrate involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt	Second ary endpoin t(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparator (s)	Doripenem dose/durati on	Statu s	Res ults
NCT013 81848, EudraCT 2009- 014387- 20 [50] a nd [51]	Ph as e 1, O L	USA , Euro pe	4 8	0– 12 we eks	Bact erial infec tion	PK study (doripe nem concen trations in blood	Safety of single- dose doripen em	Clinically significant abnormal physical examination, vital signs or laboratory	None	Single i.v. dose: 5 mg/kg in pts <8 weeks; 8 mg/kg in	Com plete d	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt	Second ary endpoin t(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparator (s)	Doripenem dose/durati on	Statu s	Res ults
						sample s)		testing at screening		pts ≥8 weeks		
NCT011 10421, EudraCT 2009- 016069- 27 [52] a nd [53]	Ph as e 3, R C T, D B	Sout h and Cent ral Ame rica, USA , Euro pe, Asia	1 4 0	mo nth s to 18 yea rs	CAP	Compa rative safety	Clinical cure at TOC and EOT; relapse at LTFU; populati on PK analysis	Suspected or proven study drug-resistant organisms (e.g. Staphylo coccus aureus, Steno trophomonas, etc.); immunosuppr ession (ANC <500 cells/µL); epilepsy	Cefepime i.v. (50 mg/kg up to 2 g/dose q8h) for ≥3 days, followed by PO amoxicillin/ clavulanate potassium or other PO antibiotics (total 10–14 days)	20 mg/kg i.v. up to 500 mg/dos e q8h for ≥3 days, followed by PO amoxicillin/ clavulanate potassium or alternative PO antibiotic therapy (total 10–14 days)	Susp ende d	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt	Second ary endpoin t(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparator (s)	Doripenem dose/durati on	Statu s	Res ults
NCT011 10408, EudraCT 2009- 015953- 18 [54] a nd [55]	Ph as e 3, R C T, D B	USA , Euro pe, Asia , Sout h Ame rica, Afri ca	1 4 0	mo nth s to 18 yea rs	cUTI	Compa rative safety	Clinical cure and microbi ological respons e at TOC and LTFU; clinical improvement and microbi ological respons e at EOT; populati on PK analysis	Concomitant infections; diagnosis of intractable UTI, permanent urinary catheter, abscesses; suspected or proven study drug-resistant organisms; ANC <500 cells/µL; epilepsy	Cefepime i.v. (50 mg/kg up to 2 g/dose q8h) for 3– 14 days. Pts may be discharged with PO antibiotic therapy	20 mg/kg i.v. up to 500 mg/dos e q8h for 3– 14 days. Pts may be discharged with PO antibiotic therapy	Recr uitin g	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt	Second ary endpoin t(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparator (s)	Doripenem dose/durati on	Statu s	Res ults
NCT011 10382, EudraCT 2009- 015864- 32 [56] a nd [57]	Ph as e 3, R C T, D B	USA , Euro pe, Sout h Ame rica	1 4 0	mo nth s to 18 years	cIAI	Compa rative safety	Clinical cure and microbi ological respons e at TOC and LTFU; clinical improvement at EOT; populati on PK analysis	Concomitant infections; abdominal wall abscess; suspected or proven study drug-resistant organisms; ANC <500 cells/µL; epilepsy	Meropenem 20 mg/kg i.v. up to 1 g/dose q8h for 3–14 days. i.v. drugs may be switched to a PO antibiotic to complete the 5–14-day course of treatment	20 mg/kg i.v. up to 500 mg/dos e q8h for 3– 14 days. i.v. drugs may be switched to an oral antibiotic to complete the 5–14- day course of treatment	Recruitin g	NA
NCT013 66651[58]	Ph as e 1,	Euro pe	1 0	0–1 yea r	Meni ngitis	PK study (doripe nem concen	Safety of doripen em	Any condition that may interfere with the assessment,	None	Pts <12 weeks, 10 mg/kg i.v. q8h for 5 doses; pts	Recr uitin g	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Loca tions	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt	Second ary endpoin t(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparator (s)	Doripenem dose/durati on	Statu s	Res ults
	O L					trations in CSF and plasma)		according to investigator		≥12 weeks to <1 year, 30 mg/kg i.v. q8h for 5 doses		

pts, patients; OL, open label; PK, pharmacokinetic; i.v., intravenous; NA, not available; RCT, randomised controlled trial; DB, double blind; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; TOC, test of cure; EOT, end of i.v. therapy; LTFU, long-term follow-up; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; q8h, every 8 h; PO, per os; cUTI, complicated urinary tract infection; cIAI, complicated intra-abdominal infection; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.

Table options

The pharmacokinetics, safety and tolerability of single-dose doripenem have been studied in hospitalised neonates (both term and preterm) and in infants <12 weeks of age with, or at risk for, bacterial infections under treatment with other intravenous (i.v.) antibiotics [50] and [51]. In that study, doripenem was given as add-on therapy. The study was completed in April 2012 but results are not available at present. One study comparing the safety and tolerability of doripenem with cefepime in children with bacterial pneumonia was suspended following premature discontinuation of the adult VAP study [52] and [53]. Three randomised controlled paediatric studies are currently ongoing. Doripenem is compared with i.v. cefepime for safety and efficacy in the treatment of cUTIs in children aged 3 months to 18 years; sampling for PK investigation is scheduled in doripenem-treated subjects [54] and [55]. A second study is evaluating doripenem versus meropenem in hospitalised children with cIAIs; a PK subanalysis for the doripenem group is also planned [56] and [57]. Another study plans to assess the penetration of doripenem (given as add-on therapy) into the cerebrospinal fluid of hospitalised children aged <1 year with meningitis [58].

Following the limited data on the safety of doripenem and its limited potential advantages over older carbapenems, its role in paediatrics remains to be established. Given its broad spectrum of activity, it might be useful for treating children with nosocomial infections or with infections caused by enteric or non-fermentative Gram-negative bacteria [41].

A PIP for treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP)/VAP, cIAIs and UTIs including complicated and uncomplicated pyelonephritis and cases with concurrent bacteraemia has been agreed [59].

3.5. Ertapenem sodium

Ertapenem is a carbapenem with broad-spectrum antibacterial activity against aerobic and anaerobic pathogens, including ESBL- and AmpC-producing Gram-negatives [60] and [61]. In contrast to other carbapenems, it is not active against P. aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. Ertapenem is approved in Europe for the treatment of adults and children aged ≥3 months with cIAIs, CAP, diabetic foot infections and acute pelvic infection. It is also licensed for surgical-site infection prophylaxis in elective colorectal surgery in adults. Further indications in the USA include cSSTIs and UTIs [62]. Paediatric dosing is 15 mg/kg i.v. every 12 h (q12h) in children aged 3 months to 12 years (maximum 1 g/daily) and 1 g once daily in older children [60]. The intramuscular route has been licensed in the USA but not in Europe. The safety profile in children was comparable with that found in adults [60]. Use of ertapenem was associated with neutropenia, diarrhoea and mild-to-moderate elevation of liver function tests; seizures were identified with comparable frequency to comparators [63].

Arguedas et al. investigated the safety, tolerability and efficacy of ertapenem versus ceftriaxone in children aged 3 months to 17 years with cUTIs, SSTIs or CAP (Table 6) [64] and [65]. The overall incidence of clinical adverse events (AEs) was comparable between the two groups. The most common drug-related AEs were diarrhoea, vomiting, infusion-site pain and erythema. In general, the clinical response to ertapenem was similar to that found in adults for similar infections.

Table 6.

European clinical trials on ertapenem sodium involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Locat	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Typ e of infe ctio n	Primary endpoint	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Compa rator	Ertape nem dose/d uration	Statu s	Result s
NCT00451386 [64] and [65]	Ph as e 3, R C T	Euro pe, USA, South Amer ica	4 0 3	3 mo nth s to 17 years	cUT I, CA P, SST I	Comparative safety (incidence of clinical and laboratory drugrelated serious AEs)	Comparat ive tolerabilit y and efficacy	Infected burn wounds; necrotising fasciitis; osteomyeli tis or septic arthritis; mechanica l ventilation; cystic fibrosis; chronic lung disease or empyema; significant renal impairmen t	Ceftria	13–17 years, 1 g i.v. OD; 3 months –12 years, 15 mg/ kg BID (maxi mum 1 g daily) for a mean of 4 days	Com plete d	Most comm on drug-related clinica l AEs: diarrh oea (5.9% ertape nem, 10% ceftria xone), infusi on-site erythe ma (3% ertape nem,

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Locat	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Typ e of infe ctio n	Primary endpoint	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Compa rator	Ertape nem dose/d uration	Statu s	Result s
												ceftria xone), infusi on-site pain (5% ertape nem, 1% ceftria xone). Seriou s drug-related clinica l AE: 1 pt each. No seriou

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Locat	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Typ e of infe ctio n	Primary endpoint	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Compa rator	Ertape nem dose/d uration	Statu s	Result s
												s drug-related labora tory AEs. Overal l clinica l respon se to ertape nem simila r to larger clinica l trials in adults

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Locat	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Typ e of infe ctio n	Primary endpoint	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Compa rator	Ertape nem dose/d uration	Statu s	Result s
NCT01069900 , EudraCT 2009-015578- 37 [66] and [6 7]	R C T, D B, ph as e 3	Euro pe, South Amer ica, USA, South /East Asia	3 0 0	3 mo nth s to 17 years	IAI	Compar ative safety with a special focus on cardiac and musculo skeletal events	Evaluation of musculos keletal AEs; evaluation of electrocar diogram profiles obtained on Days 1 and 3 pretreatment and post treatment; clinical response at TOC and EOT; bacteriol	Spontaneo us bacterial peritonitis; all pancreatic processes; early acute or suppurative (non-perforated) appendicitis; known severe immunosu ppression; congenital or acquired QT prolongation;	Moxifloxacin	1 g i.v. OD (age 13–18 years) or i.v. 15 mg q12h, max 1 g daily (age <13 years) for 3– 14 days	Recruiting	NA

Study no.	St ud y ty pe	Locat	N o. o f pt s	Ag e	Typ e of infe ctio n	Primary endpoint	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Main exclusion criteria	Compa rator	Ertape nem dose/d uration	Statu s	Result s
							ogical response at Days 3–5 and EOT	concomita nt treatment with QT prolonging drugs; history of tendon disease/dis order related to quinolone treatment; abnormal musculosk eletal findings at baseline				

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; cUTI, complicated urinary tract infection; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; SSTI, skin and soft-tissue infection; AE, adverse event; i.v., intravenous; OD, once daily; BID, twice daily; DB, double blind; IAI, intra-abdominal infection; TOC, test of cure; EOT, end of therapy; q12h, every 12 h; NA, not available.

Table options

In a separate RCT, ertapenem was the comparator drug to moxifloxacin in children aged 3 months to 17 years with cIAIs [66] and [67].

A potential advantage of ertapenem compared with other carbapenems is the spectrum of activity sparing P. aeruginosa: ertapenem does not adversely affect carbapenem resistance among these bacteria, and improved P. aeruginosa susceptibilities have been reported after years of its use [61] and [68]. Ertapenem's prolonged half-life with once-daily dosing facilitates its use in outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy (OPAT) for children older than 12 years.

No PIP is currently available on ertapenem.

3.6. Fidaxomicin

Fidaxomicin is the first in a new class of narrow-spectrum 18-ring macrolide antibiotics. Produced by an actinomycete, it has selective bactericidal activity against pathogenic Clostridium difficile, with minimal effects on the normal intestinal microflora. Its mechanism of action consists in inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase [69] and [70].

Fidaxomicin is approved in the EU and USA for the treatment of adults with C. difficile-associated diarrhoea (CDAD). In premarketing studies, fidaxomicin was non-inferior to oral vancomycin in achieving clinical cure of CDAD (92.1% vs. 89.8%) and was associated with fewer recurrences [71]. In the USA, a study on the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of fidaxomicin in children (6 months to 18 years) with CDAD is currently in progress [72]. In the EU, a prospective observational study in children has recently started (Table 7), aiming to determine the incidence and clinical outcomes of C. difficile infection in 60 term neonates and to investigate the feasibility of a potential interventional study [73].

Table 7.

European clinical trial on fidaxomicin involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Study type	Locati	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoints	Seconda ry endpoin t	Main exclusion criteria	Status
NCT01533844 [73]	Observational, non-interventional	Europ	60 (estimat ed)	0- 27 day s	CDAD	To determine the feasibility of a potential interventio nal study with fidaxomici n; to determine the incidence and clinical aspects of CDI in neonates and whether a subgroup can be identified		Preterm neonates; negative Clostri dium difficiletoxin test	Recruiti

Study no.	Study type	Locati on	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoints	Seconda ry endpoin t	Main exclusion criteria	Status
						where treatment with fidaxomici n therapy might improve outcome			

pts, patients; CDAD, Clostridium difficile-associated disease; CDI, C. difficile infection.

Table options

A PIP for treatment of CDAD in children has been agreed [74].

3.7. Linezolid

Linezolid is the first member of the oxazolidinones [75]. It exhibits a selective spectrum of activity against Gram-positive bacteria, including MRSA, MRSE, VRE and PRSP. Linezolid inhibits bacterial protein synthesis through blocking the initiation process.

In Europe, approved indications for its use in adults are CAP, HAP and cSSTIs caused by Gram-positive bacteria. The FDA labelled linezolid for paediatric use in 2002 at doses of 10 mg/kg q8h in children aged 0–11 years and 10 mg/kg q12h (maximum 600 mg q12h) in older children. Conversely, in most European countries it remains off-label in the paediatric setting, although recent reports note that it is occasionally prescribed in children [76] and [77]. Neonatal dosing of linezolid has been poorly studied. PK data suggest that linezolid clearance is decreased in preterm and in term neonates <7 days of age; therefore, all neonates should be treated with 10 mg/kg q12h during their first week of life [78]. The main side effects in

children are gastrointestinal disturbances. Peripheral and optic neuropathy and myelotoxicity seem less frequent than in adults, however the risk of these severe complications should be considered and careful monitoring is recommended [77]. Linezolid has several advantages over glycopeptides. The high and rapid tissue penetration supports its use for central nervous system or osteoarticular infections. The oral formulation, with excellent bioavailability, can be useful in step-down therapy and in outpatients. Its activity against mycobacteria justifies its potential role in treating drug-resistant tuberculosis [79].

Five clinical trials enrolling adults and children (aged 13–17 years) treated with linezolid have been completed in the EU (Table 8). In three trials, linezolid was administered to treat CAP caused by PRSP, cSSTIs and catheter-related bloodstream infections due to Gram-positive organisms, or febrile neutropenia in oncological patients [80], [81], [82], [83] and [84]. In all these studies the number of enrolled children was limited and a stratified analysis for the paediatric population was not given. Therefore, no definite conclusions can be drawn. For the remaining two trials, evaluating children aged 0–17 years with haemato-oncological disease or undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, results are not yet available. The first study evaluated the efficacy and safety of rescue therapy with antibiotic-lock technique with either linezolid, vancomycin or amikacin for the treatment of indwelling central venous catheter (CVC)-related bacteraemia in retained CVC [85]. The second study evaluated continuous infusion with either linezolid, vancomycin, ceftazidime or meropenem as salvage therapy of tunnel/pocket infections of an indwelling CVC [86].

Table 8.

European clinical trials on linezolid (LNZ) involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
NCT0003 5269[80]	Phas e 3, not contr	Euro pe, USA	229	14– 96 years (mea	CAP by Streptoc occus pneumoniae	Microb iologic al	Clinica 1 efficac	Previo us treatm ent for	None	600 m g q12h i.v./P	Com plete d	Clinica 1 success

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri a	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
	olled , OL	, Asia		n 54.5 years)		efficac	y and safety	>24 h with anothe r antibi otic; HIV- positi ve subjec ts; low CD4 count		O for 10–21 days		77.3%. Microb iologic al eradica tion, 84.3%. Main AEs, abdomi nal pain (3.5%), headac he (3.9%), diarrho ea (4.4%), nausea (5.7%), anaemi a

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
												(3.1%), insomn ia (3.5%)
NCT0003 7050, EudraCT: 2004- 001511- 54 [81], [82] and [8 3]	Phas e 3, RCT , OL	Euro pe, USA , Latin Ame rica, Sout h Ame rica, Asia, Russ ian Fede ratio n, Sout h	363 LNZ , 363 com parat or	LNZ, 16– 92 years (mea n 53.7); comp arato r, 14– 94 years (mea n 53.8	Central indwelling catheter-related cSSTI or bacteraemia by Staphylo coccus aureus, CoNS, Ente rococcusspp.	Evaluat e empiric al treatme nt	Compa rative efficac y of LNZ; inciden ce of late metasta tic sequela e in patient s treated with LNZ	Tunne lled cathet er that cannot be remov ed; endoc arditis; infecti on of perma nent intrav ascula r device	Vanco mycin i.v. 1 g q12h; oxacill in i.v. 2 g q6h; diclox acillin PO 500 m g q6h	600 m g q12h i.v./P O for 10–28 days	Com plete d	Clinica 1 success : cSSTIs , 77.8% LNZ, 77.9% compar ator; bactera emia, 75.3% LNZ, 80.8% compar ator Microb

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri a	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
		Africa		years)				s; previo us antibi otic treatm ent for >24 h; patien ts with HIV and low CD4 count				iologic al eradica tion: cSSTIs , 89.6% LNZ, 89.9% compar ator; bactera emia, 86.3 5 LNZ, 90.5% compar ator
NCT0003 5425 [84]	Phas e 3, RCT , DB	Euro pe, USA , Latin	61 LNZ , 57 com	LNZ, 15– 80 years (mea	Suspected or proven Grampositive (S. aureus,	Compa rative clinical	Microb iologic al	Fever due to know n causes	Vanco mycin 1 g	600 m g q 12 h i.v. for	Com plete d	Clinica 1 success : 82.9%

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri a	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
		Ame rica, Asia	parat	n 45.2 years)	CoNS, Stre ptococcussp p.) infection in febrile, neutropenic cancer pts	efficac	outcom	; HIV infecti on; recent bone marro w transp lant; non-remov able infect ed indwe lling cathet er; endoc arditis , osteo myelit	q12h i.v.	10-28 days		LNZ, 80.0% vanco mycin Microb iologic al success: 81.8% LNZ, 60.0% vanco mycin

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri a	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
								is, menin gitis, CNS infecti ons				
EudraCT: 2006- 000595- 32 [85]	Phas e 2, not contr olled , OL	Euro	12	<18 years	Central indwelling catheter-related bacteraemia in haemato-oncological pts	Safety and efficac y		New metast atic infecti ons during treatm ent; lack of respon se within 7 days of treatm ent;	None	NA	Com plete d	NA

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri a	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
								advers e reacti ons to the drug used				
EudraCT: 2006- 002146- 10 [86]	Not contr olled , phas e 2, OL	Euro	12	<18 years	Tunnel/poc ket infections (cellulitis) of central indwelling catheters in haemato- oncological pts	Tolera bility of antibiot ic lock; feasibil ity of outpati ent therapy		New metast atic infecti ons during treatm ent; lack of respon se within 7 days of treatm	None	NA	Com plete d	NA

Study no.	Stud y type	Loca tions	No. of pts (ITT)	Age	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nts	Second ary endpoi nt	Main exclus ion criteri	Comp arator(s)	Linez olid dose/ durati on	Statu s	Results
								ent; advers e reacti ons to the drug used				

pts, patients; ITT, intention to treat; OL, open label; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; q12h, every 12 h; i.v., intravenous; PO, per os; AE, adverse event; RCT, randomised controlled trial; cSSTI, complicated skin and soft-tissue infection; CoNS, coagulase-negative staphylococci; q6h, every 6 h; DB, double blind; CNS, central nervous system; NA, not available.

Table options

In two previously cited RCTs, linezolid is one of the comparators for ceftaroline [20] and [21].

No PIP is currently available on linezolid.

3.8. Moxifloxacin

Moxifloxacin is a fourth-generation fluoroquinolone with a rapid bactericidal effect [87]. It acts by interfering with topoisomerase II and IV, which are essential enzymes for the replication, transcription and repair of bacterial DNA. Its spectrum of activity covers Gram-positive bacteria (including S. pneumoniae and S. aureus), Gram-negative bacteria, some

anaerobes and atypical strains. Moxifloxacin has high oral availability and rapidly distributes to extravascular spaces, with a strong affinity for alveolar tissue.

Although moxifloxacin displayed a favourable safety profile in clinical trials, the EMA-approved indications have been recently restricted due to the increased risk of adverse hepatic reactions [88]. They include second-line therapy of acute bacterial sinusitis, acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis (AECB) and CAP. Warnings have also been raised for spontaneous tendon ruptures, worsening of myasthenia gravis symptoms and severe skin reactions. Other serious adverse effects include irreversible peripheral neuropathy and QTc prolongation [89].

The main advantages of moxifloxacin are once-daily administration, fast bactericidal action, good tissue penetration and broad spectrum of activity, including mycobacteria.

Moxifloxacin is not licensed for paediatric use, with the exception of the topical formulation, and its dosage in children is still to be determined. The WHO guidelines on the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis recommend a moxifloxacin dose of 7.5–10 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg) in children [90]. In the USA, a trial is currently investigating the pharmacokinetics of single-dose moxifloxacin in children aged 3 months to 14 years [91].

Four trials on the systemic use of moxifloxacin (hydrochloride) in children are being conducted in the EU (Table 9).

Table 9.

European clinical trials on moxifloxacin involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
NCT010699 00, EudraCT 2009- 015578- 37 [66] and [67]	Phase 3, RCT, DB	Eur ope, Asia , Cent ral and Sout h Am eric a, US A	4 5 0	3 mo nth s to 17 years	IAI	Comp arative safety	Evaluati on of musculo skeletal AEs; electroc ardiogra m profiles; clinical response at TOC among subjects with a bacteriol ogically confirm ed complic ated IAI;	Spontane ous bacterial peritoniti s; pancreati c processes; early acute or suppurati ve (non-perforate d) appendici tis; severe immunos uppressio n; congenita l or	Ertapene m 1 g i.v. OD for a minimum of 3 days (age 13 years to <18 years) or 15 mg/kg body weight i.v. BID not to exceed 1 g daily (age <13 years) If switched to PO: amoxicilli n/clavulan ic acid PO	12–17 years (≥45 kg): 400 m g i.v. OD for a minim um of 3 days If switch ed to PO: 400 m g OD <12 years: 4 mg/ kg BID	Recr uitin g	NA

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
							clinical and bacteriol ogical response to treatmen t at a 'during therapy' visit and at EOT	acquired QT prolongat ion, concomit ant treatment with QT-prolongin g drugs; tendon disease/di sorder related to quinolone treatment; pathogeni c organism s resistant to study	45 mg amoxicilli n/kg body weight BID not to exceed 875 mg amoxicilli n BID (total treatment duration 5–14 days)	i.v. and PO (maxi mum 400 m g daily) for 5–14 days		

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
								drugs; musculos keletal disease with high risk for arthritis or tendinitis				
NCT009328 02[92] and [9 3]	Phase 4, observ ational	Eur ope, Cent ral and Sout h Am eric a, Asia ,	5 0 0 0	≥1 2 yea rs	Outpat ients with AECB	Evalu ation of impact of AECB on the patient and comm unity; effect and	AEs collection; course of symptom relief; evaluation of frequency of new exacerbations;	According to local product information	None	Accor ding to invest igator	Com plete d	No suba nalys is for the paedi atric coho rt

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
		Africa				safety of moxifl oxacin in daily life clinica l practic e	progress ion of chronic respirato ry disease; speed of return to normal daily life activitie s					
NCT009979 97[94]	Phase 4, observ ational	Eur ope, Asia , Afri ca	6 3 0 0	≥1 0 yea rs	cSSTI s	Effica cy (cours e of severit y of infecti on and of	AEs collection; overall assessment of tolerability by the	According to local product information	None	Accor ding to invest igator	Com plete d	No suba nalys is for the paedi atric

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infection	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
						clinica l signs and sympt oms, durati on until impro vemen t, recove ry, wound closur e, reuse of moxifl oxacin)	physicia n					cohort

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
NCT009304 88[95]	Obser vation al, phase 4	Eur ope, Asia , Afri ca	7 2 4 1	N A	Acute bacteri al sinusit is	Evaluation of potential benefits of antibacterial therapy with moxifloxacin	Evaluati on of tolerabil ity and safety of moxiflo xacin in daily practice	According to local product information	None	Accor ding to invest igator	Com plete d	
EudraCT 2009- 017319- 13 [96]	RCT	Eur ope	1 3 0 0	<1 8 yea rs	AOM with otorrh oea and tympa nosto	Safety and efficac y of topical moxifl oxacin ; demon		Tympano stomy tubes containin g antimicro bial agents; current	Placebo	NA	Ongo ing in Belgi um; prem aturel y ende d in	

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infecti on	Primar y endpoi nt(s)	Seconda ry endpoint (s)	Main exclusion criteria	Comparat or(s)	Moxif loxaci n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Resu lts
					my tubes	stratio n of the therap eutic superi ority of moxifl oxacin at EOT		acute or chronic non-tube otorrhoea or otitis externa or malignant otitis externa; ear infection of fungal or mycobact erial origin; herpetic infection; mastoiditi s or other suppurati			Den mark and Finla nd	

		Loc	N o. of		Type of	Primar y	Seconda ry	Main		Moxif loxaci n dose/d		
a 1	Study	atio	pt	Ag	infecti	endpoi	endpoint		Comparat	uratio	Statu	Resu
Study no.	type	ns	S	e	on	nt(s)	(s)	criteria	or(s)	n	S	1ts
								ve non- infectious disorders in the ear(s)				

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; DB, double blind; IAI, intra-abdominal infection; TOC, test of cure; EOT, end of therapy; i.v., intravenous; OD, once daily; BID, twice daily; PO, per os; AECB, acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis; AE, adverse event; cSSTI, complicated skin and soft-tissue infection; NA, not available; AOM, acute otitis media.

Table options

A RCT is evaluating the safety and efficacy of moxifloxacin versus ertapenem in hospitalised children with cIAIs. The study is still recruiting participants. The safety endpoints focus on cardiac and musculoskeletal AEs [66] and [67].

The remaining three studies are observational phase 4 trials, but their paediatric relevance is limited as the number of enrolled children is not reported and no paediatric subanalysis is available. The first study enrolled outpatients aged \geq 12 years under daily treatment with oral moxifloxacin for AECB. It aimed to determine the impact of AECB on the patient and the community and to evaluate the safety and efficacy of moxifloxacin in daily practice [92] and [93]. The second study evaluated patients aged \geq 10 years with cSSTIs: moxifloxacin under daily-life treatment conditions appeared effective and the incidence of AEs was low [94]. The third study evaluated the potential benefits, tolerability and safety of moxifloxacin in patients with acute bacterial sinusitis [95].

Finally, a European trial is currently evaluating the safety and efficacy of topical moxifloxacin otic solution in children with acute otitis media with otorrhoea and tympanostomy tubes [96].

PIPs for treatment of pelvic inflammatory disease in female adolescents aged from 12 years to <18 years and cIAIs aged from 3 months to <18 years have been agreed on moxifloxacin [97], [98], [99] and [100].

3.9. Retapamulin

Retapamulin is a topical antibiotic belonging to the new class of pleuromutilins. It is approved by the EMA for bacterial superficial skin infections, such as impetigo due to meticillin-susceptible S. aureus or Streptococcus pyogenes, in patients ≥9 months of age. Retapamulin inhibits bacterial protein synthesis by interacting at a site on the 50S subunit of the bacterial ribosome through a unique mechanism. Systemic exposure following topical application through intact skin is low. The most common adverse reaction is irritation at the application site [101] and [102].

Retapamulin ointment was superior to placebo in adults and children with primary impetigo (Table 10). Pruritus at the application site was the most common AE (6%) [103] and [104]. Retapamulin and sodium fusidate had comparable clinical and bacteriological efficacy in the treatment of impetigo in adults and children aged ≥9 months; both drugs were well tolerated [105], [106] and [107]. The pharmacokinetics of retapamulin has been evaluated in 86 children (2−24 months) with secondarily infected traumatic lesions, dermatoses or impetigo. The study has been completed but the results have not been published [108] and [109]. A pharmacovigilance study will monitor the prescriptions of retapamulin across the EU in infants <9 months of age [110].

Table 10.

European clinical trials on topical retapamulin involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primary endpoin t	Second ary endpoi nt(s)	Main exclusi on criteria	Com parat or	Retap amuli n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Result s
NCT0013384 8[103] and [1 04]	RCT, DB, phase 3	Asia, Eur ope, Cent ral and Sout h Am eric a	210	≥9 mo nth s	Prim ary impet igo	Clinical respons e at EOT	Clinica 1 respons e at FU; impetig o lesion area at EOT and FU	System ic infection; serious or lifethreate ning underly ing disease s	Place bo oint ment	1% ointm ent BID for 5 days	Com plete d	Succes s rate: 85.6% retapa mulin, 52.1% placeb o
NCT0013387 4, EudraCT 2004-004439- 70 [105], [106] and [107]	RCT, SB, phase 3	Can ada, Sout h Am eric a, Eur ope, Asia	520	≥9 mo nth s	Impe	Clinical respons e (no need for addition al antibioti c treatme	Clinica 1 respons e at FU; microb iologic al respons e at	System ic infection; serious or lifethreate ning underly	2% sodiu m fusid ate oint ment TID	1% ointm ent BID for 5 days	Com plete d	Comp arable clinica 1 efficac y (PPP: retapa mulin 99.1%

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primary endpoin t	Second ary endpoi nt(s)	Main exclusi on criteria	Com parat or	Retap amuli n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Result s
		, Sout h Afri ca				nt) at EOT	EOT and FU; extent of impetig o lesion area at EOT and FU	ing disease	for 7 days			vs. compa rator 94.0%; ITT popula tion: 94.8% retapa mulin vs. 90.1% compa rator). Simila r bacteri ologic al efficac y

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primary endpoin t	Second ary endpoint(s)	Main exclusi on criteria	Com parat or	Retap amuli n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Result s
NCT0055506 1, EudraCT 2006-003374- 10 [108] and [109]	Non-randomi sed, OL, phase 4	Sout h Am eric a, Eur ope, Cent ral Am eric a, Sout h Afri ca, US A	87 (1 pt did not recei ve study medi catio n)	2- 24 mo nth s	Seco ndari ly infect ed trau matic lesio ns and derm atose s; impet igo	Pharma cokineti cs of retapam ulin	Age-stratifie d clinical success at FU; bacteri ologica l respons e at FU by baselin e pathog en; safety of retapa mulin	Hypers ensitivi ty to pleuro mutilin or compo nents of the ointme nt; premat urity; animal/human bites, punctur e wounds or abscess es;	None	1% ointm ent BID for 5 days	Com plete d	NA

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	atio No. Ag i			Primary endpoin t	Second ary endpoi nt(s)	Main exclusi on criteria	Com parat or	Retap amuli n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Result s
								chronic ulcerati ve lesions; systemi c infectio n; need for surgical interve ntion; prior systemi c antibiot ics; systemi c corticos teroid				

Study no.	Study type	Loc atio ns	No. of pts	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primary endpoin t	Second ary endpoi nt(s)	Main exclusi on criteria	Com parat or	Retap amuli n dose/d uratio n	Statu s	Result s
								treatme nt				
NCT0115382 8[110]	Observational, pharmac ovigilan ce study	Europe	NA	Any	Impe tigo, secon daril y infect ed trau matic lesio ns	Age- stratifie d monitor ing of prescrib ed use of retapam ulin	Co- prescri ption of retapa mulin and topical mupiro cin; co- prescri ption of retapa mulin and topical fusidic acid	Enrolm ent in the General Practic e Researc h Databa se of <1 month duratio n	None	NA	Ong oing, but not recruiting	NA

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; DB, double blind; EOT, end of treatment; FU, follow-up; BID, twice daily; SB, single blind; TID, three times daily; PPP, per-protocol population; ITT, intention-to-treat, OL, open label; NA, not available.

Table options

No PIP is available on retapamulin.

3.10. Telithromycin

Telithromycin is a semisynthetic erythromycin derivative and the first member of the ketolides. It was approved in Europe in 2001 and in the USA in 2004. It acts through inhibition of bacterial protein synthesis through binding to the bacterial ribosome with higher affinity than erythromycin [111] and [112]. Following oral administration, telithromycin is rapidly absorbed and diffused into tissues and phagocytes. Telithromycin is used to treat respiratory infections as it is effective against macrolideresistant S. pneumoniae [113]. In Europe it is marketed for the treatment of adults with mild-to-moderate CAP, AECB, acute sinusitis and tonsillitis/pharyngitis caused by Group A β -haemolytic streptococci (GAS). It is also licensed at 25 mg/kg once daily in children aged 12–18 years with GAS tonsillitis/pharyngitis when β -lactams are not appropriate [114].

The most common side effects are gastrointestinal symptoms. Prolonged QTc intervals may also be observed. Recently, serious safety concerns have been raised regarding risks for severe liver toxicity, visual disturbances, transient loss of consciousness and exacerbations of myasthenia gravis: indeed, part of the telithromycin molecule acts as an antagonist of cholinergic receptors of muscles, eye and liver. For safety reasons, in 2007 the FDA revised the labelling removing two indications (acute bacterial sinusitis and AECB), whilst the EMA has recommended to restrict the use of telithromycin to the treatment of bronchitis, sinusitis and tonsillitis/pharyngitis caused by bacterial strains that are resistant to or cannot be treated with β -lactams or macrolides [115] and [116]. Following the benefit/risk evaluation by the CHMP, the marketing authorisation holder decided to stop the paediatric development programme [117]. Therefore, three studies investigating infants and children with acute otitis media were terminated in Europe (Table 11) and no PIP has been issued [118], [119] and [120].

Table 11.

European clinical trials on telithromycin involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	N o. of pt s,	Age	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoin t	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Exclusion criteria	Compar ator(s)	Telithro mycin dose/dur ation	Comme nts
NCT001748 11[118]	RC T, DB , pha se 3	South Amer ica, Europ e, Asia, USA	6 3 9	6–59 mon ths	AOM	Clinical cure at EOT and TOC visit	Time to symptom resolution; clinical cure by causative pathogen; bacteriolo gical eradicatio n at comparati ve safety; PK substudy; health resource utilisation and impact on usual activities	Uncertain AOM not needing antibiotics; otorrhoea or tympanosto my tubes; congenital abnormaliti es; congenital prolonged QT syndrome, treatment with agents interfering with QT prolongatio n; uncorrected hypokalae	Cefuroxi me 15 mg/k g BID for 10 days (PO)	25 mg/k g OD for 5–10 days (PO)	Study was terminat ed before enrolme nt was complet ed (no definite conclusi ons on efficacy availabl e). Cure rate: telithro mycin 90.0%, cefuroxi me 92.7%

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	N o. of pt s,	Age	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoin t	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Exclusion criteria	Compar ator(s)	Telithro mycin dose/dur ation	Comme nts
								mia, hypomagne saemia, bradycardia ; myasthenia gravis; known impaired renal function			
NCT003150 03[119]	RC T, DB , pha se 3	South Amer ica, Europ e, Israel, USA	3 2 1	6 mon ths to 6 year s	Suppur ative AOM	Time to sympto m resoluti on and clinical cure at the TOC visit	Safety	Mild-to-moderate symptoms and signs of AOM; otorrhoea or tympanosto my tube; congenital long QT syndrome;	Azithro mycin oral suspensi on 10 mg/k g OD on Day 1, followed by 5 mg/kg OD on	25 mg/k g OD for 5 days (PO)	Because of early terminat ion of the study and limited data, no definite efficacy conclusi

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	N o. of pt s,	Age	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoin t	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Exclusion criteria	Compar ator(s)	Telithro mycin dose/dur ation	Comme nts
								known or suspected uncorrected hypokalae mia, hypomagne saemia, bradycardia; myasthenia gravis; known impaired renal function; congenital abnormalities; immunodeficiency; hypersensitivity to macrolides	Days 2–5, max. 500 mg on Day 1 and 250 mg/day from Days 2–5		ons can be drawn. Clinical cure rate: telithro mycin 78.5%, azithro mycin 82.7%. Median time to sympto m resolutio n (ITT populati on): 3.0 days telithro mycin;

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	N o. of pt s,	Age	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoin t	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Exclusion criteria	Compar ator(s)	Telithro mycin dose/dur ation	Comme nts
											2.75 days azithro mycin
EudraCT: 2004- 000738- 34 [120]	RC T, DB	Europ e and non- EU states	9 0 0	6–59 mon ths	AOM	Non- inferiori ty of telithro mycin vs. cefuroxi me axetil	Comparat ive efficacy; safety of telithromy cin; prevalenc e of nasophary ngeal carriage of Strepto coccus pneumoni ae; plasma telithromy cin concentrat	Otorrhoea or tympanosto my tube; otitis externa; chronic diseases; congenital prolonged QT syndrome; myasthenia gravis; impaired renal function, hypokalae mia,	Cefuroxi me axetil 15 mg/k g BID for 10 days	25 mg/k g OD for 5–10 days	Prematu rely ended

Study no.	Stu dy typ e	Locat	N o. of pt s,	Age	Type of infecti on	Primary endpoin t	Secondar y endpoint(s)	Exclusion criteria	Compar ator(s)	Telithro mycin dose/dur ation	Comme nts
							ions in a subset of subjects; health resource utilisation and impact on usual activities of parents/le gally authorised representa tives	hypomagne saemia, bradycardia			

pts, patients; RCT, randomised controlled trial; DB, double blind; AOM, acute otitis media; EOT, end of treatment; TOC, test of cure; PK, pharmacokinetic; BID, twice daily; PO, per os; OD, once daily; ITT, intention-to-treat; EU, European Union.

Table options

3.11. Tigecycline

Tigecycline is the first member of the glycylcyclines. It is structurally similar to tetracyclines and acts as a protein synthesis inhibitor by binding to the 30S ribosomal subunit of bacteria [121] and [122]. Its bacteriostatic activity is maintained in the presence of several resistance mechanisms that affect other antibiotics and it covers a variety of Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria (including MRSA, S. maltophilia, A. baumannii and multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae), anaerobes and atypical bacteria. It is not active against P. aeruginosa, Morganella or Providencia spp.[121] and [122].

Tigecycline was given a fast-track approval by the FDA for the treatment of cSSTIs, cIAIs and CAP. It was authorised in the EU in 2006 for adults with cSSTIs (excluding diabetic foot infections) and cIAIs; a variation application to include CAP in licensed indications was withdrawn in 2008.

Tigecycline has similar side effects to the tetracyclines, such as diarrhoea, nausea and vomiting. It may also cause photosensitivity, pseudotumour cerebri and pancreatitis [121], [122] and [123]. Its use is to be avoided in young children and during pregnancy owing to its effects on teeth and bone. Recently, a warning regarding increased mortality risk associated with tigecycline use has been issued [124] and [125]. A recent meta-analysis of RCTs of tigecycline documented that septic shock and superinfections were significantly more frequent in patients treated with tigecycline than with comparators. The CHMP has recommended to restrict tigecycline use to only when other suitable alternatives are not available and to include new warnings to inform prescribers of the higher mortality recorded in tigecycline trials [126].

Two paediatric studies on tigecycline have been conducted in Europe (Table 12). A multicentre study investigated emergency use of the drug in adults and children aged ≥8 years infected by resistant bacteria where other treatments had not been successful. The study has been completed but results for the paediatric cohort are not available [127]. A phase 2 study evaluated the PK profile of multiple doses of tigecycline in children aged 8–11 years with cIAIs, cSSTIs or CAP to identify an appropriate dose regimen (0.75, 1 or 1.25 mg/kg q12h) and to assess the safety profile and efficacy of tigecycline [128] and [129]. The ca. 1.2 mg/kg q12h dosage appeared the most appropriate, to be tested in phase 3 clinical trials. In this cohort, nausea was the most frequent AE and the overall clinical cure rate was 94%.

Table 12.

European clinical trials on tigecycline involving paediatric patients.

Study no.	Study type	Locat	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primar y endpoi nt	Secon dary endpo int(s)	Exclusion criteria	Comp	Tigecyc line dose/du ration	Results
NCT00205816[1 27]	Non-random ised, uncontrolled, OL, phase 3	Euro pe, USA	2 7	≥8 ye ars	Bacte rial infect ions due to resist ant patho gens	Mecha nism for the emerg ency use of tigecy cline in clinica 1 situati ons	Safety and effica cy	Expected survival <2 weeks; hypersensit ivity to tigecycline, tetracyclin es or related compound s; pregnancy or breastfeeding	None	Tigecyc line, 100 mg i.v. followe d by 50 mg q12h or q24h for 5–90 days	NA for the paediatric cohort
NCT00488345[1 28] and [129]	Rando mised, OL, phase 2	Euro pe, South Afric a,	5 9	8– 11 ye ars	cIAI, cSST I, CAP	PK proper ties of ascend ing doses	Popul ation PK model , safety,	Endocardit is; artificial heart valve or infected device; hypersensit	NA	Tigecyc line 0.75, 1 or 1.25 m g/kg	Mean PK values: Cm ax, 1899 ng/m L; Tmax, 0.56 h; between-

Study no.	Study type	Locat	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primar y endpoi nt	Secon dary endpo int(s)	Exclusion criteria	Comp	Tigecyc line dose/du ration	Results
		Asia, USA					effica cy	ivity to tigecycline or other related compound s; immunosu ppressed patients; serious infections (e.g. necrotising fasciitis, gangrene, empyema, abscess, etc.); critical conditions (sepsis and septic shock)		i.v. for ≥5 days	dose AUC, 2833 ng h/ mL; weight-normalised clearance, 0.503 L/h/k g; and Vd,ss, 4.88 L/kg. Overall clinical cure rates at TOC: 94%, 76% and 75% in the 0.75, 1 and 1.25 mg/kg cohorts, respectivel y. Nausea in

Study no.	Study type	Locat	N o. of pt s	Ag e	Type of infect ion	Primar y endpoi nt	Secon dary endpo int(s)	Exclusion criteria	Comp	Tigecyc line dose/du ration	Results
											50% of patients. PD simulations predicted that a dosage of ca. 1.2 mg/kg q12h would lead to therapeutic target attainment levels of up to 82% for the target AUC0–24/MIC ratios

pts, patients; OL, open label; i.v., intravenous; q12h, every 12 h; q24h, every 24 h; NA, not available; cIAI, complicated intraabdominal infection; cSSTI, complicated skin and soft-tissue infection; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; PK, pharmacokinetic; Cmax, peak concentration of drug; Tmax, time at which Cmax is observed; AUC, area under the concentration—time curve; Vd,ss, volume of distribution at steady state; TOC, test of cure; PD, pharmacodynamic; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

Table options

A PIP has been agreed for treatment of cSSTIs and cIAIs in children aged 8–18 years [130].

4. Discussion

The increasing problem of multidrug-resistant bacteria will encourage the development and hopefully subsequent approval of new antibiotics [2]. The need for targeted studies on antibiotics in children has been recognised as a matter of concern by the regulatory authorities. However, despite the recent efforts in implementing paediatric trials, the number of studies that have been or are being conducted in children in this area still remains strikingly low, especially in Europe. For all the different possible indications for the 11 antibiotics newly approved by the EMA (of which only 2 have paediatric labelling), 31 clinical trials enrolling children in Europe were identified. However, many of these trials were mainly focused on adults and did not provide a subset analysis for the older children recruited, limiting the relevance of their findings. Some studies have been prematurely terminated and others are active but are still not yet recruiting patients. Among paediatric-targeted studies, 18 evaluate the safety and efficacy of new compounds, 4 are PK studies, but only 2 focus on neonates, the population in which dosing is likely most different from adults or older children. We could identify only one paediatric pharmacovigilance study on antibiotics in Europe. Nearly all studies with an agreed PIP have just started or are not yet recruiting. To our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive review on clinical trials conducted in the EU on antibiotics in children. Previous reports in the USA have documented an increase in the quantity and quality of paediatric controlled trials, demonstrating a success of the FDA paediatric exclusivity programme. However, it also emerged that dissemination of trial results in the literature is limited, as barely one-half of studies were published and determined labelling changes [131], [132] and [133]. A possible bias should also be taken into account in our study: unregistered trials, as well as unpublished data retained by pharmaceutical companies, may have led to an underestimation of available results. The new interventions and legislation introduced both in the USA and Europe should further promote drug evaluation in paediatrics, but the efficacy of these processes is under review. There are a number of steps that would improve the design of new antibiotic trials in children in Europe. These include an adequate knowledge of the basic epidemiology of key clinical syndromes in children (CAP, SSTI, IAI, etc.) through prospective observational studies. Second, a closer collaboration between clinicians and the pharmaceutical industry is necessary, particularly in early study design. The EMA has created a European paediatric network of research networks, investigators and

centres with expertise in paediatric clinical studies [European Network of Paediatric Research at the European Medicines Agency (Enpr-EMA)], that supports high-quality studies in children and helps with fostering closer links between industry, the regulators, patient representatives and academic clinical trial networks [134].

The EU and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA) have launched a public—private partnership called the Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI), aimed at improving and speeding up the drug development process. Combating and treatment of infectious diseases is a key research priority of the IMI programme: in May 2012, the IMI launched its 6th Call on the theme 'Combating Antibiotic Resistance' that includes 'innovative trial design & clinical drug development' [135]. It was perhaps slightly disappointing that the call did not include any specific development programme for children, with the call being only on clinical trials for adults. One potential model could be based on the Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS (PENTA), established in 1991 as a collaboration between paediatric human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) centres in Europe, working closely with industry and the regulator to rapidly develop appropriate studies in children in parallel with adult HIV drug development programmes [136]. These studies are often a combination of PK, safety and strategic trials, rather than expensive and often not appropriate large phase 3 efficacy studies. PENTA has now become PENTA-ID, and can work with Pharma to develop new antimicrobials in Europe. This structured approach may actually speed drug development within the current regulatory framework. This level of collaboration with baseline observational studies, linked to planned interventional studies, is now required for antibiotics under early development.

Funding: IL was partly supported by the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund, the Estonian Science Foundation and target financing of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research (SF0180004s12).

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: Not required.

References

D. Weber

Collateral damage and what the future might hold. The need to balance prudent antibiotic utilization and stewardship with effective patient management

Int J Infect Dis, 10 (Suppl 2) (2005), pp. S17–S24

[2]

Wise R, Piddock LJV. The urgent need. Regenerating antibacterial drug discovery development. Report of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Initiative. http://antibiotic-action.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/ExecutivesummaryTheUrgentNeed.pdf.

[3]

J.S. Li, E.L. Eisenstein, H.G. Grabowski, E.D. Reid, B. Mangum, K.A. Schulman, et al.

Economic return of clinical trials performed under the Pediatric Exclusivity Program

JAMA, 297 (2007), pp. 480–488

[4]

A. Ceci, M. Felisi, P. Baiardi, F. Bonifazi, M. Catapano, C. Giaquinto, et al.

Medicines for children licensed by the European Medicines Agency (EMEA): the balance after 10 years

Eur J Clin Pharmacol, 62 (2006), pp. 947–952

[5]

S. Conroy, I. Choonara, P. Impicciatore, A. Mohn, H. Arnell, A. Rane, et al.

Survey of unlicensed and off label drug use in paediatric wards in European countries. European Network for Drug Investigation in Children

BMJ, 320 (2000), pp. 79–82

[6]

R. Johann-Liang, J. Wyeth, M. Chen, J.U. Cope

Pediatric drug surveillance and the Food and Drug Administration's adverse event reporting system: an overview of reports, 2003–2007

Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf, 18 (2009), pp. 24-27

[7]

European Medicines Agency. Paediatric medicine development. http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/general_general_content_000023.jsp .

European Medicines Agency. Opinions and decisions on paediatric investigation plans. http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/landing/pip_search.jsp .

[9]

ClinicalTrials.gov database. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov.

[10]

World Health Organization. International Clinical Trials Registry Platform Search Portal. http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/Default.aspx .

[11]

EudraPharm. EU Clinical Trials Register. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ [accessed 15.03.2013].

[12]

M.D. Parkins, J.S. Elborn

Aztreonam lysine: a novel inhalational antibiotic for cystic fibrosis

Expert Rev Respir Med, 4 (2010), pp. 435-444

[13]

R.L. Gibson, G.Z. Retsch-Bogart, C. Oermann, C. Milla, J. Pilewski, C. Daines, et al.

Microbiology, safety, and pharmacokinetics of aztreonam lysinate for inhalation in patients with cystic fibrosis Pediatr Pulmonol, 41 (2006), pp. 656–665

[14]

Aztreonam for inhalation solution vs tobramycin inhalation solution in patients with cystic fibrosis & Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00757237. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00757237.

[15]

B.M. Assael, T. Pressler, D. Bilton, M. Fayon, R. Fischer, R. Chiron, et al.

Inhaled aztreonam lysine vs. inhaled tobramycin in cystic fibrosis: a comparative efficacy trial

J Cyst Fibros, 12 (2013), pp. 130–140

[16]

Aztreonam Lysine for Pseudomonas Infection Eradication Study (ALPINE). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01375049. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01375049.

[17]

Safety of AZLI in children with cystic fibrosis (CF) and chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the lower airways (PALS). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01404234. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01404234.

[18]

European Medicines Agency. Ceftaroline fosamil: summary of product characteristics. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/002252/WC500132586.pdf

[19]

G.G. Zhanel, G. Sniezek, F. Schweizer, S. Zelenitsky, P.R. Lagacé-Wiens, E. Rubinstein, et al.

Ceftaroline: a novel broad-spectrum cephalosporin with activity against meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Drugs, 69 (2009), pp. 809-831

[20]

Safety and efficacy study of ceftaroline versus a comparator in pediatric subjects with community acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01530763. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01530763.

[21]

Safety and efficacy study of ceftaroline versus a comparator in pediatric subjects with complicated skin infections. ClinicalTrials.gov/ldentifier: NCT01400867. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01400867.

[22]

European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency decision on EMEA-000769-PIP01-09-M02. http://www.emea.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/PIP_decision/WC500127747.pdf.

[23]

C. Vilhena, A. Bettencourt

Daptomycin: a review of properties, clinical use, drug delivery and resistance

Mini Rev Med Chem, 12 (2012), pp. 202–209

[24]

C. Kosmidis, D.P. Levine

Daptomycin: pharmacology and clinical use

Expert Opin Pharmacother, 11 (2010), pp. 615–625

[25]

G. Wu, T. Abraham, J. Rapp, F. Vastey, N. Saad, E. Balmir

Daptomycin: evaluation of a high-dose treatment strategy

Int J Antimicrob Agents, 38 (2011), pp. 192–196

[26]

P.A. Moise, E. Hershberger, M.I. Amodio-Groton, K.C. Lamp

Safety and clinical outcomes when utilizing high-dose (≥8 mg/kg) daptomycin therapy

Ann Pharmacother, 43 (2009), pp. 1211–1219

[27]

S.M. Abdel-Rahman, G. Chandorkar, R.L. Akins, J.S. Bradley, R.F. Jacobs, J. Donovan, et al.

Single-dose pharmacokinetics and tolerability of daptomycin 8 to 10 mg/kg in children aged 2 to 6 years with suspected or proved Gram-positive infections

Pediatr Infect Dis J, 30 (2011), pp. 712–714

[28]

M.J. Rybak

The efficacy and safety of daptomycin: first in a new class of antibiotics for Gram-positive bacteria Clin Microbiol Infect, 12 (Suppl 1) (2006), pp. 24–32

[29]

European Medicines Agency. Cubicin. Procedural steps taken and scientific information after the authorization. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Procedural_steps_taken_and_scientific_information_after_authorisation/human/000637/WC500036052.pdf .

[30]

M. Cohen-Wolkowiez, K.M. Watt, C.P. Hornik, D.K. Benjamin Jr., P.B. Smith Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of single-dose daptomycin in young infants Pediatr Infect Dis J, 31 (2012), pp. 935–937

[31]

S.M. Abdel-Rahman, D.P. Benziger, R.F. Jacobs, H.S. Jafri, E.F. Hong, G.L. Kearns Single-dose pharmacokinetics of daptomycin in children with suspected or proved Gram-positive infections Pediatr Infect Dis J, 27 (2008), pp. 330–334 G. Erturan, H. Holme, R. Smith, R. Dodds, S. Iyer

Successful use of daptomycin in Panton–Valentine leucocidin positive Staphylococcus aureuspaediatric osteomyelitis Int J Surg Case Rep, 3 (2012), pp. 238–241

[33]

C. Antachopoulos, E. Iosifidis, K. Sarafidis, F. Bazoti, E. Gikas, A. Katragkou, et al.

Serum levels of daptomycin in pediatric patients

Infection, 40 (2012), pp. 367–371

[34]

L.M. Jacobson, A.M. Milstone, J. Zenilman, K.C. Carroll, R. Arav-Boger

Daptomycin therapy failure in an adolescent with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureusbacteremia

Pediatr Infect Dis J, 28 (2009), pp. 445–447

[35]

C.A. Beneri, D.P. Nicolau, H.S. Seiden, L.G. Rubin

Successful treatment of a neonate with persistent vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bacteremia with a daptomycin-containing regimen

Infect Drug Resist, 1 (2008), pp. 9–11

[36]

C. Liu, A. Bayer, S.E. Cosgrove, S.K. Fridkin, R.J. Gorwitz, S.L. Kaplan, et al.

Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children

Clin Infect Dis, 52 (2011), pp. 285–292

[37]

Daptomycin in pediatric patients with bacterial meningitis. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01522105. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01522105.

[38]

A comparative evaluation of the safety and efficacy of daptomycin versus standard of care in pediatric subjects two—seventeen years of age with bacteremia caused by Staphylococcus aureus. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01728376. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01728376.

[39]

M.I. Ardura, A. Mejías, K.S. Katz, P. Revell, G.H. McCracken Jr., P.J. Sánchez

Daptomycin therapy for invasive Gram-positive bacterial infections in children Pediatr Infect Dis J, 26 (2007), pp. 1128–1132

[40]

S.J. Matthews, J.W. Lancaster

Doripenem monohydrate, a broad-spectrum carbapenem antibiotic

Clin Ther, 31 (2009), pp. 42–63

[41]

G.D. Overturf

Doripenem: an early look at a carbapenem not yet approved for pediatrics

Pediatr Infect Dis J, 29 (2010), pp. 163–165

[42]

S.J. Keam

Doripenem: a review of its use in the treatment of bacterial infections

Drugs, 68 (2008), pp. 2021–2057

[43]

E.B. Chahine, M.J. Ferrill, M.N. Poulakos

Doripenem: a new carbapenem antibiotic

Am J Health Syst Pharm, 67 (2010), pp. 2015–2024

[44]

R. Redman, T.M. File Jr.

Safety of intravenous infusion of doripenem

Clin Infect Dis, 49 (Suppl 1) (2009), pp. S28–S35

[45]

A. Réa-Neto, M. Niederman, S.M. Lobo, E. Schroeder, M. Lee, K. Kaniga, et al.

Efficacy and safety of doripenem versus piperacillin/tazobactam in nosocomial pneumonia: a randomized, open-label, multicenter study

Curr Med Res Opin, 24 (2008), pp. 2113–2126

[46]

C. Lucasti, A. Jasovich, O. Umeh, J. Jiang, K. Kaniga, I. Friedland

Efficacy and tolerability of IV doripenem versus meropenem in adults with complicated intra-abdominal infection: a phase III, prospective, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, noninferiority study

Clin Ther, 30 (2008), pp. 868–883

[47]

J. Chastre, R. Wunderink, P. Prokocimer, M. Lee, K. Kaniga, I. Friedland

Efficacy and safety of intravenous infusion of doripenem versus imipenem in ventilator-associated pneumonia: a multicenter, randomized study

Crit Care Med, 36 (2008), pp. 1089–1096

[48]

M.H. Kollef, J. Chastre, M. Clavel, M.I. Restrepo, B. Michiels, K. Kaniga, et al.

A randomized trial of 7-day doripenem versus 10-day imipenem—cilastatin for ventilator-associated pneumonia Crit Care, 16 (2012), p. R218

[49]

European Medicines Agency. Questions and answers on the review of Doribax (doripenem). Outcome of a procedure under Article 20 of Regulation (EC) No

726/2004. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Medicine_QA/2012/06/WC500129084.pdf.

[50]

A study of doripenem in infants less than 12 weeks of age. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01381848. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01381848 .

[51]

An open-label study to evaluate the single-dose pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of doripenem in infants (term and preterm), less than 12 weeks chronological age. EudraCT Number 2009-014387-20. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-014387-20/GB or/BE.

[52]

A safety and tolerability study of doripenem compared with cefepime in hospitalized children with bacterial pneumonia. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01110421. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01110421.

[53]

A prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study to establish the safety and tolerability of doripenem compared with cefepime in hospitalized children with bacterial pneumonia. EudraCT Number: 2009-016069-27. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-016069-27/LT or/LV.

[54]

A safety and tolerability study of doripenem compared with cefepime in children hospitalized with complicated urinary tract infections. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01110408. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01110408.

[55]

A prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study to establish the safety and tolerability of doripenem compared with cefepime in hospitalized children with complicated urinary tract infections. EudraCT Number: 2009-015953-18. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-015953-18/DE or/LT or/LV

[56]

A safety and tolerability study of doripenem compared with meropenem in children hospitalized with complicated intraabdominal infections. ClinicalTrials.gov/Identifier: NCT01110382. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01110382

[57]

A prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study to establish the safety and tolerability of doripenem compared with meropenem in hospitalized children with complicated intra-abdominal infections. EudraCT Number: 2009-015864-32. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-015864-32/LT or/LV

[58]

A study of doripenem in cerebrospinal fluid after doripenem administration in pediatric patients less than 1 year of age. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01366651. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01366651

[59]

European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency decision on EMEA-000015-PIP01-07-M04. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/PIP_decision/WC500127740.pdf

[60]

B.L. Congeni

Ertapenem

Expert Opin Pharmacother, 11 (2010), pp. 669–672

[61]

G.G. Zhanel, R. Wiebe, L. Dilay, K. Thomson, E. Rubinstein, D.J. Hoban, et al.

Comparative review of the carbapenems

Drugs, 67 (2007), pp. 1027–1052

[62]

Merck Sharp & Dohme. Invanz (ertapenem). Highlights of prescribing information. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/021337s038lbl.pdf

[63]

H. Teppler, R.M. Gesser, I.R. Friedland, G.L. Woods, A. Meibohm, G. Herman, et al.

Safety and tolerability of ertapenem

J Antimicrob Chemother, 53 (Suppl 2) (2004), pp. ii75–ii81

[64]

A. Arguedas, J. Cespedes, F.A. Botet, J. Blumer, R. Yogev, R. Gesser, et al.

Safety and tolerability of ertapenem versus ceftriaxone in a double-blind study performed in children with complicated urinary tract infection, community-acquired pneumonia or skin and soft-tissue infection

Int J Antimicrob Agents, 33 (2009), pp. 163–167

[65]

Ertapenem study in pediatric patients who have urinary tract infections, skin infections or community-acquired pneumonia. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00451386. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00451386

[66]

Moxifloxacin in pediatric subjects with complicated intra-abdominal infection (MOXIPEDIA). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01069900. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01069900

[67]

A randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of sequential (intravenous, oral) moxifloxacin versus comparator in pediatric subjects with complicated intraabdominal infection. EudraCT Number: 2009-015578-37. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-015578-37/DE or/LV or/LT or/CZ or/BE or/HU or/BG or/GB

[68]

D.P. Nicolau, Y. Carmeli, C.W. Crank, D.A. Goff, C.J. Graber, A.L. Lima, et al.

Carbapenem stewardship: does ertapenem affect Pseudomonas susceptibility to other carbapenems? A review of the evidence Int J Antimicrob Agents, 39 (2012), pp. 11–15

[69]

Y. Golan, L. Epstein

Safety and efficacy of fidaxomicin in the treatment of Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea

Therap Adv Gastroenterol, 5 (2012), pp. 395–402

[70]

T.J. Louie, J. Emery, W. Krulicki, B. Byrne, M. Mah

OPT-80 eliminates Clostridium difficile and is sparing of Bacteroides species during treatment of C. difficile infection Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 53 (2009), pp. 261–263

[71]

O.A. Cornely, D.W. Crook, R. Esposito, A. Poirier, M.S. Somero, K. Weiss, et al.

Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for infection with Clostridium difficile in Europe, Canada, and the USA: a double-blind, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial

Lancet Infect Dis, 12 (2012), pp. 281–289

[72]

Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of fidaxomicin in pediatric subjects with Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea (CDAD). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01591863. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01591863

To determine the feasibility of a fidaxomicin study in neonates and to assess C. difficile (Clostridium difficile) involvement in the pathogenesis (DAISY). ClinicalTrials.gov

Identifier: NCT01533844. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01533844

[74]

European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency decision on EMEA-000636-PIP 01-09. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/PIP_decision/WC500094836.pdf

[75]

I.M. Gould

Clinical activity of anti-Gram-positive agents against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

J Antimicrob Chemother, 66 (Suppl 4) (2011), pp. iv17-iv21

[76]

A. Porta, S. Esposito, E. Menson, N. Spyridis, M. Tsolia, M. Sharland, et al.

Off-label antibiotic use in children in three European countries

Eur J Clin Pharmacol, 66 (2010), pp. 919–927

[77]

S. Garazzino, P.A. Tovo

Clinical experience with linezolid in infants and children

J Antimicrob Chemother, 66 (Suppl 4) (2011), pp. iv23-iv41

[78]

S. Kocher, W. Müller, B. Resch

Linezolid treatment of nosocomial bacterial infection with multiresistant Gram-positive pathogens in preterm infants: a systematic review

Int J Antimicrob Agents, 36 (2010), pp. 106–110

[79]

G. Sotgiu, R. Centis, L. D'Ambrosio, J.W. Alffenaar, H.A. Anger, J.A. Caminero, et al.

Efficacy, safety and tolerability of linezolid containing regimens in treating MDR-TB and XDR-TB: systematic review and meta-analysis

Eur Respir J, 40 (2012), pp. 1430–1442

[80]

New antibiotic to treat patients with community-acquired pneumonia due to a specific bacteria (S. pneumoniae Pneumonia). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00035269. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00035269

[81]

M.H. Wilcox, K.J. Tack, E. Bouza, D.L. Herr, B.R. Ruf, M.M. Ijzerman, et al.

Complicated skin and skin-structure infections and catheter-related bloodstream infections: noninferiority of linezolid in a phase 3 study

Clin Infect Dis, 48 (2009), pp. 203–212

[82]

Antibiotic treatment for infections of short term in-dwelling vascular catheters due to gram positive bacteria. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00037050. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00037050

[83]

Linezolid vs. vancomycin/oxacillin/dicloxacillin in the treatment of catheter-related gram positive bloodstream infections. EudraCT Number: 2004-001511-54. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2004-001511-54/IE

[84]

Treatment of neutropenic patients with fever who are suspected to have a gram positive infection. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00035425. Data on file. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00035425

[85]

Rescue therapy for indwelling central venous Hickmann–Broviac catheter related infections with antibiotic lock technique. EudraCT Number: 2006-000595-32. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2006-000595-32/IT

[86]

Rescue therapy of tunnel/pocket infections of Hickman–Broviac/Port indwelling central venous catheters with antibiotic continuous infusion. EudraCT number 2006-002146-10. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2006-002146-10/IT

[87]

C.H. Nightingale

Moxifloxacin, a new antibiotic designed to treat community-acquired respiratory tract infections: a review of microbiologic and pharmacokinetic—pharmacodynamic characteristics

Pharmacotherapy, 20 (2000), pp. 245-256

[88]

European Medicines Agency. European Medicines Agency recommends restricting the use of oral moxifloxacin-containing medicines.

[89]

P.M. Tulkens, P. Arvis, F. Kruesmann

Moxifloxacin safety: an analysis of 14 years of clinical data

Drugs R D, 12 (2012), pp. 71–100

[90]

World Health Organization. Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Emergency update 2008. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2008.

WHO/HTM/TB/2008.402. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241547581_eng.pdf

[91]

Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of single dose intravenous moxifloxacin in pediatric patients. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01049022. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01049022 ì

[92]

Greatest International Antiinfective Trial with Avelox (GIANT). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00932802. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00932802

[93]

M. Miravitlles, A. Anzueto, S. Ewig, D. Legnani, K. Stauch

Characterization of exacerbations of chronic bronchitis and COPD in Europe: the GIANT study

Ther Adv Respir Dis, 3 (2009), pp. 267–277

[94]

Avelox in complicated skin and skin structure infections (ARTOS). ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00997997. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00997997

[95]

Treatment of patients with acute sinusitis. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00930488. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00930488

[96]

Safety and efficacy evaluation of topical moxidex otic solution in the treatment of acute otitis media with otorrhea in tympanostomy tubes. EudraCT Number: 2009-017319-13. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2009-017319-13/BE or/DK

[97]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA-000492-PIP01-08-

 $M01. \ http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/pips/EMEA-000492-PIP01-08-M01/pip_000208.jsp\&mid=WC0b01ac058001d129$

[98]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA-000493-PIP01-08-

M01. http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/pips/EMEA-000493-PIP01-08-M01/pip_000207.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d129

[99]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA-000288-PIP01-08-

M02. http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/pips/EMEA-000288-PIP01-08-M02/pip_000213.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d129

[100]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA-000491-PIP01-08-

 $M01. \ http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/pips/EMEA-000491-PIP01-08-M01/pip_000211.jsp\&mid=WC0b01ac058001d129$

[101]

L.P. Yang, S.J. Keam

Retapamulin: a review of its use in the management of impetigo and other uncomplicated superficial skin infections

Drugs, 68 (2008), pp. 855-873

[102]

R. Novak, D.M. Shlaes

The pleuromutilin antibiotics: a new class for human use

Curr Opin Investig Drugs, 11 (2010), pp. 182–191

[103]

Treatment of impetigo with topical SB-275833 ointment, 1% versus topical placebo ointment. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00133848. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00133848

[104]

S. Koning, J.C. van der Wouden, O. Chosidow, M. Twynholm, K.P. Singh, N. Scangarella, et al.

Efficacy and safety of retapamulin ointment as treatment of impetigo: randomized double-blind multicentre placebo-controlled trial

Br J Dermatol, 158 (2008), pp. 1077–1082

[105]

Topical SB-275833 ointment, 1% for the treatment of impetigo. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00133874. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00133874.

[106]

A.P. Oranje, O. Chosidow, S. Sacchidanand, G. Todd, K. Singh, N. Scangarella, et al.

Topical retapamulin ointment, 1%, versus sodium fusidate ointment, 2%, for impetigo: a randomized, observer-blinded, noninferiority study

Dermatology, 215 (2007), pp. 331–340

[107]

A randomised, observer-blind, multicentre, non-inferiority, comparative study of the safety and efficacy of topical 1% SB-275833 ointment, applied twice daily for 5 days, versus topical 2% sodium fusidate ointment applied three times daily for 7 days in the treatment of adult and paediatric subjects with impetigo. EudraCT Number: 2004-004439-70. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2004-004439-70/DE

[108]

Pharmacokinetics of retapamulin in pediatric subjects with uncomplicated skin infections. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00555061. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00555061

[109]

An open-label, non-comparative study to assess the pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of topical retapamulin (SB-275833) ointment, 1%, twice daily for five days in the treatment of uncomplicated skin and skin structure infections in pediatric subjects aged 2 to 24 months. EudraCT Number: 2006-003374-10.

[110]

EU PV for Retapamulin-Prescribing. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01153828. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01153828

[111]

M. Nguyen, E.P. Chung

Telithromycin: the first ketolide antimicrobial

Clin Ther, 27 (2005), pp. 1144–1163

[112]

M. Zeitlinger, C.C. Wagner, B. Heinisch

Ketolides—the modern relatives of macrolides: the pharmacokinetic perspective

Clin Pharmacokinet, 48 (2009), pp. 23–38

[113]

C. Carbon, D. van Rensburg, L. Hagberg, C. Fogarty, G. Tellier, M. Rangaraju, et al.

Clinical and bacteriologic efficacy of telithromycin in patients with bacteremic community-acquired pneumonia

Respir Med, 100 (2006), pp. 577–585

[114]

European Medicines Agency. Ketek (telithromycin) product information. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR___Scientific_Discussion/human/000354/WC500041893.pdf

[115]

US Food and Drug Administration. Ketek (telithromycin) product information. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2007/021144s012lbl.pdf

[116]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA recommends restricted use and strengthened warnings for Ketek (telithromycin). http://www.ukmicentral.nhs.uk/headline/database/story.asp?offset=610&NewsID=6009

[117]

European Medicines Agency, Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use. CHMP assessment report for paediatric use studies submitted according to Article 45 of the Regulation (EC) No 1901/2006. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Assessment_Report_-_Variation/human/000354/WC500130967.pdf

[118]

Comparative study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of telithromycin given once daily versus cefuroxime axetil given twice daily in children with middle ear infections. ClinicalTrials.gov

Identifier: NCT00174811. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00174811

[119]

TELI COM. Telithromycin in children with otitis media. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00315003. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00315003

[120]

Multinational, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, comparative study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of telithromycin 25 mg/kg given once daily for 5 or 10 days depending on age and previous treatment history versus cefuroxime axetil 15 mg/kg, given twice daily for 10 days, in children with acute otitis media. EudraCT Number: 2004-000738-34. https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search/trial/2004-000738-34/DE

[121]

C.M. Slover, K.A. Rodvold, L.H. Danziger

Tigecycline: a novel broad-spectrum antimicrobial

Ann Pharmacother, 41 (2007), pp. 965–972

[122]

T.L. Doan, H.B. Fung, D. Mehta, P.F. Riska

Tigecycline: a glycylcycline antimicrobial agent

Clin Ther, 28 (2006), pp. 1079–1106

[123]

S. Prot-Labarthe, R. Youdaren, M. Benkerrou, R. Basmaci, M. Lorrot

Pediatric acute pancreatitis related to tigecycline

Pediatr Infect Dis J, 29 (2010), pp. 890–891

[124]

US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: Increased risk of death with Tygacil (tigecycline) compared to other antibiotics used to treat similar infections. http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm224370.htm

[125]

European Medicines Agency. Tygacil (tigecycline) CHMP renewal assessment report. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Assessment_Report_-_Variation/human/000644/WC500107432.pdf

[126]

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc. Tygacil (tigecycline) highlights of prescribing information. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/021821s027lbl.pdf

[127]

Study Evaluating Emergency-use Tigecycline in Subjects with Resistant Pathogens. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00205816. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00205816].

[128]

Study Evaluating the Pharmacokinetics (PK), Safety, and Tolerability of Tigecycline in Patients 8 to 11 Years of Age. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00488345. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00488345

[129]

J. Purdy, S. Jouve, J.L. Yan, I. Balter, N. Dartois, C.A. Cooper, et al.

Pharmacokinetics and safety profile of tigecycline in children aged 8 to 11 years with selected serious infections: a multicenter, open-label, ascending-dose study

Clin Ther, 34 (2012), pp. 496–507

[130]

European Medicines Agency. EMEA-000120-PIP01-07-M0. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/PIP_decision/WC500005578.pdf .

[131]

D.K. Benjamin Jr., P.B. Smith, M.D. Murphy, R. Roberts, L. Mathis, D. Avant, et al.

Peer-reviewed publication of clinical trials completed for pediatric exclusivity

JAMA, 296 (2006), pp. 1266-1273

[132]

D. Thomson, L. Hartling, E. Cohen, B. Vandermeer, L. Tjosvold, T.P. Klassen

Controlled trials in children: quantity, methodological quality and descriptive characteristics of pediatric controlled trials published 1948–2006

PLoS One, 5 (2010), p. e13106

[133]

N. Freemantle, M. Calvert

What can we learn from observational studies of oseltamivir to treat influenza in healthy adults?

BMJ, 339 (2009), p. b5248

European Network of Paediatric Research at the European Medicines Agency (Enpr-EMA). http://www.emea.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/partners_and_networks/general/general_content_000303.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac05801df74a.

[135]

Innovative Medicines Initiative. IMI 6th Call 2012. Combating Antibiotic Resistance. http://www.imi.europa.eu/content/6th-call-2012 .

[136]

Paediatric European Network for Treatment of AIDS. http://www.pentatrials.org .