

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Archaeological Science
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number:

Title: PRE-ROMAN GLASS FROM MOZIA (SICILY-ITALY): THE FIRST ARCHAEOMETRICAL DATA

Article Type: Full Length Article

Keywords: Iron Age, glass, Phoenician-Punic, Mediterranean Group EMPA, XRD

Corresponding Author: Dr Rossella Arletti,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Turin

First Author: Rossella Arletti

Order of Authors: Rossella Arletti; Daniela Ferrari; Giovanna Vezzalini

Manuscript Region of Origin: ITALY

Abstract: This study present the results of an archaeometrical investigation performed on a series of opaque pre-roman glass (vessels and ornaments) dated from the 6th to 4th century BC coming from Sicily. Sixteen core formed vessels, twelve beads, three pendant and one spindle -whorl recovered in the Phoenician-Punic Mozia and Birgi's sites were analyzed thought a micro destructive approach. The complete chemical analyses and X-Ray diffraction analyses were performed on small fragments of glass. The aims of this work are: 1) to obtain a chemical characterization of these samples in order to understand the raw materials employed for their production; 2) to obtain information regarding the opacifying phases dispersed in the glass; 3) to make a comparison with the results recently obtained on coeval and similar finds recovered in other cultural context, in particular in Northern Italian Etruscan contexts in order to understand whether they could belong to the same Greek-Eastern production. The chemical data of these samples confirm they are silica soda lime glass produced with natron. The opaque decorations of the samples were realized by using Sb based opacifiers. The major and minor analyses of the majority of the samples seem to suggest a common origin with the coeval material found in Northern Italian context. On the contrary, for some artifacts, in particular the pendants, a Phoenician-Punic origin is supposed .

Suggested Reviewers: Natalie Venclovà
venclova@arup.cas.cz

Bernard GRATUZE
bechmalubati@orange.fr

Maria Pia Riccardi
mariapia.riccardi@unipv.it

Alberta Silvestri
alberta.silvestri@unipd.it

Marie Dominique Nenna

marie-dominique.nenna@mom.fr



Università degli Studi di Torino
Dipartimento Scienze Mineralogiche e Petrologiche

Dr. Rossella Arletti

Torino 16/11/11

Dear Sirs,

here enclosed you can find the documentation of the manuscript “Pre-Roman Glass from Mozia (Sicily-Italy): the first archaeometrical data” for publication on the Journal of Archaeological Science.

Sincerely yours

Rossella Arletti

Referees suggestions:

Maria Pia Riccardi, Dipartimento di Geoscienze, Università degli Studi di Pavia
mariapia.riccardi@unipv.it

Bernard GRATUZE, Institut de Recherche sur les ArchéoMATériaux, UMR 5060 du CNRS
bechmalubati@orange.fr

Natalie Venclovà
Institute of Archaeology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic,
venclova@arup.cas.cz

Marie Dominique Nenna
marie-dominique.nenna@mom.fr

Alberta Silvestri University of Padova
alberta.silvestri@unipd.it

Research Highlight

PRE-ROMAN GLASS FROM MOZIA (SICILY-ITALY): THE FIRST ARCHAOMETRICAL DATA by Arletti et al.

- Mozia samples are silica soda lime glass
- The chemistry of Mozia glass resemble that of Northern Italy coeval samples
- The opacifiers used for the vessels are lead antimonates for yellow decorations and calcium antimonates for white and light blue decorations.
- The major difference found in the samples are linked to the “pendant” probably of Phoenician origin

Pre-Roman Glass from Mozia (Sicily-Italy): the first archaeometrical data

Rossella Arletti¹, Daniela Ferrari², Giovanna Vezzalini¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze Mineralogiche e Petrologiche, Università degli Studi di Torino, Via
Valperga Caluso 35, Torino I-10125, Italy

³Dipartimento di Storia e Metodi per la Conservazione dei Beni Culturali Università di Bologna (sede di
Ravenna), Via degli Ariani 1, Ravenna I-48110, Italy

²Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena
I-41100, Italy.

*Fax: +390116705128, e-mail: rossella.arletti@unito.it

ABSTRACT

This study present the results of an archaeometrical investigation performed on a series of opaque pre-roman glass (vessels and ornaments) dated from the 6th to 4th century BC coming from Sicily. Sixteen core formed vessels, twelve beads, three pendant and one spindle -whorl recovered in the Phoenician-Punic Mozia and Birgi's sites were analyzed thought a micro destructive approach. The complete chemical analyses and X-Ray diffraction analyses were performed on small fragments of glass. The aims of this work are: 1) to obtain a chemical characterization of these samples in order to understand the raw materials employed for their production; 2) to obtain information regarding the opacifying phases dispersed in the glass; 3) to make a comparison with the results recently obtained on coeval and similar finds recovered in other cultural context, in particular in Northern Italian Etruscan contexts in order to understand whether they could belong to the same Greek-Eastern production.

The chemical data of these samples confirm they are silica soda lime glass produced with natron. The opaque decorations of the samples were realized by using Sb based opacifiers.

1 The major and minor analyses of the majority of the samples seem to suggest a common
2 origin with the coeval material found in Northern Italian context. On the contrary, for some
3 artifacts, in particular the pendants, a Phoenician-Punic origin is supposed .
4
5
6
7
8

9 Key words: Iron Age, glass, Phoenician-Punic, Mediterranean Group EMPA, XRD.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 INTRODUCTION 17

18 The recent publication of three catalogues on Pre-Roman glass artefacts recovered in Sicily
19 allowed the compilation of exhaustive documentation, previously unavailable for these
20 materials (Spanò Giammellaro, 2004; 2008a; 2008b). In the first catalogue (Spanò
21 Giammellaro 2004), a large set of glass samples from sites of Phoenician-Punic, Greek and
22 Sicilian contexts is studied. The second catalogue, dedicated to glass of the Whitaker
23 Museum in Mozia (Spanò Giammellaro, 2008a), treats a series of glass varieties from: i)
24 archaic necropoleis of Mozia Island (end 8th cen. - first half 6th cen. BC); ii) Birgi
25 necropoleis (second half 7th cen. – 4th cen. BC); iii) Lilibeo necropoleis (3rd cen. BC-2nd
26 cen. AD). The third catalogue includes all glass coming from Phoenician-Punic contexts in
27 Sicily (Spanò Giammellaro, 2008b).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Three categories of glass were found: i) polychrome vessels realized using the core forming
44 technique (n. 132); ii) polychrome pendants (human head-shaped or animal-shaped) realized
45 using the rod-forming technique, generally assigned to Phoenician-Punic handicraft
46 production (n. 33); iii) polychrome beads, realized using the core forming technique.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 The majority of the vessels belong to the so-called Mediterranean Group I, dated from the
54 second half of the 6th century to the first half of the 4th century BC. The site of provenance of
55 these glass artefacts is thought to be the island of Rhodes. This conclusion was reached on
56 the basis of: i) the large number of vessels recovered on that island; ii) the presence at this
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 site of glass scraps dated to the 5th century BC, and iii) the recovery of glass working
2 furnaces in the following centuries (Triantafyllidis, 2003). However, it is not possible to
3
4 exclude production sites for these artefacts in the Siro-Palestinian or Ionic area (Spanò
5
6 Giammellaro, 2008a,b). Conversely, a Phoenician-Punic origin is presumed for the pendants
7
8 (Spanò Giammellaro, 2004).
9

10
11 In this work a first suite of glass samples from Mozia island (Sicily) are analysed, including:
12
13 vessels of Mediterranean group I (n.13), vessels of Mediterranean group II (n.3), beads
14
15 (n.12), spindle whorls (n.1), and pendants (n.3) (see Table 1). . The decision to analyse glass
16
17 from Mozia arose out of the existing project involving the University of Bologna, the
18
19 Soprintendenza per i Beni Culturali di Trapani, and the Fondazione Whitaker, aiming to
20
21 study the role of Mozia in the Mediterranean area (Acquaro and Savio, 2004).
22
23
24

25
26 Mozia is a small Island (45 hectares) facing the city of Marsala and represented an ideal
27
28 goods storage depot for the Phoenicians (Tucidide, Storie, VI, 2,6).
29
30

31
32 This work is part of a wider archaeometrical study aiming to characterize Pre-Roman glass
33
34 from different Italian sites. A large number of Mediterranean vessels and beads from the
35
36 Etruscan context of Northern Italy (Spina (Ferrara) and Bologna) have already been analysed
37
38 and the results published (Arletti et al., 2010). In their paper Arletti et al. (2010) showed that
39
40 almost all the analysed samples from the Etruscan contexts of Northern Italy exhibit an
41
42 extremely homogenous composition. All these glass samples present the characteristic traits
43
44 of the silica soda lime glass produced using a calcareous siliceous sand and natron as flux, as
45
46 already noted by Gratuze and Billaud (2003) in a series of coeval glass beads recovered at
47
48 various French sites.
49
50
51

52
53 The aim of this work is to compare the results with those obtained for coeval artifacts from
54
55 different cultural contexts - the Etruscan sites of Spina (Ferrara) and Bologna (Arletti et al.,
56
57 2010) - in order to understand whether or not the artifacts of these two regions were
58
59 produced at the same manufacturing site. In fact, while the Spina and Bologna sites, without
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

doubt, represent a connection between the Etruscan and Greek worlds across the Adriatic Sea, Mozia's position is more complex and the co-presence of Phoenician-Punic and Greek cultures on the Island make the interpretation of the provenance of the glass less straightforward.

EXPERIMENTAL

The good state of preservation of most of the samples meant that only small chips of a few hundred μm^3 could be removed. For each find, when possible, sampling was performed on the body of the item and on all the decorations of different colours present on the surface. Chemical analyses and X-ray diffraction experiments were carried out on the same glass chip samples.

X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRPD)

X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on a selection of opaque samples of different colours to identify crystalline phases dispersed in the glass matrix. Due to the small quantity of material available, the samples were mounted on a goniometric head of a 4-circle single crystal diffractometer, Bruker X8-Apex with $\text{MoK}\alpha$ radiation, equipped with an area detector. The diffraction patterns were collected with a detector-sample distance of 60 mm and a time exposure variable between 60 and 120 seconds, depending on the amount of crystalline phases present in the glass. The diffraction rings were integrated using the Fit2d software programme (from 5° to 30° 2θ degrees) and the patterns were then interpreted using the JCPDF database (McLune, 1989).

WDS-Electron Microprobe Analysis (EMPA)

The chemical analyses were carried out with an ARL-SEMQ electron microprobe equipped with four scanning wavelength spectrometers. The samples were embedded in an epoxy resin

1 and polished with diamond paste. The elements analysed were: Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K,
2 Ca, Ti, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, Sn, Sb, Pb. Natural and synthetic standards were employed for
3 calibration. The analyses were performed operating at 15 kV, 20 nA, using counting times of
4 5, 10, 5 sec. on background-peak-background, respectively. To prevent the known migration
5 phenomenon of alkalis under the electron beam, a 30 µm defocused electron beam was used.
6
7 Several points were analysed on each sample to test the homogeneity, and the mean value of
8 all the measurements was calculated. The results were processed for matrix effects using the
9 PHI(ρZ) absorption correction of the Probe programme (Donovan and Rivers, 1990). The
10 measured accuracy for the analysed elements was better than 3%, while precision was
11 between 1-2% and 2-3% for major and minor constituents, respectively. The results of the
12 chemical analyses are reported in Table 2
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 RESULTS

30
31
32
33
34 Various glass chips were removed from each vessel/bead/spindle whorl/pendant in order to
35 characterize the composition of all the different colored decorations, and consequently the
36 number of analyzed samples is higher than the number of items considered. The chemical
37 analyses are reported in Table 2.
38
39
40
41
42

43 The results of the chemical analyses show that all the analysed samples are silica soda lime
44 glass with values of Na₂O ranging from 12 to 18.5%, with the exclusion of the yellow
45 portion of three samples (MZ-09 and MZ-18) which exhibit slightly lower levels.
46
47
48 Conversely, as reported in Figure 1, the levels of MgO and K₂O are very low, and for almost
49 all the samples they never exceed 1%; only the blue portion of the beads MZ-20 and MZ-32
50 show, respectively, levels of magnesium and potassium oxides slightly higher than 1%. This
51 data clearly indicates that all these items, independently of their typology were produced
52 using natron as source of flux. This assessment is consistent with the percentages of SO₃
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

(from 0.10 to 0.49%), Cl (from 0.54 to 1.60%), and P_2O_5 (<0.12%) found in the samples. The plot reported in Figure 2 shows that the content of lime is relatively constant in the sample set, ranging from about 4 to 10%, with the exclusion of two samples (the yellow portion of pendant MZ-18 and the blue portion of sample MZ-20), while the levels of Al_2O_3 are more variable. In fact, along with a large group of samples showing levels of Al_2O_3 from 1.5% to 2.5%, the figure evidences some samples with very low aluminium contents - two samples from two pendants (MZ-18y and MZ-19b) and two portions of vessels (MZ-06y and MZ-09b) - and some others with rather high levels of this oxide - MZ-20b and MZ-32b. Manganese is present at trace levels in almost all the analyzed samples, only samples MZ-07b, MZ-09y, and MZ-20b show $MnO >$ than 0.1 %. Titanium levels are very low in all the sample set, but on average the values are higher than those found for MnO , ranging from 0.05 to 0.43%. The amounts of all the other oxides are mainly linked to the color and the opacity of the samples. The levels of iron show the widest range of variations: the blue portions of the pendants MZ-18 and MZ-19 FeO reach levels of 8.50 and 6.09%, respectively, indicating the clear intentional addition of this element. In all the other blue samples iron oxides are lower, but in most cases high enough to be considered as intentionally added, or at least not introduced as an impurity in the sands. In twelve of the remaining eighteen blue samples FeO percentages are higher than 1%. Iron oxide is also relatively high in almost all the yellow samples and in two turquoise decorations (MZ-02t and MZ-22t). Conversely, it is unexpectedly low in the green glass, where FeO levels never exceed 1%. Even if in general the green color is due to the presence of iron ions dispersed in the matrix, for one of these samples it is possible to hypothesize that the origin of the color is the result of high levels of other transition elements (Cu for sample MZ-34g, which is dark green in color). The other two green samples do not contain additional transition elements, so it is possible to hypothesize that the color derives from low quantities of strongly reduced iron. Copper oxide is present in high levels, often exceeding 2%, in almost all the turquoise

1 samples. Only three of these, MZ-02t, MZ-22t, and MZ-29t, contain Cu_2O at levels below
2 0.5%: these samples seem to owe their color to a combination of copper and cobalt ions.
3
4 Finally, and predictably, the highest levels of CoO are from the blue samples: even if cobalt
5 oxide in these samples is at levels of about 0.1%, this is sufficient to impart a deep blue
6 color, due to the high absorbance coefficient of this transition element. It is worth noting that
7 in the high-Fe blue portions of the two pendants MZ-18 and MZ-19, Co was not detected.
8
9 The presence of Sb and Pb in the analyzed samples is strictly related to the color and,
10 especially, to the opacity of the glass. In Figure 3 the levels of PbO and Sb_2O_3 are reported
11 for all the samples. From the plot it is clear that the yellow portions of all the items,
12 independently of typology, contain high levels of lead oxide and relatively high levels of
13 Sb_2O_3 . The highest levels of Sb are observed, conversely, for the turquoise samples, which, in
14 turn, do not contain Pb. Only two turquoise samples (MZ-27t and MZ-31t) contain low levels
15 of Sb_2O_3 . In addition to the turquoise decorations, the two blue samples MZ-22b and MZ-32b
16 (the latter already cited as an exception in Figures 1 and 2) also contain quite high levels of
17 antimony. High levels of antimony are also found in the single white sample analyzed (MZ-
18 02w).

19 The data for PbO and Sb_2O_3 are consistent with the results obtained in XRD experiments.
20
21 The X-ray diffraction patterns collected from turquoise samples show, in most cases, the
22 presence of crystals of CaSb_2O_6 and $\text{Ca}_2\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_7$. The low intensity of the diffraction peaks in
23 most cases suggests the presence of few and/or very small dispersed crystals. Unfortunately,
24 it was not possible to perform X-ray diffraction analyses on the single white sample or on the
25 two Sb bearing blue glass samples to confirm the presence of these phases. The X-Ray
26 analyses on the yellow samples revealed, as expected, the presence of crystals of $\text{Pb}_2\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_7$.

60 DISCUSSION

61
62
63
64
65

1 The alkali composition of the analysed samples allows them to be classified as silica soda
2 lime glass produced with natron as flux (Fig. 1). The majority of the glass samples contain
3 alumina between 1.5 and 2.5% and calcium oxide between 4 and 9%. It is reasonable to
4 suppose that the samples were produced starting from a silicatic sand containing feldspars
5 and carbonates (Sayre and Smith, 1961, 1967).
6
7

8
9
10
11 In Figures 4 and 5 a comparison is provided between the chemical analyses of the samples
12 from Mozia considered in this work, and those of the coeval samples from the Etruscan
13 context in Northern Italy (Arletti et al., 2010). It is clear in both diagrams that - excluding the
14 outlier samples (in particular the pendants) - the chemical composition of the two sample
15 sets is extremely similar, as regards both the sand and flux components.
16
17

18
19
20
21
22
23
24 These data are also consistent with the studies reported by Shortland and Schroeder (2009)
25 on Iron Age unguentaria of the Mediterranean Group I originating from Pichvnari in Georgia
26 showing a very homogenous composition as regards aluminium, calcium, titanium and
27 manganese. This leads to the hypothesis that almost all the glass were produced starting from
28 the same type of sand. All these glass could derive from coastal sand from the Levant or
29 from a similar source of sand. Similar results were obtained by Gratuze (2009) and by
30 Gratuze and Picon (2006) and Gratuze and Billaud (2003) for Iron Age beads.
31
32

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 It is, however, interesting to note some differences found some glass of the sample set, since
42 they represent exceptions and exhibit some peculiar traits. The major differences are found
43 among the pendants. Some of them show a particular composition regarding both major
44 components and coloring elements. Sample MZ-19b and MZ-18y, for example, have the
45 lowest levels of aluminum when compared with the other items.
46
47

48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 detected in these samples. This indicates that along with the use of different raw materials
2 employed for the production of the base glass, also different techniques for the glass coloring
3
4 were employed for the production of these samples. However the number of analyses is too
5
6 low to do reliable hypotheses regarding their origin.
7

8
9 All the opaque glass have been produced employing Sb based opacifiers, consistently with
10 their chronology: Ca-antimoniates for turquoise, blue and, possibly for white samples, and Pb
11
12 antimoniates for yellow samples. It is well known that calcium antimoniates were used from
13
14 the 2nd millennium BC as opacifiers for blue and white glass. The calcium antimoniates are
15
16 neo-formation phases produced by adding antimony (probably as oxide) to a lime-rich glass
17
18 batch or to raw glass (Shortland, 2002; Arletti et al., 2006a; 2006b).
19
20
21

22
23 Lead antimoniate, was employed almost from the beginning of glass production (1500 BC)
24
25 until the 4th century AD (Turner and Rooksby, 1959; Tite et al., 2007) to produce opaque
26
27 yellow glass.
28
29

30
31 The most reliable hypothesis regarding the technique employed for the production of
32
33 this glass suggests that $Pb_2Sb_2O_7$ was produced by adding a combination of roasted lead and
34
35 antimony ore minerals to the glass batch - thus producing oxides - with a lead excess
36
37 (Shortland, 2002; Rehren, 2002).
38
39
40

41 42 43 CONCLUSIONS

44
45 It could be hypothesized that the vessels and most of the beads found on Mozia and those
46
47 recovered at the Northern Italian sites, for which a Greek origin was already presumed,
48
49 derive from the same production site. Different origin, probably a Phoenician-Punic origin,
50
51 can be supposed for the pendants, even if the low number of items do not allow a precise
52
53 hypothesis to be formulated and this issue require further analyses.
54
55

56
57 The chemical and archaeometrical studies, even if providing important information, do not
58
59 answer all the questions raised regarding trade, traders, and final users of the items. For the
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 vessels of the Mediterranean I Group it is well known that they were traded along with Attic
2 ceramic artifacts. While it is known that Spina was an Etruscan goods depot for Greek and
3
4 Mediterranean trade, in Sicily the situation is more complex, since the dominant Greek
5
6 presence makes interpretation of the trade of glass items less straightforward.
7

8
9 From the 7th century BC the island of Mozia was, probably, on the connecting route between
10
11 the Tyrrhenian Sea and Eastern Mediterranean regions, but it is also worth noting that some
12
13 documents seem to indicate a possible presence of Rhodian people among the Phoenician
14
15 traders (Spanò Giammellaro, 2008a). Furthermore, from the 5th century BC the documented
16
17 trade between Athens and Carthage allowed a spread of Attic ceramic artifacts into the
18
19 Phoenician area under the control of Carthage (Acquaro, 2003).
20
21

22
23 The presence of Greek culture and probably a Greek community is documented on Mozia
24
25 island from the 6th century BC: Diodoro Siculo, in his writings, relates that on Mozia a Greek
26
27 community, worshipping their idols in meeting houses, was present (Biblioteca Storica, XIV,
28
29 53,2). Several items recalling Greek cults are documented in various Phoenician colonies. It
30
31 is, however, not easy to establish if these cults were linked to the presence of an “original”
32
33 Greek ethnic group on the island, or to Phoenician, Punic or Carthaginian families coming
34
35 from Carthage or Eastern cities where the Greek culture was already established (Acquaro
36
37 and De Vita, 2004-2005; De Vita, 2009). It is clear that only analysis of the archaeological
38
39 context will further help resolve these questions.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Acknowledgements**

52
53 Professor Enrico Acquaro (Università di Bologna), Dr. Rossella Giglio (Soprintendenza ai
54
55 Beni Archeologici di Trapani), Fondazione Giuseppe Whitaker (Palermo), Dr. Maria Pamela
56
57 Toti (Museo Whitaker) are acknowledged for providing the samples.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

REFERENCES

- 1
2 Acquaro, E., 2003. Per un portolano fenicio. In: Archeologia del Mediterraneo. Studi in onore di
3 Ernesto De Miro. Bibliotheca Archaeologica 35, Roma, pp. 21-36.
4
5
6
7
8
9 Acquaro, E., De Vita, P., 2004-2005. Note iconografiche: su alcune arule di Mozia. Byrsa, 1-4,
10 93-123.
11
12
13
14
15
16 Acquaro, E., Savio, G. 2004. 'Scavi e ricerche a Mozia – I. Agorà Edizioni, Sarzara.
17
18
19
20
21 Arletti, R., Ciarallo, A., Quartieri, S., Sabatino, G., Vezzalini, G., 2006a. Archaeometrical
22 analyses of game counters from Pompeii. In: Maggetti, M., Messiga, B. (Eds.), Geomaterial in
23 Cultural Heritage. Geological Society Special Publication 257. Geological Society of London,
24 London, pp. 175-186.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Arletti, R., Quartieri, S., Vezzalini, G., 2006b. Glass mosaic tesserae from Pompeii: an
34 archaeological investigation. Periodico di Mineralogia 76, 25-38.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 Arletti R., Maiorano C., Ferrari D., Vezzalini G., Quartieri S., 2010. The first archaeometric data
42 on polychrome Iron Age glass from sites located in Northern Italy. Journal of Archaeological
43 Science 37, 703-712.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 De Vita, P. 2009. Dioniso a Mozia: culti pubblici e privati. www.fenici.unibo.it.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Donovan, J.J., Rivers, M.L., 1990. PRSUPR da PC-based automation and analysis software
2 package for wavelength-dispersive electron-beam microanalysis. In: Michael, J.R., Ingram, P.
3 (Eds.), Microbeam Analysis 1990. San Francisco Press, San Francisco, pp. 66-68.
4
5
6
7
8

9 Gratuze, B., Billaud, Y., 2003. La circulation des perles en verre dans le Bassin Méditerranéen,
10 de l'Age du Bronze moyen jusqu'au Hallstatt. In : Foy, D. and Nenna, M.D. (Eds.), Echanges et
11 commerce du verre dans le monde antique. Actes colloque AFAV, Aix-en-Provence et Marseille
12 2001. Mergoïl Editor., Montagnac, pp. 11-15.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Gratuze, B., 2009. Les premier Verres au Natron retrouvés en Europe Occidentale:
22 composition chimique et chrono-typologie. In: Antwerp, Janssens, K.,
23

24 Degryse, P., Cosyns, P., Caen, J., Van't dack, L. (Eds.), Annales du 17eme Congres
25 de l'Association Internationale pour l'Histoire du Verre, pp. 8-14.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Gratuze, B., Picon, M., 2006. Utilisation par l'industrie verrière des sels d'aluns des
35 oasis égyptiennes au début du premier millénaire avant notre ère. In: Brun, J.-P.
36 (Ed.), L'Alun de Mediterranie. Institut Français de Naples, pp. 269-276.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 McLune, W. F., 1989. Powder Diffraction File: Inorganic Phases; JCPDS International Centre
45 for Diffraction Data: Swarthmore, PA.
46
47
48
49
50

51 Rehren, Th, 2002. Comment I on Mass, J.L., Wypysky, M.T. Stone R.E., 2002, Malkata and
52 Lisht glassmaking technologies: toward a specific link between second millennium BC metallurgist
53 and glassmaker. Archaeometry 44, 67-82.
54
55
56
57
58
59

60 Sayre, E.V., Smith, R.W., 1961. Compositional categories of ancient glass. Science 133,
61
62
63
64
65

1824-1826.

1
2
3
4 Sayre, E.V., Smith, R.W., 1967. Some materials of glass manufacturing in antiquity.

5
6
7 In: Levey, M. (Ed.), *Archaeological Chemistry: A Symposium*. University of
8
9 Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 279-311.

10
11
12
13
14 Shortland, A.J., 2002. The use of antimonate colorants in Early Egyptian Glass. *Archaeometry*
15
16 44, 517-530.

17
18
19
20
21 Shortland, A., Schroeder, H., 2009. Analysis of first millennium BC glass vessels and
22
23 beads from the Pichvnari necropolis, Georgia. *Archaeometry* 51, 947-965.

24
25
26
27
28
29 Spanò Giammellaro, A., 2004. Il vetro preromano della Sicilia nella prospettiva mediterranea. In:
30
31 Basile, B., Carreras Rossell, T. Greco, C. Spanò Giammellaro, A. (Eds.), *Glassway. Il vetro:*
32
33 *fragilità attraverso il tempo*, Filippo Angelica Editore, Ragusa, pp. 25-40.

34
35
36
37
38
39 Spanò Giammellaro, A. 2008a. I vetri preromani. In: De Simone, R., Toti, M.P. (Eds.), *La*
40
41 *collezione Whitaker*, I, Fondazione Whitaker, Palermo, pp. 89-145.

42
43
44
45
46 Spanò Giammellaro, A. 2008b. I vetri della Sicilia punica. *Corpus delle antichità fenicie*
47
48 *puniche*, Bonsignori Editore, Roma.

49
50
51
52
53 Tite, M., Pradell, T., Shortland, A., 2007. Discovery, production and use of tin-based opacifiers
54
55 in glasses, enamels and glazes from the late Iron Age onwards: a reassessment. *Archaeometry* 50,
56
57 67-84.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Triantafyllidis, P., 2003. Classical and Hellenistic workshop from Rhodes. In: Foy, D., Nenna,
2 M.D. (Eds.), *Echanges et commerce du verre dans le monde antique. Actes du colloque AFV, Aix-*
3 *en-Provence et Marseille 2001.* Mergoil Editor, Montagnac, pp. 131-138.
4
5
6
7

8
9 Turner, W. E. S., and Rooksby, H. P., 1959. A study of opalising agents in ancient opal glasses
10 throughout three thousand four hundred years. *Glastechnische Berichte* 32K, VII, 17-28.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Summary of the analysed samples (Abbreviations: t=turquoise; b=blue; y=yellow, w=white; g=green, Masc.=masculine; Anim.= animal shaped).

Table 2: Chemical analyses (oxides wt%) obtained by EMPA. The labels are the same reported in Table 1. (n.d. = not detected)

Figure 1. K₂O vs. MgO contents of all the analysed samples. Outsider samples are labelled.

Figure 2: CaO vs. Al₂O₃ contents of all the analysed samples. Outsider samples are labelled.

Figure 3: PbO vs. Sb₂O₅ contents for the analysed samples grouped by colour.

Figure 4: CaO vs. Al₂O₃ contents for the samples analyzed here in comparison with those reported by Arletti et al (2010) for the Spina and Bologna sites.

Figure 5: K₂O vs. Na₂O contents for the samples analyzed here in comparison with those reported by Arletti et al (2010) for the Spina and Bologna sites.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1

VESSELS					
Sample	Typology	Colors	N. ref	Analysed Portion	
				Label (Table 2)	color
MZ-02	<i>Alabastron</i>	Blue with turquoise and white decorations	803	MZ-02b	blue
				MZ-02w	white
				MZ-02t	turquoise
MZ-03	<i>Amphoriskos</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	805	MZ-03y	yellow
MZ-04	<i>Aryballos</i>	Blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	807	MZ-04b	blue
				MZ-04y	yellow
MZ-05	<i>Aryballos</i>	Light blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	809	MZ-05y	yellow
MZ-06	<i>Oinochoe</i>	Blue with white and yellow decorations	812	MZ-06b	blue
				MZ-06y	yellow
MZ-07	<i>Oinochoe</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	813	MZ-07b	blue
MZ-08	<i>Oinochoe</i>	Blue with white and yellow decorations	814	MZ-08b	blue
MZ-09	<i>Unguentarium</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	817	MZ-09b	blue
				MZ-09y	yellow
MZ-10	<i>Aryballos</i>	Blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	819	MZ-10b	blue
				MZ-10t	turquoise
MZ-11	<i>Oinochoe</i>	Blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	824	MZ-11b	blue
				MZ-11y	yellow
MZ-12	<i>Amphoriskos</i>	Blue with white and yellow decorations	826	MZ-12b	blue
MZ-13	<i>Aryballos</i>	Light blue Blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	829	MZ-13b	blue
MZ-14	<i>Amphoriskos</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	830	MZ-14b	blue
				MZ-14y	yellow
MZ-15	<i>Amphoriskos</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	831	MZ-15y	yellow
				MZ-16b	blue
MZ-16	<i>Aryballos</i>	Blue with turquoise and yellow decorations	833	MZ-16y	yellow
				MZ-16t	turquoise
				MZ-17b	blue
MZ-17	<i>Amphoriskos?</i>	Blue with turquoise decorations	834	MZ-17t	turquoise
				BEADS AND PENDANTS	
MZ-18	Masc. Pendant	Blue and yellow	1832	MZ-18b	blue
				MZ-18y	yellow
MZ-19	Anim. Pendant	Blue and white	1833	MZ-19b	blue
MZ-20	<i>Bead</i>	Blue with white and yellow decorations	906	MZ-20b	blue
MZ-21	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and white decorations	907	MZ-21t	turquoise
				MZ-21b	blue
MZ-22	<i>Bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and white decorations	910(1)	MZ-22t	turquoise
				MZ-22b	blue
MZ-23	<i>Melon shape bead</i>	Green	6062	MZ-23g	green
MZ-24	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and yellow decorations	882	MZ-24b	blue
MZ-26	<i>Bead</i>	Turquoise	909(1)	MZ-26t	turquoise
MZ-27	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and white decorations	909(2)	MZ-27t	turquoise
MZ-29	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and white decorations	910(3)	MZ-29t	turquoise
MZ-31	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue decorations	912(1)	MZ-31t	turquoise
MZ-32	<i>Bead</i>	Dark blue	912(2)	MZ-32b	blue
MZ-33	<i>Spindle whorl</i>	Green transparent	912(3)	MZ-33g	gree
MZ-34	<i>Bead</i>	Dark green transparent	912(4)	MZ-34g	dark green
MZ-35	<i>Masc. Pendant</i>	Blue with yellow decorations	1834	MZ-35b	blue
MZ-37	<i>Eyes bead</i>	Turquoise with blue and white decorations	2262	MZ-37t	turquoise

Table 2

LINE	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	MnO	MgO	FeO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	Sb ₂ O ₃	Cu ₂ O	PbO	SnO ₂	CoO	SO ₃	Cl	Cr ₂ O ₃	P ₂ O ₅	Totals
MZ-02b	67.94	2.25	0.05	n.d.	0.42	1.08	6.39	17.51	0.28	0.90	0.15	0.04	n.d.	0.07	0.10	1.26	n.d.	0.04	98.50
MZ-02t	69.37	2.33	0.07	0.02	0.45	1.10	6.95	17.32	0.34	0.77	0.12	0.10	n.d.	0.09	0.10	1.34	n.d.	0.05	100.53
MZ-02w	66.70	2.18	0.07	n.d.	0.42	1.03	6.73	17.32	0.30	0.87	0.10	0.07	n.d.	0.13	0.11	1.22	n.d.	0.04	97.30
MZ-03y	57.69	1.85	0.06	0.03	0.28	1.01	5.09	13.17	0.31	1.79	0.07	18.48	n.d.	0.02	0.24	1.27	n.d.	0.05	101.42
MZ-04b	66.53	2.31	0.06	0.09	0.34	2.20	7.05	17.50	0.58	0.70	0.40	1.28	n.d.	0.07	0.28	0.76	n.d.	0.07	100.21
MZ-04y	56.00	1.82	0.06	n.d.	0.25	1.05	5.44	15.27	0.43	1.56	0.06	16.26	n.d.	0.01	0.27	0.71	0.02	0.03	99.27
MZ-05y	54.81	1.91	0.05	n.d.	0.30	1.07	6.79	11.96	0.64	1.93	0.04	18.07	n.d.	0.01	0.19	0.89	n.d.	0.06	98.71
MZ-06b	69.68	1.97	0.43	0.08	0.71	1.66	7.81	15.62	0.49	0.17	0.27	0.27	n.d.	0.15	0.28	1.00	n.d.	0.10	100.69
MZ-06y	58.05	1.23	0.13	n.d.	0.40	1.90	4.99	13.35	0.27	1.69	n.d.	16.95	n.d.	0.01	0.25	0.60	0.02	0.04	99.90
MZ-07b	68.34	2.37	0.06	0.63	0.43	0.68	7.46	18.46	0.60	0.02	0.20	0.03	n.d.	0.04	0.36	1.10	n.d.	0.05	100.81
MZ-08b	70.29	1.82	0.18	0.06	0.63	1.62	7.30	16.21	0.43	0.03	0.19	0.14	n.d.	0.16	0.21	1.33	n.d.	0.05	100.64
MZ-09y	52.40	1.76	0.06	0.25	0.36	1.54	4.33	11.00	0.56	1.92	0.15	26.56	0.05	0.01	0.30	0.63	n.d.	0.04	101.91
MZ-09b	74.10	1.02	0.15	0.03	0.55	0.60	6.17	18.06	0.29	0.02	0.03	0.09	n.d.	0.02	0.19	1.47	0.02	0.06	102.86
MZ-10b	67.09	2.36	0.09	n.d.	0.89	1.72	8.19	18.33	0.49	0.08	0.53	0.13	n.d.	0.20	0.49	0.92	n.d.	0.05	101.57
MZ-10t	64.21	2.05	0.06	0.02	0.84	0.76	7.94	16.73	0.43	1.91	2.07	0.44	0.13	0.02	0.35	0.61	0.02	0.03	98.60
MZ-11b	71.62	2.14	0.04	n.d.	0.43	0.88	5.91	16.16	0.74	0.12	0.17	0.06	n.d.	0.07	0.10	0.81	n.d.	0.02	99.29
MZ-11y	57.62	1.83	0.07	n.d.	0.30	1.44	5.37	13.56	0.53	2.32	0.14	15.51	n.d.	0.01	0.18	0.92	n.d.	0.05	99.88
MZ-12b	70.25	2.12	0.06	0.02	0.47	1.95	6.76	14.78	0.56	0.04	0.35	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.29	0.53	n.d.	0.07	98.44
MZ-13b	67.09	2.33	0.07	0.02	1.00	1.81	8.74	18.48	0.48	0.04	0.25	0.09	n.d.	0.13	0.43	0.64	n.d.	0.05	101.66
MZ-14y	58.92	1.86	0.04	0.02	0.31	0.80	4.06	14.56	0.33	1.46	0.05	16.17	n.d.	0.04	0.18	0.74	0.04	0.04	99.64
MZ-14b	69.72	2.22	0.07	0.03	0.57	1.08	7.74	15.82	0.53	0.04	0.14	0.06	n.d.	0.15	0.18	0.98	n.d.	0.05	99.39
MZ-15y	55.91	1.88	0.05	0.02	0.46	0.89	5.48	14.62	0.44	1.50	0.10	16.09	n.d.	0.01	0.32	0.59	n.d.	0.03	98.39
MZ-16b	65.69	2.35	0.08	0.02	0.96	2.53	8.13	17.67	0.53	0.04	0.41	0.13	n.d.	0.14	0.42	0.67	n.d.	0.05	99.84
MZ-16y	58.28	1.95	0.06	0.04	0.37	1.32	7.92	12.75	0.35	1.41	0.12	14.98	n.d.	0.00	0.12	1.14	0.04	0.06	100.93
MZ-16t	63.33	2.27	0.08	n.d.	0.59	0.40	9.49	14.66	0.46	4.07	2.75	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.40	0.86	n.d.	0.03	99.56
MZ-17b	68.06	1.90	0.05	0.02	0.34	1.50	6.44	18.41	0.38	0.32	0.35	0.09	n.d.	0.24	0.18	1.28	n.d.	0.02	99.58
MZ-17t	64.73	1.88	0.07	n.d.	0.43	0.34	6.61	18.03	0.31	2.73	3.28	0.20	n.d.	0.02	0.23	0.98	n.d.	n.d.	99.89
MZ-18b	61.89	1.52	0.12	0.07	0.51	8.50	8.75	16.42	0.34	0.07	0.09	0.54	n.d.	0.00	0.34	0.99	n.d.	0.12	100.28
MZ-18y	45.71	0.70	0.09	n.d.	0.23	1.82	2.43	10.86	0.28	2.16	0.07	35.60	n.d.	0.01	0.20	0.54	n.d.	0.04	100.75
MZ-19b	66.06	0.70	0.17	0.02	0.56	6.09	6.80	16.38	0.23	0.08	0.07	0.03	n.d.	0.00	0.37	1.33	0.02	0.10	99.02
MZ-20b	66.28	2.67	0.26	1.11	1.56	2.09	3.89	17.50	0.91	0.09	0.22	0.03	0.02	0.20	0.19	1.12	n.d.	0.07	98.21
MZ-21b	62.99	2.40	0.07	0.04	0.54	1.97	9.74	15.99	0.80	3.53	0.49	0.61	n.d.	0.62	0.33	0.87	0.02	0.05	101.06

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 4
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

