Oxidation of alkenes to 1,2-diols: FT-IR and UV studies of silica-supported sulfonic acid catalysts and their interaction with  $H_2O$  and  $H_2O_2$ 

Raimondo Maggi, Gianmario Martra, Calogero Giancarlo Piscopo, Gabriele Alberto, Giovanni Sartori

## **Supporting Information**



**Figure SI-1.** IR spectra of the samples in contact with air: s bare SiO<sub>2</sub>; a) catalyst A; b) catalyst B; c) catalyst C. The maximum of the main absorption in the high frequency region was out of scale



**Figure SI-2.** IR spectra of the samples in contact with 20 mbar of  $H_2O$  vapour (curves x) or of  $H_2O_2/H_2O$  (30% vol  $H_2O_2$ ) (curves x'): s, s') bare SiO<sub>2</sub>; a,a') catalyst A; b,b') catalyst B; c,c') catalyst C. The maximum of the main absorption in the high frequency region was out of scale. Inset: spectra, in the NIR region, of the bare SiO<sub>2</sub> in contact with 20 mbar of: s)  $H_2O$ ; s')  $H_2O_2/H_2O$  (30% vol  $H_2O_2$ ), as in the main frame. Similar data were obtained for the other samples.

*Comment to Figure SI-2.* No significant changes were observed between the spectra collected after admission on the samples of H<sub>2</sub>O or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O vapour. However, the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the liquid-like molecular layers formed on the sample surface was witnessed by the broadening towards the low frequency side of the  $v_{OH}+\delta_{OH}$  band of water at 5230 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NIR region), likely due to the presence of an additional component due to the same combination mode of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [1] (see inset).

[1] L.G.Weyer, S.-C. Lo, Handbook of Vibrational Spectroscopy, J.M. Chalmers, P.R. Griffiths (Eds), Wiley, Chichester 2003, Volume 3, p. 1832



**Figure SI-3.** DR UV-Vis of samples in air: s<sup>0</sup>) pyrogenic silica used as diluents; s) bare silica support; a) catalyst A; b) catalyst B; c) catalyst C.

**Reaction catalysed by** *p***-toluensulfonic acid**: a 10-mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and reflux condenser was charged with *p*-toluensulfonic acid (14.5 mg, 0.08 mmol), 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (0.86 mL, 8.4 mmol) and 1-methylcyclohexene (0.50 mL, 4.2 mmol). The mixture was heated at 70 °C under stirring for 22 h and then cooled to rt. Then  $MnO_2$  (ca. 100 mg) was added to the solution to destroy any hydrogen peroxide excess. Subsequently, bromobenzene was added as external standard, the mixture was diluted with methanol and analysed by GC: 1-methylcyclohexene conversion 99%; 1-methyl-1,2-cyclohexanediol yield 61%.

Reaction carried out in the presence of 1-methyl-1,2-cyclohexanediol: a 10-mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and reflux condenser was charged with 30% aqueous  $H_2O_2$  (0.86 mL, 8.4 mmol), 1-methylcyclohexene (0.50 mL, 4.2 mmol), 1-methyl-1,2-cyclohexanediol (0.027 g, 0.21 mmol) and catalyst A (2% mol with respect to 1-methylcyclohexene, 140 mg). The mixture was heated at 70 °C under stirring for 8 h and then cooled to rt. Then MnO<sub>2</sub> (ca. 100 mg) was added to the solution to destroy any hydrogen peroxide excess. Subsequently, bromobenzene was added as external standard, the mixture was diluted with methanol and analysed by GC. The results are reported in Figure SI-4.



**Figure SI-4.** Yield versus time of the model reaction carried out with catalyst A in the absence (**♦**) and in the presence (**■**) of 1-methyl-1,2-cyclohexanediol.