

*Animal* (2012), **6**:2, pp 227–231 © The Animal Consortium 2011  
doi:10.1017/S1751731111001297



# Apparent digestibility of wheat bran and extruded flax in horses determined from the total collection of feces and acid-insoluble ash as an internal marker

M. De Marco<sup>1†</sup>, N. Miraglia<sup>2</sup>, P. G. Peiretti<sup>3</sup> and D. Bergero<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DIPAAE, Torino University, Via L. Da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy; <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento SAVA, Molise University, Via De Sanctis, 86100 Campobasso, Italy;

<sup>3</sup>National Research Council, Institute of Sciences of Food Production, Via L. Da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

(Received 3 March 2011; Accepted 4 July 2011; First published online 22 August 2011)

*Several studies have reported data on comparisons between two methods: the total collection of feces and the internal markers method. The aim of this study was to assess the apparent digestibility of two concentrates and to compare the apparent digestion coefficients using the total collection of feces and acid-insoluble ash (AIA) as the internal marker method. In 2009, six adult geldings aged between 3 and 11 years, with an average weight per trial of 543, 540 and 542 kg, respectively, were used to determine the apparent digestibility by means of three in vivo digestibility trials on hay, hay plus wheat bran (60 : 40) and hay plus extruded flax (80 : 20). Feces were collected over a 6-day period with a previous 14-day adaptation period. The three digestibility trials were carried out to determine the digestion coefficients of the three diets and, indirectly, of the two concentrates. The digestion coefficients of the diets were determined for the dry matter, organic matter, crude protein and gross energy, whereas the apparent digestion coefficients of the same parameters were calculated for wheat bran and extruded flax, by calculating the difference from the previous results. The data were analyzed using the Student t-test for paired samples. The digestion coefficients obtained were similar when the total collection of feces and the AIA method were used. Higher data variability, confirmed by a greater standard deviation, was observed using the AIA method to estimate the apparent digestion coefficients. It can be concluded that the use of AIA as an internal marker in digestibility trials on average leads to values similar to those obtained with the total collection of feces and can therefore be considered a less-expensive method to determine apparent digestion coefficients. Nevertheless, the total collection of feces should still be considered the best choice to determine the digestibility of some specific feedstuffs.*

**Keywords:** horse, apparent digestibility, acid-insoluble ash, total feces collection, concentrates

## Implications

This study is part of a broad series of digestibility trials that aimed to evaluate the apparent digestibility of a wide range of different feedstuffs and to compare two assessment methods, namely, the total collection of feces and the internal markers method. The results of this study provide further data to update the digestion coefficients of raw materials and forages, which are usually part of the rations of horses, and that have not yet been referred to in the scientific literature. These results could help improve the current, scientifically based rationing of horses, and could enable companies to produce more suitable compound feeds, according to the particular features of each ingredient.

## Introduction

The digestion coefficient of horse feeds and diets can be determined using different techniques such as calculation based on the chemical composition of feeds, *in vivo* or *in vitro* methods.

Currently, the total collection of feces method (Martin-Rosset *et al.*, 1984 and 1994; Vermorel and Martin-Rosset, 1997), which is applied in the French Horse Net Energy System, is the most widespread method in Europe. The extensive use of the total collection of feces is related to the high quantity of feedstuff tested until now using this method and to the use of a significant number of horses in these trials. Nevertheless, this method is time consuming and costly and it involves some practical problems, mainly related to the difficulty of using working horses and mature animals (Parkins *et al.*, 1982).

† E-mail: domenico.bergero@unito.it

Measurement of the concentration of markers found in both the diet and in the feces eliminates the need for the compulsory use of the total collection of feces, and thereby facilitates digestibility studies in the field. This method relies on the principle that higher concentrations of marker will be found in the feces of animals fed diets with high digestion coefficients. In this context, the internal markers method has often been used in digestibility trials in recent years, in particular using naturally occurring markers that do not cause any modification of the normal diet. These markers are substances such as *n*-alkanes (Peiretti *et al.*, 2006), acid-insoluble ash (AIA; Miraglia *et al.*, 1999a and 1999b, Almeida *et al.*, 2001) and ADL (Miraglia *et al.*, 1999a and 1999b), which are naturally present in feeds. Other substances used as markers are added to diets and among these the most commonly used marker in horses is Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (chromic oxide; Parkins *et al.*, 1982; Cuddeford and Hughes, 1990). The main advantages of the AIA method, compared with other markers, are the easiness of the analysis and the lack of necessity of special equipment (Bergero *et al.*, 2009).

The aim of this study was to determine the apparent digestibility of extruded flax, which is not a 'common feed' in horse diets, and of wheat bran, and to assess the relationship between the *in vivo* digestion coefficients of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) and gross energy (GE) obtained using the methods based on the total collection of feces and on the AIA marker. This would facilitate updation of feedstuff digestibility databases on raw materials treated using new technologies.

## Material and methods

### *Animals, diets and experimental design*

The study was conducted over the period of July to October at the 'International Horse Center' Foundation located in 'La Mandria Park' near Druento (Turin, Italy). Three *in vivo* digestibility trials were performed on six adult geldings aged between 3 and 11 years, with the average weight reported in Table 1. The horses were individually stabled in 4 × 3 m<sup>2</sup> boxes with shavings as litter and with free access to water.

An anti-waste corner feeder (120 cm long and 70 cm deep) was used and solid partitions were installed to prevent the horses from sharing the feed or transferring the feed from one partition to another. The feeding level was 1.2 times the maintenance level in all the trials, because the horses performed light exercises involving 20 min of lunging daily. The horses were fed diets composed (on a DM basis) of 100% hay in the first trial, 60% hay and 40% wheat bran in the second trial and 80% hay and 20% extruded flax in the third trial. In all the trials, the hay was a flatland first-cut meadow hay harvested at a later stage of maturity than the forages usually reserved for hay in North Italy. The concentrates were offered in a horse-water bucket, and no refusal presence was verified. The chemical composition of the feedstuff used in this study is summarized in Table 2. The experimental period lasted 20 days for each trial: 14 days of adaptation to the diet, followed by 6 days of total collection

of feces, according to Martin-Rosset *et al.* (1984). The body weight of the horses was recorded at the start and at the end of each trial.

### *Sampling and analytical determinations*

Ten large prismatic bales (~350 kg each) from the same permanent meadow were used for all the trials. The horses were supplied with half of the rations at 0900 h and the remaining half at 1700 h. The hay and concentrates were sampled daily during the feeding trials immediately before they were fed to the horses and then pooled to obtain representative samples. Individual diet quantities were weighed and sampled daily during the 6-day collection period.

The total collection of feces was carried out daily at 0800 h and at 1600 h using a suitable permanent device (horse diaper), which allows feces to be collected separately from urine. The use of a horse diaper prevents forced reclusion of the animals in digestibility stalls and thus allows the horses to walk and roam around freely. The total individual daily feces were weighed, mixed and a 10% daily aliquot was sampled and kept at -30°C until analysis. The feces samples of each horse were dried and then 6-day cumulative samples were pooled by mixing daily samples.

The fecal samples of each horse were dried for 2 days in a forced-draft oven at 65°C to a constant weight. The feed and fecal samples were dried for 8 h at 105°C to determine the DM content. All the dried samples were ground in a 1-mm screen Cyclotec mill.

The feces and feed samples were analyzed for CP and ash, according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2004). The CP content was calculated using Kjeldahl nitrogen, and the OM content was calculated using the sample ash content. The AIA concentrations were determined according to Van Keulen and Young (1977). The GE was measured using an adiabatic calorimeter bomb (IKA C7000, Stafen, Germany). The NDF, ADF and ADL were determined according to Van Soest *et al.* (1991). The ether extract (EE) content was analyzed using Soxhlet extraction according to AOAC (2004).

### *Calculations and statistical analyses*

The digestion coefficients of the hay, concentrates and total rations were calculated for DM, OM, GE, CP and NDF on the basis of the total collection and using AIA as the marker. The apparent digestibility of the hay and rations was calculated using the total collection of feces for each horse and for each diet according to Martin-Rosset *et al.* (1984):

$$d(\%) = (\text{ingested amounts} \\ - \text{excreted amounts}) / \text{ingested amounts}$$

The apparent digestibility of the wheat bran or extruded flax alone was calculated from the digestion coefficients of the hay and the rations according to Martin-Rosset *et al.* (1984):

$$dC = [dR - (f \times dF)] / c$$

where dC is the concentrate digestibility, dR is the ration digestibility, dF is the hay digestibility (previously determined), f is the percentage of forage (hay) in the ration and c stands for the percentage of the concentrate in the ration.

The calculation of the DM digestibility using the AIA technique was carried out as follows:

$$\text{DM digestibility (\%)} = (1 - A/B) \times 100$$

in which A and B represent the AIA concentrations in the feed and feces, respectively. The digestibility of OM, GE, CP and NDF was calculated as follows:

$$\text{X digestibility (\%)} = [1 - (A/B) \times (XB/XA)] \times 100$$

in which XA and XB represent the OM, GE, CP or NDF concentrations in the feed and feces, respectively.

The apparent digestion coefficients of the diets and concentrates were analyzed using the Student *t*-test for paired samples to underline the differences between the two methods, using the SPSS statistics package (version 11.5; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). The individual horse was considered as the experimental unit, and the method used to determine digestibility was considered as the factor of influence. The data are presented as the mean and s.d. Differences were considered statistically significant for *P*-values < 0.05.

## Results

The intake levels of the trials are reported in Table 1. The lowest and the highest intakes were found in the horses fed the diet with extruded flax and hay alone, respectively. No refusal was observed during the trials.

The hay used in the diets was considered to be of medium to low quality, because of frequent rain that occurred during harvesting. Nevertheless, the hay quality was representative of the kind of forage that is normally used in horse feeding in Northern Italy. The chemical composition of the wheat bran and extruded flax was characterized by a CP content of 17.8% and 22.0%, respectively, and an EE content of 4.7% and 38.3%, respectively (Table 2).

The digestion coefficients of the diets, obtained from both the total collection and the AIA methods, and those of the concentrates, obtained through calculations, are reported in Table 3. No significant difference was found between the two methods in each trial for the measured digestion coefficients; this highlights the suitability of both methods to study the apparent digestibility of horse diets. The digestion coefficients calculated for the concentrates did not show any significant difference between the methods.

The DM apparent digestion coefficients of the complete diet ranged from 40.4% to 50.1% when the total collection of feces method was used and from 39.7% to 53.1% when the AIA method was used. Assuming no associative effects, the calculated digestibility of the wheat bran and extruded flax was 61.0% and 45.2%, respectively, when the total collection of feces was used, and 60.6% and 49.4% for the AIA method.

The OM digestion coefficients of the diets ranged from 45.8% to 55.9% for the total collection of feces method and from 46.2% to 56.9% for the AIA method. The OM digestion coefficients of the two concentrates ranged from 49.5% to 69.0% for the total collection of feces and from 49.5% to 69.4% when the AIA method was applied.

The GE and CP digestion coefficients showed higher values in the hay plus wheat bran diet than those found in the other two experimental diets. This is because of the higher digestibility of wheat bran compared with hay alone

**Table 1** Live weight of horses fed experimental diets and intake level (mean  $\pm$  s.d.)

	Diet 1 (hay)	Diet 2 (hay/wheat bran)	Diet 3 (hay/extruded flax)
Horses (n)	6	6	6
Live weight (kg)	543 $\pm$ 81	540 $\pm$ 76	542 $\pm$ 73
Forage/concentrate	100/0	60/40	80/20
Intake level (g DM/kg W <sup>0.75</sup> )	88.3 $\pm$ 7.5	81.7 $\pm$ 2.8	76.1 $\pm$ 1.3

**Table 2** Chemical composition (% DM) and GE content (MJ/kg DM) of the diets and concentrates

	Diet 1 (hay)	Diet 2 (hay/wheat bran)	Diet 3 (hay/extruded flax)	Wheat bran	Extruded flax
DM	92.0	91.0	92.9	89.4	96.3
OM	88.8	90.9	89.7	94.2	93.3
CP	6.2	10.8	9.5	17.8	22.0
NDF	70.5	60.2	60.9	44.5	24.0
ADF	38.9	28.6	32.6	12.9	8.2
ADL	5.3	4.5	4.8	3.4	3.0
EE	1.8	2.9	9.3	4.7	38.3
GE	17.1	18.0	19.1	19.2	26.4
AIA	4.7	2.8	3.7	0.05	0.1

GE = gross energy; DM = dry matter; OM = organic matter; EE = ether extract; AIA = acid-insoluble ash.

**Table 3** Digestibility coefficients (%) obtained from the TC of feces and internal marker (AIA) analysis (mean  $\pm$  s.d.)

	Method	Diet 1(hay)	Diet 2 (hay/wheat bran)	Diet 3 (hay/extruded flax)	Wheat bran	Extruded flax
DM	TC	42.1 $\pm$ 1.8	50.1 $\pm$ 3.3	40.4 $\pm$ 2.3	61.0 $\pm$ 5.9	45.2 $\pm$ 5.7
	AIA	45.5 $\pm$ 2.8	53.1 $\pm$ 5.4	39.7 $\pm$ 4.0	60.6 $\pm$ 13.9	49.4 $\pm$ 14.6
OM	TC	46.1 $\pm$ 1.8	55.9 $\pm$ 3.1	45.8 $\pm$ 2.8	69.0 $\pm$ 5.0	49.5 $\pm$ 9.4
	AIA	47.6 $\pm$ 4.9	56.9 $\pm$ 5.7	46.2 $\pm$ 7.3	69.4 $\pm$ 9.7	51.2 $\pm$ 15.0
GE	TC	42.1 $\pm$ 2.4	54.0 $\pm$ 3.0	45.3 $\pm$ 2.4	69.5 $\pm$ 4.2	49.4 $\pm$ 6.6
	AIA	43.7 $\pm$ 6.2	55.1 $\pm$ 5.3	50.4 $\pm$ 7.9	73.2 $\pm$ 7.4	52.3 $\pm$ 12.3
CP	TC	54.8 $\pm$ 1.9	73.2 $\pm$ 3.3	53.5 $\pm$ 3.9	82.3 $\pm$ 3.8	55.8 $\pm$ 2.2
	AIA	56.1 $\pm$ 4.7	73.7 $\pm$ 4.1	52.4 $\pm$ 7.7	82.5 $\pm$ 4.2	55.6 $\pm$ 5.6
NDF	TC	43.4 $\pm$ 1.9	44.8 $\pm$ 3.8	33.6 $\pm$ 5.2	48.2 $\pm$ 9.9	-3.1 $\pm$ 45.8
	AIA	45.0 $\pm$ 5.0	48.5 $\pm$ 6.5	38.9 $\pm$ 9.9	53.5 $\pm$ 15.4	22.6 $\pm$ 84.5

TC = total collection; AIA = acid-insoluble ash; DM = dry matter; OM = organic matter; GE = gross energy.

or hay plus extruded flax. Moreover, the NDF digestion coefficient of hay plus extruded flax diet was lower than those of hay alone and hay plus wheat bran diets.

## Discussion

In previous studies, we assessed the digestibility of feeds on several occasions by comparing the internal markers method and the total collection method. In particular, we studied the digestion coefficients of various types of hay (Corino *et al.*, 1996; Bergero *et al.*, 2004), haylages (Bergero *et al.*, 2002; Bergero and Peiretti, 2009) and a large number of concentrates, which mainly provided energy (cereals and their by-products; Miraglia *et al.*, 1999a, 2006a and 2006b; Peiretti *et al.*, 2006).

The coefficients obtained using the AIA method were comparable with those obtained from the total collection of feces method, and the former method could therefore be used instead of the total collection method. In addition, studies carried out in the past showed that the results obtained using AIA as a marker for digestion coefficients did not differ from those obtained through the total collection of feces (Orton *et al.*, 1985; Miraglia *et al.*, 1999a), whereas other authors obtained results with minimal differences between the two techniques (Bergero *et al.*, 2004). Nevertheless, some issues emerged while analyzing the individual results on apparent digestion coefficients. First, there was a greater variability of the coefficients obtained when the AIA method was adopted, probably because of technical difficulties in the feeds sampling, which were related to an uneven distribution of AIA in the feeds. Similar problems were encountered in another work using AIA-rich hay (Bergero *et al.*, 2004).

No associative effects were observed in horses by Martin-Rosset and Dulphy (1987) and Vermorel *et al.* (1991) between dietary components and diet digestibility, whereas Palmgren Karlsson *et al.* (2000) found a negative associative effect on digestibility in horses fed different ratios of grass hay and whole oats. Peiretti *et al.* (2009) showed that the addition of crushed or flaked barley to first-cut meadow hay improves the digestibility of the ration in horse and flaked barley was found to be more digestible than crushed barley.

The digestion coefficients of the hay in this experiment are in agreement with previous experiments carried out on similar forages produced in Italy (Miraglia *et al.*, 2008; Bergero *et al.*, 2004).

Smolders *et al.* (1990) were successful in determining a consistent digestion coefficient of protein concentrate using a higher percentage of concentrate in the diet, and found similar OM digestion coefficients for wheat bran. Tagaki *et al.* (2002) suggested that horses had a better ability to utilize the dietary CP of wheat bran with flour, but the ability of horses to utilize dietary energy was not any better than that of pigs or beef cattle.

A second issue concerns the results obtained when using extruded flaxseed. This concentrate showed a lower digestibility than flaxseed meal fed to thoroughbreds in Japan (Tagaki *et al.*, 2002). The hay plus extruded flax diet showed a lower CP digestion coefficient than that found in growing horses fed a diet supplemented with 15% of flaxseed meal (Hintz *et al.*, 1971). Reitnour and Salsbury (1972) found that the cecal administration of linseed meal increased the digestibility of total protein and increased nitrogen retention, but DM digestion was significantly decreased when linseed meal was infused. Smolders *et al.* (1990) determined OM digestibility of many compound feeds for horse rations and found higher values for a compound feed containing linseed expeller plus other more digestible ingredients supplying the whole compound feed at 70% (it could be calculated that ~16% of the diet was linseed expeller). Comparisons of the two situations are difficult because of the different linseed sources used and differences in the general ration design, but the idea that expeller is more digestible than whole seed could be studied in further trials.

The lower digestibility of diet 3 and the negative result reported in our study for the NDF digestion coefficient of extruded flaxseed have been confirmed in previous researches, where similar results were found for other concentrates (Miraglia *et al.*, 2006a, 2006b and 2008). This is a general problem of total digestibility trials in horses, and it is probably because of the interaction between hay and concentrates. The problem of interaction has already been pointed out by Martin-Rosset and Dulphy (1987), and it

requires further research, in particular for new concentrates that are rich in fiber.

In conclusion, the use of AIA as an internal marker in digestibility trials leads, on average, to values similar to those obtained with the total collection of feces, and can therefore be a less-expensive method to determine apparent digestion coefficients. Nevertheless, the total collection of feces should still be considered the best choice to determine the digestibility of some specific feedstuffs and namely to design a reference feeds table.

## References

- Almeida MI, Lopez Donzeles J, Leao MI and Cecon PR 2001. Internal and external markers to estimate the apparent digestibility of nutrients in horses diets In Proceedings of the 17th Equine Nutrition and Physiology Symposium, Lexington, KY, USA, pp. 477–481. Equine Science Society, Champlain, IL, USA.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) 2004. Official methods of analysis vol. 2, 18th edition. AOAC, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Bergero D and Peiretti PG 2009. Intake and apparent digestibility of the first cut meadow hay or haylage diets fed to ponies. Proceedings of the 13th Congress of the European Society of Veterinary and Comparative Nutrition, Oristano, Italy, p. 139.
- Bergero D, Peiretti PG and Cola E 2002. Intake and apparent digestibility of perennial ryegrass haylages fed to ponies either at maintenance or at work. *Livestock Production Science* 77, 325–329.
- Bergero D, Miraglia N, Abba C and Polidori M 2004. Apparent digestibility of Mediterranean forages determined by total collection of faeces and acid-insoluble ash as internal marker. *Livestock Production Science* 85, 235–238.
- Bergero D, Préfontaine C, Miraglia N and Peiretti PG 2009. A comparison between the 2N and 4N HCl acid-insoluble ash methods for digestibility trials in horses. *Animal* 3, 1728–1732.
- Corino C and Miraglia N, 1996. Determination of the organic matter digestibility and prediction of the U.F.C. value of Mediterranean forages in horses. Proceedings of the 47th EAAP Meeting, Lillehammer, Norway, Horse Commission, Session-H 4: Nutrition, p. 11.
- Cuddeford D and Hughes D 1990. A comparison between chromium-mordanted hay and acid-insoluble ash to determine apparent digestibility of a chaffed, molassed hay/straw mixture. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 22, 122–125.
- Hintz HF, Schryver HF and Lowe JE 1971. Comparison of a blend of milk products and linseed meal as protein supplements for young growing horses. *Journal of Animal Science* 33, 1274–1277.
- Martin-Rosset W and Dulphy JP 1987. Digestibility interactions between forages and concentrates in horses: Influence of feeding level – comparison with sheep. *Livestock Production Science* 17, 263–276.
- Martin-Rosset W, Andrieu J, Vermorel M and Dulphy JP 1984. Valeur nutritive des aliments pour le cheval. In *Le cheval. Reproduction, sélection, alimentation, exploitation* (ed. R Jarrige and W Martin Rosset), pp. 209–238. Inra, Paris, France.
- Martin-Rosset W, Vermorel M, Doreau M, Tisserand JL and Andrieu J 1994. The French horse feed evaluation systems and recommended allowances for energy and protein. *Livestock Production Science* 40, 37–56.
- Miraglia N, Bergero D, Bassano B, Tarantola M and Ladetto G 1999a. Studies of apparent digestibility in horses and the use of internal markers. *Livestock Production Science* 60, 21–25.
- Miraglia N, Bergero D, Polidori M, Gagliardi D and Tarantola M 1999b. Diet digestibility in a horse affected by chronic enteritis. Proceedings of the Conference New findings in equine practices, Campobasso, Italy, pp. 15–19.
- Miraglia N, Bergero D, Polidori M, Peiretti PG and Ladetto G 2006a. The effect of a new fibre rich concentrate on the digestibility of horse rations. *Livestock Science* 100, 10–13.
- Miraglia N, Costantini M, Polidori M, Bergero D, Meineri G and Peiretti PG 2006b. Apparent digestibility of mixed feed in by-products in horse diets. *Ippologia* 17, 5–8.
- Miraglia N, Polidori M, Bergero D, Meineri G and Peiretti PG 2008. Apparent digestibility of a dietetic feed in equine nutrition. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* 6, 295–298.
- Orton RK, Hume ID and Leng RA 1985. Effects of exercise and level of dietary protein on digestive function in horses. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 17, 386–390.
- Palmgren Karlsson C, Lindberg JE and Rundgren M 2000. Associative effects on total tract digestibility in horses fed different ratios of grass hay and whole oats. *Livestock Production Science* 65, 143–153.
- Parkins JJ, Snow DH and Adams S 1982. The apparent digestibility of 'complete diet' cubes given to thoroughbred horses and use of chromic oxide as internal faecal marker. *British Veterinary Journal* 138, 350–355.
- Peiretti PG, Meineri G, Miraglia N, Mucciarelli M and Bergero D 2006. Intake and apparent digestibility of hay or hay plus concentrate diets determined in horses by total collection of faeces and *n*-alkanes as internal markers. *Livestock Science* 100, 189–194.
- Peiretti PG, Miraglia N, Meineri G, Costantini M and Bergero D 2009. Apparent digestibility of crushed and flaked barley in horse diets. *Ippologia* 20, 17–21.
- Reitnour CM and Salsbury RL 1972. Digestion and utilization of cecally infused protein by the equine. *Journal of Animal Science* 35, 1190–1193.
- Smolders EAA, Steg A and Hindle VA 1990. Organic matter digestibility in horses and its prediction. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science* 38, 435–447.
- Tagaki H, Hashimoto Y, Yonemochi C, Asai Y, Yoshida T, Ohta Y, Ishibashi T and Watanabe R 2002. Digestibility of nutrients of roughages determined by total feces collection method in thoroughbreds. *Journal of Equine Science* 13, 23–27.
- Van Keulen J and Young BA 1977. Evaluation of acid-insoluble ash as natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *Journal of Animal Science* 44, 282–287.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74, 3583–3597.
- Vermorel M and Martin-Rosset W 1997. Concepts, scientific bases, structure and validation of the French horse net energy system (UFC). *Livestock Production Science* 47, 261–275.
- Vermorel M, Martin-Rosset W and Vernet J 1991. Energy utilization of two diets for maintenance by horses; agreement with the new French net energy system. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 11, 33–35.