

# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in *Small Ruminant Research, Volume 108, Issues 1-3, November 2012, Pages 12-21, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2012.06.007*.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en), [+ *Digital Object Identifier link to the published journal article on Elsevier's ScienceDirect*® *platform*]

## \*Manuscript

1	Changes in goat milk fatty acids during abrupt transition from indoor to pasture diet
2	
3	Manuela Renna, Carola Lussiana, Paolo Cornale, Riccardo Fortina, Antonio Mimosi
4	
5	Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche, via L. da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy
6	
7	Corresponding author: Manuela Renna, Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche, via L. da
8	Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy. Telephone: +39 011 6708576. Fax: +39 011
9	6708563. manuela.renna@unito.it
10	
11	Email addresses: manuela.renna@unito.it, carola.lussiana@unito.it,
12	paolo.cornale@unito.it, riccardo.fortina@unito.it, antonio.mimosi@unito.it
13	
14	ABSTRACT
15	Goal of this study was to evaluate the kinetics of goat milk fatty acids during abrupt
16	transition from indoor to pasture-based diets. Twelve Valdostana goats in mid-lactation
17	reared indoors and fed hay and concentrates for 40 days were abruptly brought outdoors
18	on natural pasture and fed fresh grass ad libitum. Feed samples and individual milk
19	samples were collected for fatty acids analysis on the last day of indoor feeding (day 0)
20	and after 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13, 18 and 23 days of fresh grass feeding. Milk fatty acid
21	composition was significantly affected by sampling day. Significant changes already took
22	place few days after transition. The most marked and consistent variations occurred at the
23	expense of some unsaturated fatty acids. Total trans-octadecenoic and trans-
24	octadecadienoic acids, conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) and omega-3 fatty acids constantly
25	increased, reaching concentrations 4.0, 3.0, 3.9, and 2.2 times higher at the end of the trial
26	than at its beginning, respectively. On the last sampling day the omega-6/omega-3 fatty

27 acids ratio was two times lower than its initial value. Considering individual fatty acids, 28 the most consistent and remarkable increasing trends throughout the trial were observed 29 for C18:1 t6-11, C18:1 t12-14+c6-8, C18:1 c14+t16, C18:2 t11c15, C18:2 c9t13+t8c12, 30 CLA isomers c9t11+t7c9+t8c10 and t11c13+c9c11. Alpha-linolenic and eicosapentaenoic 31 acids also increased significantly, but to a lesser extent. In view of the many beneficial 32 biological effects that have been attributed to vaccenic acid (C18:1 t11), rumenic acid 33 (C18:2 c9t11), and omega-3 fatty acids, results showed that, from a human health 34 perspective, goat milk fatty acid composition consistently improved after transition from 35 indoor to pasture feeding. Such improvements, mainly due to the high content of  $\alpha$ linolenic acid in pasture plants, were already significant after two or three days of fresh 36 grass feeding. Further increases of beneficial fatty acids in milk fat were observed till 37 38 about thirteen (vaccenic acid and CLA) or twenty-three (omega-3 fatty acids) days after 39 transition. These results show that pasture can be considered a natural feeding strategy to 40 quickly enhance the healthfulness of goat milk fat.

41

42 **Keywords**: goat milk, fatty acids, transition, grazing

43

#### 44 **INTRODUCTION**

45 The positive effects of fresh grass-based diets on the fatty acid (FA) profile and the 46 nutritional quality of dairy fat have been recognized broadly (Morand-Fehr et al., 2007). 47 Such improvement is related to both increased milk concentrations of polyunsaturated FA (e.g., omega-3 FA and conjugated linoleic acid) which are known to exert many putative 48 49 beneficial effects for human health (Barcelo-Coblijn and Murphy, 2009; Benjamin and 50 Spener, 2009) and decreased concentrations of saturated FA (particularly lauric, myristic, 51 and palmitic acids) able to raise risk factors for cardiovascular diseases (Ohlsson, 2010). Modifications and persistency of ruminant milk FA concentrations determined by the 52

53 transition from indoor to fresh grass feeding have been investigated in a limited number of 54 studies in cows and sheep only. Kuzdzal-Savoie and Kuzdzal (1961) first reported a 55 notable and fast increase in the amount of conjugated dienes and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (C18:3) 56 c9c12c15, ALA) in butter from dairy cows as an effect of either sudden or gradual change 57 from a winter ration to a pasture-based diet. Similarly, Decaen and Ghadaki (1970) 58 observed that a gradual change from a winter diet consisting of hay, silage, and 59 concentrate to a zero-grazing system induced fast modifications in the FA composition of 60 cow milk fat. Particularly, they observed a decrease in the proportion of short- (SCFA) 61 and medium-chain (MCFA) fatty acids and contemporarily an increase in long-chain fatty 62 acids (LCFA). More recently, Khanal et al. (2008) reported stearic acid (C18:0), oleic acid 63 (C18:1 c9), vaccenic acid (C18:1 t11, VA), conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) and ALA to 64 increase, and most of the SCFA and MCFA to decrease, during abrupt transition of dairy 65 cows from a total mixed ration to a full-grazing diet. In their study, the majority of FA 66 changed daily before stabilizing around 22-23 days after transition. Coppa et al. (2011) also investigated the kinetics of milk FA in dairy cows during rapid or progressive 67 68 transition from hay- to alpine pasture-based diets. These authors showed that many FA 69 (almost all saturated ones, linoleic acid – C18:2 c9c12, LA - and ALA) became stable 70 after five days in both transitions, while both VA and the most abundant among CLA 71 isomers (C18:2 c9t11, rumenic acid, RA) reached maximum and stable concentrations 72 about two weeks after the maximum fresh herbage intake.

In sheep, Biondi et al. (2008) reported that the major changes in milk FA occurred during the first three days following an abrupt transition from indoor to pasture diet, being predominantly attributable to unsaturated fatty acids (UFA). Particularly, they observed significant increases of VA, RA, and ALA, as well as a notable decrease in the concentration of LA and in the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acids ratio.

78 To the best of our knowledge, no information is currently available on the rate of change

and persistency in goat milk FA during transition from indoor to fresh grass feeding. The
objective of this work was, therefore, to examine the kinetics of responses of goat milk FA
during abrupt change from a winter diet based on hay and concentrates to a full-grazing
diet on natural pasture.

83

#### 84 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 85 Animals feeding and management

The experiment was carried out in a farm located in North-Western Italy (latitude: 45°02'51'' N; longitude: 07°19'10'' E; altitude: 643 m a.s.l.) and breeding a flock of 40 Valdostana goats. The experimental period covered a total of 24 days, from March 29 to April 21, 2011.

90 Twelve goats were used in the experiment (days in milk at the beginning of the trial: 91 126 $\pm$ 6; number of lactation: 2.2 $\pm$ 0.4), with an average body condition score of 3.0 $\pm$ 0.5 92 (Hervieu and Morand-Fehr, 1999). The goats were maintained indoor for 40 days 93 (February 18 to March 29, pre-experimental period and first day of experimental period) when they were fed exclusively with 1.5 kg head<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> of hay (first cut) and 0.4 kg head<sup>-1</sup> 94 day<sup>-1</sup> of commercial concentrate containing maize and wheat bran. Means and standard 95 96 deviations of milk yield and milk fat, protein and lactose percentages at the beginning of the pre-experimental period were equal to 1.17±0.306 kg head<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, 42.2±0.52 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, 97 31.3±0.22 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 43.4±0.26 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. From midday of March 30 (day 1) the 98 99 goats were abruptly brought outdoor and exclusively fed with fresh grass from natural 100 pasture (main species: Lolium perenne L., Trifolium pratense L., and Poa spp.) ad libitum. 101 The pasture area was flat and adjacent to the farm. The goats were manually milked 102 indoors twice a day (at 6.00 h and 18.00 h). They were allowed to graze during the 103 milking interval while they were maintained indoors during the night. The goats had free 104 access to water and mineralized salt blocks during both pasture and indoor housing.

### 105 **Sampling procedure and laboratory analyses of milk**

106 Individual milk yield was recorded on a daily basis; individual milk samples were 107 collected at the afternoon milkings ten times during the trial: on the last day of indoor 108 feeding (day 0) and on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13, 18 and 23 of pasture feeding. Two aliquots 109 of each sample were taken for laboratory analysis. One aliquot (50 mL) was immediately stored at 4°C with a preservative and subsequently transported to the laboratory for the 110 111 analysis of fat, protein, and lactose (MilkoScan FT 6000, Foss Electric, Hillerød, 112 Denmark). The second aliquot (150 mL) was frozen at -20°C and successively analyzed 113 for FA composition as previously reported by Renna et al. (2012). Peaks were identified 114 by injecting pure FAME standards (Sigma-Aldrich, Milano, Italy; Matreva Inc., Pleasant 115 Gap, PA, USA and Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA, USA) and by comparison with the 116 chromatogram published by Collomb and Bühler (2000). Quantification was assessed by 117 using nonanoic acid as internal standard. The results are expressed as absolute values as g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat. 118

#### 119 Sampling procedure and laboratory analyses of feed

120 The hay and concentrate fed to the goats during the indoor period were collected on day 0. For herbage sampling, the pasture area was subdivided in plots of 200  $m^2$  each. A sub-area 121 of 200 cm<sup>2</sup> was considered for sampling at every intersection among plots. Pasture 122 samples were collected following the same time schedule (days 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13, 18, and 123 124 23) as for the collection of milk samples. The grazing behavior of the goats was observed 125 at each sampling date. After closely observation, hand-plucked forage samples, simulating 126 plants parts consumed by the goats, were collected from pasture. Two aliquots of each 127 herbage sample were transported to the laboratory in a portable refrigerator at 4°C and 128 then frozen at -80°C. Before the chemical analysis, the first aliquot of each herbage 129 sample was dried at 40°C for 24 h; hay, concentrate and dried herbage samples were then 130 ground with a cutting mill to pass a 1-mm screen sieve (Pulverisette 15 - Fritsch GmbH,

131 Idar-Oberstein, Germany). Samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude protein 132 (CP), ether extract (EE), ash, neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and acid detergent fiber 133 (ADF) according to AOAC procedures (2000). The second aliquot of herbage samples 134 was freeze-dried (Edwards MF 1000, Milano, Italy) and ground. This aliquot as well as 135 both grounded hay and concentrate were used for the assessment of the FA composition as 136 reported by Alves et al. (2008). FAME were separated and quantified by using the same 137 analytical instruments and temperature program described for the analysis of milk 138 samples. The injection volume was 0.5 µL. Peaks were identified by injecting pure FAME 139 standards (Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA, USA) and by comparison with the 140 chromatogram published by Alves et al. (2008). Quantification was assessed by using 141 heptadecanoic acid as internal standard. The results are expressed as absolute values as mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DM. 142

#### 143 Statistical analysis

144 The goat was considered as the experimental unit. Changes in milk yield, main 145 constituents and FA were analyzed using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS (2006) 146 according to the following model:

147  $Y_{ijk} = \mu + D_i + G_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ ,

148 where  $Y_{ijk}$  = mean of response variable,  $\mu$  = population mean,  $D_i$  = effect of day,  $G_j$  = 149 random effect of goat, and  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  = experimental error. Pairwise multiple comparisons were 150 assessed by using the PDIFF option in SAS. Significance was declared at P $\leq$ 0.05.

151

#### 152 **RESULTS**

#### 153 Characteristics of the feedstuffs

154 The chemical and FA compositions of hay, commercial concentrate, and fresh grass are155 presented in Table 1.

156 In fresh grass samples, DM and fiber contents increased while CP decreased from day 1 to157 day 23, following the advance of plants' age.

158 Hay, concentrate and fresh grass strongly differed in their FA amounts and compositions. 159 The concentrate showed 1.8-fold and 4.0-fold higher total FA amounts when compared to 160 fresh grass and hay, respectively. On average, the fresh grass had a total FA concentration 161 approximately doubled than that detected in hay. Alpha-linolenic acid was the most 162 abundant FA in fresh grass, accounting on average for the 62% of total FA. Palmitic and 163 linoleic acids showed similar concentrations, accounting for the 16 and 14% of total FA, 164 respectively. Alpha-linolenic, palmitic and linoleic acids were also the most representative 165 FA of hav, together accounting for about the 83% of total FA. However, the relative 166 contribution of ALA was lower (33% of total FA) in hay if compared to fresh grass. 167 Linoleic acid was, instead, the prevailing FA in the concentrate, accounting for about the 168 57% of total FA. It was followed by oleic and palmitic acids, both representing about the 169 18-19% of total detected FA. ALA represented only about the 2% of total FA in the 170 concentrate. The total FA content and both concentration and proportion of ALA 171 decreased from the beginning till the end of the trial (data not shown).

#### 172 Milk yield and gross composition

173 Milk yield and gross composition were significantly affected by sampling day ( $P \le 0.001$ ; 174 Table 2). Milk yield maintained similar values as that observed the last day of stall feeding 175 till day 9 (showing the absolute minimum levels on days 2 and 3 after transition), and then 176 slightly increased reaching the absolute highest value on day 23. Fat production started to 177 increase significantly the second day after transition and continued to increase till the end 178 of the experiment. The fat percentage of milk significantly increased the second day after 179 transition as well, but no significant variations were observed for this parameter in the 180 following sampling days. Protein percentage, protein production and lactose production 181 tended to increase as well, while no clear increasing or decreasing trend was observed for 182 the percentage of lactose in milk.

### 183 Milk fatty acid composition

184 Results of groups of FA and individual FA are reported in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.
185 The FA profile of milk fat was affected by days on pasture to a great extent. Only two FA

186 (C18:1 t5 and C18:2 t10c12) were not significantly influenced by sampling day.

Total SCFA and MCFA started to decrease significantly the second day after transition 187 188 (day 2) and they continued to decrease till day 4 (1.2 and 1.5 times lower values on day 4 189 relative to values on day 0, respectively). Subsequently SCFA rose again, reaching at the 190 end of the trial concentrations that did not significantly differ from the concentration 191 observed the last day of stall feeding. A similar trend also occurred for MCFA, but their 192 concentration was still significantly lower at the end of the trial than at its beginning. 193 Conversely, LCFA rapidly and markedly increased the second day after diet change and 194 then significantly declined from day 6 till the end of the trial. Starting from day 9 milk 195 LCFA concentrations were not statistically different from the value recorded on day 0.

Total saturated fatty acids (SFA) underwent a conspicuous drop from day 1 to day 4 subsequent to transition. From day 6 SFA increased again, but their levels remained generally lower if compared to day 0. Total branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA) declined as well from day 1 to day 4. From day 4 to day 9 they remained quite constant and finally slightly increased, so that in the period from day 13 to day 23 their levels did not statistically differ from the value observed on day 0.

Temporal changes in the concentration of total monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) showed a sharp increase from day 1 to days 2-3. MUFA levels then significantly declined till the end of the trial when they reached their absolute minimum levels. The trend observed for total polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) was much clearer, as this group of FA continuously rose until the sixth day after transition, thereafter remaining constant. PUFA were 1.7 times higher on day 23 than on day 0. On day 3 total *trans*-octadecenoic ( $\Sigma$  C18:1 *trans*) and *trans*-octadecadienoic ( $\Sigma$  C18:2 *trans*) acids showed values already 1.8 and 1.6 times higher than those observed on day 0, respectively. These groups of FA continued to increase markedly till the end of the experiment. The highest values (about four and three times higher than the values recorded the last day of stall feeding, respectively) were observed the last two ( $\Sigma$  C18:1 *trans*) or three ( $\Sigma$  C18:2 *trans*) sampling days.

214 Under the chromatographic conditions applied in this trial, the most abundant among 215 trans-octadecenoic acids in milk fat (vaccenic acid - C18:1 t11) coeluted with other C18:1 216 trans-isomers (C18:1 t6-10). This sum (C18:1 t6-11) as well as the values recorded for 217 other detected *trans*-octadecenoic isomers, particularly C18:1 *t*12-14 (which coeluted with 218 C18:1 c6-8 isomers) and C18:1 t16 (which coeluted with C18:1 c14), started to increase 219 significantly three days after turning out to pasture. C18:1 t6-11 isomers continued to 220 increase till day 13 and then maintained constant values (approximately four times higher 221 than the value observed on day 0). C18:1 t12-14+c6-8 and C18:1 c14+t16 isomers reached 222 their absolute highest concentrations on days 18 and 23, with values 2.8 and 2.1 times 223 higher than those recorded the last day of indoor feeding, respectively.

224 Considering individual CLA isomers, in the applied chromatographic conditions C18:2 225 c9t11, C18:2 t7c9 and C18:2 t8c10 coeluted in a single peak in the chromatogram. Their 226 sum represented on average the 97% of total CLA. They started to increase the third day 227 after transition and continued to increase significantly until day 13, thereafter maintaining 228 constant concentrations. The raise was conspicuous: values observed the last three 229 sampling days were up to 3.6 times higher than the value observed on day 0. The sum of 230 these three CLA isomers showed high individual variability among the goats involved in the trial. In fact, it varied between 3.33 and 7.77 g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat at the beginning of the trial (day 231 0) and between 11.79 and 22.96 g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat the last sampling day. About two-fold variation 232 233 was constantly maintained among individual goats all along the experiment. However, the ranking of individual goats for CLA content was not stable throughout the trial. The sums CLA c9t11+t7c9+t8c10 and C18:1 t6-11 were strongly correlated each other (r=0.97; P≤0.001).

237 CLA isomers t11c13 and c9c11 coeluted in the chromatogram. The third day after 238 transition from the winter diet to full grazing their sum was already about nine times 239 higher than the initial value. Their sum continued to increase until day 13 when it reached 240 the highest absolute concentration. The contribution of these isomers to the total CLA 241 content of milk varied from 0.42% on day 0 to 2.7% on day 23. Sampling day 242 significantly affected the concentration of CLA isomer t9t11 as well. Its lowest value was 243 observed the last day of stall feeding. From day 1 to day 23 its concentration remained 244 quite constant, with the exception of days 13 and 18 when the highest values were reached 245 (about 3 times higher than the value observed on day 0).

Linoleic acid significantly increased until the third day after transition to pasture feeding.
Then it decreased, reaching concentrations up to 1.5 fold lower than those observed on
day 0.

249 Total omega-3 FA as well as ALA (which is the most abundant detected FA in this group) 250 significantly and constantly increased from the last day of stall feeding to the last 251 sampling day. At the end of the trial total omega-3 FA and ALA concentrations were 252 about two-fold higher than values recorded on day 0. Considering other omega-3 FA, a 253 clear increasing trend was also observed for C18:2 t11c15. A first significant raise 254 (approximately doubled values with respect to concentrations detected the last day of stall 255 feeding) was observed the third day after variation of the diet. Then it continued to rise 256 constantly till the last sampling day, doubling again its concentrations so that on day 23 it 257 was about four times higher than on day 0. A significant and positive correlation was 258 found between this octadecadienoic acid and the sum of CLA isomers t11c13 and c9c11259 (r=0.75; P≤0.001). Among long-chain omega-3 FA, eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5

c5c8c11c14c17, EPA) followed a similar trend as that observed for ALA and C18:2 t11c15. However, a significant increase was detected only after thirteen days after transition. Its highest concentrations were observed the last two sampling days (with values that almost doubled with respect to those observed at the beginning of the trial). Conversely, no clear positive trend was observed for docosapentaenoic acid (C22:5 c7c10c13c16c19, DPA).

The omega-6/omega-3 FA ratio started to decline the third day after switching from stall to pasture feeding. This ratio continued to decrease significantly throughout the trial up to day 13. The lowest absolute values were observed the last sampling day, when it was two times lower than its initial value.

270 Concerning  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase activity (estimated as the ratios of C16:1 *c*9 to C16:0 – DI<sub>16</sub> – 271 and C18:1 *c*9 to C18:0 – DI<sub>18</sub>), a significant increase was observed until day 4 after 272 transition (P≤0.001). However, from day 6 these ratios significantly decreased again 273 reaching the absolute lowest values at the end of the trial.

274

#### 275 **DISCUSSION**

#### 276 Milk yield and gross composition

277 The observed slight and progressive raise in milk yield and protein after transition from 278 indoor to pasture feeding could be related to increased ingested energy as reported to 279 occur in case of turning out, particularly when fresh grass is at an early growth stage. 280 Similarly, an early phenological phase of pasture plants resulted in high milk fat content 281 due to the increased intake of highly digestible fiber (Morand-Fehr et al., 2007). Besides 282 the vegetative stage of pasture plants, the diet fed during the indoor period can 283 significantly affect milk main constituents after turning out to pasture. If compared to 284 maize silage, both hay or grass silage used as winter rations were found to determine an 285 increase in milk fat content (Hoden et al., 1985). Such findings seem to be confirmed in

the current trial as milk fat significantly increased after diet change from a hay-concentrate

287 based diet to a pasture based diet with fresh grass at early vegetative stage.

#### 288 Milk fatty acid composition

The main changes in goat milk FA during lactation have been shown to occur in early lactation and have been mainly attributed to lipid mobilization as the consequence of a negative energy balance phase for the animals. A relatively stable FA pattern is instead generally observed in mid and late lactation (Ataşoğlu et al., 2009; Chilliard et al., 2003). For this reason, a confounding effect due to lactation stage on milk FA can be reasonably excluded in the current study and the observed variations are likely to be attributable to feeding aspects only.

296 Switching from a winter indoor diet (on average 70% hay and 30% concentrate) to a full 297 grazing diet resulted in a higher availability of FA (particularly polyunsaturated) to be 298 used for the synthesis of milk fat. It is known that the haymaking process notably reduces 299 the total FA and the ALA concentrations in forages (Kalač and Samková, 2010). 300 Consequently the availability of FA, and above all of ALA, increased switching the goats 301 from a prevalent hav-based diet to a fresh grass-based diet. An increased supply of LCFA 302 (especially with a high level of unsaturation) and some of their biohydrogenation 303 intermediate products (e.g., C18:1 trans and C18:2 trans isomers) have been shown to 304 inhibit the *de novo* synthesis of C8:0 to C16:0 FA within the bovine mammary gland, by 305 exerting direct and/or indirect effects on the lipogenic enzymes acetyl-CoA carboxilase 306 (ACC) and fatty acid synthase (FAS) and by reducing acetate and 3-hydroxibutyrate 307 bioavailability for mammary lipogenesis (Chilliard and Ferlay, 2004). Nonetheless, milk 308 fat synthesis and FA responses to dietary PUFA supplies are known to vary considerably 309 among ruminant species (Chilliard et al., 2007). Mammary ACC and FAS mRNA 310 abundance and/or activity have been reported to be much less affected by dietary PUFA in 311 dairy goats than cows (Bernard et al., 2009). In the current study, the results we obtained 312 concerning SCFA and MCFA suggest a valuable inhibition of *de novo* synthesis in the 313 goat mammary gland by switching from an indoor to a grazing diet. Such inhibitory effect 314 was evident just the day after the diet change and was quite remarkable as these FA 315 decreased respectively by about 20 and 30% from day 0 to day 4. Since caproic and 316 caprylic acids are partly synthesized by metabolic pathways that are independent of ACC 317 (Chilliard and Ferlay, 2004), our results seem to confirm the existence of factors other 318 than altered mammary gene expression or lipogenic enzymes (particularly ACC) activities able to exert an inhibitory effect of de novo synthesis of SCFA and MCFA in dairy goats. 319 320 The concentrations of the above-mentioned FA (particularly caproic, caprylic, and capric 321 acids) did not stabilize but significantly increased again beginning from day 6. Such 322 results suggest a possible temporal adaptation in mammary metabolism, which has already 323 been observed to occur in dairy cows even if at slower rate (Ferlay et al., 2006). In 324 addition, the observed subsequent increase in de novo synthesized FA could be also 325 related to changes in the physical characteristics of the sward grazed by the goats due to 326 maturation of plants with consequent notable changes in their FA concentrations and 327 proportions (particularly reductions in the total FA concentrations and in the proportion 328 and concentration of ALA) (Boufaïed et al., 2003; Cabiddu et al., 2009). Similar 329 proportions in the reduction of total FA and ALA in different grassland species with the 330 advance of the phenological phase (in a comparable period of time) have been also 331 reported by Wyss and Collomb (2010). Further investigations will be needed to better 332 elucidate both the mechanisms involved in the inhibition of *de novo* synthesis of SCFA 333 and MCFA and the persistency of such an effect in lactating goats.

Concerning LCFA, the trend observed for stearic acid could be ascribed to a decreasing UFA content in pasture plants during the grazing period. The decrease in oleic acid seems to be at least partly related to the lower availability of stearic acid. In fact, it is known that more than the 50% of oleic acid is formed within the mammary gland by the activity of 338  $\Delta$ 9-destaurase on stearic acid. Moreover, the decreased  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase activity (estimated 339 by DI<sub>18</sub> and probably due to a higher availability of *trans* FA (Chilliard et al., 2007)) also 340 contributed to the decrease in the concentration of oleic acid in milk.

341 As previously reported for dairy ewes (Biondi et al., 2008), the most remarkable and 342 consistent variations in goat milk FA concentrations after transition from a winter indoor 343 to a pasture diet occurred at the expense of some long chain unsaturated FA and have to be 344 mainly related to a different FA supply from the ingested feeds. The observed increasing 345 concentrations of all *trans*-octadecenoic isomers (with the exception of C18:1 t5), of many 346 non-conjugated *trans*-octadecadienoic isomers (particularly C18:2 t11c15, C18:2 347 *c*9*t*13+*t*8*c*12. C18:2 *c*9*t*12. and C18:2 ttNMID+t9t12) and CLA isomers 348 c9t11+t7c9+t8c10, t11c13+c9c11, and t9t11 after the diet change are the consequence of 349 the high content of ALA in pasture plants. In fact, ALA is well known to undergo within 350 the rumen an intense and complex biohydrogenation process carried out by the anaerobic 351 microbial flora. Many octadecatrienoic isomers (C18:3 c9t11c15 and C18:3 c9t13c15 352 among others) have been shown to be formed during the initial step of ruminal 353 biohydrogenation of ALA. These trienes are subsequently hydrogenated to a multitude of 354 non conjugated and conjugated dienes, which are in turn mainly hydrogenated to 355 monoenoic FA. C18:2 t11c15 is thought to be the major non conjugated octadecadienoic 356 isomer deriving from the biohydrogenation of ALA (hydrogenation of C18:3 c9t11c15). 357 Other non conjugated C18:2 trans-isomers are expected to be formed similarly. For 358 example, the C18:2 c9t13 isomer probably derives from reduction of the c15 double bond 359 in C18:3 c9t13c15 (Lee and Jenkins, 2011). The  $\Delta 9,12$  C18:2 isomers are thought to be mainly formed during the biohydrogenation of LA, even if a putative metabolic pathway 360 361 for their formation from ALA has been also suggested (Chilliard et al., 2007). In the 362 current trial, the observed increased concentrations of C18:2 ttNMID+t9t12 and C18:2 363 *c*9*t*12 after diet change suggest their partly formation from dietary ALA. On the contrary,

since C18:2 *t*9*c*12 tended to decrease after turning out to pasture, we could hypothesize
that ALA biohydrogenation would not be an important metabolic way for the ruminal
formation of this diene.

367 Concerning conjugated linoleic isomers, the increase in the sum of C18:2 368 c9t11+t7c9+t8c10 after turning out to pasture is presumably attributable to rumenic acid, 369 the main CLA isomer in ruminant milk fat. In fact, the other two isomers (t7c9 and t8c10)370 were previously reported to correlate positively and significantly with dietary oleic and 371 linoleic acids, respectively, while no significant correlation was found with dietary ALA 372 (Collomb et al., 2004). The increase in rumenic acid is due to similar increased 373 concentrations of C18:1 t6-11, among which the precursor (C18:1 t11) for CLA c9t11 de 374 *novo* synthesis within the mammary gland belongs. C18:1 t11 is an intermediate of the 375 biohydrogenation processes and is formed from both dietary LA and ALA. The higher 376 supply of ALA from pasture if compared to the indoor diet suggests a higher formation of 377 vaccenic acid in the rumen as well as a higher absorption into the bloodstream and 378 consequently a higher availability for desaturation mediated by the  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase enzyme 379 within the mammary gland.

380 Referring to minor CLA isomers, as only very low amounts of CLA c9c11 are usually 381 present in dairy fat (Ferlay et al., 2008), the sum CLA t11c13+c9c11 can be almost 382 completely attributed to the *t*11*c*13 isomer. Kraft et al. (2003) first hypothesized that CLA 383 t11c13 could be formed within the rumen at the third step of the biohydrogenation of 384 dietary ALA, by means of an isomerization at the expense of C18:2 t11c15. Our results 385 corroborate the hypothesis by Kraft et al. (2003) since both C18:2 t11c15 and CLA t11c13 386 significantly and rapidly increased after transition and they were significantly and 387 positively correlated each other. However, the rapid increase we observed in the sum of 388 CLA isomers t11c13 and c9c11 could be attributed to the latter isomer as well. In fact, 389 more than 50% of CLA c9c11 has also been recently reported to derive directly from the

biohydrogenation of dietary ALA (Lee and Jenkins, 2011). The same authors also reported
that CLA *t9t*11 partly derives from ALA, which could explain the observed increasing
trend of this CLA isomer in goat milk fat after transition from indoor to pasture diet.

393 As usually occurs with high-forage diets, CLA t10c12 was detected only in traces (concentrations  $\leq 0.01$  g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat) and was not significantly affected by the feeding change. 394 Besides vaccenic acid, other *trans*-octadecenoic fatty acids are known to be formed by 395 396 means of various isomerizations occurring during different steps of the biohydrogenation 397 of dietary UFA. In particular, the observed increase in the concentration of C18:1 t12-398 14+c6-8 could be attributed to C18:1 t13 and t14 isomers, which were found to be formed during the biohydrogenation of both C18:2 c9t13 and CLA  $\Delta 11,13$  (Chilliard et al., 2007). 399 400 The increased concentrations of ALA and EPA (the latter formed by means of ALA 401 desaturation and elongation processes (Barcelo-Coblijn and Murphy, 2009)) in milk fat 402 have to be related as well to the higher ALA supply from fresh grass. Their concentrations 403 in milk remained however quite low since the extent of the raise was less pronounced if 404 compared to those observed for C18:2 *t*11*c*15, C18:2 *t*11*c*13, C18:2 *tt*NMID+*t*9*t*12, C18:2 405 c9t13+t8c12, C18:1 t6-11, and C18:2 c9t11+t7c9+t8c10, probably because the 406 disappearance of ALA in the rumen is very high (usually >90% in case of high-forage 407 diets) while only a little part of ALA is absorbed intact in the gut and secreted into milk 408 (Chilliard and Ferlay, 2004). The observed consistent increasing concentrations of ALA 409 despite the lower ALA supply due to the advance of the phenological phase of the grazed 410 pasture plants suggests that the rate of disappearance of ALA probably decreased during 411 the trial.

Overall, the observed kinetics of responses of goat milk fatty acids to transition from
indoor to pasture feeding were more similar to changes already observed in dairy ewes
(Biondi et al., 2008) rather than dairy cows (Coppa et al., 2011; Khanal et al., 2008) under
comparable feeding conditions.

16

416

#### 417 CONCLUSION

418 A sudden transition of dairy goats from winter indoor to fresh grass feeding significantly 419 affected the concentrations of FA in milk already two or three days after the diet change. 420 Short- and medium-chain FA rapidly decreased by about 17% and 33% until day 4 after 421 transition, suggesting that fresh grass feeding inhibited their *de novo* synthesis within the 422 mammary gland. An adaptation to the new dietary conditions is hypothesized since from 423 day 6 these FA significantly increased again. The higher availability of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid 424 from pasture plants determined a notable increase in milk concentrations of its ruminal 425 biohydrogenation intermediates, particularly conjugated (t11c13+c9c11, t9t11) and non-426 conjugated (t11c15, c9t13+t8c12, c9t12, ttNMID+t9t12) trans-octadecadienoic acids, and 427 trans-octadecenoic acids (t6-11, t12-14+c6-8, c14+t16). The sum of CLA isomers 428 c9t11+t7c9+t8c10 also markedly increased (up to 261% at day 13), due to the higher 429 absorption and availability of C18:1 trans isomers in the mammary gland as substrates for 430  $\Delta$ 9-desaturase activity. Omega-3 FA (particularly  $\alpha$ -linolenic and eicosapentaenoic acids) 431 increased to a lesser extent (up to 93% and 85% at days 23 and 18, respectively), probably 432 because of the high rate of disappearance of dietary ALA in the rumen. The increase in 433 milk concentration of FA considered beneficial for human health went on till about 434 thirteen (vaccenic and rumenic acids) or twenty-three (omega-3 fatty acids) days after 435 transition.

436

#### 437 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by MIUR grants (ex 60%). The authors gratefully
acknowledge their colleague Vanda Malfatto, Dr. Alessandro Moschietto and the farmers
for their careful technical assistance.

441

#### 442 **REFERENCES**

- Alves, S.P., Cabrita, A.R.J., Fonseca, A.J.M., Bessa, R.J.B., 2008. Improved method for
  fatty acid analysis in herbage based on direct transesterification followed by solid-phase
  extraction. J. Chromatogr. A 1209, 212–219.
- 446 Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), 2000. Official Methods of Analysis,
- 447 17th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- 448 Ataşoğlu, C., Uysal-Pala, Ç., Karagül-Yüceer, Y., 2009. Changes in milk fatty acid
- 449 composition of goats during lactation in a semi-intensive production system. Arch.
- 450 Anim. Breed. 52(6), 627–636.
- 451 Barcelo-Coblijn, G., Murphy, E.J., 2009. Alpha-linolenic acid and its conversion to longer
- 452 chain n-3 fatty acids: benefits for human health and a role in maintaining tissue n-3 fatty
- 453 acid levels. Prog. Lipid Res. 48, 355–374.
- Benjamin, S., Spener, F., 2009. Conjugated linoleic acids as functional food: an insight
  into their health benefits. Nutr. Metab. 6, 36 doi:10.1186/1743-7075-6-36.
- 456 Bernard, L., Leroux, C., Faulconnier, Y., Durand, D., Shingfield, K.J., Chilliard, Y., 2009.
- 457 Effect of sunflower-seed oil or linseed oil on milk fatty acid secretion and lipogenic
- 458 gene expression in goats fed hay-based diets. J. Dairy Res. 76, 241–248.
- 459 Biondi, L., Valvo, M.A., Di Gloria, M., Scinadro Tenghi, E., Galofaro, V., Priolo, A.,
- 2008. Changes in ewe milk fatty acids following turning out to pasture. Small Ruminant
  Res. 75, 17–23.
- 462 Boufaïed, H., Chouinard, P.Y., Tremblay, G.F., Petit, H.V., Michaud, R., Bélanger, G.,
- 2003. Fatty acids in forages. I. Factors affecting concentrations. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 83,
  501–511.
- 465 Cabiddu, A., Decandia, M., Salis, L., Scanu, G., Fiori, M., Addis, M., Sitzia, M., Molle,
- 466 G., 2009. Effect of species, cultivar and phenological stage of different forage legumes
- 467 on herbage fatty acid composition. Ital. J. Anim. Sci. 8(Suppl. 2), 277–279.

- Chilliard, Y., Glasser, F., Ferlay, A., Bernard, L., Rouel, J., Doreau, M., 2007. Diet, rumen
  biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. Eur. J. Lipid Sci.
  Tech. 109, 828–855.
- 471 Chilliard, Y., Ferlay, A., Rouel, J., Lamberet, G., 2003. A review of nutritional and
  472 physiological factors affecting goat milk lipid synthesis and lipolysis. J. Dairy Sci. 86,
  473 1751–1770.
- Chilliard, Y., Ferlay, A., 2004. Dietary lipids and forages interactions on cow and goat
  milk fatty acid composition and sensory properties. Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 44, 467–492.
- 476 Collomb, M., Bühler, T., 2000. Analyse de la composition en acides gras de la graisse de
- 477 lait. Optimisation et validation d'une méthode générale à haute résolution. Travaux de
  478 chimie alimentaire et d'hygiène 91, 306–332.
- Collomb, M., Sieber, R., Bütikofer, U., 2004. CLA isomers in milk fat from cows fed diets
  with high levels of unsaturated fatty acids. Lipids 39, 355–364.
- 481 Coppa, M., Gorlier, A., Lonati, M., Lombardi, G., 2011. Changes in cow milk fatty acids
  482 during transition from hay- to pasture-based diets. In: Proceedings of the 10th
  483 International Meeting on Mountain Cheese, Dronero (CN), Italy, September 14-15, pp.
  484 57-58.
- 485 Decaen, C., Ghadaki, M.B., 1970. Variation de la sécrétion des acides gras des matières
  486 grasses du lait de vache à la mise à l'herbe et au cours des six premières semaines
  487 d'exploitation du fourrage vert. Ann. Zootech. 19, 399–411.
- Ferlay, A., Agabriel, C., Sibra, C., Journal, C., Martin, B., Chilliard, Y., 2008. Tanker
  milk variability in fatty acids according to farm feeding and husbandry practices in a
  French semi-mountain area. Dairy Sci. Technol. 88, 193–215.
- Ferlay, A., Martin, B., Pradel, Ph., Coulon, J.B., Chilliard, Y., 2006. Influence of grassbased diets on milk fatty acid composition and milk lipolytic system in Tarentaise and
- 493 Montbéliarde cow breeds. J. Dairy Sci. 89, 4026–4041.

- Hervieu, J., Morand-Fehr, P., 1999. Comment noter l'état corporel des chèvres. Réussir La
  Chèvre 231, 26–32 (in French).
- Hoden, A., Coulon, J.B., Dulphy, J.P., 1985. Influence de l'alimentation sur la
  composition du lait. 3. Effet des régimes alimentaires sur les taux butyreux et protéique
  (Influence of feeding on milk composition. 3. Effect of diets on milk fat and protein
- 499 contents). Bull. Techn. CRZV. Theix INRA, No. 62, pp. 69–79.
- Kalač, P., Samková, E., 2010. The effects of feeding various forages on fatty acid
  composition of bovine milk fat: A review. Czech J. Anim. Sci. 55, 521–537.
- 502 Khanal, R.C., Dhiman, T.R., Boman, R.L., 2008. Changes in fatty acid composition of
- 503 milk from lactating dairy cows during transition to and from pasture. Livest. Sci. 114,504 164–175.
- 505 Kraft, J., Collomb, M., Möckel, P., Sieber, R., Jahreis, G., 2003. Differences in CLA
  506 isomer distribution of cow's milk lipids. Lipids 38, 657–664.
- 507 Kuzdzal-Savoie, S., Kuzdzal, W., 1961. Influence de la mise à l'herbe des vaches laitières
  508 sur les indices de la matière grasse du beurre et sur les teneurs en différents acides gras
  509 poly-insaturés. Lait 41, 369–398.
- 510 Lee, Y.-J., Jenkins, T.C., 2011. Biohydrogenation of linolenic acid to stearic acid by the
- 511 rumen microbial population yields multiple intermediate conjugated diene isomers. J.
- 512 Nutr. 141, 1445–1450.
- Morand-Fehr, P., Fedele, V., Decandia, M., Le Frileux, Y., 2007. Influence of farming and
  feeding systems on composition and quality of goat and sheep milk. Small Ruminant
  Res. 68, 20–34.
- 516 Ohlsson, L., 2010. Dairy products and plasma cholesterol levels. Food & Nutrition
  517 Research 54, 5124 doi: 10.3402/fnr.v54i0.5124.
- 518 Renna, M., Cornale, P., Lussiana, C., Malfatto, V., Fortina, R., Mimosi, A., Battaglini
- 519 L.M., 2012. Use of *Pisum sativum* (L.) as alternative protein resource in diets for dairy

- 520 sheep: effects on milk yield, gross composition and fatty acid profile. Small Ruminant
- 521 Res 102, 142–150.
- 522 SAS, 2006. SAS User's Guide: Statistics, Version 9.1.3. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC,
  523 USA.
- 524 Wyss, U., Collomb, M., 2010. Fatty acid composition of different grassland species.
- 525 Grassland Science in Europe 15, 631–633.

- Table 1. Chemical composition (g kg<sup>-1</sup> DM, unless otherwise stated) and fatty acid profile (mg  $100g^{-1}$  DM) of the feed consumed by the goats.<sup>a</sup>
- 1 2 3

	Indoo	r feeding	Pasture <sup>c</sup>	
—	Hay	Concentrate <sup>b</sup>	- Pasture	
Main nutrients				
$DM (g kg^{-1})$	891	886	$198\pm11.2$	
Ash	78	31	$90 \pm 9.5$	
СР	103	136	$152\pm25.6$	
EE	35	39	$26 \pm 2.8$	
NDF	568	202	$458\pm48.8$	
ADF	322	68	$229 \pm 16.9$	
ADL	56	17	$41 \pm 0.5$	
$NE_L$ (MJ kg DM <sup>-1</sup> )	4.8	7.7	5.8	
Fatty acids				
C12	7.9	n.d.	$1.2\pm0.48$	
C14	22.6	6.4	$7.7 \pm 1.18$	
C15	n.d.	n.d.	$2.6\pm0.26$	
C16	364.5	809.2	$387.2 \pm 29.03$	
C16:1 <i>t</i> 3	33.1	4.9	$57.4 \pm 12.64$	
C16:1 <i>c</i> 9	3.3	8.9	$2.5 \pm 1.18$	
C18	49.6	56.3	$32.9\pm2.86$	
C18:1 <i>c</i> 9	70.5	823.3	$46.4 \pm 8.81$	
C18:1 <i>c</i> 11	2.9	41.2	$6.2 \pm 1.37$	
C19	n.d.	n.d.	$1.2 \pm 0.31$	
C18:2 c9c12 (LA)	188.4	2489.1	$344.0 \pm 65.49$	
C20	2.4	10.1	$12.6 \pm 1.59$	
C18:3 c6c9c12	n.d.	n.d.	$6.1 \pm 1.00$	
C18:3 c9c12c15 (ALA)	354.6	130.5	$1507.3 \pm 395.34$	
C22	n.d.	n.d.	$0.4 \pm 0.15$	
ΣSFA	446.8	881.9	$445.7 \pm 32.72$	
ΣΜUFA	109.8	878.2	$112.5 \pm 8.56$	
ΣΡυγΑ	543.1	2619.6	1857.4 ± 459.35	
TFA	1099.7	4379.7	$2415.6 \pm 492.04$	

4 5 6 7 <sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: DM, dry matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; NE<sub>L</sub>, net energy for lactation; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; TFA, total

fatty acids; n.d., not detected.

8 <sup>b</sup> Based on maize and wheat bran.

9 <sup>c</sup> Means and standard deviations of nine collected samples.

	Days on p	asture									Significance
	0	1	2	3	4	6	9	13	18	23	Significance
Yield (kg head <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.02^{\text{DE}}$	$1.05^{\text{DE}}$	0.99 <sup>E</sup>	0.99 <sup>E</sup>	1.03 <sup>DE</sup>	1.09 <sup>D</sup>	1.17 <sup>CD</sup>	$1.18^{\mathrm{BC}}$	1.25 <sup>AB</sup>	1.27 <sup>A</sup>	***
Fat $(g kg^{-1})$	41.8 <sup>B</sup>	$40.2^{\mathrm{B}}$	47.3 <sup>A</sup>	45.4 <sup>A</sup>	46.6 <sup>A</sup>	45.8 <sup>A</sup>	45.2 <sup>A</sup>	46.4 <sup>A</sup>	45.3 <sup>A</sup>	48.4 <sup>A</sup>	***
Fat (g head <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	$40.7^{\mathrm{F}}$	$41.7^{F}$	$46.8^{\text{DE}}$	$44.7^{EF}$	$46.8^{\text{DE}}$	$50.9^{\text{CD}}$	52.9 <sup>BC</sup>	54.2 <sup>BC</sup>	57.3 <sup>AB</sup>	$60.7^{A}$	***
Protein (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$30.5^{\mathrm{D}}$	31.3 <sup>CD</sup>	31.8 <sup>BC</sup>	31.7 <sup>BC</sup>	32.2 <sup>BC</sup>	33.6 <sup>A</sup>	32.4 <sup>B</sup>	34.1 <sup>A</sup>	32.5 <sup>B</sup>	34.2 <sup>A</sup>	***
Protein (g head <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	31.1 <sup>E</sup>	$32.5^{E}$	31.3 <sup>E</sup>	31.1 <sup>E</sup>	32.4 <sup>E</sup>	36.9 <sup>D</sup>	37.7 <sup>CD</sup>	$40.2^{\mathrm{BC}}$	$40.7^{B}$	43.2 <sup>A</sup>	***
Lactose (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$40.4^{\mathrm{F}}$	$40.8^{\text{DEF}}$	40.3 <sup>F</sup>	$40.1^{F}$	$41.4^{\text{DE}}$	43.7 <sup>A</sup>	41.8 <sup>CD</sup>	42.6 <sup>BC</sup>	$40.6^{\text{EF}}$	43.2 <sup>AB</sup>	***
Lactose (g head <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	$41.0^{\text{DE}}$	42.8 <sup>D</sup>	$39.7^{\text{DE}}$	39.3 <sup>E</sup>	$41.7^{\text{DE}}$	47.8 <sup>C</sup>	$48.8^{BC}$	50.1 <sup>BC</sup>	51.2 <sup>B</sup>	54.6 <sup>A</sup>	***

Table 2. Goat milk yield and gross composition during abrupt transition from indoor (hay and concentrate; day 0) to pasture (days 1 to 23) feeding.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Total number of samples analyzed equal to 120 (12 goats  $\times$  10 sampling days).

<sup>A-F</sup> Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly. Probability: \*\*\* P≤0.001.

	Days on pasture										Significance
	0	1	2	3	4	6	9	13	18	23	Significance
$\Sigma$ short chain <sup>1</sup>	125.2 <sup>B</sup>	123.8 <sup>B</sup>	108.3 <sup>C</sup>	97.7 <sup>D</sup>	103.4 <sup>CD</sup>	128.4 <sup>AB</sup>	129.3 <sup>AB</sup>	122.7 <sup>B</sup>	134.2 <sup>A</sup>	130.6 <sup>AB</sup>	***
$\Sigma$ medium chain <sup>2</sup>	367.9 <sup>A</sup>	376.1 <sup>A</sup>	307.2 <sup>BC</sup>	266.1 <sup>D</sup>	246.6 <sup>D</sup>	295.6 <sup>C</sup>	299.9 <sup>BC</sup>	319.2 <sup>BC</sup>	318.9 <sup>bc</sup>	320.7 <sup>B</sup>	***
$\Sigma$ long chain <sup>3</sup>	416.8 <sup>D</sup>	428.5 <sup>CD</sup>	513.3 <sup>A</sup>	526.6 <sup>A</sup>	486.8 <sup>AB</sup>	465.8 <sup>BC</sup>	422.8 <sup>CD</sup>	435.6 <sup>CD</sup>	405.6 <sup>D</sup>	415.5 <sup>D</sup>	***
$\Sigma$ saturated <sup>4</sup>	590.2 <sup>AB</sup>	598.2 <sup>A</sup>	$534.2^{\text{CDE}}$	$480.9^{F}$	450.9 <sup>G</sup>	$523.4^{DE}$	518.6 <sup>E</sup>	534.0 <sup>CDE</sup>	551.3 <sup>CD</sup>	554.9 <sup>BC</sup>	***
$\Sigma$ branched chain <sup>5</sup>	26.8 <sup>ABC</sup>	28.5 <sup>A</sup>	$27.4^{AB}$	$24.7^{\text{CDE}}$	22.3 <sup>F</sup>	$22.9^{\text{EF}}$	$23.2^{\text{DEF}}$	$25.5^{BCD}$	25.6 <sup>BC</sup>	25.8 <sup>BC</sup>	***
$\Sigma$ monounsaturated <sup>6</sup>	285.6 <sup>CD</sup>	294.4 <sup>C</sup>	353.1 <sup>A</sup>	362.3 <sup>A</sup>	337.1 <sup>AB</sup>	312.3 <sup>BC</sup>	282.7 <sup>CDE</sup>	287.3 <sup>C</sup>	254.3 <sup>E</sup>	$255.3^{DE}$	* * *
$\Sigma C18:1^7$	$273.3^{\text{CDE}}$	281.5 <sup>CD</sup>	340.6 <sup>A</sup>	349.7 <sup>A</sup>	324.8 <sup>AB</sup>	299.4 <sup>BC</sup>	$269.4^{\text{DEF}}$	273.1 <sup>CDE</sup>	$242.0^{F}$	$243.4^{EF}$	* * *
$\Sigma$ C18:1 <i>trans</i> <sup>8</sup>	12.0 <sup>G</sup>	11.8 <sup>G</sup>	15.9 <sup>G</sup>	21.6 <sup>F</sup>	$26.0^{E}$	37.6 <sup>D</sup>	$38.7^{\text{CD}}$	42.7 <sup>BC</sup>	47.8 <sup>A</sup>	46.1 <sup>AB</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ polyunsaturated <sup>9</sup>	34.6 <sup>E</sup>	37.0 <sup>E</sup>	42.5 <sup>D</sup>	47.9 <sup>°</sup>	49.4 <sup>C</sup>	54.7 <sup>AB</sup>	51.4 <sup>BC</sup>	57.2 <sup>A</sup>	54.0 <sup>AB</sup>	57.7 <sup>A</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma C18:2^{10}$	$26.6^{E}$	$28.5^{\mathrm{E}}$	32.7 <sup>D</sup>	37.2 <sup>C</sup>	38.6 <sup>C</sup>	42.6 <sup>AB</sup>	$40.8^{\mathrm{BC}}$	45.5 <sup>A</sup>	42.7 <sup>AB</sup>	43.7 <sup>AB</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ C18:2 <i>trans</i> <sup>11</sup>	10.9 <sup>D</sup>	11.1 <sup>D</sup>	13.9 <sup>D</sup>	17.8 <sup>C</sup>	$20.2^{\circ}$	26.0 <sup>B</sup>	27.4 <sup>B</sup>	32.3 <sup>A</sup>	31.9 <sup>A</sup>	31.4 <sup>A</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ trans without CLA <sup>12</sup>	33.1 <sup>F</sup>	33.5 <sup>F</sup>	43.8 <sup>E</sup>	57.5 <sup>D</sup>	66.1 <sup>D</sup>	91.4 <sup>C</sup>	94.1 <sup>C</sup>	104.9 <sup>B</sup>	116.5 <sup>A</sup>	113.1 <sup>AB</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ n3 FA <sup>13</sup>	7.5 <sup>F</sup>	$8.1^{\text{EF}}$	9.4 <sup>E</sup>	11.1 <sup>D</sup>	11.2 <sup>D</sup>	12.8 <sup>BC</sup>	11.9 <sup>CD</sup>	13.6 <sup>B</sup>	13.4 <sup>BC</sup>	16.3 <sup>A</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ n6 FA <sup>14</sup>	23.1 <sup>E</sup>	$25.1^{\text{BCDE}}$	$27.7^{AB}$	28.9 <sup>A</sup>	27.6 <sup>AB</sup>	$26.7^{\text{ABC}}$	$23.6^{\text{DE}}$	$24.3^{\text{CDE}}$	$25.2^{\text{BCDE}}$	26.1 <sup>BCD</sup>	* * *
n6/n3	3.28 <sup>A</sup>	3.26 <sup>A</sup>	3.04 <sup>A</sup>	2.65 <sup>B</sup>	2.51 <sup>B</sup>	2.11 <sup>C</sup>	$2.02^{\text{CD}}$	1.79 <sup>de</sup>	$1.88^{\text{CDE}}$	1.61 <sup>E</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma \text{ CLA}^{15}$	5.5 <sup>F</sup>	5.5 <sup>F</sup>	7.1 <sup>F</sup>	9.6 <sup>E</sup>	12.1 <sup>D</sup>	17.0 <sup>C</sup>	$18.2^{BC}$	21.4 <sup>A</sup>	19.6 <sup>AB</sup>	19.4 <sup>AB</sup>	* * *
$\Sigma$ unsaturated <sup>16</sup>	$320.3^{\text{DE}}$	$331.4^{\text{DE}}$	395.6 <sup>AB</sup>	410.2 <sup>A</sup>	386.5 <sup>AB</sup>	367.0 <sup>BC</sup>	334.1 <sup>CDE</sup>	344.5 <sup>CD</sup>	$308.3^{E}$	313.0 <sup>de</sup>	* * *
HSFA <sup>17</sup>	568.2 <sup>A</sup>	582.8 <sup>A</sup>	445.8 <sup>B</sup>	369.2 <sup>C</sup>	345.8 <sup>C</sup>	459.5 <sup>B</sup>	449.1 <sup>B</sup>	477.4 <sup>B</sup>	478.7 <sup>B</sup>	481.8 <sup>B</sup>	***

Table 3. Groups of fatty acids (g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat) in goat milk during abrupt transition from indoor (hay and concentrate; day 0) to pasture (days 1 to 23) feeding.<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Total number of samples analyzed equal to  $120 (12 \text{ goats} \times 10 \text{ sampling days})$ .

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; FA, fatty acids; HSFA, hypercholesterolemic saturated fatty acids.

<sup>A-G</sup> Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly. Probability: \*\*\* P≤0.001.

<sup>1</sup>C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C10, C10:1.

<sup>2</sup>C12, C13 *iso*, C13 *aiso*, C12:1 *c*, C13, C14 *iso*, C14, C15 *iso*, C14:1 *t*, C15 *aiso*, C14:1 *c*+C15, C16 *iso*, C16, C17 *iso*, C16:1 *t*, C17 *aiso*, C16:1 *c*.

<sup>3</sup> C17, C17:1 *t*, C18 *aiso*, C18, Σ C18:1, Σ C18:2, C20, C20:1 *t*, C18:3 *c*6*c*9*c*12, C20:1 *c*9, C20:1 *c*11, C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15, C18:2 *c*9*t*11+*t*7*c*9+*t*8*c*10, C18:2 *t*10*c*12, C18:2 t11c13+c9c11, C18:2 t9t11, C20:2 c,c n6, C22, C20:3 n6, C20:3 n3, C20:4 n6 (AA), C20:5 n3 (EPA), C22:5 n3 (DPA).

<sup>4</sup>C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C10, C12, Σ branched chain, C13, C14, C14:1 c+C15, C16, C17, C18, C20, C22.

<sup>5</sup>C13 *iso*, C13 *aiso*, C14 *iso*, C15 *iso*, C15 *aiso*, C16 *iso*, C17 *iso*, C17 *aiso*, C18 *aiso*.

<sup>6</sup>C10:1, C12:1 *c*, C14:1 *t*, C16:1 *t*, C16:1 *c*, C17:1 *t*, Σ C18:1, C20:1 *t*, C20:1 *c*9, C20:1 *c*11.

<sup>7</sup>C18:1 *t*5, *t*6-11, *t*12-14+*c*6-8, *c*9, *c*11, *c*12, *c*14+*t*16.

<sup>8</sup>C18:1 *t*5, *t*6-11, *t*12-14+*c*6-8.

<sup>9</sup>Σ C18:2, C18:3 *c*6*c*9*c*12, C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15, C20:2 *c*,*c* n6, C20:3 n6, C20:4 n6 (AA), C20:5 n3 (EPA), C22:5 n3 (DPA). <sup>10</sup>C18:2 *t*,*t*-NMID+*t*9*t*12, *c*9*t*13+*t*8*c*12, *c*9*t*12, *c*,*c*-MID+*t*8*c*13, *t*11*c*15, *t*9*c*12, *c*9*c*12, *c*9*c*15, *c*9*t*11+*t*7*c*9+*t*8*c*10, *t*10*c*12, *t*11*c*13+*c*9*c*11, *t*9*t*11.

<sup>11</sup>C18:2 *t*,*t*-NMID+*t*9*t*12, *c*9*t*13+*t*8*c*12, *c*9*t*12, *c*,*c*-MID+*t*8*c*13, *t*11*c*15, *t*9*c*12, C18:2 *c*9*t*11+*t*7*c*9+*t*8*c*10, C18:2 *t*10*c*12, C18:2 *t*11*c*13+*c*9*c*11, C18:2 *t*9*t*11.

<sup>12</sup>C14:1 t, C16:1 t, C17:1 t, Σ C18:1 t, Σ C18:2 t (without CLA *trans*), C20:1 t.
<sup>13</sup>C18:2 t11c15, C18:2 c9c15, C18:3 c9c12c15, C20:5 n3 (EPA), C22:5 n3 (DPA).

<sup>14</sup>C18:1 *t*12-14+*c*6-8, C18:1 *c*12, C18:2 *t*,*t*-NMID+*t*9*t*12, C18:2 *c*9*t*12, C18:2 *t*9*c*12, C18:2 *c*9*c*12, C18:3 *c*6*c*9*c*12, C20:2 *c*,*c* n6, C20:3 n6, C20:4 n6 (AA). <sup>15</sup>C18:2*c*9*t*11+*t*7*c*9+*t*8*c*10, *t*10*c*12, *t*11*c*13+*c*9*c*11, *t*9*t*11.

<sup>16</sup> C10:1, C12:1 *c*, C14:1 *t*, C16:1 *t*, C16:1 *c*, C17:1 *t*, Σ C18:1, Σ C18:2, C20:1 *t*, C18:3 *c*6*c*9*c*12, C20:1 *c*11, C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15, C18:2 *c*9*t*11+*t*7*c*9+*t*8*c*10, C18:2 *t*10*c*12, C18:2 *t*11*c*13+*c*9*c*11, C18:2 *t*9*t*11, C20:2 *c*,*c* n6, C20:3 n6, C20:4 n6 (AA), C20:5 n3 (EPA), C22:5 n3 (DPA).

<sup>17</sup> Calculated as C12+4\*C14+C16.

Table 4. (a) Individual short- and medium-chain fatty acids (g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat) in goat milk during abrupt transition from indoor (hay and concentrate; day 0) to pasture (days 1 to 23) feeding.<sup>a,b</sup>

	Days on p	asture									Significanc
	0	1	2	3	4	6	9	13	18	23	Significanc
C4	20.52 <sup>CD</sup>	19.09 <sup>E</sup>	$20.26^{\text{CDE}}$	21.13 <sup>BCD</sup>	22.96 <sup>A</sup>	22.42 <sup>AB</sup>	22.36 <sup>AB</sup>	19.91 <sup>de</sup>	20.71 <sup>CD</sup>	21.36 <sup>BC</sup>	***
C5	$0.07^{\text{CDE}}$	$0.11^{ABC}$	$0.12^{AB}$	$0.08^{\text{BCDE}}$	$0.07^{\mathrm{DE}}$	$0.06^{\mathrm{E}}$	$0.10^{BCD}$	$0.11^{\text{ABC}}$	0.14 <sup>A</sup>	$0.11^{\text{ABC}}$	**
C6	$18.71^{BC}$	17.91 <sup>CDE</sup>	17.56 <sup>DE</sup>	16.96 <sup>E</sup>	18.31 <sup>CD</sup>	$20.50^{A}$	$20.32^{A}$	$18.54^{CD}$	19.72 <sup>AB</sup>	19.82 <sup>A</sup>	***
C7	$0.17^{BCD}$	$0.20^{AB}$	$0.16^{CD}$	$0.14^{\text{DE}}$	$0.11^{E}$	$0.17^{BCD}$	0.19 <sup>BC</sup>	$0.18^{BCD}$	0.24 <sup>A</sup>	0.21 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C8	$20.57^{\text{CD}}$	$20.16^{\text{DE}}$	$18.93^{\text{EF}}$	$17.42^{G}$	18.51 <sup>FG</sup>	22.61 <sup>A</sup>	22.12 <sup>AB</sup>	$20.83^{BCD}$	$22.70^{A}$	$22.00^{\text{ABC}}$	***
C10	62.36 <sup>B</sup>	63.41 <sup>AB</sup>	49.21 <sup>C</sup>	$40.38^{\mathrm{D}}$	41.79 <sup>D</sup>	60.36 <sup>B</sup>	$61.78^{B}$	$60.84^{B}$	68.38 <sup>A</sup>	65.03 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C10:1	2.83 <sup>A</sup>	2.93 <sup>A</sup>	$2.05^{\mathrm{D}}$	$1.60^{E}$	$1.66^{E}$	$2.27^{BCD}$	2.40 <sup>B</sup>	2.33 <sup>BC</sup>	$2.28^{BCD}$	$2.08^{\text{CD}}$	***
C12	28.83 <sup>A</sup>	29.99 <sup>A</sup>	$22.47^{D}$	$17.36^{E}$	16.68 <sup>E</sup>	23.69 <sup>CD</sup>	25.46 <sup>BC</sup>	25.22 <sup>CD</sup>	30.68 <sup>A</sup>	28.19 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C13 iso	$0.24^{\mathrm{BC}}$	$0.27^{AB}$	$0.17^{\text{DE}}$	$0.12^{E}$	$0.12^{E}$	$0.17^{\text{DE}}$	0.21 <sup>CD</sup>	$0.24^{BC}$	$0.25^{\mathrm{BC}}$	0.30 <sup>A</sup>	***
C13 aiso	$0.41^{B}$	0.51 <sup>A</sup>	$0.29^{\text{CD}}$	0.19 <sup>E</sup>	$0.18^{E}$	$0.25^{\text{DE}}$	0.30 <sup>CD</sup>	$0.32^{\text{CD}}$	0.33 <sup>C</sup>	$0.32^{\text{CD}}$	***
C12:1 <i>c</i>	0.69 <sup>AB</sup>	0.76 <sup>A</sup>	$0.47^{\mathrm{EF}}$	$0.38^{\text{FG}}$	$0.32^{G}$	$0.41^{\text{EF}}$	$0.45^{\text{EF}}$	$0.51^{\text{DE}}$	0.63 <sup>BC</sup>	$0.58^{\text{CD}}$	***
C13	$0.74^{AB}$	$0.80^{A}$	$0.51^{\mathrm{D}}$	0.31 <sup>E</sup>	0.31 <sup>E</sup>	$0.56^{\text{CD}}$	$0.67^{\text{ABC}}$	0.63 <sup>BCD</sup>	$0.75^{AB}$	$0.69^{\text{ABC}}$	***
C14 iso	1.23 <sup>A</sup>	1.31 <sup>A</sup>	$1.02^{BC}$	$0.69^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.64^{\mathrm{D}}$	0.71 <sup>D</sup>	$0.92^{\circ}$	$0.97^{\rm C}$	1.19 <sup>A</sup>	$1.17^{AB}$	***
C14	80.90 <sup>A</sup>	83.79 <sup>A</sup>	59.26 <sup>C</sup>	45.83 <sup>D</sup>	43.44 <sup>D</sup>	66.00 <sup>BC</sup>	62.31 <sup>BC</sup>	66.68 <sup>BC</sup>	67.41 <sup>B</sup>	67.91 <sup>B</sup>	***
C15 iso	$2.09^{\mathrm{B}}$	$2.32^{AB}$	$1.80^{\circ}$	1.45 <sup>D</sup>	1.23 <sup>D</sup>	$1.47^{\rm D}$	$1.75^{\circ}$	2.16 <sup>AB</sup>	2.29 <sup>AB</sup>	2.40 <sup>A</sup>	***
C14:1 <i>t</i>	$0.05^{\mathrm{E}}$	$0.08^{\text{DE}}$	0.11 <sup>CD</sup>	$0.17^{AB}$	$0.17^{AB}$	$0.14^{\mathrm{BC}}$	$0.15^{\text{ABC}}$	0.19 <sup>A</sup>	$0.18^{AB}$	$0.15^{\text{ABC}}$	***
C15 aiso	3.96 <sup>BC</sup>	4.29 <sup>B</sup>	3.26 <sup>D</sup>	$2.41^{\text{EF}}$	$2.06^{\mathrm{F}}$	$2.74^{\mathrm{E}}$	3.71 <sup>CD</sup>	4.16 <sup>BC</sup>	4.94 <sup>A</sup>	4.87 <sup>A</sup>	***
C14:1 <i>c</i> +C15	11.01 <sup>A</sup>	11.27 <sup>A</sup>	7.99 <sup>D</sup>	6.01 <sup>EF</sup>	5.13 <sup>F</sup>	6.15 <sup>E</sup>	$7.81^{\mathrm{D}}$	9.01 <sup>C</sup>	9.92 <sup>B</sup>	10.41 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C16 iso	$2.90^{\mathrm{B}}$	3.37 <sup>A</sup>	2.82 <sup>B</sup>	$2.31^{\text{DE}}$	1.93 <sup>F</sup>	$2.07^{\mathrm{EF}}$	$2.08^{\mathrm{EF}}$	2.46 <sup>CD</sup>	2.61 <sup>BCD</sup>	$2.73^{BC}$	***
C16	215.83 <sup>A</sup>	217.60 <sup>A</sup>	186.30 <sup>в</sup>	168.54 <sup>CD</sup>	155.33 <sup>D</sup>	171.84 <sup>BC</sup>	174.45 <sup>BC</sup>	185.44 <sup>B</sup>	178.37 <sup>BC</sup>	181.95 <sup>BC</sup>	***
C17 iso	3.86 <sup>BCDE</sup>	$4.20^{\text{ABC}}$	4.42 <sup>A</sup>	4.26 <sup>AB</sup>	$3.86^{\text{BCDE}}$	3.98 <sup>BCDE</sup>	3.83 <sup>CDE</sup>	$4.09^{ABCD}$	$3.73^{DE}$	3.63 <sup>E</sup>	**
C16:1 <i>t</i>	$0.89^{\mathrm{F}}$	0.86 <sup>F</sup>	$1.04^{\rm F}$	1.46 <sup>E</sup>	1.92 <sup>D</sup>	3.05 <sup>°</sup>	3.58 <sup>B</sup>	4.26 <sup>A</sup>	3.45 <sup>BC</sup>	$3.48^{\mathrm{B}}$	***
C17 aiso	8.11 <sup>AB</sup>	$8.28^{AB}$	8.59 <sup>A</sup>	7.85 <sup>ABC</sup>	7.14 <sup>CD</sup>	6.99 <sup>D</sup>	7.06 <sup>CD</sup>	7.58 <sup>BCD</sup>	7.66 <sup>BCD</sup>	7.69 <sup>BCD</sup>	***
C16:1 <i>c</i>	6.24 <sup>A</sup>	6.36 <sup>A</sup>	6.66 <sup>A</sup>	6.73 <sup>A</sup>	6.14 <sup>A</sup>	5.36 <sup>B</sup>	5.13 <sup>B</sup>	5.34 <sup>B</sup>	4.47 <sup>C</sup>	4.22 <sup>C</sup>	***

Table 4. (b) Individual long-chain fatty acids (g kg<sup>-1</sup> fat) in goat milk and desaturase indexes during abrupt transition from indoor (hay and concentrate; day 0) to pasture (days 1 to 23) feeding.<sup>a,b</sup>

	Days on p	oasture									Cianificana
	0	1	2	3	4	6	9	13	18	23	Significance
C17	6.97 <sup>de</sup>	6.85 <sup>DE</sup>	8.59 <sup>AB</sup>	8.71 <sup>A</sup>	7.83 <sup>BC</sup>	7.05 <sup>CD</sup>	6.19 <sup>E</sup>	6.44 <sup>DE</sup>	6.33 <sup>DE</sup>	$6.57^{\text{DE}}$	***
C17:1 <i>t</i>	$0.53^{\text{DEF}}$	$0.74^{\mathrm{BC}}$	0.99 <sup>A</sup>	1.14 <sup>A</sup>	1.05 <sup>A</sup>	$0.80^{\mathrm{B}}$	$0.67^{BCD}$	$0.59^{\text{CDE}}$	$0.44^{F}$	$0.50^{\mathrm{EF}}$	***
C18 aiso	4.06 <sup>CD</sup>	$3.92^{\text{CDE}}$	$5.02^{AB}$	5.46 <sup>A</sup>	5.16 <sup>A</sup>	$4.49^{BC}$	$3.37^{E}$	$3.49^{\text{DE}}$	$2.60^{F}$	$2.69^{\mathrm{F}}$	***
C18	93.94 <sup>B</sup>	94.99 <sup>B</sup>	112.71 <sup>A</sup>	111.27 <sup>A</sup>	96.47 <sup>B</sup>	$97.28^{B}$	$89.68^{\mathrm{B}}$	92.35 <sup>B</sup>	97.49 <sup>B</sup>	$101.72^{AB}$	**
C18:1 <i>t</i> 5	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.10	ns
C18:1 <i>t</i> 6-11	9.57 <sup>E</sup>	9.41 <sup>E</sup>	12.98 <sup>E</sup>	$18.37^{D}$	22.73 <sup>°</sup>	33.66 <sup>B</sup>	34.34 <sup>B</sup>	38.30 <sup>A</sup>	$40.87^{A}$	39.54 <sup>A</sup>	***
C18:1 <i>t</i> 12-14+ <i>c</i> 6-8	2.33 <sup>E</sup>	$2.29^{\mathrm{E}}$	$2.86^{\text{DE}}$	3.16 <sup>CD</sup>	3.16 <sup>CD</sup>	3.83 <sup>BC</sup>	4.30 <sup>B</sup>	4.38 <sup>B</sup>	6.87 <sup>A</sup>	6.51 <sup>A</sup>	***
C18:1 <i>c</i> 9	253.68 <sup>D</sup>	261.88 <sup>CD</sup>	316.09 <sup>AB</sup>	318.77 <sup>A</sup>	$290.12^{BC}$	$253.22^{D}$	222.81 <sup>E</sup>	222.06 <sup>E</sup>	185.03 <sup>F</sup>	$188.00^{\rm F}$	***
C18:1 c11	$4.59^{BCD}$	$4.58^{BCD}$	5.13 <sup>AB</sup>	5.49 <sup>A</sup>	5.30 <sup>A</sup>	4.89 <sup>ABC</sup>	$4.29^{\text{DE}}$	$4.41^{\text{CDE}}$	3.93 <sup>E</sup>	$3.87^{\mathrm{E}}$	***
C18:1 <i>c</i> 12	$0.69^{\text{ABC}}$	0.84 <sup>A</sup>	0.81 <sup>AB</sup>	0.85 <sup>A</sup>	0.75 <sup>ABC</sup>	$0.67^{BC}$	0.59 <sup>C</sup>	$0.70^{\mathrm{ABC}}$	$0.81^{AB}$	0.81 <sup>AB</sup>	*
C18:1 <i>c</i> 14+ <i>t</i> 16	2.21 <sup>D</sup>	$2.38^{\mathrm{D}}$	$2.65^{\text{CD}}$	2.96 <sup>BC</sup>	$2.64^{\text{CD}}$	3.03 <sup>BC</sup>	$3.02^{BC}$	3.23 <sup>B</sup>	4.41 <sup>A</sup>	4.59 <sup>A</sup>	***
C18:2 <i>t</i> , <i>t</i> -NMID+ <i>t</i> 9 <i>t</i> 12	0.39 <sup>F</sup>	0.53 <sup>F</sup>	$0.69^{E}$	0.94 <sup>D</sup>	$0.86^{\text{DE}}$	$1.02^{\text{CD}}$	$0.97^{\mathrm{D}}$	1.25 <sup>BC</sup>	1.51 <sup>A</sup>	1.42 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C18:2 c9t13+t8c12	0.15 <sup>G</sup>	$0.19^{\text{FG}}$	$0.22^{\text{EFG}}$	$0.30^{\text{EF}}$	$0.34^{E}$	$0.50^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.53^{\text{CD}}$	0.64 <sup>C</sup>	0.91 <sup>A</sup>	$0.78^{\mathrm{B}}$	***
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9 <i>t</i> 12	1.45 <sup>G</sup>	1.49 <sup>FG</sup>	$1.81^{\text{EF}}$	$2.03^{\text{DE}}$	$1.95^{\text{DE}}$	$2.06^{\text{DE}}$	2.24 <sup>CD</sup>	$2.44^{BC}$	2.89 <sup>A</sup>	$2.75^{AB}$	***
C18:2 <i>c</i> , <i>c</i> -MID+ <i>t</i> 8 <i>c</i> 13	1.33 <sup>D</sup>	1.37 <sup>D</sup>	$1.76^{\circ}$	$2.06^{BC}$	2.03 <sup>BC</sup>	$2.07^{BC}$	2.13 <sup>B</sup>	2.36 <sup>AB</sup>	2.51 <sup>A</sup>	2.51 <sup>A</sup>	***
C18:2 <i>t</i> 11 <i>c</i> 15	$0.97^{E}$	1.09 <sup>E</sup>	1.31 <sup>DE</sup>	1.91 <sup>CD</sup>	1.99 <sup>C</sup>	$2.56^{BC}$	$2.84^{B}$	3.57 <sup>A</sup>	3.76 <sup>A</sup>	3.85 <sup>A</sup>	***
C18:2 <i>t</i> 9 <i>c</i> 12	$1.04^{AB}$	$0.98^{AB}$	$1.08^{A}$	$0.97^{AB}$	$0.90^{\mathrm{BC}}$	$0.76^{\text{CD}}$	$0.46^{E}$	0.69 <sup>D</sup>	$0.68^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.66^{\mathrm{D}}$	***
C18:2 c9c12 (LA)	15.69 <sup>D</sup>	17.08 <sup>BCD</sup>	$18.58^{AB}$	19.19 <sup>A</sup>	18.18 <sup>ABC</sup>	16.50 <sup>CD</sup>	13.28 <sup>E</sup>	$12.96^{E}$	$10.70^{F}$	$12.17^{EF}$	***
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9 <i>c</i> 15	$0.04^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.27^{A}$	$0.15^{BCD}$	0.19 <sup>ABC</sup>	$0.19^{ABC}$	$0.10^{BCD}$	$0.10^{BCD}$	$0.20^{AB}$	$0.08^{\text{CD}}$	0.19 <sup>ABC</sup>	***
C20	$2.27^{AB}$	2.33 <sup>A</sup>	1.73 <sup>D</sup>	1.31 <sup>EF</sup>	1.06 <sup>G</sup>	$1.23^{FG}$	1.19 <sup>FG</sup>	$1.48^{E}$	1.84 <sup>CD</sup>	$2.04^{\mathrm{BC}}$	***
C20:1 <i>t</i>	$0.21^{\text{CD}}$	0.19 <sup>CD</sup>	$0.23^{BC}$	$0.28^{AB}$	0.31 <sup>A</sup>	$0.29^{AB}$	$0.22^{\circ}$	0.16 <sup>D</sup>	$0.17^{\text{CD}}$	$0.17^{\text{CD}}$	***
C18:3 c6c9c12	$0.14^{\text{CD}}$	$0.10^{\text{DE}}$	$0.15^{BCD}$	0.10 <sup>CDE</sup>	$0.11^{\text{CDE}}$	$0.08^{\mathrm{E}}$	$0.20^{AB}$	$0.15^{BC}$	0.22 <sup>A</sup>	0.21 <sup>A</sup>	***
C20:1 <i>c</i> 9	0.23 <sup>CDE</sup>	$0.26^{\text{CD}}$	$0.32^{BC}$	0.16 <sup>E</sup>	$0.19^{\text{DE}}$	$0.17^{\text{DE}}$	0.39 <sup>AB</sup>	$0.32^{BC}$	0.41 <sup>A</sup>	$0.29^{\circ}$	***
C20:1 c11	$0.70^{\rm A}$	0.69 <sup>A</sup>	$0.62^{AB}$	$0.66^{A}$	0.55 <sup>BC</sup>	$0.48^{\text{CD}}$	$0.32^{\mathrm{E}}$	0.43 <sup>D</sup>	$0.34^{\mathrm{E}}$	$0.39^{\text{DE}}$	***
C18:3 c9c12c15 (ALA)	5.16 <sup>CD</sup>	4.84 <sup>D</sup>	6.23 <sup>C</sup>	7.49 <sup>B</sup>	7.56 <sup>B</sup>	8.39 <sup>B</sup>	$7.47^{B}$	$8.02^{B}$	7.59 <sup>B</sup>	9.94 <sup>A</sup>	***
CLA <i>c</i> 9 <i>t</i> 11+ <i>t</i> 7 <i>c</i> 9+ <i>t</i> 8 <i>c</i> 10	$5.48^{\mathrm{F}}$	5.36 <sup>F</sup>	6.89 <sup>F</sup>	9.29 <sup>E</sup>	$11.78^{D}$	16.46 <sup>C</sup>	17.56 <sup>BC</sup>	19.76 <sup>A</sup>	18.95 <sup>AB</sup>	18.76 <sup>AB</sup>	***
CLA <i>t</i> 10 <i>c</i> 12	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	ns
CLA <i>t</i> 11 <i>c</i> 13+ <i>c</i> 9 <i>c</i> 11	$0.02^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.04^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.08^{\mathrm{D}}$	0.19 <sup>C</sup>	$0.26^{\circ}$	$0.45^{B}$	0.52 <sup>B</sup>	0.64 <sup>A</sup>	0.53 <sup>B</sup>	0.51 <sup>B</sup>	***
CLA <i>t</i> 9 <i>t</i> 11	$0.05^{\mathrm{D}}$	0.10 <sup>C</sup>	0.10 <sup>C</sup>	0.11 <sup>BC</sup>	0.10 <sup>C</sup>	$0.14^{\text{ABC}}$	0.14 <sup>ABC</sup>	0.16 <sup>AB</sup>	0.16 <sup>A</sup>	0.13 <sup>ABC</sup>	***
C20:2 <i>c</i> , <i>c</i> n6	$0.07^{\mathrm{F}}$	$0.14^{\text{EF}}$	$0.20^{\text{DE}}$	$0.26^{\text{CD}}$	$0.30^{\mathrm{BC}}$	$0.30^{BC}$	0.31 <sup>BC</sup>	$0.42^{A}$	$0.36^{AB}$	0.39 <sup>AB</sup>	***
C22	$0.97^{\mathrm{BC}}$	1.22 <sup>A</sup>	$1.06^{ABC}$	$0.75^{\text{DE}}$	$0.64^{E}$	0.64 <sup>E</sup>	$0.73^{\text{DE}}$	0.91 <sup>CD</sup>	$0.98^{\mathrm{BC}}$	1.15 <sup>AB</sup>	***

C20:3 n6	0.13 <sup>BC</sup>	0.16 <sup>AB</sup>	0.19 <sup>A</sup>	$0.17^{AB}$	0.16 <sup>AB</sup>	0.13 <sup>BC</sup>	$0.08^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.10^{\text{CD}}$	$0.07^{\mathrm{D}}$	$0.08^{\text{CD}}$	***
C20:4 n6	$1.17^{\text{CD}}$	1.45 <sup>A</sup>	1.36 <sup>ABC</sup>	1.19 <sup>CD</sup>	1.19 <sup>CD</sup>	1.38 <sup>AB</sup>	1.13 <sup>D</sup>	$1.22^{BCD}$	$1.08^{\mathrm{D}}$	1.08 <sup>D</sup>	**
C20:5 n3 (EPA)	$0.46^{\text{DEF}}$	$0.50^{\text{DEF}}$	$0.47^{\text{DEF}}$	0.41 <sup>F</sup>	$0.43^{\text{EF}}$	$0.60^{\text{CD}}$	$0.56^{\text{CDE}}$	$0.65^{BC}$	0.85 <sup>A</sup>	$0.75^{AB}$	***
C22:5 n3 (DPA)	0.94 <sup>D</sup>	1.36 <sup>AB</sup>	1.19 <sup>BC</sup>	$1.08^{\text{CD}}$	$1.06^{\text{CD}}$	$1.18^{BC}$	$0.92^{\mathrm{D}}$	$1.17^{BC}$	$1.17^{BC}$	1.53 <sup>A</sup>	***
$\mathrm{DI}_{16}^{c}$	$0.29^{\circ}$	$0.29^{\circ}$	0.36 <sup>B</sup>	$0.40^{A}$	$0.40^{A}$	0.31 <sup>C</sup>	$0.29^{\circ}$	$0.29^{\circ}$	$0.25^{\mathrm{D}}$	0.23 <sup>D</sup>	***
$DI_{18}^{d}$	27.4 <sup>BC</sup>	$28.0^{BC}$	$28.4^{AB}$	29.1 <sup>AB</sup>	30.4 <sup>A</sup>	26.0 <sup>CD</sup>	25.3 <sup>D</sup>	24.3 <sup>D</sup>	19.1 <sup>E</sup>	18.6 <sup>E</sup>	***

<sup>a</sup> Total number of samples analyzed equal to 120 (12 goats  $\times$  10 sampling days).

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: *c*, *cis*; *t*, *trans*; NMID, non methylene interrupted diene; MID, methylene interrupted diene; LA, linoleic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DPA, docosapentaenoic acid; DI, desaturase index.

<sup>c</sup>Calculated as: C16:1 *c*9/C16:0.

<sup>d</sup>Calculated as: C18:1 *c*9/C18:0.

<sup>A-G</sup> Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly. Probability: \*  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*  $P \le 0.001$ ; ns, not significant ( $P \ge 0.10$ ).