

 IRIS AperTOUNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HYDROGEN ENERGY, 37, 2012, 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.10.047.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.10.047

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0360319911024062>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/93168>

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: <https://iris.unito.it/>

iris - AperTO

University of Turin's Institutional Research Information System and Open Access Institutional Repository

Enhanced hydrogen uptake/release in 2LiHeMgB₂ composite with titanium additives

Ivan Saldan^{a,b,*}, Renato Campesi^c, Olena Zavorotynska^d, Giuseppe Spoto^d, Marcello Baricco^d, Anna Arendarska^a, Klaus Taube^a, Martin Dornheim^a

^a Institute of Materials Research, Helmholtz Zentrum Geesthacht, 1 Max-Planck Str., D-21502 Geesthacht, Germany

^b Institute for Energy Technology, Physics Department, Instituttveien 18, Kjeller 2027, Norway

^c Institute for Energy, Joint Research Centre, NL-1755 ZG Petten, The Netherlands

^d NIS Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, University of Torino, Via P. Giuria 7, I-10125 Torino, Italy


Abstract

The influence of different titanium additives on hydrogen sorption in LiHeMgB₂ system has been investigated. For all the composites LiHeMgB₂eX (X ¼ TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, and TiC), prepared by ball-milling in molar ratios 2:1:0.1, five hydrogen uptake/release cycles were performed. In-situ synchrotron radiation powder X-ray diffraction (SR-PXD) and attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy (ATR-IR) have been used to characterize crystal phases developed during the hydrogen absorption/desorption cycles.

All the composites with the titanium additives displayed an improvement of reaction kinetics, especially during hydrogen desorption. The LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ system reached a storage of about 7.6 wt % H₂ in w1.8 h for absorption and w2.7 h for desorption. Using in-situ SR-PXD measurements, magnesium was detected as an intermediate phase during hydrogen desorption for all composites. In the composite with TiF₄ addition the formation of new phases (TiB₂ and LiF) were observed. Characteristic diffraction peaks of TiO₂, TiN and TiC additives were always present during hydrogen absorption/desorption. For all as-milled composites, ATR-IR spectra did not show any signals for borohydrides, while for all hydrogenated composites BeH stretching (2450e2150 cm⁻¹) and BeH bending (1350e1000 cm⁻¹) bands were exactly the same as for commercial LiBH₄.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen can be one of the alternative energy carriers, which should replace the traditional fossil fuels in the near future. One of the promising materials for hydrogen mobile application which has been studied approximately for 10 years is LiBH₄ [1]. Having high gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen density, this material, though, exhibits unfavorable kinetics and thermodynamics for real application in fuel cells. Recently, it was found that LiBH₄ can be destabilized by the addition of MgH₂, showing better decomposition kinetics with respect to the pure compound [2]. A detailed analysis of the reversible interaction between LiBH₄ and MgH₂ was made in [3] and can be summarized as follow:



The direct reactions (1) take place at w400 C. Opposite reactions, with simultaneous formation of LiBH₄ and MgH₂ under 50 bar of H₂, was confirmed at the temperatures 250e300 C [3]. It was observed that suitable additives might decrease reaction temperatures and improve kinetics of Eq. (1). Experimental evidence of kinetic improvement for reversible middle-temperature Na, Li and Al based complex hydrides doped by titanium additives appeared in 1997 [4]. It has also been reported that the kinetic improvement of the reaction (1) was reached by addition of 1 mol% of TiF₃ [5]. The property enhancement arising upon this additive persists well in the subsequent hydrogen uptake/release cycles. Another prominent example of the additives effect was the composite LiBH₄eMgH₂eTi{OCH(CH₃)₂}₄ mixed in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 [6]. After ball-milling TiO₂ anatase was found and during 1-st hydrogen desorption Ti₂O₃ and TiB₂ appeared to be stable after cycling. XPS analysis showed that the reduction of Ti(IV) to Ti(III) was coupled with the migration of titanium species from the surface into the bulk of the composite. The role of additives and microstructure refinement in LiBH₄eMgH₂ system were studied in [7,8], revealing that two main factors, proposed as potential driving force for kinetic improvement, were related to (i) favoring heterogeneous nucleation of MgB₂ and (ii) increasing of interfacial area through grain refinement. Titanium diboride (TiB₂) has the same hexagonal lattice structure as MgB₂ with very small (1.85%) directional and interplanar misfit. This fact is a necessary condition for heterogeneous nucleation of MgB₂ because of the interfacial energy lowering. The appropriate concentration of the additive and its homogeneous distribution were found to be the main conditions for the efficient heterogeneous nucleation of MgB₂. However because of no change of the limiting rate neither for hydrogen absorption (contracting volume model) nor for desorption (interfaced-controlled one-dimensional growth) [8], induced by the additives, the latter do not show catalytic behavior. The theoretical work [9] has shown that thermodynamic stability of point defects in complex hydrides defines the ground or intermediate states or the driving force for atomic motion. Incorporation of Ti cations in LiBH₄ is energetically unfavorable suggesting that only surface effect takes place.

In order to understand thoroughly the effect of titanium additives, where metallic part is Ti and non-metal is the element of 2-nd period of the Periodic Table from F to C, we have started a systematic investigation. In this work the study on the influence of several titanium additives (TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN and TiC) on reversible hydrogen reactions in 2LiHeMgB₂ system during five hydrogen uptake/release cycles is presented.

2. Experimental details

Commercial LiH (95%, Sigma Aldrich) and MgB₂ (>96%, Alfa Aesar) powders were used to prepare composite with titanium additives in molar ratios 2:1:0.1, respectively. TiF₄ (98%, Alfa Aesar), TiO₂ (rutile, 99.7%, Sigma Aldrich), TiN (97.7%, Alfa Aesar) and TiC (99.5%, Alfa Aesar) were chosen as additives. The composites of powders were high-energy milled for 5 h using Spex 8000 M Mixer Mill in argon atmosphere. Stainless steel balls 10 mm in size with 10:1 ratio balls to powders were used.

Hydrogen sorption measurements were carried out in a commercial Sievert's type apparatus (PCTpro 2000). The milled composites were hydrogenated under 50 bar of hydrogen pressure at 330 or 350 C in a special high pressure temperature sample holder. Hydrogen desorption was performed under 5 bar back pressure of hydrogen at 380 C, after previous absorption. Five complete hydrogen uptake/release cycles were performed.

In-situ SR-PXD was performed in D3 beamline at DESY Hamburg (Germany). The samples were airtight encapsulated in sapphire capillaries to be installed in a special in-situ SR-PXD cell; further details are described in [10]. Samples after complete 1-st hydrogen absorption were heated at 5 C/min from room temperature up to 380 C and kept in isothermal conditions for 2 h and then cooled down to room temperature. All handling and preparation of materials took place in a glove-box with continuously purified argon atmosphere and oxygen and moisture values were less than 1 ppm.

ATR-IR (Attenuated total reflection infrared) spectra were taken with a Bruker-ALPHA Platinum spectrometer with ATR diamond crystal accessory. The spectra were recorded in 4000-375 cm⁻¹ range with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution. Sixty four scans were averaged for background and sample spectra. All the measurements were carried out in the nitrogen filled glove-box with oxygen and moisture levels less than 0.1 ppm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Hydrogen uptake/release cycling

As a reference, a complete hydrogen uptake/release cycle for the LiHeMgB₂ system without any additive has been performed. In Fig. 1, the results of volumetric analysis of hydrogen absorption at 350 C and 50 bar H₂ and desorption at 380 C and 5 bar H₂ for LiHeMgB₂ in molar ratio 2:1 are presented.

The reaction rate of hydrogen absorption and desorption is approximately six times different: w20 h are required for hydrogenation (w8.7 wt% H₂) and more than 120 h for complete dehydrogenation. A two-step hydrogen release was observed, displaying: approximately w2.3 wt% H₂ from MgH₂ and w6.4 wt% H₂ from LiBH₄ decomposition. Absorption curve is very similar to that obtained in [11] at the same conditions. Probably, due to a slightly smaller desorption temperature (400 C in [11] and 380 C in present work) the process in our case was much slower although it showed the same two-step reaction.

The 1-st hydrogen absorption-desorption cycle in the LiHeMgB₂eTiF₄ system (Fig. 2) showed similar hydrogen absorption time (w20 h) but lower gravimetric capacity (w7.5 wt% H₂) compared to that of the unmodified LiHeMgB₂ (Fig. 1). Because of slower reactions at the 1-st cycle (1-st hydrogen absorption and 1-st desorption), an activation process could take place. It might be explained by grain refinement in solid material under repeating of hydrogen sorption reactions. After that, the system displays three-step reversible reaction (w2.3 wt% H₂ in w0.7 h; w6.6 wt% H₂ in w2.2 h; and further to be complete) and (w2.3 wt% H₂ in w0.6 h; w7.4 wt% H₂ in w5.4 h; and further to be complete) on hydrogen absorption and desorption, respectively. It can be concluded that the rate of hydrogen absorption and desorption at various steps is increased because of the addition of TiF₄ to the LiHeMgB₂ system, though hydrogen storage capacity was lowered by 1.2 wt% H₂.

For the LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ system, at least two cycles were necessary to stabilize hydrogen absorption/desorption properties (Fig. 3). After the 2-nd cycle, the system showed w8.1 wt% H₂ hydrogen storage capacity after w10 h of hydrogenation. Beginning from 3-rd cycle two reaction steps were very well distinguished and resulted to w7.6 wt% H₂ in w1.8 h and 2.7 h for hydrogen absorption and desorption, respectively. In this case, rates for hydrogen absorption and desorption are rather similar. During the 1-st cycle, LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ showed the same value of hydrogen gravimetric density as the unmodified LiHeMgB₂ but with faster kinetics.

The results of hydrogenation/dehydrogenation reactions in the LiHeMgB₂eTiN system are shown in Fig. 4. After the 1-st cycle, the process is stable and requires w20 h to reach the maximum gravimetric capacity of w8.0 wt% H₂. The hydrogenation curve does not show distinctive steps, however during the hydrogen desorption three separate steps are clearly visible. The 4-th desorption cycle displays only two steps (w2.6 wt% H₂ in w0.5 h; w7.9 wt% H₂ in w9.2 h); and the process is not completed. The LiHeMgB₂eTiN system, indeed, showed 12 times faster desorption rate than the unmodified LiHeMgB₂, though hydrogen storage capacity was reduced by w0.7 wt% H₂.

For the LiHeMgB₂eTiC system at least four cycles were needed in order to have a stable hydrogen uptake/release reaction. Hydrogen storage capacity of w7.0 wt% H₂ within w20 h was achieved at the 5-th cycle (Fig. 5). Only for hydrogen desorption it was possible to distinguish the first step (w2.0 wt% H₂ in w0.5 h; and further to be complete). We conclude that, among all presented system with titanium additives, the LiHeMgB₂eTiC one showed the major reduction in hydrogen storage capacity (w1.7 wt% H₂), though much higher hydrogen desorption rates were observed with respect to the unmodified LiHeMgB₂ system.


In conclusion, all titanium additives in the LiHeMgB₂eX systems (X = ¼ TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN and TiC) demonstrated kinetic improvement, especially during hydrogen desorption. The system with the TiO₂ additive showed faster rates for both hydrogen uptake and release, together with the lowest decrease in hydrogen storage capacity (w0.6 wt% H₂). One important note that should be mentioned in this subtitle is the value of hydrogen absorption and desorption capacity. Theoretically, during hydrogen uptake/release cycling the value of hydrogen storage capacity must be exactly the same as under complete absorption or desorption, of course if the system is stable. In present results (Figs. 1e5) quite often small uncertainties were present where hydrogen capacity under desorption was higher than that under absorption. It might be explained by a shorter incubation period before the system start to react, especially in the next cycles. It is suggested that during manual switching from dehydrogenation to hydrogenation some amount of hydrogen could be absorbed when data acquisition had not been active yet.

3.2. In-situ SR-PXD for LiHeMgB₂eX (X = ¼ TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, TiC) systems


For all hydrogenated LiHeMgB₂eX (X = ¼ TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, TiC) systems in-situ SR-PXD analysis was performed (Fig. 6). Traces of MgB₂ were found in all the samples after 1-st hydrogen absorption, suggesting that the hydrogenation process was not completed. At

the beginning of first hydrogen desorption reaction, pure magnesium phase was clearly visible, confirming the occurrence of a two-step reaction (1).

In the LiHeMgB₂eTiF₄ system (Fig. 6a), after the 1-st hydrogen absorption step, the expected products (LiBH₄ and MgH₂) were present as the main phases. In addition, new phases TiB₂ and LiF were detected in the sample, whereas there was no evidence of present TiF₄. Most probably, LiF formed during milling (similar to TiF₃ in [5]) by the following reaction:



And TiH₂ can easily react at higher temperatures with LiBH₄ to produce TiB₂ (estimated reaction enthalpy is 6.5 kJ/ mol H₂):



Upon heating the hydrogenated LiHeMgB₂eTiF₄ composite started hydrogen desorption through MgH₂ decomposition and Mg formation just before isothermal conditions. During the heat treatment two diffraction peaks characteristic of a cubic phase appeared. These peaks were quite broad, likely due to the superposition of reflections due to LiH and LiF phases. Upon cooling, the peaks of α-LiBH₄ reappeared. It should be denoted that, after the isothermal treatment of 2 h at 380 C, no diffraction peaks due to MgH₂ or Mg phases were detected, suggesting that after this period the first step of the reaction (1) was completed.

The SR-PXD pattern of the LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ system (Fig. 6b) after 1-st hydrogen absorption showed diffraction peaks due to the products of the reversible reaction (1) (LiBH₄ and MgH₂), together with a small amount of residual MgB₂. Most of TiO₂ peaks were present during whole SR-PXD experiment. It means that this additive might be chemically inert toward the reagents. During heating of the hydrogenated mixture, hydrogen desorption started just before 380 C, as it was already observed for the previous composite, showing pure magnesium as intermediate. After cooling, no diffraction peaks related to Mg or MgH₂ phases were observed.

The pattern of the LiHeMgB₂eTiN system (Fig. 6c) showed diffraction peaks due to the products of reactions (1), similarly to the previous cases, together with evidence of the parent TiN phase. The additive was present during the whole SR-PXD measurement, confirming that no chemical reactions between the additive and the hydrides took place. Overall, the behavior of LiHeMgB₂eTiN sample under heating and cooling was similar to that observed for LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ mixture.

The LiHeMgB₂eTiC system (Fig. 6d) also showed the products of hydrogen absorption-desorption and unreacted titanium-based additive, similarly to the case of LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ and LiHeMgB₂eTiN mixtures. Four diffraction peaks of TiC phase, indeed was observed SR-PXD patterns, confirming that the additive does not react with the hydrides.

Based on SR-PXD observations, it can be concluded that only TiF₄ additive was chemically reacting in the composite during ball-milling, so that the new LiF and TiB₂ phases were formed.

3.3. ATR-IR spectroscopy of milled and hydrogenated LiHeMgB₂eX (X = 1/4 TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, TiC) systems

Infrared spectroscopy is a suitable tool for characterization of metal borohydrides since the molecular vibrations of [BH₄] group are readily distinguishable in the spectrum. Further-more, the normal modes of [BH₄] group are very sensitive to the surrounding so that the alterations in a borohydride chemical composition, lattice symmetry, and the bond type can be identified in the spectrum (see reference [12] and references therein). Free [BH₄] species belong to T_d symmetry point group with four normal modes of vibration: ν₂, ν₃, and ν₄, out of which the latter two triply degenerate modes are IR-active. The BeH stretching modes (ν₁, ν₃) of [BH₄] fall in the 2500-2100 cm⁻¹ region, BeBeH bending vibrations (ν₂, ν₄) can be observed in the 1200-900 cm⁻¹ region. In the Pnma space group of LiBH₄, the site symmetry of [BH₄] species is lowered till Cs, all modes become IR-active, and the degeneracy of normal modes is removed, giving rise to additional peaks in the spectra [13]. In this way, the IR spectrum of LiBH₄ is indeed a unique fingerprint of this solid.

The ball-milled LiHeMgB₂eX (X = 1/4 TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, TiC) composites were tested by ATR before and after hydrogenation, in order to verify the formation of LiBH₄. ATR spectra for the as-prepared composites are shown in Fig. 7. The spectrum of LiH is also reported for comparison. The spectrum ν₁ of LiH is characterized by a large absorption in the <1200 cm⁻¹ region, due to the vibrations of the crystal lattice. It can be readily seen that this absorption is also present in all the LiHeMgB₂eX composites. It is also straightforward that LiBH₄ is not formed during ball-milling process, since no characteristic vibrations in 2500-2100 cm⁻¹ and the 1200 - 900 cm⁻¹ regions are present. All the composites have the baseline absorption steadily increasing at the lower frequencies, which is characteristic for MgB₂ (Fig. S1). No absorption peaks of TiF₄ at ca. 750 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. S1 in Supporting Information) are observed in the composite with TiF₄ additive. The weak peaks at ca. 2800-3000 cm⁻¹ and at ca. 1600-1400 cm⁻¹ correspond to molecular vibrations of organic compounds (e.g. C-H stretching and bending of -CH₃ groups in aliphatic hydrocarbons; C=O groups, C-O-C groups, etc.). These carbon species were likely originated during ball-milling, where vials were cleaned with ethanol and a rubber O-ring was used as a gasket for sealing.

The IR-ATR spectra of ball-milled LiHeMgB₂eX (X = 1/4 TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN, TiC) composites after 1-st hydrogen absorption are presented in Fig. 8.

The characteristic [BH₄] vibrational profile of LiBH₄ is readily observable in the spectra of all hydrogenated composites. In general, the spectra of LiBH₄ formed in the hydrogenation composites are similar to that one of the commercially available reference, where the different components normal modes are clearly of ν₂, ν₃ and ν₄ distinguishable. The ν₁ mode is very weak in the IR spectrum and falls in the region of the strong ν₃ mode, such as it cannot be observed in the spectrum. In the spectrum of the composite doped with TiC, an additional peak at 1120 cm⁻¹, marked with the asterisk on Fig. 9, appears. This peak, however, rather belongs to the impurities than to the modifications in LiBH₄, since all other principle modes remain almost unaffected. As the vibrational profile of [BH₄] is almost the same in the As established by SR-PXD experiments, TiO₂, TiN and TiC spectra of all the composites, we can conclude that pure LiBH₄ did not react with the composites. Therefore they could only be formed in these samples after hydrogenation. The strong catalyze hydrogen sorption kinetics. It should be mentioned absorption due to LiH in the <1200 cm⁻¹ region, as on the that transition metal oxides are more promising additives for Fig. 7, is not present, which evidences the disappearance of hydrogen kinetics than pure transition metals though the LiH phase from these samples after hydrogenation. latter have orders of magnitude higher activity to molecular The increase in baseline absorption (comparing to that of hydrogen dissociation [20-25]).

Obviously, the explanation of the pure LiBH₄ in the spectra of the hydrogenated composites catalytic effect by metal oxides should be found by tools of evidences the presence of some binary compounds. As it can surface science. Indeed, the interaction of high surface area be clearly seen on Fig. 9, the baselines of all the hydrogenation oxides (alumina, titania or their mixtures) with gas has been composites Pt well with the vibrational profile of MgH₂. widely studied in heterogeneous catalysis. With respect to Among the four composites, those with TiF₄, TiO₂, and TiC alumina or titania, the single phase alumina/titania solid (spectra 1e3 in Fig. 9) have very similar baseline profiles in the acids [26] have stronger acid sites and higher acid site density. low energy region of the ATR-IR spectra, whereas the These facts, coupled with their high surface area produce the composite with the TiN additive (spectrum 4) obviously has materials with an even larger number of acid sites per gram, some additional absorption, which has the best Pt with the making them useful in heterogeneous catalysts.

Probably, for vibrational profile of TiN (the ATR spectra of other reference TiN and TiC catalytic effects also can be explained by their compounds can be found in the Fig. S1 of the Supporting surface activity toward the molecular hydrogen since no Information).

Even after hydrogenation, no absorption peaks chemical reactions with these additives were found. due to TiF₄ at ca. 750 cm⁻¹ are observed in the composite with Because of high reactivity of LiBH₄, it is not easy to find an TiF₄ additive. It is not possible to identify the presence of other additive which is not consumed by chemical reaction. In most phases, (LiF, TiB₂, TiO₂, TiC, Ti) from these spectra, since they cases, proposed in literature additives behave as reagents all have rather similar profile in the low region (Fig. S1), producing intermediates but finally cannot be recovered to the however, their presence cannot be excluded. Our conclusions parent reactants. For TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN and TiC, depending on the are supported by the SR-PXD results. non-metal, the standard enthalpy of formation are 1649.3; 3.4.

General discussion 944.0; 338.1 and 184.5 kJ/mol, respectively [27]. Depending on the free energy for the possible interactions between the additives and LiH or LiBH₄, their behavior can be different: Theoretically a general catalytic mechanism for reaction chemical, physical etc. However, the kinetics of hydrogen between LiBH₄ and MX_n additive (where M is metal with n sorption is related to the surface properties of the additives. valence; X is halogen) would be presented as follows: We suppose that chemically unreacted titanium additives (TiO₂, TiN and TiC) could be the active surface centers, nLiBH₄ + MX_n / nLiX + M(BH₄)_n (4) where dissociation of molecular hydrogen under hydrogenation and association of atomic hydrogen under dehydrogenation can be accelerated. nLiX + M(BH₄)_n / nLiX + MB_n + 2nH₂ (5) In recent work [28] the investigation of the effect of Ti, TiH₂, TiB₂, TiCl₃, and TiF₃ additives on the hydrogen sorption kinetics in LiH/MgB₂ mixture has been done. There it was nLiX + MB_n + 2nH₂ / MX_n + nLiH + nB + 2nH₂ (6) concluded that all these titanium additives effectively decrease the onset temperature of hydrogenation. Similar to

This mechanism was observed in case of solid state reac- our present results, the TiH₂, TiB₂, TiCl₃, and TiF₃ additives tion between LiBH₄ and TiCl₃ [14,15]. The reaction occurs at were mostly responsible for faster hydrogen desorption room temperature with the formation of LiCl. Similar result kinetics and only metallic titanium in LiHeMgB₂ composite was observed in [16] for the interaction between LiBH₄ and actively participates in both hydrogenation and dehydroge- TiCl₄: nation process [28]. This is again the confirmation of more pronounced role of anion (the more electronegative atom in 4LiBH₄ + TiCl₄ / Ti(BH₄)₃ + 4LiCl + 1/2B₂H₆ + 1/2H₂ (7) titanium additives) at association of atomic hydrogen than dissociation of molecular hydrogen. From another hand, It was concluded that the dehydrogenation temperatures of based on the measurements for LiBH₄eMgH₂ composite Ti(BH₄)₃ was 298 K.

Thus, at room temperature and ambient catalyzed by TiCl₃, ZrCl₄ and HfCl₄ additives in [29], we pressure Ti(BH₄)₃ decomposes releasing hydrogen and suggest that regards to hydrogen desorption Ti cation might possibly trace amounts of gaseous B₂H₆. Similar ion-exchange be the most significant element among all of IVB group in interactions (4) could take place in case of LiBH₄ with MgCl₂ Periodic Table. In conclusion of the discussion, it would be [17], MnCl₂ [18] or ZnF₂ [19], and as in the present paper, with reasonable to proceed the studying about the effects of tita- TiF₄. In case of RHCs, based on lithium borohydride, the nium additives on hydrogen sorption of LiHeMgB₂ composite additives likely react with LiBH₄ in similar way. However, in order to find suitable chemical structure and optimal MgH₂ plays an additional role in the kinetic improvement [9]. amount of the proposed dopant. In addition to that, the In our experiment, because of the higher temperature of LiBH₄ comparison with another type of additives (e.g. Sc- or Ce- crystallization, Ti(BH₄)₃ was not found as a product of reaction based [30] additives) will be important for general under- (4) but the formation of LiF was confirmed. standing of their behavior.

Conclusions

It can be noted that the combination of the volumetric, SR-PXD and spectroscopic techniques gives a comprehensive description of reactive hydride composites. In present work, we studied the effects of titanium-based additives on hydrogen absorption/desorption properties of 2LiHeMgB₂ composite. We found that:

All the systems with additives showed an improvement of reaction rate, especially for hydrogen desorption. In case of TiO₂, the composite demonstrated the best kinetics for both hydrogen absorption and desorption (w7.6 wt% H₂ in w1.8 h and 2.7 h, respectively). Moreover, hydrogen storage capacity of LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ system, during five sorption cycles, was only slightly reduced by w0.6 wt% H₂ with respect to that of the unmodified LiHeMgB₂.

For all composites, hydrogen desorption was observed through intermediate step of reaction (1) with the formation of pure magnesium. For LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂ composite, magnesium phase was present during a short time and almost complete hydrogen sorption was confirmed after 2 h at 380 C.

IR analysis has shown the presence of peaks due to [BH₄], proving the LiBH₄ formation after hydrogen absorption of the all composites. The spectrum of the ball-milled LiHeMgB₂eTiF₄ did not exhibit any peaks due to TiF₄, neither after ball-milling nor after 1-st hydrogen absorption. The baselines of the spectra of hydrogenated LiHeMgB₂eX (X ¼ TiF₄, TiO₂, TiN and TiC) systems indicate the presence of MgH₂.

Only TiF₄ additive was chemically active in the composite and consequently new phases (LiF and TiB₂) were detected by SR-PXD measurements. For other LiHeMgB₂eX systems (X ¼ TiO₂, TiN and TiC) titanium-based additives were chemically inert during the entire experiment. It means that TiO₂, TiN and TiC did not react during hydrogen sorption and apparently they could be responsible for kinetic effect as catalysts.

Acknowledgments

Corresponding author thanks Dr. P. Moretto for a good management at the measurements at JRC, Petten and Dr. M. Tolkehn for a technical support at DESY, Hamburg. Present work was supported by the European Commission (contract MRTN-CT-2006-035366).

References

- [1] Zuttel A, Wenger P, Rentsch S, Sudan P. LiBH_4 a new hydrogen storage material. *J Power Sources* 2003;118:1e7.
- [2] Barkhordarian G, Klassen T, Dornheim M, Bormann R. Unexpected kinetic effect of MgB_2 in reactive hydride composites containing complex borohydrides. *J Alloys Comp* 2007;440:L18e21.
- [3] Bosenberg U, Doppiu S, Mosegaard L, Barkhordarian G, Eigen N, Borgschulte A, et al. Hydrogen sorption properties of MgH_2 þ 2LiBH_4 . *Acta Mater* 2007;55:3951e8.
- [4] Bogdanovic B, Schwickardi M. Ti-doped alkali metal aluminium hydrides as potential novel reversible hydrogen storage materials. *J Alloys Comp* 1997;253:1e9.
- [5] Wang P, Ma L, Fang Z, Kang X, Wang P. Improved hydrogen storage property of LiMgBeH system by milling with titanium trifluoride. *Energy Environ Sci* 2009;2:120e3.
- [6] Deprez E, Munoz-Marquez MA, Roldan MA, Prestipino C, Palomares FJ, Bonatto-Minella Ch, et al. Oxidation state and local structure of Ti-based additives in the reactive hydride composite of 2LiBH_4 þ MgH_2 . *J Phys Chem C* 2010;114:3309e17.
- [7] Bosenberg U. LiBH_4 þ MgH_2 composites for hydrogen storage. PhD thesis, Geesthacht: GKSS-Forschungszentrum Geesthacht GmbH; 2009.
- [8] Bosenberg U, Kim JW, Gossler D, Eigen N, Jensen TR, Belosta von Colbe J, et al. Role of additives in LiBH_4 þ MgH_2 reactive hydride composites for sorption kinetics. *Acta Mater* 2010;58:3381e9.
- [9] Łodziana Z, Züttel A, Zielinski P. Titanium and native defects in LiBH_4 and NaAlH_4 . *J Phys Con Matter* 2008;20:465210.
- [10] Bosenberg U, Tolkehn M, Pistidda C, Saldan I, Suarez-Alcantara K, Arendarska A, Klassen T, Dornheim M. Characterization of metal hydrides with in-situ XRD. *J Appl Crystallogr*, in press.
- [11] Yan-Ping Zhou. Catalyst optimization for LiBH_4 þ MgH_2 composite. MSc thesis, Geesthacht: GKSSeForschungszentrum Geesthacht GmbH; 2007.
- [12] Parker SF. Spectroscopy and bonding in ternary metal hydride complexes e potential hydrogen storage media. *Coord Chem Rev* 2010;254:215e34.
- [13] Nakamoto K. Infrared and Raman spectra of inorganic and coordination compounds. Part A. In: Theory and applications in inorganic chemistry. 6th ed. New Jersey: Wiley & Sons Inc.; 2009. p. 119e29.
- [14] Mosegaard L, Müller B, Jørgensen J-E, Filinchuk YA, Cerenius Y, Hanson J, et al. Reactivity of LiBH_4 : in situ synchrotron radiation powder X-ray diffraction study. *J Phys Chem C* 2008;112:1299e303.
- [15] Au M, Jørgensen A. Modified lithium borohydrides for reversible hydrogen storage. *J Phys Chem B* 2006;110:7062e7.
- [16] Hoekstra H, Katz J. The preparation and properties of the group IV-B metal borohydrides. *J Am Chem Soc* 1949;71:2488e92.
- [17] Au M, Jørgensen A, Zeigler K. Modified lithium borohydrides for reversible hydrogen storage (2). *J Phys Chem B* 2006;110:26482e7.
- [18] Varin RA, Zbroniec L. The effects of ball milling and nanometric nickel additive on the hydrogen desorption from lithium borohydride and manganese chloride (3LiBH_4 þ MnCl_2) mixture. *J Hydrogen Energy* 2010;35:3588e97.
- [19] Au M, Walters RT. Reversibility aspect of lithium borohydrides. *J Hydrogen Energy* 2010;35:10311e6.
- [20] Henrich VE, Cox PA. The surface science of metal oxides. New York: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
- [21] Hummer R, Nørskov JK. Electronic factors determining the reactivity of metal surfaces. *Surf Sci* 1995;343:211e20.
- [22] Hummer R, Nørskov JK. Why gold is the noblest of all the metals. *Nature* 1995;376:238e40.
- [23] Barkhordarian G, Klassen T, Bormann R. Effect of Nb_2O_5 content on hydrogen reaction kinetics of Mg. *J Alloys Comp* 2004;364:242e6.
- [24] Barkhordarian G, Klassen T, Bormann R. Catalytic mechanism of transition-metal compounds on Mg hydrogen sorption reaction. *J Phys Chem B* 2006;110:11020e4.
- [25] Borgschulte A, Bosenberg U, Barkhordarian G, Dornheim M, Bormann R. Enhanced hydrogen sorption kinetics of magnesium by destabilized MgH_2 . *Cat Today* 2007;120: 262e9.

- [26] Walker GS, Williams E, Bhattacharya AK. Preparation and characterization of high surface area alumina-titania solid acids. *J Mater Sci* 1997;32:5583e92.
- [27] Lide DR. *Handbook of chemistry and physics*. 74th ed. CRC Press; 1993e1994.
- [28] Zhang Y, Morin F, Huot J. The effects of Ti-based additives on the kinetics and reactions in LiH/MgB₂ hydrogen storage system. *J Hydrogen Energy* 2011;36:5425e30.
- [29] Sridechprasat P, Suttisawat Y, Rangsunvigit P, Kitiyanan B, Kulprathipanja S. Catalyzed LiBH₄ and MgH₂ mixture for hydrogen storage. *J Hydrogen Energy* 2011;36:1200e5.
- [30] Liu BH, Zhang BJ, Jiang Y. Hydrogen storage performance of LiBH₄/2MgH₂ composites improved by Ce-based additives. *J Hydrogen Energy* 2011;35:5418e24




Fig. 1 e Hydrogen sorption for LiHeMgB₂ in molar ratio 2:1. Conditions for absorption and desorption were 350 C at 50 bar H₂ and 380 C at 5 bar H₂, respectively.




Fig. 2 e Hydrogen sorption for LiHeMgB₂eTiF₄ in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 during 5 cycles. Absorption (a) at 350 C and 50 bar H₂; desorption (b) at 380 C and 5 bar H₂.




Fig. 3 e Hydrogen sorption for LiHeMgB₂eTiO₂(rutile) in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 during 5 cycles. Absorption (a) at 330 C and 50 bar H₂; desorption (b) at 380 C and 5 bar H₂




Fig. 4 e Hydrogen sorption for LiHeMgB₂eTi in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 during 5 cycles. Absorption (a) at 330 C and 50 bar H₂; desorption (b) at 380 C and 5 bar H₂.




Fig. 5 e Hydrogen sorption for LiHeMgB₂eTiC in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 during 5 cycles. Absorption (a) at 330 C and 50 bar H₂; desorption (b) at 380 C and 5 bar H₂.




Fig. 6 e In-situ SR-PXD under 5 bar H₂ for LiHeMgB₂eTiX (X [TiF₄ (a); TiO₂ (b); TiN (c); TiC (d)) in molar ratio 2:1:0.1 composites after complete 1-st hydrogen absorption




Fig. 7 e ATR-IR spectra of $\text{LiHeMgB}_2\text{eX}$ (X [TiF_4 , TiO_2 , TiN , TiC]) systems after ball-milling. The reference spectrum of LiH is also shown. Spectra are translated along the Y axis for better representation.




Fig. 8 e ATR-IR spectra of $\text{LiHeMgB}_2\text{eX}$ (X [TiF_4 , TiO_2 , TiN , TiC]) systems after 1st hydrogen absorption. The reference spectrum of LiBH_4 is also shown. Spectra are translated along the Y axis for better representation.




Fig. 9 e ATR-IR spectra in low region of $\text{LiHeMgB}_2\text{eX}$ (X [TiF_4 , TiO_2 , TiN , TiC]) systems after 1st hydrogen absorption: Spectra 1e3 correspond to the composites with TiO_2 , TiC , and TiF_4 , respectively, spectrum 4 corresponds to the composite with TiN additive