JOURNAL OF CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGY, Apr. 2010, p. 1366–1377 0095-1137/10/\$12.00 doi:10.1128/JCM.02117-09 Copyright © 2010, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

# Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: a 10.5-Year Analysis of Susceptibilities of *Candida* Species to Fluconazole and Voriconazole as Determined by CLSI Standardized Disk Diffusion<sup>⊽</sup>

M. A. Pfaller,<sup>1\*</sup> D. J. Diekema,<sup>1,2</sup> D. L. Gibbs,<sup>3</sup> V. A. Newell,<sup>3</sup> D. Ellis,<sup>4</sup> V. Tullio,<sup>5</sup> A. Rodloff,<sup>6</sup> W. Fu,<sup>7</sup> T. A. Ling,<sup>8</sup> and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group

Departments of Pathology<sup>1</sup> and Medicine,<sup>2</sup> Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa; Giles Scientific, Inc., Santa Barbara, California<sup>3</sup>; Women's and Children's Hospital, North Adelaide, Australia<sup>4</sup>; Universita degli Studi di Torino, Turin, Italy<sup>5</sup>; Institute for Medical Microbiology, Leipzig, Germany<sup>6</sup>;

Husa Shan Hospital, Shanghai, China<sup>7</sup>; and Singapore General Hospital, Singapore<sup>8</sup>

Received 29 October 2009/Returned for modification 4 January 2010/Accepted 9 February 2010

Fluconazole in vitro susceptibility test results for 256,882 isolates of Candida spp. were collected from 142 sites in 41 countries from June 1997 to December 2007. Data were collected for 197,619 isolates tested with voriconazole from 2001 to 2007. A total of 31 different species of Candida were isolated. Increased rates of isolation of the common non-albicans species C. glabrata (10.2% to 11.7%), C. tropicalis (5.4% to 8.0%), and C. parapsilosis (4.8% to 5.6%) were noted when the time periods 1997 to 2000 and 2005 to 2007 were compared. Investigators tested clinical isolates of Candida spp. by the CLSI M44-A disk diffusion method. Overall, 90.2% of Candida isolates tested were susceptible (S) to fluconazole; however, 13 of 31 species identified exhibited decreased susceptibility (<75% S), similar to that seen with the resistant (R) species C. glabrata and C. krusei. Among 197,619 isolates of Candida spp. tested against voriconazole, 95.0% were S and 3% were R. About 30% of fluconazole-R isolates of C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. tropicalis, C. rugosa, C. lipolytica, C. pelliculosa, C. apicola, C. haemulonii, C. humicola, C. lambica, and C. ciferrii remained S to voriconazole. An increase in fluconazole resistance over time was seen with C. parapsilosis, C. guilliermondii, C. lusitaniae, C. sake, and C. pelliculosa. Among the emerging fluconazole-R species were C. guilliermondii (11.4% R), C. inconspicua (53.2% R), C. rugosa (41.8% R), and C. norvegensis (40.7% R). The rates of isolation of C. rugosa, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis increased by 5- to 10-fold over the 10.5-year study period. C. guilliermondii and C. rugosa were most prominent in Latin America, whereas C. inconspicua and C. norvegensis were most common in Eastern European countries. This survey identifies several less-common species of Candida with decreased susceptibility to azoles. These organisms may pose a future threat to optimal antifungal therapy and underscore the importance of prompt and accurate species identification and antifungal susceptibility testing.

Antifungal susceptibility testing is playing an increasing role as a means to track the development of antifungal resistance in epidemiological studies (2, 10, 12, 17, 27, 45-47, 55, 63). One of the important by-products of the standardization of antifungal susceptibility testing has been the ability to conduct surveillance for antifungal resistance using uniform methods (44). Meaningful large-scale surveys of antifungal susceptibility and resistance conducted over time would not be possible without a standardized broth microdilution (BMD) or disk diffusion (DD) method for performing the in vitro studies (12, 38, 60). Global surveillance programs such as the ARTEMIS antifungal surveillance program for DD testing (49, 57, 60) and MIC testing (12, 13), the European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) survey of candidemia (68), and the SENTRY Antifungal Surveillance Program (36-38) promote the use of standardized DD and BMD methods and provide useful and

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Medical Microbiology Division, C606 GH, Department of Pathology, University of Iowa College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242. Phone: (319) 356-8615. Fax: (319) 356-4916. E-mail: michael-pfaller@uiowa.edu. consistent antifungal susceptibility data from a broad international network of hospitals and laboratories.

The ARTEMIS global antifungal surveillance program is among the most comprehensive and long-running fungal surveillance programs (12, 45, 57, 58, 60). The ARTEMIS program was designed to address many of the potential limitations of resistance surveillance studies (26): (i) it is both longitudinal (1997 to present) and global (142 participating sites in 41 countries) in scope, (ii) it employs standardized DD (7) and BMD (9) antifungal susceptibility test methods, (iii) both internal quality control (QC) performed in each participating laboratory and external quality assurance measures are used to validate test results (48, 50, 61), (iv) results are recorded electronically using the Biomic image analysis plate reader (Giles Scientific, Santa Barbara, CA) and are stored in a central database, and (v) both Candida and non-Candida (60) yeast isolates obtained from consecutive clinical samples from all body sites are tested locally, thus avoiding misleading results based on biased selective testing. Thus, the ARTEMIS program generates massive amounts of data that have been externally validated and that can be used to identify temporal and geographic trends in the species distribution of Candida and other opportunistic yeasts, as well as the resistance profiles of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Published ahead of print on 17 February 2010.

these organisms to fluconazole and voriconazole as determined by standardized Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) DD methods.

In the present study, we expand the ARTEMIS database to include the time period from June 1997 through December 2007 and a total of 256,882 isolates of *Candida* from 142 study sites in 41 countries. We provide comparative susceptibility data for fluconazole and voriconazole for more than 190,000 isolates collected from 2001 to 2007 and include an analysis of resistance rates by year, geographic location, hospital location, and specimen type for selected species.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Organisms and test sites.** A total of 256,882 isolates of *Candida* obtained from 142 different medical centers in the Asia-Pacific region (24 sites), Latin America (16 sites), Europe (18 sites), the Africa/Middle East region (11 sites), and North America (13 sites) were collected and tested against fluconazole between June 1997 and December 2007. In addition, 197,619 isolates from 133 institutions were tested against voriconazole between 2001 and 2007. Approximately 80% of the study sites participated in the survey for 3 or more years (average duration of participation, 4.5 years; range, 1 to 10.5 years).

All yeasts considered pathogens from all body sites (e.g., blood, normally sterile body fluids [NSBF], deep tissue, genital tract, gastrointestinal tract, respiratory tract, urine, and skin and soft tissue) and isolates from patients in all in-hospital and outpatient locations during the study period were tested. Yeasts considered by the local site investigator to be colonizers (i.e., not associated with an obvious pathology) were excluded, as were duplicate isolates from a given patient (same species and same susceptible or resistant biotype profile within any 7-day period). The identification of isolates was performed locally in accordance with each site's routine methods. The majority (76%) of the study sites employed one or more commercially available yeast identification systems (API, Vitek, and/or MicroScan) supplemented by classical biochemical and molecular methods, and the remainder used the classical methods alone (19, 21).

Susceptibility test method. Disk diffusion (DD) testing of fluconazole and voriconazole was performed as described previously (20, 57) and in CLSI document M44-A (7). Agar plates (90-, 100-, or 150-mm diameter) containing Mueller-Hinton agar (obtained locally at all sites) supplemented with 2% glucose and 0.5  $\mu$ g of methylene blue per ml at a depth of 4.0 mm were used. The agar surface was inoculated by using a swab dipped in a cell suspension adjusted to the turbidity of a 0.5 McFarland standard. Fluconazole (25  $\mu$ g) and voriconazole (1  $\mu$ g) disks (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) were placed onto the surfaces of the inoculated plates, and the plates were incubated in air at 35 to 37°C and read at 18 to 24 h. Zone diameter endpoints were read at 80% growth inhibition by using a Biomic image analysis plate reader system (Giles Scientific) (20, 49, 57, 60).

The interpretive criteria for the fluconazole and voriconazole DD tests were those of the CLSI (8, 51, 52): susceptible (S), zone diameters of  $\geq$ 19 mm (fluconazole) and  $\geq$ 17 mm (voriconazole); susceptible dose dependent (SDD), zone diameters of 15 to 18 mm (fluconazole) and 14 to 16 mm (voriconazole); and resistant (R), zone diameters of  $\leq$ 14 mm (fluconazole) and  $\leq$ 13 mm (voriconazole). The corresponding MIC breakpoints (8, 51, 52) are as follows; S, MICs of  $\leq$ 8 µg/ml (fluconazole) and  $\geq$  µg/ml (voriconazole); SDD, MICs of 16 to 32 µg/ml (fluconazole) and  $\geq$  µg/ml (voriconazole).

QC. Quality control (QC) was performed in accordance with CLSI document M44-S2 (8) by using *Candida albicans* ATCC 90029 and *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019. Totals of 15,413 and 14,987 QC results were obtained for fluconazole and voriconazole, respectively, more than 94% of which were within the acceptable limits.

Analysis of results. All yeast DD test results were read by electronic image analysis and interpreted and recorded with the Biomic plate reader system (Giles Scientific). Test results were sent by e-mail to Giles Scientific for analysis. The zone diameter, susceptibility category (S, SDD, or R), and QC test results were all recorded electronically. Patient and doctor names, duplicate test results (same patient, same species, and same biotype results), and uncontrolled results were automatically eliminated prior to analysis. In the present study, fluconazole and voriconazole S, SDD, and R results for each species of *Candida* were stratified by year of collection, geographic region, clinical specimen type, and hospital location. Because large numbers would predispose the study to type I error, we did not perform formal significance testing; rather, we focused on clinically and microbiologically relevant trends.

#### RESULTS

Isolation rates by species. A total of 256,882 isolates of Candida spp. were collected and tested at 142 study sites between June 1997 and December 2007 (Table 1). A total of 31 different species of Candida were isolated, of which C. albicans was the most common (overall, 65.3% of all Candida spp.). A decreased rate of isolation of C. albicans was noted when the first 3 years of the study (1997 to 2000, 70.9% of all Candida spp.) were compared with the subsequent years (2001 to 2004, 62.9%; 2005 to 2007, 65.0% of all Candida spp.), although the rates of isolation over the most recent 3-year period did not show a continued declining trend. In contrast to that observed for C. albicans, increased rates of isolation of the common non-albicans species C. glabrata (10.2% to 11.7%), C. tropicalis (5.4% to 8.0%), and *C. parapsilosis* (4.8% to 5.6%) were noted when the time periods 1997 to 2000 and 2005 to 2007 were compared. The rates of isolation of C. krusei, C. guilliermondii, C. lusitaniae, C. kefyr, and C. famata did not vary significantly, whereas those of fluconazole-resistant species C. rugosa, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis increased by 5- to 10-fold over the 10.5-year study period. The rates of isolation of the remaining 19 species remained quite low; however, the increased detection of these species is further evidence of more vigorous efforts to identify clinical isolates of Candida to the species level in recent years.

Geographic variation in the frequency of Candida species. The five most common species of Candida, C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis, and C. krusei, accounted for 92% of all isolates reported during the most recent 7 years of the study (Table 2). Although these five species were prominent in each of the five geographic regions, the frequency and rank order varied considerably across the regions. Whereas C. albicans accounted for 64% to 67% of all Candida isolates in the Asia-Pacific, European, and Africa/Middle East regions, it was less prominent in Latin America (51.8%) and North America (48.9%). An even greater disparity was seen with C. glabrata, which was fourth in rank order in Latin America, accounting for only 7.4% of the isolates, and was second in the rank order in North America, accounting for 21.1% of the isolates from that region. Likewise, C. tropicalis was considerably more prominent in the Asia-Pacific (11.7%) and Latin American (13.2%) regions than in the other regions (range, 4.9% to 7.3%). C. parapsilosis, a prominent cause of catheterrelated infection (69), was an infrequent cause of invasive candidiasis (IC) in Europe (4.2%) compared to either Latin America (10.3%) or North America (13.6%).

Among the less common species of *Candida* isolated from clinical specimens, four stand out due to their decreased susceptibility to azoles and other antifungal agents and their gradual emergence as causes of invasive candidiasis (3, 11, 14, 53, 54, 62): *C. guilliermondii, C. inconspicua, C. rugosa*, and *C. norvegensis* (Table 2). Notably, each of these species appears to be more prominent in some geographic regions than in others: *C. guilliermondii* and *C. rugosa* in Latin America and *C. inconspicua* and *C. inconspicua* and *C. norvegensis* in Europe (Table 2).

**Fluconazole and voriconazole susceptibilities of** *Candida* **spp.** Table 3 summarizes the *in vitro* susceptibilities of 201,653 and 197,619 isolates of *Candida* spp. to fluconazole and voriconazole, respectively, as determined by CLSI DD testing (7).

				Isolates tested	d by period:			
Organism	199	7–2000	2001-	2004	2005-	-2007	1997-	2007
<del>-</del>	No.	% of total	No.	% of total	No.	% of total	No.	% of total
Candida albicans	39,152	70.9	71,027	62.9	57,598	65.0	167,777	65.3
C. glabrata	5,634	10.2	12,963	11.5	10,342	11.7	28,939	11.3
C. tropicalis	2,996	5.4	8,496	7.5	7,050	8.0	18,542	7.2
C. parapsilosis	2,633	4.8	7,783	6.9	5,005	5.6	15,421	6.0
C. krusei	1,207	2.2	2,840	2.5	2,239	2.5	6,286	2.4
C. guilliermondii	367	0.7	902	0.8	508	0.6	1,777	0.7
C. lusitaniae	276	0.5	674	0.6	559	0.6	1,509	0.6
C. kefyr	182	0.3	527	0.5	517	0.6	1,226	0.5
C. inconspicua	9	0.02	276	0.2	290	0.3	575	0.2
C. famata	123	0.2	375	0.3	247	0.3	745	0.3
C. rugosa	35	0.06	469	0.4	134	0.2	638	0.2
C. dubliniensis	1	< 0.01	113	0.1	197	0.2	311	0.1
C. norvegensis	11	0.02	135	0.1	113	0.1	259	0.1
C. lipolytica	7	0.01	80	0.07	50	0.06	137	0.05
C. sake			20	0.02	67	0.08	87	0.03
C. pelliculosa	1	< 0.01	47	0.04	40	0.05	88	0.03
C. apicola					57	0.06	57	0.02
C. zeylanoides	4	< 0.01	50	0.04	20	0.02	74	0.03
C. valida			9	< 0.01	12	0.01	21	< 0.01
C. intermedia			10	< 0.01	14	0.01	24	< 0.01
C. pulcherrima			6	< 0.01	8	< 0.01	14	< 0.01
C. haemulonii			6	< 0.01	3	< 0.01	9	< 0.01
C. stellatoidea			Ū.	10101	7	< 0.01	7	< 0.01
C. utilis					6	< 0.01	6	< 0.01
C. humicola			2	< 0.01	4	< 0.01	6	< 0.01
C. lambica			-	10101	5	< 0.01	5	< 0.01
C. ciferrii					2	< 0.01	2	< 0.01
C. colliculosa					2	< 0.01	2	< 0.01
C. holmii					1	< 0.01	1	< 0.01
C. marina					1	< 0.01	1	< 0.01
C. sphaerica					1	< 0.01	1	< 0.01
Candida spp. $NOS^b$	2,591	4.7	6,186	5.5	3,558	4.0	12,335	4.8
Total	55,229	100.0	112,996	100.0	88,647	100.0	256,882	100.0

TABLE 1. Species distribution of Candida isolates over 10.5 years<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Includes all specimen types and all locations in hospitals from 142 institutions in 41 countries.

<sup>b</sup> Candida spp. NOS, Candida species not otherwise identified.

These isolates were obtained from 133 institutions during the period from 2001 through 2007. The percentages of isolates in each category (S, SDD, and R) were 90.2%, 3.6%, and 6.2% and 95.0%, 2.0%, and 3.0% for fluconazole and voriconazole, respectively. Fluconazole was most active (>90% S) against *C*.

albicans (98.0% S), C. tropicalis (91.0% S), C. parapsilosis (93.2% S), C. lusitaniae (92.1% S), C. kefyr (96.5% S), C. dubliniensis (96.1% S), C. apicola (98.2% S), C. intermedia (95.8% S), C. pulcherrima (100% S), C. colliculosa (100% S), C. holmii (100% S), and C. sphaerica (100% S). Decreased sus-

TABLE 2. Geographic variation in frequency of common and uncommon species of Candida: ARTEMIS, 2001 to 2007<sup>a</sup>

	Species distribution (%) by region (total no. of isolates) <sup>b</sup> :									
Species	APAC (44,674)	EU (109,643)	AF/ME (8,259)	LAM (27,395)	NAM (11,682)	Total (201,653)				
C. albicans	64.4	67.9	67.1	51.8	48.9	63.8				
C. glabrata	12.6	11.3	8.8	7.4	21.1	11.6				
C. tropicalis	11.7	4.9	6.6	13.2	7.3	7.7				
C. parapsilosis	7.4	4.2	6.0	10.3	13.6	6.3				
C. krusei	1.2	3.4	1.6	1.4	3.1	2.5				
C. guilliermondii	0.4	0.5	0.1	2.2	0.5	0.7				
C. inconspicua	< 0.1	0.5		< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3				
C. rugosa	0.4	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.2	< 0.1	0.3				
C. norvegensis	< 0.1	0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.2	0.1				

<sup>a</sup> Includes all specimen types and all locations in hospitals from 133 institutions.

<sup>b</sup> Abbreviations: APAC, Asia-Pacific; EU, Europe; AF/ME, Africa-Middle East; LAM, Latin America; NAM, North America.

TABLE 3. In vitro susceptibilities of Candida spp. to fluconazole and voriconazole as determined by CLSI disk diffusion testing<sup>a</sup>

	-	Fluconazole <sup>b</sup>		Voriconazole <sup>b</sup>			
Species	No. of isolates tested	% S	% R	No. of isolates tested	% S	% R	
C. albicans	128,625	98.0	1.4	125,965	98.5	1.2	
C. glabrata	23,305	68.7	15.7	22,968	82.9	10.0	
C. tropicalis	15,546	91.0	4.1	15,198	89.5	5.4	
C. parapsilosis	12,788	93.2	3.6	12,453	97.0	1.8	
C. krusei	5,079	8.6	78.3	5,005	83.2	7.6	
C. guilliermondii	1,410	73.5	11.4	1,375	90.5	5.7	
C. lusitaniae	1,233	92.1	5.4	1,215	96.7	2.0	
C. kefyr	1,044	96.5	2.7	1,032	98.7	0.9	
C. inconspicua	566	22.6	53.2	563	90.6	3.9	
C. famata	622	79.1	10.3	606	90.3	5.0	
C. rugosa	603	49.9	41.8	580	69.3	21.2	
C. dubliniensis	310	96.1	2.6	308	98.4	1.0	
C. norvegensis	248	41.9	40.7	247	91.5	4.0	
C. lipolytica	130	66.2	28.5	128	77.3	14.1	
C. sake	87	85.1	11.5	87	92.0	6.9	
C. pelliculosa	87	89.7	6.9	86	94.2	4.7	
C. apicola	57	98.2	1.8	57	98.2	1.8	
C. zeylanoides	70	67.1	24.3	67	85.1	6.0	
C. valida	21	23.8	61.9	22	81.8	13.6	
C. intermedia	24	95.8	4.2	25	100.0	0.0	
C. pulcherrima	14	100.0	0.0	14	100.0	0.0	
C. haemulonii	9	88.9	11.1	9	88.9	11.1	
C. stellatoidea	7	85.7	0.0	7	85.7	14.3	
C. utilis	6	83.3	0.0	7	100.0	0.0	
C. humicola	6	50.0	50.0	6	50.0	33.3	
C. lambica	5	0.0	80.0	5	40.0	20	
C. ciferrii	2	50.0	50.0	2	50.0	0.0	
C. colliculosa	2	100.0	0.0	2	100.0	0.0	
C. holmii	1	100.0	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	
C. marina	1	0.0	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	
C. sphaerica	1	100.0	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	
Candida spp. <sup>c</sup>	9,744	86.2	8.9	9,577	93.6	4.1	

<sup>a</sup> Isolates were obtained from 133 institutions, 2001 to 2007.

<sup>*b*</sup> Fluconazole and voriconazole disk diffusion testing was performed in accordance with CLSI document M44-A (7). The interpretive breakpoints (zone diameters) were as follows: S,  $\geq$ 19 mm (fluconazole) and  $\geq$ 17 mm (voriconazole); R,  $\leq$ 14 mm (fluconazole) and  $\leq$ 13 mm (voriconazole).

<sup>c</sup> Candida species, not otherwise specified.

ceptibility to fluconazole (<75% S) was seen with *C. glabrata* (68.7% S), *C. krusei* (8.6% S), *C. guilliermondii* (73.5% S), *C. inconspicua* (22.6% S), *C. rugosa* (49.9% S), *C. norvegensis* (41.9% S), *C. valida* (23.8% S), *C. humicola* (50% S), *C. lambica* (0% S), *C. ciferrii* (50% S), and *C. marina* (0% S). Thus, despite the fact that overall 90% of all clinical isolates of *Candida* were susceptible to fluconazole, these data demonstrate that 13 of the 31 species identified in this survey exhibit decreased susceptibility on the order of that seen with the well-known resistant species *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*.

As noted previously (57), voriconazole was more active than fluconazole against most species of *Candida* with the exception of *C. tropicalis* (91.0% S to fluconazole versus 89.5% S to voriconazole); *C. apicola* (98.2% S to both); *C. pulcherrima* (100% S to both); *C. haemulonii* (88.9% S to both); *C. stellatoidea* (85.7% S to both); *C. humicola* (50% S to both); *C. ciferrii* (50% S to both); and *C. colliculosa*, *C. holmii*, and *C. sphaerica* (100% S to both). *C. rugosa* (69.3% S to voriconazole), *C. lipolytica* (77.3% S), *C. humicola* (50% S), *C. lambica* (40% S), and *C. ciferrii* (50% S) were all considerably less susceptible to voriconazole than were all other species of *Candida*.

A total of 12,179 isolates encompassing 24 different species

of *Candida* were found to be resistant to fluconazole (Table 4). Whereas voriconazole was active ( $\geq 75\%$  S) against fluconazole-resistant isolates of C. krusei (79.6% S), C. inconspicua (83.8% S), C. norvegensis (81.0% S), and C. intermedia (100% S), activity was quite poor against the remaining 20 species. Notably, less than 30% of fluconazole-resistant isolates of C. albicans (28.1% S); C. glabrata (19.1% S); C. tropicalis (17.0% S); C. rugosa (28.1% S); C. lipolytica (29.7% S); C. pelliculosa (16.7% S); C. lambica (25% S); and C. apicola, C. haemulonii, C. humicola, and C. ciferrii (all 0% S) remained susceptible to voriconazole. Cross-resistance between fluconazole and voriconazole is clearly more pronounced in some species of Candida than others, although all are affected to some degree, emphasizing the importance of both species identification and antifungal susceptibility testing in settings of candidal infection with prior azole exposure (1, 32, 42, 43, 57, 65, 66).

Trends in resistance to fluconazole among *Candida* spp. over a 10.5-year period. There was no consistent trend toward increasing resistance to fluconazole detected among the common species *C. albicans, C. glabrata,* and *C. tropicalis* over the 10.5-year time period (Table 5). Likewise, consistently high levels of resistance were seen among *C. krusei, C. inconspicua, C. norvegensis,* and *C. valida.* Resistance was high among *C.* 

TABLE 4. In vitro susceptibilities of fluconazole-resistant isolates of Candida spp. to voriconazole as determined by CLSI disk diffusion testing<sup>a</sup>

		0		
Species	No. of isolates tested	% S	% SDD	% R
C. albicans	1,782	28.1	8.4	63.6
C. glabrata	3,550	19.1	21.7	59.2
C. tropicalis	629	17.0	15.3	67.7
C. parapsilosis	431	39.2	20.4	40.4
C. krusei	3,889	79.6	11.3	9.2
C. guilliermondii	157	43.9	16.6	39.5
C. lusitaniae	63	55.6	17.5	27.0
C. kefyr	27	66.7	7.4	25.9
C. inconspicua	297	83.8	10.1	6.1
C. famata	62	37.1	24.2	38.7
C. rugosa	242	28.1	21.5	50.4
C. dubliniensis	8	62.5	0.0	37.5
C. norvegensis	100	81.0	10.0	9.0
C. lipolytica	37	29.7	27.0	43.2
C. sake	9	44.4	11.1	44.4
C. pelliculosa	6	16.7	16.7	66.7
C. apicola	1	0.0	0.0	100.0
C. zeylanoides	15	46.7	26.7	26.7
C. valida	14	71.4	7.1	21.4
C. intermedia	1	100.0	0.0	0.0
C. haemulonii	1	0.0	0.0	100.0
C. humicola	3	0.0	33.3	66.7
C. lambica	4	25.0	50.0	25.0
C. ciferrii	1	0.0	100.0	0.0
Candida spp. <sup>b</sup>	850	47.6	14.6	37.8

<sup>*a*</sup> Isolates obtained from 133 institutions, 2001 to 2007. The zone diameters for voriconazole disk diffusion susceptibility categories were as follows: S,  $\geq$ 17 mm; SDD, 14 to 16 mm; R,  $\leq$ 13 mm.

<sup>b</sup> Candida species not otherwise identified.

*famata*, *C. rugosa*, *C. lipolytica*, and *C. zeylanoides* for the years 2001 through 2004 but decreased for all four species during 2005 through 2007. Resistance to fluconazole also fell by 50% for *C. kefyr* during the latter 3-year period. The reasons for such decreases in resistance are unclear.

A slight increase in fluconazole resistance was noted among *C. parapsilosis* (2.5% to 3.6%), *C guilliermondii* (9.9% to 14.2%), and *C. lusitaniae* (2.9% to 6.0%) over the 10.5-year period. Although the numbers of isolates were small, *C. saki* (10.0% to 11.9%), *C. pelliculosa* (15.0%), *C. haemulonii* (33.3%) *C. humicola* (50%), *C. lambica* (80%), and *C. ciferrii* (50%) all showed elevated rates of resistance over the last 3 years (2005 to 2007).

Trends in resistance to voriconazole among *Candida* spp., 2001 to 2007. Voriconazole has been tested in the ARTEMIS program since its introduction into clinical use in 2001 (Table 6). As noted previously (Table 3), resistance to voriconazole was uncommon (<5%) during each of the study years; however, a trend toward increased resistance over the most recent 3 years (2005 to 2007) was observed for *C. famata* (1.1% to 5.7%), *C. norvegensis* (0.0% to 6.9%), *C. lipolytica* (0.0% to 11.1%), and *C. pelliculosa* (14.3% to 16.7%). Notably, there was no trend toward increased resistance to voriconazole among the fluconazole-resistant species *C. glabrata*, *C. krusei*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. rugosa*, and *C. inconspicua*.

Geographic variation in the susceptibilities of *Candida* to fluconazole and voriconazole. Table 7 presents the *in vitro* susceptibility results for fluconazole and voriconazole tested against the five most common species of *Candida* (*C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. krusei*) and four uncommon fluconazole-resistant species (*C. guilliermondii*, *C. inconspicua*, *C. rugosa*, and *C. norvegensis*) stratified by geographic region for the time period from 2001 to 2007. Just as geographic variation was seen in the frequency of isolation of these different species (Table 2), considerable variation in the frequency of azole resistance was observed as well. Although both fluconazole and voriconazole were highly active against *C. albicans* in all geographic regions, considerably higher rates of resistance to both azoles were detected among isolates from North America than among those from the other four regions. Similarly to *C. albicans*, the highest rates of azole resistance

*C. tropicalis* is now recognized as the second most common species of *Candida* isolated from patients with invasive candidiasis in the Asia-Pacific region (5, 23, 28, 70–75) (Table 2). Reports from Taiwan have also highlighted the emergence of fluconazole resistance in *C. tropicalis* from a variety of different specimen types (71, 74). These findings are supported by the most recent ARTEMIS data, where the highest rates of resistance to both fluconazole and voriconazole were seen among isolates of *C. tropicalis* from the Asia-Pacific region (Table 7). The lowest rates of resistance to both azoles were seen with isolates of *C. tropicalis* from the Africa/Middle East region. As noted previously (57), *C. tropicalis* isolates from all regions, with the exception of those from the Africa/Middle East region, were slightly more resistant to voriconazole than to fluconazole.

Azole resistance among isolates of *C. parapsilosis* is generally considered to be infrequent (59, 69), and that is the case in all of the regions surveyed in the ARTEMIS program with the exception of those isolates from the Africa/Middle East region (Table 7). Whereas resistance to fluconazole and voriconazole was <5% and <3%, respectively, in Europe, Latin America, North America, and the Asia-Pacific regions, it was 15.0% and 11.1%, respectively, in the Africa/Middle East region, with the highest rates seen in isolates from South Africa (21% and 15%, respectively).

Among the five species of *Candida* that are recognized as having decreased susceptibility to fluconazole, *C. krusei* showed high-level resistance in all of the geographic regions. Interestingly, 14% of isolates of *C. krusei* from Latin America were resistant to voriconazole compared to only 4.5% to 7.7% of isolates from other regions.

Isolates of *C. guilliermondii* from the Asia-Pacific region and Europe were more resistant to both fluconazole and voriconazole than were those from other regions, whereas the highest rates of resistance to both agents among *C. rugosa* isolates were seen in Latin America. The greatest geographic variation in resistance to voriconazole was noted with *C. rugosa*, where 32.8% of Latin American isolates were resistant compared to 0% to 11.1% in the other regions.

Both *C. inconspicua* and *C. norvegensis* appear predominately in Europe, and isolates of both species from this region demonstrate a fluconazole-resistant and voriconazole-susceptible phenotype. Whereas the few isolates of *C. inconspicua* from other regions also exhibit this phenotype, isolates of *C. norvegensis* from regions other than Europe are generally susceptible to both azoles.

	1997–2000	0	2001-2004	4	2005-200	7
Species	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R
C. albicans	39,152	0.9	71,027	1.4	57,598	1.4
C. glabrata	5,634	19.2	12,963	15.9	10,342	15.4
C. tropicalis	2,996	3.6	8,496	4.5	7,050	3.6
C. parapsilosis	2,633	2.5	7,783	3.5	5,005	3.6
C. krusei	1,207	65.8	2,840	77.5	2,239	79.3
C. guilliermondii	367	12.5	902	9.9	508	14.2
C. lusitaniae	276	2.9	674	4.3	559	6.6
C. kefyr	182	3.3	527	3.6	517	1.7
C. inconspicua	9	55.6	276	52.2	290	54.1
C. famata	123	17.1	375	12.5	247	6.9
C. rugosa	35	34.3	238	50.7	134	10.4
C. dubliniensis	1	0.0	113	2.7	197	2.0
C. norvegensis	11	54.5	135	36.3	113	46.0
C. lipolytica	7	0.0	80	37.5	50	14.0
C. sake			20	10.0	67	11.9
C. pelliculosa	1	0.0	47	0.0	40	15.0
C. apicola					57	1.8
C. zeylanoides	4	0.0	50	28.0	20	15.0
C. valida			9	66.7	12	58.3
C. intermedia			10	0.0	14	7.1
C. pulcherrima			6	0.0	8	0.0
C. haemulonii			6	0.0	3	33.3
C. stellatoidea					7	0.0
C. utilis					6	0.0
C. humicola			2	50.0	4	50.0
C. lambica					5	80.0
C. ciferrii					2	50.0
C. colliculosa					2	0.0
C. holmii					1	0.0
C. marina					1	0.0
C. sphaerica					1	0.0
Candida spp. <sup>c</sup>	2,591	10.5	6,186	8.2	3,558	10.1

TABLE 5.	Trends in in vitro	resistance to	fluconazole	among	Candida spp.	as o	determined	by Cl	LSI disk	diffusion	testing
			over a	10.5-yea	ar period <sup><i>a</i>,<i>b</i></sup>						

<sup>a</sup> Includes all specimen types and all hospital locations in 141 institutions.

 $^b$  % R, percent resistant (zone diameter,  $\leq 14$  mm).

<sup>c</sup> Candida species not otherwise identified.

Variation in the frequency of isolation and the antifungal susceptibility profile of C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis by clinical service. C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis are uncommon species of Candida that share a fluconazole-resistant, voriconazole-susceptible phenotype (3, 11, 14, 34, 62). Although C. krusei is well studied, little is known of the frequency of occurrence and variation in azole susceptibility of C. inconspicua and C. norvegensis according to clinical service (3, 11, 18, 58, 62). The clinical services reporting the isolation of those three species from patient specimens included the hematology-oncology service, medical and surgical services, intensive care units (ICUs; medical-surgical and neonatal), the dermatology service, the urology service, and the outpatient service (Table 8). Those strains from services with only a few isolates and those for which a clinical service was not specified were included in the category "other, not otherwise specified" (other, NOS).

C. krusei was isolated most frequently from the hematologyoncology service and the medical service. Whereas resistance to fluconazole was elevated in every service, resistance to voriconazole was <10% in all services except the neonatal ICU (13.8% [data not shown]) and the outpatient service (10.1%). Less than 80% of isolates from the hematology-oncology and dermatology services were susceptible to voriconazole.

As with *C. krusei*, *C. inconspicua* was isolated most frequently from the hematology-oncology and medical services. Previously, D'Antonio et al. (11) reported a cluster of catheterrelated infections due to *C. inconspicua* in patients with hematologic malignancies. More than 50% of isolates from the hematology-oncology, surgical, ICU, and dermatology services were resistant to fluconazole, whereas less than 6% of isolates from all services except dermatology (only 4 isolates tested) were resistant to voriconazole.

*C. norvegensis* has been reported as a cause of invasive candidiasis among immunosuppressed patients in Denmark and Norway (62). This species was isolated most frequently from patients on the medical service, and more than 30% of isolates from all services except the surgical and dermatology services were resistant to fluconazole. By comparison, resistance to voriconazole was uncommon (0% to 4.2%) in all services except for the urology service (1 of 6 isolates [16.7%]).

Variation in the frequency of isolation and the antifungal susceptibility profiles of *C. krusei*, *C. inconspicua*, and *C. nor*vegensis by clinical specimen type. The major specimen types

TABLE 6.	Trends in in u	vitro resistance	to voriconazole	among	Candida spj	o. as	determined by	CLSI	disk (	liffusion
			testing over a	7-year	period <sup>a,b</sup>					

	2001-2004	4	2005		2006		2007	
Species	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R
C. albicans	68,575	1.2	18,630	1.5	18,965	1.0	19,795	1.0
C. glabrata	12,643	10.3	3,185	9.5	3,413	10.2	3,727	9.4
C. tropicalis	8,171	6.1	2,136	4.5	2,317	3.8	2,574	5.1
C. parapsilosis	7,464	1.8	1,581	1.9	1,724	1.3	1,687	1.8
C. krusei	2,765	7.7	684	7.9	742	6.5	814	8.0
C. guilliermondii	872	4.9	184	6.5	155	9.7	164	5.5
C. lusitaniae	655	1.8	163	3.1	195	0.5	202	3.0
C. kefyr	514	0.8	173	2.9	153	0.0	192	0.0
C. inconspicua	274	5.5	77	2.6	89	2.2	123	2.4
C. famata	359	6.4	87	1.1	72	1.4	88	5.7
C. rugosa	446	26.7	33	3.0	38	0.0	63	4.8
C. dubliniensis	111	0.9	57	0.0	70	1.4	70	1.4
C. norvegensis	134	2.2	36	0.0	47	10.4	29	6.9
C. lipolytica	79	17.7	17	0.0	14	14.3	18	11.1
C. sake	20	0.0	13	7.7	27	11.1	27	7.4
C. pelliculosa	47	0.0	14	14.3	19	5.3	6	16.7
C. apicola					44	2.3	13	0.0
C. zeylanoides	48	8.3	7	0.0	2	0.0	10	0.0
C. valida	9	11.1	7	28.6	1	0.0	5	0.0
C. intermedia	10	0.0	8	0.0	3	0.0	4	0.0
C. pulcherrima	6	0.0	2	0.0	4	0.0	2	0.0
C. haemulonii	6	0.0	2	50.0	1	0.0		
C. stellatoidea							7	14.3
C. utilis			1	0.0	2	0.0	4	0.0
C. humicola	2	50.0			2	50.0	2	0.0
C. lambica					2	0.0	3	33.3
C. ciferrii					1	0.0	1	0.0
C. colliculosa					1	0.0	1	0.0
C. holmii							1	0.0
C. marina							1	0.0
C. sphaerica							1	0.0
Candida spp. <sup>c</sup>	6,022	4.7	1,183	4.9	1,031	2.4	1,341	2.0

<sup>a</sup> Isolates were obtained from 133 institutions.

<sup>*b*</sup> % R, percent resistant (zone diameter,  $\leq$ 13 mm).

<sup>c</sup> Candida species not otherwise identified.

yielding *C. krusei*, *C. inconspicua*, and *C. norvegensis* as putative pathogens included blood, normally sterile body fluids (NSBF), urine, respiratory tract, skin and soft tissue, and genital specimens (Table 8). Those isolates from uncommon specimen types and those for which as specimen type was not recorded were grouped under "miscellaneous, NOS."

C. krusei accounted for 2 to 3% (each) of all Candida spp. isolated from blood, NSBF, urine, respiratory tract, and skin and soft tissue specimens. It was isolated infrequently from genital specimens. Fluconazole resistance was apparent in >70% of isolates from all specimen types, whereas resistance to voriconazole ranged from 4.5% of isolates from NSBF to 11.4% of isolates from urine. Isolates from blood (86.3% S) and cerebrospinal fluid (100% S) were more likely to be susceptible (S) to voriconazole than were those from urine (76.4% S).

The majority of *C. inconspicua* isolates reported in the literature are from the respiratory tract; however, wound, blood, and genital isolates have also been obtained (3, 11, 33–35). Whereas the previous reports concerning *C. inconspicua* contained no more than 50 clinical isolates, the present data set is considerably more robust and shows *C. inconspicua* isolated from numerous body sites, including blood and NSBF. Con-

sistent with the literature, *C. inconspicua* was isolated most frequently from the respiratory tract.

Fluconazole resistance among isolates of *C. inconspicua* ranged from 26.1% for isolates from skin and soft tissue specimens to 62.9% from genital specimens. Half of all isolates from NSBF and more than a third of isolates from blood were resistant to fluconazole. Resistance (R) to voriconazole was less than 5% for isolates from all specimen types with the exception of isolates from urine (7.7% R) and miscellaneous specimen types (5.2% R).

*C. norvegensis* has been isolated from the oropharynx, blood, peritoneal fluid, urine, and a variety of deep tissue sites (22, 39, 40, 62, 67). As with *C. inconspicua*, *C. norvegensis* was isolated most frequently from the respiratory tract and with approximately equal frequency from the other specimen types (Table 9). Whereas isolates from most specimen types were highly resistant to fluconazole (>40% R), isolates from blood (7.7% R) and skin and soft tissue (8.7% R) were considerably less resistant. Resistance to voriconazole was quite uncommon (0 to 1.6%) among isolates from all specimen types with the exception of those from urine (8.7% R) and miscellaneous specimen types (8.0% R).

	A (°C 1	APA	AC	EU	J	AF/N	ΛE	LA	М	NA	M
Species	Antifungal agent	No. of isolates	% R	No. of isolates	% R						
C. albicans	Fluconazole	28,781	0.9	74,408	1.3	5,539	0.6	14,178	2.1	5,718	5.1
	Voriconazole	27,827	0.8	72,873	1.1	5,502	0.3	13,711	1.7	5,681	3.6
C. glabrata	Fluconazole	5,629	13.0	12,439	16.3	728	16.2	2,039	15.1	2,470	19.5
0	Voriconazole	5,515	8.2	12,288	9.8	705	8.1	2,000	11.3	2,460	14.6
C. tropicalis	Fluconazole	5,178	6.5	5,349	2.9	544	2.6	3,625	2.6	850	4.4
1	Voriconazole	5,062	8.4	5,128	3.9	542	2.4	3,522	3.7	836	5.3
C. parapsilosis	Fluconazole	3,294	4.3	4,578	2.6	499	15.0	2,830	2.1	1,587	3.5
I I I I	Voriconazole	3,120	1.7	4,487	1.1	496	11.1	2,779	0.9	1,517	2.4
C. krusei	Fluconazole	532	73.5	3,678	80.8	134	72.4	370	66.8	361	74.0
	Voriconazole	516	5.0	3,637	7.7	134	4.5	351	14.0	363	5.5
C. guilliermondii	Fluconazole	178	13.5	567	13.8	12	8.3	590	9.0	63	7.9
0	Voriconazole	175	10.9	558	6.1	12	0.0	567	3.7	63	4.8
C. inconspicua	Fluconazole	4	25.0	558	53.0			2	100.0	2	100.0
1	Voriconazole	4	0.0	555	3.8			2	50.0	2	0.0
C. rugosa	Fluconazole	165	32.1	89	10.1	1	0.0	339	55.5	9	22.2
0	Voriconazole	145	6.9	87	1.1	1	0.0	338	32.8	9	11.1
C. norvegensis	Fluconazole	7	14.3	204	49.0	1	0.0	13	0.0	21	0.0
	Voriconazole	7	0.0	203	4.9	1	0.0	13	0.0	21	0.0

TABLE 7. Geographic variation in the *in vitro* susceptibilities of common and uncommon species of *Candida* to fluconazole and voriconazole, 2001 to  $2007^a$ 

<sup>a</sup> For definitions of abbreviations, see Table 2, footnote b.

## DISCUSSION

There is no lack of data concerning the *in vitro* susceptibility of isolates of *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. parapsilosis* to both fluconazole and voriconazole (24, 55). Longitudinal surveillance studies from individual institutions, cities, countries, and broad geographic regions document the sustained activities of these agents versus *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. parapsilosis* and the ongoing potential for *C. glabrata* to develop resistance to both triazoles (2, 10, 12, 17, 27, 46, 55, 63). Using the ARTEMIS database, we have confirmed and extended these observations globally over a 10.5-year period of study.

These four major yeast pathogens vary in frequency of occurrence and azole susceptibility over the four geographic regions encompassed in this survey (Tables 2 and 7). Although C. albicans remains quite susceptible to both azoles, the data reported herein demonstrate a lower frequency of occurrence and yet a higher rate of resistance of this species to both fluconazole and voriconazole in North America compared to the other regions (Tables 2 and 7). Likewise, C. glabrata remains more common in North America, and isolates from this region exhibit higher rates of azole resistance than do those from other parts of the world (Tables 2 and 7). C. tropicalis is a prominent cause of invasive candidiasis in both the Latin American and Asia-Pacific regions (Table 2). We have confirmed the earlier reports of increased resistance to fluconazole among isolates of C. tropicalis from the Asia-Pacific region (Table 7) (71, 74). C. parapsilosis is well known as an exogenous cause of catheter-related fungemia (59, 69). Although resistance to fluconazole remains relatively uncommon (Table 3), there appears to be a slight trend toward increasing resistance over time (Table 5). Local outbreaks of *C. parapsilosis* fungemia document its role as a nosocomial pathogen (69), and it is evident that when lapses in infection control precautions are coupled with broad use of fluconazole, an endemic, fluconazole-resistant strain of this species may emerge (6, 64).

The present study extends the list of species of *Candida* that may be isolated from clinical specimens (Table 1). Although many of these species are uncommon, their appearance in this survey underscores an increased effort by clinical laboratories worldwide to identify isolates of *Candida* to the species level. One limitation of this survey is that most laboratories employed commercial identification methods that may have problems identifying the more unusual species (25). Previously we have been able to validate the identification of many of these species (47), including cryptic species such as *C. dubliniensis, C. metapsilosis, C. orthopsilosis, C. nivariensis, C. bracarensis*, and *C. fermentati* (16, 29–31). Unfortunately, with a survey of this magnitude we have been unable to do so in every case.

Despite the fact that 90% of the more than 250,000 isolates reported herein remain susceptible to fluconazole, it is important to realize that many of the less common species of *Candida* exhibit decreased susceptibilities to both fluconazole and voriconazole compared to those of *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. parapsilosis*. Furthermore, despite the very potent activity and broad spectrum of activity shown by voriconazole (Table 3), this agent is considerably less active against most

Clinical service		C. krusei		C. inconspic	rua	C. norvegen	sis
(total no. of isolates)	Antifungal agent	No. of isolates tested	% R <sup>b</sup>	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R
Hematology-oncology (11,930)	Fluconazole	757	83.1	113	51.3	24	50.0
	Voriconazole	741	9.6	113	5.3	24	4.2
Medical (47,024)	Fluconazole	1,128	79.9	101	42.6	68	33.8
	Voriconazole	1,106	7.8	101	4.0	68	2.9
Surgical (12,659)	Fluconazole	316	78.5	62	61.3	25	28.0
	Voriconazole	320	5.6	62	1.6	25	0.0
ICU (27,758)	Fluconazole	706	79.0	78	50.0	26	42.3
	Voriconazole	692	6.6	76	2.6	26	0.0
Dermatology (3,001)	Fluconazole	32	71.9	4	75.0	2	0.0
85 (-,)	Voriconazole	31	6.5	4	50.0	$\overline{2}$	0.0
Urology (1,954)	Fluconazole	40	60.0	4	25.0	6	83.3
	Voriconazole	40	7.5	4	0.0	6	16.7
Outpatient (15,810)	Fluconazole	212	74.5	17	23.5	23	34.8
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Voriconazole	213	10.3	17	5.9	22	0.0
Other NOS (81,517)	Fluconazole	1,888	75.9	187	61.5	74	47.3
	Voriconazole	1,862	7.0	186	3.2	74	8.1

TABLE 8. Susceptibilities of C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis to fluconazole and voriconazole by clinical service<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Isolates were obtained from 133 institutions.

<sup>b</sup> % R, percent resistant (zone diameter,  $\leq 14$  mm [fluconazole] and  $\leq 13$  mm [voriconazole]).

fluconazole-resistant isolates (Table 4). Thus, with few exceptions, *Candida* spp. causing infection in patients with previous fluconazole exposure are very likely to show decreased susceptibility to voriconazole as well (1, 32, 42).

This report highlights the few species that routinely express a fluconazole-resistant, voriconazole-susceptible phenotype (Tables 4 and 7 to 9). The most common of these, *C. krusei*, is well known, and studies have demonstrated that the voriconazole activity against this species may be attributed to enhanced binding of this triazole to the target enzyme compared to that of fluconazole (15, 56, 58). Two additional species, *C. inconspicua* and *C. norvegensis*, share this fluconazole-resistant, voriconazole-susceptible phenotype (Tables 3 and 4). Although quite uncommon in most regions of the world (Table

TABLE 9. Susceptibilities of C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis to fluconazole and voriconazole by specimen type<sup>a</sup>

		C. kruse	i	C. inconspic	cua	C. norvegen	sis
Specimen type/site (total no. of isolates)	Antifungal agent	No. of isolates tested	% R <sup>b</sup>	No. of isolates tested	% R	No. of isolates tested	% R
Blood (20,704)	Fluconazole	459	74.5	24	37.5	13	7.7
	Voriconazole	459	8.5	24	4.2	13	0.0
NSBF (8,650)	Fluconazole	246	80.5	45	51.1	18	55.6
	Voriconazole	243	4.5	45	4.4	18	0.0
Urine (25,881)	Fluconazole	518	80.1	26	53.8	23	56.5
	Voriconazole	516	11.4	26	7.7	23	8.7
Respiratory tract (56,961)	Fluconazole	1,787	79.2	231	51.5	64	42.2
1 5 ( ) /	Voriconazole	1,760	7.8	229	2.2	63	1.6
Skin/soft tissue (11,221)	Fluconazole	252	81.7	23	26.1	23	8.7
	Voriconazole	251	8.0	23	4.3	23	0.0
Genital tract (44,839)	Fluconazole	566	71.9	62	62.9	19	52.6
	Voriconazole	553	6.9	62	4.8	19	0.0
Miscellaneous NOS (33,397)	Fluconazole	1,251	79.2	155	58.7	88	43.2
	Voriconazole	1,223	5.8	154	5.2	88	8.0

<sup>a</sup> Isolates were obtained from 133 institutions.

<sup>*b*</sup> % R, percent resistant (zone diameter,  $\leq$ 14 mm [fluconazole] and  $\leq$ 13 mm [voriconazole]).

2), these two species have been recognized for some time in Europe as fluconazole-resistant causes of candidal colonization and infection (3, 11, 14, 22, 34, 35, 39, 40, 62). Among the isolates of C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis in the present study, it is notable that they appear to be especially localized to Eastern Europe, namely, Hungary, Russia, and the Czech Republic. Whereas these three countries account for 21% of the Candida isolates overall, they account for 38% of all C. krusei, 31% of all C. norvegensis, and 75% of all C. inconspicua isolates (data not shown). European isolates of C. inconspicua and C. norvegensis appear to be more resistant to fluconazole than those from other geographic regions (Table 7). The epidemiological niche for these two species appears to be similar to that of C. krusei, with infection/colonization seen more frequently among patients housed in the hematologyoncology and medical services (Table 8). Whereas these species, as well as C. krusei, are often seen as colonizers (3, 22, 58, 62), isolates from blood and NSBF are reported in this survey (Table 9) and in other reports in the literature (3, 11, 34, 35, 39, 40, 62).

These and other relatively rare species of Candida are unlikely to be familiar to many clinicians and microbiologists, and there are few or no data concerning prognosis or optimal treatment strategies (13, 14, 25, 41, 43, 47, 53, 54, 65, 66). Given the ubiquitous use of azoles in prophylaxis and empirical and directed therapies (4, 43, 65, 66), it is important to know the activities of the systemically active agents, such as fluconazole and voriconazole, against these organisms (65, 66). The less common species of Candida often exhibit decreased susceptibility to fluconazole and, in some strains, to voriconazole (Table 3); this is important because these organisms may emerge as pathogens in immunocompromised patients who have already been receiving an azole (3, 11, 39, 41, 62, 65). Whereas most species of Candida that exhibit acquired resistance to fluconazole also appear to be considerably less susceptible to voriconazole than their wild-type "fluconazolenaïve" counterparts (Table 4), species such as C. krusei, C. inconspicua, and C. norvegensis may emerge during fluconazole therapy due to their intrinsic resistance to fluconazole and yet remain susceptible to voriconazole (Table 3 and 4).

In summary, we have used the ARTEMIS database to provide further evidence of the sustained activity of fluconazole and voriconazole against a broad range of *Candida* species. With an ever-expanding array of species causing infection in highly compromised patients, it is important to understand the activity of these "workhorse" antifungal agents against both common and uncommon species. It is comforting to know that both of these triazoles remain active against many of the more common species; however, reduced activity of fluconazole may be seen among the less common species, and resistance to voriconazole is often encountered among species with acquired fluconazole resistance (Table 4). Continued surveillance, both locally and on a regional and international basis, is clearly warranted.

Since it is a descriptive and sentinel-based study, there are certain limitations inherent in the ARTEMIS passive surveillance program that must be acknowledged. Despite a longstanding protocol for testing and reporting consecutive isolates from individual infectious episodes, there are no controls for participant compliance with the isolate submission protocol from one year to the next. This leads to the possibility that variations in the frequency of isolation of certain species may be influenced by financial, human resource, or policy changes and constraints and may under- or overestimate the true prevalence of any given species. Close monitoring of each study site's level of participation by the study coordinators using e-mail and other means of communication represents our efforts to ensure compliance with the data collection protocol. We recognize that studies of this scope are bound to have some variation in center participation, and we point out that the sheer number of submitted isolates from every region should help minimize individual center effects. Rigorous standardization of the CLSI disk diffusion method is ensured by both internal QC monitoring and external validation of both isolate identification and antifungal susceptibility results (29-31, 48, 50, 61). Despite these limitations, the overall size of this collection of Candida isolates does provide useful descriptive information. Such information will continue to be useful as a basis for comparison for future studies regarding the prevalence and antifungal susceptibility of both common and uncommon species of Candida as agents of IC throughout the world.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The ARTEMIS DISK Surveillance Program is supported by grants from Pfizer.

We express our appreciation to all ARTEMIS participants.

A listing of the participants may be found at ARTEMIS Participating Sites (http://www.medicine.uiowa.edu/pathology/site/faculty/pfaller /artemis\_participants.pdf).

#### REFERENCES

- Alexander, B. D., W. A. Schell, J. L. Miller, and J. R. Perfect. 2005. Candida glabrata fungemia in transplant patients receiving voriconazole after fluconazole. Transplantation 80:868–871.
- Arendrup, M. C., K. Fuursted, B. Gahrn-Hansen, H. C. Schonheyder, J. D. Knudson, I. M. Jensen, B. Bruun, J. J. Christensen, and H. K. Johansen. 2008. Semi-national surveillance of fungemia in Denmark 2004–2006: increasing incidence of fungemia and numbers of isolates with reduced azole susceptibility. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 14:487–494.
- Baily, G. G., C. B. Moore, S. M. Essayag, S. de Wit, J. P. Burnie, and D. W. Denning. 1997. *Candida inconspicua*, a fluconazole-resistant pathogen in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Clin. Infect. Dis. 25: 161–163.
- Chen, A., and J. D. Sobel. 2005. Emerging azole antifungals. Expert Opin. Emerg. Drugs 10:21–33.
- Chen, T. C., Y. H. Chen, J. J. Tsai, C. F. Peng, P. L. Lu, K. Chang, H. C. Hsieh, and T. P. Chen. 2005. Epidemiologic analysis and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* blood isolates in southern Taiwan. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 38:200–210.
- Clark, T. A., S. A. Slavinski, J. Morgan, T. Lott, B. A. Arthington-Skaggs, M. E. Brandt, R. M. Webb, M. Carrier, R. H. Flowers, S. K. Fridken, and R. A. Hajjeh. 2004. Epidemiologic and molecular characterization of an outbreak of *Candida parapsilosis* bloodstream infections in a community hospital. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:4468-4472.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2004. Method for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts: approved standard, M44-A. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2007. Zone diameter interpretive standards, corresponding minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) interpretive breakpoints and quality control limits for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts: informational supplement (M44-S2). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
  Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts: approved standard, 3rd ed., M27-A3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 10. Cuenca-Estrella, M., D. Rodriguez, B. Almirant, J. Morgan, A. M. Planes, M. Almela, J. Mensa, F. Sanchez, J. Ayats, M. Gimenez, M. Salvado, D. W. Warnock, A. Pahissa, J. L. Rodriquez-Tudela, and the Barcelona Candidemia Project Study Group. 2005. In vitro susceptibilities of bloodstream isolates of *Candida* species to six antifungal agents: results from a popula-

tion-based active surveillance programme, Barcelona, Spain, 2002–2003. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. **55:**194–199.

- D'Antonio, D., B. Violanti, A. Mazzoni, T. Bonfini, M. A. Capuani, F. D'Aloia, A. Iacone, F. Schioppa, and F. Romano. 1998. A nosocomial cluster of *Candida inconspicua* infections in patients with hematological malignancies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 36:792–795.
- Diekema, D. J., S. Messer, R. J. Hollis, L. Boyken, S. Tendolkar, J. Kroeger, R. N. Jones, and M. A. Pfaller. 2009. A global evaluation of voriconazole activity tested against recent clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 63:233–236.
- Diekema, D. J., S. A. Messer, L. B. Boyken, R. J. Hollis, J. Kroeger, S. Tendolkar, and M. A. Pfaller. 2009. In vitro activity of seven systemically active antifungal agents against a large global collection of rare *Candida* species as determined by CLSI broth microdilution methods. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:3170–3177.
- Enache-Angoulvant, A., A. Girard, J. L. Poirot, and C. Hennequin. 2009. In vitro activity of caspofungin and voriconazole against uncommon *Candida* spp. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 33:595–596.
- Fukuoka, T., D. A. Johnston, C. A. Winslow, M. J. de Groot, C. Burt, C. A. Hitchcock, and S. G. Filler. 2003. Genetic basis for differential activities of fluconazole and voriconazole against *Candida krusei*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:1213–1219.
- 16. Gales, A. C., M. A. Pfaller, A. K. Houston, S. Joly, D. J. Sullivan, D. C. Coleman, and D. R. Soll. 1999. Identification of *Candida dubliniensis* based on temperature and utilization of xylose and α-methyl-D-glucoside as determined with the API 20C AUX and Vitek YBC systems. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:3804–3808.
- Gonzalez, G. M., M. Elizondo, and J. Ayala. 2008. Trends in species distribution and susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of *Candida* collected in Monterrey, Mexico, to seven antifungal agents: results of a 3-year (2004 to 2007) surveillance study. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:2902–2905.
- Hachem, R., H. Hanna, D. Kontoyiannis, Y. Jiang, and I. Raad. 2008. The changing epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* as the leading causes of candidemia in hematologic malignancy. Cancer 112:2493–2499.
- Hazen, K. C. 1995. New and emerging yeast pathogens. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 8:462–478.
- Hazen, K. C., E. J. Baron, A. L. Colombo, C. Girmenia, A. Sanchez-Sousa, A. del Palacio, C. de Bedout, D. L. Gibbs, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2003. Comparison of the susceptibilities of *Candida* spp. to fluconazole and voriconazole in a 4-year global evaluation using disk diffusion. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:5623–5632.
- Hazen, K. C., and S. A. Howell. 2007. *Candida, Cryptococcus*, and other yeasts of medical importance, p. 1762–1788. *In P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron,* J. H. Jorgensen, M. L. Landry, and M. A. Pfaller (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 9th ed. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Hood, S. V., C. B. Moore, and D. W. Denning. 1996. Isolation of *Candida* norvegensis from clinical specimens: four case reports. Clin. Infect. Dis. 23:1185–1187.
- 23. Hsueh, P. R., Y. J. Lau, Y. C. Chuang, J. H. Wan, W. K. Huang, J. M. Shyr, J. J. Yan, K. W. Yu, J. J. Wu, W. C. Ko, Y. C. Yang, Y. C. Liu, L. J. Teng, C. Y. Lin, and K. T. Luh. 2005. Antifungal susceptibilities of clinical isolates of *Candida species, Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Aspergillus* species from Taiwan: surveillance of multicenter antimicrobial resistance in Taiwan program data from 2003. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49:512–517.
- 24. Johnson, E., A. Espinel-Ingroff, A. Szekely, H. Hockey, and P. Troke. 2008. Activity of voriconazole, itraconazole, and amphotericin B in vitro against 1763 yeasts from 472 patients in the voriconazole phase III clinical studies. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 32:511–514.
- Johnson, E. M. 2009. Rare and emerging *Candida* species. Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep. 3:152–159.
- Kahlmeter, G., and D. F. J. Brown. 2002. Resistance surveillance studies comparability of results and quality assurance of methods. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 50:775–777.
- Laupland, K. B., D. B. Gregson, D. L. Church, T. Rose, and S. El Sayed. 2005. Invasive *Candida* species infections: a 5 year population-based assessment. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 56:532–537.
- 28. Lee, J. S., J. H. Shin, K. Lee, M. N. Kim, B. M. Shin, Y. Uh, W. G. Lee, H. S. Lee, C. L. Chang, S. H. Kim, M. G. Shin, S. P. Suh, and D. W. Ryang. 2007. Species distribution and susceptibility to azole antifungals of *Candida* blood-stream isolates from eight university hospitals in Korea. Yonsei Med. J. 48:779–786.
- Lockhart, S. R., S. A. Messer, M. A. Pfaller, and D. J. Diekema. 2008. Geographic distribution and antifungal susceptibility of the newly described species *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* in comparison to the closely related species *Candida parapsilosis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:2659–2664.
- Lockhart, S. R., S. A. Messer, M. A. Pfaller, and D. J. Diekema. 2009. Identification and susceptibility profile of *Candida fermentati* from a worldwide collection of *Candida guilliermondü* clinical isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:242–244.
- Lockhart, S. R., S. A. Messer, M. Gherna, J. A. Bishop, W. G. Merz, M. A. Pfaller, and D. J. Diekema. 2009. Identification of *Candida nivariensis* and

*Candida bracarensis* in a large global collection of *Candida glabrata* isolates: comparison to the literature. J. Clin. Microbiol. **47:**1216–1217.

- 32. Magill, S. S., C. Shields, C. L. Sears, M. Choti, and W. G. Merz. 2006. Triazole cross-resistance among *Candida* spp.: case report, occurrence among bloodstream isolates, and implications for antifungal therapy. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:529–535.
- 33. Majoros, L., G. Kardos, A. Belak, A. Maraz, L. Asztalos, E. Csanky, Z. Barta, and B. Szabo. 2003. Restriction enzyme analysis of ribosomal DNA shows that *Candida inconspicua* clinical isolates can be misidentified as *Candida norvegensis* with traditional diagnostic procedures. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:5250–5253.
- Majoros, L., G. Kardos, B. Szabo, M. Kovacs, and A. Maraz. 2005. Fluconazole susceptibility testing of *Candida inconspicua* clinical isolates: comparison of four methods. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 55:275–276.
- Majoros, L., G. Kardos, P. Feiszt, and B. Szabo. 2005. Efficacy of amphotericin B and flucytosine against fluconazole-resistant *Candida inconspicua* clinical isolates. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 56:253–254.
- Messer, S. A., J. T. Kirby, H. S. Sader, T. R. Fritsche, and R. N. Jones. 2004. Initial results from a longitudinal international surveillance programme for anidulafungin (2003). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 54:1051–1056.
- Messer, S. A., R. N. Jones, and T. R. Fritsche. 2006. International surveillance of *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp.: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2003). J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:1782–1787.
- 38. Messer, S. A., G. J. Moet, J. T. Kirby, and R. N. Jones. 2009. Activity of contemporary antifungal agents, including the novel echinocandin anidulafungin, tested against *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp., and *Aspergillus* spp.: report from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2006 to 2007). J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:1942–1946.
- Nielsen, H., J. Stenderup, B. Bruun, and J. Ladefoged. 1990. Candida norvegensis peritonitis and invasive disease in a patient on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:1664–1665.
- Nielsen, H., and J. Stenderup. 1996. Invasive Candida norvegensis infection in immunocompromised patients. Scand. J. Infect. Dis. 28:311–312.
- Nucci, M., and K. A. Marr. 2005. Emerging fungal diseases. Clin. Infect. Dis. 41:521–526.
- Panackal, A. A., J. L. Gribskov, J. F. Staab, K. A. Kirby, M. Rinaldi, and K. A. Marr. 2006. Clinical significance of azole antifungal drug cross-resistance in *Candida glabrata*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:1740–1743.
- 43. Pappas, P. G., C. A. Kauffman, D. Andes, D. K. Benjamin, Jr., T. F. Calandra, J. E. Edwards, Jr., S. G. Filler, J. F. Fisher, B. J. Kullberg, L. Ostrosky-Zeichner, A. C. Reboli, J. H. Rex, T. J. Walsh, and J. D. Sobel. 2009. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin. Infect. Dis. 48:503–535.
- Pfaller, M. A. 2008. New developments in the antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida*. Curr. Fungal Infect. Rep. 2:125–133.
- Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2002. Role of sentinel surveillance of candidemia: trends in species distribution and antifungal susceptibility. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40:3551–3557.
- 46. Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2004. Twelve years of fluconazole in clinical practice: global trends in species distribution and fluconazole susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of *Candida*. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 10(Suppl 1):11–23.
- Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2004. Rare and emerging fungal pathogens: concern for resistance beyond *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:4419–4431.
- 48. Pfaller, M. A., K. C. Hazen, S. A. Messer, L. Boyken, S. Tendolkar, R. J. Hollis, and D. J. Diekema. 2004. Comparison of results of fluconazole disk diffusion testing for *Candida* species with results from a central reference laboratory in the ARTEMIS Global Antifungal Surveillance Program. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:3607–3612.
- 49. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, M. G. Rinaldi, R. Barnes, B. Hu, A. V. Veselov, N. Tiraboschi, E. Nagy, D. L. Gibbs, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2005. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study: a 6.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of *Candida* and other yeast species to fluconazole and voriconazole by standardized disk diffusion testing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43:5848–5859.
- Pfaller, M. A., L. Boyken, S. A. Messer, S. Tendolkar, R. J. Hollis, and D. J. Diekema. 2005. Comparison of results of voriconazole disk diffusion testing for *Candida* species with results from a central reference laboratory in the ARTEMIS Global Antifungal Surveillance Program. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43: 5208–5213.
- Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, and D. J. Sheehan. 2006. Interpretive breakpoints for fluconazole and *Candida* revisited: a blueprint for the future of antifungal susceptibility testing. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 19:435–447.
- 52. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, J. H. Rex, A. Espinel-Ingroff, E. M. Johnson, D. Andes, V. Chaturvedi, M. A. Ghannoum, F. C. Odds, M. C. Rinaldi, D. J. Sheehan, P. Troke, T. J. Walsh, and D. W. Warnock. 2006. Correlation of MIC with outcome for *Candida* species tested against voriconazole: analysis and proposal for interpretive breakpoints. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:819–826.
- 53. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, M. Mendez, C. Kibbler, P. Erzsebet, S. C. Chang, D. J. Gibbs, VA Newell, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance

**Group.** 2006. *Candida guilliermondii*, an opportunistic fungal pathogen with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole: geographic and temporal trends from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program. J. Clin. Microbiol. **44**:3551–3556.

- 54. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, A. L. Colombo, C. Kibbler, K. P. Ng, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2006. *Candida rugosa*, an emerging fungal pathogen with resistance to azoles: geographic and temporal trends from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:3578–3582.
- Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2007. The epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 20:133– 163.
- Pfaller, M. A., and D. J. Diekema. 2007. Azole antifungal drug cross-resistance: mechanisms, epidemiology, and clinical significance. J. Invasive Fungal Infect. 1:74–92.
- 57. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, J. F. Meis, I. M. Gould, W. Fu, A. L. Colombo, E. Rodriguez-Noriega, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2007. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2005: an 8.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of *Candida* species and other yeast species to fluconazole and voriconazole determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion testing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1735–1745.
- Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, E. Nagy, S. Dobiasova, M. Rinaldi, R. Barton, A. Veselov A, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2008. *Candida krusei*, a multidrug-resistant opportunistic fungal pathogen: geographic and temporal trends from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program, 2001 to 2005. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:515– 521.
- 59. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, K. P. Ng, A. Colombo, J. Finguelievich, R. Barnes, J. Wadula, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2008. Geographic and temporal trends in isolation and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis*: a global assessment from the ARTEMIS DISK Antifungal Surveillance Program, 2001 to 2005. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:842–849.
- 60. Pfaller, M. A., D. J. Diekema, D. L. Gibbs, V. A. Newell, H. Bijie, D. Dzierzanowska, N. N. Klimko, V. Letscher-Bru, M. Lisalova, K. Muehlethaler, C. Rennison, M. Zaidi, and the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2009. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: 10.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of noncandidal yeast species to fluconazole and voriconazole determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion testing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:117–123.
- 61. Pfaller, M. A., L. Boyken, R. J. Hollis, J. Kroeger, S. A. Messer, S. Tendolkar, D. J. Diekema, and the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. 2009. Comparison of results of fluconazole and voriconazole disk diffusion testing for *Candida* spp. with results from a central reference laboratory in the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Program. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 65:27–34.
- 62. Sandven, P., K. Nilsen, A. Digranes, T. Tjade, and J. Lassen. 1997. Candida

norvegensis: a fluconazole-resistant species. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:1375–1376.

- Sandven, P., L. Beranger, A. Digranes, H. H. Hawkland, T. Mannsaker, P. Gausted, and the Norwegian Yeast Study Group. 2006. Candidemia in Norway (1991 to 2003): results from a nationwide study. J. Clin. Microbiol. 44:1977–1981.
- 64. Sarvikivi, E., O. Lyytikalnen, D. R. Soll, C. Pujol, M. A. Pfaller, M. Richardson, P. Koukila-Kahkola, P. Luukkainen, and H. Saxen. 2005. Emergence of fluconazole resistance in a *Candida parapsilosis* strain that caused infections in a neonatal intensive care unit. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43:2729–2735.
- Spanakis, E. K., G. Aperis, and E. Mylonakis. 2006. New agents for the treatment of fungal infections: clinical efficacy and gaps in coverage. Clin. Infect. Dis. 43:1060–1068.
- Spellberg, B. J., S. G. Filler, and J. E. Edwards, Jr. 2006. Current treatment strategies for disseminated candidiasis. Clin. Infect. Dis. 42:244–251.
- 67. Sugita, T., K. Takeo, M. Ohkusu, E. Virtudazo, M. Takashima, E. Asako, F. Ohshima, S. Harada, C. Yanaka, A. Nishikawa, L. Majoros, and M. Sipiczi. 2004. Fluconazole-resistant pathogens *Candida inconspicua* and *C. norvegensis*: DNA sequence diversity of the rRNA intergenic spacer region, antifungal drug susceptibility, and extracellular enzyme production. Microbiol. Immunol. 48:761–766.
- Tortorano, A. M., C. Kibbler, J. Peman, H. Bernhardt, L. Klingspor, and R. Grillot. 2006. Candidemia in Europe: epidemiology and resistance. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 27:359–366.
- Trofa, D., A. Gacser, and J. D. Nosanchuck. 2008. Candida parapsilosis, an emerging fungal pathogen. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 21:606–625.
- Xess, I., N. Jain, F. Hasan, P. Mandal, and U. Banerjee. 2007. Epidemiology of candidemia in a tertiary care center of North India: 5-year study. Infection 35:256–259.
- Yang, Y. L., Y. A. Ho, H. H. Cheng, M. Ho, and H. J. Lo. 2004. Susceptibilities of *Candida* species to amphotericin B and fluconazole: the emergence of fluconazole resistance in *Candida tropicalis*. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 25:60–64.
- Yang, Y. L., S. Y. Li, H. H. Cheng, and H. J. Lo. 2005. Susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in TSARY 2002. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 51:179–183.
- Yang, Y. L., H. H. Cheng, H. J. Lo, and the TSARY Hospitals. 2006. Distribution and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* species isolated from different age populations in Taiwan. Med. Mycol. 44:237–242.
- 74. Yang, Y. L., A. H. Wang, C. W. Wang, W. T. Cheng, S. Y. Li, H. J. Lo, and TSARY Hospitals. 2008. Susceptibilities to amphotericin B and fluconazole of *Candida* species in Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance of Yeasts 2006. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 61:175–180.
- Yoo, J. I., C. W. Choi, K. M. Lee, Y. K. Kim, T. U. Kim, E. C. Kim, S. I. Yoo, S. H. Yun, Y. S. Lee, and B. S. Kim. 2009. National surveillance of antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* species in South Korean hospitals. Med. Mycol. 47:554–558.