EBMT 2015 - Physicians Abstract (including Data and Quality Management)

Topic area: Transplant-specific topics Topic: 15. Conditioning regimen EBMT15-ABS-1767

Myeloablative, reduced toxicity versus standard conditioning in AML: a randomized clinical trial from Gruppo Italiano Trapianto di Midollo Osseo (GITMO)

Anna Grassi^{* 1}, Caterina Micò¹, Elena Oldani², Cristina Boschini², Alessandro Busca³, Bruno Benedetto³, Irene Cavattoni⁴, Stella Santarone⁵, Roberto Raimondi⁶, Mauro Montanari⁷, Giuseppe Milone⁸, Patrizia Chiusolo⁹, Giorgina Specchia¹⁰, Stefano Guidi¹¹, Francesca Patriarca¹², Andrea Bacigalupo¹³, Antonio M. Risitano¹⁴, Giorgia Saporiti¹⁵, Massimo Pini¹⁶, Entico Maria Pogliani¹⁷, William Arcese¹⁸, Giuseppe Marotta¹⁹, Angelo Michele Carella²⁰, Arnon Nagler²¹, Paolo Corradini ²², Domenico Russo²³, Emilio Paolo Alessandrino²⁴, Giovanni Fernando Torelli²⁵, Nicola Mordini²⁶, Rosanna Scimè²⁷, Alberto Bosi²⁸, Arianna Masciulli²⁹, Rosa Maria Marfisi³⁰, Alessandro Rambaldi¹

¹Hematology and BMT Unit, AZIENDA OSPEDALIERA PAPA GIOVANNI XXIII, ²Hematology and BMT Unit, Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, ³Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, azienda ospedaliera-universitaria città della salute, Torino, ⁴Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Ospedale Civile Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Bolzano, ⁵ Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Ospedale Civile BMT Center Pescara, Pescara, ⁶Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Ospedale San Bortolo, Vicenza, ⁷Hematology, Azienda Ospedali Riuniti, Ancona, ⁸Hematology and BMT Unit, Ospedale Ferrarotto, Catania, ⁹Division of Hematology, Policlinico A. Gemelli, Roma, ¹⁰Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, Section of Hematology with Transplantation, Medical School, University of Bari, Bari, ¹¹ Department of Hematology, BMT Unit, AOU Careggi, University of Firenze, Firenze, ¹²Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera -Universitaria, Udine, ¹³Hematology and Oncology Department, IRCCS AOU San Martino - IST, Genova, ¹⁴Hematology, Federico II University of Naples, Napoli, ¹⁵Hematology - BMT Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, University of Milan, Milano, ¹⁶Hematology, AO SS. Antonio e Biagio e C. Arrigo, Alessandria, ¹⁷Hematology and BMT Unit, Ospedale San Gerardo, University of Milano Bicocca, Monza, ¹⁸ Hematology Division - Stem Cell Transplant Unit, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Roma, ¹⁹Hematology, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Senese, Siena, ²⁰Hematoloy-BMT Unit, IRCCS, Casa sollievo della sofferenza, San Giovanni Rotondo (Fg), Italy, ²¹Hematology and BMT Unit, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer,, Israel, ²²Hematology-Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori and University of Milano, ²³BMT Unit, Spedali Civili, Brescia, ²⁴BMT Unit, IRCCS Fondazione Policlinico San Matteo & University, Pavia, ²⁵Cellular Biotechnologies & Hematology, Sapienza University, Roma, ²⁶Hematology, Azienda Ospedaliera S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, ²⁷Hematology and BMT Unit, Ospedali Riuniti Villa Sofia-Cervello,, Palermo, ²⁸Hematology and BMT Unit, AOU Careggi, University of Firenze, Firenze, ²⁹Fondazione Mario Negri Sud, Santa Maria Imbaro, ³⁰Fondazione Mario Negri Sud, S. Maria Imbaro, Italy

Preferred Method of Presentation: Oral Presentation

Introduction: The combination of a myeloablative dose of intravenous (iv) busulfan with cyclophosphamide (BuCy2) is the standard conditioning regimen for allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in AML. In patients older than 40 years, it can be associated to high non relapse mortality (NRM). The same myeloablative dose of busulfan combined to fludarabine (BuFlu) may be associated to a lower NRM

Materials (or patients) and methods: The standard conditioning with iv busulfan at a dose of 0.8 mg/kg/6h for 4 consecutive days for a total dose of 12.8 mg/kg, in combination with cyclophosphamide at the dose of 60 mg/kg/day for 2 consecutive days for a total dose of 120 mg/kg (BUCY2 arm) was randomly compared to the same dose of busulfan combined with fludarabine at the dose of 40 mg/m²/day for 4 consecutive days, for a total dose of 160 mg/m2(BUFLU arm). Eligible were patients with a diagnosis of AML in 1st or 2nd complete remission (CR) with an age \geq 40 and \leq 65 years, and the availability of an HLA compatible sibling or unrelated donor. The GvHD prophylaxis was based on

conventional Cyclosporine A and Methotrexate. In case of unrelated donors, ATG was given at a total dose of 5 mg/kg. The primary study end-point was the one-year NRM using an intent-to-treat analysis.

Results: 252 patients were assessed for eligibility: 125 were randomized to BuCy2 (121 received the allocated intervention, 3 withdrew consent and 1 relapsed before conditioning) while 127 were randomized to BuFlu (124 received the allocated intervention and 3 relapsed before conditioning). Patients were stratified according to donor type and remission (1st vs. 2nd or more). The main clinical and transplant characteristics were well balanced between the randomization arms. The median age was 51 years, 85% of patients was in 1st remission and the ELN risk subgroups were good (11%), intermediate-1 (49%), intermediate-2 (16%) and adverse (25%). The donor was a sibling related (45%) or matched unrelated (55%) while the stem cell graft was the peripheral blood in the majority of cases. On an intent to treat basis, at 1 year, the NRM in the BUCY2 arm was 17.2% vs. 7.9% in the BUFLU (Gray Test P=0.03). At 2 years and throughout the study, the same significantly different NRM was observed between study arms being respectively 18.2% vs. 8.9% and 19% vs. 9.7% (Gray Test P=0.05) (Figure 1). By forest plots analysis the experimental treatment was better in all strata and particularly in patients in CR1. A non-significant lower incidence of relapse was documented in the BUCY2 vs. the BUFLU arm being 22.1% vs. 25.2% at 1 year, respectively (Gray test 0.47) and no difference could be detected by forest plot analysis in any strata. At 4 year, in the BuFlu and the BuCy2 arm respectively, the leukemia free survival was 51% vs. 42% and the overall survival 55% vs. 54%. The overall (grade II-IV) cumulative incidence of acute GVHD was slightly higher in the BuCy2 arm and this difference was significant (p= 0.0083) when only grade III and IV were considered.

Image / Graph:



Conclusion: The conditioning regimen based on Busulfan and Fludarabine was associated with a lower non-relapse mortality and less acute GvHD (grade III-IV), with a similar incidence of relapse and comparable LFS and OS. This myeloablative, albeit reduced toxicity program is a valid alternative for older AML patients.

Disclosure of Interest: A. Grassi Conflict with: Pierre Fabre, Pharma, C. Micò: None Declared, E. Oldani: None Declared, C. Boschini: None Declared, A. Busca: None Declared, B. Benedetto: None Declared, I. Cavattoni: None Declared, S. Santarone: None Declared, R. Raimondi: None Declared, M. Montanari: None Declared, G. Milone: None Declared, P. Chiusolo: None Declared, G. Specchia: None Declared, S. Guidi: None Declared, F. Patriarca: None Declared, A.

Bacigalupo: None Declared, A. M. Risitano: None Declared, G. Saporiti: None Declared, M. Pini: None Declared, E. M.
Pogliani: None Declared, W. Arcese: None Declared, G. Marotta: None Declared, A. M. Carella: None Declared, A.
Nagler: None Declared, P. Corradini: None Declared, D. Russo: None Declared, E. P. Alessandrino: None Declared, G.
F. Torelli: None Declared, N. Mordini: None Declared, R. Scimè: None Declared, A. Bosi: None Declared, A. Masciulli: None Declared, R. M. Marfisi: None Declared, A. Rambaldi Conflict with: Pierre Fabre, Pharma

Keywords: AML, Busulfan, Non relapse mortality, randomized trial, Reduced toxicity conditioning