Advances in Analytical Chemistry 2019, 9(2): 23-33 DOI: 10.5923/j.aac.20190902.01

Validation of the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) for Heavy Metal Analysis and Geochemical Exploration of Sediment Samples from the Sebangan River

Asare Ebenezer Aquisman^{1,2,*}, Zaini Bin Assim¹, Rafeah Binti Wahi¹, Droepenu Eric Kwabena^{1,2}, Wilson Festus³

¹Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak State, Malaysia ²Graduate School of Nuclear and Allied Sciences, University of Ghana, AE1, Kwabenya –Accra, Ghana ³Graduate School, University of Education Winneba, Central Region, Ghana

Abstract This study was to validate the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) in the determination of heavy metals using surface sediments from the Sebangan (estuary of Sadong River), Sarawak State, Malaysia as a case study. Aqua regia procedure was used to extract the trace elements from the sediments. The Scanning Electron Microscope was used to analyse and investigate the microstructure morphology and chemical composition characterizations of the sediments. The results of the examination confirmed to the fact that the technique validated was appropriate and less laborious for the determination of the 12 metals of interest (Al, Mn, Ca, Cd, Cu, Fe, Cr, Ni, Co, Zn and Pb) in the sediment. Massive deposits of sharp teeth granite and quartz particles of distinct tetrahedral conformation with plus size were observed in the samples investigated.

Keywords Validation, Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, Aqua regia, Sediment, Scanning Electron Microscope

1. Introduction

A challenge exists in the estimation of the concentrations of trace elements in sediment, soil and water samples in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. The results attained usually differ with respect to the analytical technique employed [1-4]. Heavy metals pose a severe threat as pollutants of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems because of their noxiousness and tenacity in infinitesimal proportions. Mercury (Hg), Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr) and Nickel (Ni) are by far the most profuse, tenacious and deadly heavy metals prevailing in the biosphere [5-7]. Sediments are reservoirs of a variation of biological and chemical waste including trace quantities of metals such as Mn, Co, Ni, Mo, Cu, Cd and Cr. The physiognomies of metals dissolved in water and sediments depend on the character of the metal species. Thus the speciation of metals is vital in the environmental chemistry of natural aquatic systems and water from sewage systems [7,8]. According to [7], the chemical nature and possible occurrence of elements related to the sediment load can be deduced by the extraction

aquisman1989@gmail.com (Asare Ebenezer Aquisman)

Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/aac

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Scientific & Academic Publishing This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ techniques connecting the use of a variability of reagents. Consequently, [5], opined that the determination of metal speciation with sequential extraction technique provides a vital approach for the assessment of the methods of occurrence and dissemination of heavy metals in dynamic atmospheres especially where metal concentration scattering patterns can be credited to a diversity of lithogenic and human-induced geochemical interactions. According to [9], speciation analysis of an element is the estimation of the individual concentrations of the various chemical forms of that element which constitute the total concentration of that element in a sample. Speciation is significant to the comprehension of trace element toxicity, in both aquatic and biological systems. Chemical speciation methods contribute data on bioavailability [6,7]. Numerous speciation researches on trace elements involving natural waters, aquatic organisms, sediments and soils have been conducted over the years. Several modern analytical techniques are available for reliable speciation studies in waters and sediments exist, including ultrafiltration, electrophoresis, ion exchange, dialysis, liquid-liquid extraction, polarography, anodic stripping voltammetry, AAS (Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry) [1-4,10], ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectroscopic) [6-8,11] and ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry) [5-8,11]. The Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) is a significant instrumental technique for the determination of these metals because of its numerous

^{*} Corresponding author:

advantages including low cost, significant accuracy, greater sensitivity and detection limits as well as relatively short duration for analysis [1]. The objective of this paper is to validate the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) in the determination of heavy metals using surface sediments from the Sebangan (estuary of Sadong River), Sarawak, Malaysia as a case study.

2. Study Area

In March 2019, a total of 15 surface sediments were assembled from Sebangan River (estuary of Sadong River). The samples were collected from five (5) different stations. The GPS of the location is N $01^{\circ}33'03.2''$ E $110^{\circ}45'56.4''$. The Wedepohl stainless steel grab sampler was employed for sample collection. The samples were then transferred into polyethylene bags. They were kept refrigerated at a temperature of -40°C.

3. Experimental Procedure

3.1. Materials

Reagents of analytical grade were used. The solvents used in the preparation of the standard were deionized and distilled water. For the analysis, concentrated acids and 1000.0ppm standard stock solutions were bought from Merck in Germany. Each of the elemental standard solutions was prepared according to the instructions from the leaflet attached to the various 1000.0 ppm single-elemental standard solutions bought from Merck. The polyethylene containers as well as glassware were positioned in 15% tetraoxosulphate (iv) acid (H₂SO₄) for a day before used. Double-distilled water was used to rinse all the glassware. Certified Reference Material (CRM) Number 142Q, sewage sludge amended soil, standardized by Reference from Community Bureau was used to define the correctness of the techniques. A graduated balance was used for recording the weight of the samples. In order to evaluate the moisture content, a drying oven (MEMMERT B113.1096) at a temperature of 105°C was used. The loss of ignition at a temperature of 550°C was done by using muffle furnace (Ney Vulcan D-550 series).

3.2. Extraction Procedure

Extraction of heavy metals in sediment samples were performed using an aqua regia extraction procedure described by [9]. Aqua regia has been shown to be an appropriate extractant for arsenic and metals from soils and sediments [9]. Sediment samples were dried for 4 hours at $105 \,^{\circ}$ C and they were grounded onto a homogenous fine powder using agate mortar and pestle. A 0.5 g aliquot of each dry sediment sample was placed into a borosilicate beaker, and 12 mL aqua regia (3:1 HCl/HNO₃) was added. The beakers were covered with watch glasses and left for 16 hours at room temperature. The samples were heated for 2 hours on a hot plate at approximately 80 °C. After the first 15 hours of heating the watch glasses were removed and small amounts of 1% v/v HNO₃ were periodically added to avoid drying of the samples. The samples were allowed to cool and then filtered on Whatman 41 filter papers. The samples were taken to 50 mL 1% v/v HNO₃, thoroughly washing beakers and filters. All reagents used were of analytical grade or better and Milli-Q water was used for the whole process.

3.3. Instrumentation

3.3.1. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) Validation

According to [1] the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy is used for qualitative and quantitative analysis of an element. The technique is based on the measurement of the absorption of optical radiation by atoms in the gaseous state. The technique is fast, simple and is applied for the analysis of many trace elements found in sediments, soils, industrial and domestic detritus, surface and underground water. The concentrations of trace elements in single-elemental standard samples were analyzed using a Perkin Elmer Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) Model Optima 8300 series using acetylene, nitrous oxide and compressed air for burning. The gases have a specific flow rate based on the element of interest. Flame atomiser is divided into two (2), total consumption burner and premixed burner. Temperature of the rod was raised to dry and atomise the sample in a chamber. A hollow - cathode lamp (HCL) are made of the metal of the substance to be analysed (each metal have a specific HCL). The anode is made up of Tungsten with each HCL has a particular current for optimum performance. Above all, higher voltage produced a brighter or clear emission and less baseline noise. Deuterium lamp was used to calibrate the wavelength for background purposes. Standard and blank solutions were prepared by using 1% (v/v) HNO₃. Three (3) different concentrations were prepared by adding suitable volume of stock standard solution (100 mg/kg) to 100.0 mL capacity graduated flask and it was top up with distilled water to the mark.

3.3.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis

According to [12], the scanning electron microscope (SEM) is one of the most versatile instruments available for the analysis and examination of the microstructure morphology and chemical composition characterizations. It is a type of microscope that forms several images by focusing a beam of electrons onto a surface of a material and using the returned interactions to create an image. In this instance the electrons are emitted via a field emission gun (FEG)/ tungsten cathode and accelerator. In the process of SEM characterization, small amount of the sediment samples was placed onto an aluminium plate with the help of double edged adhesive membrane on the plate. The sample were further mounted on a sample holder followed by coating with a conductive metal (gold) using a sputter coater. The whole

sample was analysed by scanning with a focused fine beam of electrons using JOEL JSM-6390LA Analytical Scanning Electron Microscope.

3.4. Statistical Analysis of Data

The Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPP version 24) was employed for the analysis and statistical computation of the data obtained.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Figures of Advantage

As an indispensable analytical prerequisite the principle of validation forms an inevitable part of the process of elemental analysis. The method provides a system for elucidating instrumental demand. It also confirms the performance capacities of the technique being scrutinized by ensuring that it is congruent with methodological requirements.

4.2. Calibration Equation

A proven correlation relating the concentration of standard solution and the discharge intensity [5,13,14] is vital for quantitative appraisal. To achieve this, the instrumental indicator was linked to the analyte concentration beside calibration function [5]. The CQC (quality control coefficient) (CQC) and correlation coefficient were engaged for the investigation of the calibration curve linearity [5].

The calibration equation of the straight line for each element was measured using linear regression analysis [15], This was attained from the homogeneity of the difference (variance) of the calculated results divided by the mass concentration limits previously determined and confirmed by the value of *F*- *statistic* [5,16]. The results of the linear regression of all the selected elements are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. AAS Linear regression of As, Al, Mn, Ca Cd, Fe, Cr, Cu, Pb, Ni,Co and Zn

Parameters	Linear range (ppm)	\mathbf{R}^2	Slope	Intercept
As	3.0 - 10	0.9916	0.0112	0.0001
Al	3.0 - 10	0.9990	0.0112	0.0011
Mn	1.0 - 3.0	0.9989	0.1655	0.0015
Ca	1.0 - 3.0	0.9983	0.4867	0.0147
Cd	2.0 - 6.0	0.9989	0.0444	0.0032
Co	2.0 - 6.0	0.9913	0.0610	0.0019
Fe	2.0 - 6.0	0.9909	0.0404	0.0032
Zn	0.3 - 1.0	0.9906	0.2124	0.0071
Cr	1.0 - 5.0	0.9846	0.0673	0.0202
Cu	1.0 - 5.0	0.9906	0.0840	0.0140
Pb	1.0 - 5.0	0.9829	0.0478	0.0155
Ni	1.0 - 5.0	0.9716	0.0795	0.0075

The testing value (TV) was defined as the quotient of the differences (variances) of 10 computations of the standards with the highest (S^2 max) and lower (S^2 min) concentrations of the working limits applied and it was achieved by the formula:

$$TV = S^2 \max/S^2 \min$$
(1)

The statistic of F_{tab} is 5.467 for f 1 = 9, f 2 = 8 and p= 0.99 probability.

The variances are homogeneous if TV < F_{tab} . Hence all the computed TV values are below the F_{tab} value (i.e. 5.467). Therefore there are no significant differences which link the variances calculated and the unmeasured least squares method that can be applied [5,13]. Mandel's fitting test was used to verify the linearity of the calibration curves [13,16]. While this was necessary, 1st and 2nd order calibration functions were measured from the data of calibration as well as the residual standard deviations SD₁ and SD₂. Using equation 2 and 3 [2, 5]:

$$SD_1 = \sum (Ai - Aic) / \sqrt{(N - 2)}$$
(2)

$$SD_2 = \sum (Ai - Aic) / \sqrt{(N - 3)}$$
(3)

Where

Ai = the observed absorbance or intensity result at each location i, Aic = the corresponding absorbance or intensities measured from regression analysis and N = the number of calibration locations.

$$TV^{i} = DS^{2}/(SD_{2})^{2}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$DS^{2} = (N - 2)(SD_{1})^{2} - (N - 3)(SD_{2})^{2}$$
 (5)

From the standard deviations of the residual obtained, the TVⁱ results were evaluated using Equation 4 and Equation 5 as suggested by [2, 5] and tabled $F_{tab} = 98.50$ while f1 = 1, f 2 = N - 3 = 2 including p = 0.99 probability was used to compared. The best adjustment was provided in order to obtain the linear regression [5,13] because the values of TVⁱ evaluated for each of the elements were lower than F_{tab} .

$$CQC = \left[\sqrt{\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{(Ai - Aic)(Ai - Aic)}{N - 1}} / (N - 2)\right] * 100 \quad (6)$$

Where,

CQC is Quality Control Coefficient.

When the CQC values obtained are < 5%, then it is acceptable [5,14]. The CQC values obtained were < 5% therefore all the values obtained are accepted. Furthermore, the square of the correlation coefficient (r^2) in each of the calibration curves of AAS were higher than 0.99. After establishment of the calibration curves and equation, the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) [5] were measured for the heavy metals of interest of AAS. The results (LOD and LOQ) were measured using the equation adopted by [17] that is 3.3 * (S.D_{blank}/m) and 10 * (S.D_{blank}/m) respectively, where SD is the mean standard deviation of blanks and m is the sensitivity of calibration curve. The characteristics performance of AAS calibration equation is described in Table 2. Asare Ebenezer Aquisman *et al.*: Validation of the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) for Heavy Metal Analysis and Geochemical Exploration of Sediment Samples from the Sebangan River

Table 2. Properties performance in AAS calibration equation

Parameters	SD ₁ * 10 ⁻⁴	CQC (%)	LoDs (ppm)	LoQs (ppm)	TV	TV^1
As	2.4	1.07	0.0707	0.2140	3.05	0.17
Al	3.1	1.94	0.0180	0.5360	1.25	1.46
Mn	1.5	3.44	0.0090	0.0270	1.98	1.11
Ca	4.2	0.73	0.0028	0.0086	3.12	12.45
Cd	3.6	1.86	0.0278	0.0811	1.98	7.16
Fe	3.3	3.21	0.0270	0.0743	0.45	38.94
Cr	6.1	0.97	0.0299	0.0906	1.71	0.85
Cu	4.7	3.72	0.1846	0.0560	0.61	11.29
Pb	1.3	4.14	0.0090	0.0272	1.23	1.33
Ni	2.9	2.07	0.0120	0.0365	0.91	0.27
Co	3.5	1.78	0.0189	0.0574	0.77	0.21
Zn	4.2	4.32	0.0065	0.0198	2.13	0.15

Legend: SD_1 = standard deviation of residual measured from Equation 2,

 \overrightarrow{CQC} = Quality control coefficient using Equation 6, LoD = Limit of detection, LoQ = Limit of quantification, TV = Variance homogeneity Test Value, and

 TV^{1} = Linearity Testing Value

4.3. Calibration Stability

Assessing the calibration stability requires the utilization of the quality control standard (QCS) at the end of 5 samples for the measurement of the analytical instrument shift. To achieve this standard solution chosen from the list of the calibration solutions prepared from variety of stock solution was utilized [5,14]. The following QCSs were selected and used Zn (0.1 ppm); Mn and Ca (0.2 ppm); Cd and Fe (0.3 ppm); As and Al (0.4 ppm); Ni, Cr, Cu and Pb (0.5 ppm) and Co (0.6 ppm). The results for quality control standards (QCSs) are acceptable if the bias exhibited in percentages were not greater than \pm 10% of the known value. In this case, the calculated relative error values were within the acceptable range.



Figure 1. Relative bias computed for QCS to check the stability of calibration for selected elements evaluated by AAS

A re-assessment should be conducted when QCS values were not within the accepted range. After the re-assessment and if the quality control standard measurements are still not within the defined limits, ignore the old calibration and new calibration has to be set-out [2,5]. Fig. 1 shows the percentage relative errors of each of the QCS evaluations when analysing the sediments samples in AAS. The values received were within the acceptable range (\pm 10%), showing that there is a stability of calibration possibilities which exist in all computations.

4.4. Matrix Effect

Table 3. Accuracy studied data for analysis of As, Al, Mn, Ca Cd, Fe, Cr,Cu, Pb, Ni, Co and Zn

Analyte	Spiked analyte	iked analyte Calculated analyte	
	conc. (mg/Kg)	conc. (mg/Kg)	Recovery
As	3.00	2.61	87
	6.00	5.71	95
	10.0	9.58	96
Al	3.00	2.71	90
	6.00	5.29	88
	10.0	9.24	92
Mn	1.00	0.91	91
	2.00	1.74	87
	3.00	2.46	82
Ca	1.00	1.03	103
	2.00	1.87	91
	3.00	3.04	101
Cd	2.00	1.84	92
	4.00	3.92	98
	6.00	6.06	101
Co	2.00	1.89	95
	4.00	3.72	93
	6.00	5.73	96
Fe	2.00	2.08	104
	4.00	3.82	96
	6.00	6.10	102
Zn	0.30	0.28	93
	0.60	0.54	90
	1.00	0.92	92
Cr	1.00	0.94	94
	3.00	2.84	95
	5.00	5.14	103
Cu	1.00	1.06	106
	3.00	3.22	107
	5.00	4.59	92
Pb	1.00	0.93	93
	3.00	2.71	90
	5.00	4.53	91
Ni	1.00	0.96	96
	3.00	2.70	90
	5.00	4 66	93

The matrix effect for the study was estimated using recovery tests. In order to evaluate, digested samples were spiked with analyte. Analyte of single-element standard solution for each of the metal was added to the digestion sample solution. For each of the analysis, the spiked samples were analysed three times (in triplicate) and the recovery percentages were calculated using the formula:

Recovery (%) =
$$(K - L/M)$$
* 100% (7)

Where; k = the mean concentration of heavy metal after spiking; L = the mean concentration of heavy metal before spiking; M= the concentration of spiked heavy metal. The amount of heavy metals concentrations were assessed by,

Final Conc.
$$(mg/L) = Cm * DF * NV (mL)$$
 (8)

Where, Cm = concentration of heavy metal in sediment samples, DF = dilution factor, NV = nominal volume.

In the present study, it was found that the recovery range of the spiked samples analysed ranged between 90 - 104%. These values were within the acceptable range according to [2,5] which reported that for the analyte level of roughly 1ppm, the acceptable recovery range is 90.0 - 110.0%.

4.5. Precision Performance Studies

The precision shows the closeness of understanding between links of computations established from two or more sampling of the same homogeneous sample in the preferred conditions and is mostly evaluated as relative standard deviation [18-24]. The analytical accuracy was performed by evaluating the repeatability of instrument response to analyte according to the commission regulation [18,23]. To assess repeatability, measurements and the analysis were performed with three replicates. The procedures were repeated on the rest of events. The spiked concentration of each of the elements evaluated, average concentration and relative standard deviation are briefed in Table 4. According to RSD Horwitch function the highest relative standard deviation values acceptable for the concentration of less than or equal to 1000 ppm is 10% [18-29]. Hence, the method developed showed reasonable repeatability accuracy because relative standard deviation values evaluated were within the acceptable range.

 Table 4. Repeatability precision studies data for determination of selected trace elements

Analyte	Spiked Concentration (mg/Kg)	Measured Concentration (n=3) (mg/Kg)	Mean RSD (%)
As	6.00	5.60	4.72
Al	3.00	2.71	5.71
Mn	1.00	0.93	9.22
Ca	3.00	3.04	4.34
Cd	4.00	3.91	4.94
Fe	2.00	2.06	5.35
Cr	5.00	5.14	5.23
Cu	5.00	4.57	4.00
Pb	3.00	2.70	6.21
Ni	1.00	0.95	6.12
Co	4.00	3.74	7.13
Zn	0.60	0.52	6.12

N=number of replicates (n=3)

% RSD is Relative Standard Deviation expressed in percentage

4.6. Accuracy

The accuracy performance of computations shows how close a value or an outcome appears to the reference value. The test of accuracy can be performed in many ways, that's by comparing values received by variety of methods (by considering one of them to be a reference), by using the results of different laboratories or by applying CRM (certified reference material), and therefore any suitable method available can be used. In this present study, the test of accuracy was evaluated by considering certified reference material (CRM) the reason was that the outcome received from certified reference material analysis was the suitable evaluations of the accuracy of the method, because it was easy to compare with international standards, i.e. Community Bureau of Reference (BCR). Perhaps, the regression line was used to trace the values using SPSS Statistics. The accuracy was assessed from the Z-score value [5,16,20,21,30] using Equation 9.

$$Z = R_{lab} - R_v / U \tag{9}$$

Where R_{lab} represents computed or laboratory value, R_v represents certified value accepted as the true one, and U represents the uncertainty of the Reference value. According to Ora Laboratory Procedure, evaluation of uncertainty of the certified values can be measured at the 95% confidence level by equation,

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{k} * \mathbf{RSD} \tag{10}$$

Where U represents uncertainty of the certified value and k as coverage factor (k = 1.740, for 95% and eighteen (18) points). If |z| score is less than 2 then laboratory performance is described as satisfactory and acceptable but if |z| score is greater than 2 but less than 3 then the performance is questionable. The laboratory performance becomes unsatisfactory if |z| score is greater than 3 [5,16,23-25]. Table 5 explains the measured values, the computed z-score results and the ratio of difference relative to the certified values expressed in percentage. The accuracy test proved that all the selected elements investigated were their |z| score < 2).

4.7. The Outline of Heavy Metals Concentrations and Morphology in Sediments

Table 6 and Fig. 2 shows the differences in concentrations of interested trace elements in the examined sediment samples collected from the Sebangan River (estuary of Sadong river).

Fig.3, displays the scanning electron microscope (SEM) schematics of samples according to the geological reference for the evaluation of the heavy metals.









Figure 2. Variations of analysed heavy metals contents in the sediments from the Sebagan River





Figure 3. Scanning electron microscope micrographs of five stations sampled from Sebagan River

Table 5. A	AS results for	CRM 142Q, s	ewage sludge am	ended soil, s	tandardised by	BCR (Communit	y Bureau of Re	ference)
------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------	-------	----------	----------------	----------

Element	Certified value (ppm)	^x Measured value (ppm)	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{Lab}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{y}}$	U	Z – score	^y Diff (%)
As	41 ± 1.9	42.67 ± 0.22	1.67	3.31	0.50	4.07
Al	213 ± 7.0	214.92 ± 0.18	1.92	12.18	0.16	0.90
Mn	886 ± 12	887.30 ± 0.16	1.30	20.88	0.06	0.15
Ca	921 ± 14	923.90 ± 0.43	2.90	24.36	0.12	0.31
Cd	75.0 ± 1.6	76.64 ± 0.19	1.64	2.78	0.59	2.19
Co	24.0 ± 3.0	24.79*	0.79	5.22	0.15	3.29
Fe	1061 ± 17	1064.26 ± 0.13	3.26	29.58	0.11	0.31
Zn	1047 ± 18	1048.38 ± 3.04	1.38	31.32	0.04	0.13
Cr	411 ± 10	412.67 ± 0.35	1.67	17.40	0.10	0.41
Cu	131 ± 6.0	131.93*	0.93	10.44	0.09	0.71
Pb	168 ± 5.0	169.53 ± 0.61	1.53	8.70	0.18	0.91
Ni	37.2 ± 2.1	37.96*	0.76	3.65	0.21	2.04

^x Mean values of triplicate analysis

^y Diff. - Percentage of difference to true values |z scores| calculated based using Eq. 8

* Values without uncertainty due to insufficient CRM sample measurement repetitions

Elements/ Station	SA1	SA2	SA3	SA4	SA5
As	0.46±0.02	0.21±0.01	1.73±0.01	0.93±0.01	0.61±0.01
Al	$0.67 {\pm} 0.02$	0.43 ± 0.04	0.96 ± 0.01	0.89 ± 0.01	$0.64{\pm}0.01$
Mn	4.82 ± 0.01	5.75 ± 0.04	12.64±0.06	9.88 ± 0.01	$4.97 {\pm} 0.01$
Ca	6.62 ± 0.02	6.99±0.01	8.13±0.03	7.01 ± 0.04	5.22 ± 0.05
Cd	$0.04{\pm}0.03$	0.02 ± 0.01	1.01 ± 0.01	0.57 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.02
Fe	28.71 ± 0.01	28.97 ± 0.03	36.78 ± 0.03	$30.27 {\pm} 0.01$	16.44 ± 0.01
Cr	$0.31 {\pm} 0.01$	0.11 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.02	0.72 ± 0.01	0.61 ± 0.01
Cu	$0.05 {\pm} 0.03$	0.03 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	$0.02 {\pm} 0.01$
Pb	0.02 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.04	$0.05 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.03 {\pm} 0.02$
Ni	$0.61 {\pm} 0.01$	0.52 ± 0.01	1.04 ± 0.01	$0.71 {\pm} 0.05$	$0.50 {\pm} 0.01$
Со	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	0.02 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	$0.02 {\pm} 0.01$
Zn	3.85±0.03	5.41±0.02	6.26±0.02	5.79±0.01	4.88 ± 0.04

Table 6. Content of trace metals in the sediments from Sebangan River. The results are expressed in mg/Kg of dry mass at 105°C

Values are means \pm SD of three replicates

5. Discussion

Table 6 and Fig. 2 explicated the results of contents of trace metals of interest in 5 sediment samples stations using AAS. The results obtained from the analytical assessment are based on expression of an oven-dry weight at a temperature of 105°C. Oven-dried sediment samples were used for the analytical work because losses might happen for some trace metals through the drying process at 105°C. Hence, the values obtained were corrected in relation to the level of moisture. The following observations were momentarily perceived: the maximum concentrations of heavy metals were detected in sample areas amassed immediately downstream of the Station 2 region where about 58% of the industrial activities are sited and also the lower portions of the Station 3 of the river. Consequently, lesser proportions of heavy metals were acquired from the samples gathered in the middle station. This was because of the decrease in content with the dislocation from the source of adulteration and, also as a result of reduction in concentrations (dilution) due to uncontaminated sediments collected from the riverbanks due to erosion (lateral and splash type) of the waterway. This was observed at the median segment of the Sebangan River, in which there are numerous undercut banks possessed of Pleistocene non-polluted sediments. Also, an extensive reduction in the concentration levels of heavy metals was noticed owing to their deepness and distance from the bottom of the river. The present - day sediments deposits accumulate at the bottom of the river including the riverbanks are distinguished by reduction in heavy metals contents, except natively the river wear away and gather its aged polluted sediment. Furthermore, it is imperative that mineralogical exploration is essential when evaluating the concentration levels of heavy metals and examining the source of pollution by trace elements in sediment samples. The geological form of the Kuching division, where river Sebangan (estuary of Sadong river) runs, is influenced by sediments of quaternary which consist of sands, silts and clays of sediment source, glacial

tills, fluvio-glacial sands and gravels and different types of organic and organo-mineral sediments including humic acid, fluvic acid, peat and peaty muds (accumulated organic sediment obtained from the fungal and bacterial degradation of dead plants biopolymers). There is an incidence of typological variation of sediments constituents in the river of Sadong as a result of the geological properties and utilization of land (primarily agricultural operations emanating from high soil fertility and a unique texture. Considering the sediments from the Sebangan River (Estuary of Sadong River) from a mineralogical viewpoint indicates that they mainly consist of the igneous materials (granite and quartz grains) of various fragments, forming at least 63-91% of aggregate mass. About 13% is from other mineral particles including orthoclase feldspar and clay minerals (Kaolinite, illite and montmorillonite). The portion of dead remains of plants and animals (organic matter) consisted of scattered charcoals, humus as well as peat in the samples analysed was mainly 7-16%, an area where the humid is more the portion can extend to 18 to 56%.

On Fig. 3, The SEM (scanning electron microscope) technique was adopted for the geochemical analysis of the components of samples. The scanning electron microscope micrographs represent samples from the Sebangan River (Estuary of Sadong River). Massive deposits of sharp teeth granite and quartz particles of distinct tetrahedral conformation with plus size were observed in the samples investigated. Fig. 3 indicated that the results from the SEM micrographs revealed that sediment samples were flakes or petal types. A small number of the sample particles were dispersed, confirming the availability of sand minerals, meanwhile a larger percentage of the particles were agglomerated which showed the presence of a greater portion of granite and quartz. There was an observation of clay minerals with the sandy particles of quartz isolated either as aggregates or groups. The scanned sediments were separated not only on the basis of shapes (tetrahedron), but also a portion of organic materials and its categorizations, including the carbonates and the presence of pH. The samples showed pH ranges from 6.2 - 8.3, while there was no indication of carbonates. The presence of igneous materials (granite and quartz grains) of several dimensions was confirmed as dominating components. As shown, the highest concentration levels of metalloids are linked to the groups of minerals comprising of clay minerals and organic materials which influence sorbing and bonding indicating a proportionate maximum concentration of heavy metals in the samples investigated. It is obvious that human activities within the area of study, such as farming and industrialization contribute immensely to the sorbing and bonding of trace elements in sediments from the Sebangan River.

6. Conclusions

To conclude, it was evident that quality control processes and principles were adhered to in validation of the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS), especially in relation to evaluations of metals of concern, as present in the samples collected from the Sebangan River. The analytical data secured were presented at a temperature of 105°C on a dry-mass basis as indicated in Table 6. The outcomes of the investigation attested to the fact that the technique validated was appropriate and less laborious for the evaluation of the 12 metals of interest namely Al, Mn, Ca, Cd, Cu, Fe, Cr, Ni, Co, Zn and Pb in the sediment. The calibration equations are satisfactory because of the well-established un-weighted least squares approach applied. The calibration stability in the process of sediments examination was confirmed via the relative error of the quality control computations and the results acquired were within the conventional boundaries, maintaining the stability of calibration in the entire calculations. The retrievals were congruent with the demarcated limit of 90.0 - 110.0%, thus the matrix effect is considered inconsequential. The accuracy test corroborated satisfactory z-score values for all the elements probed (i.e. |z|score values were less than 2). The experimental results attained equally substantiate that vertical profiles of these metals from the Sebangan River can present findings on anthropogenic operations. The results showed that sediments from locations subject to the effects of urbanization and industrialization documented maximum concentrations of the metals under consideration. Finally, it is imperative to note that the deposition of new sediments at the bottom of rivers and riverbanks contribute immeasurably to the extensive decline in the level of heavy metals in relation to the depth and distance from the bottom of the Sebangan River.

REFERENCES

 Alves, S., Correia dos Santos, M.M. and Ascensao Trancoso, M., (2009). Evaluation of measurement uncertainties for the determination of total metal content in soils by atomic absorption spectrometry, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 14(2), 87-93.

- [2] Maria, A.T, Margarida, M.C. and Maria, L.S., (2003). Quality assurance program for the chemical characterisation of soils, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 8(7-8), 323 – 333.
- [3] Sastre, J., Sahuquillo, A., Vidal, M. and Rauret, G., (2002). Determination of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in environmental samples: microwave-assisted total digestion versus aqua regia and nitric acid extraction, Analytical Chimica Acta, 462, 59.
- [4] Bettencourt da Silva, R.J.N., Filomena, M., Camoes, G.F.C. and Seabra e Barros, J., (1998). Validation of the uncertainty evaluation for the determination of metals in solid samples by atomic spectroscopy, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 3(4), 155 – 160.
- [5] Misa, B., Darja, K., Jakub, K., Piotr, S., Ewa, B. and Darinka, B.V., (2014). Geochemical investigation of alluvial sediments: Validation of ICP-OES determination of heavy metals. A case study from the Utrata River Valley (central Poland), *Central European Journal of Chemistry*, 12(6), 687-699.
- [6] Chudzinska, M., Debska, A. and Baralkiewich, D., (2012). Method validation for determination of 13 elements in honey samples by ICP – MS, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 17(1), 65 – 73.
- [7] Chaves, E.S., Jose dos Santos, E., Araujo, R.G.O., Oliveira, J.V., Frescura, V.L.A. and Curtius, A.J., (2010). Metals and phosphorus determination in vegetable seeds used in the production of biodiesel by ICP –OES and ICP – MS, *Microchemical Jorunal*, 96(1), 71 – 76.
- [8] Gorecka, H., Chojnacka, K., Gorecki, H., (2006). The application of ICP-MS and ICP-OES in determination of micronutrients in wood ashes used as soil conditioners, *Talanta*, 70(5), 950 – 956.
- [9] Tighe, M., Lockwood, P., Wilson, S., and Lisle, L. (2004). Comparison of digestion methods for ICP-OES analysis of a wide range of analytes in heavy metal contaminated soil samples with specific reference to arsenic and antimony, *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 35: 1369-1385.
- [10] Carril, M.P., Soledad Corbillon, M., and Manuel Madariaga, J., (1997). Validation of an analytical method to determine trace metal impurities in fluoride compounds by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 2(6), 301 – 308.
- [11] Pauwels, J., Lamberty, A. and Schimmel, H., (1998). Homogeneity testing of reference materials, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 3: 51 – 55.
- [12] Goldstein, J., Newbury, D., Joy, D., Lyman, C., Echlin, P., Lifshin, L. and Micheal, J., (2003). Scanning Electron Microscope and X-Ray Microanalysis, 3rd edn, Kluver Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York.
- [13] Miller, J.N. and Miller, J.C., (2005). Statistics and chemometrics for analytical chemistry (Pearson Education Limited, England.
- [14] Nolte, J., (2003). ICP Emission spectrometry A practical Guide (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- [15] Bruggemann, L. and Wennrich, R., (2002). Evaluation of

measurement uncertainty for analytical procedures using a linear calibration function, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 7(7), 269 – 273.

- [16] Funk, W., Dammann, V. and Donnevert, G., (2007). Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry: applications in environmental, food and material analysis, biotechnology, and medical engineering, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- [17] Sahnquillo, A., Rigo, I. A. and Rauret, G. (2003). Overview of the use of leaching/extraction tests for risk assessment of trace metals in contaminated soils and sediments, *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 22(3): 152-159.
- [18] Atique Ullah, A.K.M., Maksud, M.A., Khan, S.R., Lutfa, L.N. and Quraishi, S.B., (2017). "Development and validation of a GF-AAS method and its application for the trace level determination of Pb, Cd, and Cr in fish feed samples commonly used in the hatcheries of Bangladesh", *Journal of Analytical Science and Technology*, 1–15.
- [19] Drglin, T., (2003). An estimation of the measurement uncertainty for an optical emission spectrometric method, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, 8(3-4), 130 – 133.
- [20] Kocot, K., Zawisza, B., and Sitko, R. (2012). Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction using diethyldithiocarbamate as a chelating agent and the dried-spot technique for the determination of Fe Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Se and Pb by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry. *Spectrochimica Acta* Part B, 73, 79–83.
- [21] Pereira, E.R., Soares, B.M., Maciel, J.V., Caldas, S.S., Andrade, C.F.F., Primel, E.G., and Duarte, F.A. (2013). Development of a dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method for iron extraction and preconcentration in water samples with different salinities. *Analytical Methods*, 5, 2273–2280.
- [22] Jahromi, E. Z., Bidari, A., Assadia, Y., Hosseinia, M. R. M., and Jamali, M. R. (2007). Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction combined with graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry – Ultra trace determination of cadmium in water samples. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 585, 305–311.

- [23] Limbeck, A. (2006). Microwave-assisted UV-digestion procedure for the accurate determination of Pb in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 575, 114–119.
- [24] Jaime S.M., Bruno M.S., Tassia S.S., Paula D.V., Paola, A.M., Erico, M.M.F. and Fabio, A.D., (2017). Determination of cadmium and lead at sub-ppt level in soft drinks: An efficient combination between dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, *Food Chemistry*, 221, 907 – 912.
- [25] Wen-Si Z., Ting, R. and Li-Jiao Z., (2016). Determination of Pb (Lead), Cd (Cadmium), Cr (Chromium), Cu (Copper), and Ni (Nickel) in Chinese tea with high-resolution continuum source graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, *Journal of Food and Drug analysis*, 24, 46 – 55.
- [26] Salahinejad, M. and Aflaki, F., (2010). Toxic and essential mineral elements content of black tea leaves and their tea infusions consumed in Iran. *Biol Trace Elem Res*, 134:109 – 17.
- [27] Boschetti, W., Rampazzo, R.T., Dessuy, M.B., Vale, M.G.R., Rios, A.D., Hertz, P., Manfroi, V., Celso, P.G. and Ferrao, M.F., (2013). Detection of the origin of Brazilian wines based on the determination of only four elements using high-resolution continuum source flame AAS. *Talanta*, 111:147 – 55.
- [28] Fahad N.A., (2015). Assessment of the levels of some heavy metals in water in Alahsa Oasis farms, Saudi Arabia, with analysis by atomic absorption spectrophotometry; *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 8, 240–245.
- [29] Yuya, K., Kenta, H., and Toshihiro, N., (2017). Enhancement of the atomic absorbance of Cr, Zn, Cd, and Pb in metal furnace atomic absorption spectrometry using absorption tubes, *Analytical Chemistry Research*, 11, 9–12.
- [30] Kamakura, N., Inui, T., Kitano, M. and Nakamura, T., (2014). Determination of chromium (III), chromium(VI), and chromium(III) acetylacetonate in water by ion-exchange disk extraction/metal furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, *Spectrochimical Acta* Part B, 93, 28 – 33.