The Moderating Role of Followership between the Relationship of
Transformational and Transactional Leadership Styles and Factors of
Employees' Reactions towards Organizational Change

Sajjad Nawaz Khan

## A thesis submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

(Human Resource Development)

Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK 2019

1

**DECLARATION** 

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and

belief, it contains no material previously published or produced by other party in

fulfilment, partial or otherwise, of any other degree or diploma at other University or

institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgment is made in the text.

Sajjad Nawaz Khan

Matric No: 15010246

ii

# **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to my Family and Friends

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

GOD gives us a free will in order to explore the universe and to identify the truth. First of all, I am very thankful to Allah who gave me an opportunity to moderately contribute to the betterment of humankind in the form of a Ph.D. I am also very thankful to my entire family, especially my parents, my wife and my son and daughter whose sacrifices, prayers and continuous support lead me to the final stage of my thesis. I would also be very grateful to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Halim Busari whose guidance, mentoring and continuous feedback make this journey more enthusiastic and erudite. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Besides my advisor, I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Siti Mariam Abdullah who contributed in my publications and thesis writing in the form of candid and critical proofreading. My sincere gratitude also goes to my friend Dr. Rehman Ullah Khan, who stand beside me as a brother and always guided me in the right direction. This journey would not be so fruitful without my loving, helpful, caring and slightly naughty friends, therefore, I am very much thankful to all my friends too. Finally, I am also very thankful to UNIMAS for providing me scholarship which helped me a lot in terms of managing my living expenses.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study examined the moderating role of followership between the relationship of transformational and transactional leadership styles and the factors of employees' reactions towards organisational change. The factors of employees' reactions were based on content, context and the process factors of change. The content factor was associated with the frequency of change, the context factor was related to employees' trust in the management and the process factor was based on employees' participation. The mixed methods approach was applied, in which the explanatory sequential research design was used to conduct data collection and analyses. In this design, quantitative data analysis was followed by qualitative data analysis. Convenience sampling was applied to collect data from 506 employees of telecommunication companies in Pakistan. All data were analysed using Smart PLS version 3.0. It was discovered from the results that both transformational and transactional leadership styles were positively and significantly related to the frequency of change, trust in management and employee's participation. Moreover, the process results further identified the moderating role of followership, as it significantly affects the direct relationship of transformational leadership with all three factors of employees' reactions towards organisational change. On the other hand, followership also influenced the direct relationship between the transactional leadership style frequency of change and employees' participation; however, no moderation effect was found between transactional leadership style and the employees' trust in management. The qualitative results also supported the quantitative findings. it has been concluded that for the success of changes in telecom organizations of Pakistan, not only leaders but employee level of creativity and engagement are also important. Managers are not the only agents that implement change successfully but followers also act as change agents during organizational change programs. Among leadership styles transformational leadership was mostly effective in shaping employees' reactions. Management in telecom sector of Pakistan needs to focus on followers' development and not only on leadership development. To maintain high level of trust and participation followers' involvement in decision making and working processes should be encouraged

**Keywords:** Employees reactions towards organizational change, followership, transformational leadership, transactional leadership

## Peranan Moderasi Kepengikutan dalam Perhubungan di antara Gaya Kepimpinan Transformasional dan Transaksional dan Faktor-faktor Reaksi Pekerja Terhadap Perubahan Organisasi

#### **ABSTRAK**

Kajian ini mengkaji peranan kepengikutan sebagai moderasi dalam perhubungan di antara gaya kepimpinan transformasional dan transaksional dan faktor-faktor reaksi pekerja terhadap perubahan organisasi. Faktor-faktor tindak balas pekerja adalah berdasarkan kandungan, konteks dan faktor proses perubahan. Faktor kandungan dikaitkan dengan kekerapan perubahan, faktor konteks berkaitan dengan kepercayaan para pekerja terhadap pengurusan dan faktor proses didasarkan pada penyertaan pekerja. Pendekatan kaedah campuran telah digunakan, di mana rekabentuk penyelidikan berjujukan digunakan untuk mengumpul data dan analisis. Dalam rekabentuk ini, analisis data kuantitatif diikuti dengan analisis data kualitatif. Persampelan konvenien telah digunakan untuk mengutip data daripada 506 pekerja sektor telekomunikasi di Pakistan. Semua data telah dianalisis dengan menggunakan Smart PLS version 3.0. Daripada dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua gaya kepimpinan transformasional dan transaksional mempunyai perhubungan positif dan signifikan dengan kekerapan perubahan, kepercayaan dalam pengurusan dan penyertaan pekerja. Selain itu, kepengikutan berperanan sebagai penyederhana kerana ia memberi kesan yang signifikan kepada hubungan langsung kepimpinan transformasional dengan ketiga-tiga faktor reaksi pekerja terhadap perubahan organisasi. Di sisi lain, kepengikutan juga mempengaruhi hubungan langsung antara kekerapan perubahan gaya kepemimpinan transaksional dan penyertaan pekerja; Walau bagaimanapun, tiada kesan penyederhana didapati antara gaya kepimpinan transaksional dan kepercayaan para pekerja terhadap pengurusan. Ini dapat disimpulkan bahawa untuk kejayaan sesuatu perubahan di organisasi

telekomunikasi di Pakistan, tahap kreativiti dan keterlibatan pemimpin dan pekerja adalah sangat penting. Bukan hanya pengurus yang bertindak sebagai agen perubahan namun pengikut juga perlu bertindak sebagai agen perubahan dalam apa jua program perubahan organisasi. Gaya kepimpinan transformasional dilihat lebih memberi kesan dalam menentukan reaksi pekerja. Pihak pengurusan di sektor telekomunikasi Pakistan perlu juga lebih fokus terhadap pembangunan pengikut dan bukan hanya bertumpu kepada pembangunan kepimpinan. Untuk mengekalkan tahap kepercayaan yang tinggi dan penyertaan pengikut dalam pembuatan keputusan dan proses kerja perlulah digalakkan.

Katakunci: Gaya kepemimpinan transaksional, gaya kepimpinan transformasional, faktor reaksi pekerja terhadap perubahan dalam organisasi, kepengikutan

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

]	Page
DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	. iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	. iii
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
JIST OF TABLESx	vii
LIST OF FIGURESx	viii
CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY	1
.1Introduction	1
.2Background of the Study	1
.3Problem Statement	6
1.3.1 Theoretical Gaps	6
1.3.2 Empirical Gap	9
1.3.3 Methodological Gap	.11
1.3.4 Practical Gap	.12
.4Objectives of the Research	.14
1.4.1 General Objectives	.14
1.4.2 Specific Objectives	14

1.5 Hypotheses Development	15
1.6 Qualitative Research Questions	20
1.7 Conceptual Framework	20
1.8 Significance of the Study	21
1.9 Definitions of Terms	23
1.9.1 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Organizational Change	23
1.9.2 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Content Factor of Change	23
1.9.3 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Context Factor of Change	24
1.9.4 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Process Factor of Change	24
1.9.5 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Transformational Leadership Style	e24
1.9.6 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Transactional Leadership Style	25
1.9.7 Conceptual and Operational Definitions of Followership	25
1.10 Chapter Summary	25
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	27
2.1 Introduction	27
2.2 Overview of Organizational change	27
2.2.1 Teleological Approaches	29
2.2.2 Evolutionary Approaches	32
2.3 The Psychological Perspective of Organizational Change	36
2.3.1 Content of Change	38
2.3.1.1 Frequency of Change	39

2.3.2 Context of Change	41
2.3.2.1 Trust in Management	42
2.3.3 Process of Change	44
2.3.3.1 Employee Participation	45
2.4 Overview of Leadership	46
2.4.1 Trait Approach	48
2.4.2 Behavioural Approach	52
2.4.3 Contingency Approach	54
2.5 Full Range Leadership Model (FRLM)	56
2.5.1 Transformational Leadership Style	56
2.5.1.1 Idealized Influence	57
2.5.1.2 Inspirational Motivation	57
2.5.1.3 Intellectual Stimulation	58
2.5.1.4 Individualize Consideration	58
2.5.2 Transactional Leadership Style	59
2.5.2.1 Contingent Reward	60
2.5.2.2 Management by Exception	60
2.5.3 Laissez-Fair Leadership Style	61
2.5.4 Criticism of Full Range Leadership Model (FRLM)	62
2.6 The Essence of Followership	63
2.6.1 Role-Based Views of Followership	64

2.6.1.1 Kelley Followership Model
2.6.1.2 Ira Chaleff's the Courageous Followers
2.6.1.3 Kellerman Followership Styles70
2.6.1.4 Implicit Followership Theories72
2.6.2 Constructionist Views of Followership
2.6.2.1 Derue and Ashford's Approach to Identity Construction
2.6.2.2 Shamir Coproduction76
2.7 Theoretical Foundation77
2.7.1 Theory of Planned Behaviour
2.7.2 Social Exchange Theory81
2.8 Research Related to Transformational Leadership Style and Factors of Employees
Reactions towards Organizational change83
Reactions towards Organizational change
2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84
2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84
<ul><li>2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84</li><li>2.8.2 Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of</li></ul>
<ul> <li>2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84</li> <li>2.8.2 Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of Change</li></ul>
<ul> <li>2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84</li> <li>2.8.2 Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of Change</li></ul>
2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84  2.8.2 Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of Change
<ul> <li>2.8.1 Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change(Content factor)84</li> <li>2.8.2 Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of Change</li></ul>

2.9.2 Transactional Leadership Style and Trust in Management (Context factor) of	
Change	91
2.9.3 Transactional Leadership Style and Employees Participation (Process factor) of	f
Change	93
2.10 Research Related to Followership, Leadership Styles and Factors of Employees	
Reactions towards Organizational Change	94
2.10.1 Followership and Factors of Employees Reactions towards Organizational Ch	ange
	94
2.10.2 Followership and Leadership Styles	96
2.11 Chapter Summary	97
CHAPTER 3:METHODOLOGY	99
3.1 Introduction	99
3.2 Research Philosophy	99
3.2.1 Pragmatism	.102
3.3 Mixed Methods Approach	.104
3.3.1 Explanatory Sequential Design	.107
3.3.1.1 Identifying Sample Size	.109
3.3.1.2 Quantitative Data collection	.111
3.3.1.3 Quantitative Data Analysis	.113
3.3.1.4 Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis	.115
2.5 Ethical Canaidanation	117

3.6 Semi Structure Interview Protocol
3.7 Chapter Summary
CHAPTER 4: QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION 120
4.1 Introduction
4.2. Measurement Model
4.2.1 Internal Consistency and Indicator Reliability
4.2.2 Convergent and Discriminant Validity
4.3 Respondent Demographic Details for Quantitative Study
4.4 Structural model, Direct Hypotheses Testing
4.4.1 Discussion Transformational Leadership Style and Frequency of Change129
4.4.2 Discussion Transformational Leadership Style and Trust in Management131
4.4.3 Discussion Transformational Leadership Style and Employee Participation 133
4.4.4 Discussion Transactional Leadership Style and Frequency of Change135
4.4.5 Discussion Transactional Leadership Style and Trust in Management
4.4.6 Discussion Transactional Leadership Style and Employee Participation
4.5 Testing of Moderation Hypotheses, Transformational Leadership Style, Followership
and Factor of Employees' Reactions
4.5.1 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transformational Leadership Style and
Frequency of Change
4.5.2 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transformational Leadership Style and Trust
in Management145

4.5.3 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transformational Leadership Style and	
Employee Participation	.145
4.6 Testing of Moderation Hypotheses, Transactional Leadership style, Followership	and
Factor of Employees' Reactions	.146
4.6.1 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transactional Leadership Style and	
Frequency of Change	.149
4.6.2 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transactional Leadership Style and Trus	t in
Management	.150
4.6.3 Discussion Followership Moderation, Transactional Leadership Style and	
Employee Participation	.151
4.7 Summary of Quantitative Research Findings	.151
4.8 Chapter Summary	.153
CHAPTER 5: QUALITATIVE DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS ANDDISCUSSIO	N
	.155
5.1 Introduction	.155
5.2: Informants Demographic Information	.156
5.3 Validity, Trustworthiness and Rigour of Data	.156
5.4 Findings and Discussion	.159
5.4.1 Transformational Leadership Style	.160
5.4.2 Transactional Leadership Style	.167
5.4.3 Followership	.169
5.4.4 Frequency of Change	.173

5.4.5 Trust in Management	.74
5.4.6 Employee Participation	.76
5.5 Importance of Leadership and Followership during Organizational Change1	80
5.6 Chapter Summary1	82
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS ANDRECOMMENDATIONS .1	.83
6.1 Introduction	.83
6.2 Summary of the Study	.83
6.3 Conclusions	.85
6.4 Research Implications	92
6.4.1 Theoretical Implications	.92
6.4.2 Methodological Implications	94
6.4.3 Practical Implications	.95
6.5 Research Limitations	.97
REFERENCES	.99
ADDENDICES	140

# LIST OF TABLES

Pag
Table 2.1 Studies of Leadership Traits and Characteristics
Table 2.2 Transformational Leadership Antecedents and Concepts
Table 2.3Transactional Leadership Antecedents and Concepts
Table 3.1 Summary of Philosophical Standpoints
Table 4.1 Respondents' Demographic Details for Pilot study
Table 4.2 Measurement Model Items Loading, Composite Reliability and AVE 122
Table 4.3 Discriminant Validity Using Fornell and Lacker Criterian
Table 4.4 Respondents Demographic Details for Quantitative Study
Table 4.5 Testing of Direct Effect Hypotheses
Table 4.6 Moderation Results Transformational Leadership, Followership and
Factorsof Employees' Reactions
Table 4.7 Moderation Results Transactional Leadership, Followership and Factors of
Employees' Reactions
Table 4.8 Summary of Hypotheses Testing and Findings
Table 5.1 Demographic Details of the Informants
Table 6.1 Summary of the Quantitative Results and Qualitative Findings

# LIST OF FIGURES

J	Page
Figure 1.1 Summary of Research Gaps	.13
Figure 1.2 Conceptual Model	.21
Figure 2.1 Antecedents, Explicit Reactions, and Change Consequences of Organization	nal
Change	.38
Figure 2.2 Full Range Leadership Model (FRLM)	. 62
Figure 2.3 Kelley Followership Model (1992)	. 67
Figure 2.4 Chaleff's Followership Model (1995)	. 69
Figure 3.1The Research Onion1	107
Figure 3.2 Explanatory Sequential Design	109
Figure 3.3Explanatory Sequential Design	112
Figure 4.1 Measurement Model, Leadership Styles, Followership and	
FactorsofOrganizational Change1	125
Figure 4.2 Direct Effect Structural Model	129
Figure 4.3 Moderation Effect Transformational Leadership, Followership and Factorso	of
Employees' Reactions	141
Figure 4.4 Interaction Graph of Moderation Effect, Transformational Leadership and	
Frequency of Change1	142
Figure 4.5 Interaction Graph of Moderation Effect, Transformational LeadershipTrust	in
Management1	143
Figure 4.6 Interaction Graph of Moderation Effect, Transformational Leadership and	
Employees Participation	144

Figure 4.7	Moderation Effect Transactional Leadership, Followership and Factors of	
	Employees' Reactions	17
Figure 4.8	Graph of the Interaction Effect, Followership, Transactional Leadership	
	Styleand Trust in Management	18
Figure4.9	Graph of the Interaction Effect, Followership, Transactional Leadership	
	Styleand Employees' Participation	<del>1</del> 9
Figure 5.1	Transformational Leadership Style	55
Figure 5.2	Transactional Leadership Style	59
Figure 5.3	Followership	72
Figure 5.4	Organizational Change Factors	79

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY**

#### 1.1 Introduction

After more than five decades of research and theories on the qualities and behaviours of an effective leader it has since been acknowledged that leadership and followership are two intricately intertwined concepts in effective leadership and organizational success (Collinson, 2009). Hence, this study aims to explore the relationship between leadership styles (transformational leadership style and transactional leadership style) and content, context, and process factors of employees' reactions towards organizational change. This study further investigated the moderating role of followership in the relationship between leadership styles and factors of employees' reactions in order to understand the distinct role of followership in leader-follower interaction. This chapter provides readers with an overview of the current study and highlights the important aspects such as background of the study, problem statement, identification of the research objectives, formulation of research hypotheses, conceptual framework, significance of the study and definition of the terms used in the current study as a basis for understanding the rationale of conducting research in the hope of realizing the leadership-followership process that can bring about real and mutual changes (Robert & Jerry, 2013).

### 1.2 Background of the Study

Over the past few decades, substantial research has been done in the field of organizational change management. Many factors such as job demands, job knowledge and skills, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, social relations at the work place, performance measurement, change efficacy, communication, organizational justice, logistics and system

support and many more determinants prevails in support of organizational change programs (Chawla & Kelloway, 2004; Cinite, Duxbury, & Higgins, 2009; Cunningham et al., 2002; Eby, Adams, Russell, & Gaby, 2000; Madsen et al., 2005; Peach et al., 2005; Rafferty & Simon, 2006; Wanberg, & Banas, 2000). However, investigations in many studies on organizational change shows unsatisfactory results. For instance, Beer and Nohria (2000), Burke (2010), Burnes (2011) and Meaney and Pung (2008) documented that change implementation often fails. One reason for these change failures was that during the change process most of the attention was given to information systems and organizational structure, whereas human resourcefactors were ignored (Gill, 2002; Oreg et al., 2011; Penava & Šehić, 2014). Moreover, past research mostly focused on the macro perspectives of organizational change like strategic change management processes. Many of these studies were concerned about explaining what change looks like and how it stimulates change; in what way it would improve with the passage of time, and in what sense it may and might be dealt with (Oreg, Michel & By, 2013).

In contrast, many researchers claim that organizational and system-level variables like total quality management, mergers and acquisition, and technological changes are not the only factors responsible for organizational change success. Theirfocuswasmore on the microlevel perspective by examining the individuals within the organization. Therefore, in the last two decades, realization of the importance of recipients' perspectivesweregradually increasing and researchers are acknowledging the role of employees in the success of organizational change (Mdletye et al., 2013; Oreg, 2006; Rafferty, & Restubog, 2010; Vakola & Nikolaou, 2005). Change recipients is a common term used to organizational members who are affected by change implementation, including employees and managers who normally have less control and influence over change implementation (Oreg et al.,

2013). In keeping with the above, current study focuses on the micro-perspective to describe what changes feels or looks like from the standpoint of change recipients namely the employees). In terms of models since, Kurt Lewin model of planned change different researchers have proposed and developed different change content and process models such as Kotter's 8 steps model (1995), The McKinsey 7-S model (1980), and Pettigrew processual approach (1987). However, Armenakis, and Bedeian (1999), were the first to discuss the content, context and process factors and proposed that in order to understand employees' reactions it is important to study all these factors simultaneously. Their idea was later supported by Devos et al. (2007), Walker et al. (2007) and Oreg et al. (2011). In this present study, Oreg et al. (2011) model was used to analyse the content, context and process factors of employees' reactions towards organizational change. The content factor is the frequency of change which explains how frequently change occurs in the organization (Rafferty & Jimmieson, 2017). The context factor is trust in management which highlights the level of employees trust upon their managers (leaders) (Hartog et al., 2002). Finally, the process factor of change is the employees' participation which explains the level of employees' participation in organizational change process (Oreg et al., 2011).

It has been said that without leadership there is no change and improvement (Atkinson & Mackenzie, 1999). Supported by Senior and Fleming (2006) a leader is a change agent who takes initiative and brings successful change for organizations. From organizational change perspectives, there have been numerous practitioner-oriented debates focusing on the leadership role and employee resistance but on the other hand, the number of empirical studies that examine the relationship between leader behaviour and employees' reactions towards change is very limited (Herold et al., 2008;Holten & Brenner 2015;Oreg & Berson, 2011). Past research has indicated that if leadership is change-

oriented, participative, informative and fair, it produces positive reactions towards change (Holten & Brenner 2015; Oreg et al., 2011). During organizational change, leaders perform an important role both as a role model and driver of change, and their behaviour influence the interests of followers (Skakon et al., 2010). Among many leadership styles; transformational and transactional leadership styles were mostly related to organizational change context (Ahmad & Cheng, 2018; Herold et al., 2008; Holten & Brenner, 2015; Oreg & Berson, 2011; Yasir et al., 2016).

In relation to specific leadership styles, a study conducted by Khan et al. (2014) in the telecom sector in Pakistan concluded that transformational leaders and employee creativity can lead an organization towards innovation, and that the organizational supportive environment enables leaders and employees to exchange views with each other and that leaders motivate all employees. Another study conducted by Janjua and Sobia (2010) to analyze the contextual factors of organizational change in Pakistan revealed that external factors like global and national economic conditions, law and order situations and innovation are mostly affect Pakistani business organizations. However, the internal contextual factors like new product design and service delivery predict as great opportunity for business growth. Bass (1985), Bass and Avolio (1999) and Antonakis et al. (2003) claimed that the transactional leadership style was mostly related to a stable organizational situation through maintain us the status quo.

On the other hand, a study conducted by Riaz, Akhtar, and Aslam (2018) in the telecom sector of Pakistan identified that reward plays an important role in employees' performance and motivation in uncertain and highly competitive organizations. Similarly, Manzoor et al. (2015) identified that extrinsic and intrinsic reward and manager involvement positively related to job satisfaction in the telecom sector of

Pakistan. Therefore, this study also utilized transactional leadership styles to determine their contribution in shaping employees' reactions in organizational change context because it is based on contingent reward and management by exception components.

Additionally, most of the previous leadership research was leader-centric, that highlighted followers asrecipients of leadership outcomes (Uhl-Bien et al., 2014). Currently, followership is an emerging field of study explaining the behavioural (Kelley, 2008), relational (Meindl, 1995), cognitive (Sy, 2010) and constructionist (DeRue & Ashford, 2010) perspectives of followers. It highlights the followers' side in the leadership equation. According to Shamir (2007), removing followers from the leadership equation indicates that we are not studying leadership but a social phenomenon like collaboration and team work. In a leader centric approachfollowers' motivation and attitudes are studied as outcomes of leaders' behaviour (leader-centric approach) (Bass, 1990; Bass et al., 2003; Judge & Piccolo, 2004; Lowe et al., 1996). Zhu et al. (2009), examined the moderating role of followers' characteristics between the relationship of transformational leadership style and followers work engagement identified that followers' characteristics positively moderate the proposed relationship.

In summary, followers can play an effective role through both challenging and supporting leaders within the adoptive culture of organization in the context of organizational change (Doppelt, 2009). Towards successful organizational change, the recognition of the followership concept on individual, group, and organizational levels and methods for producing good effective followers are very important (Bennis, 2010). Gradually, as conventional organizational hierarchy dissipates between followers and their leaders, the role that followers supposed to play in leader-follower relations is becoming more critical to organizational success. However, from strategic standpoint follower's role can