

WOOD ITS BENEFITS AND VERSATILITY

ISMAIL BIN JUSOH



WOOD ITS BENEFITS AND VERSATILITY

WOOD ITS BENEFITS AND VERSATILITY

Ismail Bin Jusoh

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak Kota Samarahan © Ismail Bin Jusoh, 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

Published in Malaysia by UNIMAS Publisher, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia.

Printed in Malaysia by Lee Miing Press Sdn Bhd (541980-U) No. 48, Jalan Ellis, 93300 Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.

Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia

Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Ismail Jusoh, 1962-WOOD : ITS BENEFITS AND VERSATILITY / ISMAIL BIN JUSOH Bibliography: page 81 ISBN 978-967-2008-27-9 1. Wood. 2. Wood--Preservation. I. Title.

CONTENTS

Pre	face	xiii
Ack	nowledgement	xv
List	of Figures	ix
List	of Tables	х
1	Introduction	1
1.1	What is Wood?	1
1.2	Forest Resource Base	3
1.3	Forest Stand Structure	5
2	Wood properties	17
2.1	Within-tree Variation of Wood Physical Properties	17
2.2	Within-tree Variation of Wood Anatomical Properties	20
2.3	Between Species Variation of Wood Anatomical Properties	23
3	Natural Durability of Wood	25
3.1	Wood Decay Test	28
3.2	Termite Resistance Test	31

4	Wood Extractives	37
4.1	Role of Extractives in Decay Resistance of Wood	37
4.2	Chemical Constituents of E. zwageri and P. melagangai	40
5	Preservative Treatment of Wood	45
5.1	Preservatives Treatment of Hevea brasiliensis (Rubberwood)	47
5.2	Efficacy of CCA-treated Wood	48
5.3	Microdistribution of CCA Elements in Rubberwood Cells	49
5.4	Microdistribution of Chromium, Copper and Arsenic in Fibre Cell	54
5.5	Efficacy of Some Nondurable Woods Treated with Synthesized Organotin (IV) Complexes	56
6	Wood Properties from Planted Forest	63
6.1	Physical Properties	65
6.2	Mechanical Properties	66
6.3	Fibre Morphology	68
6.4	Natural Durability	70
7	Wood as Carbon Sink	73
8	Conclusion	79
Bib	Bibliography	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Stem density according to diameter size distribution.	10
Figure 1.2	Mangrove forest. <i>Sonneratia alba</i> (Perepat) confined to riverbanks, dominated the sandy mud-flat. Behind the <i>Sonneratia alba</i> forest is the mixed-mangrove forest.	13
Figure 3.1	Soil block test using soil culture plastic bag filled with soil, feeder strip and sample block.	28
Figure 3.2	Ganoderma lucidum attack after six weeks exposure on Dyera polyphylla.	29
Figure 3.3	A termite resistance test in progress according to JWPA Standard 11 (1) – 1981. An <i>E. diadenum</i> test block exposed to 150 worker and 50 soldiers of <i>Coptotermes</i> sp.	32
Figure 3.4	A termite resistance test in progress according to ASTM Standard D3345-74 (reapproved 1999). A <i>Shorea falcifera</i> test block exposed to 45 worker and 5 soldiers of <i>Nasutitermes</i> sp.	33
Figure 3.5	A severely attacked test block following termite resistance test.	33
Figure 4.1	Soxhlet extraction of wood cubes.	39
Figure 4.2	Gas chromatogram of F3 fraction from crude dichloromethane extract of <i>E. zwageri</i>	42
Figure 5.1	Weight losses (%) of CCA type C treated-rubberwood exposed to decay fungi.	49
Figure 5.2	X-ray distribution maps of chromium, copper, and arsenic in rubberwood cells.	52
Figure 5.3	Comparative distribution and penetration of chromium, copper, and arsenic in 1, 2 and 3% CCA-treated cubes.	53
Figure 5.4	SEM-EDXA linescan analyses of rubberwood showing microdistribution of Cr, Cu and As treated with 2% CCA.	55

- Figure 5.5Weight loss (%) of untreated and treated wood cubes
with (1%) organotin(IV) complex after expose of T.
versicolor fungus for 16 weeks (different letter within
wood species are statistically different at P < 0.05
using Tukey Multiple Comparison test)60
- **Figure 5.6** Weight loss (%) of untreated and treated wood cubes 61 with (1%) organotin(IV) complex after expose of *G. trabeum* fungus for 16 weeks (different letter within wood species are statistically different at P < 0.05 using Tukey Multiple Comparison test)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Forest area of Malaysia 2014 (million ha)	4
Table 1.2	Forest resource base of Sarawak 2014	5
Table 1.3	Number of family, genera and species in Div5 and Bukit Durang forests of trees 5 cm DBH and above.	6
Table 1.4	Top 10 dominant species recorded from Div5 forest.	7
Table 1.5	Top 10 dominant species recorded from Bukit Durang forest.	8
Table 1.6	Species distribution of Kuching Wetland National Park	12
Table 1.7	Importance value indices (in percent) for ten most dominant mangrove species in KWNP.	14
Table 1.8	Importance value indices (in percent) for six most dominant non-mangrove species in KWNP.	15
Table 1.9	Tree density and basal area per ha of six common mangroves in KWNP.	16

Table 2.1	Height differences of specific gravity (SG) and green moisture content (GMC) in <i>Neolamarckia cadamba</i> (Kelempayan)	18
Table 2.2	Radial differences of specific gravity (SG) and green moisture content (GMC) in <i>Neolamarckia cadamba</i> (Kelempayan)	20
Table 2.3	Vertical differences of mean tissue proportion and fibre characteristics in <i>Neolamarckia</i> cadamba (Kelempayan)	21
Table 2.4	Radial differences of mean tissue proportion and fibre characteristics in <i>Neolamarckia cadamba</i> (Kelempayan)	23
Table 2.5	Anatomical variation of different species	24
Table 3.1	Natural durability classes for timber service life under temperate, tropical and laboratory exposure (Findlay, 1985).	27
Table 3.2	Mean weight loss of wood cubes after six weeks of exposure to decay fungi.	30
Table 3.3	Mean weight loss of wood cubes after 16 weeks of exposure to decay fungi.	31
Table 3.4	Classification of visual assessment based on American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard D3345-74 (reapproved 1999)	33
Table 3.5	Mean weight loss, termite mortality and visual rating following JWPA Standard 11 $(1) - 1981$ laboratory test on <i>E. diadenum, D. moluccana</i> and <i>H. brasiliensis</i> , exposed to 150 workers and 50 soldiers of <i>Coptotermes</i> sp. for three weeks	34
Table 3.6	Mean weight, termite mortality and visual rating following ASTM Standard D3345- 74 (reapproved 1999) laboratory test on <i>Neobalanocarpus heimii, Shorea falcifera</i> and <i>Dyera polyphylla</i> , exposed to 45 workers and 5 soldiers of <i>Nasutermes</i> sp. for three weeks	35

Table 4.1	Comparative average percentage weight loss of extracted and unextract heartwood of <i>Eusideroxylon zwageri, Potoxylon melagangai</i> and <i>H. brasiliensis</i> due to decay.	39
Table 4.2	Common compounds detected in dichloromethane extracts of both <i>E. zwageri</i> and <i>P. melagangai</i>	44
Table 4.3	Common compounds detected in methanol extracts of <i>E. zwageri</i> and <i>P. melagangai</i>	44
Table 5.1	Monosubstituted and Disubstituted organotin(IV) compounds used for treatment.	57
Table 6.1	Physical properties of <i>Acacia</i> hybrid, second- generation <i>A. mangium</i> , <i>A. mangium</i> and <i>A. auriculiformis</i>	66
Table 6.2	Comparison of mean values on some mechanical properties of <i>Acacia</i> hybrid, second-generation <i>A. mangium</i> , <i>A. mangium</i> and <i>A. auriculiformis</i>	68
Table 6.3	Fibre characteristics of <i>A. mangium,</i> second- generation <i>A. mangium</i> and <i>Acacia</i> hybrid	70
Table 6.4	Mean weight loss of <i>A. mangium, A. auriculiformis,</i> second-generation <i>A. mangium, Acacia</i> hybrid and <i>H. brasiliensis</i> after ten weeks of exposure to <i>T. versicolor, S. commune</i> and <i>R. microporus</i>	71
Table 6.5	Visual ratings of <i>A. mangium, A. auriculiformis,</i> <i>A. mangium,</i> second-generation Acacia hybrid and <i>H. brasiliensis</i> exposed to 150 workers and 50 soldiers of <i>Coptotermes curvinagthus</i> for three weeks in a no-choice laboratory test	72
Table 7.1	Aboveground biomass, C stock, and CO ₂ sequestered in 10 years old second generation <i>Acacia mangium</i> and <i>Acacia</i> hybrid	75
Table 7.2	Comparison of mean annual C stocks of several species according to age and plantation type	77

The benefits of using wood are manifold. It is the only renewable building material available. Trees has the unique ability to take carbon from the atmosphere and carbon remain stored in wood products. Wood is durable both for homes and commercial buildings when used properly and with right preservatives it can last. It is not only structurally strong but also naturally beautiful and aesthetically pleasing. Wood is versatile engineering material. It is capable of making large and small structures, and does not require intensive energy during processing. Wood can be used for unlimited design possibilities with ease and efficiency of construction. It is extremely versatile that it can be used in combination with metals, plastics, glass or other materials.



Ismail Bin Jusoh joins Universiti Malaysia Sarawak as tutor in the Faculty of Resource Science and Technology in 1994. Prior to coming to UNIMAS, he was a tutor in Universiti Pertanian Malaysia Kampus Bintulu. Ismail received his B.S. in Forestry and M.S. from Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, Serdang. He earned his PhD from Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA. In recent years, his research are focussed on growth and wood quality of planted forests, wood extractives, and forest stand structure. He has collaborated actively with

researchers in several other disciplines of chemistry, engineering and molecular biology. Previously he has served as programme coordinator, expert panel and national forestry future direction panel. Ismail came from Limbang and now resides with his family in Kota Samarahan. In his free time he likes to jog, hike and travel to countryside.

