

A NOTE ON *ALMOST FLAT* NUMBERS

JUAN ARIAS DE REYNA AND JAN VAN DE LUNE

*Dedicated to Herman J. J. te Riele on the occasion of his retirement from the
CWI in January 2012*

In this note we present a solution of the following

Problem. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\beta(n)$ be the product of all prime divisors of n (not counting multiplicities).

So, in the notation of Hardy & Wright, if $n = \prod p^e$ then $\beta(n) = \prod p$ with $\beta(1) = 1$.

A positive integer n is called flat iff $n = \beta(n)$ (or, equivalently, iff $|\mu(n)| = 1$, where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function).

A positive integer is called almost flat iff $n/\beta(n)$ is prime.

(A) Show that the sequence of almost flat numbers has a positive natural density (denoted by d_1), and indicate how this density can be computed to any degree of accuracy. (This is the case $k = 1$ in the next, more general problem (B).)

(B) Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of different prime divisors of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (not counting multiplicities), and let k be any (fixed) positive integer.

Show that the sequence of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q := q(n) := n/\beta(n)$ is flat with $\omega(q) = k$, has positive natural density (denoted by d_k), and indicate how this density can be computed to any degree of accuracy.

(B1) Solve this problem for $k = 2$.

(B2) How to proceed for $k \geq 3$? Compute d_3 , d_4 and d_5 .

Solution of (A), the case $k = 1$.

The generating Dirichlet series of the almost flat numbers is obtained by expanding

$$(1) \quad \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^{2s}} \frac{\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{q^s}} = \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^s(q^s + 1)},$$

$(s = \sigma + it, \sigma > 1).$

Invoking the well-known Wiener-Ikehara Tauberian theorem (which applies indeed see [3, p. 259–266]), we find that the required natural

density d_1 exists and equals

$$(2) \quad d_1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q(q+1)}.$$

The sum of the last series may be approximated by observing that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q(q+1)} = \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{q}} = \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{q^n} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^{2+n}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n P(n+2) \end{aligned}$$

where, for $s > 1$,

$$(4) \quad P(s) := \sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p^s} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \log \zeta(rs)$$

(see Titchmarsh [4, p. 12, formula (1.6.1)]) and that, also for $s > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \log \zeta(rs) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{r} \log \zeta(rs) < \frac{1}{r} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{rs}} + \int_2^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{rs}} \right) < \\ &< \frac{1}{r} \log \left(1 + \frac{3}{2^{rs}} \right) < \frac{3}{2^{rs}} \end{aligned}$$

so that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$(5) \quad P(n) < \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \log \zeta(rs) < \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2^{rs}} < \frac{3}{2^n - 1} \leq \frac{4}{2^n}.$$

A combination of these ingredients is sufficient for a high precision computation of $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n P(n)$.

For $n \geq 2$ we may approximate $P(n)$ by evaluating $\sum_{r=1}^R \frac{\mu(r)}{r} \log \zeta(rn)$ for a sufficiently large R .

If we want an accuracy of ε then it suffices to take R such that $\sum_{r=R+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \log \zeta(rn) < \varepsilon$. It is easily seen that

$$(6) \quad R = \left\lfloor \frac{1 \log \left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon} \right)}{n \log 2} \right\rfloor$$

suffices. Using Mathematica we find

$$\sigma_1 \approx 0.3302299262 \ 6420324101 \ 5094588086 \ 7447606442 \ 5941947407 \ \dots$$

so that (recall that $d_1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sigma_1$)

$$d_1 \approx 0.2007557220 \ 1926598699 \ 6250723114 \ 4047658535 \ 3555535256 \ \dots$$

Solution of (B1), the case $k = 2$.

Similarly as in (A) the required density d_2 equals

$$(7) \quad d_2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{p < q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)}$$

(p and q denoting primes).

The last series may also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &:= \sum_{p < q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p < q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)} + \sum_{q < p} \frac{1}{q(q+1)p(p+1)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p, q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)} - \sum_{p=q} \frac{1}{p(p+1)q(q+1)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\sum_p \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \right)^2 - \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2(p+1)^2} \right] = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^4 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

(with $\sigma_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{6} d_1$) and this in its turn may be reduced to a form “only” containing $P(n)$'s, so that we can compute σ_2 (and hence d_2) to any degree of accuracy. Indeed, it is easily verified that

$$(8) \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} n P(n+3).$$

Using *Mathematica* we find that

$$\sigma_2 \approx 0.0727869325 \ 3120878610 \ 0250493970 \ 5431864431 \ 8060075841 \ \dots$$

so that

$$d_2 \approx 0.0221245744 \ 7327116398 \ 0012002355 \ 9483175788 \ 6781598850 \ \dots$$

Solution of (B2), the case $k \geq 3$.

For $k \geq 3$ we make use of the well-known (Girard-) Newton formulas. We briefly recall some pertinent details :

We consider (formally) the equation $f(x) = \sum_n (-1)^n \sigma_n x^n = 0$ with roots $\frac{1}{\alpha_k}$. In our application we will have $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{p_n(p_n+1)}$ where p_n is the n -th prime. Then we have

$$\sum_n (-1)^n \sigma_n x^n = \prod_n (1 - \alpha_n x) = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_n = \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_n} \alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \dots \alpha_{j_n}$$

(we assume here that $\sigma_0 = 1$). We define

$$S_k := \sum_n \alpha_n^k.$$

Although the (Girard-) Newton formulas usually express the sums S_n in terms of the coefficients σ_n , we will turn things around and express the (elementary symmetric functions) σ_n in terms of the (exponential sums) S_n . In order to do so we compute $f'(x)$ in two different ways :

$$f'(x) = \sum_n (-1)^n n \sigma_n x^{n-1}$$

and

$$f'(x) = -f(x) \sum_n \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n x} = -f(x) \sum_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{k+1} x^k = -f(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{k+1} x^k.$$

Comparing coefficients we find that (see [1, Chap. 8, p. 166], [2, p. 140] or [5, p. 99])

$$(9) \quad (-1)^m m \sigma_m = - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (-1)^n \sigma_n S_{m-n}$$

which leads directly to the recurrence (with $\sigma_0 = 1$)

$$\sigma_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} (S_m - \sigma_1 S_{m-1} + \sigma_2 S_{m-2} - \dots + (-1)^{m-1} \sigma_{m-1} S_1), \quad (m \geq 1).$$

In this way we easily obtain, for example,

$$\sigma_1 = S_1$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} (S_1^2 - S_2)$$

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{6} (S_1^3 - 3S_1 S_2 + 2S_3)$$

$$\sigma_4 = \frac{1}{24} (S_1^4 - 6S_1^2 S_2 + 3S_2^2 + 8S_1 S_3 - 6S_4)$$

$$\sigma_5 = \frac{1}{120} (S_1^5 - 10S_1^3 S_2 + 15S_1 S_2^2 + 20S_1^2 S_3 - 20S_2 S_3 - 30S_1 S_4 + 24S_5).$$

For $k = 3$ we have to deal with the sum

$$\sigma_3 := \sum_p \frac{1}{p(p+1)} \sum_{p < q} \frac{1}{q(q+1)} \sum_{q < r} \frac{1}{r(r+1)}, \quad (p, q, r \text{ primes}).$$

In this case we have :

$$(10) \quad \alpha_n = \frac{1}{p_n(p_n + 1)}, \quad \sigma_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \sum_{n < m} \alpha_m \sum_{m < r} \alpha_r = \\ = \sum_n \frac{1}{p_n(p_n + 1)} \sum_{n < m} \frac{1}{p_m(p_m + 1)} \sum_{m < r} \frac{1}{p_r(p_r + 1)}$$

and

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{6}(S_1^3 - 3S_1S_2 + 2S_3).$$

Note that we can compute the $S_n = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^n(p+1)^n}$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad S_n &= \sum_p \frac{1}{p^n(1+p)^n} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-n} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \frac{1}{p^k} = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{k} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{2n+k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n+k-1}{k} P(2n+k). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding similarly for $k > 3$ we find (using Mathematica)

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 0.2007557220 1926598699 6250723114 4047658535 3555535256 \dots \\ d_2 &= 0.0221245744 7327116398 0012002355 9483175788 6781598850 \dots \\ d_3 &= 0.0010728279 2166161493 7597184179 0511299854 7080207983 \dots \\ d_4 &= 0.0000267593 5151889275 7741972284 4743787780 5157715943 \dots \\ d_5 &= 0.0000003834 9005273872 2348794555 0178910921 5013442743 \dots \\ d_6 &= 0.0000000034 4999551430 8580387444 6993630085 9120389312 \dots \\ d_7 &= 0.0000000000 2082589566 1766505646 3194316856 4945749335 \dots \\ d_8 &= 0.0000000000 0008875408 1001607125 3428410234 4454925913 \dots \\ d_9 &= 0.0000000000 0000027791 2994465580 9631134694 5089946028 \dots \\ d_{10} &= 0.0000000000 0000000066 0331441112 0947527899 3022631397 \dots \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] W. S. BURNSIDE & A. W. PANTON, *The Theory of Equations*, Vol. 1, Dover, 1960.
- [2] N. JACOBSON, *Basic Algebra*, Vol. 1, 2 ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, 1996.
- [3] H. L. MONTGOMERY & R. C. VAUGHAN, *Multiplicative Number Theory I, Classical Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [4] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Second ed. revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [5] B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, *Algebra*, Vol. 1, Springer, 1991.

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA,
 APDO. 1160, 41080-SEVILLA, SPAIN
E-mail address: arias@us.es

LANGEBUORREN 49, 9074 CH HALLUM, THE NETHERLANDS
 (FORMERLY AT CWI, AMSTERDAM)
E-mail address: j.vandelune@hccnet.nl