JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY, Series B 65, 269-272 (1995)

# A Short Proof of the Planarity Characterization of Colin de Verdière

## Hein van der Holst\*

CWI, Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

January 5, 1995

Colin de Verdière introduced an interesting new invariant  $\mu(G)$  for graphs G, based on algebraic and analytic properties of matrices associated with G. He showed that the invariant is monotone under taking minors and moreover, that  $\mu(G) \leq 3$  if only if G is planar. In this paper we give a short proof of Colin de Verdière's result that  $\mu(G) \leq 3$  if G is planar.  $\oplus$  1995 Academic Press. Inc.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected undirected graph, which throughout this paper we assume without loss of generality to have vertex set  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . Then Colin de Verdière's invariant  $\mu(G)$  [3] (English translation: [4]) is the largest corank of any symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$ 

with exactly one negative eigenvalue (of multiplicity 1) and with  $m_{i,j} < 0$  if *i* and *j* are adjacent and  $m_{i,j} = 0$  if *i* and *j* are not adjacent and  $i \neq j$ , (1)

so that M fulfils the "Strong Arnold Hypothesis". (The *corank* of a matrix is the dimension of its kernel.) For the "Strong Arnold Hypothesis" we refer to Colin de Verdière [3]; we do not need it in this paper.

In [3] it is proved that if G' is a minor of G, then  $\mu(G') \leq \mu(G)$ . (In proving this, the "Strong Arnold Hypothesis" is essential.) So for each fixed t, the class of graphs G satisfying  $\mu(G) \leq t$  is closed under taking minors. Hence, by the theorem of Robertson and Seymour [6], there is a finite collection of "forbidden minors" for such a class of graphs.

Colin de Verdière [3] showed that the graphs G satisfying  $\mu(G) \leq 1$  are exactly the paths, those satisfying  $\mu(G) \leq 2$  are exactly the outerplanar graphs, and those satisfying  $\mu(G) \leq 3$  are exactly the planar graphs. If  $\mu(G) \leq 4$  then G is linklessly embeddable, since each graph G in the

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: hein@cwi.nl.

complete class of forbidden minors found by Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [7] has  $\mu(G) > 4$  (cf. Bacher and Colin de Verdière [1]). In fact, Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas [8] conjecture that also the reverse implication holds.

Colin de Verdière's proof [3] of the fact that  $\mu(G) \leq 3$  for planar graphs G uses notions of differential geometry and in particular Cheng's result [2] on the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a Laplacian on the 2-sphere. Bacher and Colin de Verdière [1] give a proof that uses the facts that under some conditions  $\mu$  is invariant under  $\Delta Y$ - and  $Y\Delta$ -transformations and that a planar graph can be reduced to an edge by these transformations. We here give a direct combinatorial proof.

## 2. The Proof

We first give an auxiliary result. For any vector x, let  $\operatorname{supp}(x)$  denote the support of x (i.e., the set  $\{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ ). Moreover we denote  $\operatorname{supp}_+(x) := \{i \mid x_i > 0\}$  and  $\operatorname{supp}_-(x) := \{i \mid x_i < 0\}$ . For any subset U of V let  $\langle U \rangle$  denote the subgraph of G induced by U. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $I \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , then  $x_I$  denotes the subvector of x induced by the indices in I. Similarly, if M is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $I, J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , then  $M_{I \times J}$  denotes the submatrix of M induced by row indices in I and column indices in J. We say that a vector  $x \in \ker(M)$  has minimal support if x is nonzero and if for each nonzero vector  $y \in \ker(M)$  with  $\operatorname{supp}(y) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(x)$  one has  $\operatorname{supp}(y) = \operatorname{supp}(x)$ . Viewing the matrix M as a Laplacian the following proposition can be regarded as a Courant nodal theorem [5] for graphs.

**PROPOSITION** 1. Let G be a connected graph and let M satisfy (1). Let  $x \in \text{ker}(M)$  have minimal support. Then  $\langle \text{supp}_+(x) \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{supp}_-(x) \rangle$  are connected.

*Proof.* Suppose for instance that  $\langle \sup p_+(x) \rangle$  is disconnected. Let I and J be two components of  $\langle \sup p_+(x) \rangle$ . Let  $K := \sup p_-(x)$ . Since  $m_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i \in I$ ,  $j \in J$ , we have:

$$M_{I \times I} x_I + M_{I \times K} x_K = 0,$$
  

$$M_{J \times J} x_J + M_{J \times K} x_K = 0.$$
(2)

Let z be an eigenvector of M with negative eigenvalue. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem we may assume z > 0. Let

$$\lambda := \frac{z_I^T x_I}{z_J^T x_J}.$$
(3)

Define  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by:  $y_i := x_i$  if  $i \in I$ ,  $y_i := -\lambda x_i$  if  $i \in J$ , and  $x_i := 0$  if  $i \notin I \cup J$ . By (3),  $z^T y = z_I^T x_I - \lambda z_J^T x_J = 0$ . Moreover, one has (since  $m_{i,j} = 0$  if  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ ):

$$y^{T}My = y_{I}^{T}M_{I \times I}y_{I} + y_{J}^{T}M_{J \times J}y_{J} = x_{I}^{T}M_{I \times I}x_{I} + \lambda^{2}x_{J}^{T}M_{J \times J}x_{J}$$
$$= -x_{I}^{T}M_{I \times K}x_{K} - \lambda^{2}x_{J}^{T}M_{J \times K}x_{K} \leq 0, \qquad (4)$$

(using (2)) since  $M_{I \times K}$  and  $M_{J \times K}$  are nonpositive, and since  $x_I > 0$ ,  $x_J > 0$  and  $x_K < 0$ .

Now  $z^T y = 0$  and  $y^T M y \le 0$  imply that M y = 0 (as M is symmetric and has exactly one negative eigenvalue, with eigenvector z). Therefore,  $y \in \ker(M)$ , contradicting the minimality of supp(x).

From this we derive:

**THEOREM** 1. If G is planar then  $\mu(G) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mu(G)$  does not increase after deleting edges, we may assume that G is maximally planar. So G is 3-connected and contains a triangle which is a face. Let U be the set of vertices of this triangle. Assume that  $\mu(G) > 3$ . Let  $M = (m_{i,j})$  be a matrix satisfying (1) with corank equal to  $\mu(G)$ . Since the corank of M is larger than 3, ker(M) contains a nonzero vector x with  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i \in U$ . We may assume that x has minimal support.

Since G is 3-connected there exist 3 pairwise disjoint paths  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , where each  $P_i$  starts in a vertex  $v_i \notin \operatorname{supp}(x)$  adjacent to at least one vertex in  $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ , and ends in U. Now if M satisfies (1), then each vertex  $v \notin \operatorname{supp}(x)$  adjacent to some vertex in  $\operatorname{supp}_+(x)$  is also adjacent to some vertex in  $\operatorname{supp}_-(x)$  and conversely. So each  $v_i$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $\operatorname{supp}_+(x)$  and at least one vertex in  $\operatorname{supp}_-(x)$ .

By Proposition 1,  $\operatorname{supp}_+(x)$  and  $\operatorname{supp}_-(x)$  can be contracted to one vertex each. Delete all vertices of G not contained in  $\operatorname{supp}(x)$  or in any  $P_i$  and contract each  $P_i$  to one vertex. Add a vertex inside the triangle and edges between this vertex and the vertices in U. The graph we obtain is still planar. But this graph contains  $K_{3,3}$  as subgraph, hence we have a contradiction.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof given in Cheng [2] for the maximal multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a Laplacian on the 2-sphere. We have chosen a nonzero vector vanishing on the vertices of a triangle and then used a Courant nodal theorem for graphs to obtain a contradiction. Cheng showed that the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue of a Laplacian on the 2-sphere is at most 3, by choosing an eigenfunction whose value and both partial derivatives vanish at some given point of the

#### HEIN VAN DER HOLST

2-sphere. But the positive or negative support of this eigenfunction consists of more than one component, contradicting Courant nodal theorem.

Finally we mention the following corollary of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY 1. Let G be a connected graph and let M satisfy (1). If  $\ker(M)$  has dimension 1 and  $x \in \ker(M)$  then  $\langle \operatorname{supp}_+(x) \rangle$  and  $\langle \operatorname{supp}_-(x) \rangle$  are connected.

#### References

- 1. R. BACHER AND Y. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, Multiplicités des valeurs propres et transformations étoile-triangle des graphes, preprint, 1994.
- 2. S. Y. CHENG, Eigenfunctions and nodal sets, Comment. Math. Helv. 51 (1976), 43-55.
- 3. Y. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, Sur un nouvel invariant des graphes et un critère de planarité, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 50 (1990), 11-21.
- 4. Y. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, On a new graph invariant and a criterion for planarity, *in* "Graph Structure Theory" (N. Robertson and P. Seymour, Eds.), pp. 137–147, Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- 5. R. COURANT AND D. HILBERT, "Methods of Mathematical Physics," Vol. 1, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1953.
- 6. N. ROBERTSON AND P. D. SEYMOUR, Graph minors. XX. Wagner's conjecture, preprint, 1988.
- 7. N. ROBERTSON, P. SEYMOUR, AND R. THOMAS, Sachs' linkless embedding conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 64 (1995), 105-227.
- N. ROBERTSON, P. D. SEYMOUR, AND R. THOMAS, A survey of linkless embeddings, *in* "Graph Structure Theory" (N. Robertson and P. Seymour, Eds.), pp. 125–136, Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

272